


RESEARCH

Open Access



On four-point fractional q -integrodifference boundary value problems involving separate nonlinearity and arbitrary fractional order

Nichaphat Patanarapeelert¹ and Thanin Sitthiwiratham^{2*} 

*Correspondence:

thanin_sit@dusit.ac.th

²Mathematics Department, Faculty of Science and Technology, Suan Dusit University, Bangkok, Thailand
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

In this paper, we study a sequential Caputo fractional q -integrodifference equation with fractional q -integral and Riemann–Liouville fractional q -derivative boundary value conditions. Our problem contains $2(M + N + 1)$ different orders and six different numbers of q in derivatives and integrals. The problem contains separate nonlinear functions. To examine existence and uniqueness results of the problem, Banach's contraction principle and the Leray–Schauder nonlinear alternative are employed. An illustrative example is also provided.

MSC: 39A05; 39A13

Keywords: Existence; q -derivative; q -integral; q -integrodifference equation

1 Introduction

In the 20th century, q -difference calculus and fractional q -difference calculus play an important role in the areas of mathematics and applications [1–3] such as the applications to orthogonal polynomials and mathematical control theories. Essentially, for q -difference calculus, basic definitions and properties have been presented in Ref. [4]. For the fractional q -difference calculus proposed by Al-Salam [5] and Agarwal [6], see [7]. Recently, many researchers have extensively studied in q -difference equations and fractional q -difference equations (see [8–34]). However, there is a lack of research in boundary value problem of nonlinear q -difference equations. In what follows, we fill up this gap.

In 2014, Ahmad et al. [15] studied the existence of solutions for the Caputo fractional q -difference integral equation with nonlocal boundary conditions,

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_q^\beta ({}^C D_q^\gamma + \lambda)x(t) = pf(t, x(t)) + kI_q^\xi g(t, x(t)), & t \in [0, 1), \\ \alpha_1 x(0) - \beta_1 (t^{1-\gamma}) D_q x(0)_{t=0} = \sigma_1 x(\eta_1), \\ \alpha_2 x(1) - \beta_2 D_q(1) = \sigma_2 x(\eta_2), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\beta, \gamma, \xi \in (0, 1)$, f, g are given continuous functions, λ, p, k are real constants and $\alpha_i, \beta_i, \sigma_i \in \mathbb{R}, \eta_i \in (0, 1), i = 1, 2$.

In 2016, Sitthiwirattam [31] examined the existence results of solutions to a fractional q -difference equation and a fractional q -integrodifference equation

$$D_q^\alpha x(t) = f(t, x(t), D_w^\nu x(t)), \tag{1.2}$$

$$D_q^\alpha x(t) = f(t, x(t), \Psi_w^\gamma x(t)), \quad t \in [0, T], \tag{1.3}$$

with nonlocal three-point fractional p -integral boundary conditions of the form

$$x(\eta) = \rho(x), \quad I_p^\beta g(T)x(T) = 0,$$

where $p, q, w \in (0, 1)$, $\alpha \in (1, 2]$, $\nu \in (0, 1]$, $\beta, \gamma > 0$ and $\eta \in (0, T)$ are given constants, $f \in C([0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, $g \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^+)$ are given functions, and $\rho \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a given functional. For $\varphi \in C([0, T] \times [0, T], [0, \infty))$, define $\Psi_w^\gamma x(t) := (I_w^\gamma \varphi x)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_w(\gamma)} \int_0^t (t - ws)^{(\gamma-1)} \varphi(t, s)x(s) d_ws$.

Recently, Patanarapeelert et al. [33] considered a sequential q -integrodifference boundary value problem involving two different orders and six different numbers of q in derivatives and integrals of the form

$$\begin{cases} D_q[\rho(t)D_p^\gamma(\kappa + D_o)]x(t) = f(t, x(t), D_w[e_o^{\kappa t}x(t)], \Psi_\nu x(t)), \\ x(0) = x(T), \\ (D_o[e_o^{\kappa t}x(t)])_{t=0} = D_o[e_o^{\kappa T}x(T)], \\ I_r^\theta \sigma(t)x(T) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

where $t \in I_\alpha^T := \{\alpha^k T : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{0, T\}$, $\gamma, \theta \in (0, 1]$, $p = \frac{p_1}{p_2}, q = \frac{q_1}{q_2}, o = \frac{o_1}{o_2}, r = \frac{r_1}{r_2}, w = \frac{w_1}{w_2}, \nu = \frac{\nu_1}{\nu_2}$, and $\alpha = \frac{1}{\text{LCM}(p_2, q_2, o_2, r_2, w_2, \nu_2)}$ are proper fractions with $w \leq o$, LCM is the least common multiple, $\kappa \leq \frac{1}{T}$, $\rho, \sigma \in C(I_\alpha^T, \mathbb{R}^+)$ and $f \in C(I_\alpha^T \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ are given functions.

In this paper, we aim to develop an understanding of nonlinear q -integrodifference equations. Particular, our attention is to analyze existence and uniqueness for a four-point Riemann–Liouville fractional q -integrodifference boundary value problem for a sequential Caputo fractional q -integrodifference equation of the form

$$\begin{aligned} {}^C D_q^\alpha D_p^\beta u(t) &= \lambda_1 F(t, u(t), D_r^\gamma u(t), D_r^{\gamma-1} u(t), \dots, D_r^{\gamma-M+1} u(t)) \\ &\quad + \lambda_2 H(t, u(t), \Psi_w^\theta u(t), \Psi_w^{\theta-1} u(t), \dots, \Psi_w^{\theta-N+1} u(t)), \quad t \in I_\chi^T, \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

$$\begin{cases} D_p^k u(0) = D_p^{\beta+j} u(0) = 0, & k \in \mathbb{N}_{0, N-2}, j \in \mathbb{N}_{0, M-2}, \\ D_m^\nu u(0) = \mu D_m^\nu u(T), \\ u(\xi) = \tau I_n^\theta g(\eta)u(\eta), \end{cases} \tag{1.6}$$

where $I_\chi^T := \{\chi^k T : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{0, T\}$; $M, N \in \mathbb{N}_2 := \{2, 3, \dots\}$; $\alpha \in (M - 1, M)$; $\beta \in (N - 1, N)$; $\gamma \in (M - 2, M - 1)$; $\theta \in (N - 2, N - 1)$; $\nu, \vartheta \in (0, 1)$; $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mu, \tau > 0$; $\xi, \eta \in I_\chi^T - \{0, T\}, \xi > \eta$; $p = \frac{p_1}{p_2}, q = \frac{q_1}{q_2}, r = \frac{r_1}{r_2}, w = \frac{w_1}{w_2}, m = \frac{m_1}{m_2}, n = \frac{n_1}{n_2}$ are simplest form of proper fractions and $\chi = \frac{1}{\text{LCM}(p_2, q_2, r_2, w_2, m_2, n_2)}$, LCM is the least common multiple; $g \in C(I_\chi^T, \mathbb{R}^+)$, $F \in C(I_\chi^T \times \mathbb{R}^{M+2}, \mathbb{R})$, $H \in C(I_\chi^T \times \mathbb{R}^{N+2}, \mathbb{R})$ are given functions; and, for $\varphi \in C(I_\chi^T \times I_\chi^T, [0, \infty))$, define $\Psi_w^\theta u(t) := (I_w^\theta \varphi u)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_w(\theta)} \int_0^t (t - ws)^{(\theta-1)} \varphi(t, s)u(s) d_ws$.

As is clear from our fractional q -integrodifference equation, there are $2(M + N + 1)$ different orders in derivatives and integral, and there are six different values of the q numbers consisting of q, p, r, m -derivatives and w, n -integrals. The rest of paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes some basis definitions, some properties of the q -difference and fractional q -difference operators and lemma that are used to evaluate the results. In Sect. 3, we employ Banach’s contraction mapping principle and the Leray–Schauder nonlinear alternative to prove an existence and uniqueness of solution of the problem (1.5)–(1.6). Finally, using our main results, we provide an example in Sect. 4.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we provide some notations, definitions, and lemmas which are used in the main results. Let $q \in (0, 1)$ and define

$$[n]_q := \frac{1 - q^n}{1 - q} = q^{n-1} + \dots + q + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad [n]_q! := \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1 - q^k}{1 - q}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The q -analogue of the power function $(a - b)^{(n)}$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}_0 := [0, 1, \dots]$ is defined by

$$(a - b)^{(0)} := 1, \quad (a - b)^{(n)} := \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (a - bq^k), \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Generally, if $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$(a - b)^{(\alpha)} := a^\alpha \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - (\frac{b}{a})q^n}{1 - (\frac{b}{a})q^{\alpha+n}}, \quad a \neq 0.$$

Specifically, if $b = 0$ then $a^{(\alpha)} = a^\alpha$. In addition, $0^{(\alpha)} = 0$ for $\alpha > 0$. The q -gamma function is defined by

$$\Gamma_q(x) := \frac{(1 - q)^{(x-1)}}{(1 - q)^{x-1}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, -1, -2, \dots\},$$

and satisfies $\Gamma_q(x + 1) = [x]_q \Gamma_q(x)$.

For any $x, s > 0$, the q -beta function is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} B_q(x, s) &:= \int_0^1 t^{(x-1)}(1 - qt)^{(s-1)} d_q t \\ &= (1 - q) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n (1 - q^{n+1})^{(s-1)} (q^n)^{(x-1)} = \frac{\Gamma_q(x)\Gamma_q(s)}{\Gamma_q(x + s)}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.1 ([6]) For $q \in (0, 1)$, the q -derivative of a real function f is defined by

$$D_q f(t) = \frac{f(t) - f(qt)}{(1 - q)t} \quad \text{and} \quad D_q f(0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} D_q f(t).$$

The higher order q -derivatives of f is defined by

$$D_q^n f(t) = D_q D_q^{n-1} f(t), \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{and} \quad D_q^0 f(t) = f(t).$$

For function f defined on the interval $[0, T]$, q -integral is defined as

$$I_q f(t) = \int_0^t f(s) d_q s = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t(1-q)q^n f(tq^n),$$

where the infinite series is convergent.

Definition 2.2 ([6]) For $\alpha \geq 0$ and f defined on $[0, T]$, the fractional q -integral is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (I_q^\alpha f)(x) &:= \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_0^x (x-qt)^{(\alpha-1)} f(t) d_q t \\ &= \frac{x(1-q)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n (x-xq^{n+1})^{(\alpha-1)} f(xq^n) \\ &= \frac{x^\alpha(1-q)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n (1-q^{n+1})^{(\alpha-1)} f(xq^n). \end{aligned}$$

We note that $(I_q^0 f)(x) = f(x)$.

Definition 2.3 ([8]) For $\alpha \geq 0$, m is the smallest integer such that $m \geq \alpha$ and f defined on $[0, T]$, the fractional q -derivative of the Riemann–Liouville type of order α is defined by

$$(D_q^\alpha f)(x) := (D_q^m I_q^{m-\alpha} f)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(-\alpha)} \int_0^x (x-qt)^{(-\alpha-1)} f(t) d_q t, \quad \alpha > 0,$$

and

$$(D_q^0 f)(x) = f(x),$$

the fractional q -derivative of the Caputo type of order α is defined by

$$({}^C D_q^\alpha f)(x) := (I_q^{m-\alpha} D_q^m f)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(m-\alpha)} \int_0^x (x-qt)^{(m-\alpha-1)} D_q^m f(t) d_q t, \quad \alpha > 0$$

and

$$({}^C D_q^0 f)(x) = f(x).$$

Lemma 2.1 ([6]) Let $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ and f be a function defined on $[0, T]$. Then the following properties hold:

- (i) $(I_q^\beta I_q^\alpha f)(x) = (I_q^{\alpha+\beta} f)(x),$
- (ii) $(D_q^\alpha I_q^\alpha f)(x) = f(x).$

Lemma 2.2 ([8]) Let $N - 1 < \alpha \leq N$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the following equality holds:

$$(I_q^\alpha D_q^\alpha f)(x) = f(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{x^{\alpha-N+k}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha+k-N+1)} (D_q^{\alpha-N+k} f)(0).$$

Lemma 2.3 ([19]) *Let $N - 1 < \alpha \leq N$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the following equality holds:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (I_q^{\alpha C} D_q^\alpha f)(x) &= f(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{x^k}{\Gamma_q(k+1)} (D_q^k f)(0), \\
 ({}^C D_q^\alpha I_q^\alpha f)(x) &= f(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.4 ([17]) *Let $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ and $0 < p, q < 1$. Then the following formulas hold:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(i)} \quad & \int_0^\eta (\eta - qt)^{(\alpha-1)} t^{(\beta)} d_q t = \eta^{\alpha+\beta} B_q(\alpha, \beta + 1), \\
 \text{(ii)} \quad & \int_0^\eta \int_0^s (\eta - ps)^{(\alpha-1)} (s - qt)^{(\beta-1)} d_q t d_p s = \frac{\eta^{\alpha+\beta}}{[\beta]_q} B_p(\alpha, \beta + 1), \\
 \text{(iii)} \quad & \int_0^\eta \int_0^s \int_0^t (\eta - ps)^{(\alpha-1)} (s - qt)^{(\beta-1)} (t - rv)^{(\gamma-1)} d_r v d_q t d_p s \\
 &= \frac{\eta^{\alpha+\beta+\gamma}}{[\gamma]_r} B_q(\beta, \gamma + 1) B_p(\alpha, \beta + \gamma + 1).
 \end{aligned}$$

We next provided a lemma dealing with a linear variant of the boundary value problem. This lemma is used to define the solution of the boundary value problem (1.5)–(1.6).

Lemma 2.5 *Let $M, N \in \mathbb{N}_2, \alpha \in (M - 1, M), \beta \in (N - 1, N), \gamma \in (M - 2, M - 1), \theta \in (N - 2, N - 1), p = \frac{p_1}{p_2}, q = \frac{q_1}{q_2}, m = \frac{m_1}{m_2}, n = \frac{n_1}{n_2}$ be simplest proper fractions and $\phi = \frac{1}{\text{LCM}(p_2, q_2, m_2, n_2)}$; $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mu, \tau > 0$. For $f, h \in C(I_\phi^T, \mathbb{R})$ and $g \in C(I_\phi^T, \mathbb{R}^+)$, the solution for the boundary value problem*

$$D_q^\alpha D_p^\beta u(t) = \lambda_1 f(t) + \lambda_2 h(t), \quad t \in I_\phi^T \tag{2.1}$$

$$\begin{cases}
 D_p^k u(0) = D_p^{\beta+j} u(0) = 0, & k \in \mathbb{N}_{0, N-2}, j \in \mathbb{N}_{0, M-2}, \\
 D_m^\nu u(0) = \mu D_m^\nu u(T), \\
 u(\xi) = \tau I_n^\theta g(\eta) u(\eta),
 \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

is represented by

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(t) &= \frac{\mathcal{P}[f + g]}{\Lambda} t^{N-1} - \frac{\mathcal{Q}[f + g]}{\Lambda} \int_0^t \frac{(t - ps)^{(\beta-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)} s^{M-1} d_p s \\
 &+ \int_0^t \int_0^s (t - ps)^{(\beta-1)} \frac{(s - qv)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta) \Gamma_q(\alpha)} [\lambda_1 f(t) + \lambda_2 h(t)] d_q v d_p s,
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where the functionals $\mathcal{P}[f + g]$ and $\mathcal{Q}[f + g]$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathcal{P}[f + g] \\
 &= \mu \int_0^T \int_0^s \frac{(T - ms)^{(-\nu-1)} (s - pv)^{(\beta-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta) \Gamma_m(-\nu)} v^{M-1} d_p v d_m s \\
 &\times \left[\tau \int_0^\eta \int_0^s \int_0^v \frac{(\eta - ns)^{(\theta-1)} (s - pv)^{(\beta-1)} (v - qx)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta) \Gamma_q(\alpha) \Gamma_n(\theta)} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \times g(\eta)[\lambda_1 f(x) + \lambda_2 h(x)] d_q x d_p v d_n s \\
 & - \int_0^\xi \int_0^s \frac{(\xi - ps)^{(\beta-1)}(s - qv)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)\Gamma_q(\alpha)} [\lambda_1 f(v) + \lambda_2 h(v)] d_q v d_p s \\
 & + \left[\int_0^\xi \frac{(\xi - ps)^{(\beta-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)} s^{M-1} d_p s \right. \\
 & \left. - \tau \int_0^\eta \int_0^s \frac{(\eta - ns)^{(\vartheta-1)}(s - pv)^{(\beta-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)\Gamma_n(\vartheta)} g(\eta)v^{M-1} d_p v d_n s \right] \\
 & \times \mu \int_0^T \int_0^s \int_0^v \frac{(T - ms)^{(-\nu-1)}(s - pv)^{(\beta-1)}(v - qx)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)\Gamma_q(\alpha)\Gamma_m(-\nu)} \\
 & \times [\lambda_1 f(x) + \lambda_2 h(x)] d_q x d_p v d_m s, \tag{2.4}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathcal{Q}[f + g] \\
 & = \mu \int_0^T \frac{(T - ms)^{(-\nu-1)}}{\Gamma_m(-\nu)} s^{M-1} d_m s \\
 & \times \left[\tau \int_0^\eta \int_0^s \int_0^v \frac{(\eta - ns)^{(\vartheta-1)}(s - pv)^{(\beta-1)}(v - qx)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)\Gamma_q(\alpha)\Gamma_n(\vartheta)} \right. \\
 & \times g(\eta)[\lambda_1 f(x) + \lambda_2 h(x)] d_q x d_p v d_n s \\
 & \left. - \int_0^\xi \int_0^s \frac{(\xi - ps)^{(\beta-1)}(s - qv)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)\Gamma_q(\alpha)} [\lambda_1 f(v) + \lambda_2 h(v)] d_q v d_p s \right] \\
 & + \left[\xi^{N-1} - \tau \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta - ns)^{(\vartheta-1)}}{\Gamma_n(\vartheta)} g(\eta)s^{N-1} d_n s \right] \\
 & \times \mu \int_0^T \int_0^s \int_0^v \frac{(T - ms)^{(-\nu-1)}(s - pv)^{(\beta-1)}(v - qx)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)\Gamma_q(\alpha)\Gamma_m(-\nu)} \\
 & \times [\lambda_1 f(x) + \lambda_2 h(x)] d_q x d_p v d_m s, \tag{2.5}
 \end{aligned}$$

and the constant

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Lambda & = \mu \int_0^T \int_0^s \frac{(T - ms)^{(-\nu-1)}(s - px)^{(\beta-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)\Gamma_m(-\nu)} v^{M-1} d_p x d_m s \\
 & \times \left[\xi^{N-1} - \tau \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta - ns)^{(\vartheta-1)}}{\Gamma_n(\vartheta)} g(\eta)s^{N-1} d_n s \right] \\
 & - \left[\int_0^\xi \frac{(\xi - ps)^{(\beta-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)} s^{M-1} d_p s \right. \\
 & \left. - \tau \int_0^\eta \int_0^s \frac{(\eta - ns)^{(\vartheta-1)}(s - pv)^{(\beta-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)\Gamma_n(\vartheta)} g(\eta)v^{M-1} d_p v d_n s \right] \\
 & \times \mu \int_0^T \frac{(T - ms)^{(-\nu-1)}}{\Gamma_m(-\nu)} s^{M-1} d_m s. \tag{2.6}
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof Using the q -integral of order α for (2.1), we obtain

$$D_p^\beta u(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} C_i t^i + \int_0^t \frac{(t - qs)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} [\lambda_1 f(s) + \lambda_2 h(s)] d_q s. \tag{2.7}$$

Then we take the p -integral of order β for (2.7). We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(t) &= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} C_{M+i} t^i + \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} C_i \int_0^t \frac{(t-ps)^{(\beta-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)} s^i d_p s \\
 &\quad + \int_0^t \int_0^s \frac{(t-ps)^{(\beta-1)}(s-qv)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)\Gamma_q(\alpha)} [\lambda_1 f(v) + \lambda_2 h(v)] d_q v d_p s \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} C_{M+i} t^i + \frac{1}{\Gamma_p(\beta)} \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} C_i B(i+1, \beta) t^{\beta+i} \\
 &\quad + \int_0^t \int_0^s \frac{(t-ps)^{(\beta-1)}(s-qv)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)\Gamma_q(\alpha)} [\lambda_1 f(v) + \lambda_2 h(v)] d_q v d_p s. \tag{2.8}
 \end{aligned}$$

Next, taking the p -derivative of order $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0, N-2}$ for (2.8) where $t \in I_\phi^T$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_p^k u(t) &= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} C_{M+i} \int_0^t \frac{(t-ps)^{(-k-1)}}{\Gamma_p(-k)} s^i d_p s \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma_p(\beta)} \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} C_i B(i+1, \beta) \int_0^t \frac{(t-ps)^{(-k-1)}}{\Gamma_p(-k)} s^{\beta+i} d_p s \\
 &\quad + \int_0^t \int_0^s \int_0^x \frac{(t-ps)^{(-k-1)}(s-px)^{(\beta-1)}(x-qv)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_p(-k)\Gamma_p(\beta)\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \\
 &\quad \times [\lambda_1 f(v) + \lambda_2 h(v)] d_q v d_p x d_p s \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} C_{M+i} \frac{\Gamma_p(i+1)}{\Gamma_p(i+1-k)} t^{i-k} + \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} C_i \frac{\Gamma_p(i+1)}{\Gamma_p(\beta+i+1-k)} t^{\beta+i-k} \\
 &\quad + \int_0^t \int_0^s \int_0^x \frac{(t-ps)^{(-k-1)}(s-px)^{(\beta-1)}(x-qv)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_p(-k)\Gamma_p(\beta)\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \\
 &\quad \times [\lambda_1 f(v) + \lambda_2 h(v)] d_q v d_p x d_p s. \tag{2.9}
 \end{aligned}$$

Letting $t = 0$ in (2.9), and by the first conditions of (2.2) for $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0, N-2}$, we get

$$C_M = C_{M+1} = C_{M+2} = \dots = C_{M+N-2} = 0 \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N}_{0, N-2}, \text{ respectively.}$$

Substituting the constants $C_i, i \in \mathbb{N}_{M, M+N-2}$ into (2.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(t) &= C_{M+N-1} t^{N-1} + \frac{1}{\Gamma_p(\beta)} \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} C_i B(i+1, \beta) t^{\beta+i} \\
 &\quad + \int_0^t \int_0^s \frac{(t-ps)^{(\beta-1)}(s-qv)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)\Gamma_q(\alpha)} [\lambda_1 f(v) + \lambda_2 h(v)] d_q v d_p s. \tag{2.10}
 \end{aligned}$$

Next, taking the p -derivative of order $\beta + j, j \in \mathbb{N}_{0, M-2}$ for (2.10), we get

$$D_p^{\beta+j} u(t) = C_{M+N-1} \int_0^t \frac{(t-ps)^{(-\beta-j-1)}}{\Gamma_p(-\beta-j)} s^{N-1} d_p s$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{1}{\Gamma_p(\beta)} \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} C_i B(i+1, \beta) \int_0^t \frac{(t-ps)^{(-\beta-j-1)}}{\Gamma_p(-\beta-j)} s^{\beta+i} d_p s \\
 & + \int_0^t \int_0^s \int_0^x \frac{(t-ps)^{(-\beta-j-1)}(s-px)^{(\beta-1)}(x-qv)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_p(-\beta-j)\Gamma_p(\beta)\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \\
 & \times [\lambda_1 f(v) + \lambda_2 h(v)] d_q v d_p x d_p s \\
 & = C_{M+N-1} \frac{\Gamma_p(N)}{\Gamma_p(N-\beta-j)} t^{N-\beta-j-1} + \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} C_i \frac{\Gamma_p(i+1)}{\Gamma_p(i+1-j)} t^{i-j} \\
 & + \int_0^t \int_0^s \int_0^x \frac{(t-ps)^{(-\beta-j-1)}(s-px)^{(\beta-1)}(x-qv)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_p(-\beta-j)\Gamma_p(\beta)\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \\
 & \times [\lambda_1 f(v) + \lambda_2 h(v)] d_q v d_p x d_p s. \tag{2.11}
 \end{aligned}$$

Letting $t = 0$ in (2.11), and by the first conditions of (2.2) for $j \in \mathbb{N}_{0,M-2}$, we get

$$C_0 = C_1 = C_2 = \dots = C_{M-2} = 0 \quad \text{for } j \in \mathbb{N}_{0,M-2}, \text{ respectively.}$$

Substituting the constants $C_i, i \in \mathbb{N}_{0,M-2}$ into (2.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(t) & = C_{M+N-1} t^{N-1} + C_{M-1} \int_0^t \frac{(t-ps)^{(\beta-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)} s^{M-1} d_p s \\
 & + \int_0^t \int_0^s \frac{(t-ps)^{(\beta-1)}(s-qv)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)\Gamma_q(\alpha)} [\lambda_1 f(v) + \lambda_2 h(v)] d_q v d_p s. \tag{2.12}
 \end{aligned}$$

Next, taking the m -derivative of order ν for $u(t)$ where $t \in I_\phi^T$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & D_m^\nu u(t) \\
 & = C_{M+N-1} \int_0^t \frac{(t-ms)^{(-\nu-1)}}{\Gamma_m(-\nu)} s^{N-1} d_m s \\
 & + C_{M-1} \int_0^t \int_0^s \frac{(t-ms)^{(-\nu-1)}(s-pv)^{(\beta-1)}}{\Gamma_m(-\nu)\Gamma_p(\beta)} v^{M-1} d_p v d_m s \\
 & + \int_0^t \int_0^s \int_0^v \frac{(t-ms)^{(-\nu-1)}(s-pv)^{(\beta-1)}(v-qx)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_m(-\nu)\Gamma_p(\beta)\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \\
 & \times [\lambda_1 f(x) + \lambda_2 h(x)] d_q x d_p v d_m s. \tag{2.13}
 \end{aligned}$$

Letting $t = 0, T$ in (2.9), and by the second conditions of (2.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & C_{M+N-1} \mu \int_0^T \frac{(T-ms)^{(-\nu-1)}}{\Gamma_m(-\nu)} s^{N-1} d_m s \\
 & + C_{M-1} \mu \int_0^T \int_0^s \frac{(T-ms)^{(-\nu-1)}(s-pv)^{(\beta-1)}}{\Gamma_m(-\nu)\Gamma_p(\beta)} v^{M-1} d_p v d_m s \\
 & = -\mu \int_0^T \int_0^s \int_0^v \frac{(T-ms)^{(-\nu-1)}(s-pv)^{(\beta-1)}(v-qx)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_m(-\nu)\Gamma_p(\beta)\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \\
 & \times [\lambda_1 f(x) + \lambda_2 h(x)] d_q x d_p v d_m s. \tag{2.14}
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking the n -integral of order ϑ for (2.12) where $t \in I_\phi^T$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_n^\vartheta u(t) &= C_{M+N-1} \int_0^t \frac{(t-ns)^{(\vartheta-1)}}{\Gamma_n(\vartheta)} s^{N-1} d_n s \\
 &\quad + C_{M-1} \int_0^t \int_0^s \frac{(t-ns)^{(\vartheta-1)}(s-pv)^{(\beta-1)}}{\Gamma_n(\vartheta)\Gamma_p(\beta)} v^{M-1} d_p v d_n s \\
 &\quad + \int_0^t \int_0^s \int_0^v \frac{(t-ns)^{(\vartheta-1)}(s-pv)^{(\beta-1)}(v-qx)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_n(\vartheta)\Gamma_p(\beta)\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \\
 &\quad \times [\lambda_1 f(x) + \lambda_2 h(x)] d_q x d_p v d_n s.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

Letting $t = \xi$ in (2.11), and by the third conditions of (2.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &C_{M+N-1} \left[\xi^{N-1} - \tau \int_0^\eta \int_0^s \frac{(\eta-ns)^{(\vartheta-1)}}{\Gamma_n(\vartheta)} g(\eta) s^{N-1} d_n s \right] \\
 &\quad + C_{M-1} \left[\int_0^\xi \frac{(\xi-ps)^{(\beta-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)} s^{M-1} d_p s \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \tau \int_0^\eta \int_0^s \frac{(\eta-ns)^{(\vartheta-1)}(s-pv)^{(\beta-1)}}{\Gamma_n(\vartheta)\Gamma_p(\beta)} g(\eta) v^{M-1} d_p v d_n s \right] \\
 &= \left[\tau \int_0^\eta \int_0^s \int_0^v \frac{(\eta-ns)^{(\vartheta-1)}(s-pv)^{(\beta-1)}(v-qx)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_n(\vartheta)\Gamma_p(\beta)\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \right. \\
 &\quad \times [\lambda_1 f(x) + \lambda_2 h(x)] g(\eta) d_q x d_p v d_n s \\
 &\quad \left. - \int_0^\xi \int_0^s \frac{(\xi-ps)^{(\beta-1)}(s-qv)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)\Gamma_q(\alpha)} [\lambda_1 f(v) + \lambda_2 h(v)] d_q v d_p s \right].
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

Finally, solving the system of equations (2.14) and (2.12), we obtain

$$C_{M-1} = -\frac{\mathcal{Q}[f+h]}{\Lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad C_{M+N-1} = \frac{\mathcal{P}[f+h]}{\Lambda},$$

where $\mathcal{P}[f+g]$, $\mathcal{Q}[f+g]$ and Λ are defined by (2.4)–(2.6), respectively.

After substituting the constants C_{M-1}, C_{M+N-1} into (2.12), we obtain (2.3). The proof is complete. \square

3 Main results

In order to obtain the main results, we first transform the boundary value problem (1.5)–(1.6) into a fixed point problem. Let $\mathcal{C} = C(I_\chi^T, \mathbb{R})$ be a Banach space of all continuous functions from I_χ^T to \mathbb{R} such that $D_r^{\gamma-i} u(t)$ exists for $i \in \mathbb{N}_{0, M-1}, \gamma \in (M-2, M-1)$. Define a norm by

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{C}} = \max_{i \in \mathbb{N}_{0, M-1}} \{ \|u\|, \|D_r^{\gamma-i} u\| \},$$

where $\|u\| = \sup_{t \in I_\chi^T} |u(t)|$ and $\|D_r^{\gamma-i} u\| = \sup_{t \in I_\chi^T} |D_r^{\gamma-i} u(t)|$. Denote

$$F(t, u(t), D_r^\gamma u(t), D_r^{\gamma-1} u(t), \dots, D_r^{\gamma-M+1} u(t)) := F[t, u(t), D_r^{\gamma-i} u(t)],$$

$$H(t, u(t), \Psi_w^\theta u(t), \Psi_w^{\theta-1} u(t), \dots, \Psi_w^{\theta-N+1} u(t)) := H[t, u(t), \Psi_w^{\theta-j} u(t)],$$

for $i \in \mathbb{N}_{0, M-1}$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}_{0, N-1}$. Define the operator $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{A}u)(t) &= \frac{\mathcal{P}[F(u) + G(u)]}{\Lambda} t^{N-1} - \frac{\mathcal{Q}[F(u) + G(u)]}{\Lambda} \int_0^t \frac{(t-ps)^{(\beta-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)} s^{M-1} d_p s \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_0^s (t-ps)^{(\beta-1)} \frac{(s-qv)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)\Gamma_q(\alpha)} (\lambda_1 F[t, u(t), D_r^{\gamma-i} u(t)] \\ &\quad + \lambda_2 H[t, u(t), \Psi_w^{\theta-j} u(t)]) d_q v d_p s, \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where the functionals $\mathcal{P}[F(u) + G(u)]$ and $\mathcal{Q}[F(u) + G(u)]$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}[F(u) + H(u)] &= \mu \int_0^T \int_0^s \frac{(T-ms)^{(-\nu-1)}(s-px)^{(\beta-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)\Gamma_m(-\nu)} x^{M-1} d_p x d_m s \\ &\quad \times \left[\tau \int_0^\eta \int_0^s \int_0^y \frac{(\eta-ns)^{(\vartheta-1)}(s-py)^{(\beta-1)}(y-qx)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)\Gamma_q(\alpha)\Gamma_n(\vartheta)} g(\eta) \right. \\ &\quad \times (\lambda_1 F[t, u(t), D_r^{\gamma-i} u(t)] + \lambda_2 H[t, u(t), \Psi_w^{\theta-j} u(t)]) d_q x d_p y d_n s \\ &\quad \left. - \int_0^\xi \int_0^s \frac{(\xi-ps)^{(\beta-1)}(s-qx)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)\Gamma_q(\alpha)} (\lambda_1 F[t, u(t), D_r^{\gamma-i} u(t)] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \lambda_2 H[t, u(t), \Psi_w^{\theta-j} u(t)]) d_q x d_p s \right] \\ &\quad + \left[\int_0^\xi \frac{(\xi-ps)^{(\beta-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)} s^{M-1} d_p s \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \tau \int_0^\eta \int_0^s \frac{(\eta-ns)^{(\vartheta-1)}(s-px)^{(\beta-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)\Gamma_n(\vartheta)} g(\eta) x^{M-1} d_p x d_n s \right] \\ &\quad \times \mu \int_0^T \int_0^s \int_0^y \frac{(T-ms)^{(-\nu-1)}(s-py)^{(\beta-1)}(y-qx)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)\Gamma_q(\alpha)\Gamma_m(-\nu)} \\ &\quad \times (\lambda_1 F[t, u(t), D_r^{\gamma-i} u(t)] + \lambda_2 H[t, u(t), \Psi_w^{\theta-j} u(t)]) d_q x d_p y d_m s \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}[F(u) + H(u)] &= \mu \int_0^T \frac{(T-ms)^{(-\nu-1)}}{\Gamma_m(-\nu)} s^{M-1} d_m s \left[\tau \int_0^\eta \int_0^s \int_0^y \frac{(\eta-ns)^{(\vartheta-1)}(s-py)^{(\beta-1)}(y-qx)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)\Gamma_q(\alpha)\Gamma_n(\vartheta)} \right. \\ &\quad \times g(\eta) (\lambda_1 F[t, u(t), D_r^{\gamma-i} u(t)] + \lambda_2 H[t, u(t), \Psi_w^{\theta-j} u(t)]) d_q x d_p y d_n s \\ &\quad \left. - \int_0^\xi \int_0^s \frac{(\xi-ps)^{(\beta-1)}(s-qx)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)\Gamma_q(\alpha)} (\lambda_1 F[t, u(t), D_r^{\gamma-i} u(t)] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \lambda_2 H[t, u(t), \Psi_w^{\theta-j} u(t)]) d_q x d_p s \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \left[\xi^{N-1} - \tau \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta - ns)^{(\vartheta-1)}}{\Gamma_n(\vartheta)} g(\eta) s^{N-1} d_n s \right] \\
 & \times \mu \int_0^T \int_0^s \int_0^y \frac{(T - ms)^{(-\nu-1)}(s - py)^{(\beta-1)}(y - qx)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)\Gamma_q(\alpha)\Gamma_m(-\nu)} \\
 & \times (\lambda_1 F[t, u(t), D_r^{\gamma-i} u(t)] + \lambda_2 H[t, u(t), \Psi_w^{\theta-j} u(t)]) d_q x d_p y d_m s, \tag{3.3}
 \end{aligned}$$

where Λ is defined by (2.6).

Clearly, the problem (1.5)–(1.6) has solutions if and only if the operator F has fixed points.

Theorem 3.1 *Assume $F : I_\chi^T \times \mathbb{R}^{M+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, H : I_\chi^T \times \mathbb{R}^{N+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, g : I_\chi^T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\varphi : I_\chi^T \times I_\chi^T \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ are continuous, let $\varphi_0 := \sup_{(t,s) \in I_\chi^T \times I_\chi^T} \{\varphi(t,s)\}$. In addition, F, H and g satisfy the following conditions:*

(H₁) *there exist positive constants $L_i, i \in \mathbb{N}_{0,M-1}$ such that, for all $t \in I_\chi^T$ and $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$*

$$|F[t, u, D_r^{\gamma-i} u] - F[t, v, D_r^{\gamma-i} v]| \leq L_M |u - v| + \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} L_i |D_r^{\gamma-i} u - D_r^{\gamma-i} v|,$$

(H₂) *there exist positive constants $\ell_j, j \in \mathbb{N}_{0,N-1}$ such that, for all $t \in I_\chi^T$ and $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$*

$$|H[t, u, \Psi_w^{\theta-j} u] - H[t, v, \Psi_w^{\theta-j} v]| \leq \ell_N |u - v| + \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \ell_j |\Psi_w^{\theta-j} u - \Psi_w^{\theta-j} v|,$$

(H₃) $0 < g(t) < G$ for all $t \in I_\chi^T$,

(H₄) $\Theta := [\lambda_1(L_M + L) + \lambda_2(\ell_N + \ell \frac{\varphi_0 T^\theta}{\Gamma_w(\theta+1)})] \times \{ \frac{\Omega_1}{|\Lambda|} T^{\beta-1} + \frac{\Omega_2}{|\Lambda|} \frac{T^{\alpha+\beta-1} \Gamma_p(\alpha)}{\Gamma_p(\alpha+\beta)} + \frac{T^{\alpha+\beta} \Gamma_p(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma_p(\alpha+\beta+1) \Gamma_q(\beta+1)} \} < 1$.

Then the given boundary value problem (1.5)–(1.6) has a unique solution, where

$$\begin{aligned}
 L &= \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} L_i, & \ell &= \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \ell_j, \\
 \Omega_1 &= \frac{\mu T^{M+\beta-\nu} \Gamma_p(M) \Gamma_m(M + \beta + 1) \Gamma_p(\alpha + 1)}{\Gamma_p(M + \beta) \Gamma_m(M + \beta - \nu) \Gamma_p(\alpha + \beta + 1) \Gamma_q(\beta + 1)} \\
 & \times \left\{ \left| \xi^{\alpha+\beta} - \frac{\tau G \eta^{\alpha+\beta+\vartheta} \Gamma_n(\alpha + \beta + 1)}{\Gamma_n(\alpha + \beta + \vartheta + 1)} \right| \right. \\
 & \left. + \left| \xi^{M+\beta-1} - \frac{\tau G \eta^{M+\beta+\vartheta-1} \Gamma_n(M + \beta)}{\Gamma_n(M + \beta + \vartheta)} \right| \right\}, \tag{3.4} \\
 \Omega_2 &= \mu T^{M-\nu-1} \left\{ \left| \xi^{\alpha+\beta} - \frac{\tau G \eta^{\alpha+\beta+\vartheta} \Gamma_n(\alpha + \beta + 1)}{\Gamma_n(\alpha + \beta + \vartheta + 1)} \right| \frac{\Gamma_m(\alpha)}{\Gamma_m(\alpha - \nu)} \right. \\
 & \left. + \left| \xi^{N-1} - \frac{\tau G \eta^{N+\vartheta-1} \Gamma_n(N)}{\Gamma_n(N + \vartheta)} \right| \right. \\
 & \left. \times \frac{T^{\beta+1} \Gamma_p(\alpha) \Gamma_m(\alpha + \beta + 1) \Gamma_p(\alpha + 1)}{\Gamma_p(\alpha + \beta) \Gamma_m(\alpha + \beta - \nu + 1) \Gamma_p(\alpha + \beta + 1) \Gamma_q(\beta + 1)} \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof We transform the boundary value problem (1.5)–(1.6) into a fixed point problem $u = \mathcal{A}u$, where $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is defined by (3.1). For $t \in I_x^T$, letting

$$|\mathcal{F}[t, u, v, D_r^{\gamma-i}]| := |F[t, u(t), D_r^{\gamma-i}u(t)] - F[t, v(t), D_r^{\gamma-i}v(t)]|, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}_{0, M-1}$$

and

$$|\mathcal{H}[t, u, v, \Psi_w^{\theta-j}]| := |H[t, u(t), \Psi_w^{\theta-j}u(t)] - H[t, v(t), \Psi_w^{\theta-j}v(t)]|, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}_{0, N-1},$$

we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathcal{P}[F(u) + H(u)] - \mathcal{P}[F(v) + H(v)]| \\ & \leq \mu \int_0^T \int_0^s \frac{(T - ms)^{(-\nu-1)}(s - px)^{(\beta-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)\Gamma_m(-\nu)} x^{M-1} d_p x d_m s \\ & \quad \times \left| \tau \int_0^\eta \int_0^s \int_0^\nu \frac{(\eta - ns)^{(\vartheta-1)}(s - py)^{(\beta-1)}(y - qx)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)\Gamma_q(\alpha)\Gamma_n(\vartheta)} g(\eta) \right. \\ & \quad \times [\lambda_1 |\mathcal{F}[x, u, v, D_r^{\gamma-i}]| + \lambda_2 |\mathcal{H}[x, u, v, \Psi_w^{\theta-j}]|] d_q x d_p y d_n s \\ & \quad - \int_0^\xi \int_0^s \frac{(\xi - ps)^{(\beta-1)}(s - qx)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)\Gamma_q(\alpha)} [\lambda_1 |\mathcal{F}[x, u, v, D_r^{\gamma-i}]| \\ & \quad \left. + \lambda_2 |\mathcal{H}[x, u, v, \Psi_w^{\theta-j}]|] d_q x d_p s \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \int_0^\xi \frac{(\xi - ps)^{(\beta-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)} s^{M-1} d_p s \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \tau \int_0^\eta \int_0^s \frac{(\eta - ns)^{(\vartheta-1)}(s - px)^{(\beta-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)\Gamma_n(\vartheta)} x^{\alpha-1} g(\eta) d_p x d_n Ms \right| \\ & \quad \times \mu \int_0^T \int_0^s \int_0^y \frac{(T - ms)^{(-\nu-1)}(s - py)^{(\beta-1)}(y - qx)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)\Gamma_q(\alpha)\Gamma_m(-\nu)} \\ & \quad \times [\lambda_1 |\mathcal{F}[x, u, v, D_r^{\gamma-i}]| + \lambda_2 |\mathcal{H}[x, u, v, \Psi_w^{\theta-j}]|] d_q x d_p y d_m s \\ & \leq \left[\lambda_1 \left(L_M |u - v| + \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} L_i |D_r^{\gamma-i}u - D_r^{\gamma-i}v| \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \lambda_2 \left(\ell_N |u - v| + \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \ell_j |\Psi_w^{\theta-j}u - \Psi_w^{\theta-j}v| \right) \right] \\ & \quad \times \left| \frac{\xi^{\alpha+\beta} \Gamma_p(\alpha + 1)}{\Gamma_p(\alpha + \beta + 1) \Gamma_q(\beta + 1)} - \frac{\tau G \eta^{\alpha+\beta+\vartheta} \Gamma_p(\alpha + 1) \Gamma_n(\alpha + \beta + 1)}{\Gamma_p(\alpha + \beta + 1) \Gamma_n(\alpha + \beta + \vartheta + 1) \Gamma_q(\beta + 1)} \right| \\ & \quad \times \frac{\mu T^{M+\beta-\nu-1} \Gamma_p(M) \Gamma_m(M + \beta)}{\Gamma_p(M + \beta) \Gamma_m(M + \beta - \nu)} \\ & \quad + \left[\lambda_1 \left(L_M |u - v| + \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} L_i |D_r^{\gamma-i}u - D_r^{\gamma-i}v| \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \lambda_2 \left(\ell_N |u - v| + \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \ell_j |\Psi_w^{\theta-j}u - \Psi_w^{\theta-j}v| \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \times \left| \frac{\xi^{M+\beta-1}\Gamma_p(M)}{\Gamma_p(M+\beta)} - \frac{\tau G\eta^{M+\beta+\vartheta-1}\Gamma_p(M)\Gamma_n(M+\beta)}{\Gamma_p(M+\beta)\Gamma_n(M+\beta+\vartheta)} \right| \frac{\mu T^{\alpha+\beta-\nu-1}\Gamma_p(\alpha)\Gamma_m(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma_p(\alpha+\beta)\Gamma_m(\alpha+\beta-\nu)} \\
 \leq & \left[\lambda_1(L_M+L)\|u-v\|_C + \lambda_2 \left(\ell_N + \ell \max_{j \in \mathbb{N}_{0,N-1}} \left\{ \frac{\varphi_0 T^{\theta-j}}{\Gamma_w(\theta-j+1)} \right\} \right) \|u-v\| \right] \\
 & \times \left| \xi^{\alpha+\beta} - \frac{\tau G\eta^{\alpha+\beta+\vartheta}\Gamma_n(\alpha+\beta+1)}{\Gamma_n(\alpha+\beta+\vartheta+1)} \right| \\
 & \times \frac{\mu T^{M+\beta-\nu-1}\Gamma_p(M)\Gamma_m(M+\beta)\Gamma_p(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma_p(M+\beta)\Gamma_m(M+\beta-\nu)\Gamma_p(\alpha+\beta+1)\Gamma_q(\beta+1)} \\
 & + \left[\lambda_1(L_M+L)\|u-v\|_C + \lambda_2 \left(\ell_N + \ell \max_{j \in \mathbb{N}_{0,N-1}} \left\{ \frac{\varphi_0 T^{\theta-j}}{\Gamma_w(\theta-j+1)} \right\} \right) \|u-v\| \right] \\
 & \times \left| \xi^{M+\beta-1} - \frac{\tau G\eta^{M+\beta+\vartheta-1}\Gamma_n(M+\beta)}{\Gamma_n(M+\beta+\vartheta)} \right| \\
 & \times \frac{\mu T^{\alpha+\beta-\nu}\Gamma_p(M)\Gamma_m(\alpha+\beta+1)\Gamma_p(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma_p(M+\beta)\Gamma_m(\alpha+\beta-\nu+1)\Gamma_q(\beta+1)\Gamma_p(\alpha+\beta+1)} \\
 < & \|u-v\|_C \left[\lambda_1(L_M+L) + \lambda_2 \left(\ell_N + \ell \frac{\varphi_0 T^\theta}{\Gamma_w(\theta+1)} \right) \right] \\
 & \times \frac{\mu T^{M+\beta-\nu}\Gamma_p(M)\Gamma_m(M+\beta+1)\Gamma_p(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma_p(M+\beta)\Gamma_m(M+\beta-\nu)\Gamma_p(\alpha+\beta+1)\Gamma_q(\beta+1)} \\
 & \times \left\{ \left| \xi^{\alpha+\beta} - \frac{\tau G\eta^{\alpha+\beta+\vartheta}\Gamma_n(\alpha+\beta+1)}{\Gamma_n(\alpha+\beta+\vartheta+1)} \right| + \left| \xi^{M+\beta-1} - \frac{\tau G\eta^{M+\beta+\vartheta-1}\Gamma_n(M+\beta)}{\Gamma_n(M+\beta+\vartheta)} \right| \right\} \\
 = & \|u-v\|_C \left[\lambda_1(L_M+L) + \lambda_2 \left(\ell_N + \ell \frac{\varphi_0 T^\theta}{\Gamma_w(\theta+1)} \right) \right] \Omega_1. \tag{3.5}
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly to above, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |Q[F(u) + H(u)] - Q[F(v) + H(v)]| \\
 \leq & \left| \mu \int_0^T \frac{(T-ms)^{(-\nu-1)}}{\Gamma_m(-\nu)} s^{M-1} d_ms \left[\tau \int_0^\eta \int_0^s \int_0^y \frac{(\eta-ns)^{(\vartheta-1)}(s-py)^{(\beta-1)}(y-qx)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)\Gamma_q(\alpha)\Gamma_n(\vartheta)} \right. \right. \\
 & \times g(\eta) [\lambda_1 |\mathcal{F}[x, u, v, D_r^{\gamma-i}]| + \lambda_2 |\mathcal{H}[x, u, v, \Psi_w^{\theta-j}]|] d_qx d_py d_ns \\
 & - \int_0^\xi \int_0^s \frac{(\xi-ps)^{(\beta-1)}(s-qx)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)\Gamma_q(\alpha)} [\lambda_1 |\mathcal{F}[x, u, v, D_r^{\gamma-i}]| \\
 & + \lambda_2 |\mathcal{H}[x, u, v, \Psi_w^{\theta-j}]|] d_qx d_ps \left. \right] \\
 & + \left[\xi^{N-1} - \tau \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-ns)^{(\vartheta-1)}}{\Gamma_n(\vartheta)} s^{N-1} g(\eta) d_ns \right] \\
 & \times \mu \int_0^T \int_0^s \int_0^y \frac{(T-ms)^{(-\nu-1)}(s-py)^{(\beta-1)}(y-qx)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)\Gamma_q(\alpha)\Gamma_m(-\nu)} \\
 & \times [\lambda_1 |\mathcal{F}[x, u, v, D_r^{\gamma-i}]| + \lambda_2 |\mathcal{H}[x, u, v, \Psi_w^{\theta-j}]|] d_qx d_py d_ms \left. \right| \\
 \leq & \|u-v\|_C \left[\lambda_1(L_M+L) + \lambda_2 \left(\ell_N + \ell \max_{j \in \mathbb{N}_{0,N-1}} \left\{ \frac{\varphi_0 T^{\theta-j}}{\Gamma_w(\theta-j+1)} \right\} \right) \right] \mu T^{M-\nu-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \times \left\{ \left| \xi^{\alpha+\beta} - \frac{\tau G \eta^{\alpha+\beta+\vartheta} \Gamma_n(\alpha + \beta + 1)}{\Gamma_n(\alpha + \beta + \vartheta + 1)} \right| \frac{\Gamma_m(M)}{\Gamma_m(M - \nu)} \right. \\
 & \left. + \left| \xi^{N-1} - \frac{\tau G \eta^{N+\vartheta-1} \Gamma_n(N)}{\Gamma_n(N + \vartheta)} \right| \frac{T^{\beta+1} \Gamma_p(\alpha) \Gamma_m(\alpha + \beta + 1) \Gamma_p(\alpha + 1)}{\Gamma_p(\alpha + \beta) \Gamma_m(\alpha + \beta - \nu + 1) \Gamma_p(\alpha + \beta + 1) \Gamma_q(\beta + 1)} \right\} \\
 & < \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\lambda_1(L_M + L) + \lambda_2 \left(\ell_N + \ell \frac{\varphi_0 T^\theta}{\Gamma_w(\theta + 1)} \right) \right] \Omega_2. \tag{3.6}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |(\mathcal{A}u)(t) - (\mathcal{A}v)(t)| \\
 & \leq \left| \frac{t^{N-1}}{\Lambda} [\mathcal{P}[F(u) + H(u)] - \mathcal{P}[F(v) + H(v)]] \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \frac{1}{\Lambda} [\mathcal{Q}[F(u) + H(u)] - \mathcal{Q}[F(v) + H(v)]] \right. \\
 & \quad \times \int_0^t \frac{(t - ps)^{(\beta-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)} s^{M-1} d_p s + \int_0^t \int_0^s \frac{(t - ps)^{(\beta-1)} (s - qx)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta) \Gamma_q(\alpha)} \\
 & \quad \times (\lambda_1 F[x, u(x), D_r^{\gamma-i} u(x)] + \lambda_2 H[x, u(x), \Psi_w^{\theta-j} u(x)]) d_q x d_p s \left. \right| \\
 & < \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\lambda_1(L_M + L) + \lambda_2 \left(\ell_N + \ell \frac{\varphi_0 T^\theta}{\Gamma_w(\theta + 1)} \right) \right] \\
 & \quad \times \left\{ \frac{\Omega_1}{|\Lambda|} T^{\beta-1} + \frac{\Omega_2}{|\Lambda|} \frac{T^{\alpha+\beta-1} \Gamma_p(\alpha)}{\Gamma_p(\alpha + \beta)} + \frac{T^{\alpha+\beta} \Gamma_p(\alpha + 1)}{\Gamma_p(\alpha + \beta + 1) \Gamma_q(\beta + 1)} \right\} \\
 & = \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{C}} \Theta. \tag{3.7}
 \end{aligned}$$

Next, taking r -derivative of order γ for (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (D_r^{\gamma-i} \mathcal{A}u)(t) & = \frac{\mathcal{P}[F(u) + H(u)]}{\Lambda} \int_0^t \frac{(t - rs)^{(i-\gamma-1)}}{\Gamma_r(i - \gamma)} s^{N-1} d_r s \\
 & \quad - \frac{\mathcal{Q}[F(u) + H(u)]}{\Lambda} \int_0^t \int_0^s \frac{(t - rs)^{(i-\gamma-1)} (s - px)^{(\beta-1)}}{\Gamma_r(i - \gamma) \Gamma_p(\beta)} x^{M-1} d_p x d_r s \\
 & \quad + \int_0^t \int_0^s \int_0^y \frac{(t - rs)^{(i-\gamma-1)} (s - py)^{(\beta-1)} (y - qx)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_r(i - \gamma) \Gamma_p(\beta) \Gamma_q(\alpha)} \\
 & \quad \times (\lambda_1 F[x, u(x), D_r^{\gamma-i} u(x)] + \lambda_2 H[x, u(x), \Psi_w^{\theta-j} u(x)]) d_q x d_p y d_r s. \tag{3.8}
 \end{aligned}$$

Further, for any $u, v \in \mathcal{C}$ and $t \in I_x^T$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |(D_r^{\gamma-i} \mathcal{A}u)(t) - (D_r^{\gamma-i} \mathcal{A}v)(t)| \\
 & \leq \left| \frac{1}{\Lambda} [\mathcal{P}[F(u) + H(u)] - \mathcal{P}[F(v) + H(v)]] \int_0^t \frac{(t - rs)^{(i-\gamma-1)}}{\Gamma_r(i - \gamma)} s^{N-1} d_r s \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \frac{1}{\Lambda} [\mathcal{Q}[F(u) + G(u)] - \mathcal{Q}[F(v) + G(v)]] \right. \\
 & \quad \times \int_0^t \int_0^s \frac{(t - rs)^{(i-\gamma-1)} (s - px)^{(\beta-1)}}{\Gamma_r(i - \gamma) \Gamma_p(\beta)} x^{M-1} d_p x d_r s
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \int_0^t \int_0^s \int_0^y \frac{(t-rs)^{(i-\gamma-1)}}{\Gamma_r(i-\gamma)} \frac{(s-py)^{(\beta-1)}(y-qx)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \\
 & \times (\lambda_1 F[x, u(x), D_r^{\gamma-i}u(x)] + \lambda_2 H[x, u(x), \Psi_w^{\theta-j}u(x)]) d_q x d_p y d_r s \Big| \\
 & < \|u - v\|_C \left[\lambda_1(L_M + L) + \lambda_2 \left(\ell_N + \ell \frac{\varphi_0 T^\theta}{\Gamma_w(\theta + 1)} \right) \right] \left\{ \frac{\Omega_1}{|\Lambda|} \frac{T^{N-\gamma-1}\Gamma_r(N)}{\Gamma_r(N-\gamma)} \right. \\
 & \left. + \frac{\Omega_2}{|\Lambda|} \frac{T^{M+\beta-\gamma-1}\Gamma_p(M)\Gamma_r(M+\beta)}{\Gamma_p(M+\beta)\Gamma_r(M+\beta-\gamma)} + \frac{T^{\alpha+\beta-\gamma}\Gamma_p(\alpha+1)\Gamma_r(\alpha+\beta+1)}{\Gamma_p(\alpha+\beta+1)\Gamma_q(\beta+1)\Gamma_r(\alpha+\beta-\gamma+1)} \right\} \\
 & < \|u - v\|_C \Theta. \tag{3.9}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\|Au - Av\|_C \leq \|u - v\|_C \Theta. \tag{3.10}$$

We can conclude from (H_4) that \mathcal{A} is a contraction. The proof is completed by using Banach's contraction mapping principle. \square

The following theorems show the existence of at least one solution to the boundary value problem (1.6) by employing the Leray–Schauder nonlinear alternative.

Theorem 3.2 (Nonlinear alternative for single valued maps [35]) *Let E be a Banach space, C a closed, convex subset of E , U an open subset of C and $0 \in U$. Suppose that $F : \bar{U} \rightarrow C$ is a continuous, compact [that is, $F(\bar{U})$ is a relatively compact subset of C] map. Then either*

- (i) F has a fixed point in \bar{U} , or
- (ii) there is a $u \in \partial U$ (the boundary of U in C) and $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ with $u = \sigma F(u)$.

Theorem 3.3 *Assume that (H_3) holds and the functions F, H satisfy the following conditions:*

- (H_5) for $i = 1, 2$ there exist continuous nondecreasing functions $\psi, \phi_i : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ and functions $z_i \in L^1(I_\chi^T, \mathbb{R}^+)$, such that for all $(t, u) \in I_\chi^T \times \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 |F[t, u(t), D_r^{\gamma-i}u(t)]| & \leq z_1(t)\psi_1(\|u\|), \quad i \in \mathbb{N}_{0, M-1} \quad \text{and} \\
 |H[t, u(t), \Psi_w^{\theta-j}u(t)]| & \leq z_2(t)\psi_2(\|u\|), \quad j \in \mathbb{N}_{0, N-1},
 \end{aligned}$$

- (H_6) there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that

$$\frac{K}{(\lambda_1 \psi_1(K)\|z_1\|_{L^1} + \lambda_2 \psi_2(K)\|z_2\|_{L^1})\Theta} > 1.$$

Then the boundary value problem (1.5)–(1.6) has at least one solution on I_χ^T .

Proof To show that \mathcal{A} maps bounded sets (balls) into bounded sets in C , the constructive proof is as follows. For a positive number ρ , let $B_\rho = \{u \in C(I_\chi^T, \mathbb{R}) : \|u\|_C \leq \rho\}$ be a bounded ball in $C(I_\chi^T, \mathbb{R})$. Then for $t \in I_\chi^T$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |\mathcal{P}[F(u) + H(u)]| \\
 & \leq \mu \int_0^T \int_0^s \frac{(T-ms)^{(-\nu-1)}(s-py)^{(\beta-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)\Gamma_m(-\nu)} y^{\alpha-1} d_p y d_m s
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \times \left| \tau \int_0^\eta \int_0^s \int_0^y \frac{(\eta - ns)^{(\vartheta-1)}(s - py)^{(\beta-1)}(y - qx)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)\Gamma_q(\alpha)\Gamma_n(\vartheta)} g(\eta) \right. \\
 & \times (\lambda_1 \psi_1(\|u\|)|z_1(x)| + \lambda_2 \psi_2(\|u\|)|z_2(x)|) d_q x d_p y d_n s \\
 & - \int_0^\xi \int_0^s \frac{(\xi - ps)^{(\beta-1)}(s - qy)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)\Gamma_q(\alpha)} (\lambda_1 \psi_1(\|u\|)|z_1(y)| + \lambda_2 \psi_2(\|u\|)|z_2(y)|) d_q y d_p s \Big| \\
 & + \left| \int_0^\xi \frac{(\xi - ps)^{(\beta-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)} s^{\alpha-1} d_p s - \tau \int_0^\eta \int_0^s \frac{(\eta - ns)^{(\vartheta-1)}(s - py)^{(\beta-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)\Gamma_n(\vartheta)} g(\eta) y^{\alpha-1} d_p y d_n s \right| \\
 & \times \mu \int_0^T \int_0^s \int_0^y \frac{(T - ms)^{(-\nu-1)}(s - py)^{(\beta-1)}(y - qx)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)\Gamma_q(\alpha)\Gamma_m(-\nu)} \\
 & \times (\lambda_1 \psi_1(\|u\|)|z_1(x)| + \lambda_2 \psi_2(\|u\|)|z_2(x)|) d_q x d_p y d_m s \\
 & \leq (\lambda_1 \psi_1(\|u\|)\|z_1\|_{L^1} + \lambda_2 \psi_2(\|u\|)\|z_2\|_{L^1}) \\
 & \times \frac{\mu T^{M+\beta-\nu} \Gamma_p(M)\Gamma_m(M + \beta)\Gamma_p(\alpha + 1)}{\Gamma_p(M + \beta)\Gamma_m(M + \beta - \nu)\Gamma_p(\alpha + \beta + 1)\Gamma_q(\beta + 1)} \\
 & \times \left\{ \left| \xi^{\alpha+\beta} - \frac{\tau G \eta^{\alpha+\beta+\vartheta} \Gamma_n(\alpha + \beta + 1)}{\Gamma_n(\alpha + \beta + \vartheta + 1)} \right| \right. \\
 & \left. + \left| \xi^{M+\beta-1} - \frac{\tau G \eta^{M+\beta+\vartheta-1} \Gamma_n(M + \beta)}{\Gamma_n(M + \beta + \vartheta)} \right| \right\} \\
 & = (\lambda_1 \psi_1(\|u\|)\|z_1\|_{L^1} + \lambda_2 \psi_2(\|u\|)\|z_2\|_{L^1}) \Omega_1. \tag{3.11}
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the same argument as above, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |\mathcal{Q}[F(u) + H(u)] - \mathcal{Q}[F(v) + H(v)]| \\
 & \leq (\lambda_1 \psi_1(\|u\|)\|z_1\|_{L^1} + \lambda_2 \psi_2(\|u\|)\|z_2\|_{L^1}) \mu T^{M-\nu-1} \\
 & \times \left\{ \left| \xi^{\alpha+\beta} - \frac{\tau G \eta^{\alpha+\beta+\vartheta} \Gamma_n(\alpha + \beta + 1)}{\Gamma_n(\alpha + \beta + \vartheta + 1)} \right| \frac{\Gamma_m(M)}{\Gamma_m(M - \nu)} + \left| \xi^{N-1} - \frac{\tau G \eta^{N+\vartheta-1} \Gamma_n(N)}{\Gamma_n(N + \vartheta)} \right| \right. \\
 & \left. \times \frac{T^{\beta+1} \Gamma_p(\alpha)\Gamma_m(\alpha + \beta + 1)\Gamma_p(\alpha + 1)}{\Gamma_p(\alpha + \beta)\Gamma_m(\alpha + \beta - \nu + 1)\Gamma_p(\alpha + \beta + 1)\Gamma_q(\beta + 1)} \right\} \\
 & = (\lambda_1 \psi_1(\|u\|)\|z_1\|_{L^1} + \lambda_2 \psi_2(\|u\|)\|z_2\|_{L^1}) \Omega_2. \tag{3.12}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |(\mathcal{A}u)(t)| \leq (\lambda_1 \psi_1(\|u\|)\|z_1\|_{L^1} + \lambda_2 \psi_2(\|u\|)\|z_2\|_{L^1}) \\
 & \times \left\{ \frac{\Omega_1}{|\Lambda|} T^{\beta-1} + \frac{\Omega_2}{|\Lambda|} \frac{T^{\alpha+\beta-1} \Gamma_p(\alpha)}{\Gamma_p(\alpha + \beta)} + \frac{T^{\alpha+\beta} \Gamma_p(\alpha + 1)}{\Gamma_p(\alpha + \beta + 1)\Gamma_q(\beta + 1)} \right\} \\
 & := (\lambda_1 \psi_1(\|u\|)\|z_1\|_{L^1} + \lambda_2 \psi_2(\|u\|)\|z_2\|_{L^1}) \Theta, \tag{3.13}
 \end{aligned}$$

and, for $i \in \mathbb{N}_{0, M-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |(D_r^{\gamma-i} \mathcal{A}u)(t)| \\
 & \leq (\lambda_1 \psi_1(\|u\|)\|z_1\|_{L^1} + \lambda_2 \psi_2(\|u\|)\|z_2\|_{L^1}) \left\{ \frac{\Omega_1}{|\Lambda|} \frac{T^{N-\gamma-1} \Gamma_r(N)}{\Gamma_r(N - \gamma)} \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left. + \frac{\Omega_2}{|\Lambda|} \frac{T^{M+\beta-\gamma-1} \Gamma_p(M) \Gamma_r(M+\beta)}{\Gamma_p(M+\beta) \Gamma_r(M+\beta-\gamma)} + \frac{T^{\alpha+\beta-\gamma} \Gamma_p(\alpha+1) \Gamma_r(\alpha+\beta+1)}{\Gamma_p(\alpha+\beta+1) \Gamma_q(\beta+1) \Gamma_r(\alpha+\beta-\gamma+1)} \right\} \\
 & \leq (\lambda_1 \psi_1(\|u\|) \|z_1\|_{L^1} + \lambda_2 \psi_2(\|u\|) \|z_2\|_{L^1}) \Theta. \tag{3.14}
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $\|\mathcal{A}u\|_C \leq (\lambda_1 \psi_1(\|u\|) \|z_1\|_{L^1} + \lambda_2 \psi_2(\|u\|) \|z_2\|_{L^1}) \Theta$.

Further, we will show that \mathcal{A} maps bounded sets into equicontinuous sets of $C(I_\chi^T, \mathbb{R})$.

Letting $t_1, t_2 \in I_\chi^T$ with $t_1 \leq t_2$ and $u \in B_\rho$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |(\mathcal{A}u)(t_2) - (\mathcal{A}u)(t_1)| \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{|\Lambda|} \mathcal{P}[F(u) + G(u)] |t_2^{N-1} - t_1^{N-1}| \\
 & \quad + \frac{1}{|\Lambda|} \mathcal{Q}[F(u) + G(u)] \left| \int_0^{t_2} \frac{(t_2 - ps)^{(\beta-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)} s^{M-1} d_p s - \int_0^{t_1} \frac{(t_1 - ps)^{(\beta-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta)} s^{M-1} d_p s \right| \\
 & \quad + (\lambda_1 \psi_1(\|u\|) \|z_1\|_{L^1} + \lambda_2 \psi_2(\|u\|) \|z_2\|_{L^1}) \\
 & \quad \times \left| \int_0^{t_2} \int_0^s (t_2 - ps)^{(\beta-1)} \frac{(s - qy)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta) \Gamma_q(\alpha)} d_q y d_p s \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \int_0^{t_1} \int_0^s (t_1 - ps)^{(\beta-1)} \frac{(s - qx)^{(\alpha-1)}}{\Gamma_p(\beta) \Gamma_q(\alpha)} d_q x d_p s \right| \\
 & \leq (\lambda_1 \psi_1(\|u\|) \|z_1\|_{L^1} + \lambda_2 \psi_2(\|u\|) \|z_2\|_{L^1}) \left\{ \frac{\Omega_1}{|\Lambda|} |t_2^{N-1} - t_1^{N-1}| \right. \\
 & \quad + \frac{\Omega_2}{|\Lambda|} \frac{\Gamma_p(M)}{\Gamma_p(M+\beta)} |t_1^{M+\beta-1} - t_2^{M+\beta-1}| \\
 & \quad \left. + \frac{\Gamma_p(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma_p(\alpha+\beta+1) \Gamma_q(\beta+1)} |t_2^{\alpha+\beta} - t_1^{\alpha+\beta}| \right\}, \tag{3.15}
 \end{aligned}$$

and, for $i \in \mathbb{N}_{0, M-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |(D_r^{\gamma-i} \mathcal{A}u)(t_2) - (D_r^{\gamma-i} \mathcal{A}u)(t_1)| \\
 & \leq (\lambda_1 \psi_1(\|u\|) \|z_1\|_{L^1} + \lambda_2 \psi_2(\|u\|) \|z_2\|_{L^1}) \\
 & \quad \times \left\{ \frac{\Omega_1}{|\Lambda|} \frac{\Gamma_r(N)}{\Gamma_r(N-\gamma)} |t_2^{N-\gamma-1} - t_1^{N-\gamma-1}| \right. \\
 & \quad + \frac{\Omega_2}{|\Lambda|} \frac{\Gamma_p(M) \Gamma_r(M+\beta)}{\Gamma_p(M+\beta) \Gamma_r(M+\beta-\gamma)} |t_1^{M+\beta-\gamma-1} - t_2^{M+\beta-\gamma-1}| \\
 & \quad \left. + \frac{\Gamma_p(\alpha+1) \Gamma_r(\alpha+\beta+1)}{\Gamma_p(\alpha+\beta+1) \Gamma_q(\beta+1) \Gamma_r(\alpha+\beta-\gamma+1)} |t_2^{\alpha+\beta-\gamma} - t_1^{\alpha+\beta-\gamma}| \right\}. \tag{3.16}
 \end{aligned}$$

As $t_2 - t_1 \rightarrow 0$, the right hand side of (3.16) tends to zero independently of $u \in B_\rho$. As \mathcal{A} satisfies the above assumptions, it follows by the Arzelà–Ascoli theorem that $\mathcal{A} : C(I_\chi^T, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C(I_\chi^T, \mathbb{R})$ is completely continuous.

Let u be a solution. Proceeding by similar computations to the first step for $t \in I_\chi^T$, we have

$$|u(t)| \leq (\lambda_1 \psi_1(\|u\|) \|z_1\|_{L^1} + \lambda_2 \psi_2(\|u\|) \|z_2\|_{L^1}) \Theta.$$

Hence,

$$\frac{\|u\|_C}{(\lambda_1 \psi_1(\|u\|)\|z_1\|_{L^1} + \lambda_2 \psi_2(\|u\|)\|z_2\|_{L^1})\Theta} \leq 1.$$

Under (H_6) , there exists K such that $\|u\|_C \neq K$. We set

$$U = \{u \in C(I_\chi^T, \mathbb{R}) : \|u\|_C < K\}.$$

Note that the operator $\mathcal{A} : \overline{U} \rightarrow C(I_\chi^T, \mathbb{R})$ is continuous and completely continuous. We find that there is no $u \in \partial U$ such that $u = \sigma \mathcal{A}u$ for some $\sigma \in (0, 1)$. Consequently, by the nonlinear alternative of Leray–Schauder type (Theorem 3.2), we can conclude that \mathcal{A} has a fixed point $u \in \overline{U}$ which is a solution of the problem (1.5)–(1.6). This completes the proof. \square

4 Example

The following boundary value problem is an example illustrating our main result. Consider the second-order q -difference equation with q -integral boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} {}_C D_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{5}{2}} {}_C D_{\frac{1}{3}}^{\frac{4}{3}} u(t) &= \frac{e^{-\sin^2(2\pi t+5)}}{200 + e^{\cos^2(2\pi t)}} \cdot \frac{|u(t)| + |D_{\frac{3}{4}}^{\frac{4}{3}} u(t)| + |D_{\frac{3}{4}}^{\frac{1}{3}} u(t)|}{[1 + |u(t)|]} \\ &\quad + \frac{e^{-\cos^2(2\pi t)}|u(t)| + |\Psi_{\frac{5}{2}}^{\frac{1}{4}} u(t)|}{(t + 10)^2 [1 + |u(t)|]}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

$$u(0) = D_{\frac{1}{3}}^{\frac{4}{3}} u(0) = D_{\frac{1}{3}}^{\frac{7}{3}} u(0) = D_{\frac{1}{3}}^{\frac{10}{3}} u(0) = 0,$$

$$D_{\frac{1}{3}}^{\frac{1}{3}} u(0) = 10 D_{\frac{1}{3}}^{\frac{1}{3}} u(6), \quad u\left(\frac{1}{10}\right) = 2 I_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} e^{\cos(\frac{\pi}{36,000})} u\left(\frac{\pi}{36,000}\right),$$

where $t \in I_{\frac{1}{60}}^6 = \{6(\frac{1}{60})^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{0, 3\}$ and $\Psi_{\frac{5}{2}}^{\frac{1}{4}} u(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_{\frac{2}{3}}(\frac{1}{4})} \int_0^t \frac{e^{-s}}{(t+20)^2} \cdot u(s) d_{\frac{2}{3}} s$.

We apply Theorem 3.1 when $q = \frac{1}{2}, p = \frac{1}{3}, r = \frac{3}{4}, w = \frac{2}{5}, m = \frac{2}{3}, n = \frac{1}{4}, M = 3, N = 2, \alpha = \frac{5}{2}, \beta = \gamma = \frac{4}{3}, \theta = \frac{1}{4}, v = \frac{1}{5}, \vartheta = \frac{3}{4}, T = 6, \lambda_1 = e^{-5}, \lambda_2 = 1, \mu = 10, \tau = 2, \chi = \frac{1}{60}, \xi = \frac{1}{10}, \eta = \frac{1}{36,000}$ and $\varphi_0 = \sup\{\varphi(t, s)\} = \frac{1}{400}$.

Since

$$\begin{aligned} |F(t, u, D_r^\gamma u) - F(t, v, D_r^\gamma v)| &\leq \frac{1}{201e} [|u - v| + |D_r^\gamma u - D_r^\gamma v| + |D_r^{\gamma-1} u - D_r^{\gamma-1} v|], \\ |H(t, u, \Psi_w^\theta u) - H(t, v, \Psi_w^\theta v)| &\leq \frac{1}{100e} |u - v| + \frac{1}{100} |\Psi_w^\theta u - \Psi_w^\theta v|, \end{aligned}$$

so (H_1) – (H_2) are satisfied with $L_1 = L_2 = L_3 = \frac{1}{201e}, L = \frac{2}{201e}$ and $\ell_2 = \frac{1}{100e}, \ell_1 = \frac{1}{100} = \ell$.

In addition, since $\frac{1}{e} \leq g(t) \leq e$, then (H_3) is satisfied with $G = e$. Moreover, we can show that

$$|\Lambda| = 22.0923, \quad \Omega_1 = 10.3252 \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_2 = 28.9938.$$

We obtain

$$\Theta \approx 0.0329 < 1.$$

It implies that (H_4) holds. From Theorem 3.1, we can conclude that the assigned problem (4.1) has a unique solution on $I_{\frac{1}{60}}^6$.

5 Conclusion

We have proved the existence results of the four-point fractional q -integral and Riemann–Liouville fractional q -derivative boundary value problem for a sequential Caputo fractional q -integrodifference equation involving separate nonlinearity (1.5)–(1.6), by using the Banach contraction mapping principle as regards the existence and uniqueness of a solution, and the Leray–Schauder nonlinear alternative for the existence of at least a solution. Our problem contains $2(M + N + 1)$ different orders and six different numbers of q in derivatives and integral, which is a new idea.

Funding

This research was funded by King Mongkut's University of Technology North Bangkok. Contract no. KMUTNB-GOV-59-38.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally and significantly in writing this article. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Applied Science, King Mongkut's University of Technology North Bangkok, Bangkok, Thailand. ²Mathematics Department, Faculty of Science and Technology, Suan Dusit University, Bangkok, Thailand.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 16 August 2017 Accepted: 20 March 2018 Published online: 27 March 2018

References

- Jackson, F.H.: On q -difference equations. *Am. J. Math.* **32**, 305–314 (1910)
- Carmichael, R.D.: The general theory of linear q -difference equations. *Am. J. Math.* **34**, 147–168 (1912)
- Mason, T.E.: On properties of the solutions of linear q -difference equations with entire function coefficients. *Am. J. Math.* **37**, 439–444 (1915)
- Kac, V., Cheung, P.: *Quantum Calculus*. Springer, New York (2002)
- Al-Salam, W.A.: Some fractional q -integrals and q -derivatives. *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.* **15**(2), 135–140 (1966)
- Agarwal, R.P.: Certain fractional q -integrals and q -derivatives. *Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.* **66**, 365–370 (1969)
- Annaby, M.H., Mansour, Z.S.: *q -Fractional Calculus and Equations*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 2056. Springer, Berlin (2012)
- Rajković, P., Marinković, S., Stanković, M.: Fractional integrals and derivatives in q -calculus. *Appl. Anal. Discrete Math.* **1**(1), 311–323 (2007)
- Atici, F.M., Eloe, P.W.: Fractional q -calculus on a time scale. *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* **14**(3), 333–344 (2007)
- El-Shahed, M., Hassan, H.A.: Positive solutions of q -difference equation. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **138**, 1733–1738 (2010)
- Ahmad, B., Ntouyas, S.K.: Boundary value problems for q -difference inclusions. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2011**, Article ID 292860 (2011)
- Ahmad, B., Nieto, J.J.: On nonlocal boundary value problems of nonlinear q -difference equations. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2012**, 81 (2012)
- Ahmad, B., Ntouyas, S.K.: Existence of solutions for nonlinear fractional q -difference inclusions with nonlocal Robin (separated) conditions. *Mediterr. J. Math.* **10**, 1333–1351 (2013)
- Agarwal, R.P., Wang, G., Hobiny, A., Zhang, L., Ahmad, B.: Existence and nonexistence of solutions for nonlinear second order q -integro-difference equations with non-separated boundary conditions. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **8**, 976–985 (2015)
- Ahmad, B., Nieto, J.J., Alsaedi, A., Al-Hutami, H.: Existence of solutions for nonlinear fractional q -difference integral equations with two fractional orders and nonlocal four-point boundary conditions. *J. Franklin Inst.* **351**, 2890–2909 (2014)

16. Almeida, R., Martins, N.: Existence results for fractional q -difference equations of order $\alpha \in]2, 3[$ with three-point boundary conditions. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* **19**, 1675–1685 (2014)
17. Pongarm, N., Asawasamrit, S., Tariboon, J., Ntouyas, S.K.: Multi-strip fractional q -integral boundary value problems for nonlinear fractional q -difference equations. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2014**, 13 (2014)
18. Ma, J., Yang, J.: Existence of solutions for multi-point boundary value problem of fractional q -difference equation. *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.* **92**, 1 (2011)
19. Abdeljawad, T., Benli, B., Baleanu, D.: Generalized q -Mittag–Leffler function by q -Caputo fractional linear equations. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2012**, Article ID 546062 (2012)
20. Baleanu, D., Agarwal, P.: Certain inequalities involving the fractional-integral operators. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2014**, Article ID 371274 (2014)
21. Abdeljawad, T., Baleanu, D., Jarad, F., Agarwal, R.P.: Fractional sums and differences with binomial coefficients. *Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc.* **2013**, Article ID 104173 (2013)
22. Abdeljawad, T., Baleanu, D.: Caputo q -fractional initial value problems and a q -analogue Mittag–Leffler function. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* **16**(12), 4682–4688 (2011)
23. Shammakh, W., Al-Yami, M.: Positive solutions for nonlinear q -fractional difference eigenvalue problem with nonlocal conditions. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2015**, Article ID 759378 (2015)
24. Zhao, Y., Chen, H., Zhang, Q.: Existence results for fractional q -difference equations with nonlocal q -integral boundary conditions. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2013**, 48 (2013)
25. Ferreira, R.A.: Nontrivial solutions for fractional q -difference boundary value problems. *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.* **70**, 1 (2010)
26. Ferreira, R.A.: Positive solutions of a nonlinear q -fractional difference equation with integral boundary conditions. *Int. J. Difference Equ.* **9**(2), 135–145 (2014)
27. Yuan, Q., Yang, W.: Positive solutions of nonlinear boundary value problems for delayed fractional q -difference systems. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2014**, 51 (2014)
28. Yang, W.: Positive solutions for nonlinear semipositone fractional q -difference system with coupled integral boundary conditions. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **244**, 702–725 (2014)
29. Sitthiwirattam, T., Tariboon, J., Ntouyas, S.K.: Three-point boundary value problems of nonlinear second-order q -difference equations involving different numbers of q . *J. Appl. Math.* **2013**, Article ID 763786 (2013)
30. Saenggamongkhol, T., Kaewwisetkul, B., Sitthiwirattam, T.: Existence results for nonlinear second-order q -difference equations with q -integral boundary conditions. *Differ. Equ. Appl.* **7**(3), 303–311 (2015)
31. Sitthiwirattam, T.: On nonlocal fractional q -integral boundary value problems of fractional q -difference and fractional q -integrodifference equations involving different numbers of order and q . *Bound. Value Probl.* **2016**, 12 (2016)
32. Patanarapeelert, N., Sitthiwirattam, T.: Existence results of sequential derivatives of nonlinear quantum difference equations with a new class of three-point boundary value problems conditions. *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.* **18**, 844–856 (2015)
33. Patanarapeelert, N., Sriphanomwan, U., Sitthiwirattam, T.: On a class of sequential fractional q -integrodifference boundary value problems involving different numbers of q in derivatives and integrals. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2016**, 148 (2016)
34. Sriphanomwan, U., Tariboon, J., Patanarapeelert, N., Sitthiwirattam, T.: Existence results of nonlocal boundary value problems for nonlinear fractional q -integrodifference equations. *J. Nonlinear Funct. Anal.* **2017**, 28 (2017)
35. Granas, A., Dugundji, J.: *Fixed Point Theory*. Springer, New York (2003)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► [springeropen.com](https://www.springeropen.com)
