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#### Abstract

This paper is concerned with a stage-structured predator-prey system with Holling-II functional response and two delays. Choosing a possible combination of the two delays as the bifurcation parameter, the existence of the Hopf bifurcation of the system is discussed. Furthermore, the properties of the Hopf bifurcation such as the direction and the stability are determined by using the normal form method and center manifold theorem. Finally, some numerical simulations are presented to justify the theoretical results.
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## 1 Introduction

It is well known that there are many species whose individual members have a life history that takes them through an immature stage and a mature stage. Based on this fact, stagestructured predator-prey systems have been investigated by many authors in recent years [1-8]. In [5], Xu considered the global stability and permanence of a predator-prey system with a stage structure for the predator:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d x(t)}{d t}=r x(t)-a x^{2}(t)-\frac{a_{1} x(t) y_{2}(t)}{1+m x(t)},  \tag{1}\\
\frac{d y_{1}(t)}{d t}=\frac{a_{2} x(t-\tau) y_{2}(t-\tau)}{1+m x(t-\tau)}-r_{1} y_{1}(t)-D y_{1}(t), \\
\frac{d y_{2}(t)}{d t}=D y_{1}(t)-r_{2} y_{2}(t)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $x(t)$ represents the density of the prey at time $t . y_{1}(t)$ and $y_{2}(t)$ represent the densities of the immature predator and the mature predator at time $t$, respectively. In [7], Li and Li investigated the Hopf bifurcation problem of a predator-prey system with stage structure for the prey:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d x_{1}(t)}{d t}=a x_{2}(t)-r_{1} x_{1}(t)-b x_{1}(t),  \tag{2}\\
\frac{d x_{2}(t)}{d t}=b x_{1}(t)-r_{2} x_{2}(t)-b_{1} x_{2}^{2}(t)-\frac{a_{1} x_{2}^{2}(t) y(t)}{1+m x_{2}^{2}(t)}, \\
\frac{d y(t)}{d t}=\frac{a_{2} x_{2}^{2}(t-\tau) y(t-\tau)}{1+m x_{2}^{2}(t-\tau)}-r y(t),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $x_{1}(t)$ and $x_{2}(t)$ represent the densities of the immature prey and the mature prey at time $t$, respectively. $y(t)$ represents the density of the predator at time $t$.

Obviously, all the above researchers consider predator-prey systems with stage structure only for the predator or the prey. Since both predator and prey have a life history that takes them through an immature stage and a mature stage, it is reasonable to consider the predator-prey system with a stage structure for both the predator and the prey. Based on this consideration, Wang and Feng [9] proposed a predator-prey system with a stage structure for both the predator and the prey:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d x_{1}(t)}{d t}=r x_{2}(t)-r_{1} x_{1}(t)-d_{1} x_{1}(t),  \tag{3}\\
\frac{d x_{2}(t)}{d t}=r_{1} x_{1}(t)-d_{2} x_{2}(t)-a x_{2}^{2}(t)-\frac{a_{1} x_{2}(t) y_{2}(t)}{1+m x_{2}(t)}, \\
\frac{d y_{1}(t)}{d t}=\frac{a_{2} x_{2}(t) y_{2}(t)}{1+m x_{2}(t)}-r_{2} y_{1}(t)-d_{3} y_{1}(t), \\
\frac{d y_{2}(t)}{d t}=r_{2} y_{1}(t)-d_{4} y_{2}(t),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $x_{1}(t)$ and $x_{2}(t)$ represent the densities of the immature prey and the mature prey at time $t$, respectively. $y_{1}(t)$ and $y_{2}(t)$ represent the densities of the immature predator and the mature predator at time $t$, respectively. $a$ is the intra-specific competition rate among the mature prey; $a_{1}$ is the predation rate of the mature predator; $a_{2}$ is the conversion factor from the mature prey to the immature predator; $d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}$, and $d_{4}$ are the death rates of the immature prey, mature prey, immature predator, and mature predator, respectively. $r_{1}\left(r_{2}\right)$ is the transformation rate from the immature prey (predator) to the mature prey (predator). $r$ is the birth rate of the immature prey and $m$ is the half saturation rate of the mature predator. Wang and Feng [9] studied the local and global stability of system (3).
As is well known, it is necessary to incorporate time delay into dynamical systems in order to reflect the dynamics of the systems depending on the past history of the systems. Dynamical systems with time delay have been investigated by many authors [1013]. Ferrara et al. [10] investigated the properties of the Hopf bifurcation of a delayed continuous-time growth model with a special mound-shaped production function. Bianca et al. [12] studied the existence and properties of Hopf bifurcations in a delayed-energybased model of capital accumulation. There are also some dynamical systems with two or multiple delays that have been studied by some scholars [14-21]. In [14], Bianca et al. studied the Hopf bifurcation of an economic growth model with two delays. In [16], Cui and Yan investigated a three-species Lotka-Volterra food chain system with two delays by taking the sum of the two delays as the bifurcation parameter and showed the effects of the two delays on the dynamical behaviors of the system. In [17], Meng et al. considered a two-competitor, one-prey system with two feedback delays and they investigated the Hopf bifurcation problem by choosing the possible combination of the two delays as the bifurcation parameter. They also discussed the direction of the Hopf bifurcation and stability of the bifurcating periodic solutions by using a center manifold theorem and the normal form method. To the best of our knowledge, there are few papers on the effect of time delays on system (3). Based on this and motivated by the work above, in the present paper, we incorporate the feedback delay of the mature prey and the time delay due to the gestation of the mature predator into system (3) and investigate the Hopf bifurcation of the following delayed system:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d x_{1}(t)}{d t}=r x_{2}(t)-r_{1} x_{1}(t)-d_{1} x_{1}(t),  \tag{4}\\
\frac{d x_{2}(t)}{d t}=r_{1} x_{1}(t)-d_{2} x_{2}(t)-a x_{2}(t) x_{2}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)-\frac{a_{1} x_{2}(t) y_{2}(t)}{1+m x_{2}(t)}, \\
\frac{d y_{1}(t)}{d t}=\frac{a_{2} x_{2}\left(t-\tau_{2}\right) y_{2}\left(t-\tau_{2}\right)}{1+m x_{2}\left(t-\tau_{2}\right)}-r_{2} y_{1}(t)-d_{3} y_{1}(t), \\
\frac{d y_{2}(t)}{d t}=r_{2} y_{1}(t)-d_{4} y_{2}(t),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\tau_{1}$ is the feedback delay of the mature prey and $\tau_{2}$ is the time delay due to the gestation of the mature predator.
This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we discuss the local stability of the positive equilibrium and the existence of local Hopf bifurcation of system (4). In Section 3, the properties of the Hopf bifurcation such as the direction and stability are determined by using the normal form method and center manifold theorem. Some numerical simulations are performed to illustrate the theoretical results in Section 4. In Section 5, we derive some concluding remarks concerning the whole analysis.

## 2 Local stability of positive equilibrium and existence of Hopf bifurcation

It is easy to show that if $a_{2} r_{2}>m d_{4}\left(r_{2}+d_{3}\right)$ and $\frac{r r_{1}}{r_{1}+d_{1}}>d_{2}+\frac{a d_{4}\left(r_{2}+d_{3}\right)}{a_{2} r_{2}-m d_{4}\left(r_{2}+d_{3}\right)}$, then system (4) has a unique positive equilibrium $E^{*}\left(x_{1}^{*}, x_{2}^{*}, y_{1}^{*}, y_{2}^{*}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x_{1}^{*}=\frac{r x_{2}^{*}}{r_{1}+d_{1}}, & x_{2}^{*}=\frac{d_{4}\left(r_{2}+d_{3}\right)}{a_{2} r_{2}-m d_{4}\left(r_{2}+d_{3}\right)}, \\
y_{1}^{*}=\frac{d_{4} y_{2}^{*}}{r_{2}}, & y_{2}^{*}=\frac{\left(1+m x_{2}^{*}\right)\left(r_{1} x_{1}^{*}-d_{2} x_{2}^{*}-a\left(x_{2}^{*}\right)^{2}\right)}{a_{1} x_{2}^{*}} .
\end{array}
$$

Let $\bar{x}_{1}(t)=x_{1}(t)-x_{1}^{*}, \bar{x}_{2}(t)=x_{2}(t)-x_{2}^{*}, \bar{y}_{1}(t)=y_{1}(t)-y_{1}^{*}, \bar{y}_{2}(t)=y_{2}(t)-y_{2}^{*}$. Dropping the bars for convenience, system (4) gets the following form:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d x_{1}(t)}{d t}=a_{11} x_{1}(t)+a_{12} x_{2}(t),  \tag{5}\\
\frac{d x_{2}(t)}{d t}=a_{21} x_{1}(t)+a_{22} x_{2}(t)+a_{24} y_{2}(t)+b_{22} x_{2}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+f_{2}, \\
\frac{d y_{1}(t)}{d t}=a_{33} y_{1}(t)+c_{32} x_{2}\left(t-\tau_{2}\right)+c_{34} y_{2}\left(t-\tau_{2}\right)+f_{3}, \\
\frac{d y y_{2}(t)}{d t}=a_{43} y_{1}(t)+a_{44} y_{2}(t),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{11}=-\left(d_{1}+r_{1}\right), \quad a_{12}=r, \quad a_{21}=r_{1}, \quad a_{22}=-d_{2}-a x_{2}^{*}-\frac{a_{1} y_{2}^{*}}{\left(1+m x_{2}^{*}\right)^{2}}, \\
& a_{24}=-\frac{a_{1} x_{2}^{*}}{1+m x_{2}^{*}}, \quad a_{33}=-\left(d_{3}+r_{2}\right), \quad a_{43}=r_{2}, \quad a_{44}=-d_{4}, \\
& b_{22}=-a x_{2}^{*}, \quad c_{32}=\frac{a_{2} y_{2}^{*}}{\left(1+m x_{2}^{*}\right)^{2}}, \quad c_{34}=\frac{a_{2} x_{2}^{*}}{1+m x_{2}^{*}},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{2}= & a_{25} x_{2}^{2}(t)+a_{26} x_{2}(t) y_{2}(t)+a_{27} x_{2}(t) x_{2}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right) \\
& +a_{28} x_{2}^{2}(t) y_{2}(t)+a_{29} x_{2}^{3}(t)+\cdots, \\
f_{3}= & a_{34} x_{2}^{2}\left(t-\tau_{2}\right)+a_{35} x_{2}\left(t-\tau_{2}\right) y_{2}\left(t-\tau_{2}\right) \\
& +a_{36} x_{2}^{2}\left(t-\tau_{2}\right) y_{2}\left(t-\tau_{2}\right)+a_{37} x_{2}^{3}\left(t-\tau_{2}\right)+\cdots,
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
a_{25}=\frac{m a_{1} y_{2}^{*}}{\left(1+m x_{2}^{*}\right)^{3}}, \quad a_{26}=-\frac{a_{1}}{\left(1+m x_{2}^{*}\right)^{2}}, \quad a_{27}=-a,
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a_{28}=\frac{m a_{1}}{\left(1+m x_{2}^{*}\right)^{3}}, & a_{29}=-\frac{m^{2} a_{1} y_{2}^{*}}{\left(1+m x_{2}^{*}\right)^{4}}, \\
a_{34}=-\frac{m a_{2} y_{2}^{*}}{\left(1+m x_{2}^{*}\right)^{3}}, & a_{35}=\frac{a_{2}}{\left(1+m x_{2}^{*}\right)^{2}}, \\
a_{36}=-\frac{m a_{2}}{\left(1+m x_{2}^{*}\right)^{3}}, & a_{37}=\frac{m^{2} a_{2} y_{2}^{*}}{\left(1+m x_{2}^{*}\right)^{4}} .
\end{array}
$$

The linearized system of (5) is

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d x_{1}(t)}{d t}=a_{11} x_{1}(t)+a_{12} x_{2}(t)  \tag{6}\\
\frac{d x_{2}(t)}{d t}=a_{21} x_{1}(t)+a_{22} x_{2}(t)+a_{24} y_{2}(t)+b_{22} x_{2}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right) \\
\frac{d y_{1}(t)}{d t}=a_{33} y_{1}(t)+c_{32} x_{2}\left(t-\tau_{2}\right)+c_{34} y_{2}\left(t-\tau_{2}\right), \\
\frac{d y_{2}(t)}{d t}=a_{43} y_{1}(t)+a_{44} y_{2}(t) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

The characteristic equation of system (6) at the positive equilibrium $E^{*}$ is of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda^{4} & +A_{3} \lambda^{3}+A_{2} \lambda^{2}+A_{1} \lambda+A_{0}+\left(B_{3} \lambda^{3}+B_{2} \lambda^{2}+B_{1} \lambda+B_{0}\right) e^{-\lambda \tau_{1}} \\
& +\left(C_{2} \lambda^{2}+C_{1} \lambda+C_{0}\right) e^{-\lambda \tau_{2}}+\left(D_{1} \lambda+D_{0}\right) e^{-\lambda\left(\tau_{1}+\tau_{2}\right)}=0, \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{0}=\left(a_{11} a_{22}-a_{12} a_{21}\right) a_{33} a_{44}, \\
& A_{1}=\left(a_{12} a_{21}-a_{11} a_{22}\right)\left(a_{33}+a_{44}\right)-a_{33} a_{44}\left(a_{11}+a_{22}\right), \\
& A_{2}=a_{11} a_{22}+a_{33} a_{44}-a_{12} a_{21}+\left(a_{11}+a_{22}\right)\left(a_{33}+a_{44}\right), \\
& A_{3}=-\left(a_{11}+a_{22}+a_{33}+a_{44}\right), \\
& B_{0}=a_{11} a_{33} a_{44} b_{22}, \quad B_{1}=-\left(a_{11} a_{33}+a_{11} a_{44}+a_{33} a_{44}\right) b_{22}, \\
& B_{2}=\left(a_{11}+a_{33}+a_{44}\right) b_{22}, \quad B_{3}=-b_{22}, \\
& C_{0}=\left(a_{12} a_{21}-a_{11} a_{22}\right) a_{43} c_{34}+a_{11} a_{24} a_{43} c_{32}, \\
& C_{1}=a_{43} c_{34}\left(a_{11}+a_{22}\right)-a_{24} a_{43} c_{32}, \quad C_{2}=-a_{43} c_{34}, \\
& D_{0}=-a_{11} a_{43} b_{22} c_{34}, \quad D_{1}=a_{43} b_{22} c_{34} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 1. $\tau_{1}=\tau_{2}=0$. Equation (7) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{4}+A_{13} \lambda^{3}+A_{12} \lambda^{2}+A_{11} \lambda+A_{10}=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{10}=A_{0}+B_{0}+C_{0}+D_{0}, \\
& A_{11}=A_{1}+B_{1}+C_{1}+D_{1}, \\
& A_{12}=A_{2}+B_{2}+C_{2}, \quad A_{13}=A_{3}+B_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously, $\operatorname{det}_{1}=A_{13}=d_{1}+d_{2}+d_{3}+d_{4}+r_{1}+r_{2}+2 a x_{2}^{*}+\frac{a_{1} y_{2}^{*}}{\left(1+m x_{2}^{*}\right)^{2}}>0$. Thus, all roots of (8) have negative real parts if the condition $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right):(9)$ is satisfied. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{det}_{2}=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
A_{13} & 1 \\
A_{11} & A_{12}
\end{array}\right|>0, \quad \operatorname{det}_{3}=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
A_{13} & 1 & 0 \\
A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} \\
0 & A_{10} & A_{11}
\end{array}\right|>0, \\
& \operatorname{det}_{4}=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
A_{13} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} & 1 \\
0 & A_{10} & A_{11} & A_{12} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & A_{10}
\end{array}\right|>0 . \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, the positive equilibrium of system (4) without delay is locally asymptotically stable under the condition $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$ : (9) holds.

Case 2. $\tau_{1}>0, \tau_{2}=0$.
When $\tau_{2}=0$, ( 7 ) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{4}+A_{23} \lambda^{3}+A_{22} \lambda^{2}+A_{21} \lambda+A_{20}+\left(B_{23} \lambda^{3}+B_{22} \lambda^{2}+B_{21} \lambda+B_{20}\right) e^{-\lambda \tau_{1}}=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{20}=A_{0}+C_{0}, \quad A_{21}=A_{1}+C_{1}, \quad A_{22}=A_{2}+C_{2}, \quad A_{23}=A_{3}, \\
& B_{23}=B_{3}, \quad B_{22}=B_{2}, \quad B_{21}=B_{1}+D_{1}, \quad B_{20}=B_{0}+D_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\lambda=i \omega_{1}\left(\omega_{1}>0\right)$ be a root of (10). Then

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(B_{21} \omega_{1}-B_{23} \omega_{1}^{3}\right) \sin \omega_{1} \tau_{1}+\left(B_{20}-B_{22} \omega_{1}^{2}\right) \cos \omega_{1} \tau_{1}=A_{22} \omega_{1}^{2}-\omega_{1}^{4}-A_{20} \\
\left(B_{21} \omega_{1}-B_{23} \omega_{1}^{3}\right) \cos \omega_{1} \tau_{1}-\left(B_{20}-B_{22} \omega_{1}^{2}\right) \sin \omega_{1} \tau_{1}=A_{23} \omega_{1}^{3}-A_{21} \omega_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

from which it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{1}^{8}+e_{23} \omega_{1}^{6}+e_{22} \omega_{1}^{4}+e_{21} \omega_{1}^{2}+e_{20}=0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{20}=A_{20}^{2}-B_{20}^{2}, \quad e_{21}=A_{21}^{2}-B_{21}^{2}-2 A_{20} A_{22}+2 B_{20} B_{22} \\
& e_{22}=A_{22}^{2}-B_{22}^{2}+2 A_{20}-2 A_{21} A_{23}+2 B_{21} B_{23}, \quad e_{23}=A_{23}^{2}-B_{23}^{2}-2 A_{22}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\omega_{1}^{2}=v_{1}$, then (11) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{1}^{4}+e_{23} v_{1}^{3}+e_{22} v_{1}^{2}+e_{21} v_{1}+e_{20}=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Discussion of the roots of (12) is similar to that in [22]. Denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}\left(v_{1}\right)=v_{1}^{4}+e_{23} v_{1}^{3}+e_{22} v_{1}^{2}+e_{21} v_{1}+e_{20} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, if $e_{20}<0$, then (12) has at least one positive root. From (13), one can get

$$
f_{1}^{\prime}\left(v_{1}\right)=4 v_{1}^{3}+3 e_{23} v_{1}^{2}+2 e_{22} v_{1}+e_{21} .
$$

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 v_{1}^{3}+3 e_{23} v_{1}^{2}+2 e_{22} v_{1}+e_{21}=0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $y_{1}=v_{1}+\frac{3 e_{23}}{4}$. Then (14) becomes

$$
y_{1}^{3}+p_{1} y_{1}+q_{1}=0
$$

where

$$
p_{1}=\frac{e_{22}}{2}-\frac{3}{16} e_{23}^{2}, \quad q_{1}=\frac{e_{23}^{3}}{32}-\frac{e_{22} e_{23}}{8}+e_{21} .
$$

Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1}=\left(\frac{q_{1}}{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{p_{1}}{3}\right)^{3}, \quad \beta_{1}=\frac{-1+\sqrt{3} i}{2} \\
& y_{11}=\sqrt[3]{-\frac{q_{1}}{2}+\sqrt{\alpha_{1}}}+\sqrt[3]{-\frac{q_{1}}{2}-\sqrt{\alpha_{1}}} \\
& y_{12}=\sqrt[3]{-\frac{q_{1}}{2}+\sqrt{\alpha_{1}} \beta_{1}}+\sqrt[3]{-\frac{q_{1}}{2}-\sqrt{\alpha_{1}} \beta_{1}^{2}} \\
& y_{13}=\sqrt[3]{-\frac{q_{1}}{2}+\sqrt{\alpha_{1}} \beta_{1}^{2}}+\sqrt[3]{-\frac{q_{1}}{2}-\sqrt{\alpha_{1}} \beta_{1}} \\
& y_{1 i}=y_{1 i}-\frac{3 e_{23}}{4}, \quad i=1,2,3
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have the following results according to the Lemma 2.2 in [22].

## Lemma 1 For (12),

(i) if $e_{20} \geq 0$ and $\alpha_{1} \geq 0$, then (12) has positive roots if and only if $v_{11}>0$ and $f_{1}\left(v_{11}\right)<0$;
(ii) if $e_{20} \geq 0$ and $\alpha_{1}<0$, then (12) has positive roots if and only if there exists at least one $v_{1 *} \in\left\{v_{11}, v_{12}, v_{13}\right\}$, such that $v_{1 *}>0$ and $f_{1}\left(v_{1 *}\right) \leq 0$.

In what follows, we assume that we have $\left(\mathrm{H}_{21}\right)$ : the coefficients in $f_{1}\left(v_{1}\right)$ satisfy one of the following conditions in $(\alpha)-(\gamma):(\alpha) e_{20}<0 ;(\beta) e_{20} \geq 0, \alpha_{1} \geq 0, v_{11}>0$, and $f_{1}\left(v_{11}\right)<0$; $(\gamma) e_{20} \geq 0, \alpha_{1}<0$, and there exists at least one $v_{1 *} \in\left\{v_{11}, v_{12}, v_{13}\right\}$, such that $v_{1 *}>0$ and $f_{1}\left(\nu_{1 *}\right) \leq 0$.

If the condition $\left(\mathrm{H}_{21}\right)$ holds, (11) has at least one positive root $\omega_{10}$ such that (10) has a pair of purely imaginary roots $\pm i \omega_{10}$ and the corresponding critical value of the delay is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{1 k}= & \frac{1}{\omega_{10}} \arccos \left\{\frac{\left(B_{22}-A_{23} B_{23}\right) \omega_{10}^{6}+\left(A_{21} B_{23}+A_{23} B_{21}-A_{22} B_{22}-B_{20}\right) \omega_{10}^{4}}{\left(B_{20}-B_{22} \omega_{10}^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(B_{21} \omega_{10}-B_{23} \omega_{10}^{3}\right)^{2}}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\left(A_{20} B_{22}+A_{22} B_{20}-A_{21} B_{21}\right) \omega_{10}^{2}-A_{20} B_{20}}{\left(B_{20}-B_{22} \omega_{10}^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(B_{21} \omega_{10}-B_{23} \omega_{10}^{3}\right)^{2}}\right\}+\frac{2 k \pi}{\omega_{10}}, \quad k=0,1,2, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Differentiating the two sides of (10), we can get

$$
\left[\frac{d \lambda}{d \tau_{1}}\right]^{-1}=-\frac{4 \lambda^{3}+3 A_{23} \lambda^{2}+2 A_{22} \lambda+A_{21}}{\lambda\left(\lambda^{4}+A_{23} \lambda^{3}+A_{22} \lambda^{2}+A_{21} \lambda+A_{20}\right)}+\frac{3 B_{23} \lambda^{2}+2 B_{22} \lambda+B_{21}}{\lambda\left(B_{23} \lambda^{3}+B_{22} \lambda^{2}+B_{21} \lambda+B_{20}\right)}-\frac{\tau_{1}}{\lambda} .
$$

Thus,

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{d \lambda}{d \tau_{1}}\right]_{\tau_{1}=\tau_{10}}^{-1}=\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}\left(v_{1}^{*}\right)}{\left(B_{20}-B_{22} \omega_{10}^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(B_{21} \omega_{10}-B_{23} \omega_{10}^{3}\right)^{2}},
$$

where $v_{1}^{*}=\omega_{10}^{2}$. Obviously, if the condition $\left(\mathrm{H}_{22}\right): f_{1}^{\prime}\left(v_{1}^{*}\right) \neq 0$ holds, then $\operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{d \lambda}{d \tau_{1}}\right]_{\tau_{1}=\tau_{10}}^{-1} \neq 0$. In conclusion, we have the following results according to the Hopf bifurcation theorem in [23].

Theorem 1 Suppose that the conditions $\left(\mathrm{H}_{21}\right)-\left(\mathrm{H}_{22}\right)$ hold. The positive equilibrium $E^{*}\left(x_{1}^{*}, x_{2}^{*}, y_{1}^{*}, y_{2}^{*}\right)$ of system (4) is asymptotically stable for $\tau_{1} \in\left[0, \tau_{10}\right)$ and system (4) undergoes a Hopf bifurcation at $E^{*}\left(x_{1}^{*}, x_{2}^{*}, y_{1}^{*}, y_{2}^{*}\right)$ when $\tau_{1}=\tau_{10}$.

Case 3. $\tau_{2}>0, \tau_{1}=0$.
Substitute $\tau_{1}=0$ into (7) and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{4}+A_{33} \lambda^{3}+A_{32} \lambda^{2}+A_{31} \lambda+A_{30}+\left(B_{32} \lambda^{2}+B_{31} \lambda+B_{30}\right) e^{-\lambda \tau_{2}}=0, \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A_{30}=A_{0}+B_{0}, & A_{31}=A_{1}+B_{1}, \quad A_{32}=A_{2}+B_{2}, \\
A_{33}=A_{3}+B_{3}, & B_{32}=C_{2}, \quad B_{31}=C_{1}+D_{1}, \quad B_{30}=C_{0}+D_{0} .
\end{array}
$$

Let $\lambda=i \omega_{2}\left(\omega_{2}>0\right)$ be the root of (15). Then

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
B_{31} \omega_{2} \sin \omega_{2} \tau_{2}+\left(B_{30}-B_{32} \omega_{2}^{2}\right) \cos \omega_{2} \tau_{2}=A_{32} \omega_{2}^{2}-\omega_{2}^{4}-A_{30} \\
B_{31} \omega_{2} \cos \omega_{2} \tau_{2}-\left(B_{30}-B_{32} \omega_{2}^{2}\right) \sin \omega_{2} \tau_{2}=A_{33} \omega_{2}^{3}-A_{31} \omega_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

from which it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{2}^{8}+e_{33} \omega_{2}^{6}+e_{32} \omega_{2}^{4}+e_{31} \omega_{2}+e_{30}=0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{30}=A_{30}^{2}-B_{30}^{2}, \quad e_{31}=A_{31}^{2}-B_{31}^{2}-2 A_{30} A_{32}+2 B_{30} B_{32}, \\
& e_{32}=A_{32}^{2}-B_{32}^{2}+2 A_{30}-2 A_{31} A_{33}, \quad e_{33}=A_{33}^{2}-2 A_{32} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\omega_{2}^{2}=\nu_{2}$, then (16) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{2}^{4}+e_{33} v_{2}^{3}+e_{32} v_{2}^{2}+e_{31} v_{2}+e_{30}=0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define

$$
f_{2}\left(v_{2}\right)=v_{2}^{4}+e_{33} v_{2}^{3}+e_{32} v_{2}^{2}+e_{31} v_{2}+e_{30}
$$

Then

$$
f_{2}^{\prime}\left(v_{2}\right)=4 v_{2}^{3}+3 e_{33} v_{2}^{2}+2 e_{32} v_{2}+e_{31} .
$$

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 v_{2}^{3}+3 e_{33} v_{2}^{2}+2 e_{32} v_{2}+e_{31}=0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $y_{2}=v_{2}+\frac{3 e_{33}}{4}$. Then (18) becomes

$$
y_{2}^{3}+p_{2} y_{2}+q_{2}=0
$$

where

$$
p_{2}=\frac{e_{32}}{2}-\frac{3}{16} e_{33}^{2}, \quad q_{2}=\frac{e_{33}^{3}}{32}-\frac{e_{32} e_{33}}{8}+e_{31} .
$$

Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{2}=\left(\frac{q_{2}}{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{p_{2}}{3}\right)^{3}, \quad \beta_{2}=\frac{-1+\sqrt{3} i}{2} \\
& y_{21}=\sqrt[3]{-\frac{q_{2}}{2}+\sqrt{\alpha_{2}}}+\sqrt[3]{-\frac{q_{2}}{2}-\sqrt{\alpha_{2}}} \\
& y_{22}=\sqrt[3]{-\frac{q_{2}}{2}+\sqrt{\alpha_{2}} \beta_{2}}+\sqrt[3]{-\frac{q_{2}}{2}-\sqrt{\alpha_{2}} \beta_{2}^{2}} \\
& y_{23}=\sqrt[3]{-\frac{q_{2}}{2}+\sqrt{\alpha_{2}} \beta_{2}^{2}}+\sqrt[3]{-\frac{q_{2}}{2}-\sqrt{\alpha_{2}} \beta_{2}} \\
& v_{2 i}=y_{2 i}-\frac{3 e_{33}}{4}, \quad i=1,2,3
\end{aligned}
$$

According to Lemma 1, we can conclude that if we may consider the condition $\left(\mathrm{H}_{31}\right)$ : the coefficients in $f_{2}\left(v_{2}\right)$ satisfy one of the following conditions in $\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)-\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right):\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right) e_{30}<0$; ( $\left.\beta^{\prime}\right) e_{30} \geq 0, \alpha_{2} \geq, v_{21}>0$, and $f_{2}\left(v_{21}\right)<0 ;\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right) e_{30} \geq 0, \alpha_{2}<0$, and there exists at least one $\nu_{2 *} \in\left\{v_{21}, v_{22}, v_{23}\right\}$, such that $v_{2 *}>0$ and $f_{2}\left(\nu_{2 *}\right) \leq 0$.
If the condition $\left(\mathrm{H}_{31}\right)$ holds, $(16)$ has at least one positive root $\omega_{20}$ such that (15) has a pair of purely imaginary roots $\pm i \omega_{20}$ and the corresponding critical value of the delay is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{2 k}= & \frac{1}{\omega_{20}} \arccos \left\{\frac{B_{32} \omega_{20}^{6}+\left(A_{33} B_{31}-A_{32} B_{32}-B_{30}\right) \omega_{20}^{4}}{B_{31}^{2} \omega_{20}^{2}+\left(B_{30}-B_{32} \omega_{20}^{2}\right)^{2}}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\left(A_{30} B_{32}+A_{32} B_{30}-A_{31} B_{31}\right) \omega_{20}^{2}-A_{30} B_{30}}{B_{31}^{2} \omega_{20}^{2}+\left(B_{30}-B_{32} \omega_{20}^{2}\right)^{2}}\right\}+\frac{2 k \pi}{\omega_{20}}, \quad k=0,1,2, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Similar as in Case 2, if the condition $\left(\mathrm{H}_{32}\right): f_{2}^{\prime}\left(v_{2}^{*}\right) \neq 0$ holds, where $v_{2}^{*}=\omega_{20}^{2}$, then $\operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{d \lambda}{d \tau_{2}}\right]_{\tau_{2}=\tau_{20}}^{-1} \neq 0$. In conclusion, we have the following results according to the Hopf bifurcation theorem in [23].

Theorem 2 Suppose that the conditions $\left(\mathrm{H}_{31}\right)-\left(\mathrm{H}_{32}\right)$ hold. The positive equilibrium $E^{*}\left(x_{1}^{*}, x_{2}^{*}, y_{1}^{*}, y_{2}^{*}\right)$ of system (4) is asymptotically stable for $\tau_{2} \in\left[0, \tau_{20}\right)$ and system (4) undergoes a Hopf bifurcation at $E^{*} E^{*}\left(x_{1}^{*}, x_{2}^{*}, y_{1}^{*}, y_{2}^{*}\right)$ when $\tau_{2}=\tau_{20}$.

Case 4. $\tau_{1}=\tau_{2}=\tau>0$.
Substitute $\tau_{1}=\tau_{2}=\tau$ into (7); then (7) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda^{4}+A_{43} \lambda^{3}+A_{42} \lambda^{2}+A_{41} \lambda+A_{40}+\left(B_{43} \lambda^{3}+B_{42} \lambda^{2}+B_{41} \lambda+B_{40}\right) e^{-\lambda \tau} \\
& \quad+\left(C_{41} \lambda+C_{40}\right) e^{-2 \lambda \tau}=0, \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{40}=A_{0}, \quad A_{41}=A_{1}, \quad A_{42}=A_{2}, \quad A_{43}=A_{3}, \\
& B_{40}=B_{0}+C_{0}, \quad B_{41}=B_{1}+C_{1}, \quad B_{42}=B_{2}+C_{2}, \\
& B_{43}=B_{3}, \quad C_{40}=D_{0}, \quad C_{41}=D_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiplying (19) by $e^{\lambda \tau}$, then (19) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{43} \lambda^{3}+B_{42} \lambda^{2}+B_{41} \lambda+B_{40}+\left(\lambda^{4}+A_{43} \lambda^{3}+A_{42} \lambda^{2}+A_{41} \lambda+A_{40}\right) e^{\lambda \tau} \\
& \quad+\left(C_{41} \lambda+C_{40}\right) e^{-\lambda \tau}=0 . \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\lambda=i \omega(\omega>0)$ be the root of (20), then

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\omega^{4}-A_{42} \omega^{2}+A_{40}+C_{40}\right) \cos \tau \omega+\left(A_{43} \omega^{3}-A_{41} \omega+C_{41} \omega\right) \sin \tau \omega=B_{42} \omega^{2}-B_{40}, \\
\left(\omega^{4}-A_{42} \omega^{2}+A_{40}-C_{40}\right) \sin \tau \omega-\left(A_{43} \omega^{3}-A_{41} \omega-C_{41} \omega\right) \cos \tau \omega=B_{43} \omega^{3}-B_{41} \omega,
\end{array}\right.
$$

from which it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sin (\tau \omega)=\frac{g_{7} \omega^{7}+g_{5} \omega^{5}+g_{3} \omega^{3}+g_{1} \omega}{\omega^{8}+h_{6} \omega^{6}+h_{4} \omega^{4}+h_{2} \omega^{2}+h_{0}}, \\
& \cos (\tau \omega)=\frac{g_{6} \omega^{6}+g_{4} \omega^{4}+g_{2} \omega^{2}+g_{0}}{\omega^{8}+h_{6} \omega^{6}+h_{4} \omega^{4}+h_{2} \omega^{2}+h_{0}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{0}=\left(C_{40}-A_{40}\right) B_{40}, \quad g_{1}=\left(A_{41}+C_{41}\right) B_{40}-\left(A_{40}+C_{40}\right), \\
& g_{2}=A_{40} B_{42}+A_{42} B_{40}+B_{41} C_{41}-A_{41} B_{41}-B_{42} C_{40}, \\
& g_{3}=A_{40} B_{43}+A_{42} B_{41}+B_{43} C_{40}-A_{41} B_{42}-A_{43} B_{40}-B_{42} C_{41}, \\
& g_{4}=A_{41} B_{43}+A_{43} B_{41}-A_{42} B_{42}-B_{43} C_{41}-B_{40}, \\
& g_{5}=A_{43} B_{42}-A_{42} B_{43}-B_{41}, \quad g_{6}=B_{42}-A_{43} B_{43}, \quad g_{7}=B_{43}, \\
& h_{0}=A_{40}^{2}-C_{40}^{2}, \quad h_{2}=A_{41}^{2}-C_{41}^{2}-2 A_{40} A_{42}, \\
& h_{4}=A_{42}^{2}+2 A_{40}-2 A_{41} A_{43}, \quad h_{6}=A_{43}^{2}-2 A_{42} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we can obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{16}+e_{47} \omega^{14}+e_{46} \omega^{12}+e_{45} \omega^{10}+e_{44} \omega^{8}+e_{43} \omega^{6}+e_{42} \omega^{4}+e_{41} \omega^{2}+e_{40}=0 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{40}=h_{0}^{2}-g_{0}^{2}, \quad e_{41}=2 h_{0} h_{2}-2 g_{0} g_{2}-g_{1}^{2}, \\
& e_{42}=h_{2}^{2}-g_{2}^{2}+2 h_{0} h_{4}-2 g_{1} g_{3}-2 g_{0} g_{4}, \\
& e_{43}=2 h_{0} h_{6}+2 h_{2} h_{4}-g_{3}^{2}-2 g_{0} g_{6}-2 g_{1} g_{5}-2 g_{2} g_{4}, \\
& e_{44}=h_{4}^{2}+2 h_{0}+2 h_{2} h_{6}-g_{4}^{2}-2 g_{1} g_{7}-2 g_{2} g_{6}-2 g_{3} g_{5}, \\
& e_{45}=2 h_{2}+2 h_{4} h_{6}-g_{5}^{2}-2 g_{3} g_{5}-2 g_{4} g_{6}, \\
& e_{46}=h_{6}^{2}-g_{6}^{2}+2 h_{4}-2 g_{5} g_{7}, \quad e_{47}=2 h_{6}-g_{7}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\omega^{2}=v$, then (21) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{8}+e_{47} v^{7}+e_{46} v^{6}+e_{45} v^{5}+e_{44} v^{4}+e_{43} v^{3}+e_{42} v^{2}+e_{41} v+e_{40}=0 . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the coefficients of system (4) are given, the roots of (22) can be obtained by the Matlab software package. Therefore, we make the following assumption in order to get the main results in this paper.
Suppose that $\left(\mathrm{H}_{41}\right)$ : (22) has at least one positive root.
If the condition $\left(\mathrm{H}_{41}\right)$ holds, without loss of generality, we assume that (22) has eight positive roots which are denoted by $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{8}$, respectively. Then (21) has eight positive roots $\omega_{k}=\sqrt{\nu_{k}}, k=1,2, \ldots, 8$. For every $\omega_{k}$, the corresponding critical value of the time delay is

$$
\tau_{k}^{(j)}=\frac{1}{\omega_{k}} \arccos \frac{g_{6} \omega_{k}^{6}+g_{4} \omega_{k}^{4}+g_{2} \omega_{k}^{2}+g_{0}}{\omega_{k}^{8}+h_{6} \omega_{k}^{6}+h_{4} \omega_{k}^{4}+h_{2} \omega_{k}^{2}+h_{0}}+\frac{2 j \pi}{\omega_{k}}, \quad k=1,2,3, \ldots, 8 ; j=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

Let

$$
\tau_{0}=\min \left\{\tau_{k}^{(0)}\right\}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, 8, \omega_{0}=\left.\omega_{k}\right|_{\tau=\tau_{0}} .
$$

Thus, when $\tau=\tau_{0}$, (20) has a pair of purely imaginary roots $\pm i \omega_{0}$.
Differentiating both sides of (20) with respect to $\tau$, we get

$$
\left[\frac{d \lambda}{d \tau}\right]^{-1}=-\frac{\left(4 \lambda^{3}+3 A_{43} \lambda^{2}+2 A_{42} \lambda+A_{41}\right) e^{\lambda \tau}+C_{41} e^{-\lambda \tau}+3 B_{43} \lambda^{2}+2 B_{42} \lambda+B_{41}}{\lambda\left[\left(\lambda^{4}+A_{43} \lambda^{3}+A_{42} \lambda^{2}+A_{41} \lambda+A_{40}\right) e^{\lambda \tau}-\left(C_{41} \lambda+C_{40}\right) e^{-\lambda \tau}\right]}-\frac{\tau}{\lambda} .
$$

Then we have

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{d \lambda}{d \tau}\right]_{\tau=\tau_{0}}^{-1}=\frac{P_{41} Q_{41}+P_{42} Q_{42}}{Q_{41}^{2}+Q_{42}^{2}}
$$

where

$$
P_{41}=\left(A_{41}+C_{41}-3 A_{43} \omega_{0}^{2}\right) \cos \tau_{0} \omega_{0}-\left(2 A_{42} \omega_{0}-4 \omega_{0}^{3}\right) \sin \tau_{0} \omega_{0}-3 B_{43} \omega_{0}^{2}+B_{41}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{42}=\left(A_{41}-C_{41}-3 A_{43} \omega_{0}^{2}\right) \sin \tau_{0} \omega_{0}+\left(2 A_{42} \omega_{0}-4 \omega_{0}^{3}\right) \cos \tau_{0} \omega_{0}+2 B_{42} \omega_{0} \\
& Q_{41}=\left(A_{43} \omega_{0}^{4}-A_{41} \omega_{0}^{2}-C_{41} \omega_{0}^{2}\right) \cos \tau_{0} \omega_{0}-\left(\omega_{0}^{5}-A_{42} \omega_{0}^{3}+A_{40} \omega_{0}+C_{40} \omega_{0}\right) \sin \tau_{0} \omega_{0} \\
& Q_{42}=\left(A_{43} \omega_{0}^{4}-A_{41} \omega_{0}^{2}+C_{41} \omega_{0}^{2}\right) \sin \tau_{0} \omega_{0}+\left(\omega_{0}^{5}-A_{42} \omega_{0}^{3}+A_{40} \omega_{0}-C_{40} \omega_{0}\right) \cos \tau_{0} \omega_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously, if the condition $\left(\mathrm{H}_{42}\right): P_{41} Q_{41}+P_{42} Q_{42} \neq 0$ holds, then $\operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{d \lambda}{d \tau}\right]_{\tau=\tau_{0}}^{-1} \neq 0$. Thus, according to the Hopf bifurcation theorem in [23], we have the following results.

Theorem 3 Suppose that the conditions $\left(\mathrm{H}_{41}\right)-\left(\mathrm{H}_{42}\right)$ hold. The positive equilibrium $E^{*}\left(x_{1}^{*}, x_{2}^{*}, y_{1}^{*}, y_{2}^{*}\right)$ of system (4) is asymptotically stable for $\tau_{1} \in\left[0, \tau_{0}\right)$ and system (4) undergoes a Hopf bifurcation at $E^{*}\left(x_{1}^{*}, x_{2}^{*}, y_{1}^{*}, y_{2}^{*}\right)$ when $\tau=\tau_{0}$.

Case 5. $\tau_{2}>0$ and $\tau_{1} \in\left(0, \tau_{10}\right)$. We consider (7) with $\tau_{1}$ in its stable interval and $\tau_{2}$ is considered as a parameter.
Let $\lambda=i \omega_{2}^{\prime}\left(\omega_{2}^{\prime}>0\right)$ be the root of (7). Then we get

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta_{51} \sin \tau_{2} \omega_{2}^{\prime}+\Delta_{52} \cos \tau_{2} \omega_{2}^{\prime}=\Delta_{53} \\
\Delta_{51} \cos \tau_{2} \omega_{2}^{\prime}-\Delta_{52} \sin \tau_{2} \omega_{2}^{\prime}=\Delta_{54}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{51}=C_{1} \omega_{2}^{\prime}-D_{0} \sin \tau_{1} \omega_{2}^{\prime}+D_{1} \omega_{2}^{\prime} \cos \tau_{1} \omega_{2}^{\prime} \\
& \Delta_{52}=C_{0}-C_{2}\left(\omega_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+D_{0} \cos \tau_{1} \omega_{2}^{\prime}+D_{1} \omega_{2}^{\prime} \sin \tau_{1} \omega_{2}^{\prime} \\
& \Delta_{53}=\left(B_{2}\left(\omega_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}-B_{0}\right) \cos \tau_{1} \omega_{2}^{\prime}+\left(\left(\omega_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{3}-B_{1} \omega_{2}^{\prime}\right) \sin \tau_{1} \omega_{2}^{\prime}-\left(\omega_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{4}+A_{2}\left(\omega_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}-A_{0} \\
& \Delta_{54}=\left(B_{0}-B_{2}\left(\omega_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right) \sin \tau_{1} \omega_{2}^{\prime}+\left(\left(\omega_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{3}-B_{1} \omega_{2}^{\prime}\right) \cos \tau_{1} \omega_{2}^{\prime}+A_{3}\left(\omega_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{3}-A_{1} \omega_{2}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{50}\left(\omega_{2}^{\prime}\right)+e_{51}\left(\omega_{2}^{\prime}\right) \cos \tau_{1} \omega_{2}^{\prime}+e_{52}\left(\omega_{2}^{\prime}\right) \sin \tau_{1} \omega_{2}^{\prime}=0 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{50}\left(\omega_{2}^{\prime}\right)= & \left(\omega_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{8}+\left(A_{3}^{2}+B_{3}^{2}-2 A_{2}\right)\left(\omega_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{6} \\
& +\left(A_{2}^{2}+B_{2}^{2}-C_{2}^{2}+2 A_{0}-2 A_{1} A_{3}-2 B_{1} B_{3}\right)\left(\omega_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{4} \\
& +\left(A_{1}^{2}+B_{1}^{2}-C_{1}^{2}-D_{1}^{2}-2 A_{0} A_{2}-2 B_{0} B_{2}+2 C_{0} C_{2}\right)\left(\omega_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \\
& +A_{0}^{2}+B_{0}^{2}-C_{0}^{2}-D_{0}^{2} \\
e_{51}\left(\omega_{2}^{\prime}\right)= & 2\left(A_{3} B_{3}-B_{2}\right)\left(\omega_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{6}+2\left(A_{2} B_{2}+B_{0}-A_{3} B_{1}-A_{1} B_{3}\right)\left(\omega_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{4} \\
& +2\left(A_{1} B_{1}-A_{0} B_{2}-A_{2} B_{0}-C_{1} D_{1}+C_{2} D_{0}\right)\left(\omega_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+2\left(A_{0} B_{0}-C_{0} D_{0}\right), \\
e_{52}\left(\omega_{2}^{\prime}\right)= & -2 B_{3}\left(\omega_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{7}+2\left(A_{2} B_{3}-A_{3} B_{2}+B_{1}\right)\left(\omega_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{5} \\
& +2\left(A_{3} B_{0}+A_{1} B_{2}-A_{0} B_{3}-A_{2} B_{1}+C_{2} D_{1}\right)\left(\omega_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{3} \\
& +2\left(A_{0} B_{1}-A_{1} B_{0}+C_{1} q_{D}-C_{0} D_{1}\right) \omega_{2}^{*} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose that we have $\left(\mathrm{H}_{51}\right)$ : (23) has at least finite positive roots. We denote the positive roots of (23) as $\omega_{21}^{\prime}, \omega_{22}^{\prime}, \ldots, \omega_{2 k}^{\prime}$. Then, for every fixed $\omega_{2 i}^{\prime}(i=1,2, \ldots, k)$, the corresponding critical value of time delay is

$$
\tau_{2 i}^{(j) \prime}=\frac{1}{\omega_{2 i}^{\prime}} \arccos \left\{\left.\frac{\Delta_{51} \Delta_{54}+\Delta_{52} \Delta_{53}}{\Delta_{51}^{2}+\Delta_{52}^{2}}\right|_{\omega_{2}^{\prime}=\omega_{2 i}^{\prime}}\right\}+\frac{2 j \pi}{\omega_{2 i}^{\prime}},
$$

with $i=1,2, \ldots, k ; j=0,1,2, \ldots$
Let $\tau_{20}^{*}=\min \left\{\tau_{2 i}^{(0) \prime} \mid i=1,2, \ldots, k\right\}$. When $\tau_{2}=\tau_{20}^{*}$, (7) has a pair of purely imaginary roots $\pm i \omega_{2}^{*}$ for $\tau_{1} \in\left(0, \tau_{10}\right)$. Differentiating (7) with respect to $\tau_{2}$, one can obtain

$$
\left[\frac{d \lambda}{d \tau_{2}}\right]^{-1}=\frac{p_{0}(\lambda)+p_{1}(\lambda) e^{-\lambda \tau_{1}}+p_{2}(\lambda) e^{-\lambda \tau_{2}}+p_{3}(\lambda) e^{-\lambda\left(\tau_{1}+\tau_{2}\right)}}{q_{1}(\lambda) e^{-\lambda \tau_{2}}+q_{2}(\lambda) e^{-\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right)}}-\frac{\tau_{2}}{\lambda},
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{0}(\lambda)=4 \lambda^{3}+3 A_{3} \lambda^{2}+2 A_{2} \lambda+A_{1}, \\
& p_{1}(\lambda)=-\tau_{1} B_{3} \lambda^{3}+\left(3 B_{3}-\tau_{1} B_{2}\right) \lambda^{2}+\left(2 B_{2}-\tau_{1} B_{1}\right) \lambda+B_{1}-\tau_{1} B_{0}, \\
& p_{2}(\lambda)=2 C_{2} \lambda+C_{1}, \quad p_{3}(\lambda)=D_{1}, \\
& q_{1}(\lambda)=C_{2} \lambda^{3}+C_{1} \lambda^{2}+C_{0} \lambda, \quad q_{2}(\lambda)=D_{1} \lambda^{2}+D_{0} \lambda .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{d \lambda}{d \tau_{2}}\right]_{\tau=\tau_{20}^{*}}^{-1}=-\frac{P_{51} Q_{51}-P_{52} Q_{52}}{Q_{51}^{2}+Q_{52}^{2}}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{51}= & \left(2 C_{2} \omega_{2}^{*}-D_{1} \sin \tau_{1} \omega_{2}^{*}\right) \sin \tau_{20}^{*} \omega_{2}^{*}+\left(C_{1}+D_{1} \cos \tau_{1} \omega_{2}^{*}\right) \cos \tau_{20}^{*} \omega_{2}^{*} \\
& +\left(\tau_{1} B_{3}\left(\omega_{2}^{*}\right)^{3}+\left(2 B_{2}-\tau_{1} B_{1}\right) \omega_{2}^{*}\right) \sin \tau_{1} \omega_{2}^{*} \\
& +\left(\left(\tau_{1} B_{2}-3 B_{3}\right)\left(\omega_{2}^{*}\right)^{2}+B_{1}-\tau_{1} B_{0}\right) \cos \tau_{1} \omega_{2}^{*}-3 A_{3}\left(\omega_{2}^{*}\right)^{2}+A_{1}, \\
P_{52}= & \left(2 C_{2} \omega_{2}^{*}-D_{1} \sin \tau_{1} \omega_{2}^{*}\right) \cos \tau_{20}^{*} \omega_{2}^{*}-\left(C_{1}+D_{1} \cos \tau_{1} \omega_{2}^{*}\right) \sin \tau_{20}^{*} \omega_{2}^{*} \\
& +\left(\tau_{1} B_{3}\left(\omega_{2}^{*}\right)^{3}+\left(2 B_{2}-\tau_{1} B_{1}\right) \omega_{2}^{*}\right) \cos \tau_{1} \omega_{2}^{*} \\
& -\left(\left(\tau_{1} B_{2}-3 B_{3}\right)\left(\omega_{2}^{*}\right)^{2}+B_{1}-\tau_{1} B_{0}\right) \sin \tau_{1} \omega_{2}^{*}-4\left(\omega_{2}^{*}\right)^{3}+2 A_{2} \omega_{2}^{*}, \\
Q_{51}= & \left(C_{2}\left(\omega_{2}^{*}\right)^{3}-C_{0} \omega_{2}^{*}-D_{1}\left(\omega_{2}^{*}\right)^{2} \sin \tau_{1} \omega_{2}^{*}-D_{0} \omega_{2}^{*} \cos \tau_{1} \omega_{2}^{*}\right) \sin \tau_{20}^{*} \omega_{2}^{*} \\
& +\left(C_{1}\left(\omega_{2}^{*}\right)^{2}+D_{1}\left(\omega_{2}^{*}\right)^{2} \cos \tau_{1} \omega_{2}^{*}-D_{0} \omega_{2}^{*} \sin \tau_{1} \omega_{2}^{*}\right) \cos \tau_{20}^{*} \omega_{2}^{*}, \\
Q_{52}= & \left(C_{2}\left(\omega_{2}^{*}\right)^{3}-C_{0} \omega_{2}^{*}-D_{1}\left(\omega_{2}^{*}\right)^{2} \sin \tau_{1} \omega_{2}^{*}-D_{0} \omega_{2}^{*} \cos \tau_{1} \omega_{2}^{*}\right) \cos \tau_{20}^{*} \omega_{2}^{*} \\
& -\left(C_{1}\left(\omega_{2}^{*}\right)^{2}+D_{1}\left(\omega_{2}^{*}\right)^{2} \cos \tau_{1} \omega_{2}^{*}-D_{0} \omega_{2}^{*} \sin \tau_{1} \omega_{2}^{*}\right) \sin \tau_{20}^{*} \omega_{2}^{*} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously, if the condition $\left(\mathrm{H}_{52}\right): P_{51} Q_{51} \neq P_{52} Q_{52}$ holds, then $\operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{d \lambda}{d \tau_{2}}\right]_{\tau=\tau_{20}^{*}}^{-1} \neq 0$. Namely, if the condition $\left(\mathrm{H}_{52}\right)$ holds, the transversality condition is satisfied. Thus, according to the Hopf bifurcation theorem in [23], we have the following results.

Theorem 4 If the conditions $\left(\mathrm{H}_{51}\right)-\left(\mathrm{H}_{52}\right)$ hold and $\tau_{1} \in\left(0, \tau_{10}\right)$, then the positive equilibrium $E^{*}\left(x_{1}^{*}, x_{2}^{*}, y_{1}^{*}, y_{2}^{*}\right)$ of system (4) is asymptotically stable for $\tau_{2} \in\left[0, \tau_{20}^{*}\right)$ and system (4) undergoes a Hopf bifurcation at $E^{*}\left(x_{1}^{*}, x_{2}^{*}, y_{1}^{*}, y_{2}^{*}\right)$ when $\tau_{2}=\tau_{20}^{*}$.

## 3 Stability of bifurcating periodic solutions

In this section, we shall derive the explicit formulas determining the direction and stability of the bifurcating periodic solutions with respect to $\tau_{2}$ for $\tau_{1} \in\left(0, \tau_{10}\right)$. Throughout this section, we assume that $\tau_{1 *}<\tau_{20}^{*}$ where $\tau_{1 *} \in\left(0, \tau_{10}\right)$.

Let $\tau_{2}=\mu+\tau_{20}^{*}, u_{1}(t)=x_{1}(t)-x_{1}^{*}, u_{2}(t)=x_{2}(t)-x_{2}^{*}, u_{3}(t)=y_{1}(t)-y_{1}^{*}, u_{4}(t)=y_{2}(t)-y_{2}^{*}$, and rescale the time delay $t \rightarrow\left(t / \tau_{2}\right)$, then system (4) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}(t)=L_{\mu} u_{t}+F\left(\mu, u_{t}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
L_{\mu} \phi=\left(\tau_{20}^{*}+\mu\right)\left(A^{\prime} \phi(0)+B^{\prime} \phi\left(-\frac{\tau_{1 *}}{\tau_{20}^{*}}\right)+C^{\prime} \phi(-1)\right)
$$

and

$$
F(\mu, \phi)=\left(\tau_{20}^{*}+\mu\right)\left(0, F_{2}, F_{3}, 0\right)^{T}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(\theta)= & \left(\phi_{1}(\theta), \phi_{2}(\theta), \phi_{3}(\theta), \phi_{4}(\theta)\right)^{T} \in C\left([-1,0], R^{4}\right), \\
A^{\prime}= & \left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{11} & a_{12} & 0 & 0 \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & 0 & a_{24} \\
0 & 0 & a_{33} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & a_{43} & a_{44}
\end{array}\right), \quad B^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & b_{22} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
C^{\prime}= & \left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & c_{32} & 0 & c_{34} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
F_{2}= & a_{25} \phi_{2}^{2}(0)+a_{26} \phi_{2}(0) \phi_{4}(0)+a_{27} \phi_{2}(0) \phi_{2}\left(-\frac{\tau_{1 *}}{\tau_{20}^{*}}\right) \\
& +a_{28} \phi_{2}^{2}(0) \phi_{4}(0)+a_{29} \phi_{2}^{3}(0)+\cdots, \\
F_{3}= & a_{34} \phi_{2}^{2}(-1)+a_{35} \phi_{2}(-1) \phi_{4}(-1)+a_{36} \phi_{2}^{2}(-1) \phi_{4}(-1)+a_{37} \phi_{2}^{3}(-1)+\cdots .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, according to the Riesz representation theorem, there exists a $4 \times 4$ matrix function $\eta(\theta, \mu):[-1,0] \rightarrow R^{4}$ whose elements are of bounded variation such that

$$
L_{\mu} \phi=\int_{-1}^{0} d \eta(\theta, \mu) \phi(\theta), \quad \phi \in C\left([-1,0], R^{4}\right)
$$

In fact, we choose

$$
\eta(\theta, \mu)= \begin{cases}\left(\tau_{20}^{*}+\mu\right)\left(A^{\prime}+B^{\prime}+C^{\prime}\right), & \theta=0, \\ \left(\tau_{20}^{*}+\mu\right)\left(B^{\prime}+C^{\prime}\right), & \theta \in\left[-\frac{\tau_{1 *}}{\tau_{20}^{*}}, 0\right), \\ \left(\tau_{20}^{*}+\mu\right) C^{\prime}, & \theta \in\left(-1,-\frac{\tau_{1 *}^{*}}{\tau_{20}^{*}}\right), \\ 0, & \theta=-1 .\end{cases}
$$

For $\phi \in C\left([-1,0], R^{4}\right)$, we define

$$
A(\mu) \phi= \begin{cases}\frac{d \phi(\theta)}{d \theta}, & -1 \leq \theta<0 \\ \int_{-1}^{0} d \eta(\theta, \mu) \phi(\theta), & \theta=0\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
R(\mu) \phi= \begin{cases}0, & -1 \leq \theta<0 \\ F(\mu, \phi), & \theta=0\end{cases}
$$

Then system (24) can be transformed into the following operator equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}(t)=A(\mu) u_{t}+R(\mu) u_{t} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{t}=u(t+\theta)=\left(u_{1}(t+\theta), u_{2}(t+\theta), u_{3}(t+\theta), u_{4}(t+\theta)\right)$ for $\theta \in[-1,0]$.
For $\varphi \in C^{1}\left([0,1],\left(R^{4}\right)^{*}\right)$, where $\left(R^{4}\right)^{*}$ is the 4-dimensional space of row vectors, we define the adjoint operator $A^{*}$ of A:

$$
A^{*}(\varphi)= \begin{cases}-\frac{d \varphi(s)}{d s}, & 0<s \leq 1, \\ \int_{-1}^{0} d \eta^{T}(s, 0) \varphi(-s), & s=0\end{cases}
$$

and a bilinear inner product:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\varphi(s), \phi(\theta)\rangle=\bar{\varphi}(0) \phi(0)-\int_{\theta=-1}^{0} \int_{\xi=0}^{\theta} \bar{\varphi}(\xi-\theta) d \eta(\theta) \phi(\xi) d \xi \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta(\theta)=\eta(\theta, 0)$.
Let $q(\theta)=\left(1, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4}\right)^{T} e^{i \omega_{2}^{*} \tau_{20}^{*} \theta}$ be the eigenvectors of $A(0)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $+i \omega_{2}^{*} \tau_{20}^{*}$ and $q^{*}(s)=D\left(1, q_{2}^{*}, q_{3}^{*}, q_{4}^{*}\right) e^{i \omega_{2}^{*} \tau_{20}^{*} S}$ be the eigenvectors of $A^{*}(0)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $-i \omega_{2}^{*} \tau_{20}^{*}$. It is not difficult to verify that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q_{2}=\frac{i \omega_{2}^{*}-a_{11}}{a_{12}}, \quad q_{3}=\frac{c_{32} q_{2}+c_{34} q_{4}}{\left(i \omega_{2}^{*}-a_{33}\right) e^{i \omega_{2}^{*} \tau_{20}^{*}}} \\
& q_{4}=\frac{\left(i \omega_{2}^{*}-a_{11}\right)\left(i \omega_{2}^{*}-a_{22}-b_{22} e^{-i \omega_{2}^{*} \tau_{1 *}}\right)-a_{12} a_{21}}{a_{12} a_{24}}, \\
& q_{2}^{*}=-\frac{i \omega_{2}^{*}+a_{11}}{a_{21}}, \quad q_{4}^{*}=\frac{a_{24} q_{2}^{*}+c_{34} e^{i \omega_{2}^{*} \tau_{20}^{*}}}{i \omega_{2}^{*}+a_{44}} \\
& q_{3}^{*}=\frac{\left(i \omega_{2}^{*}+a_{11}\right)\left(a_{22}+b_{22} e^{i \omega_{2}^{*} \tau_{1 *}}\right)-a_{12} a_{21}}{a_{21}\left(i \omega_{2}^{*}+c_{32} e^{i \omega_{2}^{*} \tau_{20}^{*}}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 1 The track of the states $x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}$, and $y_{2}$ for $\tau_{1}=1.3500<1.3785=\tau_{10}$.


Figure 2 The phase plot of the states $x_{2}, y_{1}$, and $y_{2}$ for $\tau_{1}=1.3500<1.3785=\tau_{10}$.

From (26), we choose

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{D}= & {\left[1+q_{2} \bar{q}_{2}^{*}+q_{3} \bar{q}_{3}^{*}+q_{4} \bar{q}_{4}^{*}+q_{2}\left(\tau_{1 *} b_{22} \bar{q}_{2}^{*} e^{-i \omega_{2}^{*} \tau_{1 *}}+\tau_{20}^{*} c_{32} \bar{q}_{3}^{*} e^{-i \omega_{2}^{*} \tau_{20}}\right)\right.} \\
& \left.+\tau_{20}^{*} c_{34} q_{4} \bar{q}_{4}^{*} e^{-i \omega_{2}^{*} \tau_{20}^{*}}\right]^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

such that $\left\langle q^{*}, q\right\rangle=1,\left\langle q^{*}, \bar{q}\right\rangle=0$.
In the remainder of this section, we obtain the coefficients that can determine direction of the Hopf bifurcation and stability of the bifurcating periodic solutions by using the algorithms given in [23] and using the computation process which is similar to that in [14]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{20}= & 2 \tau_{20}^{*} \bar{D}\left[\bar{q}_{2}^{*}\left(a_{25}\left(q^{(2)}(0)\right)^{2}+a_{26} q^{(2)}(0) q^{(4)}(0)+a_{27} q^{(2)}(0) q^{(2)}\left(-\frac{\tau_{1 *}}{\tau_{20}^{*}}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\bar{q}_{3}^{*}\left(a_{34}\left(q^{(2)}(-1)\right)^{2}+a_{35} q^{(2)}(-1) q^{(4)}(-1)\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 3 The track of the states $x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}$, and $y_{2}$ for $\tau_{1}=1.3865>1.3785=\tau_{10}$.


Figure 4 The phase plot of the states $x_{2}, y_{1}$, and $y_{2}$ for $\tau_{1}=1.3865>1.3785=\tau_{10}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{11}= & \tau_{20}^{*} \bar{D}\left[\overline { q } _ { 2 } ^ { * } \left(2 a_{25} q^{(2)}(0) \bar{q}^{(2)}(0)+a_{26}\left(q^{(2)}(0) \bar{q}^{(4)}(0)+\bar{q}^{(2)}(0) q^{(4)}(0)\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.+a_{27}\left(q^{(2)}(0) \bar{q}^{(2)}\left(-\frac{\tau_{1 *}}{\tau_{20}^{*}}\right)+\bar{q}^{(2)}(0) q^{(2)}\left(-\frac{\tau_{1 *}}{\tau_{20}^{*}}\right)\right)\right)+\bar{q}_{3}^{*}\left(2 a_{34} q^{(2)}(-1) \bar{q}^{(2)}(-1)\right. \\
& \left.\left.+a_{35}\left(q^{(2)}(-1) \bar{q}^{(4)}(-1)+\bar{q}^{(2)}(-1) q^{(4)}(-1)\right)\right)\right] \\
g_{02}= & 2 \tau_{20}^{*} \bar{D}\left[\bar{q}_{2}^{*}\left(a_{25}\left(\bar{q}^{(2)}(0)\right)^{2}+a_{26} \bar{q}^{(2)}(0) \bar{q}^{(4)}(0)+a_{27} \bar{q}^{(2)}(0) \bar{q}^{(2)}\left(-\frac{\tau_{1 *}}{\tau_{20}^{*}}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\bar{q}_{3}^{*}\left(a_{34}\left(\bar{q}^{(2)}(-1)\right)^{2}+a_{35} \bar{q}^{(2)}(-1) \bar{q}^{(4)}(-1)\right)\right] \\
g_{21}= & 2 \tau_{20}^{*} \bar{D}\left[\overline { q } _ { 2 } ^ { * } \left(a_{25}\left(2 W_{11}^{(2)}(0)+W_{20}^{(2)}(0) \bar{q}^{(2)}(0)\right)\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 5 The bifurcation diagram with respect to $\tau_{1}$.


Figure 6 The track of the states $x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}$, and $y_{2}$ for $\tau_{2}=7.7750<8.7835=\tau_{20}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +a_{26}\left(W_{11}^{(2)}(0) q^{(4)}(0)+\frac{1}{2} W_{20}^{(2)}(0) \bar{q}^{(4)}(0)+W_{11}^{(4)}(0) q^{(2)}(0)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2} W_{20}^{(4)}(0) \bar{q}^{(2)}(0)\right)+a_{27}\left(W_{11}^{(2)}(0) q^{(2)}\left(-\frac{\tau_{1 *}}{\tau_{20}^{*}}\right)+\frac{1}{2} W_{20}^{(2)}(0) \bar{q}^{(2)}\left(-\frac{\tau_{1 *}}{\tau_{20}^{*}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+W_{11}^{(2)}\left(-\frac{\tau_{1 *}}{\tau_{20}^{*}}\right) q^{(2)}(0)+W_{20}^{(2)}\left(-\frac{\tau_{1 *}}{\tau_{20}^{*}}\right) \bar{q}^{(2)}(0)\right)+a_{28}\left(\left(q^{(2)}(0)\right)^{2} \bar{q}^{(4)}(0)\right. \\
& \left.\left.+2 q^{(2)}(0) q^{(4)}(0) \bar{q}^{(2)}(0)\right)+3 a_{29}\left(q^{(2)}(0)\right)^{2} \bar{q}^{(2)}(0)\right) \\
& +\bar{q}_{3}^{*}\left(a_{34}\left(2 W_{11}^{(2)}(-1) q^{(2)}(-1)+W_{20}^{(2)}(-1) \bar{q}^{(2)}(-1)\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 7 The phase plot of the states $x_{2}, y_{1}$, and $y_{2}$ for $\tau_{2}=7.7750<8.7835=\tau_{20}$.


Figure 8 The track of the states $x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}$, and $y_{2}$ for $\tau_{2}=12.8050>8.7835=\tau_{20}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +a_{35}\left(W_{11}^{(2)}(-1) q^{(4)}(-1)+\frac{1}{2} W_{20}^{(2)}(-1) \bar{q}^{(4)}(-1)+W_{11}^{(4)}(-1) q^{(2)}(-1)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2} W_{20}^{(4)}(-1) \bar{q}^{(2)}(-1)\right)+a_{36}\left(\left(q^{(2)}(-1)\right)^{2} \bar{q}^{(4)}(-1)\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.+2 q^{(2)}(-1) q^{(4)}(-1) \bar{q}^{(2)}(-1)\right)+3 a_{37}\left(q^{(2)}(-1)\right)^{2} \bar{q}^{(2)}(-1)\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{20}(\theta)=\frac{i g_{20} q(0)}{\omega_{2}^{*} \tau_{20}^{*}} e^{i \omega_{2}^{*} \tau_{20}^{*} \theta}+\frac{i \bar{g}_{02} \bar{q}(0)}{3 \omega_{2}^{*} \tau_{20}^{*}} e^{-i \omega_{2}^{*} \tau_{20}^{*} \theta}+E_{1} e^{2 i \omega_{2}^{*} \tau_{20}^{*} \theta}, \\
& W_{11}(\theta)=-\frac{i g_{11} q(0)}{\omega_{2}^{*} \tau_{20}^{*}} e^{i \omega_{2}^{*} \tau_{20}^{*} \theta}+\frac{i \bar{g}_{11} \bar{q}(0)}{\omega_{2}^{*} \tau_{20}^{*}} e^{-i \omega_{2}^{*} \tau_{20}^{*} \theta}+E_{2},
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 9 The phase plot of the states $x_{2}, y_{1}$, and $y_{2}$ for $\tau_{2}=12.8050>8.7835=\tau_{20}$.


Figure 10 The bifurcation diagram with respect to $\tau_{2}$.
where $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ can be computed as the following equations, respectively:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{cccc}
2 i \omega_{2}^{*}-a_{11} & -a_{12} & 0 & 0 \\
-a_{21} & 2 i \omega_{2}^{*}-a_{22}-b_{22} e^{-2 i \omega_{2}^{*} \tau_{1 *}} & 0 & -a_{24} \\
0 & -c_{32} e^{-i \omega_{2}^{*} \tau_{20}^{*}} & 2 i \omega_{2}^{*}-a_{33} & -c_{34} e^{-i \omega_{2}^{*} \tau_{20}^{*}} \\
0 & 0 & -a_{43} & 2 i \omega_{2}^{*}-a_{44}
\end{array}\right) E_{1}=2\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
E_{1}^{(2)} \\
E_{1}^{(3)} \\
0
\end{array}\right) \\
& \left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{11} & a_{12} & 0 & 0 \\
a_{21} & a_{22}+b_{22} & 0 & a_{24} \\
0 & c_{32} & a_{33} & c_{34} \\
0 & 0 & a_{43} & a_{44}
\end{array}\right) E_{2}=-\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
E_{2}^{(2)} \\
E_{2}^{(3)} \\
0
\end{array}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 11 The track of the states $x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}$, and $y_{2}$ for $\tau=1.3820<1.4126=\tau_{0}$.

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{1}^{(2)}= & a_{25}\left(q^{(2)}(0)\right)^{2}+a_{26} q^{(2)}(0) q^{(4)}(0)+a_{27} q^{(2)}(0) q^{(2)}\left(-\frac{\tau_{1 *}}{\tau_{20}^{*}}\right), \\
E_{1}^{(3)}= & a_{34}\left(q^{(2)}(-1)\right)^{2}+a_{35} q^{(2)}(-1) q^{(4)}(-1), \\
E_{2}^{(2)}= & 2 a_{25} q^{(2)}(0)+a_{26}\left(q^{(2)}(0) \bar{q}^{(4)}(0)+\bar{q}^{(2)}(0) q^{(4)}(0)\right) \\
& +a_{27}\left(q^{(2)}(0) \bar{q}^{(2)}\left(-\frac{\tau_{1 *}^{*}}{\tau_{20}^{*}}\right)+\bar{q}^{(2)}(0) q^{(2)}\left(-\frac{\tau_{1 *}^{*}}{\tau_{20}^{*}}\right)\right), \\
E_{2}^{(3)}= & 2 a_{34} q^{(2)}(-1) \bar{q}^{(2)}(-1)+a_{35}\left(q^{(2)}(-1) \bar{q}^{(4)}(-1)+\bar{q}^{(2)}(-1) q^{(4)}(-1)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 13 The track of the states $x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}$, and $y_{2}$ for $\tau=1.4375>1.4126=\tau_{0}$.


Therefore, we can calculate the following values:

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{1}(0)=\frac{i}{2 \omega_{2}^{*} \tau_{20}^{*}}\left(g_{11} g_{20}-2\left|g_{11}\right|^{2}-\frac{\left|g_{02}\right|^{2}}{3}\right)+\frac{g_{21}}{2}, \quad \mu_{2}=-\frac{\operatorname{Re}\left\{C_{1}(0)\right\}}{\operatorname{Re}\left\{\lambda^{\prime}\left(\tau_{20}^{*}\right)\right\}}  \tag{27}\\
& \beta_{2}=2 \operatorname{Re}\left\{C_{1}(0)\right\}, \quad T_{2}=-\frac{\operatorname{Im}\left\{C_{1}(0)\right\}+\mu_{2} \operatorname{Im}\left\{\lambda^{\prime}\left(\tau_{20}^{*}\right)\right\}}{\omega_{2}^{*} \tau_{20}^{*}}
\end{align*}
$$

Based on the discussion above, we can obtain the following results.

Theorem 5 For system (4), if $\mu_{2}>0\left(\mu_{2}<0\right)$, then the Hopf bifurcation is supercritical (subcritical); if $\beta_{2}<0\left(\beta_{2}>0\right)$, then the bifurcating periodic solutions are stable (unstable); if $T_{2}>0\left(T_{2}<0\right)$, then the period of the bifurcating periodic solutions increases (decreases).


Figure 15 The bifurcation diagram with respect to $\tau$.


Figure 16 The track of the states $x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}, y_{2}$ for $\tau_{2}=8.7325<\tau_{2}^{*}=10.3472$ and $\tau_{1 *}=1.05 \in\left(0, \tau_{10}\right)$.

## 4 Numerical example

In this section, we give a numerical example to support the theoretical results in Section 2 and Section 3. We consider the following system:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d x_{1}(t)}{d t}=6 x_{2}(t)-3 x_{1}(t)-x_{1}(t),  \tag{28}\\
\frac{d x_{2}(t)}{d t}=3 x_{1}(t)-0.25 x_{2}(t)-15 x_{2} x_{2}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)-\frac{3.25 x_{2}(t) y_{2}(t)}{1+4.5 x_{2}(t)}, \\
\frac{d y_{1}(t)}{d t}=\frac{2.05 x_{2}\left(t-\tau_{2}\right) y_{2}\left(t-\tau_{2}\right)}{1+4.5 x_{2}\left(t-\tau_{2}\right)}-0.75 y_{1}(t)-0.125 y_{1}(t), \\
\frac{d y_{2}(t)}{d t}=0.75 y_{1}(t)-0.125 y_{2}(t),
\end{array}\right.
$$



Figure 17 The phase plot of the states $x_{2}, y_{1}$, and $y_{2} x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}, y_{2}$ for $\tau_{2}=8.7325<\tau_{2}^{*}=10.3472$ and $\tau_{1 *}=1.05 \in\left(0, \tau_{10}\right)$.


Figure 18 The track of the states $x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}, y_{2}$ for $\tau_{2}=12.3642>\tau_{2}^{*}=10.3472$ and $\tau_{1 *}=1.05 \in\left(0, \tau_{10}\right)$.
which has a unique positive equilibrium $E^{*}(0.15695067,0.10463378,0.20218508$, 1.21311045).

We have $\tau_{1}>0, \tau_{2}=0$. By some complex computations, we obtain $\omega_{10}=2.5011, \tau_{10}=$ 1.3785. Further, we have $f_{1}^{\prime}\left(v_{1}^{*}\right)=0.0914>0$. Thus, the conditions $\left(\mathrm{H}_{21}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{22}\right)$ hold. According to Theorem 1 , the positive equilibrium $E^{*}$ of system (28) is asymptotically stable when $\tau_{1}<\tau_{10}$. This property can be illustrated by Figures 1 and 2 . However, once $\tau_{1}$ passes through the critical value $\tau_{10}$, the positive equilibrium $E^{*}$ of system (28) will lose its stability and a Hopf bifurcation occurs and a family of periodic solutions bifurcate from the positive equilibrium $E^{*}$ of system (28), which can be shown as in Figures 3 and 4. This property can also be seen from the bifurcation diagram with respect to $\tau_{1}$ in Figure 5. Similarly, we have $\omega_{20}=0.7361, \tau_{20}=8.7835$ for $\tau_{1}=0, \tau_{2}>0$. The corresponding waveforms, phase plots and bifurcation diagram are shown in Figures 6-10.


Figure 19 The phase plot of the states $x_{2}, y_{1}$, and $y_{2} x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}, y_{2}$ for $\tau_{2}=12.3642>\tau_{2}^{*}=10.3472$ and $\tau_{1 *}=1.05 \in\left(0, \tau_{10}\right)$.


Figure 20 The bifurcation diagram with respect to $\tau_{2}$ and $\tau_{1}=1.05$.

We have $\tau_{1}=\tau_{2}=\tau>0$. We can obtain $\omega_{0}=2.9285$ and then we get $\tau_{0}=1.4126$. From Theorem 3, we can conclude that when $\tau$ increases from zero to $\tau_{0}$ the positive equilibrium $E^{*}$ of system (28) is asymptotically stable, then it will lose its stability and a Hopf bifurcation occurs once $\tau>\tau_{0}$. As can be seen from Figures 11 and 12, when $\tau=1.3820 \in(0,1.4126)$, the positive equilibrium $E^{*}$ of system (28) is asymptotically stable. However, if we let $\tau=1.4375>\tau_{0}=1.4126$, the positive equilibrium $E^{*}$ of system (28) loses its stability and a Hopf bifurcation occurs, which can be shown as in Figures 13, 14 and 15 .

We have $\tau_{2}>0$ and $\tau_{1}=1.05 \in\left(0, \tau_{10}\right)$. We can obtain $\omega_{2}^{*}=0.3795, \tau_{20}^{*}=10.3472$. By Theorem 4, the positive equilibrium $E^{*}$ of system (28) is asymptotically stable when $\tau_{2} \in$ $\left[0, \tau_{20}^{*}\right)$ and the positive equilibrium $E^{*}$ of system (28) becomes unstable when $\tau_{2}>\tau_{20}^{*}$ and a family of periodic solutions bifurcate from the positive equilibrium $E^{*}$, which can be illustrated by Figures 16-20.
Finally, by complex computations, we obtain $C_{1}(0)=-14.2305-23.6892 i, \lambda^{\prime}\left(\tau_{20}^{*}\right)=$ $12.3301-19.7682 i$. Further, we can obtain $\mu_{2}=1.1541>0, \beta_{2}=-28.4610<0, T_{2}=$ $11.8428>0$. According to Theorem 5, we know that the Hopf bifurcation of system (28) with respect to $\tau_{2}$ with $\tau_{1}=1.05 \in\left(0, \tau_{10}\right)$ is supercritical, the bifurcating periodic solutions are stable and increase.

## 5 Conclusion

In this paper, by incorporating the feedback delay of the mature prey and the time delay due to the gestation of the mature predator into the system considered in the literature [9], we get a delayed predator-prey system with stage structure for both the predator and the prey, which is an extension of the literature [9]. Compared with the literature [9], we mainly consider the effects of the two delays on the predator-prey system.
By regarding the possible combination of the two delays as the bifurcation parameter, and analyzing the characteristic equation of the linearized system at the positive equilibrium, the sufficient conditions for the local stability of the positive equilibrium and the existence of a Hopf bifurcation are established. It has been shown that when the value of the delay is below the corresponding critical value, the system is asymptotically stable. However, once the value of the delay is greater than the corresponding critical value, there will be a Hopf bifurcation at the positive equilibrium of the system and a family of periodic solutions occur. For the further investigation, formulas are derived to determine direction of the Hopf bifurcation and the stability of the bifurcating periodic solutions by using the normal form theory and center manifold theorem. From the numerical simulations, one can conclude that the species in system (4) could coexist in an oscillatory mode with some available delays of the mature prey and the mature predator under some certain conditions. This is valuable from the point of view of ecology.
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