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Abstract
For $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), u(x)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} x_{i}^{\rho}\right)^{1 / \rho}, v(y)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i} y_{i}^{\rho}\right)^{1 / \rho}$, by using the methods and techniques of real analysis, the sufficient and necessary conditions for the existence of the Hilbert-type multiple integral inequality with the kernel $K(u(x), v(y))=G\left(u^{\lambda_{1}}(x) v^{\lambda_{2}}(y)\right)$ and the best possible constant factor are discussed. Furthermore, its application in the operator theory is considered.
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## 1 Introduction

For $n \geq 1, R_{+}^{n}=\left\{x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right): x_{i}>0, i=1, \ldots, n\right\}, a_{i}, b_{i}>0(i=1, \ldots, n), \omega(x)>0\left(x \in R_{+}^{n}\right)$, and $\rho>0$, we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u(x)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} x_{i}^{\rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}}, \quad v(y)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i} y_{i}^{\rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}}, \\
& L_{\omega}^{p}\left(R_{+}^{n}\right):=\left\{f(x) \geq 0:\|f\|_{p, \omega}=\left(\int_{R_{+}^{n}} \omega(x) f^{p}(x) d x\right)^{1 / p}<+\infty\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $p>1, \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1, K(u, v) \geq 0(u, v>0)$, then the Hilbert-type multiple integral inequality is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{R_{+}^{n}} \int_{R_{+}^{n}} K(u(x), v(y)) f(x) g(y) d x d y \leq M\|f\|_{p, u^{\alpha}}\|g\|_{q, v^{\beta}} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define a singular integral operator $T$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(f)(y):=\int_{R_{+}^{n}} K(u(x), v(y)) f(x) d x, \quad y \in R_{+}^{n} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (1) may be rewritten as follows:

$$
\int_{R_{+}^{n}} T(f)(y) g(y) d y \leq M\|f\|_{p, u^{\alpha}}\|g\|_{q, v^{\beta}} .
$$

It is easy to prove that (1) is equivalent to the following inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T(f)\|_{p, v^{v}} \leq M\|f\|_{p, u^{\alpha}}\|g\|_{q, v^{\beta}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma=\beta(1-p)$. When the operator $T$ satisfies (3), $T$ is called bounded operator from $L_{u^{\alpha}}^{p}\left(R_{+}^{n}\right)$ to $L_{v \gamma}^{p}\left(R_{+}^{n}\right)$.

At present, there are lots of research results on Hilbert-type single integral inequality (cf. [1-14]). But there are relatively few studies on Hilbert-type multiple integral inequality. In particular, there are fewer studies on the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of the multiple integral inequality.
In this article, by using the methods and techniques of real analysis, we give the sufficient and necessary conditions for the existence of the Hilbert-type multiple integral inequality with the non-homogeneous kernel

$$
K(u(x), v(y))=G\left(u^{\lambda_{1}}(x) v^{\lambda_{2}}(y)\right),
$$

and calculate the best possible constant factor. Furthermore, its application in the operator theory is considered.

## 2 Some lemmas

Lemma 1 Suppose that $p>1, \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1, n \geq 1, \rho>0, \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}>0, a_{i}, b_{i}>0(i=1, \ldots, n)$, $u(x)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} x_{i}^{\rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}}, v(y)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i} y_{i}^{\rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}}$.
If $K(u(x), v(y))=G\left(u^{\lambda_{1}}(x) v^{\lambda_{2}}(y)\right)$ is a non-negative measurable function, setting

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{1}:=\int_{R_{+}^{n}}(v(t))^{-\frac{\beta+n}{q}} K(1, v(t)) d t \\
& W_{2}:=\int_{R_{+}^{n}}(u(t))^{-\frac{\alpha+n}{p}} K(u(t), 1) d t,
\end{aligned}
$$

we have the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega_{1}(x):=\int_{R_{+}^{n}}(v(y))^{-\frac{\beta+n}{q}} K(u(x), v(y)) d y=(u(x))^{\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}\left(\frac{\beta+n}{q}-n\right)} W_{1}, \\
& \omega_{2}(x):=\int_{R_{+}^{n}}(u(x))^{-\frac{\alpha+n}{p}} K(u(x), v(y)) d x=(v(y))^{\frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{1}}\left(\frac{\alpha+n}{p}-n\right)} W_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof Since $v(a y)=a v(y)(a>0)$, in view of $K(t u, v)=K\left(u, t^{\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}} v\right)$, setting $t=u^{\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}}(x) y$, we find $d y=u^{\frac{-n \lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}}(x) d t$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{1}(x) & =\int_{R_{+}^{n}}(v(y))^{-\frac{\beta+n}{q}} K\left(1, u^{\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}}(x) v(y)\right) d y \\
& =\int_{R_{+}^{n}}\left(u^{-\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}}(x) v(t)\right)^{-\frac{\beta+n}{q}} K(1, v(t)) u^{\frac{-n \lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}}(x) d t \\
& =(u(x))^{\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}\left(\frac{\beta+n}{q}-n\right)} W_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the same way, we have

$$
\omega_{2}(x)=(v(y))^{\frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{1}}\left(\frac{\alpha+n}{p}-n\right)} W_{2} .
$$

The lemma is proved.

Lemma 2 (cf. [15]) If $p_{i}>0, a_{i}>0, \alpha_{i}>0(i=1, \ldots, n)$ and $\psi(t)$ is a measurable function, then we have the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int \cdots \int_{\left\{x_{i}>0 ; \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{x_{i}}{a_{i}} \alpha_{i} \leq 1\right\}\right.} \psi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{x_{i}}{a_{i}}\right)^{\alpha_{i}}\right) x_{1}^{p_{1}-1} \cdots x_{n}^{p_{n}-1} d x_{1} \cdots d x_{n} \\
& \quad=\frac{a_{1}^{p_{1}} \cdots a_{n}^{p_{n}} \Gamma\left(\frac{p_{1}}{\alpha_{1}}\right) \cdots \Gamma\left(\frac{p_{n}}{\alpha_{n}}\right)}{\alpha_{1} \cdots \alpha_{n} \Gamma\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{p_{i}}{\alpha_{i}}\right)} \int_{0}^{1} \psi(t) t^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{p_{i}}{\alpha_{i}}-1} d t,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Gamma(t)$ is the gamma function. In particular, for $\alpha_{i}=\rho, p_{i}=1, b_{i}=\frac{1}{a_{i}^{\rho}}(i=1, \ldots, n)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int & \cdots \int_{\left\{x_{i}>0 ; \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i} x_{i}^{\rho} \leq 1\right\}} \psi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i} x_{i}^{\rho}\right) d x_{1} \cdots d x_{n} \\
& =\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{-\frac{1}{\rho}} \Gamma^{n}\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right)}{\rho^{n} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{\rho}\right)} \int_{0}^{1} \psi(t) t^{\frac{n}{\rho}-1} d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3 Main results

We set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega(a<b)=\left\{x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) ; a<u(x)<b\right\}, \\
& \Omega^{\prime}(a<b)=\left\{x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) ; a<v(y)<b\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 1 Suppose that $n \geq 1, p>1, \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1, \rho>0, \alpha, \beta \in R, \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}>0, a_{i}>0, b_{i}>0$ $(i=1, \ldots, n), u(x)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i} x_{i}^{\rho}\right)^{1 / \rho}, v(y)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_{i} y_{i}^{\rho}\right)^{1 / \rho}, K(u(x), v(y))=G\left(u^{\lambda_{1}}(x) v^{\lambda_{2}}(y)\right)$ is a non-negative measurable function,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0<W_{1}=\int_{R_{+}^{n}}(v(t))^{-\frac{\beta+n}{q}} K(1, v(t)) d t<\infty, \\
& 0<W_{2}=\int_{R_{+}^{n}}(u(t))^{-\frac{\alpha+n}{p}} K(u(t), 1) d t<\infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

and for $a=0, b=1($ or $a=1, b=+\infty)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega(a<b)}(v(t))^{-\frac{\beta+n}{q}} K(1, v(t)) d t>0, \\
& \int_{\Omega^{\prime}(a<b)}(u(t))^{-\frac{\alpha+n}{p}} K(u(t), 1) d t>0,
\end{aligned}
$$

then we have the following: There is a constant $M$ such that, for $f(x) \in L_{u^{\alpha}(x)}^{p}\left(R_{+}^{n}\right)$ and $g(y) \in$ $L_{v^{\gamma}(y)}^{p}\left(R_{+}^{n}\right)$, the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{R_{+}^{n}} \int_{R_{+}^{n}} K(u(x), v(y)) f(x) g(y) d x d y \leq M\|f\|_{p, u^{\rho}}\|g\|_{q, v^{\rho}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds true if and only if the equality $\frac{n \lambda_{1}+\alpha \lambda_{2}}{p}=\frac{n \lambda_{2}+\beta \lambda_{1}}{q}$ is valid.
Proof We assume that (4) is valid and set $c=\frac{n \lambda_{2}+\beta \lambda_{1}}{q}-\frac{n \lambda_{1}+\alpha \lambda_{2}}{p}$.
(i) For $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}>0$, if $c>0$, putting $\varepsilon>0$ small enough and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x)= \begin{cases}(u(x))^{\left(-\alpha-n-\lambda_{1} \varepsilon\right) / p}, & u(x)>1, \\
0, & 0<u(x) \leq 1,\end{cases} \\
& g(y)= \begin{cases}(v(y))^{\left(-\beta-n+\lambda_{2} \varepsilon\right) / q}, & 0<v(y)<1, \\
0, & v(y) \geq 1,\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

by Lemma 2, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
&\|f\|_{p, u^{\rho}}\|g\|_{q, v^{\rho}} \\
&=\left(\int_{\Omega(1<+\infty)}(u(x))^{-n-\lambda_{1} \varepsilon} d x\right)^{1 / p}\left(\int_{\Omega^{\prime}(0<1)}(v(y))^{-n+\lambda_{2} \varepsilon} d y\right)^{1 / q} \\
&=\left(\frac{\Gamma^{n}\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right)}{a_{1}^{1 / \rho} \cdots a_{n}^{1 / \rho} \rho^{n-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{\rho}\right)} \frac{1}{\lambda_{1} \varepsilon}\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \times\left(\frac{\Gamma^{n}\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right)}{b_{1}^{1 / \rho} \cdots b_{n}^{1 / \rho} \rho^{n-1} \rho^{n-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{\rho}\right)} \frac{1}{\lambda_{2} \varepsilon}\right)^{1 / q} \\
&= \frac{\Gamma^{n}\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right)}{\lambda_{1}^{1 / p} \lambda_{2}^{1 / q} \rho^{n-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{\rho}\right) \varepsilon}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{-1 / \rho}\right)^{1 / p}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{-1 / \rho}\right)^{1 / q},  \tag{5}\\
& \int_{R_{+}^{n}} \int_{R_{+}^{n}} K(u(x), v(y)) f(x) g(y) d x d y \\
&= \int_{\Omega_{(1<+\infty)}}(u(x))^{\left(-\alpha-n-\lambda_{1} \varepsilon\right) / p}\left(\int_{\Omega^{\prime}(0<1)} K(u(x), v(y))(v(y))^{\left(-\beta-n+\lambda_{2} \varepsilon\right) / q} d y\right) d x \\
&= \int_{\Omega(1<+\infty)}(u(x))^{\left(-\alpha-n-\lambda_{1} \varepsilon\right) / p}\left(\int_{\Omega^{\prime}(0<1)} K\left(1, v\left(u^{\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}}(x) y\right)\right)(v(y))^{\left(-\beta-n+\lambda_{2} \varepsilon\right) / q} d y\right) d x \\
&= \int_{\Omega(1<+\infty)}(u(x))^{\left(-\alpha-n-\lambda_{1} \varepsilon\right) / p}\left(\int_{\Omega^{\prime}\left(0<u^{\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}}(x)\right)} K(1, v(t))\right.
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\times\left(u^{-\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}}(x) v(t)\right)^{\left(-\beta-n+\lambda_{2} \varepsilon\right) / q} u^{-\frac{n \lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}}(x) d t\right) d x \\
= & \int_{\Omega(1<+\infty)}(u(x))^{-n+\frac{c}{\lambda_{2}}-\lambda_{1} \varepsilon}\left(\int_{\Omega^{\prime}\left(0<u^{\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}}(x)\right)} K(1, v(t))(v(t))^{\left(-\beta-n+\lambda_{2} \varepsilon\right) / q} d t\right) d x \\
\geq & \int_{\Omega(1<+\infty)}(u(x))^{-n+\frac{c}{\lambda_{2}}-\lambda_{1} \varepsilon} d x \int_{\Omega^{\prime}(0<1)} K(1, v(t))(v(t))^{\left(-\beta-n+\lambda_{2} \varepsilon\right) / q} d t . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, by (4), (5) and (6), we have the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega(1<+\infty)}(u(x))^{-n+\frac{c}{\lambda_{2}}-\lambda_{1} \varepsilon} d x \int_{\Omega^{\prime}(0<1)} K(1, v(t))(v(t))^{\left(-\beta-n+\lambda_{2} \varepsilon\right) / q} d t \\
& \quad \leq M \frac{\Gamma^{n}\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right)}{\lambda_{1}^{1 / p} \lambda_{2}^{1 / q} \rho^{n-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{\rho}\right) \varepsilon}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{-1 / \rho}\right)^{1 / p}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{-1 / \rho}\right)^{1 / q} . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

For $\lambda_{2}>0, c>0, \varepsilon>0$ small enough, $-n+\frac{c}{\lambda_{2}}-\lambda_{1} \varepsilon>-n$, it follows that $\int_{\Omega(1<+\infty)}(u(x))^{-n+\frac{c}{\lambda_{2}}-\lambda_{1} \varepsilon} d x=+\infty$, which contradicts inequality (7) in view of $\int_{\Omega^{\prime}(0<1)} K(1, v(t))(v(t))^{\left(-\beta-n+\lambda_{2} \varepsilon\right) / q} d t>0$. Hence it is not valid for $c>0$.
If $c<0$, putting $\varepsilon>0$ small enough and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x)= \begin{cases}(u(x))^{\left(-\alpha-n+\lambda_{1} \varepsilon\right) / p}, & 0<u(x)<1, \\
0, & u(x) \geq 1,\end{cases} \\
& g(y)= \begin{cases}(v(y))^{\left(-\beta-n+\lambda_{2} \varepsilon\right) / q}, & v(y)>1 \\
0, & 0<v(y) \leq 1\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

in the same way, we have the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega^{\prime}(1<+\infty)}(v(y))^{-n-\frac{c}{\lambda_{1}}-\lambda_{2} \varepsilon} d y \int_{\Omega(0<1)} K(u(t), 1)(u(t))^{\left(-\alpha-n+\lambda_{1} \varepsilon\right) / p} d t \\
& \quad \leq M \frac{\Gamma^{n}\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right)}{\lambda_{1}^{\frac{1}{p}} \lambda_{2}^{\frac{1}{q}} \rho^{n-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{\rho}\right) \varepsilon}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{-\frac{1}{\rho}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{-\frac{1}{\rho}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

For $\lambda_{2}>0, c<0, \varepsilon>0$ small enough, hence $-n-\frac{c}{\lambda_{1}}-\lambda_{2} \varepsilon>-n$, it follows that $\int_{\Omega^{\prime}(1<+\infty)}(v(y))^{-n-\frac{c}{\lambda_{1}}-\lambda_{2} \varepsilon} d y=+\infty$, which contradicts inequality (8) in view of $\int_{\Omega(0<1)} K(u(t), 1)(u(t))^{\left(-\alpha-n+\lambda_{1} \varepsilon\right) / p} d t>0$. Hence, it is not valid for $c<0$.

Therefore, we prove that $c=0$, namely $\frac{n \lambda_{1}+\alpha \lambda_{2}}{p}=\frac{n \lambda_{2}+\beta \lambda_{1}}{q}$ is valid.
(ii) For $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}<0$, we prove that $\frac{n \lambda_{1}+\alpha \lambda_{2}}{p}=\frac{n \lambda_{2}+\beta \lambda_{1}}{q}$ is valid as follows.

If $c>0$, putting $\varepsilon>0$ small enough and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x)= \begin{cases}(u(x))^{\left(-\alpha-n-\lambda_{1} \varepsilon\right) / p}, & 0<u(x)<1, \\
0, & u(x) \geq 1\end{cases} \\
& g(y)= \begin{cases}(v(y))^{\left(-\beta-n+\lambda_{2} \varepsilon\right) / q}, & v(y)>1 \\
0, & 0<v(y) \leq 1\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|f\|_{p, u^{\rho}}\|g\|_{q, v^{\rho}} \\
& =\left(\int_{\Omega(0<1)}(u(x))^{-n-\lambda_{1} \varepsilon} d x\right)^{1 / p}\left(\int_{\Omega^{\prime}(1<+\infty)}(v(y))^{-n+\lambda_{2} \varepsilon} d y\right)^{1 / q} \\
& =\frac{\Gamma^{n}\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right)}{\left(-\lambda_{1}\right)^{1 / p}\left(-\lambda_{2}\right)^{1 / q} \rho^{n-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{\rho}\right) \varepsilon}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{-1 / \rho}\right)^{1 / p}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{-1 / \rho}\right)^{1 / q},  \tag{9}\\
& \int_{R_{+}^{n}} \int_{R_{+}^{n}} K(u(x), v(y)) f(x) g(y) d x d y \\
& =\int_{\Omega(0<1)}(u(x))^{\left(-\alpha-n-\lambda_{1} \varepsilon\right) / p}\left(\int_{\Omega^{\prime}(1<+\infty)} K(u(x), v(y))(v(y))^{\left(-\beta-n+\lambda_{2} \varepsilon\right) / q} d y\right) d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega(0<1)}(u(x))^{\left(-\alpha-n-\lambda_{1} \varepsilon\right) / p}\left(\int_{\Omega^{\prime}\left(u^{\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}}(x)<+\infty\right)} K(1, v(t))\right. \\
& \left.\times\left(u^{-\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}}(x) v(t)\right)^{\left(-\beta-n+\lambda_{2} \varepsilon\right) / q} u^{-\frac{n \lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}}(x) d t\right) d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega(0<1)}(u(x))^{-n+\frac{c}{\lambda_{2}}-\lambda_{1} \varepsilon}\left(\int_{\Omega^{\prime}\left(u^{\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}}(x)<+\infty\right)} K(1, v(t))(v(t))^{\left(-\beta-n+\lambda_{2} \varepsilon\right) / q} d t\right) d x \\
& \geq \int_{\Omega(0<1)}(u(x))^{-n+\frac{c}{\lambda_{2}}-\lambda_{1} \varepsilon} d x \int_{\Omega^{\prime}(1<+\infty)} K(1, v(t))(v(t))^{\left(-\beta-n+\lambda_{2} \varepsilon\right) / q} d t \text {. } \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, by (4), (9) and (10), we have the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega(0<1)}(u(x))^{-n+\frac{c}{\lambda_{2}}-\lambda_{1} \varepsilon} d x \int_{\Omega^{\prime}(1<+\infty)} K(1, v(t))(v(t))^{\left(-\beta-n+\lambda_{2} \varepsilon\right) / q} d t \\
& \quad \leq M \frac{\Gamma^{n}\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right)}{\left(-\lambda_{1}\right)^{1 / p}\left(-\lambda_{2}\right)^{1 / q} \rho^{n-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{\rho}\right) \varepsilon}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{-1 / \rho}\right)^{1 / p}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{-1 / \rho}\right)^{1 / q} . \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

It is obvious that $\int_{\Omega(0<1)}(u(x))^{-n+\frac{c}{\lambda_{2}}-\lambda_{1} \varepsilon} d x=+\infty$, which contradicts inequality (11) in view of $\int_{\Omega^{\prime}(1<+\infty)} K(1, v(t))(v(t))^{\left(-\beta-n+\lambda_{2} \varepsilon\right) / q} d t>0$. Hence it is not valid for $c>0$.

If $c<0$, putting $\varepsilon>0$ small enough and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x)= \begin{cases}(u(x))^{\left(-\alpha-n+\lambda_{1} \varepsilon\right) / p}, & u(x)>1, \\
0, & 0<u(x) \leq 1,\end{cases} \\
& g(y)= \begin{cases}(v(y))^{\left(-\beta-n-\lambda_{2} \varepsilon\right) / q}, & 0<v(y)<1, \\
0, & v(y) \geq 1,\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

in the same way, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega^{\prime}(0<1)}(v(y))^{-n-\frac{c}{\lambda_{1}}-\lambda_{2} \varepsilon} d y \int_{\Omega(1<+\infty)} K(u(t), 1)(u(t))^{\left(-\alpha-n+\lambda_{1} \varepsilon\right) / p} d t \\
& \leq M \frac{\Gamma^{n}\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right)}{\left(-\lambda_{1}\right)^{1 / p}\left(-\lambda_{2}\right)^{1 / q} \rho^{n-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{\rho}\right) \varepsilon}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{-1 / \rho}\right)^{1 / p}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{-1 / \rho}\right)^{1 / q} . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

In virtue of $\int_{\Omega^{\prime}(0<1)}(v(y))^{-n-\frac{c}{\lambda_{1}}-\lambda_{2} \varepsilon} d y=+\infty$, (12) is a contradiction in view of $\int_{\Omega(1<+\infty)} K(u(t), 1)(u(t))^{\left(-\alpha-n+\lambda_{1} \varepsilon\right) / p} d t>0$. Hence, $c<0$ is not valid.

Therefore, we prove that $c=0$ is valid.
On the other hand, we assume that $\frac{n \lambda_{1}+\alpha \lambda_{2}}{p}=\frac{n \lambda_{2}+\beta \lambda_{1}}{q}$ is valid.
Setting $a=\frac{\alpha}{p q}+\frac{n}{p q}, b=\frac{\beta}{p q}+\frac{n}{p q}$, by Holder's inequality with weight and Lemma 1, we find

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\int_{R_{+}^{n}} & \int_{R_{+}^{n}} K(u(x), v(y)) f(x) g(y) d x d y \\
= & \int_{R_{+}^{n}} \int_{R_{+}^{n}}\left(f(x) \frac{u^{a}(x)}{v^{b}(y)}\right)\left(g(y) \frac{v^{b}(y)}{u^{a}(x)}\right) K(u(x), v(y)) d x d y \\
\leq & \left(\int_{R_{+}^{n}} \int_{R_{+}^{n}} f^{p}(x) \frac{u^{a p}(x)}{v^{b p}(y)} K(u(x), v(y)) d x d y\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \times\left(\int_{R_{+}^{n}} \int_{R_{+}^{n}} g^{q}(y) \frac{v^{b q}(y)}{u^{a q}(x)} K(u(x), v(y)) d x d y\right)^{1 / q} \\
= & \left(\int_{R_{+}^{n}}(u(x))^{\frac{\alpha+n}{q}} f^{p}(x) \omega_{1}(x) d x\right)^{1 / p}\left(\int_{R_{+}^{n}}(v(y))^{\frac{\beta+n}{p}} g^{q}(y) \omega_{2}(y) d y\right)^{1 / q} \\
= & W_{1}^{1 / p} W_{2}^{1 / q}\left(\int_{R_{+}^{n}}(u(x))^{\frac{\alpha+n}{q}}+\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}\left(\frac{\beta+n}{q}-n\right)\right.
\end{array} f^{p}(x) d x\right)^{1 / p} .
$$

Taking $M \geq W_{1}^{1 / p} W_{2}^{1 / q}$, we prove that (4) is valid.

Theorem 2 With regards to the assumption of Theorem 1, the best possible constant factor of (4) is $\inf M=W_{1}^{1 / p} W_{2}^{1 / q}$ when (4) holds true.

Proof We assume that (4) is valid. If there exists a positive number $M_{0}<W_{1}^{1 / p} W_{2}^{1 / q}$ such that (4) is still valid when replacing $M$ by $M_{0}$, then, $\forall f(x) \in L_{u^{\alpha}(x)}^{p}\left(R_{+}^{n}\right)$ and $g(y) \in L_{\nu^{\beta}(y)}^{p}\left(R_{+}^{n}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{R_{+}^{n}} \int_{R_{+}^{n}} K(u(x), v(y)) f(x) g(y) d x d y \leq M_{0}\|f\|_{p, u^{\alpha}}\|g\|_{q, v^{\beta}} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $\varepsilon>0$ and $\delta>0$ small enough and setting

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x)= \begin{cases}(u(x))^{\left(-\alpha-n-\left|\lambda_{1}\right| \varepsilon\right) / p}, & u(x)>\delta, \\
0, & 0<u(x) \leq \delta,\end{cases} \\
& g(y)= \begin{cases}(v(y))^{\left(-\beta-n+\left|\lambda_{2}\right| \varepsilon\right) / q}, & 0<v(y)<1, \\
0, & v(y) \geq 1,\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\| f & \left\|_{p, u^{\alpha}}\right\| g \|_{q, v^{\beta}} \\
& =\left(\int_{\Omega(\delta<+\infty)}(u(x))^{-n-\left|\lambda_{1}\right| \varepsilon} d x\right)^{1 / p}\left(\int_{\Omega^{\prime}(0<1)}(v(y))^{-n+\left|\lambda_{2}\right| \varepsilon} d y\right)^{1 / q} \\
& =\frac{\Gamma^{n}\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\left.\delta^{\lambda_{1} \mid \varepsilon / \rho}\right)^{1 / p}}\right.}{\left|\lambda_{1}\right|^{1 / p}\left|\lambda_{2}\right|^{1 / q} \rho^{n-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{\rho}\right) \varepsilon}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{-1 / \rho}\right)^{1 / p}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{-1 / \rho}\right)^{1 / q} . \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

And we have the following by using $\frac{n \lambda_{1}+\alpha \lambda_{2}}{p}=\frac{n \lambda_{2}+\beta \lambda_{1}}{q}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{R_{+}^{n}} \int_{R_{+}^{n}} K(u(x), v(y)) f(x) g(y) d x d y \\
&= \int_{\Omega^{\prime}(0<1)}(v(y))^{\left(-\beta-n+\left|\lambda_{2}\right| \varepsilon\right) / q}\left(\int_{\Omega(\delta<+\infty)}(u(x))^{\left(-\alpha-n-\left|\lambda_{1}\right| \varepsilon\right) / p} K(u(x), v(y)) d x\right) d y \\
&= \int_{\Omega^{\prime}(0<1)}(v(y))^{\left(-\beta-n+\left|\lambda_{2}\right| \varepsilon\right) / q} \\
& \times\left(\int_{\Omega(\delta<+\infty)}(u(x))^{\frac{-\alpha-n-\left|\lambda_{1}\right| \varepsilon}{p}} K\left(u\left(v^{\frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{1}}}(y) x, 1\right)\right) d x\right) d y \\
&= \int_{\Omega^{\prime}(0<1)}(v(y))^{\left(-\beta-n+\left|\lambda_{2}\right| \varepsilon\right) / q}\left(\int_{\Omega(\delta)^{\frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{1}}}}(y)<+\infty\right) \\
&\left.\times v^{-\frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{1}}}(y) u(t)\right)^{\frac{-\alpha-n-\left|\lambda_{1}\right| \varepsilon}{p}} \\
&=\left.\left.\int_{\Omega^{\prime}(0<1)}(v(t), 1) v^{-\frac{n \lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{1}}}(y) d t\right) d y\right)^{-n+\left|\lambda_{2}\right| \varepsilon}\left(\int_{\Omega\left(\delta v^{\frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{1}}}\right.}(y)<+\infty\right) \\
& \geq \int_{\Omega^{\prime}(0<1)}(v(y))^{-n+\left|\lambda_{2}\right| \varepsilon} d y \int_{\Omega(\delta<+\infty)}(u(t))^{\frac{-\alpha-n-\left|\lambda_{1}\right| \varepsilon}{p}} K(u(t), 1) d t \\
&= \Gamma^{n}\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{-1 / \rho}  \tag{15}\\
&\left|\lambda_{2}\right| \rho^{n-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{\rho}\right) \varepsilon\left.\int_{\Omega(\delta<+\infty)}(u(t))^{\frac{-\alpha-n-\left|\lambda_{1}\right| \varepsilon}{p}} K(u(t), 1) d t\right) d y \\
&
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (13), (14) and (15), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega(\delta<+\infty)} K(u(t), 1)(u(t))^{\frac{-\alpha-n-\left|\lambda_{1}\right| \varepsilon}{\rho}} d t \\
& \quad \leq M_{0}\left(\frac{1}{\left|\lambda_{1}\right|} \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{-1 / \rho}\right)^{1 / p}\left(\frac{1}{\left|\lambda_{2}\right|} \prod_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{-1 / \rho}\right)^{1 / p}\left(\frac{1}{\delta^{\left|\lambda_{1}\right| \varepsilon / \rho}}\right)^{1 / p} . \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

If we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x)= \begin{cases}(u(x))^{\left(-\alpha-n-\left|\lambda_{1}\right| \varepsilon\right) / p}, & 0<u(x)<1, \\
0, & u(x) \geq 1,\end{cases} \\
& g(y)= \begin{cases}(v(y))^{\left(-\beta-n+\left|\lambda_{2}\right| \varepsilon\right) / q}, & v(y)>\delta, \\
0, & 0<v(y) \leq \delta,\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

then, in the same way, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega^{\prime}(\delta<+\infty)} K(1, v(t))(v(y))^{\left(-\beta-n+\left|\lambda_{2}\right| \varepsilon\right) / q} d t \\
& \quad \leq M_{0}\left(\frac{1}{\left|\lambda_{1}\right|} \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{-1 / \rho}\right)^{1 / q}\left(\frac{1}{\left|\lambda_{2}\right|} \prod_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{-1 / \rho}\right)^{1 / q}\left(\frac{1}{\delta^{\left|\lambda_{2}\right| \varepsilon / \rho}}\right)^{1 / q} . \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, by (16) and (17), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{\Omega^{\prime}(\delta<+\infty)} K(1, v(t))(v(y))^{\left(-\beta-n+\left|\lambda_{2}\right| \varepsilon\right) / q} d t\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \quad \times\left(\int_{\Omega(\delta<+\infty)} K(u(t), 1)(u(t))^{\frac{-\alpha-n-\left|\lambda_{1}\right| \varepsilon}{p}} d t\right)^{1 / q} \\
& \quad \leq M_{0}\left(\frac{1}{\delta^{\left|\lambda_{2}\right| \varepsilon / \rho}}\right)^{1 /(p q)}\left(\frac{1}{\delta^{\left|\lambda_{1}\right| \varepsilon / \rho}}\right)^{1 /(p q)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$, using Fatou's lemma, we obtain

$$
\left(\int_{\Omega^{\prime}(\delta<+\infty)} K(1, v(t))(v(y))^{-\frac{\beta+n}{q}} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{\Omega(\delta<+\infty)} K(u(t), 1)(u(t))^{-\frac{\alpha+n}{p}} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq M_{0}
$$

and then it follows that, for $\delta \rightarrow 0^{+}$,

$$
W_{1}^{\frac{1}{p}} W_{2}^{\frac{1}{q}}=\left(\int_{R_{+}^{n}}(v(y))^{-\frac{\beta+n}{q}} K(1, v(t)) d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{R_{+}^{n}}(u(t))^{-\frac{\alpha+n}{p}} K(u(t), 1) d t\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq M_{0} .
$$

This is a contradiction, which leads to the fact that $W_{1}^{1 / p} W_{2}^{1 / q}$ is the best possible constant factor of (4).

## 4 Application in the operator theory

For $\gamma=\beta(1-p)$, there is $-\frac{\beta+n}{q}=\frac{\gamma+n}{p}-n$, and it follows that $\frac{n \lambda_{1}+\alpha \lambda_{2}}{p}=\frac{n \lambda_{2}+\beta \lambda_{1}}{q}$ is equivalent to $\lambda_{1}(n+\gamma)+\lambda_{2}(n+\alpha)=\lambda_{2} n p$. In view of the fact that (1) is equivalent to (3), by Theorems 1-2, we have the following.

Theorem 3 Suppose that $n \geq 1, p>1, \rho>0, \alpha, \gamma \in R, \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}>0, a_{i}>0, b_{i}>0, u(x)=$ $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i} x_{i}^{\rho}\right)^{1 / \rho}, v(y)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_{i} y_{i}^{\rho}\right)^{1 / \rho}, K(u(x), v(y))=G\left(u^{\lambda_{1}}(x) v^{\lambda_{2}}(y)\right)$ is a non-negative measurable function, the operator $T$ is defined by (2),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0<\tilde{W}_{1}=\int_{R_{+}^{n}}(v(t))^{\frac{\gamma+n}{p}-n} K(1, v(t)) d t<\infty, \\
& 0<\tilde{W}_{2}=\int_{R_{+}^{n}}(u(t))^{-\frac{\alpha+n}{p}} K(u(t), 1) d t<\infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

and for $a=0, b=1($ or $a=1, b=+\infty)$,

$$
\int_{\Omega^{\prime}(a<b)}(v(t))^{\frac{\gamma+n}{p}-n} K(1, v(t)) d t>0, \quad \int_{\Omega^{\prime}(a<b)}(u(t))^{-\frac{\alpha+n}{p}} K(u(t), 1) d t>0
$$

then we have the following:
(i) $T$ is a bounded operator from $L_{u^{\alpha}}^{p}\left(R_{+}^{n}\right)$ to $L_{\nu^{\prime}}^{p}\left(R_{+}^{n}\right)$ if and only if the equality $\lambda_{1}(n+\gamma)+$ $\lambda_{2}(n+\alpha)=\lambda_{2} n p$ is valid.
(ii) If the operator $T$ is a bounded operator from $L_{u^{\alpha}}^{p}\left(R_{+}^{n}\right)$ to $L_{\nu \gamma}^{p}\left(R_{+}^{n}\right)$, then we obtain the norm of the operator $T$ as follows:

$$
\|T\|:=\sup _{f \in L_{u^{\alpha}}^{p}\left(R_{+}^{n}\right)} \frac{\|T(f)\|_{p, v^{\gamma}}}{\|f\|_{p, u^{\rho}}}=\tilde{W}_{1}^{\frac{1}{p}} \tilde{W}_{2}^{\frac{1}{q}} .
$$

Taking $\alpha=\gamma=0$ in Theorem 3, we have the result as follows.

Corollary 1 Suppose that $n \geq 1, p>1, \rho>0, \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}>0, a_{i}>0, b_{i}>0(i=1, \ldots, n), u(x)=$ $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i} x_{i}^{\rho}\right)^{1 / \rho}, v(y)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_{i} y_{i}^{\rho}\right)^{1 / \rho}, K(u(x), v(y))=G\left(u^{\lambda_{1}}(x) v^{\lambda_{2}}(y)\right)$ is a non-negative measurable function, the operator $T$ is defined by (2),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0<\tilde{W}_{1}=\int_{R_{+}^{n}}(v(t))^{\frac{n}{p}-n} K(1, v(t)) d t<\infty, \\
& 0<\tilde{W}_{2}=\int_{R_{+}^{n}}(u(t))^{-\frac{n}{p}} K(u(t), 1) d t<\infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

and for $a=0, b=1($ or $a=1, b=+\infty)$,

$$
\int_{\Omega^{\prime}(a<b)}(v(t))^{\frac{n}{p}-n} K(1, v(t)) d t>0, \quad \int_{\Omega^{\prime}(a<b)}(u(t))^{-\frac{n}{p}} K(u(t), 1) d t>0,
$$

then we have the following:
(i) $T$ is a bounded operator in $L^{p}\left(R_{+}^{n}\right)$ if and only if $\lambda_{1}=(p-1) \lambda_{2}$.
(ii) If the operator $T$ is a bounded operator in $L^{p}\left(R_{+}^{n}\right)$, then the norm of the operator $T$ is

$$
\|T\|=\tilde{W}_{1}^{\frac{1}{p}} \tilde{W}_{2}^{\frac{1}{q}} .
$$

Theorem 4 Suppose that $n \geq 1, p>1, \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1, \rho>0, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}>0, a_{i}>0, b_{i}>0(i=1, \ldots, n)$, $b>\frac{n}{\lambda_{2} p}, a>b-\frac{n}{\lambda_{2} p}, u(x)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i} x_{i}^{\rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}}, v(y)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_{i} y_{i}^{\rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}}$, the operator $T$ is defined by

$$
T(f)(y)=\int_{R_{+}^{n}} \frac{\left(u^{\lambda_{1}}(x) v^{\lambda_{2}}(y)\right)^{b}}{\left(1+u^{\lambda_{1}}(x) v^{\lambda_{2}}(y)\right)^{a}} f(x) d x, \quad y \in R_{+}^{n},
$$

then we have the following:
(i) $T$ is a bounded operator in $L^{p}\left(R_{+}^{n}\right)$ if and only if $\frac{\lambda_{1}}{p}=\frac{\lambda_{2}}{q}$.
(ii) If the operator $T$ is a bounded operator in $L^{p}\left(R_{+}^{n}\right)$, then the norm of the operator $T$ is as follows:

$$
\|T\|=\frac{\Gamma^{n}\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right)}{\lambda_{1}^{\frac{1}{q}} \lambda_{2}^{\frac{1}{p}} \rho^{n-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{\rho}\right) \Gamma(a)}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{-\frac{1}{\rho}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{-\frac{1}{\rho}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \Gamma\left(b-\frac{n}{\lambda_{2} p}\right) \Gamma\left(a-b+\frac{n}{\lambda_{2} p}\right) .
$$

Proof (ii) In view of $\frac{\lambda_{1}}{p}=\frac{\lambda_{2}}{q}$, we have the following by using Lemma 2:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{W}_{1}= \int_{R_{+}^{n}}(v(t))^{-\frac{n}{q}} K(1, v(t)) d t \\
&= \int_{R_{+}^{n}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i} t_{i}^{\rho}\right)^{\frac{\lambda_{2} b}{\rho}-\frac{n}{q \rho}} \frac{1}{\left[1+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i} t_{i}^{\rho}\right)^{\lambda_{2} / \rho}\right]^{a}} d t \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{-\frac{1}{\rho}} \int_{R_{+}^{n}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{\rho}\right)^{\frac{\lambda_{2} b}{\rho}-\frac{n}{q \rho}} \frac{1}{\left[1+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{\rho}\right)^{\lambda_{2} / \rho}\right]^{a}} d t \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{-\frac{1}{\rho}} \lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \int \cdots \int_{x_{i}>0 ; x_{1}^{p}+\cdots+x_{n}^{p} \leq r^{p}} \frac{r^{\lambda_{2} b-n / q}}{\left[1+r^{\lambda_{2}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{x_{i}}{r}\right)^{\rho}\right)^{\lambda_{2} / \rho}\right]^{a}} \\
&=\left.\prod_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{-\frac{1}{\rho}} \lim _{r \rightarrow \infty}^{n} r^{\lambda_{2} b-\frac{n}{q}} r^{n} \Gamma^{n}\left(\frac{x_{i}}{\rho^{n}}\right)^{\rho}\right)^{\left.\frac{\lambda_{2} b}{\rho}-\frac{n}{q}\right)} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u^{\frac{\lambda_{2} b}{\rho}-\frac{n}{q \rho}+\frac{n}{\rho}-1}}{\left(1+r^{\lambda_{2}} u^{\lambda_{2} / \rho}\right)^{a}} d u \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{-\frac{1}{\rho}} \lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Gamma^{n}\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right)}{\lambda_{2} \rho^{n-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{\rho}\right)} \int_{0}^{r^{\lambda_{2}}} \frac{1}{(1+t)^{1-1} d x_{1} \cdots d x_{n}} t^{b-\frac{n}{\lambda_{2} p}-1} d t \\
&= \frac{\Gamma^{n}\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right)}{\lambda_{2} \rho^{n-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{\rho}\right)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{-\frac{1}{\rho}} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(1+t)^{a}} t^{b-\frac{n}{\lambda_{2} p}-1} d t \\
&= \frac{\Gamma^{n}\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right)}{\lambda_{2} \rho^{n-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{\rho}\right)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{-\frac{1}{\rho}} B\left(b-\frac{n}{\lambda_{2} \rho^{n-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{\rho}\right) \Gamma(a)}, a-\left(b-\frac{n}{\lambda_{2} p}\right)\right) \\
& \Gamma_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right) \\
& b_{i}^{-\frac{1}{\rho}} \Gamma\left(b-\frac{n}{\lambda_{2} p}\right) \Gamma\left(a-b+\frac{n}{\lambda_{2} p}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the same way, we still have the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{W}_{2} & =\int_{R_{+}^{n}}[u(t)]^{-\frac{n}{p}} K(u(t), 1) d t \\
& =\frac{\Gamma^{n}\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right)}{\lambda_{1} \rho^{n-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{\rho}\right) \Gamma(a)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{-\frac{1}{\rho}} \Gamma\left(b-\frac{n}{\lambda_{1} q}\right) \Gamma\left(a-b+\frac{n}{\lambda_{1} q}\right) \\
& =\frac{\Gamma^{n}\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right)}{\lambda_{1} \rho^{n-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{\rho}\right) \Gamma(a)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{-\frac{1}{\rho}} \Gamma\left(b-\frac{n}{\lambda_{2} p}\right) \Gamma\left(a-b+\frac{n}{\lambda_{2} p}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\tilde{W}_{1}^{\frac{1}{p}} \tilde{W}_{2}^{\frac{1}{q}}=\frac{\Gamma^{n}\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right)}{\lambda_{1}^{\frac{1}{q}} \lambda_{2}^{\frac{1}{p}} \rho^{n-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{\rho}\right) \Gamma(a)}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{-\frac{1}{\rho}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{-\frac{1}{\rho}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \Gamma\left(b-\frac{n}{\lambda_{2} p}\right) \Gamma\left(a-b+\frac{n}{\lambda_{2} p}\right) .
$$

Hence, we prove that (ii) is valid by Corollary 1.

## 5 Conclusions

In this paper, by using the methods and techniques of real analysis, the sufficient and necessary conditions for the existence of the Hilbert-type multiple integral inequality with the kernel $K(u(x), v(y))=G\left(u^{\lambda_{1}}(x) v^{\lambda_{2}}(y)\right)$ and the best possible constant factor are discussed in Theorems 1-2. Furthermore, its application in the operator theory is considered in Theorems 3-4. The method of real analysis is very important as itis the key to prove the equivalent inequalities with the best possible constant factor. The lemmas and theorems provide an extensive account of this type of inequalities.
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