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## Abstract

In this paper, by using the monotonicity rule for the ratio of two Laplace transforms, we prove that the function

$$
x \mapsto \frac{1}{24 x(\ln \Gamma(x+1 / 2)-x \ln x+x-\ln \sqrt{2 \pi})+1}-\frac{120}{7} x^{2}
$$

is strictly increasing from $(0, \infty)$ onto $(1,1860 / 343)$. This not only yields some known and new inequalities for the gamma function, but also gives some completely monotonic functions related to the gamma function.
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## 1 Introduction

Stirling's formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
n!\sim \sqrt{2 \pi n} n^{n} e^{-n} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

has important applications in statistical physics, probability theory and number theory. Due to its practical importance, it has attracted much interest of many mathematicians and have motivated a large number of research papers concerning various generalizations and improvements.

Burnside's formula [1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
n!\sim \sqrt{2 \pi}\left(\frac{n+1 / 2}{e}\right)^{n+1 / 2}:=b_{n} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

slightly improves (1.1). Gosper [2] replaced $\sqrt{2 \pi n}$ by $\sqrt{2 \pi(n+1 / 6)}$ in (1.1) to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
n!\sim \sqrt{2 \pi\left(n+\frac{1}{6}\right)}\left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^{n}:=g_{n} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is better than (1.1) and (1.2). Batir [3] obtained an asymptotic formula similar to (1.3):

$$
\begin{equation*}
n!\sim \frac{n^{n+1} e^{-n} \sqrt{2 \pi}}{\sqrt{n-1 / 6}}:=b_{n}^{\prime}, \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is stronger than (1.1) and (1.2). A more accurate approximation for the factorial function

$$
\begin{equation*}
n!\sim \sqrt{2 \pi}\left(\frac{n^{2}+n+1 / 6}{e^{2}}\right)^{n / 2+1 / 4}:=m_{n} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

was presented in [4] by Mortici.
The gamma function $\Gamma(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{x-1} e^{-t} d t$ for $x>0$ is closely related to Stirling's formula since $\Gamma(n+1)=n!$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This inspires some authors to also pay attention to finding various better approximations for the gamma function. Here we list some more accurate approximations:
(i) Ramanujan's [5, p. 339] approximation formula as $x \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(x+1) \sim \sqrt{\pi}\left(\frac{x}{e}\right)^{x}\left(8 x^{3}+4 x^{2}+x+\frac{1}{30}\right)^{1 / 6}:=R(x) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) Windschitl's (see [6, Eq. (42)]) approximation formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(x+1) \sim \sqrt{2 \pi x}\left(\frac{x}{e}\right)^{x}\left(x \sinh \frac{1}{x}\right)^{x / 2}:=W(x) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) Smith's [6, Eq. (42)] approximation formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma\left(x+\frac{1}{2}\right) \sim \sqrt{2 \pi}\left(\frac{x}{e}\right)^{x}\left(2 x \tanh \frac{1}{2 x}\right)^{x / 2}:=S(x) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iv) Nemes' formula ([7, Corollary 4.1]) states that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(x+1) \sim \sqrt{2 \pi x}\left(\frac{x}{e}\right)^{x}\left(1+\frac{1}{12 x^{2}-1 / 10}\right)^{x}:=N(x) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(v) Chen's [8] presented a new approximation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(x+1) \sim \sqrt{2 \pi x}\left(\frac{x}{e}\right)^{x}\left(1+\frac{1}{12 x^{3}+24 x / 7-1 / 2}\right)^{x^{2}+53 / 210}=C(x) . \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1 Let $A(x)$ be an approximation for $\Gamma(x+1)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. If there is $m>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \Gamma(x+1)-\ln A(x)}{x^{-m}}=c \neq 0, \pm \infty, \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we say that the rate of $A(x)$ converging to $\Gamma(x+1)$ is like $x^{-m}$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Evidently, the larger $m$ is, the higher the accuracy of $A(x)$ approximating for $\Gamma(x+1)$ is. Since $(x-$
1)/ $\ln x \rightarrow 1$ as $x \rightarrow 1$, the limit relation can be equivalently written as

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Gamma(x+1) / A(x)-1}{x^{-m}}=c \neq 0, \pm \infty,
$$

or

$$
\frac{\Gamma(x+1)}{A(x)}=1+O\left(x^{-m}\right) \quad \text { as } x \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Remark 2 It is easy to check that as $n \rightarrow \infty$ or $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n!=\frac{n^{n+1} e^{-n} \sqrt{2 \pi}}{\sqrt{n-1 / 6}}\left(1+O\left(n^{-2}\right)\right), \\
& n!=\sqrt{2 \pi}\left(\frac{n^{2}+n+1 / 6}{e^{2}}\right)^{n / 2+1 / 4}\left(1+O\left(n^{-3}\right)\right), \\
& \Gamma\left(x+\frac{1}{2}\right)=\sqrt{2 \pi}\left(\frac{x}{e}\right)^{x}\left(2 x \tanh \frac{1}{2 x}\right)^{x / 2}\left(1+O\left(x^{-5}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

These together with those shown in [8, (3.5)-(3.10)] indicate that Chen's one $C(x)$ is the best among approximation formulas listed above.

More results involving the approximation formulas for the factorial or gamma function can be found in [9-28] and the references cited therein.
It is worth mentioning that Yang and Chu [9] proposed a new approach to construct asymptotic formulas by bivariate means. As applications, they offered in [9, Propositions 4 and 5] two asymptotic formulas: as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(x+1) & \sim \sqrt{2 \pi}\left(\frac{x+1 / 2}{e}\right)^{x+1 / 2} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{24} \frac{x+1 / 2}{x^{2}+x+37 / 120}\right):=Y_{1}(x) \\
\Gamma(x+1) & \sim \sqrt{2 \pi}\left(\frac{x+1 / 2}{e}\right)^{x+1 / 2} \exp \left(-\frac{1517}{44,640} \frac{1}{x+1 / 2}-\frac{343}{44,640} \frac{x+1 / 2}{x^{2}+x+111 / 196}\right) \\
& :=Y_{2}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

which satisfy

$$
\Gamma(x+1)=Y_{1}(x)\left(1+O\left(x^{-5}\right)\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \Gamma(x+1)=Y_{2}(x)\left(1+O\left(x^{-7}\right)\right)
$$

and proved that the functions (replace $x$ by $x-1 / 2$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{4}\left(x-\frac{1}{2}\right)=\ln \Gamma\left(x+\frac{1}{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \ln 2 \pi-x \ln x+x+\frac{1}{24} \frac{x}{x^{2}+7 / 120} \\
& f_{5}\left(x-\frac{1}{2}\right)=\ln \Gamma\left(x+\frac{1}{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \ln 2 \pi-x \ln x+\frac{1}{1440} \frac{5880 x^{2}+1517}{x\left(98 x^{2}+31\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

are increasingly concave and decreasingly convex on $(0, \infty)$, respectively. Clearly, both $Y_{1}(x)$ and $Y_{2}(x)$ are accurate and simpler approximation formulas for the gamma function.

According to these inequalities given in [9, Corollary 7], it is natural to ask: What are the best $\alpha$ and $\beta$ such that the double inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left[-\frac{1}{24 x} \frac{120 x^{2}+7(\alpha-1)}{120 x^{2}+7 \alpha}\right]<\frac{\Gamma(x+1 / 2)}{\sqrt{2 \pi}(x / e)^{x}}<\exp \left[-\frac{1}{24 x} \frac{120 x^{2}+7(\beta-1)}{120 x^{2}+7 \beta}\right] \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $x>0$ ? This problem is equivalent to determining the monotonicity of the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\frac{1}{24 x(\ln \Gamma(x+1 / 2)-x \ln x+x-\ln \sqrt{2 \pi})+1}-\frac{120}{7} x^{2} \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $(0, \infty)$.
The aim of this paper is to answer this problem. Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1 The function $f$ defined by (1.13) is strictly increasing from $(0, \infty)$ onto (1,1860/343).

As a consequence of the above theorem, the following corollary is immediate.

Corollary 1 For $x>x_{0} \geq 0$, the double inequality (1.12) holds if and only if $\alpha \geq f(\infty)=$ 1860/343 and $1 \leq \beta \leq f\left(x_{0}\right)$. In particular, we have

$$
\exp \left[-\frac{1}{1440} \frac{5880 x^{2}+1517}{x\left(98 x^{2}+31\right)}\right]<\frac{\Gamma(x+1 / 2)}{\sqrt{2 \pi}(x / e)^{x}}<\exp \left(-\frac{5 x}{120 x^{2}+7}\right)
$$

holds for $x>0$.

Replacing $x$ by $n+1 / 2$, then putting $x_{0}=1$ in Corollary 1 , and noting that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{1}:=f\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)=\frac{1}{36 \ln 2-54 \ln 3-18 \ln \pi+55}-\frac{270}{7} \approx 4.7243 \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

we deduce the following statement.

Corollary 2 The double inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \exp \left[-\frac{1}{24(n+1 / 2)} \frac{120(n+1 / 2)^{2}+7(\alpha-1)}{120(n+1 / 2)^{2}+7 \alpha}\right] \\
& \quad<\frac{n!}{\sqrt{2 \pi}((n+1 / 2) / e)^{n+1 / 2}} \\
& \quad<\exp \left[-\frac{1}{24(n+1 / 2)} \frac{120(n+1 / 2)^{2}+7\left(\beta_{1}-1\right)}{120(n+1 / 2)^{2}+7 \beta_{1}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

holds with the best constants $\beta_{1} \approx 4.7243$ given by (1.14) and $\alpha=1860 / 343 \approx 5.4227$.

## 2 Tools

To prove our main result, we need some lemmas as tools. The first lemma is the convolution formula of the Laplace transform.

Lemma 1 ([29]) Let $f_{i}(t)$ for $i=1,2$ be piecewise continuous in arbitrary finite intervals included on $(0, \infty)$. If there exist some constants $M_{i}>0$ and $c_{i} \geq 0$ such that $\left|f_{i}(t)\right| \leq M_{i} e^{c_{i} t}$ for $i=1,2$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{1}(u) e^{-s u} d u \int_{0}^{\infty} f_{2}(v) e^{-s v} d v=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\int_{0}^{t} f_{1}(u) f_{2}(t-u) d u\right) e^{-s t} d t \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second one is a special monotonicity rule for the ratio of two power series, which first appeared in [30, Lemma 6.4] and was proved in [31], also see [32].

Lemma 2 ([31, Corollary 2.3]) Let $A(t)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} t^{k}$ and $B(t)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{k} t^{k}$ be two real power series converging on $\mathbb{R}$ with $b_{k}>0$ for all $k$. If, for certain $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the sequence $\left\{a_{k} / b_{k}\right\}$ is increasing (decreasing) for $0 \leq k \leq m$ and decreasing (increasing) for $k \geq m$, then there is a unique $t_{0} \in(0, \infty)$ such that the function $A / B$ is increasing (decreasing) on $\left(0, t_{0}\right)$ and decreasing (increasing) on $\left(t_{0}, \infty\right)$.

The third lemma is called L'Hospital piecewise monotonicity rule [33].
Lemma 3 ([33, Theorem 8]) Let $-\infty \leq a<b \leq \infty$. Suppose that (i) $f$ and $g$ are differentiable functions on $(a, b)$; (ii) $g^{\prime} \neq 0$ on $(a, b)$; (iii) $f\left(a^{+}\right)=g\left(a^{+}\right)=0$; (iv) there is $c \in(a, b)$ such that $f^{\prime} / g^{\prime}$ is increasing (decreasing) on $(a, c)$ and decreasing (increasing) on $(c, b)$. Then
(i) when $\operatorname{sgn} g^{\prime} \operatorname{sgn} H_{f, g}\left(b^{-}\right) \geq(\leq) 0, f / g$ is increasing (decreasing) on $(a, b)$, where $H_{f, g}=\left(f^{\prime} / g^{\prime}\right) g-f ;$
(ii) when $\operatorname{sgn} g^{\prime} \operatorname{sgn} H_{f, g}\left(b^{-}\right)<(>) 0$, there is a unique number $x_{a} \in(a, b)$ such that $f / g$ is increasing (decreasing) on ( $a, x_{a}$ ) and decreasing (increasing) on $\left(x_{a}, b\right)$.

The last one gives a monotonicity rule for the ratio of two Laplace transforms, which is crucial to proving our main result (see [34, Remark 3]).

Lemma 4 Let the functions $A, B$ be defined on $(0, \infty)$ such that their Laplace transforms exist with $B(t) \neq 0$ for all $t>0$. Then the function

$$
x \mapsto U(x)=\frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} A(t) e^{-x t} d t}{\int_{0}^{\infty} B(t) e^{-x t} d t}
$$

is decreasing (increasing) on $(0, \infty)$ if $A / B$ is increasing (decreasing) on $(0, \infty)$.
Proof Differentiation yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} B(t) e^{-x t} d t\right)^{2} U^{\prime}(x) \\
& \quad=-\int_{0}^{\infty} A(t) e^{-x t} d t \int_{0}^{\infty} B(t) e^{-x t} d t+\int_{0}^{\infty} A(t) e^{-x t} d t \int_{0}^{\infty} t B(t) e^{-x t} d t \\
& \quad=\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} t\left[\frac{A(s)}{B(s)}-\frac{A(t)}{B(t)}\right] B(t) B(s) e^{-x t-x s} d s d t:=D
\end{aligned}
$$

Exchanging the integral variables $s$ and $t$, we have

$$
D=\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} s\left[\frac{A(t)}{B(t)}-\frac{A(s)}{B(s)}\right] B(s) B(t) e^{-x s-x t} d t d s
$$

then adding gives

$$
2 D=-\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty}[t-s]\left[\frac{A(t)}{B(t)}-\frac{A(s)}{B(s)}\right] B(s) B(t) e^{-x t-x s} d t d s
$$

By the assumptions, the desired assertions follow.

## 3 Proof of Theorem 1

Before proving Theorem 1, we also need several concrete lemmas.

Lemma 5 ([28, Lemma 4]) Let $g_{0}$ be defined on $(0, \infty)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{0}(x)=\ln \Gamma\left(x+\frac{1}{2}\right)-x \ln x+x-\frac{1}{2} \ln (2 \pi) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $g_{0}(x)$ has the following integral representation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{0}(x)=-\int_{0}^{\infty} h(t) e^{-x t} d t \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(t)=\frac{1}{t^{2}}-\frac{1}{2 t \sinh (t / 2)} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 6 Let $h(t)$ be defined on $(0, \infty)$ by (3.3). Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& x \int_{0}^{\infty} h(t) e^{-x t} d t=\frac{1}{24}+\int_{0}^{\infty} h^{\prime}(t) e^{-x t} d t  \tag{3.4}\\
& x \int_{0}^{\infty} h^{\prime}(t) e^{-x t} d t=\int_{0}^{\infty} h^{\prime \prime}(t) e^{-x t} d t  \tag{3.5}\\
& x \int_{0}^{\infty} h^{\prime \prime}(t) e^{-x t} d t=-\frac{7}{2880}+\int_{0}^{\infty} h^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) e^{-x t} d t . \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof Integration by parts yields

$$
x \int_{0}^{\infty} h(t) e^{-x t} d t=-\int_{0}^{\infty} h(t) d e^{-x t}=-\left[h(t) e^{-x t}\right]_{t=0}^{t=\infty}+\int_{0}^{\infty} h^{\prime}(t) e^{-x t} d t
$$

which, by a simple computation,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{t \rightarrow 0} h(t) e^{-x t}=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0}\left(\frac{1}{t^{2}}-\frac{1}{2 t \sinh (t / 2)}\right) e^{-x t}=\frac{1}{24}, \\
& \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} h(t) e^{-x t}=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{1}{t^{2}}-\frac{1}{2 t \sinh (t / 2)}\right) e^{-x t}=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

gives (3.4).

Similarly, integration by parts and limit relations $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} h^{\prime}(t) e^{-x t}=0$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} h^{\prime}(t) e^{-x t}=0$ yield (3.5). Integration by parts in combination with $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} h^{\prime \prime}(t) e^{-x t}=$ $-7 / 2880$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} h^{\prime \prime}(t) e^{-x t}=0$ gives (3.6).

Lemma 7 Let $h(t)$ be defined by (3.3). Then (i) $h^{\prime}(t)<0$ for $t>0$; (ii) there is $t_{0}>0$ such that the function $h^{\prime \prime \prime} / h^{\prime}$ is increasing on $\left(0, t_{0}\right)$ and decreasing on $\left(t_{0}, \infty\right)$. Therefore, we have

$$
-\frac{31}{98}<\frac{h^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)}{h^{\prime}(t)}<\lambda_{0} \approx 0.051704
$$

where $\lambda_{0}=h^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right) / h^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)$, here $t_{0}$ is the unique solution of the equation $\left[h^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) / h^{\prime}(t)\right]^{\prime}=0$ on $(0, \infty)$.

Proof Differentiation yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
h^{\prime}(t)= & \frac{1}{4} \frac{2 \sinh (t / 2)+t \cosh (t / 2)}{t^{2} \sinh ^{2}(t / 2)}-\frac{2}{t^{3}}, \\
h^{\prime \prime}(t)= & \frac{6}{t^{4}}-\frac{1}{16} \frac{t^{2} \cosh t+8 \cosh t+4 t \sinh t+3 t^{2}-8}{t^{3} \sinh ^{3}(t / 2)}, \\
h^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)= & -\frac{24}{t^{5}}+\frac{1}{64 t^{4} \sinh ^{4}(t / 2)}\left(6 t^{2} \sinh \frac{3 t}{2}+48 \sinh \frac{3 t}{2}+t^{3} \cosh \frac{3 t}{2}+24 t \cosh \frac{3 t}{2}\right. \\
& \left.+23 t^{3} \cosh \frac{t}{2}-24 t \cosh \frac{t}{2}+30 t^{2} \sinh \frac{t}{2}-144 \sinh \frac{t}{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Simplifying and expanding in power series yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\left(4 t^{3} \sinh ^{2} \frac{t}{2}\right) h^{\prime}(t) & =4 \cosh t-t^{2} \cosh \frac{t}{2}-2 t \sinh \frac{t}{2}-4 \\
& =\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{2^{2 n-2}-n^{2}}{2^{2 n-4}(2 n)!} t^{2 n}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves $h^{\prime}(t)<0$ for $t>0$.
Then $h^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) / h^{\prime}(t)$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{h^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)}{h^{\prime}(t)}= & \frac{96 \sinh ^{4} s-3 s^{3} \sinh 3 s-6 s \sinh 3 s-s^{4} \cosh 3 s-6 s^{2} \cosh 3 s}{16 s^{2}\left(2 \sinh ^{2} s-s \sinh s-s^{2} \cosh s\right) \sinh ^{2} s} \\
& +\frac{-15 s^{3} \sinh s+18 s \sinh s-23 s^{4} \cosh s+6 s^{2} \cosh s}{16 s^{2}\left(2 \sinh ^{2} s-s \sinh s-s^{2} \cosh s\right) \sinh ^{2} s}:=\frac{h_{1}(s)}{h_{2}(s)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $s=2 t$. Using 'product into sum' formula for hyperbolic functions and expanding in power series give

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{1}(s):= & 12 \cosh 4 s-48 \cosh 2 s-3 s^{3} \sinh 3 s-6 s \sinh 3 s-s^{4} \cosh 3 s-6 s^{2} \cosh 3 s \\
& -15 s^{3} \sinh s+18 s \sinh s-23 s^{4} \cosh s+6 s^{2} \cosh s+36 \\
= & 12 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{4^{2 n}}{(2 n)!} s^{2 n}-48 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^{2 n}}{(2 n)!} s^{2 n}-3 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{3^{2 n-3}}{(2 n-3)!} s^{2 n}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-6 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{3^{2 n-1}}{(2 n-1)!} s^{2 n}-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{3^{2 n-4}}{(2 n-4)!} s^{2 n}-6 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{3^{2 n-2}}{(2 n-2)!} s^{2 n}-15 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2 n-3)!} s^{2^{2 n}} \\
&+18 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2 n-1)!} s^{2 n}-23 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2 n-4)!} s^{2 n}+6 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2 n-2)!} s^{2 n} \\
&:=4 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n}}{(2 n)!} s^{2 n},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left.\begin{array}{rl}
a_{n}= & 3
\end{array}\right) 4^{2 n}-12 \times 2^{2 n}-2 n\left(2 n^{3}+3 n^{2}+19 n+30\right) 3^{2 n-4} \\
&-2 n(2 n-3)\left(23 n^{2}-27 n+10\right), \\
& h_{2}(s): 16 s^{2}\left(2 \sinh ^{2} s-s \sinh s-s^{2} \cosh s\right) \sinh ^{2} s \\
&= 4 s^{2}\left(\cosh 4 s-4 \cosh 2 s-s \sinh 3 s-s^{2} \cosh 3 s+s^{2} \cosh s+3 s \sinh s+3\right) \\
&= 4 s^{2}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{4^{2 n}}{(2 n)!} s^{2 n}-4 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{2 n}}{(2 n)!} s^{2 n}-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{3^{2 n-1}}{(2 n-1)!} s^{2 n}-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{3^{2 n-2}}{(2 n-2)!} s^{2 n}\right. \\
&\left.+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2 n-2)!} s^{2 n}+3 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2 n-1)!} s^{2 n}+3\right):=4 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{b_{n}}{(2 n)!} s^{2 n},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
b_{n}=2 n(2 n-1)\left(4^{2 n-2}-2^{2 n}-4 n(n-1) 3^{2 n-4}+4 n(n-1)\right) .
$$

Thus, if we prove the sequence $\left\{a_{n} / b_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 5}$ is increasing then decreasing, then by Lemma 2 we deduce that there is $t_{0}$ such that $h^{\prime \prime} / h$ is increasing on $\left(0, t_{0}\right)$ and decreasing on $\left(t_{0}, \infty\right)$, and the proof is done. To this end, if $b_{n}>0$ for $n \geq 5$, then it suffices to show that there is $n_{0}>5$ such that $d_{n}=a_{n} b_{n+1}-b_{n} a_{n+1} \leq 0$ for $5 \leq n \leq n_{0}$ and $d_{n} \geq 0$ for $n \geq n_{0}$.

Now, it is easy to check that

$$
\frac{b_{n+1}}{2(n+1)(2 n+1)}-16 \frac{b_{n}}{2 n(2 n-1)}=4 n(7 n-25) 3^{2 n-4}+12 \times 2^{2 n}-4 n(15 n-17)>0,
$$

which together with $b_{4}=0$ yields $b_{n}>0$ for $n \geq 5$. On the other hand, by an elementary computation, we obtain

$$
d_{n}=a_{n} b_{n+1}-b_{n} a_{n+1}=\sum_{k=1,2,3,4,6,8,9,12,16} p_{k}(n) k^{2 n}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{16}(n)=6(4 n+1) \\
& p_{12}(n)=-\frac{1}{324} n\left(28 n^{5}+12 n^{4}-1181 n^{3}+9678 n^{2}+3457 n+1830\right) \\
& p_{9}(n)=\frac{64}{243} n^{2}(n+1)\left(n^{3}+8 n^{2}+20 n-2\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{8}(n)=6\left(18 n^{2}-41 n-8\right), \\
& p_{6}(n)=-\frac{4}{81} n\left(20 n^{5}+132 n^{4}+185 n^{3}-678 n^{2}-997 n-822\right), \\
& p_{4}(n)=-\frac{1}{4}\left(1380 n^{6}-1804 n^{5}+989 n^{4}-3134 n^{3}+1327 n^{2}-2118 n-384\right), \\
& p_{3}(n)=\frac{128}{27} n^{2}(n+1)\left(32 n^{5}-32 n^{4}-33 n^{3}+48 n^{2}-50 n+8\right), \\
& p_{2}(n)=4 n\left(276 n^{5}-508 n^{4}-295 n^{3}+106 n^{2}+43 n-150\right), \\
& p_{1}(n)=192 n^{2}(n+1)\left(9 n^{3}-8 n^{2}+2\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

An easy verification yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{5}=-4,007,555,481,600 \\
& d_{6}=-3,910,448,396,574,720 \\
& d_{7}=-1,900,746,298,639,319,040 \\
& d_{8}=-630,125,315,460,849,991,680 \\
& d_{9}=-150,180,694,294,194,463,408,128 \\
& d_{10}=-20,155,436,802,005,011,207,151,616
\end{aligned}
$$

and $d_{11}=3,463,285,943,229,784,738,339,553,280>0$. It remains to show $d_{n}>0$ for $n \geq 11$. To this end, we write $d_{n}$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{n}= & {\left[p_{16}(n) \times 16^{2 n}+p_{12}(n) \times 12^{2 n}\right] } \\
& +\left[p_{9}(n) \times 9^{2 n}+p_{6}(n) \times 6^{2 n}\right]+\left[p_{8}(n) \times 8^{2 n}+p_{4}(n) \times 4^{2 n}\right] \\
& +\left[p_{3}(n) \times 3^{2 n}+p_{2}(n) \times 2^{2 n}+p_{1}(n)\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

and denote the expressions in the square brackets by $d_{n}^{\prime}, d_{n}^{\prime \prime}, d_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ and $d_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}$, respectively. We easily get the recurrence relation of $d_{n}^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{p_{16}(n) d_{n+1}^{\prime}-16^{2} p_{16}(n+1) d_{n}^{\prime}}{12^{2 n}} \\
&= 144 p_{16}(n) p_{12}(n+1)-16^{2} p_{16}(n+1) p_{12}(n) \\
&= \frac{8}{27}\left(784 n^{7}-3724 n^{6}-51,008 n^{5}+328,397 n^{4}+10,762 n^{3}\right. \\
&\left.-1,037,977 n^{2}-650,802 n-124,416\right) \\
&= \frac{8}{27}\left(784 m^{7}+23,716 m^{6}+248,872 m^{5}+1,086,697 m^{4}+1,666,702 m^{3}\right. \\
&\left.+1,160,503 m^{2}+10,500,078 m+20,928,024\right)>0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $m=n-5 \geq 6$. This in combination with $p_{16}(n)>0$ and $d_{11}^{\prime}=2^{45} \times$ $71,481,197,516,733>0$ leads us to $d_{n}^{\prime}>0$ for $n \geq 11$.

Similarly, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{p_{9}(n) d_{n+1}^{\prime \prime}-81 p_{9}(n+1) d_{n}^{\prime \prime}}{6^{2 n}} \\
& \quad=36 p_{9}(n) p_{6}(n+1)-81 p_{9}(n+1) p_{6}(n) \\
& \quad=\frac{256}{2187} n(n+1)^{2}\left(100 n^{9}+1960 n^{8}+15,413 n^{7}+55,819 n^{6}+53,414 n^{5}\right. \\
& \left.\quad-273,428 n^{4}-1,024,655 n^{3}-1,559,511 n^{2}-1,278,612 n-399,492\right)>0
\end{aligned}
$$

for $n \geq 3$. This together with $p_{9}(n)>0$ and $d_{3}^{\prime \prime}=717,610,752>0$ yields $d_{n}^{\prime \prime}>0$ for $n \geq 3$.
Also, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{p_{8}(n) d_{n+1}^{\prime \prime \prime}-64 p_{8}(n+1) d_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}}{4^{2 n}} \\
& \quad=16 p_{8}(n) p_{4}(n+1)-64 p_{8}(n+1) p_{4}(n) \\
& \quad=1,788,480 n^{8}-5,219,424 n^{7}-367,632 n^{6}+8,703,096 n^{5}+13,278,240 n^{4} \\
& \quad+9,974,760 n^{3}-7,438,608 n^{2}+1,718,592 n+423,936,
\end{aligned}
$$

which can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1,788,480 m^{8}+23,396,256 m^{7}+126,870,192 m^{6}+367,098,936 m^{5}+619,910,160 m^{4} \\
& \quad+687,582,120 m^{3}+676,606,944 m^{2}+635,328,864 m+311,091,840>0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $m=n-2 \geq 9$. This in combination with $p_{8}(n)>0$ for $n \geq 3$ and $d_{7}^{\prime \prime \prime}=2^{30} \times$ $6,089,535>0$ indicates that $d_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}>0$ for $n \geq 7$.

As far as $d_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}>0$ for $n \geq 11$, it is clear, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{27}{128 n^{2}(n+1)} p_{3}(n) & =\left(32 n^{5}-32 n^{4}-33 n^{3}+48 n^{2}-50 n+8\right) \\
& =32 m^{5}+288 m^{4}+991 m^{3}+1642 m^{2}+1282 m+348>0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $m=n-2>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{p_{2}(n)}{4 n} & =\left(276 n^{5}-508 n^{4}-295 n^{3}+106 n^{2}+43 n-150\right) \\
& =276 m^{5}+3632 m^{4}+18,449 m^{3}+44,539 m^{2}+49,630 m+18,888>0
\end{aligned}
$$

for $m=n-3>0, p_{1}(n)=192 n^{2}(n+1)\left(9 n^{3}-8 n^{2}+2\right)>0$ for $n \geq 1$. This proves the piecewise monotonicity of $h^{\prime \prime \prime} / h^{\prime}$ on $(0, \infty)$.

It is easy to verify that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{h^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)}{h^{\prime}(t)}=-\frac{31}{98} \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)}{h^{\prime}(t)}=0 .
$$

Solving the equation $\left[h^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) / h^{\prime}(t)\right]^{\prime}=0$ yields $t=t_{0} \approx 10.96011$, which gives $\lambda_{0}=h^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right) /$ $h^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right) \approx 0.051704$.

By the piecewise monotonicity of $h^{\prime \prime \prime} / h^{\prime}$ on $(0, \infty)$, we conclude that

$$
-\frac{7}{120}=\min \left(\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{h^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)}{h^{\prime}(t)}, \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)}{h^{\prime}(t)}\right)<\frac{h^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)}{h^{\prime}(t)}<\frac{h^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right)}{h^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)}=\lambda_{0} \approx 0.051704,
$$

which completes the proof.

We now are in a position to prove Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1 We first prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=-\frac{1}{168} \frac{\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(7+2880 h^{\prime \prime}(t)\right) e^{-x t} d t}{\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\int_{0}^{t} h^{\prime}(s) d s\right) e^{-x t} d t}:=-\frac{1}{168} \frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} A(t) e^{-x t} d t}{\int_{0}^{\infty} B(t) e^{-x t} d t}, \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
A(t)=7+2880 h^{\prime \prime}(t) \quad \text { and } \quad B(t)=\int_{0}^{t} h^{\prime}(s) d s
$$

In fact, by Lemma 5 and identities (3.4) and (3.5), $f(x)$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x) & =-\frac{1}{24 \int_{0}^{\infty} h^{\prime}(t) e^{-x t} d t}-\frac{120}{7} x^{2} \\
& =-\frac{7+2880 x^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} h^{\prime}(t) e^{-x t} d t}{168 \int_{0}^{\infty} h^{\prime}(t) e^{-x t} d t}=-\frac{1}{168} \frac{7 / x+2880 \int_{0}^{\infty} h^{\prime \prime}(t) e^{-x t} d t}{(1 / x) \int_{0}^{\infty} h^{\prime}(t) e^{-x t} d t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Application of the identity

$$
\frac{1}{x^{n}}=\frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{n-1} e^{-x t} d t \quad \text { for } n>0
$$

and Lemma 1 give (3.7).
Now, to prove $f$ is strictly increasing on $(0, \infty)$, it suffices to prove $t \mapsto A(t) / B(t)$ is increasing on $(0, \infty)$ by Lemma 4 . Similar to the proof of Theorem 1 , we easily see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{t \rightarrow 0} A(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0}\left(7+2880 h^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0}\left[7+2880\left(\frac{1}{t^{2}}-\frac{1}{2 t \sinh (t / 2)}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right]=0, \\
& \lim _{t \rightarrow 0} B(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0}\left(\int_{0}^{t} h^{\prime}(s) d s\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

and the function $A^{\prime} / B^{\prime}=2880 h^{\prime \prime \prime} / h^{\prime}$ is increasing on $\left(0, t_{0}\right)$ and decreasing on $\left(t_{0}, \infty\right)$ by Lemma 7. Then by Lemma 3 it is enough to check that $\operatorname{sgn} B^{\prime}(t) \operatorname{sgn} H_{A, B}(\infty)>0$. In fact, $B^{\prime}(t)=h^{\prime}(t)<0$ for $t>0$ in view of Lemma 7, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A^{\prime}(t)}{B^{\prime}(t)}=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2800 h^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)}{h^{\prime}(t)}=0, \\
& \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} B(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{t} h^{\prime}(s) d s=h(\infty)-h\left(0^{+}\right)=-\frac{1}{24}, \\
& \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} A(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left(7+2880 h^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)=7,
\end{aligned}
$$

which imply that

$$
H_{A, B}(t)=\frac{A^{\prime}(t)}{B^{\prime}(t)} B(t)-A(t) \rightarrow-7 \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow \infty .
$$

This indicates $\operatorname{sgn} B^{\prime}(t) \operatorname{sgn} H_{A, B}(\infty)>0$.
Using the asymptotic formula [35, p. 32, (5)]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \Gamma\left(x+\frac{1}{2}\right)=x \ln x-x+\frac{1}{2} \ln (2 \pi)-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(1-2^{1-2 k}\right) B_{2 k}}{2 k(2 k-1)} \frac{1}{x^{2 k-1}} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$, we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x) & \sim \frac{1}{24 x\left(-\frac{1}{24 x}+\frac{7}{2880 x^{3}}-\frac{31}{40,320 x^{5}}\right)+1}-\frac{120}{7} x^{2} \\
& =\frac{3720}{7} \frac{x^{2}}{98 x^{2}-31} \rightarrow \frac{1860}{343} \quad \text { as } x \rightarrow \infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

While $f\left(0^{+}\right)=1$ is clear. This completes the proof.

## 4 Concluding remarks

Remark 3 In this paper, we investigate the monotonicity of the function $f(x)$. In general, it is difficult to deal with such monotonicity since the gamma function $\Gamma$ occurs in denominator. However, by the aid of Lemma $5, f(x)$ is equivalently changed into the ratio of two Laplace transformations of $A(x)$ and $B(x)$. While Lemma 4 provides exactly an approach to confirm the monotonicity of such ratio. Undoubtedly, it is a novel idea.

Moreover, it is known that Laplace transformation is related to the completely monotonic function. A function $f$ is said to be completely monotonic on an interval $I$ if $f$ has derivatives of all orders on $I$ and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{n} f^{(n)}(x) \geq 0 \quad \text { for all } x \in I \text { and } n=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If inequality (4.1) is strict, then $f$ is said to be strictly completely monotonic on $I$. The classical Bernstein's theorem $[36,37]$ states that a function $f$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if it is a Laplace transform of some nonnegative measure $\mu$, that is,

$$
f(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x t} d \mu(t)
$$

where $\mu(t)$ is non-decreasing and the integral converges for $0<x<\infty$.

Remark 4 Let $\alpha>\beta$. If $B(t)>0$ for $t>0$ and

$$
\beta<\frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} A(t) e^{-x t} d t}{\int_{0}^{\infty} B(t) e^{-x t} d t}<\alpha
$$

then, by Bernstein's theorem, both the functions

$$
x \mapsto \int_{0}^{\infty}[A(t)-\beta B(t)] e^{-x t} d t \quad \text { and } \quad x \mapsto \int_{0}^{\infty}[\alpha B(t)-A(t)] e^{-x t} d t
$$

are completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$. And then, by Theorem 1 , we immediately get the following.

## Proposition 1 Both the functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{1}(x)=2880\left(x^{2}+\frac{31}{98}\right)\left[\ln \Gamma(x+1 / 2)-x \ln x+x-\frac{1}{2} \ln (2 \pi)\right]+120 x+\frac{1517}{49 x} \\
& g_{2}(x)=-\left(x^{2}+\frac{7}{120}\right)\left[\ln \Gamma(x+1 / 2)-x \ln x+x-\frac{1}{2} \ln (2 \pi)\right]-\frac{1}{24} x
\end{aligned}
$$

are completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.

Furthermore, by Bernstein's theorem and Lemma 7, Proposition 1 can be improved as follows.

## Theorem 2 The function

$$
g(x)=24 x\left(\frac{120}{7} x^{2}+a\right)[\ln \Gamma(x+1 / 2)-x \ln x+x-\ln \sqrt{2 \pi}]+\frac{120}{7} x^{2}+a-1
$$

is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $a \geq 1860 / 343$, and so is $-g(x)$ on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $a \leq-120 \lambda_{0} / 7 \approx-0.88635$, where $\lambda_{0}$ is defined in Lemma 7 .

Proof By Lemma 5 and identities (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6), $g(x)$ can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(x) & =-24\left(\frac{120}{7} x^{2}+a\right)\left(\frac{1}{24}+\int_{0}^{\infty} h^{\prime}(t) e^{-x t} d t\right)+\frac{120}{7} x^{2}+a-1 \\
& =-1-\frac{2880}{7} x^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} h^{\prime}(t) e^{-x t} d t-24 a \int_{0}^{\infty} h^{\prime}(t) e^{-x t} d t \\
& =-24 \int_{0}^{\infty}\left[a-\left(-\frac{120}{7} \frac{h^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)}{h^{\prime}(t)}\right)\right] h^{\prime}(t) e^{-x t} d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $h^{\prime}(t)<0$ for $t>0$, by Bernstein's theorem and Lemma 7, $g$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if

$$
a \geq \frac{120}{7} \sup _{t \in(0, \infty)}\left(-\frac{h^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)}{h^{\prime}(t)}\right)=-\frac{120}{7} \inf _{t \in(0, \infty)} \frac{h^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)}{h^{\prime}(t)}=-\frac{120}{7}\left(-\frac{31}{98}\right)=\frac{1860}{343},
$$

and so is $-g$ on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if

$$
a \leq \frac{120}{7} \inf _{t \in(0, \infty)}\left(-\frac{h^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)}{h^{\prime}(t)}\right)=-\frac{120}{7} \sup _{t \in(0, \infty)} \frac{h^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)}{h^{\prime}(t)}=-\frac{120}{7} \lambda_{0} \approx-0.88635
$$

This ends the proof.

Remark 5 The expression of $f(x)$ reminds us to consider the asymptotic expansion of

$$
\frac{1}{24 x(\ln \Gamma(x+1 / 2)-x \ln x+x-\ln \sqrt{2 \pi})+1}:=x^{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_{n}}{x^{2 n}} .
$$

Using asymptotic expansion (3.8), we have

$$
-\left(24 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\left(1-2^{1-2 n}\right) B_{2 n}}{2 n(2 n-1)} \frac{1}{x^{2 n-2}}\right)\left(x^{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{n} x^{-2 n}\right)=1
$$

that is,

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\left(1-2^{-2 k-3}\right) B_{2 k+4}}{2(k+2)(2 k+3)} c_{n-k}\right) \frac{1}{x^{2 n}}=-\frac{1}{24} .
$$

Comparing coefficients gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{7}{2880} c_{0}=-\frac{1}{24}, \\
& \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\left(1-2^{-2 k-3}\right) B_{2 k+4}}{2(k+2)(2 k+3)} c_{n-k}=0 \quad \text { for } n \geq 1,
\end{aligned}
$$

which show that $c_{n}$ has the recurrence formula

$$
c_{n}=\frac{2880}{7} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\left(1-2^{-2 k-3}\right) B_{2 k+4}}{2(k+2)(2 k+3)} c_{n-k} \quad \text { and } \quad c_{0}=\frac{120}{7},
$$

from which we obtain a new asymptotic expansion for the gamma function:

$$
\frac{\Gamma(x+1 / 2)}{\sqrt{2 \pi}(x / e)^{x}} \sim \exp \left(-\frac{1}{24 x}+\frac{1}{24 x^{3}} \frac{1}{\frac{120}{7}+\frac{1860}{343} x^{-2}+\cdots+c_{n} x^{-2 n}+\cdots}\right) \quad \text { as } x \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Moreover, it is easy to prove the inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
\exp \left(-\frac{1}{1440} \frac{5880 x^{2}+1517}{x\left(98 x^{2}+31\right)}\right) & =\exp \left(-\frac{1}{24 x}+\frac{1}{24 x^{3}} \frac{1}{\frac{120}{7}+\frac{1860}{343} x^{-2}}\right) \\
& <\frac{\Gamma(x+1 / 2)}{\sqrt{2 \pi}(x / e)^{x}}<\exp \left(-\frac{1}{24 x}+\frac{1}{24 x^{3}} \frac{1}{\frac{120}{7}}\right) \\
& =\exp \left(-\frac{120 x^{2}-7}{2880 x^{3}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

hold for $x \geq 1 / 2$.
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