

RESEARCH

Open Access



# Composite inertial subgradient extragradient methods for variational inequalities and fixed point problems

Lu-Chuan Ceng<sup>1</sup> and Qing Yuan<sup>2\*</sup>

\*Correspondence:  
[yuanqing@lyu.edu.cn](mailto:yuanqing@lyu.edu.cn)

<sup>2</sup>School of Mathematics and Statistics, Linyi University, Linyi, China

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

## Abstract

In this paper, we introduce and investigate composite inertial gradient-based algorithms with a line-search process for solving a variational inequality problem (VIP) with a pseudomonotone and Lipschitz continuous mapping and a common fixed-point problem (CFPP) of finitely many nonexpansive mappings and a strictly pseudocontractive mapping in the framework infinite-dimensional Hilbert spaces. The proposed algorithms are based on an inertial subgradient–extragradient method with the line-search process, hybrid steepest-descent methods, viscosity approximation methods and Mann iteration methods. Under weak conditions, we prove strong convergence of the proposed algorithms to the element in the common solution set of the VIP and CFPP, which solves a certain hierarchical VIP defined on this common solution set.

**MSC:** 47H05; 47H09

**Keywords:** Subgradient–extragradient method; Pseudomonotone variational inequality problem; Common fixed-point problem; Strictly pseudocontractive mapping

## 1 Introduction

Throughout this work,  $H$  is assumed to be a real Hilbert space with norm  $\|\cdot\|$  and inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . We suppose that  $C$  is a convex and closed set in Hilbert space  $H$  and  $P_C$  is the metric projection from space  $H$  onto set  $C$ . Let  $S : C \rightarrow H$  be a nonlinear operator. We denote by  $\text{Fix}(S)$  the set of fixed points of operator  $S$ . A mapping  $T : C \rightarrow C$  is called strictly pseudocontractive if

$$\|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \zeta \|(T - I)x - (T - I)y\|^2 + \|x - y\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in C, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\zeta \in [0, 1)$  is some constant. With  $\zeta = 0$ ,  $T$  is called a nonexpansive operator. It is well known that the class of strict pseudocontractions includes the class of nonexpansive mappings and is also continuous. From their direct and indirect applications to other branches of mathematics and other engineering fields, to the the class of nonexpansive operators and the class of strictly pseudocontractive operators are now under the spotlights; see, e.g., [1–8] and the references therein.

Let  $A : H \rightarrow H$  be a mapping defined on the whole space. The known variational inequality problem (VIP), which find lots of applications in sparse reconstruction, image reconstruction, traffic and transportation systems, is to get  $x^* \in C$  with  $\langle Ax^*, x - x^* \rangle \geq 0 \forall x \in C$ . The solution set of the VIP is presented with  $VI(C, A)$ . At present, one of the most effective methods for solving the VIP is the extragradient method introduced by Korpelevich [9] in 1976, that is, for any initial  $x_0 \in C$ , the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is generated by

$$\begin{cases} y_n = P_C(I - \tau A)x_n, \\ x_{n+1} = P_C(x_n - \tau Ay_n) \quad \forall n \geq 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

with  $\tau$  is such that  $L\tau < 1$ . If  $VI(C, A) \neq \emptyset$ , then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  generated by process (1.2) converges weakly to a point, which lies in  $VI(C, A)$ . The literature on the VIP is quite vast and Korpelevich's extragradient method, which is projection-based, has received great attention given by many researchers working on nonlinear programming, who improved it in various ways; see, e.g., [10–17] and the references therein. For the well-known extragradient method, one needs to compute two nearest point projections for every iterative step/process. Without question, the projection onto a feasibility (closed-convex) set is closely related to a minimizer problem (min distance). If feasibility sets are general, this might require a prohibitive amount of computation time; For recent efforts on projection-based methods, see, e.g., [18–22]. In 2011, Censor et al. [21] deeply studied Korpelevich's extragradient method and first introduced the subgradient–extragradient method, in which the second projection onto set  $C$  is replaced by a projection onto a half-space:

$$\begin{cases} y_n = P_C(I - \tau A)x_n, \\ C_n = \{x \in H : \langle x_n - \tau Ax_n - y_n, x - y_n \rangle \leq 0\}, \\ x_{n+1} = P_{C_n}(x_n - \tau Ay_n) \quad \forall n \geq 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

with  $\tau$  is a parameter such that  $L\tau < 1$ . In 2014, Kraikaew and Saejung [23] proposed the Halpern subgradient–extragradient method for solving the VIP

$$\begin{cases} y_n = P_C(I - \tau A)x_n, \\ C_n = \{x \in H : \langle x_n - \tau Ax_n - y_n, x - y_n \rangle \leq 0\}, \\ z_n = P_{C_n}(x_n - \tau Ay_n), \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_0 + (1 - \alpha_n)z_n \quad \forall n \geq 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

where  $\tau$  is a parameter such that  $L\tau < 1$ ,  $\{\alpha_n\}$  is a sequence in  $(0, 1)$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ , and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = +\infty$ . They proved the strong convergence of the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  generated by (1.4) to  $P_{VI(C,A)}x_0$ .

In 2018, by virtue of the inertial technique, Thong and Hieu [24] first proposed the inertial subgradient–extragradient method, that is, for any initial  $x_0, x_1 \in H$ , the sequence  $\{x_n\}$

is generated by

$$\begin{cases} w_n = x_n + \alpha_n(x_n - x_{n-1}), \\ y_n = P_C(w_n - \tau Aw_n), \\ C_n = \{x \in H : \langle w_n - \tau Aw_n - y_n, x - y_n \rangle \leq 0\}, \\ x_{n+1} = P_{C_n}(w_n - \tau Ay_n) \quad \forall n \geq 1, \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

with  $\tau$  is a parameter such that  $L\tau < 1$ . Under some suitable conditions, they proved the weak convergence of  $\{x_n\}$  to an element of  $VI(C, A)$ . Very recently, Thong and Hieu [25] introduced two inertial subgradient–extragradient algorithms with linear-search process for solving the VIP with monotone and Lipschitz continuous mapping  $A$  and the fixed-point problem (FPP) of a quasi-nonexpansive mapping  $T$  with a demiclosedness property in a real Hilbert space.

**Algorithm 1.1** (see [25, Algorithm 1])

*Initialization:* Let  $x_0, x_1 \in H$  be arbitrary. Given  $\gamma > 0, l \in (0, 1), \mu \in (0, 1)$ .

*Iterative Steps:* Compute  $x_{n+1}$  as follows:

Step 1. Set  $w_n = \alpha_n(x_n - x_{n-1}) + x_n$  and compute  $y_n = P_C(w_n - \tau_n Aw_n)$ , where  $\tau_n$  is chosen to be the largest  $\tau \in \{\gamma, \gamma l, \gamma l^2, \dots\}$  satisfying  $\tau \|Aw_n - Ay_n\| \leq \mu \|w_n - y_n\|$ .

Step 2. Compute  $z_n = P_{C_n}(w_n - \tau_n Ay_n)$  with  $C_n := \{x \in H : \langle w_n - \tau_n Aw_n - y_n, x - y_n \rangle \leq 0\}$ .

Step 3. Compute  $x_{n+1} = (1 - \beta_n)w_n + \beta_n Tz_n$ . If  $w_n = z_n = x_{n+1}$  then  $w_n \in \text{Fix}(T) \cap VI(C, A)$ . Set  $n := n + 1$  and go to Step 1.

**Algorithm 1.2** (see [25, Algorithm 2])

*Initialization:* Let  $x_0, x_1 \in H$  be arbitrary. Given  $\gamma > 0, l \in (0, 1), \mu \in (0, 1)$ .

*Iterative Steps:* Calculate  $x_{n+1}$  as follows:

Step 1. Set  $w_n = \alpha_n(x_n - x_{n-1}) + x_n$  and compute  $y_n = P_C(w_n - \tau_n Aw_n)$ , where  $\tau_n$  is chosen to be the largest  $\tau \in \{\gamma, \gamma l, \gamma l^2, \dots\}$  satisfying  $\tau \|Aw_n - Ay_n\| \leq \mu \|w_n - y_n\|$ .

Step 2. Compute  $z_n = P_{C_n}(w_n - \tau_n Ay_n)$  with  $C_n := \{x \in H : \langle w_n - \tau_n Aw_n - y_n, x - y_n \rangle \leq 0\}$ .

Step 3. Compute  $x_{n+1} = (1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_n Tz_n$ . If  $w_n = z_n = x_n = x_{n+1}$  then  $x_n \in \text{Fix}(T) \cap VI(C, A)$ . Set  $n := n + 1$  and go to Step 1.

Under some appropriate mild conditions, they proved the weak convergence of the proposed algorithms to an element of  $\text{Fix}(T) \cap VI(C, A)$ . Inspired by the research work of [23–28], we introduce two composite inertial subgradient–extragradient algorithms with a line-search process for solving the VIP with pseudomonotone and Lipschitz continuous mapping and the CFPP of finitely many nonexpansive mappings and a strictly pseudocontractive mapping in a real Hilbert space. The proposed algorithms are based on an inertial subgradient–extragradient method with a line-search process, hybrid steepest-descent methods, viscosity approximation methods and the Mann iteration method. We prove strong convergence of the proposed algorithms to an element in the common solution set of the VIP and CFPP, which solves a certain hierarchical VIP defined on this common solution set. Finally, our main results are applied to solve the VIP and CFPP in an illustrative example.

This paper is organized as follows: In Sect. 2, we recall some definitions and preliminary results for further use. Section 3 deals with the convergence analysis of the proposed algorithms. Some examples are presented to solve the VIP and CFPP.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let  $C$  be a convex nonempty closed set in a real Hilbert space  $H$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a vector sequence in  $H$ , then we denote by  $x_n \rightarrow x$  (resp.,  $x_n \rightharpoonup x$ ) the strong (resp., weak) convergence of  $\{x_n\}$  to  $x$ . A single-valued mapping  $T : C \rightarrow H$  is called

- (i)  $L$ -Lipschitz continuous (or  $L$ -Lipschitzian) if  $\exists L > 0$  such that  $\|Tx - Ty\| \leq L\|x - y\| \forall x, y \in C$ ;
- (ii) monotone if  $\langle Tx - Ty, x - y \rangle \geq 0 \forall x, y \in C$ ;
- (iii) pseudomonotone if  $\langle Tx, y - x \rangle \geq 0 \Rightarrow \langle Ty, y - x \rangle \geq 0 \forall x, y \in C$ ;
- (iv)  $\alpha$ -strongly monotone if  $\exists \alpha > 0$  such that  $\langle Tx - Ty, x - y \rangle \geq \alpha\|x - y\|^2 \forall x, y \in C$ ;
- (v) sequentially weakly continuous if  $\forall \{x_n\} \subset C$ , the relation holds:  
 $x_n \rightharpoonup x \Rightarrow Tx_n \rightharpoonup Tx$ .

It is easy to see that every monotone operator is pseudomonotone but the converse is not true. Also, recall that the mapping  $T : C \rightarrow C$  is a  $\zeta$ -strict pseudocontraction for some  $\zeta \in [0, 1)$  if and only if the inequality holds  $\langle Tx - Ty, x - y \rangle \leq \|x - y\|^2 - \frac{1-\zeta}{2}\|(I - T)x - (I - T)y\|^2 \forall x, y \in C$ . We know that if  $T$  is a  $\zeta$ -strictly pseudocontractive mapping, then  $T$  satisfies Lipschitz condition  $\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \frac{1+\zeta}{1-\zeta}\|x - y\| \forall x, y \in C$ . For each point  $x \in H$ , we know that there exists a unique nearest point in  $C$ , denoted by  $P_Cx$ , such that  $\|x - P_Cx\| \leq \|x - y\| \forall y \in C$ . The mapping  $P_C$  is called the metric projection of  $H$  onto  $C$ . The complementary operator of the metric projection operator is monotone.

The following two lemmas are trivial.

**Lemma 2.1** *The following hold:*

- (i)  $\langle x - y, P_Cx - P_Cy \rangle \geq \|P_Cx - P_Cy\|^2 \forall x, y \in H$ ;
- (ii)  $\langle P_Cx - x, y - P_Cx \rangle \geq 0 \forall x \in H, y \in C$ ;
- (iii)  $\|x - y\|^2 \geq \|x - P_Cx\|^2 + \|y - P_Cx\|^2 \forall x \in H, y \in C$ ;
- (iv)  $\|x - y\|^2 + 2\langle x - y, y \rangle = \|x\|^2 - \|y\|^2 \forall x, y \in H$ ;
- (v)  $\|\lambda x + \mu y\|^2 + \lambda\mu\|x - y\|^2 = \lambda\|x\|^2 + \mu\|y\|^2 \forall x, y \in H, \forall \lambda, \mu \in [0, 1]$  with  $\lambda + \mu = 1$ .

**Lemma 2.2** *We have the inequality*

$$\|x + y\|^2 \leq 2\langle y, x + y \rangle + \|x\|^2 \quad \forall x, y \in H.$$

**Lemma 2.3** ([21]) *Let  $A : C \rightarrow H$  be pseudomonotone and continuous. Then  $x^* \in C$  is a solution to the VIP  $\langle Ax^*, x - x^* \rangle \geq 0 \forall x \in C$ , if and only if  $\langle Ax, x - x^* \rangle \geq 0 \forall x \in C$ .*

**Lemma 2.4** ([29]) *Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a sequence of nonnegative real numbers satisfying the conditions:  $a_{n+1} \leq \lambda_n \gamma_n + (1 - \lambda_n)a_n \forall n \geq 1$ , where  $\{\lambda_n\}$  and  $\{\gamma_n\}$  are sequences of real numbers such that (i)  $\{\lambda_n\} \subset [0, 1]$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \lambda_n = \infty$ , and (ii)  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n \leq 0$  or  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty |\lambda_n \gamma_n| < \infty$ . Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$ .*

**Lemma 2.5** ([30]) *Let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be a  $\zeta$ -strict pseudocontraction. Then  $I - T$  is demiclosed at zero, i.e., if  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $C$  such that  $x_n \rightharpoonup x \in C$  and  $(T - I)x_n \rightarrow 0$ , then  $(I - T)x = 0$ , where  $I$  is the identity mapping of  $H$ .*

**Lemma 2.6** ([31]) *Let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be a  $\zeta$ -strictly pseudocontractive mapping. Let  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  be two nonnegative real numbers. Then  $\|\gamma(x - y) + \delta(Tx - Ty)\| \leq (\gamma + \delta)\|x - y\| \forall x, y \in C$  provided  $(\gamma + \delta)\zeta \leq \gamma$ .*

The following lemma is a direct consequence of Yamada [32].

**Lemma 2.7** *Let  $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ ,  $T : C \rightarrow H$  be a nonexpansive mapping, and the mapping  $T^\lambda : C \rightarrow H$  be defined by  $T^\lambda x := Tx - \lambda\mu F(Tx) \forall x \in C$ , where  $F : H \rightarrow H$  is  $\kappa$ -Lipschitzian and  $\eta$ -strongly monotone. Then  $T^\lambda$  is a contraction with the coefficient  $1 - \lambda\tau$  provided  $0 < \mu < \frac{2\eta}{\kappa^2}$ .*

### 3 Main results

In this section, we use  $C$  to denote the the feasible set and assume always that the following hold.

$T_i : H \rightarrow H$  is a nonexpansive mapping for  $i = 1, \dots, N$  and  $T : H \rightarrow H$  is a  $\zeta$ -strictly pseudocontractive mapping.

$A : H \rightarrow H$  is Lipschitz continuous with the module  $L$ , pseudomonotone on  $H$ , and sequentially weakly continuous on  $C$ , such that  $\Omega = \bigcap_{i=0}^N \text{Fix}(T_i) \cap \text{VI}(C, A) \neq \emptyset$  with  $T_0 := T$ .

$f : H \rightarrow H$  is a contraction with constant  $\delta \in [0, 1)$ , and  $F : H \rightarrow H$  is  $\eta$ -strongly monotone and  $\kappa$ -Lipschitzian such that  $\delta < \tau := 1 - \sqrt{1 - \rho(2\eta - \rho\kappa^2)}$  for  $\rho \in (0, \frac{2\eta}{\kappa^2})$ .

$\{\sigma_n\} \subset [0, 1]$  and  $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}, \{\delta_n\} \subset (0, 1)$  such that

- (i)  $\sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{\sigma_n}{\alpha_n} < \infty$  and  $\beta_n + \gamma_n + \delta_n = 1 \forall n \geq 1$ ;
- (ii)  $\zeta(\gamma_n + \delta_n) \leq \gamma_n \forall n \geq 1$ ;
- (iii)  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \alpha_n = \infty$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ ;
- (iv)  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n < 1$ ,  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n > 0$  and  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_n > 0$ .

In terms of Xu and Kim [33], we write  $T_n := T_{n \bmod N}$  for integer  $n \geq 1$  with the mod function taking values in the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ , that is, if  $n = jN + q$  for some integers  $j \geq 0$  and  $0 \leq q < N$ , then  $T_n = T_N$  if  $q = 0$  and  $T_n = T_q$  if  $0 < q < N$ .

#### Algorithm 3.1

*Initialization:* Given  $l \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\gamma > 0$  and  $\mu \in (0, 1)$ . Let  $x_0, x_1 \in H$  be arbitrary.

*Iterative Steps:* Calculate  $x_{n+1}$  as follows:

Step 1. Set  $w_n = \sigma_n(T_n x_n - T_n x_{n-1}) + T_n x_n$  and compute  $y_n = P_C(w_n - \tau_n A w_n)$ , where  $\tau_n$  is chosen to be the largest  $\tau \in \{\gamma, \gamma l, \gamma l^2, \dots\}$  satisfying

$$\tau \|Aw_n - Ay_n\| \leq \mu \|w_n - y_n\|. \tag{3.1}$$

Step 2. Compute  $z_n = (I - \alpha_n \rho F)P_{C_n}(w_n - \tau_n Ay_n) + \alpha_n f(x_n)$  with  $C_n := \{x \in H : \langle w_n - \tau_n A w_n - y_n, x - y_n \rangle \leq 0\}$ .

Step 3. Compute

$$x_{n+1} = \beta_n x_n + \gamma_n z_n + \delta_n T z_n. \tag{3.2}$$

Again set  $n := n + 1$  and go to Step 1.

**Lemma 3.1** *The Armijo-like search rule (3.1) is well defined, and  $\min\{\gamma, \frac{\mu l}{L}\} \leq \tau_n \leq \gamma$ .*

*Proof* From the  $L$ -Lipschitz continuity of  $A$  we get  $\mu \|w_n - P_C(w_n - \gamma l^m A w_n)\| \geq \frac{\mu}{L} \|Aw_n - AP_C(w_n - \gamma l^m A w_n)\|$ . Thus, (3.1) holds for all  $\gamma l^m \leq \frac{\mu}{L}$  and so  $\tau_n$  is well defined. Obviously,  $\tau_n \geq \gamma$ . If  $\tau_n = \gamma$ , then the inequality is true. If  $\tau_n < \gamma$ , then from (3.1) we get  $\|Aw_n -$

$AP_C(w_n - \frac{\tau_n}{L}Aw_n) \| > \frac{\mu}{L} \| w_n - P_C(w_n - \frac{\tau_n}{L}Aw_n) \|$ . Again from the  $L$ -Lipschitz continuity of  $A$  we obtain  $\tau_n > \frac{\mu L}{L}$ . Hence the inequality is valid.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2** *Let  $\{w_n\}, \{y_n\}, \{z_n\}$  be the sequences generated by Algorithm 3.1. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_n - p\|^2 &\leq (1 - \alpha_n\tau) \|w_n - p\|^2 - (1 - \alpha_n\tau)(1 - \mu) [\|w_n - y_n\|^2 + \alpha_n\delta \|x_n - p\|^2 \\ &\quad + \|u_n - y_n\|^2] + 2\alpha_n \langle (f - \rho F)p, z_n - p \rangle \quad \forall p \in \Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where  $u_n := P_{C_n}(w_n - \tau_nAy_n) \forall n \geq 1$ .

*Proof* First, take an arbitrary  $p \in \Omega \subset C \subset C_n$ . We note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n - p\|^2 &= \|P_{C_n}p - P_{C_n}(w_n - \tau_nAy_n)\|^2 \leq \langle w_n - \tau_nAy_n - p, u_n - p \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|u_n - p\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|w_n - p\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|u_n - w_n\|^2 - \langle u_n - p, \tau_nAy_n \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

So, it follows that  $\|u_n - p\|^2 \leq \|w_n - p\|^2 - \|u_n - w_n\|^2 - 2\langle u_n - p, \tau_nAy_n \rangle$ , from which, together with (3.1) and the pseudomonotonicity of  $A$ , we deduce that  $\langle Ay_n, p - y_n \rangle \leq 0$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n - p\|^2 &\leq 2\tau_n (\langle Ay_n, p - y_n \rangle + \langle Ay_n, y_n - u_n \rangle) - \|u_n - w_n\|^2 + \|w_n - p\|^2 \\ &\leq 2\tau_n \langle Ay_n, y_n - u_n \rangle - \|u_n - w_n\|^2 + \|w_n - p\|^2 \\ &= 2\langle w_n - \tau_nAy_n - y_n, u_n - y_n \rangle - \|y_n - w_n\|^2 - \|u_n - y_n\|^2 + \|w_n - p\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Since  $u_n = P_{C_n}(w_n - \tau_nAy_n)$  with  $C_n := \{x \in H : \langle w_n - \tau_nAw_n - y_n, x - y_n \rangle \leq 0\}$ , we have  $\langle w_n - \tau_nAw_n - y_n, u_n - y_n \rangle \leq 0$ , which, together with (3.1), implies that

$$\begin{aligned} 2\langle w_n - \tau_nAy_n - y_n, u_n - y_n \rangle &= 2\langle w_n - \tau_nAw_n - y_n, u_n - y_n \rangle + 2\tau_n \langle Aw_n - Ay_n, u_n - y_n \rangle \\ &\leq 2\mu \|w_n - y_n\| \|u_n - y_n\| \leq \mu (\|w_n - y_n\|^2 + \|u_n - y_n\|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, substituting the last inequality for (3.4), we infer that

$$\|u_n - p\|^2 \leq \|w_n - p\|^2 - (1 - \mu) \|w_n - y_n\|^2 - (1 - \mu) \|u_n - y_n\|^2 \quad \forall p \in \Omega. \tag{3.5}$$

In addition, from Algorithm 3.1 we have

$$z_n - p = \alpha_n(f - \rho F)p + \alpha_n(f(x_n) - f(p)) + (I - \alpha_n\rho F)u_n - (I - \alpha_n\rho F)p.$$

Using Lemma 2.2, Lemma 2.7, and the convexity of the function  $h(t) = t^2 \forall t \in \mathbf{R}$ , from (3.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_n - p\|^2 &\leq 2\alpha_n \langle p - z_n, (\rho F - f)p \rangle + \|(I - \alpha_n\rho F)u_n - (I - \alpha_n\rho F)p + \alpha_n(f(x_n) - f(p))\|^2 \\ &\leq 2\alpha_n \langle p - z_n, (\rho F - f)p \rangle + [\alpha_n\delta \|x_n - p\| + (1 - \alpha_n\tau) \|u_n - p\|]^2 \\ &\leq 2\alpha_n \langle p - z_n, (\rho F - f)p \rangle + \alpha_n\delta \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n\tau) \|u_n - p\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq (1 - \alpha_n \tau) [\|w_n - p\|^2 - (1 - \mu) \|w_n - y_n\|^2 - (1 - \mu) \|u_n - y_n\|^2] \\ &\quad + 2\alpha_n \langle p - z_n, (\rho F - f)p \rangle + \alpha_n \delta \|x_n - p\|^2 \\ &= (1 - \alpha_n \tau) \|w_n - p\|^2 - (1 - \alpha_n \tau)(1 - \mu) [\|w_n - y_n\|^2 + \|u_n - y_n\|^2] \\ &\quad + 2\alpha_n \langle p - z_n, (\rho F - f)p \rangle + \alpha_n \delta \|x_n - p\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

**Lemma 3.3** *Let  $\{w_n\}, \{x_n\}, \{y_n\}, \{z_n\}$  be bounded sequences generated by Algorithm 3.1. If  $x_n - x_{n+1} \rightarrow 0, w_n - x_n \rightarrow 0, w_n - y_n \rightarrow 0, w_n - z_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $\exists \{w_{n_k}\} \subset \{w_n\}$  such that  $w_{n_k} \rightarrow z \in H$ , then  $z \in \Omega$ .*

*Proof* From Algorithm 3.1, we get  $T_n x_n - x_n + \alpha_n (T_n x_n - T_n x_{n-1}) = w_n - x_n \forall n \geq 1$ , and hence  $\|T_n x_n - x_n\| \leq \|w_n - x_n\| + \alpha_n \|T_n x_n - T_n x_{n-1}\| \leq \|w_n - x_n\| + \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|$ . Utilizing the assumptions  $x_n - x_{n+1} \rightarrow 0$  and  $w_n - x_n \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T_n x_n\| = 0. \tag{3.6}$$

Combining the assumptions  $w_n - x_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $w_n - z_n \rightarrow 0$  implies that, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\|z_n - x_n\| \leq \|w_n - z_n\| + \|w_n - x_n\| \rightarrow 0.$$

Moreover, by Algorithm 3.1 we get  $z_n - x_n = u_n - x_n - \alpha_n \rho F u_n + \alpha_n f(x_n)$  with  $u_n := P_{C_n}(w_n - \tau_n A y_n)$ . So it follows from the boundedness of  $\{x_n\}, \{u_n\}$  that, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\|u_n - x_n\| = \|z_n - x_n - \alpha_n f(x_n) + \alpha_n \rho F u_n\| \leq \|z_n - x_n\| + \alpha_n (\|f(x_n)\| + \|\rho F u_n\|) \rightarrow 0.$$

Also, by Algorithm 3.1 we get  $\beta_n(x_n - z_n) + \delta_n(Tz_n - z_n) = x_{n+1} - z_n$ , which immediately yields

$$\delta_n \|Tz_n - z_n\| = \|x_{n+1} - z_n - \beta_n(x_n - z_n)\| \leq \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + 2\|x_n - z_n\|.$$

Since  $x_n - x_{n+1} \rightarrow 0, z_n - x_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_n > 0$ , we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|z_n - Tz_n\| = 0. \tag{3.7}$$

Noticing  $y_n = P_C(I - \tau_n A)w_n$ , we have  $\langle w_n - \tau_n A w_n - y_n, x - y_n \rangle \leq 0 \forall x \in C$ , and hence

$$\langle w_n - y_n, x - y_n \rangle + \tau_n \langle A w_n, y_n - w_n \rangle \leq \tau_n \langle A w_n, x - w_n \rangle \quad \forall x \in C. \tag{3.8}$$

Being weakly convergent,  $\{w_{n_k}\}$  is bounded. Then, according to the Lipschitz continuity of  $A$ ,  $\{A w_{n_k}\}$  is bounded. Since  $w_n - y_n \rightarrow 0, \{y_{n_k}\}$  is bounded as well. Note that  $L\tau_n \geq \min\{L\gamma, \mu l\}$ . So, from (3.8) we get  $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle A w_{n_k}, x - w_{n_k} \rangle \geq 0 \forall x \in C$ . Meanwhile, observe that  $\langle x - y_n, A y_n \rangle = \langle A y_n - A w_n, x - w_n \rangle + \langle A w_n, x - w_n \rangle + \langle A y_n, w_n - y_n \rangle$ . Since  $w_n - y_n \rightarrow 0$ , from  $L$ -Lipschitz continuity of  $A$  we obtain  $A y_n - A w_n \rightarrow 0$ , which together with (3.8) yields  $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle A y_{n_k}, x - y_{n_k} \rangle \geq 0 \forall x \in C$ .

Next we show that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T_r x_n\| = 0$  for  $r = 1, \dots, N$ . Indeed, note that, for  $i = 1, \dots, N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\|x_n - x_{n+i}\| + \|x_{n+i} - T_{n+i}x_{n+i}\| \\ & \geq \|x_n - x_{n+i}\| + \|T_{n+i}x_{n+i} - T_{n+i}x_n\| + \|x_{n+i} - T_{n+i}x_{n+i}\| \\ & \geq \|x_n - T_{n+i}x_n\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence from (3.6) and the assumption  $x_n - x_{n+1} \rightarrow 0$  we get  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T_{n+i}x_n\| = 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . This immediately implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(T_r - I)x_n\| = 0 \quad \text{for } r = 1, \dots, N. \tag{3.9}$$

We now take a sequence  $\{\varepsilon_k\} \subset (0, 1)$  satisfying  $\varepsilon_k \downarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . For all  $k \geq 1$ , we denote by  $m_k$  the smallest positive integer such that

$$\varepsilon_k + \langle Ay_{n_j}, x - y_{n_j} \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall j \geq m_k. \tag{3.10}$$

Since  $\{\varepsilon_k\}$  is decreasing, it is clear that  $\{m_k\}$  is increasing. Noticing that  $\{y_{m_k}\} \subset C$  guarantees  $Ay_{m_k} \neq 0 \forall k \geq 1$ , we set  $u_{m_k} = \frac{Ay_{m_k}}{\|Ay_{m_k}\|^2}$ , we get  $\langle Ay_{m_k}, u_{m_k} \rangle = 1 \forall k \geq 1$ . So, from (3.10) we get  $\langle Ay_{m_k}, x + \varepsilon_k u_{m_k} - y_{m_k} \rangle \geq 0 \forall k \geq 1$ . Again from the pseudomonotonicity of  $A$  we have  $\langle A(x + \varepsilon_k u_{m_k}), x + \varepsilon_k u_{m_k} - y_{m_k} \rangle \geq 0 \forall k \geq 1$ . This immediately leads to

$$\langle Ax, x - y_{m_k} \rangle \geq \langle Ax - A(x + \varepsilon_k u_{m_k}), x + \varepsilon_k u_{m_k} - y_{m_k} \rangle - \varepsilon_k \langle Ax, u_{m_k} \rangle \quad \forall k \geq 1. \tag{3.11}$$

We claim  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_k u_{m_k} = 0$ . As fact, from  $w_{n_k} \rightharpoonup z$  and  $w_n - y_n \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain  $y_{n_k} \rightharpoonup z$ . So,  $\{y_n\} \subset C$  guarantees  $z \in C$ . Again from the sequentially weak continuity of  $A$ , one knows  $Ay_{n_k} \rightharpoonup Az$ . Thus, one has  $Az \neq 0$  (otherwise,  $z$  is a solution). Taking into account the sequentially weak lower semicontinuity of the norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , one gets  $0 < \|Az\| \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|Ay_{n_k}\|$ . Note that  $\{y_{m_k}\} \subset \{y_{n_k}\}$  and  $\varepsilon_k \downarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . So it follows that  $0 \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\varepsilon_k u_{m_k}\| = \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varepsilon_k}{\|Ay_{m_k}\|} \leq \frac{\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_k}{\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|Ay_{n_k}\|} = 0$ . Hence  $\varepsilon_k u_{m_k} \rightarrow 0$ .

Next one claims  $z \in \Omega$ . Indeed, from  $w_n - x_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $w_{n_k} \rightharpoonup z$ , we get  $x_{n_k} \rightharpoonup z$ . From (3.9) we have  $(x_{n_k} - T_r x_{n_k}) \rightarrow 0$  for  $r = 1, \dots, N$ . Note that Lemma 2.5 guarantees the demiclosedness of  $I - T_r$  at zero for  $r = 1, \dots, N$ . Thus  $z \in \text{Fix}(T_r)$ . Since  $r$  is an arbitrary element in the finite set  $\{1, \dots, N\}$ , we get  $z \in \bigcap_{r=1}^N \text{Fix}(T_r)$ . Meanwhile, from  $(w_n - z_n) \rightarrow 0$  and  $w_{n_k} \rightharpoonup z$ , we get  $z_{n_k} \rightharpoonup z$ . From (3.7) we have  $(z_{n_k} - Tz_{n_k}) \rightarrow 0$ . From Lemma 2.5 it follows that  $I - T$  is demiclosed at zero, and hence we get  $(I - T)z = 0$ , i.e.,  $z \in \text{Fix}(T)$ . On the other hand, letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we deduce that the right hand side of (3.11) tends to zero by the uniform continuity of  $A$ , the boundedness of  $\{w_{m_k}\}, \{u_{m_k}\}$  and the limit  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_k u_{m_k} = 0$ . Thus, we get  $\langle Ax, x - z \rangle = \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle Ax, x - y_{m_k} \rangle \geq 0 \forall x \in C$ . By Lemma 2.3 we have  $z \in \text{VI}(C, A)$ . Therefore,  $z \in \bigcap_{i=0}^N \text{Fix}(T_i) \cap \text{VI}(C, A) = \Omega$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.1** *Let the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  be generated by Algorithm 3.1. Then*

$$x_n \rightarrow x^* \in \Omega \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \begin{cases} x_n - x_{n+1} \rightarrow 0, \\ x_n - y_n \rightarrow 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $x^* \in \Omega$  is a unique solution to the VIP:  $\langle (\rho F - f)x^*, p - x^* \rangle \geq 0 \forall p \in \Omega$ .



*Proof* First of all, since  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n < 1$  and  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n > 0$ , we may assume, without loss of generality, that  $\{\beta_n\} \subset [a, b] \subset (0, 1)$ . one asserts that  $P_\Omega(f + I - \rho F)$  is a contraction. This sends to the situation that  $P_\Omega(f + I - \rho F)$  is a contraction. Then  $P_\Omega(f + I - \rho F)$  has a unique fixed point. Say  $x^* \in H$ , that is,  $x^* = P_\Omega(f + I - \rho F)x^*$ . Thus, there is a unique solution  $x^* \in \Omega = \bigcap_{i=0}^N \text{Fix}(T_i) \cap \text{VI}(C, A)$  to the VIP

$$\langle (\rho F - f)x^*, p - x^* \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall p \in \Omega. \tag{3.12}$$

It is now easy to see that the necessity of the theorem is valid. Indeed, if  $x_n \rightarrow x^* \in \Omega = \bigcap_{i=0}^N \text{Fix}(T_i) \cap \text{VI}(C, A)$ , then  $x^* = T_i x^*$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$  and  $x^* = P_C(x^* - \tau_n A x^*)$ , which, together with Algorithm 3.1, imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_n - x^*\| &= \|T_n x_n - x^* + \alpha_n(T_n x_n - T_n x_{n-1})\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - x^*\| + \alpha_n \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty), \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_n - x_n\| &\leq \|y_n - x^*\| + \|x_n - x^*\| \\ &= \|P_C(w_n - \tau_n A w_n) - P_C(x^* - \tau_n A x^*)\| + \|x_n - x^*\| \\ &\leq \|w_n - x^*\| + \tau_n \|A w_n - A x^*\| + \|x_n - x^*\| \\ &\leq (1 + \gamma L) \|w_n - x^*\| + \|x_n - x^*\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

In addition, it is clear that

$$\|x_n - x_{n+1}\| \leq \|x_n - x^*\| + \|x_{n+1} - x^*\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Next we show the sufficiency of the theorem. To this aim, we assume  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_n - y_n\|) = 0$  and divide the proof of the sufficiency into several steps.

*Step 1.* We show that  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded. Indeed, take an arbitrary  $p \in \Omega = \bigcap_{i=0}^N \text{Fix}(T_i) \cap \text{VI}(C, A)$ . Then  $T_n p = p, T_n p = p \quad \forall n \geq 1$ , and (3.5) holds, i.e.,

$$\|u_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \mu) \|w_n - y_n\|^2 + (1 - \mu) \|u_n - y_n\|^2 \leq \|w_n - p\|^2. \tag{3.13}$$

This immediately implies that

$$\|u_n - p\| \leq \|w_n - p\| \quad \forall n \geq 1. \tag{3.14}$$

From the definition of  $w_n$ , we get

$$\|w_n - p\| \leq \|T_n x_n - p\| + \sigma_n \|T_n x_n - T_n x_{n-1}\| \leq \|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n \cdot \frac{\sigma_n}{\alpha_n} \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|. \tag{3.15}$$

Since  $\sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{\sigma_n}{\alpha_n} < \infty$  and  $\sup_{n \geq 1} \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| < \infty, \sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{\sigma_n}{\alpha_n} \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| < \infty$ , which hence guarantees that there is  $M_1 > 0$  such that

$$\frac{\sigma_n}{\alpha_n} \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| \leq M_1 \quad \forall n \geq 1. \tag{3.16}$$

Combining (3.14), (3.15) and (3.16), we obtain

$$\|u_n - p\| \leq \|w_n - p\| \leq \alpha_n M_1 + \|x_n - p\| \quad \forall n \geq 1. \tag{3.17}$$

So, from Algorithm 3.1, Lemma 2.7 and (3.17) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_n - p\| &\leq (1 - \alpha_n \tau) \|u_n - p\| + \alpha_n \| (f - \rho F)p \| + \alpha_n \delta \|x_n - p\| \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n \tau) (\|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n M_1) + \alpha_n \| (f - \rho F)p \| + \alpha_n \delta \|x_n - p\| \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n (\tau - \delta)) \|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n (M_1 + \| (f - \rho F)p \|), \end{aligned}$$

which, together with Lemma 2.6 and  $(\gamma_n + \delta_n)\zeta \leq \gamma_n$ , yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\| &\leq (1 - \beta_n) \left\| \frac{1}{1 - \beta_n} [\gamma_n(z_n - p) + \delta_n(Tz_n - p)] \right\| + \beta_n \|x_n - p\| \\ &\leq (1 - \beta_n) \|z_n - p\| + \beta_n \|x_n - p\| \\ &\leq (1 - \beta_n) [(1 - \alpha_n (\tau - \delta)) \|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n (M_1 + \| (f - \rho F)p \|)] + \beta_n \|x_n - p\| \\ &= \alpha_n (1 - \beta_n) (M_1 + \| (f - \rho F)p \|) + [1 - \alpha_n (1 - \beta_n) (\tau - \delta)] \|x_n - p\| \\ &= \alpha_n (1 - \beta_n) (\tau - \delta) \frac{M_1 + \| (f - \rho F)p \|}{\tau - \delta} + [1 - \alpha_n (1 - \beta_n) (\tau - \delta)] \|x_n - p\| \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \frac{M_1 + \| (f - \rho F)p \|}{\tau - \delta}, \|x_n - p\| \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By induction, we obtain  $\|x_n - p\| \leq \max \{ \|x_1 - p\|, \frac{M_1 + \| (f - \rho F)p \|}{\tau - \delta} \} \forall n \geq 1$ . Thus,  $\{x_n\}$  is a bounded point sequence, and so are the sequences  $\{u_n\}, \{w_n\}, \{y_n\}, \{z_n\}, \{f(x_n)\}, \{Tz_n\}, \{Fu_n\}, \{T_n x_n\}$ .

*Step 2.* We show that

$$(1 - \alpha_n \tau)(1 - \beta_n)(1 - \mu) [\|w_n - y_n\|^2 + \|u_n - y_n\|^2] \leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 + \alpha_n M_4,$$

for some  $M_4 > 0$ . Indeed, utilizing Lemma 2.6, Lemma 3.2 and the convexity of  $\| \cdot \|^2$ , from  $(\gamma_n + \delta_n)\zeta \leq \gamma_n$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &\leq (1 - \beta_n) \left\| \frac{1}{1 - \beta_n} [\gamma_n(z_n - p) + \delta_n(Tz_n - p)] \right\|^2 + \beta_n \|x_n - p\|^2 \\ &\leq (1 - \beta_n) \|z_n - p\|^2 + \beta_n \|x_n - p\|^2 \\ &\leq (1 - \beta_n) \{ \alpha_n \delta \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n \tau) \|w_n - p\|^2 + \beta_n \|x_n - p\|^2 \\ &\quad - (1 - \alpha_n \tau)(1 - \mu) [\|w_n - y_n\|^2 + \|u_n - y_n\|^2] + 2\alpha_n \langle (f - \rho F)p, z_n - p \rangle \} \\ &\leq (1 - \beta_n) \{ \alpha_n \delta \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n \tau) \|w_n - p\|^2 \\ &\quad - (1 - \alpha_n \tau)(1 - \mu) [\|w_n - y_n\|^2 + \|u_n - y_n\|^2] + \alpha_n M_2 \} + \beta_n \|x_n - p\|^2, \tag{3.18} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sup_{n \geq 1} 2\|(f - \rho F)p\| \|z_n - p\| \leq M_2$  for some  $M_2 > 0$ . Also, from (3.17) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_n - p\|^2 &\leq (\|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n M_1)^2 \\ &= \alpha_n (2M_1 \|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n M_1^2) + \|x_n - p\|^2 \\ &\leq \alpha_n M_3 + \|x_n - p\|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

where  $\sup_{n \geq 1} (2M_1 \|x_n - p\| + \beta_n M_1^2) \leq M_3$  for some  $M_3 > 0$ . Substituting (3.19) for (3.18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 \\ &\leq (1 - \beta_n) \{ \alpha_n \delta \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n \tau) [\|x_n - p\|^2 + \alpha_n M_3] + \beta_n \|x_n - p\|^2 \\ &\quad - (1 - \alpha_n \tau)(1 - \mu) [\|w_n - y_n\|^2 + \|u_n - y_n\|^2] + \alpha_n M_2 \} \\ &= (1 - \beta_n) \{ (1 - \alpha_n(\tau - \delta)) \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n \tau) \alpha_n M_3 + \beta_n \|x_n - p\|^2 \\ &\quad - (1 - \alpha_n \tau)(1 - \mu) [\|w_n - y_n\|^2 + \|u_n - y_n\|^2] + \alpha_n M_2 \} \\ &= [1 - \alpha_n(1 - \beta_n)(\tau - \delta)] \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \beta_n)(1 - \alpha_n \tau) \alpha_n M_3 \\ &\quad - (1 - \alpha_n \tau)(1 - \beta_n)(1 - \mu) [\|w_n - y_n\|^2 + \|u_n - y_n\|^2] + (1 - \beta_n) \alpha_n M_2 \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - (1 - \alpha_n \tau)(1 - \beta_n)(1 - \mu) [\|w_n - y_n\|^2 + \|u_n - y_n\|^2] + \alpha_n M_4, \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

where  $M_4 := M_2 + M_3$ . This immediately implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &(1 - \alpha_n \tau)(1 - \beta_n)(1 - \mu) [\|w_n - y_n\|^2 + \|u_n - y_n\|^2] \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 + \alpha_n M_4. \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

*Step 3.* We show that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &\leq [1 - \alpha_n(1 - \beta_n)(\tau - \delta)] \|x_n - p\|^2 + \alpha_n(1 - \beta_n)(\tau - \delta) \\ &\quad \times \left[ \frac{2}{\tau - \delta} \langle (f - \rho F)p, z_n - p \rangle + \frac{M}{\tau - \delta} \cdot \frac{\sigma_n}{\alpha_n} \cdot 3 \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| \right] \end{aligned}$$

for some  $M > 0$ . Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_n - p\|^2 &\leq (\|x_n - p\| + \sigma_n \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|)^2 \\ &= \|x_n - p\|^2 + \sigma_n \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| (2\|x_n - p\| + \sigma_n \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|). \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

Combining (3.18) and (3.22), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 \\ &\leq \beta_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \beta_n) [\alpha_n \delta \|x_n - p\|^2 \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n \tau) \|w_n - p\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \langle (f - \rho F)p, z_n - p \rangle] \\ &\leq \beta_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \beta_n) \{ \alpha_n \delta \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n \tau) [\|x_n - p\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \sigma_n \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| (2\|x_n - p\| + \sigma_n \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|) + 2\alpha_n \langle (f - \rho F)p, z_n - p \rangle \} \\
 \leq & \beta_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \beta_n) \{ (1 - \alpha_n(\tau - \delta)) \|x_n - p\|^2 \\
 & + \sigma_n \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| (2\|x_n - p\| + \sigma_n \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|) + 2\alpha_n \langle (f - \rho F)p, z_n - p \rangle \} \\
 = & [1 - \alpha_n(1 - \beta_n)(\tau - \delta)] \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \beta_n)\sigma_n \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| \\
 & \times (2\|x_n - p\| + \sigma_n \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|) + 2\alpha_n(1 - \beta_n) \langle (f - \rho F)p, z_n - p \rangle \\
 \leq & [1 - \alpha_n(1 - \beta_n)(\tau - \delta)] \|x_n - p\|^2 + \alpha_n(1 - \beta_n)(\tau - \delta) \left[ \frac{2}{\tau - \delta} \langle (f - \rho F)p, z_n - p \rangle \right. \\
 & \left. + \frac{M}{\tau - \delta} \cdot \frac{\sigma_n}{\alpha_n} \cdot 3\|x_n - x_{n-1}\| \right], \tag{3.23}
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sup_{n \geq 1} \{ \|x_n - p\|, \alpha_n \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| \} \leq M$  for some  $M > 0$ .

*Step 4.* We show that  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to a unique solution  $x^* \in \Omega$  to the VIP (3.12). Indeed, putting  $p = x^*$ , we deduce from (3.23) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x_{n+1} - x^*\|^2 \leq & [1 - \alpha_n(1 - \beta_n)(\tau - \delta)] \|x_n - x^*\|^2 \\
 & + \alpha_n(1 - \beta_n)(\tau - \delta) \left[ \frac{2}{\tau - \delta} \langle (f - \rho F)x^*, z_n - x^* \rangle + \frac{M}{\tau - \delta} \cdot \frac{\sigma_n}{\alpha_n} \cdot 3\|x_n - x_{n-1}\| \right]. \tag{3.24}
 \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.4, it suffices to show that  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle (f - \rho F)x^*, z_n - x^* \rangle \leq 0$ . From (3.21),  $x_n - x_{n+1} \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $\{\beta_n\} \subset [a, b] \subset (0, 1)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \alpha_n\tau)(1 - b)(1 - \mu) [\|w_n - y_n\|^2 + \|u_n - y_n\|^2] \\
 & \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \alpha_n\tau)(1 - \beta_n)(1 - \mu) [\|w_n - y_n\|^2 + \|u_n - y_n\|^2] \\
 & \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\|x_n - p\|^2 + \alpha_n M_4 - \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2] \\
 & \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\|x_n - p\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2] + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n M_4 \\
 & \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|x_n - p\| + \|x_{n+1} - p\|) \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

This immediately implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|w_n - y_n\| = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - y_n\| = 0. \tag{3.25}$$

Since  $z_n = (I - \alpha_n \rho F)u_n + \alpha_n f(x_n)$  with  $u_n := P_{C_n}(w_n - \tau_n A y_n)$ , from (3.25) and the boundedness of  $\{x_n\}, \{u_n\}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|z_n - y_n\| & = \|\alpha_n f(x_n) - \alpha_n \rho F u_n + u_n - y_n\| \\
 & \leq \|u_n - y_n\| + \alpha_n (\|f(x_n)\| + \|\rho F u_n\|) \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty), \tag{3.26}
 \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\|z_n - x_n\| \leq \|z_n - y_n\| + \|y_n - x_n\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) \tag{3.27}$$

(due to the assumption  $\|x_n - y_n\| \rightarrow 0$ ). Obviously, the assumption  $\|x_n - y_n\| \rightarrow 0$  together with (3.25) and (3.26), guarantees that, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\|w_n - x_n\| \leq \|w_n - y_n\| + \|y_n - x_n\| \rightarrow 0$  and  $\|w_n - z_n\| \leq \|w_n - y_n\| + \|y_n - z_n\| \rightarrow 0$ . From the property of  $\{z_n\}$ , it follows that there is a subsequence  $\{z_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{z_n\}$  such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle (f - \rho F)x^*, z_n - x^* \rangle = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle (f - \rho F)x^*, z_{n_k} - x^* \rangle. \tag{3.28}$$

Since  $H$  is reflexive and  $\{z_n\}$  is bounded, it is guaranteed that  $z_{n_k} \rightharpoonup \tilde{z}$ . Hence from (3.28) one gets

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle (f - \rho F)x^*, z_n - x^* \rangle = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle (f - \rho F)x^*, z_{n_k} - x^* \rangle = \langle (f - \rho F)x^*, \tilde{z} - x^* \rangle. \tag{3.29}$$

It is easy to see from  $w_n - z_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $z_{n_k} \rightharpoonup \tilde{z}$  that  $w_{n_k} \rightharpoonup \tilde{z}$ . Since  $x_n - x_{n+1} \rightarrow 0$ ,  $w_n - x_n \rightarrow 0$ ,  $w_n - y_n \rightarrow 0$ ,  $w_n - z_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $w_{n_k} \rightharpoonup \tilde{z}$ , by Lemma 3.3 we infer that  $\tilde{z} \in \Omega$ . Therefore, from (3.12) and (3.29) we conclude that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle (f - \rho F)x^*, z_n - x^* \rangle = \langle (f - \rho F)x^*, \tilde{z} - x^* \rangle \leq 0. \tag{3.30}$$

Note that  $\{\beta_n\} \subset [a, b] \subset (0, 1)$ ,  $\{\alpha_n(1 - \beta_n)(\tau - \delta)\} \subset [0, 1]$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \alpha_n(1 - \beta_n)(\tau - \delta) = \infty$ , and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \frac{2}{\tau - \delta} \langle (f - \rho F)x^*, z_n - x^* \rangle + \frac{M}{\tau - \delta} \cdot \frac{\sigma_n}{\alpha_n} \cdot 3\|x_n - x_{n-1}\| \right] \leq 0. \tag{3.31}$$

Consequently, applying Lemma 2.4 to (3.24), we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x^*\| = 0$ . This completes the proof. □

Next, we introduce another composite inertial subgradient–extragradient algorithms with a line-search process.

**Algorithm 3.2**

*Initialization:* Given  $\gamma > 0$ ,  $l \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\mu \in (0, 1)$ . Let  $x_0, x_1 \in H$  be arbitrary.

*Iterative Steps:* Calculate  $x_{n+1}$  as follows:

Step 1. Set  $w_n = \sigma_n(T_n x_n - T_n x_{n-1}) + T_n x_n$  and compute  $y_n = P_C(w_n - \tau_n A w_n)$ , where  $\tau_n$  is chosen to be the largest  $\tau \in \{\gamma, \gamma l, \gamma l^2, \dots\}$  satisfying

$$\tau \|A w_n - A y_n\| \leq \mu \|w_n - y_n\|. \tag{3.32}$$

Step 2. Compute  $z_n = (I - \alpha_n \rho F)P_{C_n}(w_n - \tau_n A y_n) + \alpha_n f(x_n)$  with  $C_n := \{x \in H : \langle w_n - \tau_n A w_n - y_n, x - y_n \rangle \leq 0\}$ .

Step 3. Compute

$$x_{n+1} = \beta_n w_n + \gamma_n z_n + \delta_n T z_n. \tag{3.33}$$

Again set  $n := n + 1$  and go to Step 1.

It is worth pointing out that Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3 are still valid for Algorithm 3.2.

**Theorem 3.2** *Let the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  be generated by Algorithm 3.2. Then*

$$x_n \rightarrow x^* \in \Omega \iff \begin{cases} x_n - x_{n+1} \rightarrow 0, \\ x_n - y_n \rightarrow 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $x^* \in \Omega$  is a unique solution to the VIP:  $\langle (\rho F - f)x^*, p - x^* \rangle \geq 0 \forall p \in \Omega$ .

*Proof* Utilizing the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we deduce that there exists a unique solution  $x^* \in \Omega = \bigcap_{i=0}^N \text{Fix}(T_i) \cap \text{VI}(C, A)$  to the VIP (3.12), and that the necessity of the theorem is valid.

Next we show the sufficiency of the theorem. To the aim, we assume  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_n - y_n\|) = 0$  and divide the proof of the sufficiency into several steps.

*Step 1.* We show that  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded. Indeed, utilizing the same arguments as in Step 1 of the proof of Theorem 3.1, we obtain inequalities (3.13)–(3.17). So, from Algorithm 3.2, Lemma 2.7 and (3.17) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_n - p\| &= \|(I - \alpha_n \rho F)u_n - (I - \alpha_n \rho F)p + \alpha_n(f - \rho F)p + \alpha_n(f(x_n) - f(p))\| \\ &\leq \alpha_n(\|(f - \rho F)p\| + M_1) + (1 - \alpha_n(\tau - \delta))\|x_n - p\|, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with Lemma 2.6 and  $(\gamma_n + \delta_n)\zeta \leq \gamma_n$ , yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\| &= \|\gamma_n(z_n - p) + \delta_n(Tz_n - p) + \beta_n(w_n - p)\| \\ &\leq (1 - \beta_n) \left\| \frac{1}{1 - \beta_n} [\gamma_n(z_n - p) + \delta_n(Tz_n - p)] \right\| + \beta_n \|w_n - p\| \\ &\leq (1 - \beta_n) \|z_n - p\| + \beta_n (\alpha_n M_1 + \|x_n - p\|) \\ &\leq (1 - \beta_n) [(1 - \alpha_n(\tau - \delta)) \|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n (M_1 + \|(f - \rho F)p\|)] \\ &\quad + \beta_n (\alpha_n M_1 + \|x_n - p\|) \\ &= \alpha_n (1 - \beta_n) \left( \frac{M_1}{1 - \beta_n} + \|(f - \rho F)p\| \right) + [1 - \alpha_n (1 - \beta_n) (\tau - \delta)] \|x_n - p\| \\ &\leq \alpha_n (1 - \beta_n) (\tau - \delta) \cdot \frac{\frac{M_1}{1 - \beta} + \|(f - \rho F)p\|}{\tau - \delta} + [1 - \alpha_n (1 - \beta_n) (\tau - \delta)] \|x_n - p\| \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \frac{\frac{M_1}{1 - \beta} + \|(f - \rho F)p\|}{\tau - \delta}, \|x_n - p\| \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By induction, we obtain  $\|x_n - p\| \leq \max \left\{ \frac{\frac{M_1}{1 - \beta} + \|(f - \rho F)p\|}{\tau - \delta}, \|x_1 - p\| \right\} \forall n \geq 1$ . Thus,  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded point sequence. Hence the sequences  $\{u_n\}, \{w_n\}, \{y_n\}, \{z_n\}, \{f(x_n)\}, \{Tz_n\}, \{Fu_n\}, \{T_n x_n\}$  are also bounded sequences.

*Step 2.* We show that

$$\begin{aligned} &(1 - \alpha_n \tau)(1 - \beta_n)(1 - \mu) [\|w_n - y_n\|^2 + \|u_n - y_n\|^2] \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 + \alpha_n M_4, \end{aligned}$$

for some  $M_4 > 0$ . Indeed, utilizing Lemma 2.6, Lemma 3.2 and the convexity of  $\| \cdot \|^2$ , from  $(\gamma_n + \delta_n)\zeta \leq \gamma_n$  we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 \\
 &= \|\gamma_n(z_n - p) + \delta_n(Tz_n - p) + \beta_n(w_n - p)\|^2 \\
 &\leq (1 - \beta_n) \left\| \frac{1}{1 - \beta_n} [\gamma_n(z_n - p) + \delta_n(Tz_n - p)] \right\|^2 + \beta_n \|w_n - p\|^2 \\
 &\leq (1 - \beta_n) \|z_n - p\|^2 + \beta_n \|w_n - p\|^2 \\
 &\leq (1 - \beta_n) \{ \alpha_n \delta \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n \tau) \|w_n - p\|^2 + \beta_n \|w_n - p\|^2 \\
 &\quad - (1 - \alpha_n \tau)(1 - \mu) [\|w_n - y_n\|^2 + \|u_n - y_n\|^2] + 2\alpha_n (f - \rho F)p, z_n - p \} \\
 &\leq (1 - \beta_n) \{ \alpha_n \delta \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n \tau) \|w_n - p\|^2 + \beta_n \|w_n - p\|^2 \\
 &\quad - (1 - \alpha_n \tau)(1 - \mu) [\|w_n - y_n\|^2 + \|u_n - y_n\|^2] + \alpha_n M_2 \}, \tag{3.34}
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sup_{n \geq 1} 2\|(f - \rho F)p\| \|z_n - p\| \leq M_2$  for some  $M_2 > 0$ . Also, from (3.17) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|w_n - p\|^2 \leq (\|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n M_1)^2 \\
 &\quad = \alpha_n (2M_1 \|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n M_1^2) + \|x_n - p\|^2 \\
 &\quad \leq \alpha_n M_3 + \|x_n - p\|^2, \tag{3.35}
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sup_{n \geq 1} (2M_1 \|x_n - p\| + \beta_n M_1^2) \leq M_3$  for some  $M_3 > 0$ . Substituting (3.35) for (3.34) guarantees

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 \\
 &\leq \beta_n [\|x_n - p\|^2 + \alpha_n M_3] + (1 - \beta_n) \{ \alpha_n \delta \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n \tau) [\|x_n - p\|^2 \\
 &\quad + \alpha_n M_3] - (1 - \alpha_n \tau)(1 - \mu) [\|w_n - y_n\|^2 + \|u_n - y_n\|^2] + \alpha_n M_2 \} \\
 &= \beta_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + \beta_n \alpha_n M_3 + (1 - \beta_n) \{ (1 - \alpha_n (\tau - \delta)) \|x_n - p\|^2 \\
 &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n \tau) \alpha_n M_3 - (1 - \alpha_n \tau)(1 - \mu) [\|w_n - y_n\|^2 + \|u_n - y_n\|^2] + \alpha_n M_2 \} \\
 &= [1 - \alpha_n (1 - \beta_n)(\tau - \delta)] \|x_n - p\|^2 + \beta_n \alpha_n M_3 + (1 - \beta_n)(1 - \alpha_n \tau) \alpha_n M_3 \\
 &\quad - (1 - \alpha_n \tau)(1 - \beta_n)(1 - \mu) [\|w_n - y_n\|^2 + \|u_n - y_n\|^2] + (1 - \beta_n) \alpha_n M_2 \\
 &\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - (1 - \alpha_n \tau)(1 - \beta_n)(1 - \mu) [\|w_n - y_n\|^2 + \|u_n - y_n\|^2] + \alpha_n M_4, \tag{3.36}
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $M_4 := M_2 + M_3$ . This immediately implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (1 - \alpha_n \tau)(1 - \mu)(1 - \beta_n) [\|w_n - y_n\|^2 + \|u_n - y_n\|^2] \\
 &\quad \leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 + \alpha_n M_4. \tag{3.37}
 \end{aligned}$$

*Step 3.* We show that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &\leq [1 - \alpha_n(1 - \beta_n)(\tau - \delta)]\|x_n - p\|^2 + \alpha_n(1 - \beta_n)(\tau - \delta) \\ &\quad \times \left[ \frac{2}{\tau - \delta} \langle (f - \rho F)p, z_n - p \rangle + \frac{M}{\tau - \delta} \cdot \frac{\sigma_n}{\alpha_n} \cdot \frac{3}{1 - b} \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| \right] \end{aligned}$$

for some  $M > 0$ . Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_n - p\|^2 &\leq (\sigma_n \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + \|x_n - p\|)^2 \\ &= \sigma_n \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| (2\|x_n - p\| + \sigma_n \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|) + \|x_n - p\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.38}$$

Combining (3.34) and (3.38), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &\leq (1 - \beta_n) [\alpha_n \delta \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n \tau) \|w_n - p\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2\alpha_n \langle (f - \rho F)p, z_n - p \rangle] + \beta_n \|w_n - p\|^2 \\ &\leq \beta_n [\|x_n - p\|^2 + \sigma_n \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| (2\|x_n - p\| + \sigma_n \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|)] \\ &\quad + (1 - \beta_n) \{ \alpha_n \delta \|x_n - p\|^2 \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n \tau) [\|x_n - p\|^2 + \sigma_n \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| (2\|x_n - p\| + \sigma_n \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|)] \\ &\quad + 2\alpha_n \langle (f - \rho F)p, z_n - p \rangle \} \\ &\leq \beta_n \sigma_n \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| (2\|x_n - p\| + \sigma_n \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|) + \beta_n \|x_n - p\|^2 \\ &\quad + (1 - \beta_n) \{ (1 - \alpha_n(\tau - \delta)) \|x_n - p\|^2 + \sigma_n \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| (2\|x_n - p\| + \sigma_n \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|) \\ &\quad + 2\alpha_n \langle (f - \rho F)p, z_n - p \rangle \} \\ &= [1 - \alpha_n(1 - \beta_n)(\tau - \delta)] \|x_n - p\|^2 + \sigma_n \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| \\ &\quad \times (2\|x_n - p\| + \sigma_n \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|) + 2\alpha_n(1 - \beta_n) \langle (f - \rho F)p, z_n - p \rangle \\ &\leq [1 - \alpha_n(1 - \beta_n)(\tau - \delta)] \|x_n - p\|^2 + \alpha_n(1 - \beta_n)(\tau - \delta) \left[ \frac{2}{\tau - \delta} \langle p - z_n, (\rho F - f)p \rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{M}{(1 - \beta_n)(\tau - \delta)} \cdot \frac{\sigma_n}{\alpha_n} \cdot 3 \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| \right] \\ &\leq [1 - \alpha_n(1 - \beta_n)(\tau - \delta)] \|x_n - p\|^2 + \alpha_n(1 - \beta_n)(\tau - \delta) \left[ \frac{2}{\tau - \delta} \langle p - z_n, (\rho F - f)p \rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{M}{\tau - \delta} \cdot \frac{\sigma_n}{\alpha_n} \cdot \frac{3}{1 - b} \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| \right], \end{aligned} \tag{3.39}$$

where  $\sup_{n \geq 1} \{ \|x_n - p\|, \alpha_n \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| \} \leq M$  for some  $M > 0$ .

*Step 4.* We show that  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to a unique solution  $x^* \in \Omega$  to the VIP (3.12). Indeed, utilizing the same argument as in Step 4 of the proof of Theorem 3.1, we obtain the desired assertion. This completes the proof.  $\square$

*Remark 3.1* Compared with the corresponding results in Kraikaew and Saejung [23], Thong and Hieu [24, 25], our results improve and extend them in the following aspects.



(i) The problem of finding an element of  $VI(C, A)$  in [23] is extended to develop our problem of finding an element of  $\bigcap_{i=0}^N \text{Fix}(T_i) \cap VI(C, A)$  where  $T_i$  is nonexpansive for  $i = 1, \dots, N$  and  $T_0 = T$  is strictly pseudocontractive. The Halpern subgradient–extragradient method for solving the VIP in [23] is extended to develop our composite inertial subgradient–extragradient method with line-search process for solving the VIP and CFPP, which is based on an inertial subgradient–extragradient method with a line-search process, a hybrid steepest-descent method, a viscosity approximation method and a Mann iteration method.

(ii) The problem of finding an element of  $VI(C, A)$  in [24] is extended to develop our problem of finding an element of  $\bigcap_{i=0}^N \text{Fix}(T_i) \cap VI(C, A)$  where  $T_i$  is nonexpansive for  $i = 1, \dots, N$  and  $T_0 = T$  is strictly pseudocontractive. The inertial subgradient–extragradient method with weak convergence for solving the VIP in [24] is extended to develop our composite inertial subgradient–extragradient method with line-search process (which is strongly convergent) for solving the VIP and CFPP, which is based on an inertial subgradient–extragradient method with line-search process, a hybrid steepest-descent method, a viscosity approximation method and a Mann iteration method.

(iii) The problem of finding an element of  $VI(C, A) \cap \text{Fix}(T)$  (where  $A$  is monotone and  $T$  is quasi-nonexpansive) in [23] is extended to develop our problem of finding an element of  $\bigcap_{i=0}^N \text{Fix}(T_i) \cap VI(C, A)$  where  $A$  is pseudomonotone,  $T_i$  is nonexpansive for  $i = 1, \dots, N$  and  $T_0 = T$  is strictly pseudocontractive. The inertial subgradient–extragradient method with line-search (which is weakly convergent) for solving the VIP and FPP in [24] is extended to develop our composite inertial subgradient–extragradient method with line-search process (which is strongly convergent) for solving the VIP and CFPP, which is based on an inertial subgradient–extragradient method with a line-search process, a hybrid steepest-descent method, a viscosity approximation method and a Mann iteration method. It is worth pointing out that the inertial subgradient–extragradient method with a line-search process in [23] combines the inertial subgradient–extragradient method [24] with the Mann iteration method.

#### 4 An example

In this section, our main results are applied to solve the VIP and CFPP in an illustrated example. The initial point  $x_0 = x_1$  is randomly chosen in  $\mathbf{R}$ . Take  $f(x) = F(x) = \frac{1}{2}x$ ,  $\gamma = l = \mu = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\sigma_n = \alpha_n = \frac{1}{n+1}$ ,  $\beta_n = \frac{1}{3}$ ,  $\gamma_n = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\delta_n = \frac{1}{6}$  and  $\rho = 2$ . Then we know that  $\delta = \kappa = \eta = \frac{1}{2}$ , and

$$\tau = 1 - \sqrt{1 - \rho(2\eta - \rho\kappa^2)} = 1 - \sqrt{1 - 2\left(2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} - 2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2\right)} = 1 \in (0, 1].$$

We first provide an example of Lipschitz continuous and pseudomonotone mapping  $A$ , strictly pseudocontractive mapping  $T$  and nonexpansive mapping  $T_1$  with  $\Omega = \text{Fix}(T_1) \cap \text{Fix}(T) \cap VI(C, A) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $C = [-1, 0]$  and  $H = \mathbf{R}$  with the inner product  $\langle a, b \rangle = ab$  and induced norm  $\| \cdot \| = | \cdot |$ . Let  $A, T, T_1 : H \rightarrow H$  be defined as  $Ax := \frac{1}{1+|\sin x|} - \frac{1}{1+|x|}$ ,  $Tx := \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{3}{8} \sin x$  and  $T_1x := \sin x$  for all  $x \in H$ . Now, we first show that  $A$  is pseudomonotone

and Lipschitz continuous with  $L = 2$ . Indeed, for all  $x, y \in H$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ax - Ay\| &= \left| \frac{1}{1 + \|\sin x\|} - \frac{1}{1 + \|x\|} - \frac{1}{1 + \|\sin y\|} + \frac{1}{1 + \|y\|} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{1}{1 + \|x\|} - \frac{1}{1 + \|y\|} \right| + \left| \frac{1}{1 + \|\sin x\|} - \frac{1}{1 + \|\sin y\|} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1 + \|y\| - 1 - \|x\|}{(1 + \|x\|)(1 + \|y\|)} \right| + \left| \frac{1 + \|\sin y\| - 1 - \|\sin x\|}{(1 + \|\sin x\|)(1 + \|\sin y\|)} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{\|y\| - \|x\|}{(1 + \|x\|)(1 + \|y\|)} \right| + \left| \frac{\|\sin y\| - \|\sin x\|}{(1 + \|\sin x\|)(1 + \|\sin y\|)} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\|x - y\|}{(1 + \|x\|)(1 + \|y\|)} + \frac{\|\sin x - \sin y\|}{(1 + \|\sin x\|)(1 + \|\sin y\|)} \\ &\leq \|x - y\| + \|\sin x - \sin y\| \\ &\leq 2\|x - y\|. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $A$  is Lipschitz continuous with  $L = 2$ . Next, we show that  $A$  is pseudo-monotone. For any given  $x, y \in H$ , it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Ax, y - x \rangle &= \left( \frac{1}{1 + |\sin x|} - \frac{1}{1 + |x|} \right) (y - x) \geq 0 \\ \Rightarrow \langle Ay, y - x \rangle &= \left( \frac{1}{1 + |\sin y|} - \frac{1}{1 + |y|} \right) (y - x) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, it is easy to see that  $T$  is strictly pseudocontractive with constant  $\zeta = \frac{1}{2}$ . Indeed, we observe that, for all  $x, y \in H$ ,

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\|x - y\| + \frac{3}{8}\|\sin x - \sin y\| \leq \|x - y\| + \frac{1}{2}\|(I - T)x - (I - T)y\|.$$

It is clear that  $(\gamma_n + \delta_n)\zeta = (\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{6}) \cdot \frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{1}{2} = \gamma_n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . In addition, it is clear that  $T_1$  is nonexpansive and  $\text{Fix}(T_1) = \{0\}$ . Therefore,  $\Omega = \text{Fix}(T_1) \cap \text{Fix}(T) \cap \text{VI}(C, A) = \{0\} \neq \emptyset$ . In this case, Algorithm 3.1 can be rewritten as follows:

$$\begin{cases} w_n = T_1x_n + \frac{1}{n+1}(T_1x_n - T_1x_{n-1}), \\ y_n = P_C(w_n - \tau_nAw_n), \\ z_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \cdot \frac{1}{2}x_n + \frac{n}{n+1}P_{C_n}(w_n - \tau_nAy_n), \\ x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{3}x_n + \frac{1}{2}z_n + \frac{1}{6}Tz_n \quad \forall n \geq 1, \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

where for each  $n \geq 1$ ,  $C_n$  and  $\tau_n$  are chosen as in Algorithm 3.2. Then, by Theorem 3.2, we know that  $\{x_n\}$  converges to  $0 \in \Omega = \text{Fix}(T_1) \cap \text{Fix}(T) \cap \text{VI}(C, A)$  if and only if  $|x_n - x_{n+1}| + |x_n - y_n| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

On the other hand, Algorithm 3.2 can be rewritten as follows:

$$\begin{cases} w_n = T_1 x_n + \frac{1}{n+1}(T_1 x_n - T_1 x_{n-1}), \\ y_n = P_C(w_n - \tau_n A w_n), \\ z_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \cdot \frac{1}{2} x_n + \frac{n}{n+1} P_{C_n}(w_n - \tau_n A y_n), \\ x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{3} w_n + \frac{1}{2} z_n + \frac{1}{6} T z_n \quad \forall n \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

where for each  $n \geq 1$ ,  $C_n$  and  $\tau_n$  are chosen as in Algorithm 3.2. Then, by Theorem 3.2, we know that  $\{x_n\}$  converges to  $0 \in \Omega = \text{Fix}(T_1) \cap \text{Fix}(T) \cap \text{VI}(C, A)$  if and only if  $|x_n - x_{n+1}| + |x_n - y_n| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

#### Acknowledgements

We thank the two anonymous referees for useful suggestions, which improved the presentation of this paper a lot.

#### Funding

This research was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province of China (ZR2017LA001) and Youth Foundation of Linyi University (LYDX2016BS023). The first author was partially supported by the Innovation Program of Shanghai Municipal Education Commission (15ZZ068), Ph.D. Program Foundation of Ministry of Education of China (20123127110002) and Program for Outstanding Academic Leaders in Shanghai City (15XD1503100).

#### Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

The two authors contributed equally. Both authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Normal University, Shanghai, China. <sup>2</sup>School of Mathematics and Statistics, Linyi University, Linyi, China.

#### Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 20 May 2019 Accepted: 17 October 2019 Published online: 26 October 2019

#### References

- Wang, Z.M.: Convergence theorems based on the shrinking projection method for hemi-relatively nonexpansive mappings, variational inequalities and equilibrium problems. *Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl.* **22**, 459–483 (2017)
- Qin, X., Yao, J.C.: Weak and strong convergence of splitting algorithms in Banach spaces. *Optimization* (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1080/02331934.2019.1654475>
- Kim, J.K., Tuyen, T.M.: Alternating resolvent algorithms for finding a common zero of two accretive operators in Banach spaces. *J. Korean Math. Soc.* **54**, 1905–1926 (2017)
- Qin, X., Yao, J.C.: A viscosity iterative method for a split feasibility problem. *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* **20**, 1497–1506 (2019)
- Takahashi, W., Yao, J.C.: The split common fixed point problem for two finite families of nonlinear mappings in Hilbert spaces. *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* **20**, 173–195 (2019)
- Ceng, L.C., et al.: Hybrid viscosity extragradient method for systems of variational inequalities, fixed points of nonexpansive mappings, zero points of accretive operators in Banach spaces. *Fixed Point Theory* **19**, 487–501 (2018)
- Qin, X., An, N.T.: Smoothing algorithms for computing the projection onto a Minkowski sum of convex sets. *Comput. Optim. Appl.* (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10589-019-00124-7>
- Cho, S.Y.: Strong convergence analysis of a hybrid algorithm for nonlinear operators in a Banach space. *J. Appl. Anal. Comput.* **8**, 19–31 (2018)
- Korpelevich, G.M.: The extragradient method for finding saddle points and other problems. *Èkon. Mat. Metody* **12**, 747–756 (1976)
- Qin, X., Yao, J.C.: Weak convergence of a Mann-like algorithm for nonexpansive and accretive operators. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2016**, 232 (2016)
- Qin, X., Petrusel, A., Yao, J.C.: CQ iterative algorithms for fixed points of nonexpansive mappings and split feasibility problems in Hilbert spaces. *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* **19**, 157–165 (2018)
- Bin Dehaish, B.A., et al.: Weak and strong convergence of algorithms for the sum of two accretive operators with applications. *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* **16**, 1321–1336 (2015)

13. Dehaish, B.A.B.: Weak convergence of a splitting algorithm in Hilbert spaces. *J. Appl. Anal. Comput.* **7**, 427–438 (2017)
14. Qin, X., Yao, J.C.: Projection splitting algorithms for nonself operators. *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* **18**, 925–935 (2017)
15. Chang, S.S., Wen, C.F., Yao, J.C.: Common zero point for a finite family of inclusion problems of accretive mappings in Banach spaces. *Optimization* **67**, 1183–1196 (2018)
16. Takahashi, W.: The shrinking projection method for a finite family of demimetric mappings with variational inequality problems in a Hilbert space. *Fixed Point Theory* **19**, 407–419 (2018)
17. Qin, X., Cho, S.Y., Wang, L.: Strong convergence of an iterative algorithm involving nonlinear mappings of nonexpansive and accretive type. *Optimization* **67**, 1377–1388 (2018)
18. Ansari, Q.H., Babu, F., Yao, J.C.: Regularization of proximal point algorithms in Hadamard manifolds. *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **21**, 25 (2019)
19. Zhao, X., et al.: Linear regularity and linear convergence of projection-based methods for solving convex feasibility problems. *Appl. Math. Optim.* **78**, 613–641 (2018)
20. Nguyen, L.V., Qin, X.: Some results on strongly pseudomonotone quasi-variational inequalities. *Set-Valued Var. Anal.* (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11228-019-00508-1>
21. Censor, Y., Gibali, A., Reich, S.: The subgradient extragradient method for solving variational inequalities in Hilbert space. *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **148**, 318–335 (2011)
22. Cho, S.Y., Qin, X.: On the strong convergence of an iterative process for asymptotically strict pseudocontractions and equilibrium problems. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **235**, 430–438 (2014)
23. Kraikaew, R., Saejung, S.: Strong convergence of the Halpern subgradient extragradient method for solving variational inequalities in Hilbert spaces. *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **163**, 399–412 (2014)
24. Thong, D.V., Hieu, D.V.: Modified subgradient extragradient method for variational inequality problems. *Numer. Algorithms* **79**, 597–610 (2018)
25. Thong, D.V., Hieu, D.V.: Inertial subgradient extragradient algorithms with line-search process for solving variational inequality problems and fixed point problems. *Numer. Algorithms* **80**, 1283–1307 (2019)
26. Qin, X., Cho, S.Y., Wang, L.: Iterative algorithms with errors for zero points of  $m$ -accretive operators. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, Article ID 148 (2013)
27. Ceng, L.C., Ansari, Q.H., Yao, J.C.: Some iterative methods for finding fixed points and for solving constrained convex minimization problems. *Nonlinear Anal.* **74**, 5286–5302 (2011)
28. An, N.T., Nam, N.M., Qin, X.: Solving  $k$ -center problems involving sets based on optimization techniques. *Comput. Optim. Appl.* (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10898-019-00834-6>
29. Xue, Z., Zhou, H., Cho, Y.J.: Iterative solutions of nonlinear equations for  $m$ -accretive operators in Banach spaces. *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* **1**, 313–320 (2000)
30. Zhou, H.: Convergence theorems of fixed points for  $\kappa$ -strict pseudo-contractions in Hilbert spaces. *Nonlinear Anal.* **69**, 456–462 (2008)
31. Yao, Y., Liou, Y.C., Kang, S.M.: Approach to common elements of variational inequality problems and fixed point problems via a relaxed extragradient method. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **59**, 3472–3480 (2010)
32. Yamada, Y.: The hybrid steepest-descent method for variational inequalities problems over the intersection of the fixed point sets of nonexpansive mappings. In: Butnariu, D., Censor, Y., Reich, S. (eds.) *Inherently Parallel Algorithms in Feasibility and Optimization and Their Applications*, pp. 473–504. North-Holland, Amsterdam (2001)
33. Xu, H.K., Kim, T.H.: Convergence of hybrid steepest-descent methods for variational inequalities. *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **119**, 185–201 (2003)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

---

Submit your next manuscript at ► [springeropen.com](https://www.springeropen.com)