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#### Abstract

In this paper, we continue to study the initial boundary value problem of the quasi-linear pseudo-parabolic equation $$
u_{t}-\Delta u_{t}-\Delta u-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{2 q} \nabla u\right)=u^{p}
$$ which was studied by Peng et al. (Appl. Math. Lett. 56:17-22, 2016), where the blow-up phenomena and the lifespan for the initial energy $J\left(u_{0}\right)<0$ were obtained. We establish the finite time blow-up of the solution for the initial data at arbitrary energy level and the lifespan of the blow-up solution. Furthermore, as a product, we obtain the blow-up rate and refine the lifespan when $J\left(u_{0}\right)<0$.
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## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we investigate the initial boundary value problem of the following quasilinear pseudo-parabolic equation:

$$
\begin{cases}u_{t}-\Delta u_{t}-\Delta u-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{2 q} \nabla u\right)=u^{p}, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times(0, T),  \tag{1.1}\\ u(x, t)=0, & (x, t) \in \partial \Omega \times(0, T), \\ u(x, t)=u_{0}(x), & x \in \Omega,\end{cases}
$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}(n \geq 3)$ is a bounded domain with sufficiently smooth boundary $\partial \Omega, p>1$ and $0 \leq 2 q<p-1 . T \in(0, \infty]$ denotes the maximal existence time of the solution.

Problem (1.1) describes a variety of important physical and biological phenomena such as the aggregation of population [1], the unidirectional propagation of nonlinear, dispersive, long waves [2], and the nonstationary processes in semiconductors [3]. In the absence of the term $\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{2 q} \nabla u\right)$, Eq. (1.1) reduces to the following semilinear pseudo-parabolic equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}-\Delta u_{t}-\Delta u=u^{p}, \quad(x, t) \in \Omega \times(0, T) . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are many results for Eq. (1.2) such as the existence and uniqueness in [4], blow-up in [5-8], asymptotic behavior in [6, 9], and so on. Using the integral representation and the semigroup, Cao et al.[10] obtained the critical global existence exponent and the critical Fujita exponent for Eq. (1.2). Chen et al. [11] considered Eq. (1.2) with the logarithmic nonlinearity source term by the potential well methods.
Recently, Peng et al. [12] considered the blow-up phenomena on problem (1.1). By the way, Payne et al. [13] considered the blow-up phenomena of solutions on the initial boundary problem of the nonlinear parabolic equation

$$
u_{t}-\operatorname{div}\left(\rho\left(|\nabla u|^{2}\right) \nabla u\right)=f(u)
$$

In addition, Long et al. [14] investigated the blow-up phenomena for a nonlinear pseudoparabolic equation with nonlocal source

$$
u_{t}-\triangle u_{t}-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{2 q} \nabla u\right)=u^{p}(x, t) \int_{\Omega} k(x, y) u^{p+1}(y, t) d y .
$$

Finally, we mention some interesting works concerning quasi-linear or degenerate parabolic equations. For example, Winkert and Zacher [15] considered a generate class of quasi-linear parabolic problems and established global a priori bounds for the weak solutions of such problems; Fragnelli and Mugnai [16] established Carleman estimates for degenerate parabolic equations with interior degeneracy and non-smooth coefficients.
Throughout this paper, we use $\|\cdot\|_{p}=\left(\int_{\Omega}|\cdot|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}}=\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(|\cdot|^{p}+|\nabla \cdot|^{p}\right) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ as the norms on the Banach spaces $L^{p}=L^{p}(\Omega)$ and $W_{0}^{1, p}=W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, respectively. As in [12], we define the energy functional and the Nehari functional of (1.1), respectively, by

$$
\begin{align*}
& J(u):=\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2 q+2}\|\nabla u\|_{2 q+2}^{2 q+2}-\frac{1}{p+1}\|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1}  \tag{1.3}\\
& I(u):=\left(J^{\prime}(u), u\right)=\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\|\nabla u\|_{2 q+2}^{2 q+2}-\|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1} \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\lambda_{1}$ be the first nontrivial eigenvalue of $-\triangle$ operator in $\Omega$ with homogeneous Dirichlet condition, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}\|u\|_{2}^{2} \leq\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}, \quad\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} \geq \frac{\lambda_{1}}{1+\lambda_{1}}\|u\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}, \quad u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to compare with our work, in this paper, we summarize the blow-up results obtained in [12] as follows.
(RES1) If $0 \leq 2 q<p-1, J\left(u_{0}\right)<0$, and $u$ is a nonnegative solution of (1.1), then $u$ blows up at some finite time $T$, where $T$ is bounded by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \leq T_{1}:=\frac{\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}}{\left(1-p^{2}\right) J\left(u_{0}\right)} . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the above (RES1), we notice that (1) the blow-up rate is not given when $J\left(u_{0}\right)<0$; (2) the blow-up phenomena and the lifespan are still unsolved when $J\left(u_{0}\right) \geq 0$.

Motivated by the above-mentioned facts, we investigate these two problems in this paper. Firstly, we state the local existence theorem of problem (1.1) by Faedo-Galerkin method (see Theorem 2.1 in [12]).
(RES2) For any $u_{0} \in W_{0}^{1,2 q+2}(\Omega)$, there exists $T>0$ such that problem (1.1) has a unique local weak solution $u \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1,2 q+2}(\Omega)\right)$ with $u_{t} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$ which satisfies

$$
\left.\left\langle u_{t}, v\right\rangle+\left\langle\nabla u_{t}, \nabla v\right\rangle+\langle\nabla u, \nabla v\rangle+\left.\langle | \nabla u\right|^{2 q} \nabla u, \nabla v\right\rangle=\left\langle u^{p}, v\right\rangle
$$

for all $v \in W_{0}^{1,2 q+2}(\Omega)$.
Our main result of this paper can be stated as the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 For all $0 \leq 2 q<p-1$, the nonnegative solution $u$ of problem (1.1) blows $u p$ at finite time in $H_{0}^{1}$-norm provided that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(u_{0}\right)<\frac{(p-1) \lambda_{1}}{2(p+1)\left(1+\lambda_{1}\right)}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2} . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, the lifespan $T$ can be estimated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \leq T_{2}:=\frac{8(p+1)\left(1+\lambda_{1}\right)\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}}{(p-1)^{2}\left[(p-1) \lambda_{1}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}-2(p+1)\left(1+\lambda_{1}\right) J\left(u_{0}\right)\right]} . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1.1 For the case $J\left(u_{0}\right)<0$, the initial data condition given in (1.7) is obviously satisfied. Noticing the values of $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ given in (1.6) and (1.8), we can refine the lifespan $T$ as

$$
T \leq \min \left\{T_{1}, T_{2}\right\}= \begin{cases}T_{2}, & \text { if }-\frac{(p-1)^{2} \lambda_{1}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}}{2(p+1)(3 p+5)\left(1+\lambda_{1}\right)} \leq J\left(u_{0}\right)<0 ; \\ T_{1}, & \text { if } J\left(u_{0}\right)<-\frac{(p-1)^{2} \lambda_{1}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}}{2(p+1)(3 p+5)\left(1+\lambda_{1}\right)} .\end{cases}
$$

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1 by using the following lemma (see [17]).

Lemma 2.1 Suppose that a nonnegative, twice-differentiable function $\theta(t)$ satisfies the inequality

$$
\theta^{\prime \prime}(t) \theta(t)-(1+r)\left(\theta^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2} \geq 0, \quad t>0,
$$

where $r>0$ is some constant. If $\theta(0)>0$ and $\theta^{\prime}(0)>0$, then there exists $0<t_{1} \leq \frac{\theta(0)}{r \theta^{\prime}(0)}$ such that $\theta(t) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $t \rightarrow t_{1}^{-}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 We give the proof in the following two steps.
Step 1: Blow-up. Let $u(t)$ be the solution of problem (1.1) with the initial data satisfying (1.7). We may assume $J(u(t)) \geq 0$; otherwise, there exists some $t_{0} \geq 0$ such that $J\left(u\left(t_{0}\right)\right)<0$, then $u(t)$ will blow up in finite time by (RES1), the proof of this step is complete. So, in the following, we give our proof by contradiction and assume that $u(t)$ exists globally and $J(u(t)) \geq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Differentiating (1.3) and making use of (1.1) and (1.4), we have the following equalities:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t} J(u(t))=-\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}-\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}=-\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}  \tag{2.1}\\
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{1}{2}\|u(t)\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}\right) & =-\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}-\|\nabla u\|_{2 q+2}^{2 q+2}+\|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1} \\
& =-I(u(t))
\end{aligned}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{s}(s)\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}} d s \geq\left\|\int_{0}^{t} u_{s}(s) d s\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}=\left\|u(t)-u_{0}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}} \geq\|u(t)\|_{H_{0}^{1}}-\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}, \quad t \geq 0
$$

by Hölder's inequality, (2.1), and $J\left(u_{0}\right) \geq J(u(t)) \geq 0$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
\|u(t)\|_{H_{0}^{1}} & \leq\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}+t^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[\int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{s}(s)\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}} d s\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& =\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}+t^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[J\left(u_{0}\right)-J(u(t))\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}+t^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(J\left(u_{0}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad t \geq 0 . \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (1.5) and Hölder's inequality, we deduce that

$$
\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2 q+2}^{2 q+2} \geq|\Omega|^{-q}\left(\frac{\lambda_{1}}{1+\lambda_{1}}\right)^{q+1}\|u(t)\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2 q+2} .
$$

On the other hand, by (1.3), (1.4), (2.2), and $0 \leq 2 q<p-1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{1}{2}\|u(t)\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}\right)= & \frac{p-1}{2}\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{p-2 q-1}{2 q+2}\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2 q+2}^{2 q+2}-(p+1) J(u(t)) \\
\geq & \frac{(p-1) \lambda_{1}}{2\left(1+\lambda_{1}\right)}\|u(t)\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}+\frac{p-2 q-1}{2 q+2}\left(\frac{\lambda_{1}}{1+\lambda_{1}}\right)^{q+1}|\Omega|^{-q}\|u(t)\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2 q+2} \\
& -(p+1) J(u(t)) \\
\geq & \frac{(p-1) \lambda_{1}}{1+\lambda_{1}}\left[\frac{1}{2}\|u(t)\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}-\frac{(p+1)\left(1+\lambda_{1}\right)}{(p-1) \lambda_{1}} J(u(t))\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\frac{d}{d t}(J(u(t))) \leq 0$, it follows from the above inequality that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d t}\left[\frac{1}{2}\|u(t)\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}-\frac{(p+1)\left(1+\lambda_{1}\right)}{(p-1) \lambda_{1}} J(u(t))\right] \\
& \quad \geq \frac{(p-1) \lambda_{1}}{1+\lambda_{1}}\left[\frac{1}{2}\|u(t)\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}-\frac{(p+1)\left(1+\lambda_{1}\right)}{(p-1) \lambda_{1}} J(u(t))\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
H(t)=\frac{1}{2}\|u(t)\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}-\frac{(p+1)\left(1+\lambda_{1}\right)}{(p-1) \lambda_{1}} J(u(t)),
$$

then

$$
\frac{d}{d t} H(t) \geq \frac{(p-1) \lambda_{1}}{1+\lambda_{1}} H(t)
$$

for all $t \geq 0$. By using Gronwall's inequality, we get

$$
H(t) \geq e^{\frac{(p-1) \lambda_{1}}{1+\lambda_{1}} t} H(0)
$$

Noticing that $H(0)>0$ via (1.7) and the assumption $J(u(t)) \geq 0$ for $t \geq 0$, we deduce

$$
\|u(t)\|_{H_{0}^{1}} \geq \sqrt{2 H(0)} e^{\frac{(p-1)\left(\lambda_{1}\right.}{2\left(1+\lambda_{1}\right)} t}, \quad t \geq 0
$$

which is a contradiction with (2.3) for $t$ sufficiently large. Hence, $u(t)$ blows up at some finite time, i.e., $T<\infty$.
Step 2: Lifespan. We will find an upper bound for $T$. Firstly, we claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(u(t))=\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}+\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2 q+2}^{2 q+2}-\|u(t)\|_{p+1}^{p+1}<0, \quad t \in[0, T) . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, combining (1.3) and (1.4), after a simple calculation, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
J(u(t))= & \frac{p-1}{2(p+1)}\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{p-2 q-1}{2(q+1)(p+1)}\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2 q+2}^{2 q+2} \\
& +\frac{1}{p+1} I(u(t)), \quad t \in[0, T) \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (1.5), (1.7), and (2.5) that

$$
\frac{(p-1) \lambda_{1}}{2(p+1)\left(1+\lambda_{1}\right)}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}>J\left(u_{0}\right) \geq \frac{p-1}{2(p+1)} \frac{\lambda_{1}}{1+\lambda_{1}}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}+\frac{1}{p+1} I\left(u_{0}\right)
$$

where we also use $0 \leq 2 q<p-1$, which implies $I\left(u_{0}\right)<0$. Hence, if (2.4) does not hold, there must exist $t_{0} \in(0, T)$ such that $I\left(u\left(t_{0}\right)\right)=0, I(u(t))<0$ for $t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right)$. Then, by (2.2), we obtain that $\|u(t)\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}$ is strictly increasing on $\left[0, t_{0}\right)$. Then it follows from (1.7) that

$$
\begin{align*}
J\left(u_{0}\right) & <\frac{(p-1) \lambda_{1}}{2(p+1)\left(1+\lambda_{1}\right)}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2} \\
& <\frac{(p-1) \lambda_{1}}{2(p+1)\left(1+\lambda_{1}\right)}\left\|u\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|_{H_{0}^{1} .}^{2} . \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, combining (2.1) and (2.5), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
J\left(u_{0}\right) & \geq J\left(u\left(t_{0}\right)\right)=\frac{p-1}{2(p+1)}\left\|\nabla u\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{p-2 q-1}{2(q+1)(p+1)}\left\|\nabla u\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|_{2 q+2}^{2 q+2}+\frac{1}{p+1} I\left(u\left(t_{0}\right)\right) \\
& \geq \frac{(p-1) \lambda_{1}}{2(p+1)\left(1+\lambda_{1}\right)}\left\|u\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction with (2.6). Hence, $I(u(t))<0$ and $\|u(t)\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}$ is strictly increasing on $[0, T)$.

We define the functional

$$
F(t)=\int_{0}^{t}\|u(s)\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2} d s+(T-t)\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}+\beta(t+\gamma)^{2}, \quad t \in[0, T),
$$

with two positive constants $\beta, \gamma$ to be chosen later. Since $\|u(t)\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}$ is strictly increasing, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
F^{\prime}(t) & =\|u(t)\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}-\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}+2 \beta(t+\gamma) \\
& =\int_{0}^{t} \frac{d}{d s}\|u(s)\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2} d s+2 \beta(t+\gamma) \geq 2 \beta(t+\gamma)>0 \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
F^{\prime \prime}(t) & =\frac{d}{d t}\|u(t)\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}+2 \beta \\
& =(p-1)\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{p-2 q-1}{q+1}\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2 q+2}^{2 q+2}-2(p+1) J(u(t))+2 \beta \\
& \geq \frac{(p-1) \lambda_{1}}{1+\lambda_{1}}\|u(t)\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}+2(p+1) \int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{s}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2} d s-2(p+1) J\left(u_{0}\right) . \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Noticing that

$$
F(0)=T\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}+\beta \gamma^{2}>0
$$

and

$$
F^{\prime}(0)=2 \beta \gamma>0
$$

by using Young's inequality, Hölder's inequality, and the element algebraic inequality

$$
a b+c d \leq \sqrt{a^{2}+c^{2}} \sqrt{b^{2}+d^{2}}
$$

we can deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi(t):= & \left(\int_{0}^{t}\|u(s)\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2} d s+\beta(t+\gamma)^{2}\right)\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{s}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2} d s+\beta\right) \\
& -\left(\int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d s}\|u(s)\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2} d s+\beta(t+\gamma)\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\geq 0
$$

Hence, it follows from the above inequality and (2.7) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\left(F^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2} & =-4\left[\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{d}{d s}\|u(s)\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2} d s+2 \beta(t+\gamma)\right]^{2} \\
& =4\left(\xi(t)-\left(F(t)-(T-t)\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}\right)\left(\int_{0}^{2} \frac{d}{d s}\|u(s)\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2} d s+\beta\right)\right) \\
& \geq-4 F(t)\left(\int_{0}^{t} \frac{d}{d s}\|u(s)\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2} d s+\beta\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By the above equality, (2.8), and the fact that $\|u(t)\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}$ is strictly increasing, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(t) F^{\prime \prime}(t)-\frac{p+1}{2}\left(F^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2} & \geq F(t)\left[F^{\prime \prime}(t)-2(p+1)\left(\int_{0}^{t} \frac{d}{d s}\|u(s)\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2} d s+\beta\right)\right] \\
& \geq 2(p+1) F(t)\left[\frac{(p-1) \lambda_{1}}{2(p+1)\left(1+\lambda_{1}\right)}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}-J\left(u_{0}\right)-\beta\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

From (1.7), we can choose $\beta$ sufficiently small such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\beta \leq \beta_{0}:=\frac{(p-1) \lambda_{1}}{2(p+1)\left(1+\lambda_{1}\right)}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}-J\left(u_{0}\right) . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the conditions of Lemma 2.1 are satisfied with $r=\frac{p-1}{2}$, so we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \leq \frac{2 F(0)}{(p-1) F^{\prime}(0)}=\frac{\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}}{(p-1) \beta \gamma} T+\frac{\gamma}{p-1} . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fixing arbitrary $\beta$ satisfying (2.9), then let $\gamma$ be sufficiently large such that

$$
\frac{\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}}{(p-1) \beta}<\gamma<+\infty
$$

then it follows from (2.10) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \leq \frac{\beta \gamma^{2}}{(p-1) \beta \gamma-\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define a function $T_{\beta}(\gamma)$ by

$$
T_{\beta}(\gamma)=\frac{\beta \gamma^{2}}{(p-1) \beta \gamma-\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}}, \quad \gamma \in\left(\frac{\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}}{(p-1) \beta},+\infty\right)
$$

It is easy to prove that the function $T_{\beta}(\gamma)$ has a unique minimum at

$$
\gamma_{\beta}:=\frac{2\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}}{(p-1) \beta} \in\left(\frac{\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}}{(p-1) \beta},+\infty\right) .
$$

Then it follows from (2.11) that

$$
T \leq \inf _{\substack{\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2} \\ \gamma \in\left(\frac{\left.H_{1}-1\right) \beta}{(1)},+\infty\right)}} T_{\beta}(\gamma)=T_{\beta}\left(\gamma_{\beta}\right)=\frac{4\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}}{(p-1)^{2} \beta}
$$

for any $\beta$ satisfying (2.9). Finally, we obtain

$$
T \leq \inf _{\beta \in\left(0, \beta_{0}\right]} \frac{4\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}}{(p-1)^{2} \beta}=\frac{4\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}}{(p-1)^{2} \beta_{0}}=\frac{8(p+1)\left(1+\lambda_{1}\right)\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}}{(p-1)^{2}\left[(p-1) \lambda_{1}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}-2(p+1)\left(1+\lambda_{1}\right) J\left(u_{0}\right)\right]} .
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 2.1 For all $0 \leq 2 q<p-1$ and any $M>0$, there exists initial $u_{0 M} \in W_{0}^{1,2 q+2}(\Omega)$ such that the weak solution for corresponding problem (1.1) will blow up in finite time.

Proof Let $M>0$, and $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ be two arbitrary disjoint open subdomains of $\Omega$. We assume that $v \in W_{0}^{1,2 q+2}\left(\Omega_{1}\right) \subset W_{0}^{1,2 q+2}(\Omega) \subset H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ is an arbitrary nonzero function, then we can take $\alpha_{1}>0$ sufficiently large such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\alpha_{1} v\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2} & =\alpha_{1}^{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|v^{2}\right| d x+\alpha_{1}^{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{2} d x=\alpha_{1}^{2} \int_{\Omega_{1}}\left|v^{2}\right| d x+\alpha_{1}^{2} \int_{\Omega_{1}}|\nabla v|^{2} d x \\
& >\frac{2(p+1)\left(1+\lambda_{1}\right)}{(p-1) \lambda_{1}} M .
\end{aligned}
$$

We claim that there exist $w \in W_{0}^{1,2 q+2}\left(\Omega_{2}\right) \subset W_{0}^{1,2 q+2}(\Omega)$ and $\alpha>\alpha_{1}$ such that $J(w)=M-$ $J(\alpha \nu)$.

In fact, we choose a function $w_{k} \in C_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)$ such that $\left\|\nabla w_{k}\right\|_{2} \geq k$ and $\left\|w_{k}\right\|_{\infty} \leq c_{0}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_{2}}\left|\nabla w_{k}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2 q+2} \int_{\Omega_{2}}\left|\nabla w_{k}\right|^{2 q+2} d x-\frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\Omega_{2}}\left|w_{k}\right|^{p+1} d x \\
& \quad \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_{2}}\left|\nabla w_{k}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2 q+2}\left|\Omega_{2}\right|^{-q}\left(\int_{\Omega_{2}}\left|\nabla w_{k}\right|^{2} d x\right)^{q+1}-\frac{1}{p+1} c_{0}^{p+1}\left|\Omega_{2}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, since $0 \leq 2 q<p-1$, it holds that

$$
\begin{aligned}
M-J(\alpha v)= & M-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{2} \int_{\Omega_{1}}|\nabla v|^{2} d x-\frac{\alpha^{2 q+2}}{2 q+2} \int_{\Omega_{1}}|\nabla|^{2 q+2} d x \\
& +\frac{\alpha^{p+1}}{p+1} \int_{\Omega_{1}}|v|^{p+1} d x \rightarrow+\infty, \quad \text { as } \alpha \rightarrow+\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, there exist $k>0$ and $\alpha>\alpha_{1}$ both sufficiently large such that

$$
M-J(\alpha \nu)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_{2}}\left|\nabla w_{k}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2 q+2} \int_{\Omega_{2}}\left|\nabla w_{k}\right|^{2 q+2} d x-\frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\Omega_{2}}\left|w_{k}\right|^{p+1} d x .
$$

Then we choose $w=w_{k}$ and denote $u_{0 M}:=\alpha v+w$. Hence, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u_{0 M}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2} & =\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{0 M}^{2}\right| d x+\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{0 M}\right|^{2} d x \geq \alpha^{2} \int_{\Omega_{1}}\left|v^{2}\right| d x+\alpha^{2} \int_{\Omega_{1}}|\nabla v|^{2} d x \\
& >\frac{2(p+1)\left(1+\lambda_{1}\right)}{(p-1) \lambda_{1}} M
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
M=J(\alpha v)+J(w)=J\left(u_{0 M}\right)<\frac{(p-1) \lambda_{1}}{2(p+1)\left(1+\lambda_{1}\right)}\left\|u_{0 M}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2} .
$$

The proof is complete.

Remark 2.1 In this remark, we establish the blow-up rate for $J\left(u_{0}\right)<0$. We define the functionals $\varphi(t)=\|u(t)\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}$ and $\psi(t)=-2(p+1) J(u(t))$ as these in [12]. It was shown in (4.8) of
[12] that

$$
\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(t)}{[\varphi(t)]^{p+1} \frac{2}{2}} \geq \frac{\psi(0)}{[\varphi(0)]^{\frac{p+1}{2}}} .
$$

Now, we integrate the inequality from $t$ to $T$, noticing $\lim _{t \rightarrow T^{-}} \varphi(t)=+\infty$ (by (RES1)), we obtain

$$
\varphi(t) \leq\left[\frac{(p-1) \psi(0)}{2[\varphi(0)]^{\frac{p+1}{2}}}\right]^{\frac{2}{1-p}} .
$$

Then it follows from the definitions of $\varphi(t)$ and $\psi(t)$ that

$$
\|u(t)\|_{H_{0}^{1}} \leq\left[\frac{\left(1-p^{2}\right) J\left(u_{0}\right)}{\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{p+1}}\right]^{\frac{1}{1-p}}(T-t)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} .
$$
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