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#### Abstract

Let $G$ be a connected graph. The degree of a vertex $x$ of $G$, denoted by $d_{G}(x)$, is the number of edges adjacent to $x$. The general sum-connectivity index is the sum of the weights $\left(d_{G}(x)+d_{G}(y)\right)^{\alpha}$ for all edges $x y$ of $G$, where $\alpha$ is a real number. The general Randić index is the sum of weights of $\left(d_{G}(x) d_{G}(y)\right)^{\alpha}$ for all edges $x y$ of $G$, where $\alpha$ is a real number. The graph $G$ is a cactus if each block of $G$ is either a cycle or an edge. In this paper, we find sharp lower bounds on the general sum-connectivity index and general Randić index of cacti.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $G$ be a finite molecular graph of order $n$ and size $m$ with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. The degree of a vertex $x \in V(G)$, denoted by $d_{G}(x)$, is the number of edges adjacent to $x$. A vertex with degree 1 is called a pendent vertex. The minimum and maximum degrees of $G$ are respectively defined by $\triangle_{G}=\max \left\{d_{G}(x): x \in V(G)\right\}$ and $\delta_{G}=\min \left\{d_{G}(x):\right.$ $x \in V(G)\}$. The set of neighboring vertices of a vertex $x$ is denoted by $N_{G}(x)$. The graph obtained by deleting a vertex $x \in V(G)$ is denoted by $G-x$. The graph obtained from $G$ by adding an edge $x y$ between two nonadjacent vertices $x, y \in V(G)$ is denoted by $G+x y$.

Historically, the first degree based topological indices are the Zagreb indices, introduced by Gutman and Trinajestić [1]. The first Zagreb index $M_{1}(G)$ and the second Zagreb index $M_{2}(G)$ are defined as

$$
M_{1}(G)=\sum_{x \in V(G)}\left(d_{G}(x)\right)^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad M_{2}(G)=\sum_{x y \in E(G)} d_{G}(x) d_{G}(y) .
$$

The general Randić index (or product-connectivity index) was proposed by Bollobás and Erdős [2] and is defined as follows:

$$
R_{\alpha}(G)=\sum_{x y \in E(G)}\left(d_{G}(x) d_{G}(y)\right)^{\alpha},
$$

where $\alpha$ is a real number. Then $R_{-1 / 2}(G)=\sum_{x y \in E(G)}\left(d_{G}(x) d_{G}(y)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is called the Randić index, which was defined by Randić [3], and $R_{1}$ is the second Zagreb index. The Randić index is the most studied, most applied, and most important index among all topologi-
cal indices. Recently, Zhou and Trinajstić [4] modified the concept of Randić index and obtained a new index, called the general sum-connectivity index and defined as follows:

$$
\chi_{\alpha}(G)=\sum_{x y \in E(G)}\left(d_{G}(x)+d_{G}(y)\right)^{\alpha}
$$

where $\alpha$ is a real number. Then $\chi_{-1 / 2}(G)=\sum_{x y \in E(G)}\left(d_{G}(x)+d_{G}(y)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is the sum-connectivity index defined by Zhou and Trinajstić [5], and if $\alpha=1$, then the general sumconnectivity index becomes the first Zagreb index.
A connected graph $G$ is a cactus if each block of $G$ is either a cycle or an edge. Let $X(n, t)$ be the set of cacti of order $n$ with $t$ cycles. Obviously, $X(n, 0)$ is the set of trees of order $n$, and $X(n, 1)$ is the set of unicyclic graphs of order $n$. Denote by $X^{0}(n, t)$ the $n$-vertex cactus consisting of $t$ triangles and $n-2 t-1$ pendent edges such that triangles and pendent edges have exactly one vertex in common.
Lin et al. [6] discuss the sharp lower bounds of the Randić index of cacti with $r$ pendent vertices. Dong and Wu [7] calculated the sharp bounds on the atom-bond connectivity index in the set of cacti with $t$ cycles and also in the set of cacti with $r$ pendent vertices, for all positive integral values of $t$ and $r$. Li [8] determined the unique cactus with maximum atom-bond connectivity index among cacti with $n$ vertices and $t$ cycles, where $0 \leq t \leq$ $\left\lfloor\frac{n-1}{2}\right\rfloor$, and also among $n$ vertices and $r$ pendent vertices, where $0 \leq r \leq n-1$. Ma and Deng [9] calculated the sharp lower bounds of the sum-connectivity index in the set of cacti of order $n$ with $t$ cycles and also in the set of cacti of order $2 n$ with perfect matching. Lu et al. [10] gave the sharp lower bound on the Randić index of cacti with $t$ number of cycles.
The present paper is motivated by the results of papers [9,10]. The goal of this paper is to compute the sharp lower bounds for the general sum-connectivity index and the general Randić index of cacti with fixed number of cycles.

## 2 General sum-connectivity index

In this section, we find sharp lower bound for the general sum-connectivity index of cacti. Let

$$
F(n, t)=2 t(n+1)^{\alpha}+(n-2 t-1) n^{\alpha}+4^{\alpha} t,
$$

where $n \geq 3$ and $t \geq 0$. First, we give some lemmas that will be used in the main result.
Lemma 2.1 ([4]) Let $n \geq 5$ be a positive integer, $\alpha<0$ be a real number, and $G \in X(n, 0)$. Then

$$
\chi_{\alpha}(G) \geq(n-1) n^{\alpha} .
$$

Equality holds if and only if $G \cong X^{0}(n, 0)$.
Lemma 2.2 ([11]) Let $n \geq 5$ be a positive integer, $-1 \leq \alpha<0$ be a real number, and $G \in$ $X(n, 1)$. Then

$$
\chi_{\alpha}(G) \geq 2(n+1)^{\alpha}+(n-3) n^{\alpha}+4^{\alpha} .
$$

Equality holds if and only if $G \cong X^{0}(n, 1)$.

Lemma 2.3 Let $x, k$ be positive integers with $x \geq k \geq 1$, and $\alpha<0$ be a real number. Define

$$
f(x)=x^{\alpha}-(x-k)^{\alpha} .
$$

Then $f(x)$ is an increasing function.

Proof It is easily seen that $f^{\prime}(x)=\alpha\left(x^{\alpha-1}-(x-k)^{\alpha-1}\right)>0$ for $\alpha<0$. Therefore, $f(x)$ is an increasing function.

Lemma 2.4 Let $x, k$ be positive integers with $x \geq k \geq 1$, and $-1 \leq \alpha<0$ be a real number.
Define

$$
f(x)=k(x+1)^{\alpha}+(x-k)(x+2)^{\alpha}-(x-k)(x+2-k)^{\alpha} .
$$

Then $f(x)$ is a decreasing function.
Proof Let $g(x)=k(x+1)^{\alpha}-k(x+2)^{\alpha}$ for $x \geq k \geq 1$. We get $g^{\prime}(x)=\alpha k\left((x+1)^{\alpha-1}-(x+2)^{\alpha-1}\right)<$ 0 . So, $g(x)$ is a decreasing function.
Let $h(x)=x(x+2)^{\alpha}$ for $x \geq k \geq 1$. Then $h^{\prime \prime}(x)=\alpha((\alpha+1) x+4)(x+2)^{\alpha-2}<0$ for $-1 \leq \alpha<0$. Hence, $h(x)-h(x-k)$ is a decreasing function. Note that $f(x)=g(x)+(h(x)-h(x-k))$. Thus, $f(x)$ is a decreasing function.

Lemma 2.5 Let $x, k$ be positive integers with $x \geq k \geq 1$, and $-1 \leq \alpha<0$ be a real number.
Define

$$
f(x)=x(x+2)^{\alpha}-(x-2) x^{\alpha} .
$$

Then $f(x)$ is a decreasing function.
Proof Let $h(x)=x(x+2)^{\alpha}$ for $x \geq k \geq 1$. Then $h^{\prime \prime}(x)=\alpha((\alpha+1) x+4)(x+2)^{\alpha-2}<0$ for $-1 \leq \alpha<0$. But $f(x)=h(x)-h(x-2)$. Thus, $f(x)$ is a decreasing function.

Theorem 2.1 Let $-1 \leq \alpha<0$ be a real number, $n \geq 3$ be a positive integer, and $G \in X(n, t)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{\alpha}(G) \geq F(n, t) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality holds if and only if $G \cong X^{0}(n, t)$.

Proof We use mathematical induction on $n$ and $t$. If $t=0$ or $t=1$, then Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 give inequality (2.1). If $n=5$ and $t=2$, then there is only one possibility that $X^{0}(5,2)$ is a graph with two cycles that have only one common vertex (see Figure 1) and inequality (2.1) holds. If $n \geq 6$ and $t \geq 2$, then we will discuss the following two cases.

Case 1: $G \in X(n, t)$ has at least one pendent vertex.
Let $x \in V(G)$ be a pendent vertex and adjacent with vertex $y$ of degree $d$, where $2 \leq$ $d \leq n-1$. The set of neighbors of $y$ in $G$ is $N_{G}(y) \backslash\{x\}=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, \ldots, u_{d-1}\right\}$. Without lost of generality, we assume that $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, \ldots, u_{k-1}$ are pendent vertices and $u_{k}, u_{k+1}, \ldots, u_{d-1}$

Figure $1 X^{0}(5,2)$.

are nonpendent vertices, where $k \geq 1$. If $k=1$, then $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, \ldots, u_{d-1}$ are nonpendent vertices. Obviously, we have $t \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n-k-1}{2}\right\rfloor$. If $H$ is a graph obtained by deleting vertices $x, u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{k-1}$ from $G$, that is, $H=G-x-u_{1}-u_{2}-\cdots-u_{k-1}$, then $H \in X(n-k, t)$. By inductive assumption and using Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi_{\alpha}(G)= & \chi_{\alpha}(H)+k(d+1)^{\alpha}+\sum_{i=k}^{d-1}\left(\left(d+d_{G}\left(u_{i}\right)\right)^{\alpha}-\left(d-k+d_{G}\left(u_{i}\right)\right)^{\alpha}\right) \\
\geq & F(n-k, t)+k(d+1)^{\alpha}+\sum_{i=k}^{d-1}\left(\left(d+d_{G}\left(u_{i}\right)\right)^{\alpha}-\left(d-k+d_{G}\left(u_{i}\right)\right)^{\alpha}\right) \\
= & F(n, t)+2 t(n-k+1)^{\alpha}-2 t(n+1)^{\alpha}+(n-k-2 t-1)(n-k)^{\alpha}-(n-2 t-1) n^{\alpha} \\
& +k(d+1)^{\alpha}+\sum_{i=k}^{d-1}\left(\left(d+d_{G}\left(u_{i}\right)\right)^{\alpha}-\left(d-k+d_{G}\left(u_{i}\right)\right)^{\alpha}\right) \\
\geq & F(n, t)+2 t(n-k+1)^{\alpha}-2 t(n+1)^{\alpha}+(n-k-2 t-1)(n-k)^{\alpha}-(n-2 t-1) n^{\alpha} \\
& +k(d+1)^{\alpha}+(d-k)\left((d+2)^{\alpha}-(d-k+2)^{\alpha}\right) \\
\geq & F(n, t)+2 t(n-k+1)^{\alpha}-2 t(n+1)^{\alpha}+(n-k-2 t-1)(n-k)^{\alpha}-(n-2 t-1) n^{\alpha} \\
& +k n^{\alpha}+(n-1-k)(n+1)^{\alpha}-(n-1-k)(n+1-k)^{\alpha} \\
= & F(n, t)+(n-1-k-2 t)\left((n+1)^{\alpha}-(n-k+1)^{\alpha}-n^{\alpha}+(n-k)^{\alpha}\right) \\
\geq & F(n, t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The equality holds if and only if $d=n-1,2 t=n-k-1$, and $H \cong X^{0}(n-k, t)$. Therefore, we have that $\chi_{\alpha}(G)=F(n, t)$ if and only if $G \cong X^{0}(n, t)$.
Case 2: $G \in X(n, t)$ has no pendent vertex. Then we consider edges $x_{0} x_{1}, x_{0} x_{2} \in E(G)$ such that $x_{0}$ and $x_{1}$ have degree 2 and $x_{2}$ has degree $d$, where $d \geq 3$. Now there arise two subcases.

Subcase 2.1: $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are nonadjacent vertices in $G$. Then we construct a new graph $H$ by deleting a vertex $x_{0}$ and adding an edge between $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$, that is, $H=G-x_{0}+x_{1} x_{2} \in$ $X(n-1, t)$. Then by inductive assumption we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi_{\alpha}(G) & =\chi_{\alpha}(H)+(d+2)^{\alpha}+4^{\alpha}-(d+2)^{\alpha} \\
& \geq F(n-1, t)+4^{\alpha} \\
& =F(n, t)+2 t n^{\alpha}-2 t(n+1)^{\alpha}+(n-2 t-2)(n-1)^{\alpha}-(n-2 t-1) n^{\alpha}+4^{\alpha} \\
& =F(n, t)+2 t\left(n^{\alpha}-(n-1)^{\alpha}\right)+(n-2 t-2)\left((n-1)^{\alpha}-n^{\alpha}\right)+4^{\alpha}-n^{\alpha} \\
& >F(n, t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last inequality holds if $n \geq 7$. If $n=6$, then $t \leq 2$, and we easily show the inequality.

Subcase 2.2: $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are adjacent in $G$. Then we construct a new graph $H$ by deleting two vertices $x_{0}$ and $x_{1}$, that is, $H=G-x_{0}-x_{1} \in X(n-2, t-1)$. Let the set of neighbors of $x_{2}$ be $N_{G}\left(x_{2}\right) \backslash\left\{x_{0}, x_{1}\right\}=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{d-2}\right\}$, where all $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{d-2}$ are nonpendent vertices. By inductive assumption and using Lemmas 2.3 and 2.5, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi_{\alpha}(G)= & \chi_{\alpha}(H)+4^{\alpha}+2(d+2)^{\alpha}+\sum_{i=1}^{d-2}\left(\left(d+d_{G}\left(u_{i}\right)\right)^{\alpha}-\left(d-2+d_{G}\left(u_{i}\right)\right)^{\alpha}\right) \\
\geq & F(n-2, t-1)+4^{\alpha}+2(d+2)^{\alpha}+\sum_{i=1}^{d-2}\left(\left(d+d_{G}\left(u_{i}\right)\right)^{\alpha}-\left(d-2+d_{G}\left(u_{i}\right)\right)^{\alpha}\right) \\
= & F(n, t)+2(t-1)(n-1)^{\alpha}-2 t(n+1)^{\alpha}+(n-2 t-1)(n-2)^{\alpha}-(n-2 t-1) n^{\alpha} \\
& +(t-1) 4^{\alpha}-t 4^{\alpha}+4^{\alpha}+2(d+2)^{\alpha}+\sum_{i=1}^{d-2}\left(\left(d+d_{G}\left(u_{i}\right)\right)^{\alpha}-\left(d-2+d_{G}\left(u_{i}\right)\right)^{\alpha}\right) \\
= & F(n, t)+2(t-1)(n-1)^{\alpha}-2 t(n+1)^{\alpha}+(n-2 t-1)(n-2)^{\alpha}-(n-2 t-1) n^{\alpha} \\
& +(t-1) 4^{\alpha}-t 4^{\alpha}+4^{\alpha}+2(d+2)^{\alpha}+(d-2)\left((d+2)^{\alpha}-d^{\alpha}\right) \\
= & F(n, t)+2(t-1)(n-1)^{\alpha}-2 t(n+1)^{\alpha}+(n-2 t-1)(n-2)^{\alpha}-(n-2 t-1) n^{\alpha} \\
& +d(d+2)^{\alpha}-(d-2) d^{\alpha} \\
= & F(n, t)+2(t-1)(n-1)^{\alpha}-2 t(n+1)^{\alpha}+(n-2 t-1)(n-2)^{\alpha}-(n-2 t-1) n^{\alpha} \\
& +(n-1)(n+1)^{\alpha}-(n-3)(n-1)^{\alpha} \\
= & F(n, t)+(n-1-2 t)\left((n+1)^{\alpha}-(n-1)^{\alpha}+(n-2)^{\alpha}-n^{\alpha}\right) \\
\geq & F(n, t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The equality holds if and only if $d=n-1,2 t=n-1$, and $H \cong X^{0}(n-2, t-1)$. Therefore, we have $\chi_{\alpha}(G)=F(n, t)$ with equality if and only if $G \cong X^{0}(n, t)$.

## 3 General Randić index

In this section, we find sharp lower bound for the general Randić index of cacti. Let

$$
F_{1}(n, t)=2^{\alpha+1} t(n-1)+t 4^{\alpha}+(n-1-2 t)(n-1)^{\alpha},
$$

where $n \geq 3$ and $t \geq 0$. First, we give some lemmas that will be helpful in the proof of the main result.

Lemma 3.1 ([12]) Let $n \geq 5$ be a positive integer, $\alpha<0$ be a real number, and $G \in X(n, 0)$. Then

$$
R_{\alpha}(G) \geq(n-1)^{\alpha+1}
$$

Equality holds if and only if $G \cong X^{0}(n, 0)$.

Lemma 3.2 ([13]) Let $n \geq 5$ be a positive integer, $-1 \leq \alpha<0$ be a real number, and $G \in$ $X(n, 1)$. Then

$$
R_{\alpha}(G) \geq(n-3)(n-1)^{\alpha}+2^{\alpha}(n-1)^{\alpha}+4^{\alpha} .
$$

Equality holds if and only if $G \cong X^{0}(n, 1)$.
Lemma 3.3 Let $x, d, k$ be positive integers with $d \geq k \geq 1, x \geq 2$, and $\alpha<0$ be a real number. Define

$$
f(x)=x^{\alpha}\left(d^{\alpha}-(d-k)^{\alpha}\right)
$$

Then $f(x)$ is an increasing function.

Proof It is easily seen that $f^{\prime}(x)=\alpha x^{\alpha-1}\left(d^{\alpha}-(d-k)^{\alpha}\right)>0$ for $\alpha<0$. Therefore, $f(x)$ is an increasing function.

Lemma 3.4 Let $x, k$ be positive integers with $x \geq k \geq 1$, and $-1 \leq \alpha<0$ be a real number. Define

$$
f(x)=k x^{\alpha}+2^{\alpha}(x-k) x^{\alpha}-2^{\alpha}(x-k)^{\alpha+1} .
$$

Then $f(x)$ is a decreasing function.
Proof Let $g(x)=k x^{\alpha}\left(1-2^{\alpha}\right)$ for $x \geq k \geq 1$. We get $g^{\prime}(x)=\alpha k x^{\alpha-1}\left(1-2^{\alpha}\right)<0$. So, $g(x)$ is a decreasing function.
Let $h(x)=2^{\alpha} x^{\alpha+1}$ for $x \geq k \geq 1$. Then $h^{\prime \prime}(x)=2^{\alpha}(\alpha+1) x^{\alpha-1}<0$ for $-1 \leq \alpha<0$. Hence, $h(x)-h(x-k)$ is a decreasing function. Note that $f(x)=g(x)+(h(x)-h(x-k))$. Thus, $f(x)$ is a decreasing function.

Lemma 3.5 Let $x, k$ be positive integers with $x \geq k \geq 1$, and $-1 \leq \alpha<0$ be areal number. Let

$$
f(x)=x^{\alpha+1}-(x-2)^{\alpha+1} .
$$

Then $f(x)$ is a decreasing function.

Proof Let $h(x)=x^{\alpha+1}$ for $x \geq k \geq 1$. Then $h^{\prime \prime}(x)=\alpha(\alpha+1) x^{\alpha-1}<0$ for $-1 \leq \alpha<0$. But $f(x)=h(x)-h(x-2)$. Thus $f(x)$ is a decreasing function.

Theorem 3.1 Let $-1 \leq \alpha<0$ be a real number, $n \geq 3$ be a positive integer, and $G \in X(n, t)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\alpha}(G) \geq F_{1}(n, t) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality holds if and only if $G \cong X^{0}(n, t)$.

Proof We use mathematical induction on $n$ and $t$. If $t=0$ or $t=1$, then inequality (3.1) holds by Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, respectively. If $n=5$ and $t=2$, then there is only one graph $X^{0}(5,2)$, and the result for $X^{0}(5,2)$ is trivial. Now if $n \geq 6$ and $t \geq 2$, then we will consider the following two cases.

Case 1: $G \in X(n, t)$ has at least one pendent vertex.
Let $x$ be a vertex that is adjacent only with vertex $y$. Then $y$ has degree $d$ with $2 \leq d \leq$ $n-1$. The set of neighbors of $y$ in $G$ is $N_{G}(y) \backslash\{x\}=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, \ldots, u_{d-1}\right\}$. Without lost of generality, we assume that $d_{G}\left(u_{j}\right) \geq 2$ for $k \leq j \leq d-1$, where $k \geq 1$, and the remaining neighboring vertices of $y$ are pendent vertices. If $k=1$, then $G$ has no pendent vertex. Obviously, we have $t \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n-k-1}{2}\right\rfloor$. If $H$ is a new graph obtained by deleting vertices $x, u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{k-1}$, that is, $H=G-x-u_{1}-u_{2}-\cdots-u_{k-1}$, then $H \in X(n-k, t)$. By inductive assumption and using Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{\alpha}(G)= & R_{\alpha}(H)+k d^{\alpha}+\sum_{j=k}^{d-1}\left(\left(d d_{G}\left(u_{j}\right)\right)^{\alpha}-(d-k)^{\alpha} d_{G}\left(u_{j}\right)^{\alpha}\right) \\
\geq & F_{1}(n-k, t)+k d^{\alpha}+\sum_{j=k}^{d-1}\left(\left(d d_{G}\left(u_{j}\right)\right)^{\alpha}-(d-k)^{\alpha} d_{G}\left(u_{j}\right)^{\alpha}\right) \\
= & F_{1}(n, t)+2^{\alpha+1} t(n-k+1)^{\alpha}-2^{\alpha+1} t(n-1)^{\alpha}+(n-k-2 t-1)(n-k-1)^{\alpha} \\
& -(n-2 t-1)(n-1)^{\alpha}+k d^{\alpha}+\sum_{j=k}^{d-1}\left(\left(d d_{G}\left(u_{j}\right)\right)^{\alpha}-(d-k)^{\alpha} d_{G}\left(u_{j}\right)^{\alpha}\right) \\
\geq & F_{1}(n, t)+2^{\alpha+1} t(n-k+1)^{\alpha}-2^{\alpha+1} t(n-1)^{\alpha}+(n-k-2 t-1)(n-k-1)^{\alpha} \\
& -(n-2 t-1)(n-1)^{\alpha}+k d^{\alpha}+(d-k)\left((2 d)^{\alpha}-2^{\alpha}(d-k)^{\alpha}\right) \\
\geq & F_{1}(n, t)+2^{\alpha+1} t(n-k+1)^{\alpha}-2^{\alpha+1} t(n-1)^{\alpha}+(n-k-2 t-1)(n-k-1)^{\alpha} \\
& -(n-2 t-1)(n-1)^{\alpha}+k(n-1)^{\alpha}+2^{\alpha}(n-1-k)(n-1)^{\alpha}-2^{\alpha}(n+1-k)^{\alpha+1} \\
= & F_{1}(n, t)+(n-1-k-2 t)\left(1-2^{\alpha}\right)\left((n-k-1)^{\alpha}-(n-1)^{\alpha}\right) \\
\geq & F_{1}(n, t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The equality holds if and only if $H \cong X^{0}(n-k, t), d=n-1$, and $2 t=n-k-1$. Therefore, we have that $R_{\alpha}(G)=F_{1}(n, t)$ if and only if $G \cong X^{0}(n, t)$.

Case 2: If $G$ has no pendent vertex, then we consider $x_{0} x_{1}, x_{0} x_{2}$ edges of a cycle such that $x_{0}$ and $x_{1}$ are vertices of degree two and $d_{G}\left(x_{2}\right)=d$, where $d \geq 3$. Next, we discuss this in the following two subcases.

Subcase 2.1: If $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are nonadjacent vertices in $G$, then $H$ is a new graph obtained by deleting $x_{0}$ and adding an edge $x_{1} x_{2}$, that is, $H=G-x_{0}+x_{1} x_{2} \in X(n-1, t)$. Therefore, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{\alpha}(G)= & R_{\alpha}(H)+(2 d)^{\alpha}+4^{\alpha}-(2 d)^{\alpha} \\
\geq & F_{1}(n-1, t)+4^{\alpha} \\
= & F_{1}(n, t)+2^{\alpha+1} t(n-2)^{\alpha}-2^{\alpha+1} t(n-1)^{\alpha}+(n-2 t-2)(n-2)^{\alpha} \\
& -(n-2 t-1)(n-1)^{\alpha}+4^{\alpha} \\
= & F_{1}(n, t)+2^{\alpha+1} t\left((n-2)^{\alpha}-(n-1)^{\alpha}\right)+(n-2 t-2)\left((n-1)^{\alpha}-n^{\alpha}\right) \\
& +4^{\alpha}-(n-1)^{\alpha} \\
> & F_{1}(n, t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last inequality holds if $n \geq 7$. If $n=6$, then $t \leq 2$, and we easily show the inequality.

Subcase 2.2: If $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are connected by an edge, then $H=G-x_{0}-x_{1} \in X(n-2, t-1)$. Let the set of neighbors of $x_{2}$ be $N_{G}\left(x_{2}\right) \backslash\left\{x_{0}, x_{1}\right\}=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{d-2}\right\}$, where $u_{j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq$ $d-2$ are nonpendent vertices. By inductive assumption and using Lemmas 3.3 and 3.5, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{\alpha}(G)= & R_{\alpha}(H)+4^{\alpha}+2^{\alpha+1} d^{\alpha}+\sum_{j=1}^{d-2}\left(\left(d d_{G}\left(u_{j}\right)\right)^{\alpha}-(d-2)^{\alpha} d_{G}\left(u_{j}\right)^{\alpha}\right) \\
\geq & F_{1}(n-2, t-1)+4^{\alpha}+2^{\alpha+1} d^{\alpha}+\sum_{j=1}^{d-2}\left(\left(d d_{G}\left(u_{j}\right)\right)^{\alpha}-(d-2)^{\alpha} d_{G}\left(u_{j}\right)^{\alpha}\right) \\
= & F_{1}(n, t)+2^{\alpha+1}(t-1)(n-3)^{\alpha}-2^{\alpha+1} t(n-1)^{\alpha}+(n-2 t-1)(n-3)^{\alpha} \\
& -(n-2 t-1)(n-1)^{\alpha}+(t-1) 4^{\alpha}-t 4^{\alpha}+4^{\alpha}+2^{\alpha+1} d^{\alpha} \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{d-2}\left(\left(d d_{G}\left(u_{j}\right)\right)^{\alpha}-(d-2)^{\alpha}+d_{G}\left(u_{j}\right)^{\alpha}\right) \\
= & F_{1}(n, t) 2^{\alpha+1}(t-1)(n-3)^{\alpha}-2^{\alpha+1} t(n-1)^{\alpha}+(n-2 t-1)(n-3)^{\alpha} \\
& -(n-2 t-1)(n-1)^{\alpha}+(t-1) 4^{\alpha}-t 4^{\alpha}+4^{\alpha}+2^{\alpha+1} d^{\alpha} \\
& +2^{\alpha}(d-2)\left(d^{\alpha}-(d-2)^{\alpha}\right) \\
= & F_{1}(n, t)+2^{\alpha+1}(t-1)(n-3)^{\alpha}-2^{\alpha+1} t(n-1)^{\alpha}+(n-2 t-1)(n-3)^{\alpha} \\
& -(n-2 t-1)(n-1)^{\alpha}+2^{\alpha} d^{\alpha+1}-2^{\alpha}(d-2)^{\alpha+1} \\
= & F_{1}(n, t)+2^{\alpha+1}(t-1)(n-3)^{\alpha}-2^{\alpha+1} t(n-1)^{\alpha}+(n-2 t-1)(n-3)^{\alpha} \\
& -(n-2 t-1)(n-1)^{\alpha}+2^{\alpha}(n-1)^{\alpha}-2^{\alpha}(n-3)^{\alpha} \\
= & F_{1}(n, t)+(n-1-2 t)\left(1-2^{\alpha}\right)\left((n-3)^{\alpha}-(n-1)^{\alpha}\right) \\
\geq & F_{1}(n, t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The equality holds if and only if $H \cong X^{0}(n-2, t-1), d=n-1$, and $2 t=n-1$. Therefore, we have that $R_{\alpha}(G)=F_{1}(n, t)$ if and only if $G \cong X^{0}(n, t)$.

## 4 Conclusion

In this paper, we determined the sharp lower bounds for the general sum-connectivity index and the general Randić index of cacti with fixed number of cycles for $-1 \leq \alpha<0$. The general sum-connectivity index and general Randić index of cacti for other values of $\alpha$ remains an open problem. Moreover, some topological indices and polynomials are still unknown for cacti with fixed number of cycles and fixed number of pendent vertices.
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