RESEARCH



CrossMark

Some trace inequalities for matrix means

Limin Zou^{*} and Yang Peng

*Correspondence: limin-zou@163.com School of Mathematics and Statistics, Chongqing Three Gorges University, Chongqing, 404100, P.R. China

Abstract

In this short note, we present some trace inequalities for matrix means. Our results are generalizations of the ones shown by Bhatia, Lim, and Yamazaki.

MSC: 47A63

Keywords: positive definite matrices; matrix means; trace inequalities

1 Introduction

Let M_n be the space of $n \times n$ complex matrices. Let $A, B \in M_n$ be positive definite, the weighted geometric mean of A and B, denoted by A#B, is defined as

$$A\#_t B = A^{1/2} \left(A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2} \right)^t A^{1/2}$$

When $t = \frac{1}{2}$, this is the geometric mean, denoted by A#B. For $A \in M_n$, we denote the vector of eigenvalues by $\lambda(A) = (\lambda_1(A), \lambda_2(A), \dots, \lambda_n(A))$, and we assume that the components of $\lambda(A)$ are in descending order. Let $\|\cdot\|$ denote any unitarily invariant norm on M_n .

Recently, Bhatia, Lim, and Yamazaki proved in [1] that if $A, B \in M_n$ are positive definite, then

$$\operatorname{tr}(A + B + 2(A \# B)) \le \operatorname{tr}((A^{1/2} + B^{1/2})^2)$$
(1.1)

and

$$\operatorname{tr}((A+B+2(A\#B))^2) \le \operatorname{tr}((A^{1/2}+B^{1/2})^4). \tag{1.2}$$

These authors also have shown in [1] that if $A, B \in M_n$ are positive definite and 0 < t < 1, then

$$tr(A\#_t B + B\#_t A) \le tr(A^{1-t}B^t + A^t B^{1-t})$$
(1.3)

and

$$\operatorname{tr}((A\#_{t}B + B\#_{t}A)^{2}) \le \operatorname{tr}(|(A^{1-t}B^{t} + A^{t}B^{1-t})|^{2}).$$
(1.4)

In this short note, we first obtain a trace inequality, which is similar to inequality (1.1). Meanwhile, we also obtain generalizations of inequalities (1.1), (1.2), (1.3), and (1.4).



© Zou and Peng 2016. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

2 Main results

In this section, we first give a trace inequality, which is similar to inequality (1.1). To do this, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 ([2]) Let $A, B \in M_n$ be positive definite. Then

$$\prod_{j=1}^k \lambda_j(AB) \le \prod_{j=1}^k \lambda_j^{1/2} (A^2 B^2), \quad 1 \le k \le n.$$

Lemma 2.2 ([3]) Let $A, B \in M_n$. If $\lambda(A), \lambda(B) > 0$ such that

$$\prod_{j=1}^k \lambda_j(A) \le \prod_{j=1}^k \lambda_j(B), \quad 1 \le k \le n,$$

then

 $\det(I+A) \le \det(I+B).$

Theorem 2.1 Let A and B be positive definite. Then

$$tr(\log(A^{1/2} + B^{1/2})^2) \le tr(\log(A + B + 2(A\#B))).$$

Proof By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{split} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_j (A^{-1/2} B^{1/2}) &= \prod_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_j (B^{1/2} A^{-1/2}) \\ &\leq \prod_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_j ((A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2})^{1/2}), \quad 1 \le k \le n \end{split}$$

Using Lemma 2.2, we get

$$\det(I + A^{-1/2}B^{1/2}) \le \det(I + (A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})^{1/2})$$
(2.1)

and

$$\det(I + B^{1/2}A^{-1/2}) \le \det(I + (A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})^{1/2}).$$
(2.2)

It follows from (2.1) and (2.2) that

$$\det(I + A^{-1/2}B^{1/2})\det(I + B^{1/2}A^{-1/2}) \le \det(I + 2(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})^{1/2} + A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2}),$$

which is equivalent to

$$\det \left(I + A^{-1/2} B^{1/2} + B^{1/2} A^{-1/2} + A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2} \right)$$

$$\leq \det \left(I + 2 \left(A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2} \right)^{1/2} + A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2} \right).$$
(2.3)

Multiplying det $A^{1/2}$ both sides in inequality (2.3), we have

$$\det(A + B + A^{1/2}B^{1/2} + B^{1/2}A^{1/2}) \le \det(A + B + 2A^{1/2}(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})^{1/2}A^{1/2}).$$
(2.4)

Note that $\log \det X = \operatorname{tr} \log X$, inequality (2.4) implies

$$\operatorname{tr}(\log(A^{1/2} + B^{1/2})^2) \le \operatorname{tr}(\log(A + B + 2(A\#B))).$$

This completes the proof.

Next, we show generalizations of inequalities (1.1), (1.2), (1.3), and (1.4). To do this, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3 ([2]) Let $A, B \in M_n$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, p, q > 0$. Then

$$||AB|| \le |||A|^p||^{1/p} |||B|^q||^{1/q}.$$

This is the Hölder inequality of unitary invariant norms for matrices. For more information on this inequality and its applications the reader is referred to [4] and the references therein.

Theorem 2.2 *Let A and B be positive definite and* $1 \le r \le 2$ *. Then*

$$\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(A+B+2(A\#B)\right)^{r}\right) \leq (2-r)\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{1/2}+B^{1/2}\right)^{2}+(r-1)\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{1/2}+B^{1/2}\right)^{4}.$$
(2.5)

Proof Let

$$p = \frac{1}{2-r}, \qquad q = \frac{1}{r-1},$$

then

$$\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1, \quad p,q>0.$$

By Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$tr((A + B + 2(A\#B))^{r})$$

$$= tr((A + B + 2(A\#B))^{2-r}(A + B + 2(A\#B))^{2r-2})$$

$$\leq (tr(A + B + 2(A\#B))^{p(2-r)})^{1/p}(tr(A + B + 2(A\#B))^{q(2r-2)})^{1/q}$$

$$= (tr(A + B + 2(A\#B)))^{2-r}(tr(A + B + 2(A\#B))^{2})^{r-1}.$$
(2.6)

It follows from (1.1), (1.2), and (2.6) that

$$\operatorname{tr}((A + B + 2(A \# B))^{r}) \le (\operatorname{tr}(A^{1/2} + B^{1/2})^{2})^{2-r} (\operatorname{tr}(A^{1/2} + B^{1/2})^{4})^{r-1}.$$

By Young's inequality, we have

$$\operatorname{tr}((A + B + 2(A \# B))^{r}) \le (2 - r)\operatorname{tr}(A^{1/2} + B^{1/2})^{2} + (r - 1)\operatorname{tr}(A^{1/2} + B^{1/2})^{4}.$$

This completes the proof.

Remark 2.1 Putting r = 1 in (2.5), we get (1.1). Putting r = 2 in (2.5), we get (1.2). Therefore, inequality (2.5) is a generalization of inequalities (1.1) and (1.2).

Remark 2.2 Let *A* and *B* be positive definite. By the concavity of $f(x) = x^r$, $x \ge 0$, 0 < r < 1, then we have

$$n^{r-1}\operatorname{tr} f(X) \leq f(\operatorname{tr} X),$$

where X is positive definite. It follows from this last inequality and inequality (1.1) that

$$n^{r-1}\operatorname{tr}(A + B + 2(A \# B))^r \le \left(\operatorname{tr}(A + B + 2(A \# B))\right)^r$$
$$\le \left(\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(A^{1/2} + B^{1/2}\right)^2\right)\right)^r.$$

Meanwhile, we also have

$$f(\operatorname{tr} X) \le \operatorname{tr} f(X),$$

which implies

$$n^{r-1}\operatorname{tr}(A+B+2(A\#B))^{r} \leq \operatorname{tr}((A^{1/2}+B^{1/2})^{2r}).$$

This is a complement of (1.1) for 0 < r < 1.

Theorem 2.3 *Let A and B be positive definite and* $1 \le r \le 2$ *. Then*

$$\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(A\#_{t}B + B\#_{t}A\right)^{r}\right) \leq (2-r)\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{1-t}B^{t} + A^{t}B^{1-t}\right) + (r-1)\operatorname{tr}\left(\left|\left(A^{1-t}B^{t} + A^{t}B^{1-t}\right)\right|^{2}\right).$$
(2.7)

Proof Let

$$p = \frac{1}{2-r}, \qquad q = \frac{1}{r-1},$$

then

$$\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1, \quad p,q>0.$$

By Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$\operatorname{tr}((A\#_{t}B + B\#_{t}A)^{r}) = \operatorname{tr}((A\#_{t}B + B\#_{t}A)^{2-r}(A\#_{t}B + B\#_{t}A)^{2r-2})$$

$$\leq \left(\operatorname{tr}(A\#_{t}B + B\#_{t}A)^{p(2-r)}\right)^{1/p} \left(\operatorname{tr}(A\#_{t}B + B\#_{t}A)^{q(2r-2)}\right)^{1/q}$$

$$= \left(\operatorname{tr}(A\#_{t}B + B\#_{t}A)\right)^{2-r} \left(\operatorname{tr}(A\#_{t}B + B\#_{t}A)^{2}\right)^{r-1}.$$
(2.8)

It follows from (1.3), (1.4), and (2.8) that

$$\operatorname{tr}((A\#_{t}B + B\#_{t}A)^{r}) \leq \left(\operatorname{tr}(A^{1-t}B^{t} + A^{t}B^{1-t})\right)^{2-r}\left(\operatorname{tr}(\left|(A^{1-t}B^{t} + A^{t}B^{1-t})\right|^{2})\right)^{r-1}.$$

By Young's inequality, we have

$$\operatorname{tr}((A\#_t B + B\#_t A)^r) \le (2 - r)\operatorname{tr}(A^{1-t}B^t + A^t B^{1-t}) + (r - 1)\operatorname{tr}(|(A^{1-t}B^t + A^t B^{1-t})|^2).$$

This completes the proof.

Remark 2.3 Putting r = 1 in (2.7), we get (1.3). Putting r = 2 in (2.7), we get (1.4). Therefore, inequality (2.7) is a generalization of inequalities (1.3) and (1.4).

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally to the writing of this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to express their heartfelt thanks to the referees and Professor Sin E Takahasi for their detailed and helpful suggestions for revising the manuscript. This research was supported by Scientific and Technological Research Program of Chongqing Municipal Education Commission (Grant No. KJ1501004).

Received: 8 September 2016 Accepted: 9 November 2016 Published online: 17 November 2016

References

- 1. Bhatia, R, Lim, Y, Yamazaki, T: Some norm inequalities for matrix means. Linear Algebra Appl. 501, 112-122 (2016)
- 2. Bhatia, R: Matrix Analysis. Springer, New York (1997)
- Lin, M: On a determinantal inequality arising from diffusion tensor imaging. Commun. Contemp. Math. (2016). doi:10.1142/S0219199716500449
- 4. Hu, X: Some inequalities for unitarily invariant norms. J. Math. Inequal. 6, 615-623 (2012)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- ► Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com