

RESEARCH

Open Access



On vibrations in thermoelasticity without energy dissipation for micropolar bodies

Marin Marin^{1*} and Dumitru Baleanu^{2,3}

*Correspondence:
m.marin@unitbv.ro

¹Department of Mathematics and
Computer Science, Transilvania
University of Brasov, Brasov, 500118,
Romania

Full list of author information is
available at the end of the article

Abstract

We consider a micropolar thermoelastic body occupying a prismatic cylinder that is free of loads on lateral surface (no body force, no body couple, and no heat supply). On the base of the cylinder are prescribed a time-dependent displacement, a microrotation, and a thermal displacement, which are harmonic in time, and collaborate to induce the motion of the considered body. With the help of a measure associated with the corresponding steady-state vibration and by assuming that the exciting frequency is lower than a certain critical frequency, we will obtain a spatial decay estimate.

Keywords: thermoelasticity; micropolar; energy dissipation; vibration

1 Introduction

The theory of thermoelastic material behavior without energy dissipation possesses the following properties: the heat flow, in contrast to that in classical thermoelasticity characterized by the Fourier law, does not involve energy dissipation; a constitutive equation for an entropy flux vector is determined by the same potential function as also determines the stress, and it permits the transmission of heat as thermal waves at finite speed.

It is well known that in a micropolar continuum the deformation is described not only by the displacement vector but also by an independent rotation vector. This rotation vector specifies the orientation of a triad of director vectors attached to each material particle. A material point can experience a microrotation without undergoing a macrodisplacement. An infinitesimal surface element transmits a force and a couple vector, which give rise to nonsymmetric stress and couple-stress tensors. The former is related to a nonsymmetric strain tensor and the latter to a nonsymmetric curvature tensor, defined as the gradient of the rotation vector.

It is believed that this type of the continuum mechanics was originally introduced by Voigt since 1887 and the brothers Cosserat since 1909. There is a simplified variant of the theory of micropolar bodies, the so-called couple-stress theory, and in this theory the rotation vector is not independent of the displacement vector, but related to it in the same way as in classical continuum mechanics. The motivation for the extension of the classical to micropolar and couple-stress theory was that the classical theory was not able to predict the size effect experimentally observed in problems which had a geometric length scale comparable to the material's microstructural length, such as the grain size in a polycrystalline or granular aggregate. For example, the apparent strength of some materials

with stress concentrators such as holes and notches is higher for smaller grain size; for a given volume fraction of dispersed hard particles, the strengthening of metals is greater for smaller particles; the bending and torsional strengths are higher for very thin beams and wires.

There are several hyperbolic theories for describing the heat conduction which are also called theories of second sound. In these theories the flow of heat is modeled with finite propagation speed, in contrast to the classical model based on the Fourier law, leading to infinite propagation speed of heat signals. A review of these theories is presented in the paper [1] by Chandrasekharaiah.

First results in the thermoelastic theory without energy dissipation were obtained by Green and Naghdi [2]. This thermomechanical theory of deformable media introduces the so-called thermal displacement related to the common temperature, and uses a general entropy balance as postulated in Green and Naghdi [3]. By the procedure of Green and Naghdi, the reduced energy equation is regarded as an identity for all thermodynamical processes and places some restrictions on the functional forms of the dependent constitutive variables. The theory is illustrated in detail in the context of the flow of heat in a rigid solid, with particular reference to the propagation of thermal waves at finite speed. The linear theory of thermoelasticity without energy dissipation for homogeneous and isotropic materials was employed by Nappa [4] to obtain spatial energy bounds and decay estimates for the transient solutions in connection with the problem in which a thermoelastic body is deformed subject to boundary and initial data and body supplies having a compact support, provided positive definiteness assumptions are made upon the constitutive coefficients. Also, in the linear theory of thermoelasticity without energy dissipation Chandrasekharaiah [5] proves the uniqueness of the solutions, Iesan [6] establishes continuous dependence results, while Quintanilla [7] studies the question of existence. In [8–10] we find some results regarding vibrations for magneto-thermoelastic bodies. Other results regarding thermoelasticity of dipolar bodies and of microstretch bodies are presented in [11–16]. Some concrete and practical issues related to porous media can be found in [17] and [18].

Our present study is dedicated to the spatial behavior of the harmonic in time vibrations within the model of the linear thermoelasticity theory without dissipation energy for micropolar bodies. We prove *a priori* estimates for the amplitude of a harmonic vibration by means of some auxiliary identities. It provides some estimates describing how the amplitude evolves with respect to the distance to the excited base, provided the frequency of vibration is greater than a certain critical value.

The spatial behavior of the harmonic in time vibrations has been studied by Chirita [19] in the theory of classical linear thermoelasticity. Here the author uses a technique developed by Flavin and Knops [20] in the low frequency range. Some differential inequalities are established for the appropriate selected measures which after integration provide exponential estimates for the spatial evolution of the amplitude of vibration, provided the positive definiteness of the constitutive coefficients is assumed.

In [21] Ciarletta proposed a theory of micropolar thermoelasticity, which, because it is a theory without energy dissipation, allows propagation of thermal waves at a finite speed.

The plan of the paper is the following. We first write down the mixed initial boundary value problem within context of micropolar bodies in thermoelasticity without energy dissipation. Then we prove some differential relations for certain cross-sectional integrals.

Based on these relations we obtain estimates describing how the amplitude evolves with respect to the distance to the excited base. This requires the frequency of vibrations to be greater than a certain critical value.

2 Basic equations

Let B be an open set domain of a three-dimensional Euclidian space occupied by the reference configuration of a homogeneous micropolar body. We assume that B is regular and a finite region with boundary ∂B and we denote the closure of B by \bar{B} . We use a fixed system of rectangular Cartesian axes and adopt Cartesian tensor notation. Points in B are denoted by x_j and $t \in [0, \infty)$ is the temporal variable. Also, the spatial argument and the time argument of a function will be omitted when there is no likelihood of confusion. A superposed dot denotes the differentiation with respect to time t , and a subscript preceded by a comma denotes the differentiation with respect to the corresponding spatial variable.

The governing equations of the theory of anisotropic and homogeneous micropolar thermoelasticity without energy dissipation, as we can find in [21], consist of the equations of motion

$$\begin{aligned}
 t_{ij,j} + \rho F_i &= \rho \ddot{u}_i, \\
 m_{ij,j} + \varepsilon_{ijk} t_{jk} + \rho M_i &= I_{ij} \ddot{\varphi}_j;
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{1}$$

and the equation of energy

$$\rho \dot{\eta} = \frac{\rho}{\theta_0} r - q_{i,i}.
 \tag{2}$$

Equations (1) and (2) are defined for $(x, t) \in B \times (0, \infty)$.

When the reference solid has a center of symmetry at each point but is otherwise non-isotropic, the constitutive equations, defined for $(x, t) \in \bar{B} \times [0, \infty)$, are

$$\begin{aligned}
 t_{ij} &= A_{ijmn} \varepsilon_{mn} + B_{ijmn} \gamma_{mn} - D_{ij} \theta, \\
 m_{ij} &= B_{mnij} \varepsilon_{mn} + C_{ijmn} \gamma_{mn} - E_{ij} \theta, \\
 \rho \eta &= D_{ij} \varepsilon_{ij} + E_{ij} \gamma_{ij} + \frac{c}{\theta_0} \theta, \\
 q_i &= -\frac{1}{\theta_0} K_{ij} \beta_j.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3}$$

The deformation tensors ε_{ij} and γ_{ij} used in equations (3) are defined, in $\bar{B} \times [0, \infty)$, by means of the geometric equations

$$\varepsilon_{ij} = u_{j,i} + \varepsilon_{ijk} \varphi_k, \quad \gamma_{ij} = \varphi_{j,i}.
 \tag{4}$$

The system of equations is complete if we add the law of heat flow

$$\dot{\beta}_i = \theta_{,i}
 \tag{5}$$

for all $(x, t) \in \bar{B} \times [0, \infty)$.

In the equations above we have used the following notation: u_i for the components of displacement vector, φ_i for the components of microrotatia vector, t_{ij} for the components of stress tensor, m_{ij} for the components of couple-stress tensor, q_i for the components of the heat conduction vector, η for the specific entropy per unit mass, ϱ for the constant reference density mass, θ_0 for the constant reference temperature, I_{ij} for the components of inertia, β_i for the components of the thermal displacement gradient vector, F_i for the components of the external body force vector, M_i for the components of the external body couple vector, r for the external rate of supply of heat per unit mass, and ε_{ijk} is the alternating symbol.

The coefficients from (3), that is, A_{ijmn} , B_{ijmn} , C_{ijmn} , D_{ij} , E_{ij} , c , and K_{ij} are constant constitutive coefficients subject to the following symmetry conditions:

$$A_{ijmn} = A_{mnij}, \quad C_{ijmn} = C_{mnij}, \quad I_{ij} = I_{ji}, \quad K_{ij} = K_{ji}. \tag{6}$$

The free energy Ψ , used to obtain the constitutive equations, is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho\Psi = & \frac{1}{2}A_{ijmn}\varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{mn} + B_{ijmn}\varepsilon_{ij}\gamma_{mn} + \frac{1}{2}C_{ijmn}\gamma_{ij}\gamma_{mn} \\ & - D_{ij}\varepsilon_{ij}\theta - E_{ij}\gamma_{ij}\theta - \frac{c}{2\theta_0}\theta^2 + \frac{c}{2\theta_0}K_{ij}\tau_{,i}\tau_{,j}. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Here we denote by τ the thermal displacement related to the temperature variation. The relationship between τ and θ is given by

$$\dot{\tau} = \theta. \tag{8}$$

Introducing the constitutive equations (3) and the geometric equations (4) in the equations of motion (1) and the equation of energy (2), we obtain a system of equations in terms of displacements u_i , microrotations φ_i , and thermal displacements τ as

$$\begin{aligned} & [A_{ijmn}(u_{n,m} + \varepsilon_{mnk}\varphi_k) + B_{ijmn}\varphi_{n,m} - D_{ij}\dot{\tau}]_{,j} + \varrho F_i = \varrho\ddot{u}_i, \\ & [B_{mnij}(u_{n,m} + \varepsilon_{mnk}\varphi_k) + C_{ijmn}\varphi_{n,m} - E_{ij}\dot{\tau}]_{,j} \\ & + \varepsilon_{ijk}[A_{jkmn}(u_{n,m} + \varepsilon_{mnk}\varphi_k) + B_{jkmn}\varphi_{n,m} - D_{jk}\dot{\tau}] + \varrho M_i = I_{ij}\ddot{\varphi}_j, \\ & \frac{1}{\theta_0}(K_{ij}\tau_{,j})_{,i} - D_{ij}(\dot{u}_{j,i} + \varepsilon_{jlk}\dot{\varphi}_k) - E_{ij}\dot{\varphi}_{j,i} + \frac{\varrho}{\theta_0}r = \frac{c}{\theta_0}\ddot{\tau}, \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

for any $(x, t) \in B \times (0, \infty)$.

3 Preliminary results

Consider a cross-section D of a prismatic cylinder and the boundary of the section, ∂D , assumed to be piecewise continuously differentiable. We choose the system of Cartesian rectangular axis so that its origin is in the center of the cylinder base and the positive x_3 -axis is directed along the cylinder. If we denote by L the length of the cylinder, then the lateral boundary of the cylinder is $S = \partial D \times [0, L]$. The contents of the prismatic cylinder is a micropolar thermoelastic body which is homogeneous and anisotropic.

The cylinder is free of load on the lateral boundary surface, that is, we have a zero body force, couple force and heat supply and zero displacement, microrotations, and thermal displacements. But over the base of cylinder are specified the displacements, microrotations, and thermal displacement, all of which are assumed to be harmonic in time. Therefore, besides the system of equations (9) we can adjoin the following lateral boundary conditions:

$$u_i(x, t) = 0, \quad \varphi_i(x, t) = 0, \quad \tau(x, t) = 0, \quad (x, t) \in \mathcal{S} \times (0, \infty), \tag{10}$$

and the base boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} u_i(x_1, x_2, 0, t) &= \tilde{u}_i(x_1, x_2)e^{i\omega t}, & \varphi_i(x_1, x_2, 0, t) &= \tilde{\varphi}_i(x_1, x_2)e^{i\omega t}, \\ \tau(x_1, x_2, 0, t) &= \tilde{\tau}(x_1, x_2)e^{i\omega t}, & (x_1, x_2) \in D(0), t > 0, \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where $\tilde{u}_i(x_1, x_2)$, $\tilde{\varphi}_i(x_1, x_2)$, and $\tilde{\tau}(x_1, x_2)$ are prescribed smooth functions, i is the complex unit, and ω is a prescribed positive constant.

Loads from (11) induce inside the cylinder some vibrations harmonic in time, having the form

$$\begin{aligned} u_i(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) &= U_i(x_1, x_2, x_3)e^{i\omega t}, & \varphi_i(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) &= \Phi_i(x_1, x_2, x_3)e^{i\omega t}, \\ \tau(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) &= T(x_1, x_2, x_3)e^{i\omega t}, & (x_1, x_2, x_3, t) \in B \times (0, \infty). \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

The amplitude (U_i, Φ_i, T) of the vibrations satisfies the following system of differential equations:

$$\begin{aligned} &[A_{ijmn}(U_{n,m} + \varepsilon_{mnk}\Phi_k) + B_{ijmn}\Phi_{n,m} - i\omega D_{ij}T]_j + \rho\omega^2 U_i = 0, \\ &[B_{mnij}(U_{n,m} + \varepsilon_{mnk}\Phi_k) + C_{ijmn}\Phi_{n,m} - i\omega E_{ij}T]_j \\ &\quad + \varepsilon_{ijk}[A_{jkmn}(U_{n,m} + \varepsilon_{mnk}\Phi_k) + B_{jkmn}\Phi_{n,m} - i\omega D_{jk}T] + I_{ij}\omega^2 \Phi_j = 0, \\ &\left(\frac{1}{\theta_0}K_{ij}T_{,j}\right)_{,i} - i\omega D_{ij}(U_{j,i} + \varepsilon_{jik}\Phi_k) - i\omega E_{ij}\Phi_{j,i} + \frac{c}{\theta_0}\omega^2 T = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

The lateral boundary conditions get the form

$$U_i(x) = 0, \quad \Phi_i(x) = 0, \quad T(x) = 0, \quad x \in \mathcal{S}, \tag{14}$$

and the base boundary conditions become

$$\begin{aligned} U_i(x_1, x_2, 0) &= \tilde{U}_i(x_1, x_2), & \Phi_i(x_1, x_2, 0) &= \tilde{\Phi}_i(x_1, x_2), \\ T(x_1, x_2, 0) &= \tilde{T}(x_1, x_2), & (x_1, x_2) \in D(0). \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

In the case of a finite cylinder we will have to prescribe a boundary condition on the superior base of the cylinder, $D(L)$. For a forced oscillation the spatial behavior of the amplitude was studied by Chirita [19] and Ciarletta [21], in the case of a rhombic thermoelastic material, provided the exciting frequency is less than a certain critical frequency. The main goal

of our study is to estimate how the amplitude evolves with respect to the axial distance to the excited and.

In the following we want to prove some estimates on a solution of the system of equations (13), with the lateral boundary conditions (14) and the base boundary conditions (15). We will use the notation $U_{j,i} = U_{j,i} + \varepsilon_{jik} \Phi_k$.

In the following theorem we will state and prove four auxiliary identities on which will be based the main result.

Theorem 1 *Let (U_i, Φ_i, T) be a solution of the boundary value problem consisting of equations (13)-(15). Then the following equalities are satisfied:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2 \int_{D(x_3)} \{A_{ijmn} U_{j,i} \bar{U}_{n,m} + C_{ijmn} \Phi_{n,m} \bar{\Phi}_{j,i} \\
 & \quad + B_{ijmn} [U_{j,i} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m} + \bar{U}_{j,i} \Phi_{n,m}] - \varrho \omega^2 U_i \bar{U}_i - I_{ij} \omega^2 \Phi_i \bar{\Phi}_j\} dA \\
 & \quad + \int_{D(x_3)} \{\iota \omega D_{ij} (\bar{T} U_{j,i} - T \bar{U}_{j,i}) + \iota \omega E_{ij} (\bar{T} \Phi_{i,j} - T \bar{\Phi}_{i,j})\} dA \\
 & = \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} \{[A_{3jmn} U_{n,m} + B_{3jmn} \Phi_{n,m} - \iota \omega D_{3j} T] \bar{U}_j\} dA \\
 & \quad + \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} \{[A_{3jmn} \bar{U}_{n,m} + B_{3jmn} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m} + \iota \omega D_{3j} \bar{T}] U_j\} dA \\
 & \quad + \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} \{[B_{3jmn} U_{n,m} + C_{3jmn} \Phi_{n,m} - \iota \omega E_{3j} T] \bar{\Phi}_j\} dA \\
 & \quad + \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} \{[B_{3jmn} \bar{U}_{n,m} + C_{3jmn} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m} + \iota \omega E_{3j} \bar{T}] \Phi_j\} dA, \tag{16}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{D(x_3)} [\iota \omega D_{ij} (\bar{T} U_{j,i} + T \bar{U}_{j,i}) + \iota \omega E_{ij} (\bar{T} \Phi_{i,j} + T \bar{\Phi}_{i,j})] dA \\
 & = \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} \{[A_{3jmn} \bar{U}_{n,m} + B_{3jmn} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m} + \iota \omega D_{3j} \bar{T}] U_j\} dA \\
 & \quad - \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} \{[A_{3jmn} U_{n,m} + B_{3jmn} \Phi_{n,m} - \iota \omega D_{3j} T] \bar{U}_j\} dA \\
 & \quad + \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} \{[B_{3jmn} \bar{U}_{n,m} + C_{3jmn} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m} + \iota \omega E_{3j} \bar{T}] \Phi_j\} dA \\
 & \quad - \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} \{[B_{3jmn} U_{n,m} + C_{3jmn} \Phi_{n,m} - \iota \omega E_{3j} T] \bar{\Phi}_j\} dA, \tag{17}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2 \int_{D(x_3)} \frac{1}{\theta_0} (K_{ij} T_{,i} \bar{T}_{,j} - c \omega^2 T \bar{T}) dA + \int_{D(x_3)} \iota \omega E_{ij} (\Phi_{j,i} \bar{T} - \bar{\Phi}_{j,i} T) dA \\
 & \quad + \int_{D(x_3)} \iota \omega D_{ij} (U_{j,i} \bar{T} - \bar{U}_{j,i} T) dA = \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} \frac{1}{\theta_0} K_{33} (\bar{T} T_{,3} + T \bar{T}_{,3}) dA, \tag{18}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{D(x_3)} \iota \omega D_{ij} (U_{j,i} \bar{T} + U_{j,i} T) dA \\
 & \quad + \int_{D(x_3)} \iota \omega E_{ij} (\bar{\Phi}_{j,i} T + \Phi_{j,i} \bar{T}) dA = \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} \frac{1}{\theta_0} K_{3j} (\bar{T} T_{,j} - T \bar{T}_{,j}) dA, \tag{19}
 \end{aligned}$$

where \bar{z} is the notation for the complex conjugate of z .

Proof Considering equations (13)₁ and (13)₂ we can prove the following equality:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \{ [A_{ijmn} \mathcal{U}_{n,m} + B_{ijmn} \Phi_{n,m} - \iota \omega D_{ij} T]_j + \varrho \omega^2 U_i \} \bar{U}_i \\
 & + \{ [A_{ijmn} \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{n,m} + B_{ijmn} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m} + \iota \omega D_{ij} \bar{T}]_j + \varrho \omega^2 \bar{U}_i \} U_i \\
 & + [B_{mni} \mathcal{U}_{n,m} + C_{ijmn} \Phi_{n,m} - \iota \omega E_{ij} T]_j \bar{\Phi}_i \\
 & + \varepsilon_{ijk} [A_{jkmn} \mathcal{U}_{n,m} + B_{jkmn} \Phi_{n,m} - \iota \omega D_{jk} T] \bar{\Phi}_i + I_{ij} \omega^2 \Phi_i \bar{\Phi}_j \\
 & + [B_{mni} \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{n,m} + C_{ijmn} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m} + \iota \omega E_{ij} \bar{T}]_j \Phi_i \\
 & + \varepsilon_{ijk} [A_{jkmn} \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{n,m} + B_{jkmn} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m} + \iota \omega D_{jk} \bar{T}] \Phi_i + I_{ij} \omega^2 \Phi_i \bar{\Phi}_j = 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

With some calculations, equality (20) can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2 \{ A_{ijmn} \mathcal{U}_{j,i} \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{n,m} + C_{ijmn} \Phi_{n,m} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m} \\
 & + B_{ijmn} [\mathcal{U}_{j,i} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m} + \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{j,i} \Phi_{n,m}] - \varrho \omega^2 U_i \bar{U}_i - I_{ij} \omega^2 \Phi_i \bar{\Phi}_j \} \\
 & + \iota \omega D_{ij} (\bar{T} \mathcal{U}_{j,i} - T \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{j,i}) + \iota \omega E_{ij} (\bar{T} \Phi_{i,j} - T \bar{\Phi}_{i,j}) \\
 & = \{ [A_{ijmn} \mathcal{U}_{n,m} + B_{ijmn} \Phi_{n,m} - \iota \omega D_{ij} T] \bar{U}_i \}_j \\
 & + \{ [A_{ijmn} \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{n,m} + B_{ijmn} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m} + \iota \omega D_{ij} \bar{T}] U_i \}_j \\
 & + \{ [B_{mni} \mathcal{U}_{n,m} + C_{ijmn} \Phi_{n,m} - \iota \omega E_{ij} T] \bar{\Phi}_i \}_j \\
 & + \{ [B_{mni} \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{n,m} + C_{ijmn} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m} + \iota \omega E_{ij} \bar{T}] \Phi_i \}_j.
 \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Integrate equality (21) over $D(x_3)$, apply the divergence theorem, and use the lateral conditions (14); we get the equality (16).

Now, if we again consider equations (13)₁ and (13)₂ then it is easy to prove the following equality:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \{ [A_{ijmn} \mathcal{U}_{n,m} + B_{ijmn} \Phi_{n,m} - \iota \omega D_{ij} T]_j + \varrho \omega^2 U_i \} \bar{U}_i \\
 & - \{ [A_{ijmn} \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{n,m} + B_{ijmn} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m} + \iota \omega D_{ij} \bar{T}]_j + \varrho \omega^2 \bar{U}_i \} U_i \\
 & + [B_{mni} \mathcal{U}_{n,m} + C_{ijmn} \Phi_{n,m} - \iota \omega E_{ij} T]_j \bar{\Phi}_i \\
 & + \varepsilon_{ijk} [A_{jkmn} \mathcal{U}_{n,m} + B_{jkmn} \Phi_{n,m} - \iota \omega D_{jk} T] \bar{\Phi}_i + I_{ij} \omega^2 \Phi_i \bar{\Phi}_j \\
 & - [B_{mni} \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{n,m} + C_{ijmn} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m} + \iota \omega E_{ij} \bar{T}]_j \Phi_i \\
 & - \varepsilon_{ijk} [A_{jkmn} \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{n,m} + B_{jkmn} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m} + \iota \omega D_{jk} \bar{T}] \Phi_i - I_{ij} \omega^2 \Phi_i \bar{\Phi}_j = 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

With some calculations, equality (22) can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \iota \omega D_{ij} (\bar{T} \mathcal{U}_{j,i} + T \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{j,i}) + \iota \omega E_{ij} (\bar{T} \Phi_{i,j} + T \bar{\Phi}_{i,j}) \\
 & = + \{ [A_{ijmn} \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{n,m} + B_{ijmn} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m} + \iota \omega D_{ij} \bar{T}] U_i \}_j \\
 & - \{ [A_{ijmn} \mathcal{U}_{n,m} + B_{ijmn} \Phi_{n,m} - \iota \omega D_{ij} T] \bar{U}_i \}_j \\
 & + \{ [B_{mni} \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{n,m} + C_{ijmn} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m} + \iota \omega E_{ij} \bar{T}] \Phi_i \}_j \\
 & - \{ [B_{mni} \mathcal{U}_{n,m} + C_{ijmn} \Phi_{n,m} - \iota \omega E_{ij} T] \bar{\Phi}_i \}_j.
 \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Integrate equality (23) over $D(x_3)$, apply the divergence theorem; if we use the lateral conditions (14) we get the equality (17).

With the help of equation (13)₃, we can deduce immediately the equality

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{T} \left[\left(\frac{1}{\theta_0} K_{ij} T_j \right)_{,i} - \iota \omega D_{ij} \mathcal{U}_{j,i} - \iota \omega E_{ij} \Phi_{j,i} + \frac{c}{\theta_0} \omega^2 T \right] \\ & + T \left[\left(\frac{1}{\theta_0} K_{ij} \bar{T}_j \right)_{,i} + \iota \omega D_{ij} \mathcal{U}_{j,i} + \iota \omega E_{ij} \bar{\Phi}_{j,i} + \frac{c}{\theta_0} \omega^2 \bar{T} \right] = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

With some calculations, equality (24) can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2}{\theta_0} (K_{ij} T_{,i} \bar{T}_j - c \omega^2 T \bar{T}) + \iota \omega D_{ij} (\mathcal{U}_{j,i} \bar{T} - \mathcal{U}_{j,i} T) + \iota \omega E_{ij} (\Phi_{j,i} \bar{T} - \bar{\Phi}_{j,i} T) \\ & = \left[\frac{1}{\theta_0} K_{ij} (\bar{T} T_{,j} + T \bar{T}_{,j}) \right]_{,i}. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Integrate equality (25) over $D(x_3)$, apply the divergence theorem, and if we use the lateral conditions (14) we get the equality (18).

Finally, we use again equation (13)₃ thus we will obtain, in a trivial way, the equality

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{T} \left[\left(\frac{1}{\theta_0} K_{ij} T_j \right)_{,i} - \iota \omega D_{ij} \mathcal{U}_{j,i} - \iota \omega E_{ij} \Phi_{j,i} + \frac{c}{\theta_0} \omega^2 T \right] \\ & - T \left[\left(\frac{1}{\theta_0} K_{ij} \bar{T}_j \right)_{,i} + \iota \omega D_{ij} \mathcal{U}_{j,i} + \iota \omega E_{ij} \bar{\Phi}_{j,i} + \frac{c}{\theta_0} \omega^2 \bar{T} \right] = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

With some calculations, equality (26) can be written in the form

$$\iota \omega D_{ij} (\mathcal{U}_{j,i} \bar{T} + \mathcal{U}_{j,i} T) + \iota \omega E_{ij} (\bar{\Phi}_{j,i} T + \Phi_{j,i} \bar{T}) = \left[\frac{1}{\theta_0} K_{ij} (\bar{T} T_{,j} - T \bar{T}_{,j}) \right]_{,i}. \tag{27}$$

Integrate equality (27) over $D(x_3)$, apply the divergence theorem; if we use the lateral conditions (14) we get the equality (19) and the proof of Theorem 1 is completed. \square

The next theorem is also dedicated to a proof of two auxiliary identities on which will be based the main result.

Theorem 2 *Let (U_i, Φ_i, T) be a solution of the boundary value problem consisting of equations (13)-(15). Then we have the identities*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{D(x_3)} [A_{ijmn} \mathcal{U}_{n,m} \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{j,i} + C_{ijmn} \Phi_{i,j} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m}] dA \\ & + \int_{D(x_3)} \{ B_{ijmn} [\mathcal{U}_{n,m} \bar{\Phi}_{i,j} + \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{n,m} \Phi_{i,j}] - 3\omega^2 (\rho U_i \bar{U}_i + I_{ij} \Phi_i \bar{\Phi}_j) \} dA \\ & - 2\iota \omega \int_{D(x_3)} \{ D_{ij} (T \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{j,i} - \bar{T} \mathcal{U}_{j,i}) + E_{ij} (T \bar{\Phi}_{j,i} - \bar{T} \Phi_{j,i}) \} dA \\ & - \iota \omega \int_{D(x_3)} \{ D_{ij} x_p (T_{,p} \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{j,i} - \bar{T}_{,p} \mathcal{U}_{j,i}) + E_{ij} x_p (T_{,p} \bar{\Phi}_{j,i} - \bar{T}_{,p} \Phi_{j,i}) \} dA \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= -\frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} \{ [A_{3jmn} \mathcal{U}_{n,m} + B_{3jmn} \Phi_{n,m} - \iota \omega D_{3j} T] x_p \bar{U}_{j,p} \} dA \\
 &\quad - \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} \{ [A_{3jmn} \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{n,m} + B_{3jmn} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m} + \iota \omega D_{3j} \bar{T}] x_p U_{j,p} \} dA \\
 &\quad - \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} \{ [B_{3jmi} \mathcal{U}_{n,m} + C_{3jmi} \Phi_{n,m} - \iota \omega E_{3j} T] x_p \bar{\Phi}_{j,p} \} dA \\
 &\quad - \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} \{ [B_{3jmi} \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{n,m} + C_{3jmi} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m} + \iota \omega E_{3j} \bar{T}] x_p \Phi_{j,p} \} dA \\
 &\quad + \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} x_3 [A_{ijmn} \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{n,m} \bar{U}_{j,i} + C_{ijmn} \Phi_{ij} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m}] dA \\
 &\quad + \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} x_3 \{ B_{ijmn} [\mathcal{U}_{n,m} \bar{\Phi}_{i,j} + \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{n,m} \Phi_{i,j}] - \varrho \omega^2 U_i \bar{U}_i \} dA \\
 &\quad - \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} \{ \iota \omega x_3 D_{ij} (T \bar{U}_{j,i} - \bar{T} U_{j,i}) \} dA \\
 &\quad - \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} \{ \iota \omega x_3 E_{ij} (T \bar{\Phi}_{j,i} - \bar{T} \Phi_{j,i}) - x_3 I_{ij} \omega^2 \Phi_i \bar{\Phi}_j \} dA \\
 &\quad + \int_{\partial D(x_3)} x_p n_p \left(A_{i\alpha m \beta} n_\alpha n_\beta \frac{\partial U_i}{\partial n} \frac{\partial \bar{U}_m}{\partial n} + B_{i\alpha m \beta} n_\alpha n_\beta \frac{\partial U_i}{\partial n} \frac{\partial \bar{\Phi}_m}{\partial n} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + C_{i\alpha m \beta} n_\alpha n_\beta \frac{\partial \Phi_i}{\partial n} \frac{\partial \bar{\Phi}_m}{\partial n} \right) ds, \tag{28}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{D(x_3)} \frac{1}{\theta_0} (K_{ij} T_{,i} \bar{T}_{,j} - 3c \omega^2 T \bar{T}) dA \\
 &\quad + \int_{D(x_3)} \iota \omega E_{ij} (\bar{\Phi}_{j,i} T_{,p} - \Phi_{j,i} \bar{T}_{,p}) dA \\
 &\quad + \int_{D(x_3)} \iota \omega D_{ij} x_p (\mathcal{U}_{j,i} T_{,p} - U_{j,i} \bar{T}_{,p}) dA \\
 &\quad + \int_{\partial D(x_3)} \frac{1}{\theta_0} x_p n_p K_{\alpha\beta} n_\alpha n_\beta \frac{\partial T}{\partial n} \frac{\partial \bar{T}}{\partial n} ds \\
 &= -\frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} \frac{1}{\theta_0} [x_\alpha K_{3\beta} (\bar{T}_{,\alpha} T_{,\beta} + T_{,\alpha} \bar{T}_{,\beta}) + x_\alpha K_{33} (T_{,3} \bar{T}_{,\alpha} + \bar{T}_{,3} T_{,\alpha})] dA \\
 &\quad - \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} \frac{x_3}{\theta_0} (K_{33} T_{,3} \bar{T}_{,3} - K_{\alpha\beta} T_{,\alpha} \bar{T}_{,\beta} + c \omega^2 T \bar{T}) dA. \tag{29}
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof Considering equations (13)₁ and (13)₂ it is easy to prove the following equality:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\{ [A_{ijmn} \mathcal{U}_{n,m} + B_{ijmn} \Phi_{n,m} - \iota \omega D_{ij} T]_{,j} + \varrho \omega^2 U_i \} x_p \bar{U}_{i,p} \\
 &\quad + [B_{mnij} \mathcal{U}_{n,m} + C_{ijmn} \Phi_{n,m} - \iota \omega E_{ij} T]_{,j} x_p \bar{\Phi}_{i,p} \\
 &\quad + \varepsilon_{ijk} [A_{jkmi} \mathcal{U}_{n,m} + B_{jkmi} \Phi_{n,m} - \iota \omega D_{jk} T] x_p \bar{\Phi}_{i,p} + I_{ij} \omega^2 x_p \bar{\Phi}_{i,p} \Phi_j \\
 &\quad + \{ [A_{ijmn} \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{n,m} + B_{ijmn} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m} + \iota \omega D_{ij} \bar{T}]_{,j} + \varrho \omega^2 \bar{U}_i \} x_p U_{i,p} \\
 &\quad + [B_{mnij} \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{n,m} + C_{ijmn} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m} + \iota \omega E_{ij} \bar{T}]_{,j} x_p \Phi_{i,p} \\
 &\quad + \varepsilon_{ijk} [A_{jkmi} \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{n,m} + B_{jkmi} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m} + \iota \omega D_{jk} \bar{T}] x_p \Phi_{i,p} + I_{ij} \omega^2 x_p \Phi_{i,p} \bar{\Phi}_j = 0. \tag{30}
 \end{aligned}$$

With simple calculations, equality (30) can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \{ [A_{ijmn}\mathcal{U}_{n,m} + B_{ijmn}\Phi_{n,m} - \iota\omega D_{ij}T]x_p\bar{U}_{i,p} \}_j \\
 & - [A_{ijmn}\mathcal{U}_{n,m} + B_{ijmn}\Phi_{n,m} - \iota\omega D_{ij}T]x_p\bar{U}_{i,pj} + \varrho\omega^2x_pU_i\bar{U}_{i,p} \\
 & + \{ [B_{mnij}\mathcal{U}_{n,m} + C_{ijmn}\Phi_{n,m} - \iota\omega E_{ij}T]x_p\bar{\Phi}_{i,p} \}_j \\
 & - [B_{mnij}\mathcal{U}_{n,m} + C_{ijmn}\Phi_{n,m} - \iota\omega E_{ij}T]x_p\bar{\Phi}_{i,pj} \\
 & + \varepsilon_{ijk} [A_{jkmn}\mathcal{U}_{n,m} + B_{jkmn}\Phi_{n,m} - \iota\omega D_{jk}T]x_p\bar{\Phi}_{i,p} + I_{ij}\omega^2x_p\bar{\Phi}_{i,p}\Phi_j \\
 & + \{ [A_{ijmn}\bar{\mathcal{U}}_{n,m} + B_{ijmn}\bar{\Phi}_{n,m} + \iota\omega D_{ij}\bar{T}]x_pU_{i,p} \}_j \\
 & - [A_{ijmn}\bar{\mathcal{U}}_{n,m} + B_{ijmn}\bar{\Phi}_{n,m} + \iota\omega D_{ij}\bar{T}]x_pU_{i,pj} + \varrho\omega^2x_p\bar{U}_iU_{i,p} \\
 & + \{ [B_{mnij}\bar{\mathcal{U}}_{n,m} + C_{ijmn}\bar{\Phi}_{n,m} + \iota\omega E_{ij}\bar{T}]x_p\Phi_{i,p} \}_j \\
 & - [B_{mnij}\bar{\mathcal{U}}_{n,m} + C_{ijmn}\bar{\Phi}_{n,m} + \iota\omega E_{ij}\bar{T}]x_p\Phi_{i,pj} \\
 & + \varepsilon_{ijk} [A_{jkmn}\bar{\mathcal{U}}_{n,m} + B_{jkmn}\bar{\Phi}_{n,m} + \iota\omega D_{jk}\bar{T}]x_p\Phi_{i,p} + I_{ij}\omega^2x_p\Phi_{i,p}\bar{\Phi}_j = 0. \tag{31}
 \end{aligned}$$

This equality leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
 & A_{ijmn}\mathcal{U}_{n,m}\bar{\mathcal{U}}_{j,i} + C_{ijmn}\Phi_{i,j}\bar{\Phi}_{n,m} \\
 & + B_{ijmn}(\mathcal{U}_{n,m}\bar{\Phi}_{i,j} + \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{n,m}\Phi_{i,j}) - 3\omega^2(\varrho U_i\bar{U}_i + I_{ij}\Phi_i\bar{\Phi}_j) \\
 & - 2\iota\omega D_{ij}(T\bar{\mathcal{U}}_{j,i} - \bar{T}\mathcal{U}_{j,i}) - 2\iota\omega E_{ij}(T\bar{\Phi}_{j,i} - \bar{T}\Phi_{j,i}) \\
 & - \iota\omega D_{ij}x_p(T_p\bar{\mathcal{U}}_{j,i} - \bar{T}_p\mathcal{U}_{j,i}) - \iota\omega E_{ij}x_p(T_p\bar{\Phi}_{j,i} - \bar{T}_p\Phi_{j,i}) \\
 & = -\{ [A_{ijmn}\mathcal{U}_{n,m} + B_{ijmn}\Phi_{n,m} - \iota\omega D_{ij}T]x_p\bar{U}_{i,p} \}_j \\
 & - \{ [A_{ijmn}\bar{\mathcal{U}}_{n,m} + B_{ijmn}\bar{\Phi}_{n,m} + \iota\omega D_{ij}\bar{T}]x_pU_{i,p} \}_j \\
 & - \{ [B_{mnij}\mathcal{U}_{n,m} + C_{ijmn}\Phi_{n,m} - \iota\omega E_{ij}T]x_p\bar{\Phi}_{i,p} \}_j \\
 & - \{ [B_{mnij}\bar{\mathcal{U}}_{n,m} + C_{ijmn}\bar{\Phi}_{n,m} + \iota\omega E_{ij}\bar{T}]x_p\Phi_{i,p} \}_j \\
 & + [x_kA_{ijmn}\mathcal{U}_{n,m}\bar{\mathcal{U}}_{j,i} + x_pC_{ijmn}\Phi_{i,j}\bar{\Phi}_{n,m}]_p \\
 & + \{ x_pB_{ijmn}[\mathcal{U}_{n,m}\bar{\Phi}_{i,j} + \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{n,m}\Phi_{i,j}] - x_p\varrho\omega^2U_i\bar{U}_i \}_p \\
 & - \{ \iota\omega x_pD_{ij}(T\bar{\mathcal{U}}_{j,i} - \bar{T}\mathcal{U}_{j,i}) \}_p \\
 & - \{ \iota\omega x_pE_{ij}(T\bar{\Phi}_{j,i} - \bar{T}\Phi_{j,i}) - x_pI_{ij}\omega^2\Phi_i\bar{\Phi}_j \}_p. \tag{32}
 \end{aligned}$$

We integrate equality (32) and use the lateral boundary condition (14); then we are led to the equality

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{D(x_3)} [A_{ijmn}\bar{\mathcal{U}}_{n,m}\bar{\mathcal{U}}_{j,i} + C_{ijmn}\Phi_{i,j}\bar{\Phi}_{n,m}] dA \\
 & + \int_{D(x_3)} \{ B_{ijmn}[\mathcal{U}_{n,m}\bar{\Phi}_{i,j} + \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{n,m}\Phi_{i,j}] - 3\omega^2(\varrho U_i\bar{U}_i + I_{ij}\Phi_i\bar{\Phi}_j) \} dA \\
 & - 2\iota\omega \int_{D(x_3)} \{ D_{ij}(T\bar{\mathcal{U}}_{j,i} - \bar{T}\mathcal{U}_{j,i}) + E_{ij}(T\bar{\Phi}_{j,i} - \bar{T}\Phi_{j,i}) \} dA
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \iota \omega \int_{D(x_3)} \{ D_{ij} x_p (T_p \bar{U}_{j,i} - \bar{T}_{,p} U_{j,i}) + E_{ij} x_p (T_p \bar{\Phi}_{j,i} - \bar{T}_{,p} \Phi_{j,i}) \} dA \\
 = & - \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} \{ [A_{3jmn} U_{n,m} + B_{3jmn} \Phi_{n,m} - \iota \omega D_{3j} T] x_p \bar{U}_{j,p} \} dA \\
 & - \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} \{ [A_{3jmn} \bar{U}_{n,m} + B_{3jmn} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m} + \iota \omega D_{3j} \bar{T}] x_p U_{j,p} \} dA \\
 & - \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} \{ [B_{3jmi} U_{n,m} + C_{3jmi} \Phi_{n,m} - \iota \omega E_{3j} T] x_p \bar{\Phi}_{j,p} \} dA \\
 & - \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} \{ [B_{3jmi} \bar{U}_{n,m} + C_{3jmi} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m} + \iota \omega E_{3j} \bar{T}] x_p \Phi_{j,p} \} dA \\
 & + \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} x_3 [A_{ijmn} \bar{U}_{n,m} \bar{U}_{j,i} + C_{ijmn} \Phi_{ij} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m}] dA \\
 & + \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} x_3 \{ B_{ijmn} [U_{n,m} \bar{\Phi}_{i,j} + \bar{U}_{n,m} \Phi_{i,j}] - \rho \omega^2 U_i \bar{U}_i \} dA \\
 & - \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} \{ \iota \omega x_3 D_{ij} (T \bar{U}_{j,i} - \bar{T} U_{j,i}) \} dA \\
 & - \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} \{ \iota \omega x_3 E_{ij} (T \bar{\Phi}_{j,i} - \bar{T} \Phi_{j,i}) - x_3 I_{ij} \omega^2 \Phi_i \bar{\Phi}_j \} dA \\
 & - \int_{\partial D(x_3)} [x_p \bar{U}_{s,p} A_{psmn} \bar{U}_{n,m} + x_p U_{s,p} A_{psmn} \bar{U}_{s,p}] n_p ds \\
 & - \int_{\partial D(x_3)} [x_p \bar{\Phi}_{s,p} B_{psmn} U_{n,m} + x_p \Phi_{s,p} B_{psmn} \bar{U}_{n,m}] n_p ds \\
 & - \int_{\partial D(x_3)} [x_p \bar{\Phi}_{s,p} C_{psmn} \Phi_{n,m} + x_p \Phi_{s,p} C_{psmn} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m}] n_p ds \\
 & + \int_{\partial D(x_3)} x_p n_p \{ A_{ijmn} \bar{U}_{n,m} U_{j,i} + C_{ijmn} \Phi_{j,i} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m} \\
 & + B_{ijmn} [U_{j,i} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m} + \bar{U}_{j,i} \Phi_{n,m}] \} ds. \tag{33}
 \end{aligned}$$

If we take into account the lateral boundary condition (14) we conclude that

$$U_{i,3} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial D(x_3). \tag{34}$$

On the curve ∂D we have

$$U_{i,\alpha} = n_\alpha \frac{\partial U_i}{\partial n} + \tau_\alpha \frac{\partial U_i}{\partial \tau},$$

where τ_α are components of the unit vector tangent to ∂D and $\partial/\partial \tau$ is the tangential derivative. According to the lateral boundary condition (14) we deduce $\partial U_i/\partial \tau = 0$ on the curve ∂D and hence we obtain

$$U_{i,\alpha} = n_\alpha \frac{\partial U_i}{\partial n} \quad \text{on the curve } \partial D. \tag{35}$$

Using equations (34) and (35), the last integral in (33) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\partial D(x_3)} x_p n_p (A_{ijmn} \mathcal{U}_{j,i} \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{n,m} + B_{ijmn} \mathcal{U}_{j,i} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m} + C_{ijmn} \Phi_{j,i} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m}) ds \\
 &= \int_{\partial D(x_3)} x_p n_p \left(A_{i\alpha m \beta} n_\alpha n_\beta \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_i}{\partial n} \frac{\partial \bar{\mathcal{U}}_m}{\partial n} + B_{i\alpha m \beta} n_\alpha n_\beta \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_i}{\partial n} \frac{\partial \bar{\Phi}_m}{\partial n} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + C_{i\alpha m \beta} n_\alpha n_\beta \frac{\partial \Phi_i}{\partial n} \frac{\partial \bar{\Phi}_m}{\partial n} \right) ds. \tag{36}
 \end{aligned}$$

For the other integrals in (33) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\partial D(x_3)} [x_p \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{s,p} A_{psmn} \mathcal{U}_{n,m} + x_p \mathcal{U}_{s,p} A_{psmn} \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{n,m}] n_p ds \\
 &= 2 \int_{\partial D(x_3)} x_p n_p A_{i\alpha m \beta} n_\alpha n_\beta \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_i}{\partial n} \frac{\partial \bar{\mathcal{U}}_m}{\partial n} ds, \\
 & \int_{\partial D(x_3)} [x_p \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{s,p} B_{psmn} \Phi_{n,m} + x_p \mathcal{U}_{s,p} C_{psmn} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m}] n_p ds \\
 &= 2 \int_{\partial D(x_3)} x_p n_p B_{i\alpha m \beta} n_\alpha n_\beta \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_i}{\partial n} \frac{\partial \bar{\Phi}_m}{\partial n} ds, \tag{37} \\
 & \int_{\partial D(x_3)} [x_p \bar{\Phi}_{s,p} C_{psmn} \Phi_{n,m} + x_p \Phi_{s,p} C_{psmn} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m}] n_p ds \\
 &= 2 \int_{\partial D(x_3)} x_p n_p C_{i\alpha m \beta} n_\alpha n_\beta \frac{\partial \Phi_i}{\partial n} \frac{\partial \bar{\Phi}_m}{\partial n} ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

If we substitute the results of equations (36) and (37) in the equality (33), we obtain the first relation of Theorem 2, namely equation (28).

To prove equation (29) we start from the following equality, which is evident:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & x_p \bar{T}_p \left[\left(\frac{1}{\theta_0} K_{ij} T_j \right)_{,i} - \iota \omega D_{ij} \mathcal{U}_{j,i} - \iota \omega E_{ij} \Phi_{j,i} + \frac{c}{\theta_0} \omega^2 T \right] \\
 & + x_p T_p \left[\left(\frac{1}{\theta_0} K_{ij} \bar{T}_j \right)_{,i} + \iota \omega D_{ij} \mathcal{U}_{j,i} - \iota \omega E_{ij} \bar{\Phi}_{j,i} + \frac{c}{\theta_0} \omega^2 \bar{T} \right] = 0. \tag{38}
 \end{aligned}$$

After some direct calculations, equality (38) acquires the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \iota \omega D_{ij} x_p (\mathcal{U}_{j,i} T_p - \mathcal{U}_{j,i} \bar{T}_p) + \iota \omega E_{ij} x_p (\bar{\Phi}_{j,i} T_p - \Phi_{j,i} \bar{T}_p) \\
 &= -x_p \left(\frac{c}{\theta_0} \omega^2 T \bar{T} \right)_p + \frac{2}{\theta_0} K_{ij} T_i \bar{T}_j \\
 & \quad - \left[\frac{1}{\theta_0} x_p K_{ij} (\bar{T}_p T_j + T_p \bar{T}_j) \right]_{,i} + x_p \left(\frac{1}{\theta_0} K_{ij} T_i \bar{T}_j \right)_{,p}. \tag{39}
 \end{aligned}$$

This equality can be rewritten as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{\theta_0} K_{ij} T_i \bar{T}_j - \frac{3c}{\theta_0} \omega^2 T \bar{T} + \iota \omega E_{ij} (\bar{\Phi}_{j,i} T_p - \Phi_{j,i} \bar{T}_p) + \iota \omega D_{ij} x_p (\mathcal{U}_{j,i} T_p - \mathcal{U}_{j,i} \bar{T}_p) \\
 &= - \left(\frac{c}{\theta_0} \omega^2 T \bar{T} \right)_p - \left[\frac{1}{\theta_0} x_p K_{ij} (\bar{T}_p T_j + T_p \bar{T}_j) \right]_{,i} + \left(\frac{x_p}{\theta_0} K_{ij} T_i \bar{T}_j \right)_{,p}. \tag{40}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we integrate the equality (4) on $D(x_3)$ and, after using the lateral boundary condition (14), we are led to

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{D(x_3)} \frac{1}{\theta_0} (K_{ij} T_{,i} \bar{T}_{,j} - 3c\omega^2 T \bar{T}) dA + \int_{D(x_3)} \iota \omega E_{ij} (\bar{\Phi}_{j,i} T_{,p} - \Phi_{j,i} \bar{T}_{,p}) dA \\ & + \int_{D(x_3)} \iota \omega D_{ij} x_p (\mathcal{U}_{j,i} T_{,p} - \mathcal{U}_{j,i} \bar{T}_{,p}) dA \\ & = -\frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} \left[\frac{1}{\theta_0} x_p K_{3j} (\bar{T}_{,p} T_{,j} + T_{,p} \bar{T}_{,j}) - \frac{x_3}{\theta_0} K_{ij} T_{,i} \bar{T}_{,j} + \frac{x_3}{\theta_0} c\omega^2 T \bar{T} \right] dA \\ & + \int_{\partial D(x_3)} \frac{1}{\theta_0} [x_p n_p K_{ij} T_{,i} \bar{T}_{,j} - x_p K_{pj} (\bar{T}_{,p} T_{,j} + T_{,p} \bar{T}_{,j}) n_p] ds. \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

As we have already shown in the proof of equality (28), the lateral boundary condition implies

$$T_{,3} = 0, \quad T_{,\alpha} = n_\alpha \frac{\partial T}{\partial n},$$

on the curve $\partial D(x_3)$.

With these arguments, the equality (41) implies equation (29), therefore the proof of Theorem 2 is completed. \square

The conservation laws which will be proved in the following theorem will be used to derive *a priori* estimates for a solution of our mixed problem.

Theorem 3 *Let (U_i, Φ_i, T) be a solution of the boundary value problem consisting of equations (13)-(15). Then the following two conservation laws are satisfied:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} \omega^2 \left(\varrho U_j \bar{U}_j + I_{ij} \Phi_i \bar{\Phi}_j + \frac{c}{\theta_0} T \bar{T} \right) dA \\ & + \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} \frac{c}{\theta_0} \left(K_{33} T_{,3} \bar{T}_{,3} - K_{\alpha\beta} T_{,\alpha} \bar{T}_{,\beta} \right) dA \\ & + \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} [A_{i3m3} \mathcal{U}_{i,3} \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{m,3} + B_{i3m3} (\mathcal{U}_{i,3} \bar{\Phi}_{m,3} + \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{i,3} \Phi_{m,3}) + C_{i3m3} \Phi_{i,3} \bar{\Phi}_{m,3}] dA \\ & - \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} [A_{i\alpha m\beta} \mathcal{U}_{i,\alpha} \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{m,\beta} + B_{i\alpha m3} (\mathcal{U}_{i,\alpha} \bar{\Phi}_{m,\beta} + \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{i,\alpha} \Phi_{m,\beta}) \\ & + C_{i\alpha m\beta} \Phi_{i,\alpha} \bar{\Phi}_{m,\beta}] dA \\ & + \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} [\iota \omega D_{i\alpha} (T \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{i,\alpha} - \bar{T} \mathcal{U}_{i,\alpha}) + \iota \omega E_{i\alpha} (T \bar{\Phi}_{i,\alpha} - \bar{T} \Phi_{i,\alpha})] dA = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} \{ [A_{3jmm} \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{n,m} + B_{3jmm} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m} + \iota \omega D_{3j} \bar{T}] U_j \} dA \\ & - \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} \{ [A_{3jmm} \mathcal{U}_{n,m} + B_{3jmm} \Phi_{n,m} - \iota \omega D_{3j} T] \bar{U}_j \} dA \\ & + \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} \{ [B_{3jmi} \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{n,m} + C_{3jmi} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m} + \iota \omega E_{3j} \bar{T}] \Phi_j \} dA \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} \{ [B_{3jmn} \mathcal{U}_{n,m} + C_{3jmn} \Phi_{n,m} - \iota \omega E_{3j} T] \bar{\Phi}_j \} dA \\
 & = \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} \left[\frac{1}{\theta_0} K_{3j} (\bar{T} T_j - T \bar{T}_j) \right] dA.
 \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Proof To prove equation (42) we start by using equations (13)₁ and (13)₂; with the help of these we obtain the following equality:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \{ [A_{ijmn} \mathcal{U}_{n,m} + B_{ijmn} \Phi_{n,m} - \iota \omega D_{ij} T]_{,i} + \varrho \omega^2 \mathcal{U}_j \} \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{j,3} \\
 & + \{ [B_{ijmn} \mathcal{U}_{n,m} + C_{ijmn} \Phi_{n,m} - \iota \omega E_{ij} T]_{,i} \\
 & + \varepsilon_{jik} [A_{ikmn} \mathcal{U}_{n,m} + B_{ikmn} \Phi_{n,m} - \iota \omega D_{ik} T] + I_{ij} \omega^2 \Phi_i \} \bar{\Phi}_{j,3} \\
 & + \{ [A_{ijmn} \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{n,m} + B_{ijmn} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m} + \iota \omega D_{ij} \bar{T}]_{,i} + \varrho \omega^2 \bar{\mathcal{U}}_j \} \mathcal{U}_{j,3} \\
 & + \{ [B_{ijmn} \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{n,m} + C_{ijmn} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m} + \iota \omega E_{ij} \bar{T}]_{,i} \\
 & + \varepsilon_{jik} [A_{ikmn} \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{n,m} + B_{ikmn} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m} + \iota \omega D_{ik} \bar{T}] + I_{ij} \omega^2 \bar{\Phi}_i \} \Phi_{j,3} = 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

Performing direct calculations on equality (44) we are led to

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{dx_3} \left[\varrho \omega^2 \mathcal{U}_j \bar{\mathcal{U}}_j + I_{ij} \omega^2 \Phi_i \bar{\Phi}_j + A_{i3m3} \mathcal{U}_{i,3} \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{m,3} + B_{i3m3} (\mathcal{U}_{i,3} \bar{\Phi}_{m,3} + \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{i,3} \Phi_{m,3}) \right. \\
 & + C_{i3m3} \Phi_{i,3} \bar{\Phi}_{m,3} - A_{i\alpha m\beta} \mathcal{U}_{i,\alpha} \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{m,\beta} - B_{i\alpha m3} (\mathcal{U}_{i,\alpha} \bar{\Phi}_{m,\beta} + \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{i,\alpha} \Phi_{m,\beta}) \\
 & - C_{i\alpha m\beta} \Phi_{i,\alpha} \bar{\Phi}_{m,\beta} + \iota \omega D_{i\alpha} (T \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{i,\alpha} - \bar{T} \mathcal{U}_{i,\alpha}) + \iota \omega E_{i\alpha} (T \bar{\Phi}_{i,\alpha} - \bar{T} \Phi_{i,\alpha}) \left. \right] \\
 & + [A_{i\alpha m3} \mathcal{U}_{m,3} \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{i,\alpha} + B_{i\alpha m3} (\mathcal{U}_{m,3} \bar{\Phi}_{i,\alpha} + \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{m,3} \Phi_{i,\alpha}) + C_{i\alpha m3} \Phi_{m,3} \bar{\Phi}_{i,\alpha}]_{,\alpha} \\
 & + [\iota \omega D_{i\alpha} (\bar{T} \mathcal{U}_{i,3} - T \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{i,3})]_{,\alpha} + [\iota \omega E_{i\alpha} (\bar{T} \Phi_{i,3} - T \bar{\Phi}_{i,3})]_{,\alpha} \\
 & + \iota \omega D_{ij} (\bar{T}_3 \mathcal{U}_{i,j} - T_3 \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{i,j}) + \iota \omega E_{ij} (\bar{T}_3 \Phi_{i,j} - T_3 \bar{\Phi}_{i,j}) = 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

Now integrate equality (45) and use the lateral boundary condition (14); we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} \left[\varrho \omega^2 \mathcal{U}_j \bar{\mathcal{U}}_j + I_{ij} \omega^2 \Phi_i \bar{\Phi}_j + A_{i3m3} \mathcal{U}_{i,3} \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{m,3} + B_{i3m3} (\mathcal{U}_{i,3} \bar{\Phi}_{m,3} + \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{i,3} \Phi_{m,3}) \right. \\
 & + C_{i3m3} \Phi_{i,3} \bar{\Phi}_{m,3} - A_{i\alpha m\beta} \mathcal{U}_{i,\alpha} \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{m,\beta} - B_{i\alpha m3} (\mathcal{U}_{i,\alpha} \bar{\Phi}_{m,\beta} + \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{i,\alpha} \Phi_{m,\beta}) \\
 & - C_{i\alpha m\beta} \Phi_{i,\alpha} \bar{\Phi}_{m,\beta} + \iota \omega D_{i\alpha} (T \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{i,\alpha} - \bar{T} \mathcal{U}_{i,\alpha}) + \iota \omega E_{i\alpha} (T \bar{\Phi}_{i,\alpha} - \bar{T} \Phi_{i,\alpha}) \left. \right] dA \\
 & + \int_{D(x_3)} [\iota \omega D_{ij} (\bar{T}_3 \mathcal{U}_{i,j} - T_3 \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{i,j}) + \iota \omega E_{ij} (\bar{T}_3 \Phi_{i,j} - T_3 \bar{\Phi}_{i,j})] dA = 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

Using equation (13)₃, it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \bar{T}_3 \left[\frac{1}{\theta_0} K_{ij} T_{,ij} - \iota \omega (D_{ij} \mathcal{U}_{i,j} + E_{ij} \Phi_{i,j}) + \frac{c}{\theta_0} \omega^2 T \right] \\
 & + T_3 \left[\frac{1}{\theta_0} K_{ij} \bar{T}_{,ij} + \iota \omega (D_{ij} \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{i,j} + E_{ij} \bar{\Phi}_{i,j}) + \frac{c}{\theta_0} \omega^2 \bar{T} \right] = 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

After doing some calculations, we can write equation (47) in the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dx_3} \left(\frac{c}{\theta_0} \omega^2 T \bar{T} + \frac{1}{\theta_0} K_{33} T_{,3} \bar{T}_{,3} - \frac{1}{\theta_0} K_{\alpha\beta} T_{,\alpha} \bar{T}_{,\beta} \right) \\ & + \left(\frac{2}{\theta_0} K_{\alpha 3} T_{,3} \bar{T}_{,3} \right)_{,\alpha} + \iota \omega D_{ij} (T_{,3} \bar{U}_{i,j} - \bar{T}_{,3} U_{i,j}) \\ & + \iota \omega E_{ij} (T_{,3} \bar{\Phi}_{i,j} - \bar{T}_{,3} \Phi_{i,j}) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

Now we integrate (48) on $D(x_3)$ and use the lateral boundary condition (14); we arrive at the equality

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} \left(\frac{c}{\theta_0} \omega^2 T \bar{T} + \frac{1}{\theta_0} K_{33} T_{,3} \bar{T}_{,3} - \frac{1}{\theta_0} K_{\alpha\beta} T_{,\alpha} \bar{T}_{,\beta} \right) dA \\ & + \int_{D(x_3)} [\iota \omega D_{ij} (T_{,3} \bar{U}_{i,j} - \bar{T}_{,3} U_{i,j}) + \iota \omega E_{ij} (T_{,3} \bar{\Phi}_{i,j} - \bar{T}_{,3} \Phi_{i,j})] dA = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

By using equations (49) and (46) we obtain the equality (42). The conservation law (43) is obtained immediately equaling the right-side members of equality (17) and (19). This concludes the proof of Theorem 3. \square

Combining equalities (16)-(19) of Theorem 1 with equalities (28)-(29) of Theorem 2 and those of Theorem 3, namely (42)-(43), we obtain various measures associated with the amplitude (U_i, Φ_i, T) . With the help of these measures, we will obtain suitable spatial estimates to describe the spatial behavior of the respective amplitude.

The next result is a first estimate which describes the spatial behavior of the solution.

Theorem 4 *Let (U_i, Φ_i, T) be a solution of the boundary value problem consisting of equations (13)-(15). Then the following equality holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{D(x_3)} \left[A_{ijmn} U_{j,i} \bar{U}_{n,m} + B_{ijmn} (U_{j,i} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m} + \bar{U}_{j,i} \Phi_{n,m}) + C_{ijmn} \Phi_{j,i} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \omega^2 \left(\rho U_i \bar{U}_i + I_{ij} \Phi_i \bar{\Phi}_j + \frac{c}{\theta_0} T \bar{T} \right) + \frac{c}{\theta_0} K_{ij} T_{,i} \bar{T}_{,j} \right] dA \\ & + \int_{D(x_3)} [\iota \omega D_{ij} (\bar{T} U_{j,i} - T \bar{U}_{j,i}) + \iota \omega E_{ij} (\bar{T} \Phi_{j,i} - T \bar{\Phi}_{j,i})] dA \\ & = \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} \{ [A_{3jmn} U_{n,m} + B_{3jmn} \Phi_{n,m} - \iota \omega D_{3j} T] \bar{U}_j \} dA \\ & + \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} \{ [A_{3jmn} \bar{U}_{n,m} + B_{3jmn} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m} + \iota \omega D_{3j} \bar{T}] U_j \} dA \\ & + \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} \{ [B_{3jmn} U_{n,m} + C_{3jmn} \Phi_{n,m} - \iota \omega E_{3j} T] \bar{\Phi}_j \} dA \\ & + \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} \{ [B_{3jmn} \bar{U}_{n,m} + C_{3jmn} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m} + \iota \omega E_{3j} \bar{T}] \Phi_j \} dA \\ & + \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} \frac{1}{\theta_0} K_{33} (T \bar{T}_{,3} + \bar{T} T_{,3}) dA. \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

Proof By combining equations (16) and (18) we obtain immediately the above desired identity (50). □

Another *a priori* estimate will be proved in the next theorem.

Theorem 5 *If (U_i, Φ_i, T) is a solution of the boundary value problem consisting of equations (13)-(15), then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{D(x_3)} \left[A_{ijmn} U_{j,i} \bar{U}_{n,m} + B_{ijmn} (U_{j,i} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m} + \bar{U}_{j,i} \Phi_{n,m}) + C_{ijmn} \Phi_{j,i} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{\theta_0} K_{ij} T_{,i} \bar{T}_{,j} + \omega^2 \left(\varrho U_i \bar{U}_i + I_{ij} \Phi_i \bar{\Phi}_j + \frac{1}{\theta_0} T \bar{T} \right) \right] dA \\
 & - \int_{\partial D(x_3)} x_p n_p \left(A_{i\alpha m \beta} n_\alpha n_\beta \frac{\partial U_i}{\partial n} \frac{\partial \bar{U}_m}{\partial n} + B_{i\alpha m \beta} n_\alpha n_\beta \frac{\partial U_i}{\partial n} \frac{\partial \bar{\Phi}_m}{\partial n} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + C_{i\alpha m \beta} n_\alpha n_\beta \frac{\partial \Phi_i}{\partial n} \frac{\partial \bar{\Phi}_m}{\partial n} \right) ds - \int_{\partial D(x_3)} \frac{1}{\theta_0} x_p n_p K_{\alpha\beta} n_\alpha n_\beta \frac{\partial T}{\partial n} \frac{\partial \bar{T}}{\partial n} ds \\
 & = \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} \left[(A_{3jmn} U_{n,m} + B_{3jmn} \Phi_{n,m} - \iota \omega D_{3j} T) (\bar{U}_j + x_p \bar{U}_{j,p}) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + (A_{3jmn} \bar{U}_{n,m} + B_{3jmn} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m} + \iota \omega D_{3j} \bar{T}) (U_j + x_p U_{j,p}) \right] dA \\
 & + \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} \left[(B_{3jmn} U_{n,m} + C_{3jmn} \Phi_{n,m} - \iota \omega E_{3j} T) (\bar{\Phi}_j + x_p \bar{\Phi}_{j,p}) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + (B_{3jmn} \bar{U}_{n,m} + C_{3jmn} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m} + \iota \omega E_{3j} \bar{T}) (\Phi_j + x_p \Phi_{j,p}) \right] dA \\
 & + \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} \frac{1}{\theta_0} K_{33} (T \bar{T}_{,3} + \bar{T} T_{,3}) dA \\
 & + \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} \frac{x_\alpha}{\theta_0} \left[K_{3\alpha} (\bar{T}_{, \alpha} T_{, \beta} + T_{, \alpha} \bar{T}_{, \beta}) + K_{33} (\bar{T}_{, \alpha} T_{, 3} + T_{, \alpha} \bar{T}_{, 3}) \right] dA \\
 & + \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} \left\{ x_3 \left[A_{i3m3} U_{i,3} \bar{U}_{m,3} + B_{i3m3} (U_{i,3} \bar{\Phi}_{m,3} + \bar{U}_{i,3} \Phi_{m,3}) + C_{i3m3} \Phi_{i,3} \bar{\Phi}_{m,3} \right] \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + x_3 \left[A_{i\alpha m \beta} U_{i,\alpha} \bar{U}_{m,\beta} + B_{i\alpha m \beta} (U_{i,\alpha} \bar{\Phi}_{m,\beta} + \bar{U}_{i,\alpha} \Phi_{m,\beta}) + C_{i\alpha m \beta} \Phi_{i,\alpha} \bar{\Phi}_{m,\beta} \right] \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + x_3 \iota \omega \left[D_{i\alpha} (T \bar{U}_{i,\alpha} - \bar{T} U_{i,\alpha}) + E_{i\alpha} (T \bar{\Phi}_{i,\alpha} - \bar{T} \Phi_{i,\alpha}) \right] \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \frac{x_3}{\theta_0} (K_{33} T_{,3} \bar{T}_{,3} - K_{\alpha\beta} T_{,\alpha} \bar{T}_{,\beta}) + x_3 \omega^2 \left(\varrho U_i \bar{U}_i + I_{ij} \Phi_i \bar{\Phi}_j + \frac{c}{\theta_0} T \bar{T} \right) \right\} dA. \tag{51}
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof We arrive at the equality (51) if we combine the results from equalities (28) and (29) of Theorem 2 with equation (50) of Theorem 4.

The result of the spatial behavior will be based on equality (51). For the result to be rigorous, we specify assumptions which are really common in continuum mechanics. Thus, we assume that the tensors of the micropolar thermoelasticity satisfy the strong ellipticity condition,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & A_{ijmn} x_i x_m y_j y_n > 0, \\
 & B_{ijmn} x_i x_m y_j y_n > 0, \quad \text{for all non-zero vectors } (x_1, x_2, x_3), (y_1, y_2, y_3), \\
 & C_{ijmn} x_i x_m y_j y_n > 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

Also, the specific heat c and the conductivity tensor K_{ij} satisfy the conditions

$$c > 0, \quad K_{ij}x_i x_j > 0, \quad \text{for all non-zero vector } (x_1, x_2, x_3). \tag{53}$$

It is clear that from (52) that we can deduce

$$\begin{aligned} A_{i3m3}x_i x_m &> 0, \\ B_{i3m3}x_i x_m &> 0, \quad \text{for all non-zero vector } (x_1, x_2, x_3), \\ C_{i3m3}x_i x_m &> 0. \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

Since the curve ∂D was presumed regular, we deduce that there is $h_0 > 0$ such that $x_p n_p \geq h_0 > 0$. Then we have the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_{\partial D(x_3)} x_p n_p \left(A_{i\alpha m\beta} n_\alpha n_\beta \frac{\partial U_i}{\partial n} \frac{\partial \bar{U}_m}{\partial n} + 2B_{i\alpha m\beta} n_\alpha n_\beta \frac{\partial U_i}{\partial n} \frac{\partial \bar{\Phi}_m}{\partial n} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + C_{i\alpha m\beta} n_\alpha n_\beta \frac{\partial \Phi_i}{\partial n} \frac{\partial \bar{\Phi}_m}{\partial n} \right) ds \\ &\leq MC \int_{\partial D(x_3)} \left(\frac{\partial U_i}{\partial n} \frac{\partial \bar{U}_i}{\partial n} + \frac{\partial \Phi_i}{\partial n} \frac{\partial \bar{\Phi}_i}{\partial n} \right) ds, \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

where we have used the notations

$$C = (A_{i\alpha m\beta} A_{i\alpha m\beta} + 2B_{i\alpha m\beta} B_{i\alpha m\beta} + C_{i\alpha m\beta} C_{i\alpha m\beta})^{1/2}, \tag{56}$$

$$M = \sup_{(x_1, x_2) \in \partial D} \sqrt{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}. \tag{57}$$

Also, for the conductivity tensor K_{ij} we have

$$0 \leq \int_{\partial D(x_3)} \frac{1}{\theta_0} x_p n_p K_{\alpha\beta} n_\alpha n_\beta \frac{\partial T}{\partial n} \frac{\partial \bar{T}}{\partial n} ds \leq \frac{MK}{\theta_0} \int_{\partial D(x_3)} \frac{\partial T}{\partial n} \frac{\partial \bar{T}}{\partial n} ds, \tag{58}$$

where M is defined in (57) and

$$K = (K_{\alpha\beta} K_{\alpha\beta})^{1/2}. \tag{59}$$

Now we introduce the quantities m_0, m_1, ω_0^* , and ω_1^* by

$$m_0 = \max_{x_3 \in [0, L]} \frac{\int_{\partial D(x_3)} \left(\frac{\partial U_i}{\partial n} \frac{\partial \bar{U}_i}{\partial n} + \frac{\partial \Phi_i}{\partial n} \frac{\partial \bar{\Phi}_i}{\partial n} \right) ds}{\int_{D(x_3)} (U_i \bar{U}_i + \Phi_i \bar{\Phi}_i) ds}, \quad \omega_0^* = \frac{1}{\rho} MC m_0, \tag{60}$$

$$m_1 = \max_{x_3 \in [0, L]} \frac{\int_{\partial D(x_3)} \frac{\partial T}{\partial n} \frac{\partial \bar{T}}{\partial n} ds}{\int_{D(x_3)} T \bar{T} ds}, \quad \omega_1^* = \frac{1}{c} MK m_1. \tag{61}$$

We can assume that

$$\omega > \omega^* = \max \{ \omega_0^*, \omega_1^* \}, \tag{62}$$

$$m_0 \leq m_0^*, \quad m_1 \leq m_1^*, \tag{63}$$

where

$$m_0^* = \max \frac{\int_{\partial D(x_3)} (\frac{\partial U_i}{\partial n} \frac{\partial \bar{U}_i}{\partial n} + \frac{\partial \Phi_i}{\partial n} \frac{\partial \bar{\Phi}_i}{\partial n}) ds}{\int_{D(x_3)} (U_i \bar{U}_i + \Phi_i \bar{\Phi}_i) ds}, \tag{64}$$

$$m_1^* = \max_{T \in H_0^1(D)} \frac{\int_{\partial D(x_3)} \frac{\partial T}{\partial n} \frac{\partial \bar{T}}{\partial n} ds}{\int_{D(x_3)} T \bar{T} ds}. \tag{65}$$

Here the maximum from m_0^* is calculated for $U_i \in H_0^1(D)$, $\Phi_i \in H_0^1(D)$, where $H_0^1(D)$ is the usual Sobolev space. In this way we obtain an explicit critical value for the frequency of the vibration, namely

$$\omega^* = \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\varrho} M C m_0^*, \frac{1}{c} M K m_1^* \right\}.$$

Combining the results from equations (51), (55), (58), and (62) we obtain the following estimate of the spatial behavior of the amplitude (U_i, Φ_i, T) :

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} [(A_{3jmn} \mathcal{U}_{n,m} + B_{3jmn} \Phi_{n,m} - \iota \omega D_{3j} T)(\bar{U}_j + x_p \bar{U}_{j,p}) \\ & + (A_{3jmn} \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{n,m} + B_{3jmn} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m} + \iota \omega D_{3j} \bar{T})(U_j + x_p U_{j,p})] dA \\ & + \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} [(B_{3jmn} \mathcal{U}_{n,m} + C_{3jmn} \Phi_{n,m} - \iota \omega E_{3j} T)(\bar{\Phi}_j + x_p \bar{\Phi}_{j,p}) \\ & + (B_{3jmn} \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{n,m} + C_{3jmn} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m} + \iota \omega E_{3j} \bar{T})(\Phi_j + x_p \Phi_{j,p})] dA \\ & + \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} \frac{x_\alpha}{\theta_0} [K_{3\alpha} (\bar{T}_{,\alpha} T_{,\beta} + T_{,\alpha} \bar{T}_{,\beta}) + K_{33} (\bar{T}_{,\alpha} T_{,\alpha} + T_{,\alpha} \bar{T}_{,\alpha})] dA \\ & + \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} \frac{1}{\theta_0} [K_{33} (T \bar{T}_{,3} + \bar{T} T_{,3}) + x_3 \omega^2 (\varrho U_i \bar{U}_i + I_{ij} \Phi_i \bar{\Phi}_j + \frac{1}{\theta_0} T \bar{T})] dA \\ & + \frac{d}{dx_3} \int_{D(x_3)} \left\{ x_3 [A_{i3m3} \mathcal{U}_{i,3} \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{m,3} + B_{i3m3} (U_{i,3} \bar{\Phi}_{m,3} + \bar{U}_{i,3} \Phi_{m,3}) + C_{i3m3} \Phi_{i,3} \bar{\Phi}_{m,3}] \right. \\ & - x_3 [A_{i\alpha m\beta} \mathcal{U}_{i,\alpha} \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{m,\beta} + B_{i\alpha m\beta} (U_{i,\alpha} \bar{\Phi}_{m,\beta} + \bar{U}_{i,\alpha} \Phi_{m,\beta}) + C_{i\alpha m\beta} \Phi_{i,\alpha} \bar{\Phi}_{m,\beta}] \\ & - x_3 \iota \omega [D_{i\alpha} (T \bar{U}_{i,\alpha} - \bar{T} U_{i,\alpha}) + E_{i\alpha} (T \bar{\Phi}_{i,\alpha} - \bar{T} \Phi_{i,\alpha})] \\ & \left. + \frac{x_3}{\theta_0} (K_{33} T_{,3} \bar{T}_{,3} - K_{\alpha\beta} T_{,\alpha} \bar{T}_{,\beta}) + x_3 \omega^2 \left(\varrho U_i \bar{U}_i + I_{ij} \Phi_i \bar{\Phi}_j + \frac{c}{\theta_0} T \bar{T} \right) \right\} dA \\ & \geq \int_{D(x_3)} \left[A_{ijmn} \mathcal{U}_{j,i} \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{n,m} + B_{ijmn} (U_{j,i} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m} + \bar{U}_{j,i} \Phi_{n,m}) \right. \\ & \left. + C_{ijmn} \Phi_{j,i} \bar{\Phi}_{n,m} + \frac{1}{\theta_0} K_{ij} T_{,i} \bar{T}_{,j} \right] dA. \tag{66} \end{aligned}$$

With this the proof of Theorem 5 is complete. □

Conclusion It is appropriate to note that the differential inequality (66) is different from those used for a deduction of the estimates of Saint-Venant type.

To deduce these estimates we used only the strong ellipticity assumptions for the thermoelastic coefficients.

Therefore, these results can be applied to a large scale of materials.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally to the writing of this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Transilvania University of Brasov, Brasov, 500118, Romania.

²Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Cankaya University, Ankara, Turkey. ³Institute of Space Sciences, Magurele, Bucharest, Romania.

Received: 1 March 2016 Accepted: 1 June 2016 Published online: 07 June 2016

References

- Chandrasekharaiah, DS: Hyperbolic thermoelasticity: a review of recent literature. *Appl. Mech. Rev.* **51**, 705-729 (1998)
- Green, AE, Naghdi, PM: Thermoelasticity without energy dissipation. *J. Elast.* **31**, 189-208 (1993)
- Green, AE, Naghdi, PM: On thermodynamics and the nature of the second law. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* **357**, 253-270 (1977)
- Nappa, L: Spatial decay estimates for the evolution equations of thermoelasticity without energy dissipation. *J. Therm. Stresses* **21**, 581-592 (1998)
- Chandrasekharaiah, DS: A note on the uniqueness of solution in the linear theory of thermoelasticity without energy dissipation. *J. Elast.* **43**, 279-283 (1996)
- Ilesan, D: On the theory of thermoelasticity without energy dissipation. *J. Therm. Stresses* **21**, 295-307 (1998)
- Quintanilla, R: On existence in thermoelasticity without energy dissipation. *J. Therm. Stresses* **25**, 195-202 (2002)
- Abbas, IA, Zenkour, AM: The effect of magnetic field on thermal shock problem for a fiber-reinforced anisotropic half-space using Green-Naghdi's theory. *J. Comput. Theor. Nanosci.* **12**(3), 438-442 (2015)
- Abbas, IA: Generalized magneto-thermoelastic interaction in a fiber-reinforced anisotropic hollow cylinder. *Int. J. Thermophys.* **33**(3), 567-579 (2012)
- Zenkour, AM, Abbas, IA: Magneto-thermoelastic response of an infinite functionally graded cylinder using the finite element method. *J. Vib. Control* **20**(12), 1907-1919 (2014)
- Marin, M: A temporally evolutionary equation in elasticity of micropolar bodies with voids. *Sci. Bull. 'Politeh.' Univ. Buchar., Ser. A, Appl. Math. Phys.* **60**(3-4), 67-78 (1998)
- Marin, M: On the minimum principle for dipolar materials with stretch. *Nonlinear Anal., Real World Appl.* **10**(3), 1572-1578 (2009)
- Marin, M, Agarwal, RP, Mahmoud, SR: Non-simple material problems addressed by the Lagrange's identity. *Bound. Value Probl.* **2013**, Article ID 135 (2013). doi:10.1186/1687-2770-2013-135
- Marin, M: On existence and uniqueness in thermoelasticity of micropolar bodies. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **321**(12), 475-480 (1995)
- Marin, M: An evolutionary equation in thermoelasticity of dipolar bodies. *J. Math. Phys.* **40**(3), 1391-1399 (1999)
- Marin, M: A partition of energy in thermoelasticity of microstretch bodies. *Nonlinear Anal., Real World Appl.* **11**(4), 2436-2447 (2010)
- Anwar Beg, O, Zueco, J, Takhar, HS, Beg, TA: Network numerical simulation of impulsively-started transient radiation-convection heat and mass transfer in a saturated Darcy-Forchheimer porous medium. *Nonlinear Anal., Model. Control* **13**(3), 281-303 (2008)
- Mahapatra, TR, Pal, D, Mondal, S: Influence of thermal radiation on non-Darcian natural convection in a square cavity filled with fluid saturated porous medium of uniform porosity. *Nonlinear Anal., Model. Control* **17**(2), 223-237 (2012)
- Chirita, S: Spatial decay estimates for solutions describing harmonic vibrations in a thermoelastic cylinder. *J. Therm. Stresses* **18**, 421-436 (1995)
- Flavin, JN, Knops, RJ: Some spatial decay estimates in continuum dynamics. *J. Elast.* **17**, 249-264 (1987)
- Ciarletta, M: A theory of micropolar thermoelasticity without energy dissipation. *J. Therm. Stresses* **22**, 581-594 (1999)