

RESEARCH

Open Access



The method of lower and upper solutions for the general boundary value problems of fractional differential equations with p -Laplacian

Xiping Liu* and Mei Jia

*Correspondence: xipingliu@163.com
College of Science, University of Shanghai for Science and Technology, Shanghai, 200093, China

Abstract

We present here a new method of lower and upper solutions for a general boundary value problem of fractional differential equations with p -Laplacian operators. By using this approach, some new results on the existence of positive solutions for the equations with multiple types of nonlinear integral boundary conditions are established. Finally, some examples are presented to illustrate the wide range of potential applications of our main results.

Keywords: fractional differential equations; p -Laplacian operators; functional; general boundary value problem; method of lower and upper solutions; positive solution

1 Introduction

In this paper, we study the fractional differential equation with p -Laplacian operators

$${}^C D_{0^+}^\alpha (\varphi_p({}^C D_{0^+}^\beta u(t))) = f(t, u(t), {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta u(t)), \quad t \in (0, 1), \quad (1.1)$$

with the general boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} u'(0) = (\varphi_p({}^C D_{0^+}^\beta u(0)))' = 0, \\ u(1) = T_1[u(t)], \\ {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta u(1) = T_2[u(t)], \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where $1 < \alpha, \beta \leq 2$, ${}^C D_{0^+}^\alpha$ and ${}^C D_{0^+}^\beta$ are the Caputo fractional derivatives. $p > 1$, φ_p is the p -Laplacian operator, which is given by $\varphi_p(x) = |x|^{p-2}x$. Obviously, φ_p is continuous, increasing, invertible, and its inverse operator is $\varphi_p^{-1} = \varphi_q$, where $q > 1$ is a constant such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. $T_1[u(t)]$ and $T_2[u(t)]$ are two functionals, which could be $T_j[u(t)] = \sum_{i=1}^m g_j(\xi_i, u(\xi_i), {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta u(\xi_i))$, $T_j[u(t)] = \int_0^1 g_j(s, u(s), {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta u(s)) ds$, $T_j[u(t)] = \int_0^1 g_j(s, u(s), {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta u(s)) d\Lambda_j(s)$, $j = 1, 2$, or the other cases.

In recent years, the theory of fractional differential equations has become an important investigation area, see [1–5]. Many important results for certain boundary value conditions related to the fractional differential equations had been obtained, for example, two-point boundary value problem, multi-point boundary value problem, integral boundary value problem and so on, see [6–16].

In [16], the authors discuss the two-point boundary value problem of the systems of nonlinear fractional differential equations

$$\begin{cases} D^\alpha u(t) = f(t, u(t), v(t)), & t \in (0, T], \\ D^\alpha v(t) = g(t, v(t), u(t)), & t \in (0, T], \\ t^{1-\alpha} u(t)|_{t=0} = x_0, & t^{1-\alpha} v(t)|_{t=0} = y_0, \end{cases}$$

where $0 < T < \infty$, D^α is the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. By using the monotone iterative technique, some existence results of solutions are established.

On the other hand, the turbulent flow in a porous medium is a fundamental mechanics phenomenon. For studying this kind of problems, the models of the p -Laplacian equation are introduced, see [17]. Many important results for the boundary value problems of fractional p -Laplacian equations have been obtained, see [18–28] and the references therein.

In [25], Wang and Xiang studied the four-point boundary value problem of the fractional p -Laplacian equations

$$\begin{cases} D_{0+}^\gamma (\varphi_p(D_{0+}^\alpha u(t))) = f(t, u(t)), & t \in (0, 1), \\ u(0) = 0, & u'(1) = au(\xi), \\ D_{0+}^\alpha u(0) = 0, & D_{0+}^\alpha u(1) = bD_{0+}^\alpha u(\eta), \end{cases}$$

where $1 < \alpha, \gamma \leq 1$, $D_{0+}^\alpha, D_{0+}^\gamma$ are Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives. By using the method of lower and upper solutions, the existence results of at least one nonnegative solution of the boundary value problem are established.

In [27], Mahmudov and Unul studied the following integral boundary value problem of fractional p -Laplacian equation:

$$\begin{cases} D_{0+}^\beta (\varphi_p(D_{0+}^\alpha u(t))) = f(t, u(t), D_{0+}^\gamma u(t)), & t \in (0, 1), \\ u(0) + \mu_1 u(1) = \sigma_1 \int_0^1 g(s, u(s)) \, ds, \\ u(1) + \mu_2 u'(1) = \sigma_2 \int_0^1 h(s, u(s)) \, ds, \\ D_{0+}^\alpha u(0) = 0, & D_{0+}^\alpha u(1) = \nu D_{0+}^\alpha u(\eta), \end{cases}$$

where $D_{0+}^\alpha, D_{0+}^\beta$ are Caputo fractional derivatives with $1 < \alpha, \beta \leq 2$. By the fixed point theorems, the existence and uniqueness results of the solutions are established.

The purpose of this paper is to establish a method of lower and upper solutions for the general boundary value problems of fractional p -Laplacian equations and prove the existence of positive solutions for some specific nonlinear integral boundary value problems of the fractional p -Laplacian equations. Our paper is organized as the following parts. In Section 2, we give some basic definitions and lemmas to prove our main results. In Section 3, we establish the lower and upper solutions method for the general

boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.2). In Section 4, by using the lower and upper solutions method obtained in Section 3, the existence of positive solutions for fractional p -Laplacian equation (1.1) with the following nonlinear boundary conditions are obtained:

$$\begin{cases} u'(0) = (\varphi_p({}^C D_{0^+}^\beta u(0)))' = 0, \\ u(1) = \int_0^1 g_1(s, u(s), {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta u(s)) \, ds, \\ {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta u(1) = \int_0^1 g_2(s, u(s), {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta u(s)) \, ds, \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

$$\begin{cases} u'(0) = (\varphi_p({}^C D_{0^+}^\beta u(0)))' = 0, \\ r_1 u(1) - r_2 u(\xi) = \int_0^1 g_1(s, u(s), {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta u(s)) \, ds, \\ m_1 {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta u(1) + m_2 {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta u(\eta) = \int_0^1 g_2(s, u(s), {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta u(s)) \, ds, \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} u'(0) = (\varphi_p({}^C D_{0^+}^\beta u(0)))' = 0, \\ u(1) = \int_0^1 g_1(s, u(s), {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta u(s)) \, d\Lambda_1(s), \\ {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta u(1) = \int_0^1 g_2(s, u(s), {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta u(s)) \, d\Lambda_2(s), \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

respectively. In Section 5, as applications, some examples are presented to illustrate our main results.

2 Preliminary definitions and lemmas

For the convenience of reading, in this section, we provide the background knowledge on the fractional calculus and fractional differential equations.

Definition 2.1 (see [1, 2]) The Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order $\gamma > 0$ of a function $y : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$I_{0^+}^\gamma y(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\gamma-1} y(s) \, ds,$$

and the Caputo derivative is given by

$${}^C D_{0^+}^\gamma y(t) = D_{0^+}^\gamma y(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{y^{(k)}(0)}{\Gamma(k-\gamma+1)} t^{k-\gamma},$$

where

$$D_{0^+}^\gamma y(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\gamma)} \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \int_0^t \frac{y(s)}{(t-s)^{\gamma-n+1}} \, ds$$

is the standard Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order $\gamma > 0$ of a function $y : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, n is an integer with $n-1 < \gamma < n$, provided the right-hand integral converges.

Lemma 2.1 (see [1, 2]) *For $\gamma > 0$, the general solution of fractional differential equation ${}^C D_{0^+}^\gamma y(t) = 0$ is given by*

$$y(t) = c_0 + c_1 t + c_2 t^2 + \dots + c_{n-1} t^{n-1},$$

where $c_j \in \mathbb{R}, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$, and n is an integer with $n - 1 < \gamma < n$.

Lemma 2.2 *For any given function $h \in C[0, 1]$ and real numbers $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, the following boundary value problem of fractional differential equations*

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{0^+}^\alpha (\varphi_p({}^C D_{0^+}^\beta u(t))) = h(t), & t \in (0, 1), \\ u'(0) = (\varphi_p({}^C D_{0^+}^\beta u(0)))' = 0, \\ u(1) = b, & {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta u(1) = a \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

has a unique solution $u = u(t)$, which is given by

$$u(t) = b - \int_0^1 G_\beta(t, s) \varphi_q \left(\varphi_p(a) - \int_0^1 G_\alpha(s, \tau) h(\tau) d\tau \right) ds \tag{2.2}$$

and

$${}^C D_{0^+}^\beta u(t) = \varphi_q \left(\varphi_p(a) - \int_0^1 G_\alpha(t, s) h(s) ds \right), \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$G_\alpha(t, s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \begin{cases} (1-s)^{\alpha-1} - (t-s)^{\alpha-1}, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ (1-s)^{\alpha-1}, & 0 \leq t < s \leq 1, \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$G_\beta(t, s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \begin{cases} (1-s)^{\beta-1} - (t-s)^{\beta-1}, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ (1-s)^{\beta-1}, & 0 \leq t < s \leq 1. \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

Proof Let $\varphi_p({}^C D_{0^+}^\beta u(t)) = v(t)$, we can easily show that boundary value problem (2.1) can be decomposed into the following coupled boundary value problems:

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{0^+}^\alpha v(t) = h(t), & t \in (0, 1), \\ v'(0) = 0, & v(1) = \varphi_p(a), \end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta u(t) = \varphi_q(v(t)), & t \in (0, 1), \\ u'(0) = 0, & u(1) = b. \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

It follows from Lemma 2.1 that the general solution of fractional differential equation ${}^C D_{0^+}^\alpha v(t) = h(t)$ is given by

$$v(t) = I_{0^+}^\alpha h(t) + c_0 + c_1 t$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} h(s) ds + c_0 + c_1 t, \quad c_j \in \mathbb{R}, j = 0, 1.$$

The boundary condition $v'(0) = 0$ implies that $c_1 = 0$, and by the boundary condition $v(1) = \varphi_p(a)$, we can obtain that

$$c_0 = \varphi_p(a) - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} h(s) ds.$$

So, boundary value problem (2.6) has a unique solution, which is given by

$$v(t) = \varphi_p(a) - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} h(s) ds - \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} h(s) ds \right)$$

$$= \varphi_p(a) - \int_0^1 G_\alpha(t,s) h(s) ds. \tag{2.8}$$

In the same way, we can get that the unique solution of boundary value problem (2.7) is given by

$$u(t) = b + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} \varphi_q(v(s)) ds - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\beta-1} \varphi_q(v(s)) ds$$

$$= b - \int_0^1 G_\beta(t,s) \varphi_q(v(s)) ds. \tag{2.9}$$

Therefore, boundary value problem (2.1) has a unique solution $u = u(t)$ which is given by (2.2), and ${}^C D_{0^+}^\beta u(t)$ is given by (2.3). □

From (2.4) and (2.5), it is obvious that $G_\alpha(t,s)$ and $G_\beta(t,s)$ satisfy the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3 *The functions $G_\alpha(t,s)$ and $G_\beta(t,s)$ are continuous and $G_\alpha(t,s) \geq 0, G_\beta(t,s) \geq 0$ for $(t,s) \in [0,1] \times [0,1]$.*

3 The method of lower and upper solutions for the general nonlinear boundary value problem

In this section, we present a new method of lower and upper solutions for the general boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.2) and prove the existence of positive solutions for the problem.

Definition 3.1 We say a function $x = x(t)$ is a positive solution of boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.2) if and only if $x(t) \geq 0, t \in [0,1]$, and $x = x(t)$ satisfies equation (1.1) and conditions (1.2).

We denote by $AC^1[0,1]$ the space of functions which are absolutely continuous on $[0,1]$ (see [1, 2]).

Definition 3.2 Let $x \in AC^1[0, 1]$, and we say that $x = x(t)$ is a lower solution of boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.2) if

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{0^+}^\alpha (\varphi_p({}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x(t))) \leq f(t, x(t), {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x(t)), & t \in (0, 1), \\ x'(0) = (\varphi_p({}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x(0)))' = 0, \\ x(1) \leq T_1[x(t)], \\ {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x(1) \geq T_2[x(t)]. \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

Let $y \in AC^1[0, 1]$, and we say that $y = y(t)$ is an upper solution of boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.2) if

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{0^+}^\alpha (\varphi_p({}^C D_{0^+}^\beta y(t))) \geq f(t, y(t), {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta y(t)), & t \in (0, 1), \\ y'(0) = (\varphi_p({}^C D_{0^+}^\beta y(0)))' = 0, \\ y(1) \geq T_1[y(t)], \\ {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta y(1) \leq T_2[y(t)]. \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

We denote that $E = C^\beta[0, 1] := \{u : u \in C[0, 1], {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta u \in C[0, 1]\}$ and endowed with the norm $\|u\|_\beta = \|u\|_\infty + \|{}^C D_{0^+}^\beta u\|_\infty$, where $\|u\|_\infty = \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |u(t)|$ and $\|{}^C D_{0^+}^\beta u\|_\infty = \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |{}^C D_{0^+}^\beta u(t)|$. Then $(E, \|\cdot\|_\beta)$ is a Banach space. We denote that

$$P = \{u : u \in E, u(t) \geq 0, {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta u(t) \leq 0, t \in [0, 1]\}.$$

It is obvious that P is a normal cone on E . We denote $x \leq y$ if and only if $y - x \in P$ for $x, y \in E$.

Definition 3.3 Let P be a cone on a Banach space, a functional $T = T[u(t)]$ is called increasing on P if and only if $T[x(t)] \leq T[y(t)]$ for any $x \leq y \in P$. And it is called decreasing on P if and only if $T[x(t)] \geq T[y(t)]$ for any $x \leq y \in P$.

We assume the following conditions hold:

- (H1) $f \in C([0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \times (-\infty, 0])$, $0 \leq f(t, w_1, z_1) \leq f(t, w_2, z_2)$ for any $t \in [0, 1]$ and $0 \leq w_1 \leq w_2, 0 \geq z_1 \geq z_2 \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (H2) The functional T_1 is continuous nonnegative increasing on P , and T_2 is continuous nonpositive decreasing on P .

Theorem 3.1 Assume that (H1) and (H2) hold, boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.2) has a lower solution $x_0 \in P$ and an upper solution $y_0 \in P$ with $x_0 \leq y_0$. Then the general boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.2) has positive solutions $x^*, y^* \in P$. Furthermore,

$$x_0(t) \leq x^*(t) \leq y^*(t) \leq y_0(t)$$

and

$${}^C D_{0^+}^\beta y_0(t) \leq {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta y^*(t) \leq {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x^*(t) \leq {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_0(t) \leq 0, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

In order to prove Theorem 3.1, we provide the following two lemmas and the corresponding proofs.

Lemma 3.2 *Assume that conditions (H1) and (H2) hold, and there exists $x_k \in P$, a non-negative lower solution of boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.2). Then the following boundary value problem of fractional p -Laplacian equation*

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{0^+}^\alpha (\varphi_p({}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_{k+1}(t))) = f(t, x_k(t), {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_k(t)), & t \in (0, 1), \\ x'_{k+1}(0) = (\varphi_p({}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_{k+1}(0)))' = 0, \\ x_{k+1}(1) = T_1[x_k(t)], \\ {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_{k+1}(1) = T_2[x_k(t)] \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

has a unique solution $x_{k+1} = x_{k+1}(t)$ which is a nonnegative lower solution of boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.2), and $x_k \leq x_{k+1}$.

Proof In view of Lemma 2.2, for the given $x_k \in P$, boundary value problem (3.3) has a unique solution $x_{k+1} = x_{k+1}(t)$ which is given by

$$\begin{aligned} x_{k+1}(t) = T_1[x_k(t)] - \int_0^1 G_\beta(t, s) \varphi_q \left(\varphi_p(T_2[x_k(s)]) \right. \\ \left. - \int_0^1 G_\alpha(s, \tau) f(\tau, x_k(\tau), {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_k(\tau)) \, d\tau \right) \, ds, \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

and

$${}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_{k+1}(t) = \varphi_q \left(\varphi_p(T_2[x_k(t)]) - \int_0^1 G_\alpha(t, s) f(s, x_k(s), {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_k(s)) \, ds \right). \tag{3.5}$$

From Lemma 2.3, conditions (H1) and (H2), we easily get that $x_{k+1}(t) \geq 0$ and ${}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_{k+1}(t) \leq 0$, which implies $x_{k+1} \in P$.

Next, we will prove that $x_k \leq x_{k+1}$ and $x_{k+1} = x_{k+1}(t)$ is a lower solution of boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.2).

Since x_k is a lower solution of boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.2), then

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{0^+}^\alpha (\varphi_p({}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_k(t))) \leq f(t, x_k(t), {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_k(t)), & t \in (0, 1), \\ x'_k(0) = (\varphi_p({}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_k(0)))' = 0, \\ x_k(1) \leq T_1[x_k(t)], \\ {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_k(1) \geq T_2[x_k(t)]. \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

By (3.3) and (3.6), we can get that

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{0^+}^\alpha (\varphi_p({}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_{k+1}(t)) - \varphi_p({}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_k(t))) \geq 0, & t \in (0, 1), \\ x'_{k+1}(0) - x'_k(0) = (\varphi_p({}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_{k+1}(0)))' - (\varphi_p({}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_k(0)))' = 0, \\ x_{k+1}(1) - x_k(1) \geq 0, \\ {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_{k+1}(1) - {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_k(1) \leq 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.7}$$

Denote

$$\varphi_p({}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_{k+1}(t)) - \varphi_p({}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_k(t)) := v(t).$$

Then

$$(\varphi_p({}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_{k+1}(0)))' - (\varphi_p({}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_k(0)))' = v'(0) = 0.$$

And since

$${}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_{k+1}(1) - {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_k(1) \leq 0,$$

we get that

$$v(1) = \varphi_p({}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_{k+1}(1)) - \varphi_p({}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_k(1)) \leq 0.$$

Denote

$${}^C D_{0^+}^\alpha (\varphi_p({}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_{k+1}(t)) - \varphi_p({}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_k(t))) := h_{k+1}(t)$$

and

$$v(1) = \varphi_p({}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_{k+1}(1)) - \varphi_p({}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_k(1)) := a_{k+1},$$

then we obtain the following boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{0^+}^\alpha v(t) = h_{k+1}(t) \geq 0, & t \in (0, 1), \\ v'(0) = 0, \\ v(1) = a_{k+1} \leq 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.8}$$

By (2.8) and Lemma 2.3, $\varphi_p({}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_{k+1}(t)) - \varphi_p({}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_k(t)) = v(t) = a_{k+1} - \int_0^1 G_\alpha(t, s) \times h_{k+1}(s) ds \leq 0, t \in [0, 1]$. According to the monotonicity of p -Laplacian operator φ_p , we have

$${}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_{k+1}(t) - {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_k(t) = {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta (x_{k+1}(t) - x_k(t)) \leq 0.$$

Then we obtain the following boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta (x_{k+1}(t) - x_k(t)) := \delta_{k+1}(t) \leq 0, & t \in (0, 1), \\ x'_{k+1}(0) - x'_k(0) = 0, \\ x_{k+1}(1) - x_k(1) := b_{k+1} \geq 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.9}$$

So that, $x_{k+1}(t) - x_k(t) = b_{k+1} - \int_0^1 G_\beta(t, s) \delta_{k+1}(s) ds \geq 0$ and ${}^C D_{0^+}^\beta (x_{k+1}(t) - x_k(t)) = \delta_{k+1}(t) \leq 0$, which implies $x_k \leq x_{k+1}$.

From conditions (H1) and (H2), we get

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{0^+}^\alpha (\varphi_p({}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_{k+1}(t))) = f(t, x_k(t), {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_k(t)) \leq f(t, x_{k+1}(t), {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_{k+1}(t)), \\ x'_{k+1}(0) = (\varphi_p({}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_{k+1}(0)))' = 0, \\ x_{k+1}(1) = T_1[x_k(t)] \leq T_1[x_{k+1}(t)], \\ {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_{k+1}(1) = T_2[x_k(t)] \geq T_2[x_{k+1}(t)], \end{cases} \tag{3.10}$$

which implies that $x = x_{k+1}(t)$ is a lower solution of boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.2). □

Similar to Lemma 3.2, we can get the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3 *Assume that conditions (H1) and (H2) hold, and $y_k \in P$ is an upper solution of boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.2). Then the following boundary value problem*

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{0^+}^\alpha (\varphi_p({}^C D_{0^+}^\beta y_{k+1}(t))) = f(t, y_k(t), {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta y_k(t)), \quad t \in (0, 1), \\ y'_{k+1}(0) = (\varphi_p({}^C D_{0^+}^\beta y_{k+1}(0)))' = 0, \\ y_{k+1}(1) = T_1[y_k(t)], \\ {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta y_{k+1}(1) = T_2[y_k(t)] \end{cases} \tag{3.11}$$

has a unique solution $y_{k+1} = y_{k+1}(t)$ which is a nonnegative upper solution of boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.2), $y_{k+1} \in P$ and $y_{k+1} \leq y_k$.

Proof of Theorem 3.1 Starting from the initial functions $x_0, y_0 \in P$, we define iterative sequences $\{x_k\}$ and $\{y_k\}$ by (3.3) and (3.11), respectively.

From Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3, $x = x_k(t)$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, are lower solutions of boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.2), and $x_k \leq x_{k+1}$ such that $\{x_k\} \subset P$ is monotonically increasing. Moreover, $y = y_k(t)$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, are upper solutions, and $y_{k+1} \leq y_k$ such that $\{y_k\} \subset P$ is monotonically decreasing.

Since $x_k \leq y_k$, then $x_k(t) \leq y_k(t)$ and ${}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_k(t) \geq {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta y_k(t)$, and from (H1), (H2), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, x_k(t), {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_k(t)) &\leq f(t, y_k(t), {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta y_k(t)), \\ T_1[x_k(t)] &\leq T_1[y_k(t)], \quad \text{and} \quad T_2[x_k(t)] \geq T_2[y_k(t)]. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.3) and (3.11), we get

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{0^+}^\alpha (\varphi_p({}^C D_{0^+}^\beta y_{k+1}(t)) - \varphi_p({}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_{k+1}(t))) \geq 0, \quad t \in (0, 1), \\ y'_{k+1}(0) - x'_{k+1}(0) = (\varphi_p({}^C D_{0^+}^\beta y_{k+1}(0)))' - (\varphi_p({}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_{k+1}(0)))' = 0, \\ y_{k+1}(1) - x_{k+1}(1) \geq 0, \quad {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta y_{k+1}(1) - {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_{k+1}(1) \leq 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.12}$$

We can show that $x_{k+1} \leq y_{k+1}$ in the same way as above.

Therefore,

$$x_0 \leq x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_k \leq \dots \leq \dots \leq y_k \leq \dots \leq y_1 \leq y_0.$$

Since P is a normal cone on E , the sequences $\{x_k\}$ and $\{y_k\}$ are uniformly bounded. Because $G_\alpha, G_\beta, \varphi_p, \varphi_q$ and f are continuous, we can easily get that $\{x_k\}$ and $\{y_k\}$ are equicontinuous. Hence, $\{x_k\}$ and $\{y_k\}$ are relatively compact. Then there exist x^* and y^* such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = x^*, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_k(t) = {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x^*(t), \tag{3.13}$$

and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} y_k = y^*, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta y_k(t) = {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta y^*(t), \tag{3.14}$$

which imply that x^* is a lower solution, y^* is an upper solution of boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.2), and $x^* \leq y^* \in P$.

In the following, we prove that both x^* and y^* are solutions of boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.2).

From (3.4), (3.13), and by the continuity of $\varphi_p, f, G_\alpha, G_\beta$ and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x^*(t) = & T_1[x^*(t)] - \int_0^1 G_\beta(t,s)\varphi_q\left(\varphi_p(T_2[x^*(s)])\right) \\ & - \int_0^1 G_\alpha(s,\tau)f(\tau,x^*(\tau), {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x^*(\tau)) \, d\tau \, ds. \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

In view of Lemma 2.2, x^* is a solution of boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.2).

In the same way, we can show that y^* is a solution of boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.2), too.

Furthermore, $x_0(t) \leq x^*(t) \leq y^*(t) \leq y_0(t), {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta y_0(t) \leq {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta y^*(t) \leq {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x^*(t) \leq {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_0(t) \leq 0$. □

4 The existence of positive solutions for some nonlinear boundary value problems

In this section, we deal with fractional p -Laplacian equations (1.1) with nonlinear integral boundary value conditions (1.3), (1.4) and (1.5).

- (H3) Assume that $g_i \in C([0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \times (-\infty, 0])$ ($i = 1, 2$),
 $0 \leq g_1(t, w_1, z_1) \leq g_1(t, w_2, z_2)$ and $0 \geq g_2(t, w_1, z_1) \geq g_2(t, w_2, z_2)$ for any $t \in [0, 1]$
 and $0 \leq w_1 \leq w_2, 0 \geq z_1 \geq z_2 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 4.1 *Assume that (H1) and (H3) hold, boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.3) has a nonnegative lower solution x_0 and an upper solution y_0 such that $x_0, y_0 \in P$ and $x_0 \leq y_0$. Then boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.3) has positive solutions x^*, y^* , both x^* and $y^* \in P$. Furthermore,*

$$x_0(t) \leq x^*(t) \leq y^*(t) \leq y_0(t)$$

and

$${}^C D_{0^+}^\beta y_0(t) \leq {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta y^*(t) \leq {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x^*(t) \leq {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_0(t) \leq 0, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Proof Boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.3) is a special case of the general boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.2) when $T_i[u(t)] = \int_0^1 g_i(s, u(s), {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta u(s)) ds$, $i = 1, 2$. By assumption (H3), T_1 is a continuous nonnegative increasing functional on P , and T_2 is a continuous non-positive decreasing functional on P . Then assumption (H2) holds. By using Theorem 3.1, the results in this theorem can be obtained. \square

Theorem 4.2 *Assume that (H1) and (H3) hold, the constants $r_1, m_1 > 0$, $r_2, m_2 \geq 0$, and boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.4) has a nonnegative lower solution $x_0 \in P$ and an upper solution $y_0 \in P$ with $x_0 \leq y_0$. Then boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.4) has positive solutions $x^*, y^* \in P$. Furthermore,*

$$x_0(t) \leq x^*(t) \leq y^*(t) \leq y_0(t)$$

and

$${}^C D_{0^+}^\beta y_0(t) \leq {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta y^*(t) \leq {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x^*(t) \leq {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_0(t) \leq 0, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Proof Boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.4) is a special case of the general boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.2) when

$$T_1[u(t)] = \frac{1}{r_1} \left(r_2 u(\xi) + \int_0^1 g_1(s, u(s), {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta u(s)) ds \right)$$

and

$$T_2[u(t)] = \frac{1}{m_1} \left(-m_2 {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta u(\eta) + \int_0^1 g_2(s, u(s), {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta u(s)) ds \right).$$

By (H1) and (H3), all the conditions in Theorem 4.1 hold. In view of Theorem 4.1, the results can be obtained. \square

(H4) Assume that $\Lambda_i(t)$ are increasing bounded variation functions,

$$g_i \in C([0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \times (-\infty, 0]) \quad (i = 1, 2), \quad 0 \leq g_1(t, w_1, z_1) \leq g_1(t, w_2, z_2) \text{ and} \\ 0 \geq g_2(t, w_1, z_1) \geq g_2(t, w_2, z_2) \text{ for any } t \in [0, 1] \text{ and } 0 \leq w_1 \leq w_2, 0 \geq z_1 \geq z_2 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In the same way as Theorem 4.1, we can get the following results.

Theorem 4.3 *Assume that (H1) and (H4) hold, boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.5) has a nonnegative lower solution x_0 and an upper solution y_0 such that $x_0, y_0 \in P$ and $x_0 \leq y_0$. Then boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.5) has positive solutions $x^*, y^* \in P$. Furthermore,*

$$x_0(t) \leq x^*(t) \leq y^*(t) \leq y_0(t)$$

and

$${}^C D_{0^+}^\beta y_0(t) \leq {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta y^*(t) \leq {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x^*(t) \leq {}^C D_{0^+}^\beta x_0(t) \leq 0, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

5 Illustration

Assume that $f(t, w, z) = \frac{1}{10\Gamma(\frac{1}{3})} t^{\frac{1}{3}} e^{w-z-10}$, $g_1(t, w, z) = f(t, w, z) = \frac{1}{10\Gamma(\frac{1}{3})} t^{\frac{1}{3}} e^{w-z-10}$, $g_2(t, w, z) = -f(t, w, z) = \frac{-1}{10\Gamma(\frac{1}{3})} t^{\frac{1}{3}} e^{w-z-10}$. We can easily check that the functions f , g_1 and g_2 satisfy conditions (H1) and (H3).

Let $\alpha = \frac{5}{3}, \beta = \frac{5}{4}, p = \frac{3}{2}$, We consider the following nonlinear fractional p -Laplacian equation:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^C D_{0^+}^{\frac{5}{3}} (\varphi_{\frac{3}{2}} ({}^C D_{0^+}^{\frac{5}{4}} u(t))) &= f(t, u(t), {}^C D_{0^+}^{\frac{5}{4}} u(t)) \\ &= \frac{1}{10\Gamma(\frac{1}{3})} t^{\frac{1}{3}} e^{u(t)-{}^C D_{0^+}^{\frac{5}{4}} u(t)-10}, \quad t \in (0, 1), \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

with the nonlinear integral boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} u'(0) = (\varphi_{\frac{3}{2}} ({}^C D_{0^+}^{\frac{5}{4}} u(0)))' = 0, \\ u(1) = \int_0^1 g_1(s, u(s), {}^C D_{0^+}^{\frac{5}{4}} u(s)) \, ds = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{10\Gamma(\frac{1}{3})} s^{\frac{1}{3}} e^{u(s)-{}^C D_{0^+}^{\frac{5}{4}} u(s)-10} \, ds, \\ {}^C D_{0^+}^{\frac{5}{4}} u(1) = \int_0^1 g_2(s, u(s), {}^C D_{0^+}^{\frac{5}{4}} u(s)) \, ds = - \int_0^1 \frac{1}{10\Gamma(\frac{1}{3})} s^{\frac{1}{3}} e^{u(s)-{}^C D_{0^+}^{\frac{5}{4}} u(s)-10} \, ds, \end{cases} \tag{5.2}$$

which is a special case of boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.2).

Let $x_0 = 0$, we can easily check that $x_0 = x_0(t) \equiv 0$ is a lower solution of boundary value problem (5.1)-(5.2).

Let

$$y_0(t) = 10 - \frac{16t^{\frac{5}{4}}(13,923 - 3808t^2 + 512t^4)}{69,615\Gamma(\frac{5}{4})}.$$

In the following, we check that $y_0 = y_0(t)$ is an upper solution of boundary value problem (5.1)-(5.2).

We can easily get that

$$\begin{aligned} y_0'(t) &= -\frac{16t^{\frac{5}{4}}(-7616t + 2048t^3)}{69,615\Gamma(\frac{5}{4})} - \frac{4t^{\frac{1}{4}}(13,923 - 3808t^2 + 512t^4)}{13,923\Gamma(\frac{5}{4})}, \\ {}^C D_{0^+}^{\frac{5}{4}} y_0(t) &= -(2 - t^2)^2, \quad \varphi_{\frac{3}{2}} ({}^C D_{0^+}^{\frac{5}{4}} y_0(t)) = t^2 - 2, \quad (\varphi_{\frac{3}{2}} ({}^C D_{0^+}^{\frac{5}{4}} y_0(t)))' = 2t, \end{aligned}$$

and

$${}^C D_{0^+}^{\frac{5}{3}} (\varphi_{\frac{3}{2}} ({}^C D_{0^+}^{\frac{5}{4}} y_0(t))) = {}^C D_{0^+}^{\frac{5}{3}} (t^2 - 2) = \frac{6}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{3})} t^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

Then

$$y_0'(0) = 0, \quad (\varphi_{\frac{3}{2}} ({}^C D_{0^+}^{\frac{5}{4}} y_0(0)))' = 0,$$

and

$$y_0(1) = 10 - \frac{170,032}{69,615\Gamma(\frac{5}{4})} \approx 7.30532, \quad {}^C D_{0^+}^{\frac{5}{4}} y_0(1) = -1.$$

We can easily check that

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\leq f(t, y_0(t), {}^C D_{0^+}^{\frac{5}{4}} y_0(t)) \\
 &= \frac{1}{10\Gamma(\frac{1}{3})} t^{\frac{1}{3}} \exp\left(-\frac{16t^{\frac{5}{4}}(13,923 - 3808t^2 + 512t^4)}{69,615\Gamma(\frac{5}{4})} + (-2 + t^2)^2\right) < 1; \\
 0 &\leq g_1(t, y_0(t), {}^C D_{0^+}^{\frac{5}{4}} y_0(t)) \\
 &= \frac{1}{10\Gamma(\frac{1}{3})} t^{\frac{1}{3}} \exp\left(-\frac{16t^{\frac{5}{4}}(13,923 - 3808t^2 + 512t^4)}{69,615\Gamma(\frac{5}{4})} + (-2 + t^2)^2\right) < 1; \\
 0 &\geq g_2(t, y_0(t), {}^C D_{0^+}^{\frac{5}{4}} y_0(t)) \\
 &= \frac{-1}{10\Gamma(\frac{1}{3})} t^{\frac{1}{3}} \exp\left(-\frac{16t^{\frac{5}{4}}(13,923 - 3808t^2 + 512t^4)}{69,615\Gamma(\frac{5}{4})} + (-2 + t^2)^2\right) > -1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 {}^C D_{0^+}^{\frac{5}{4}} ({}^C D_{0^+}^{\frac{5}{4}} y_0(t)) &= \frac{6}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{3})} t^{\frac{1}{3}} \geq f(t, y_0(t), {}^C D_{0^+}^{\frac{5}{4}} y_0(t)), \quad t \in (0, 1), \\
 y'_0(0) &= 0, \quad (\varphi_{\frac{3}{2}} ({}^C D_{0^+}^{\frac{5}{4}} y_0(0)))' = 0, \\
 y_0(1) &= 10 - \frac{170,032}{69,615\Gamma(\frac{5}{4})} \approx 7.30532 > 1 \\
 &> \int_0^1 g_1(s, y_0(s), {}^C D_{0^+}^{\frac{5}{4}} y_0(s)) \, ds \\
 &= \int_0^1 \frac{1}{10\Gamma(\frac{1}{3})} s^{\frac{1}{3}} e^{y_0(s) - {}^C D_{0^+}^{\frac{5}{4}} y_0(s) - 10} \, ds,
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 {}^C D_{0^+}^{\frac{5}{4}} y_0(1) &= -1 \\
 &< \int_0^1 g_2(s, y_0(s), {}^C D_{0^+}^{\frac{5}{4}} y_0(s)) \, ds \\
 &= - \int_0^1 \frac{1}{10\Gamma(\frac{1}{3})} s^{\frac{1}{3}} e^{y_0(s) - {}^C D_{0^+}^{\frac{5}{4}} y_0(s) - 10} \, ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $y_0(t) = 10 - \frac{16t^{\frac{5}{4}}(13,923 - 3808t^2 + 512t^4)}{69,615\Gamma(\frac{5}{4})}$ is an upper solution of boundary value problem (5.1)-(5.2).

Based on the above discussion, we can get that $x_0 \leq y_0$. So all the conditions in Theorem 3.1 hold. According to Theorem 3.1, boundary value problem (1.3) has the maximum lower solution x^* and the minimal upper solution y^* , both x^* and y^* are solutions of the boundary value problem. Furthermore,

$$0 \leq x^*(t) \leq y^*(t) \leq 10 - \frac{16t^{\frac{5}{4}}(13,923 - 3808t^2 + 512t^4)}{69,615\Gamma(\frac{5}{4})} = y_0(t),$$

and

$$-1 \leq -(2-t^2)^2 = {}^C D_{0+}^\beta y_0(t) \leq {}^C D_{0+}^\beta y^*(t) \leq {}^C D_{0+}^\beta x^*(t) \leq {}^C D_{0+}^\beta x_0(t) = 0, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Remark Since $x_0 = x_0(t) \equiv 0$ is a lower solution of boundary value problem (1.3) but not a solution of the problem. Therefore, the solutions $x^* = x^*(t)$ and $y^* = y^*(t)$ are the nontrivial solutions of boundary value problem (1.3).

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11171220).

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

The authors contributed equally to this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 9 October 2017 Accepted: 11 December 2017 Published online: 22 January 2018

References

- Kilbas, AA, Srivastava, HM, Trujillo, JJ: Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations. Elsevier, Amsterdam (2006)
- Diethelm, K: The Analysis of Fractional Differential Equations. Lectures Notes in Mathematics. Springer, Berlin (2010)
- Miller, KS, Ross, B: An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equations. Wiley, New York (1993)
- Zhou, Y: Basic Theory of Fractional Differential Equations. World Scientific, Singapore (2014)
- Podlubny, I: Fractional Differential Equation. Academic Press, San Diego (1999)
- Ahmad, B, Ntouyas, SK, Tariboon, J: Fractional differential equations with nonlocal integral and integer-fractional order Neumann type boundary conditions. *Mediterr. J. Math.* **13**, 2365-2381 (2016)
- Wang, J, Ibrahim, AG, Fečkan, M: Nonlocal impulsive fractional differential inclusions with fractional sectorial operators on Banach spaces. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **257**, 103-118 (2015)
- Yang, L, Shen, C, Xie, D: Multiple positive solutions for nonlinear boundary value problem of fractional order differential equation with the Riemann-Liouville derivative. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2014**(284), 1 (2014)
- Ntouyas, SK, Tariboon, J, Thiramanus, P: Mixed problems of fractional coupled systems of Riemann-Liouville differential equations and Hadamard integral conditions. *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.* **21**, 813-828 (2016)
- Liu, Y, Yang, X: Resonant boundary value problems for singular multi-term fractional differential equations. *Differ. Equ. Appl.* **5**, 409-472 (2013)
- Alsaedi, A, Ntouyas, SK, Agarwal, RP, Ahmad, B: On Caputo type sequential fractional differential equations with nonlocal integral boundary conditions. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2015**, 33 (2015)
- Liu, X, Lin, L, Fang, H: Existence of positive solutions for nonlocal boundary value problem of fractional differential equation. *Cent. Eur. J. Phys.* **11**, 1423-1432 (2013)
- Jia, M, Liu, X: Multiplicity of solutions for integral boundary value problems of fractional differential equations with upper and lower solutions. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **232**, 313-323 (2014)
- Bai, Z, Dong, X, Yin, C: Existence results for impulsive nonlinear fractional differential equation with mixed boundary conditions. *Bound. Value Probl.* **2016**, 63 (2016)
- Bai, Z, Zhang, S, Sun, S, Yin, C: Monotone iterative method for a class of fractional differential equations. *Electron. J. Differ. Equ.* **2016**, 6 (2016)
- Wang, G, Agarwal, RP, Cabada, A: Existence results and the monotone iterative technique for systems of nonlinear fractional differential equations. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **25**, 1019-1024 (2012)
- Leibenson, LS: General problem of the movement of a compressible fluid in a porous medium. *Izv. Akad. Nauk. Kirg. SSSR* **9**, 7-10 (1983) (in Russian)
- Liu, X, Jia, M, Xiang, X: On the solvability of a fractional differential equation model involving the p -Laplacian operator. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **64**, 3267-3275 (2012)
- Jafari, H, Baleanu, D, Khan, H: Existence criterion for the solutions of fractional order p -Laplacian boundary value problems. *Bound. Value Probl.* **2015**, 164 (2015)
- Liu, X, Jia, M, Ge, W: The method of lower and upper solutions for mixed fractional four-point boundary value problem with p -Laplacian operator. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **65**, 56-62 (2017)
- Han, Z, Lu, H, Zhang, C: Positive solutions for eigenvalue problems of fractional differential equation with generalized p -Laplacian. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **257**, 526-536 (2015)
- Liu, X, Jia, M, Ge, W: Multiple solutions of a p -Laplacian model involving a fractional derivative. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2013**, 126 (2013)
- Tang, X, Yan, C, Liu, Q: Existence of solutions of two-point boundary value problems for fractional p -Laplace differential equations at resonance. *J. Appl. Math. Comput.* **41**, 119-131 (2013)

24. Liu, Z, Lu, L: A class of BVPs for nonlinear fractional differential equations with p -Laplacian operator. *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.* **2012**, 70 (2012)
25. Wang, J, Xiang, H: Upper and lower solutions method for a class of singular fractional boundary value problems with p -Laplacian operator. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2010**, 971824 (2010)
26. Dong, X, Bai, Z, Zhang, S: Positive solutions to boundary value problems of p -Laplacian with fractional derivative. *Bound. Value Probl.* **2017**(5), 1 (2017)
27. Mahmudov, NI, Unul, S: Existence of solutions of fractional boundary value problems with p -Laplacian operator. *Bound. Value Probl.* **2015**, 99 (2015)
28. Liu, X, Jia, M: The positive solutions for integral boundary value problem of fractional p -Laplacian equation with mixed derivatives. *Mediterr. J. Math.* **14**(2), 1-13 (2017)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- ▶ Convenient online submission
- ▶ Rigorous peer review
- ▶ Open access: articles freely available online
- ▶ High visibility within the field
- ▶ Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ▶ springeropen.com
