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#### Abstract

An operator $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$, the algebra of bounded linear transformations on a complex infinite dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, belongs to class $\mathcal{A}(n)$ (resp., $\mathcal{A}(*-n)$ ) if $|A|^{2} \leq\left|A^{n+1}\right|^{\frac{2}{n+1}}$ (resp., $\left|A^{*}\right|^{2} \leq\left|A^{n+1}\right|^{\frac{2}{n+1}}$ ) for some integer $n \geq 1$, and an operator $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is called $n$-paranormal, denoted $A \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ (resp., *-n-paranormal, denoted $A \in \mathcal{P}(*-n)$ ) if $\|A x\|^{n+1} \leq\left\|A^{n+1} x\right\|\|x\|^{n}$ (resp., $\left\|A^{*} x\right\|^{n+1} \leq\left\|A^{n+1} x\right\|\|x\|^{n}$ ) for some integer $n \geq 1$ and all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. In this paper, we prove that if $A \in\{\mathcal{A}(n) \cup \mathcal{P}(n)\}$ (resp., $A \in\{\mathcal{A}(*-n) \cup \mathcal{P}(*-n)\})$ is a contraction without a non-trivial invariant subspace, then $A,\left|A^{n+1}\right|^{\frac{2}{n+1}}-|A|^{2}$ and $\left|A^{n+1}\right|^{2}-\frac{n+1}{n}|A|^{2}+1$ (resp., $A,\left|A^{n+1}\right|^{\frac{2}{n+1}}-\left|A^{*}\right|^{2}$ and $\left|A^{n+2}\right|^{2}-\frac{n+1}{n}|A|^{2}+1 \geq 0$ ) are proper contractions.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $B(\mathcal{H})$ denote the algebra of bounded linear operators on an infinite dimensional complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ with inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$. An operator $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is a class $\mathcal{A}$ (resp., *-class $\mathcal{A}$ ) operator, [1] and [2], if $|A|^{2} \leq\left|A^{2}\right|$ (resp., $\left|A^{*}\right|^{2} \leq\left|A^{2}\right|$ ). As a generalization of class $\mathcal{A}$, Yuan and Gao [3] have introduced the class of $\mathcal{A}(n)$ operators as follows: An operator $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$ belongs to class $\mathcal{A}(n)$ (resp., $\mathcal{A}(*-n)$ ) if $|A|^{2} \leq\left|A^{n+1}\right|^{\frac{2}{n+1}}$ (resp., $\left|A^{*}\right|^{2} \leq$ $\left.\left|A^{n+1}\right|^{\frac{2}{n+1}}\right)$ for some integer $n \geq 1$. An operator $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is called $n$-paranormal, denoted $A \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ (resp., $*-n$-paranormal, denoted $A \in \mathcal{P}(*-n)$ ) if $\|A x\|^{n+1} \leq\left\|A^{n+1} x\right\|\|x\|^{n}$ (resp., $\left\|A^{*} x\right\|^{n+1} \leq\left\|A^{n+1} x\right\|\|x\|^{n}$ ) for some integer $n \geq 1$ and all $x \in \mathcal{H}$ is a generalization of the class of paranormal (resp., $*$-paranormal) operators (see [4]).

Recall [5] that a contraction $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$ (i.e., an operator $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\|A\| \leq 1$; equivalently, such that $\|A x\| \leq\|x\|$ for every $x \in \mathcal{H})$ is said to be a proper contraction if $\|A x\|<\|x\|$ for every non-zero $x \in \mathcal{H}$. A strict contraction (i.e., a contraction $A$ such that $\|A\|<1$ ) is a proper contraction, but a proper contraction is not necessarily a strict contraction. Kubrusly and Levan [5] have proved that if a hyponormal ( $\|A x\| \geq\left\|A^{*} x\right\|$ ) contraction $A$ has no non-trivial invariant subspace, then
(a) $A$ is a proper contraction and
(b) its self-commutator $\left[A^{*}, A\right]=A^{*} A-A A^{*}$ is a strict contraction.

Class $\mathcal{A}$ operators $A$ satisfy the property that if $A$ is a contraction with no non-trivial invariant subspace, then the non-negative operator $D_{0}=\left|A^{2}\right|-|A|^{2}$ is a proper contrac-
tion, and hence of the class $C_{00}$ of contractions [6]. Since $\left.\langle | A^{2}|x, x\rangle \leq\left.\langle | A^{2}\right|^{2} x, x\right\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}\|x\|$ (by the Hölder-McCarthy inequality: if $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is a non-negative (i.e., $\geq 0$ ) operator, then $\left\langle T^{\lambda} x, x\right\rangle \leq\langle T x, x\rangle^{\lambda}\|x\|^{2(1-\lambda)}$ for all $\left.0<\lambda \leq 1\right)$, if $A \in \mathcal{A}$, then $\|A x\|^{2} \leq\left\|A^{2} x\right\|\|x\|$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Thus class $\mathcal{A}$ operators are paranormal. Paranormal operators $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$ are characterized by the positivity condition $\left|A^{2}\right|^{2}-2 \lambda|A|^{2}+\lambda^{2} \geq 0$ for all real $\lambda>0$. Choosing $\lambda=1$, it follows that class $\mathcal{A}$ (also, paranormal) operators $A$ satisfy the positivity property $D_{1}=\left|A^{2}\right|^{2}-2|A|^{2}+1 \geq 0$. If we now choose $A$ to be a contraction without a non-trivial invariant subspace, then $D_{1}$ (along with $A$ ) is a proper contraction [5].

Positivity properties of the type satisfied by class $\mathcal{A}$ operators are satisfied by other classes of Hilbert space operators, some of them generalizations of the class $\mathcal{A}$ and others distinct from class $\mathcal{A}$.
It is easily seen (we prove so in Section 2) that class $\mathcal{A}(n)$ and class $\mathcal{P}(n)$ satisfy the positivity property that

$$
\left|A^{n+1}\right|^{2}-\frac{n+1}{n}|A|^{2}+1 \geq 0
$$

and class $\mathcal{A}(*-n)$ and class $\mathcal{P}(*-n)$ satisfy the positivity properties

$$
\left|A^{n+1}\right|^{2}-\frac{n+1}{n}\left|A^{*}\right|^{2}+1 \geq 0
$$

and

$$
\left|A^{n+2}\right|^{2}-\frac{n+1}{n}|A|^{2}+1 \geq 0 .
$$

We prove in the following that there is a method as regards the 'proper contraction property satisfied by the operators $D_{0}$ and $D_{1}$ above. We prove that if an $A \in\{\mathcal{A}(n) \cup \mathcal{P}(n)\}$ (resp., $A \in\{\mathcal{A}(*-n) \cup \mathcal{P}(*-n)\}$ ) is a contraction without a non-trivial invariant subspace, then $A,\left|A^{n+1}\right|^{\frac{2}{n+1}}-|A|^{2}$ and $\left|A^{n+1}\right|^{2}-\frac{n+1}{n}|A|^{2}+1$ (resp., $A,\left|A^{n+1}\right|^{\frac{2}{n+1}}-\left|A^{*}\right|^{2}$ and $\left.\left|A^{n+1}\right|^{2}-\frac{n+1}{n}|A|^{2}+1 \geq 0\right)$ are proper contractions.

## 2 Results

We begin with the following lemma. Let $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$.

## Lemma 2.1

(i) $A \in \mathcal{P}(n) \cup \mathcal{P}(*-n)$ if and only if

$$
\left|A^{n+1}\right|^{2}-(n+1) \lambda^{n}|B|^{2}+n \lambda^{n+1} \geq 0, \quad \text { all } \lambda>0,
$$

where $B=A$ if $A \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ and $B=A^{*}$ if $A \in \mathcal{P}(*-n)$.
(ii) If $A \in \mathcal{P}(*-n)$, then $A \in \mathcal{P}(n+1)$.

Proof (i) If we let $\alpha=\left\|A^{n+1} x\right\|^{2}$ and $\beta=\beta_{1}=\cdots=\beta_{n}=\lambda^{n+1}\|x\|^{2}$ for real $\lambda>0$, then the generalized arithmetic-geometric inequality $\alpha \beta_{1} \beta_{2} \cdots \beta_{n} \leq\left(\frac{\alpha+\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}+\cdots+\beta_{n}}{n+1}\right)^{n+1}$ [7], p.17, says that

$$
\lambda^{n(n+1)}\left\|A^{n+1} x\right\|^{2}\|x\|^{2 n} \leq\left(\frac{\left\|A^{n+1} x\right\|^{2}+n \lambda^{n+1}\|x\|^{2}}{n+1}\right)^{n+1} .
$$

By definition $A \in\{\mathcal{P}(n) \cup \mathcal{P}(*-n)\}$ if and only if

$$
\|B x\|^{n+1} \leq\left\|A^{n+1} x\right\|\|x\|^{n}
$$

(where $B=A$ if $A \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ and $B=A^{*}$ if $A \in \mathcal{P}(*-n)$ ). Thus

$$
(n+1) \lambda^{n}\|B x\|^{2} \leq(n+1) \lambda^{n}\left\|A^{n+1} x\right\|^{\frac{2}{n+1}}\|x\|^{\frac{2 n}{n+1}} \leq\left\|A^{n+1} x\right\|^{2}+n \lambda^{n+1}\|x\|^{2}
$$

for all $\lambda>0$ and all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Equivalently, if $A \in \mathcal{P}(n) \cup \mathcal{P}(*-n)$, then $\left|A^{n+1}\right|^{2}-(n+1) \lambda^{n}|B|^{2}+$ $n \lambda^{n+1} \geq 0$ for all $\lambda>0$.
To see the sufficiency, let $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ in

$$
\|B x\|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{(n+1) \lambda^{n}}\left\|A^{n+1} x\right\|^{2}+\frac{n}{n+1} \lambda\|x\|^{2}, \quad x \in \mathcal{H},
$$

if $\left\|A^{n+1} x\right\|=0$ (when it is seen that $\|B x\|=0$ ) and let $\lambda=\left(\frac{\left\|A^{n+1} x\right\|}{\|x\|}\right)^{\frac{2}{n+1}}$ otherwise (when it follows that $\left.\|B x\| \leq\left(\left\|A^{n+1} x\right\|\|x\|^{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}}, x \in \mathcal{H}\right)$.
(ii) If $A \in \mathcal{P}(*-n)$, then, for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$
\|A x\|^{2(n+1)}=\left\langle A^{*} A x, x\right\rangle^{n+1} \leq\left\|A^{*} A x\right\|^{n+1}\|x\|^{n+1} \leq\left\|A^{n+2} x\right\|\|A x\|^{n}\|x\|^{n+1}
$$

$$
\Longrightarrow \quad\|A x\|^{n+2} \leq\left\|A^{n+2} x\right\|\|x\|^{n+1}
$$

i.e., $A \in \mathcal{P}(n+1)$.

It is immediate from Lemma 2.1 that the operators $A \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ (resp., $A \in \mathcal{P}(*-n))$ satisfy the positivity property, henceforth denoted property $Q_{\lambda}(n)$ (resp., property $Q_{\lambda}(*-n)$ ), that

$$
\left|A^{n+1}\right|^{2}-(n+1) \lambda^{n}|B|^{2}+n \lambda^{n+1} \geq 0
$$

for all $\lambda>0$. (Here, as above, $B=A$ if $A \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ and $B=A^{*}$ if $A \in \mathcal{P}(*-n)$.) We prove that the operators $A \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ (resp., $A \in \mathcal{A}(*-n)$ ) also satisfy property $Q_{\lambda}(n)$ (resp., $Q_{\lambda}(*-n)$ ). The following lemma, the Hölder-McCarthy inequality, is well known.

Lemma 2.2 If $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$, then the following properties hold:
(1) $\left\langle A^{\lambda} x, x\right\rangle \geq\langle A x, x\rangle^{\lambda}\|x\|^{2(1-\lambda)}$ for any $\lambda>1$ and any vector $x$.
(2) $\left\langle A^{\lambda} x, x\right\rangle \leq\langle A x, x\rangle^{\lambda}\|x\|^{2(1-\lambda)}$ for any $\lambda \in(0,1]$ and any vector $x$.

Lemma 2.3 The operators $A \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ (resp., $A \in \mathcal{A}(*-n)$ ) satisfy property $Q_{\lambda}(n)$ (resp., property $\left.Q_{\lambda}(*-n)\right)$.

Proof The proof is a simple consequence of an application of Lemma 2.2: If $A \in \mathcal{A}(n) \cup$ $\mathcal{A}(*-n)$ and the operator $B$ is defined as above, then, for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$
\left.\left.\left.\langle | B\right|^{2} x, x\right\rangle \leq\left.\langle | A^{n+1}\right|^{\frac{2}{n+1}} x, x\right\rangle \leq\left.\langle | A^{n+1}\right|^{2} x, x x^{\frac{1}{n+1}}\|x\|^{\frac{2 n}{n+1}},
$$

i.e., $A \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ implies $A \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}(*-n)$ implies $A \in \mathcal{P}(*-n)$. Consequently the operators $A \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ satisfy property $Q_{\lambda}(n)$ and the operators $A \in \mathcal{A}(*-n)$ satisfy property $Q_{\lambda}(*-n)$.

It is clear from Lemma 2.2 that the operators $A \in \mathcal{P}(*-n)$ satisfy property $Q_{\lambda}(n+1)$ (i.e., if $A \in \mathcal{P}(*-n)$, then $\left|A^{n+2}\right|^{2}-(n+2) \lambda^{n+1}|B|^{2}+(n+1) \lambda^{n+2} \geq 0$ for all $\left.\lambda>0\right)$.

Given an operator $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$, let $B$ denote either $A$ or $A^{*}$ (exclusive 'or'), and let

$$
M=\{x \in \mathcal{H}:\|B x\|=\|B\|\|x\|=\|A\|\|x\|\} .
$$

Lemma 2.4 If $A \in\{\mathcal{P}(n) \cup \mathcal{P}(*-n)\}$, then $M$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ such that $A(M) \subseteq M$.
Proof $M$ being the null space of the operator $|B|^{2}-\|A\|^{2}$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{H}$. Define the operator $B$ as before by letting $B=A$ whenever $A \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ and $B=A^{*}$ whenever $A \in$ $\mathcal{P}(*-n)$. Let $x \in M$, and let $A \in\{\mathcal{P}(n) \cup \mathcal{P}(*-n)\}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|B x\|^{2} & \left.\leq\left.\langle | A^{n+1}\right|^{2} x, x\right\rangle^{\frac{1}{n+1}}\|x\|^{\frac{2 n}{n+1}}=\left\|A^{n+1} x\right\|^{\frac{2}{n+1}}\|x\|^{\frac{2 n}{n+1}} \\
& \leq\|A\|^{2}\|x\|^{2}=\|B x\|^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|B x\|^{2} & =\left\|A^{n+1} x\right\|^{\frac{2}{n+1}}\|x\|^{\frac{2 n}{n+1}}=\|A\|^{2}\|x\|^{2} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow\|B x\|^{n+1}=\left\|A^{n+1} x\right\|\|x\|^{n}=\|A\|^{n+1}\|x\|^{n+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

But then (for all $x \in M$ and $A \in \mathcal{P}(n) \cup \mathcal{P}(*-n))$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|B x\|^{n+1} & =\left\|A^{n+1} x\right\|\|x\|^{n} \\
& \leq\|A\|\left\|A^{n} x\right\|\|x\|^{n}=\left\|A^{n} x\right\|\|x\|^{n-1}\|B x\| \\
& \cdots \\
& \leq\|A x\|\|B x\|^{n} \leq\|B x\|^{n+1},
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
\|B x\|^{m}=\left\|A^{m} x\right\|\|x\|^{m-1}, \quad \text { all integers } 1 \leq m \leq n+1
$$

In particular,

$$
\|B x\|=\|A x\| \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|A^{2} x\right\|\|x\|=\|B x\|^{2} .
$$

Now if $A \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ (so that $B=A$ ), then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|A^{2} x\right\|\|x\|=\|A x\|^{2}=\|A\|^{2}\|x\|^{2}=\|A\|\|A x\|\|x\| \\
& \quad \Longrightarrow \quad\left\|A^{2} x\right\|=\|A\|\|A x\| \quad \Longrightarrow \quad A(M) \subseteq M
\end{aligned}
$$

and if $A \in \mathcal{P}(*-n)$ (so that $B=A^{*}$ ), then (using Lemma 2.1(ii))

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|A x\|=\|B x\|=\left\|A^{*} x\right\|=\|A\|\|x\| \\
& \quad \Longrightarrow \quad\{x \in \mathcal{H}:\|A x\|=\|A\|\|x\|\}=\left\{x \in \mathcal{H}:\left\|A^{*} x\right\|=\|A\|\|x\|\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence $A(M) \subseteq M$.

Corollary 2.5 If a contraction $A \in\{\mathcal{P}(n) \cup \mathcal{P}(*-n)\}$ has no non-trivial invariant subspace, then $A$ is a proper contraction.

Proof If $A \in\{\mathcal{P}(n) \cup \mathcal{P}(*-n)\}$, then $A M \subseteq M$. Now if $A$ is not a proper contraction, then (it is not a strict contraction, hence) $\|A\|=\left\|A^{*}\right\|=1$. Hence, since $A$ has no non-trivial invariant subspace, $M=\{0\}$ (for the reason that if $M=\mathcal{H}$, then either $A$ or $A^{*}$ is an isometry and isometries have non-trivial invariant subspaces). Consequently, $\|A x\| \leq\|A\|\|x\|<\|x\|$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$, i.e., $A$ is a proper contraction.

We say in the following that an operator $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$ satisfies the positivity condition:
$\left(D_{1}\right)$ if the operator $D_{1}=\left|A^{n+1} \frac{2}{n+1}-|A|^{2} \geq 0\right.$,
$\left(D_{2}\right)$ if the operator $D_{2}=\left|A^{n+1}\right|^{\frac{2}{n+1}}-\left|A^{*}\right|^{2} \geq 0$, and
$\left(D_{3}\right)$ if the operator $D_{3}=\left|A^{n+1}\right|^{2}-\frac{n+1}{n}|A|^{2}+1 \geq 0$.
It is evident from the definition of operators $A \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ (resp., $A \in \mathcal{A}(*-n)$ ) that $\mathcal{A}(n)$ operators satisfy condition $\left(D_{1}\right)$ (resp., $\mathcal{A}(*-n)$ operators satisfy condition $\left(D_{2}\right)$ ). If we choose $0<\lambda=\frac{1}{\sqrt[n]{n}}$ in $Q_{\lambda}(n)$, then (since $\left|A^{n+1}\right|^{2}-\frac{n+1}{n}|A|^{2}+\frac{1}{\sqrt[n]{n}} \leq\left|A^{n+1}\right|^{2}-\frac{n+1}{n}|A|^{2}+1$ for all integers $n \geq 1$ ) operators $A \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ are seen to satisfy positivity condition $\left(D_{3}\right)$. Again, if we choose $0<\lambda=\sqrt[n+1]{\frac{n+1}{n(n+2)}}$, then the fact that $\mathcal{P}(*-n)$ operators satisfy property $Q_{\lambda}(n+1)$ implies that $\left|A^{n+2}\right|^{2}-\frac{n+1}{n}|A|^{2}+1 \geq\left|A^{n+2}\right|^{2}-\frac{n+1}{n}|A|^{2}+(n+1)\left(\frac{n+1}{n(n+2)} \frac{n+2}{n+1} \geq 0\right.$; in particular, $\mathcal{P}(*-n)$ contractions $A$ satisfy positivity condition $\left(D_{3}\right)$.

Remark 2.6 An interesting class of operators, which contains many a familiar class of operators (such as $p$-hyponormal operators, $0<p \leq 1$, w-hyponormal operators and class $\mathcal{A}$ operators) considered by a large number of authors in the recent past, is that of the class $\mathcal{A}(s, t)$ operators $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$ defined by the positivity condition $\left|A^{*}\right|^{2 t} \leq\left(\left|A^{*}\right|^{t}|A|^{2 s}\left|A^{*}\right|^{t}\right)^{\frac{t}{s+t}}$, $0<s, t$ [8]. Class $\mathcal{A}(s, t)$ operators satisfy the property that $A \in \mathcal{A}(s, t)$ implies $A \in \mathcal{A}(\alpha, \beta)$ for every $\alpha \geq s$ and $\beta \geq t([8]$, Theorem 4). Hence, if $0<s, t \leq 1$, then every $A \in \mathcal{A}(s, t)$ is an $\mathcal{A}(1,1)=\mathcal{A}$ operator ([8], Theorem 3). Consequently the operators $A \in \mathcal{A}(s, t), 0<s, t \leq 1$, satisfy positivity conditions $\left(D_{1}\right)$ and $\left(D_{3}\right)$ (with $n=1$ ).

Lemma 2.7 If an operator $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is a contraction such that $D_{i} \geq 0,1 \leq i \leq 3$, for an $i=i_{0}$, then $D_{i_{0}}$ is a contraction.

Proof Let $D_{i}=R_{i}^{2}$, let $x \in \mathcal{H}$ and let $R_{i}^{m} x=y_{i}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle D_{1}^{m+1} x, x\right\rangle & \left.=\left.\langle | A^{n+1}\right|^{\frac{2}{n+1}} y_{1}, y_{1}\right\rangle-\left\|A y_{1}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|A^{n+1} y_{1}\right\|^{\frac{2}{n+1}}\left\|y_{1}\right\|^{\frac{2 n}{n+1}}-\left\|A y_{1}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|y_{1}\right\|^{2}=\left\langle D_{1}^{m} x, x\right\rangle \quad\left(\text { case } i_{0}=1\right), \\
\left\langle D_{2}^{m+1} x, x\right\rangle & \left.=\left.\langle | A^{n+1}\right|^{\frac{2}{n+1}} y_{2}, y_{2}\right\rangle-\left\|A^{*} y_{2}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|A^{n+1} y_{2}\right\|^{\frac{2}{n+1}}\left\|y_{2}\right\|^{\frac{2 n}{n+1}}-\left\|A^{*} y_{2}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|y_{2}\right\|^{2}=\left\langle D_{2}^{m} x, x\right\rangle \quad\left(\text { case } i_{0}=2\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle D_{3}^{m+1} x, x\right\rangle & \left.=\left.\langle | A^{n+1}\right|^{2} y_{3}, y_{3}\right\rangle-\frac{n+1}{n}\left\|A y_{3}\right\|^{2}+\left\|y_{3}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left\|A^{n+1} y_{3}\right\|^{2}-\frac{n+1}{n}\left\|A y_{3}\right\|^{2}+\left\|y_{3}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|A y_{3}\right\|^{2}-\frac{n+1}{n}\left\|A y_{3}\right\|^{2}+\left\|y_{3}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left\|y_{3}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{n}\left\|A y_{3}\right\|^{2} \\
& \left.\leq\left\|y_{3}\right\|^{2}=\left\langle D_{3}^{m} x, x\right\rangle \quad \text { (case } i_{0}=3\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, in either of the cases $i_{0}=1,2$ and $3, D_{i_{0}}$ is a contraction.

We remark here that it is in general false that if $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is a $\mathcal{P}(n)$ (or $\mathcal{P}(*-n)$ ) contraction, then the positive operator $D=\left|A^{n+1}\right|^{2}-(n+1) \lambda^{n}|A|^{2}+n \lambda^{n+1} \geq 0$ (resp., $\left|A^{n+1}\right|^{2}-(n+1) \lambda^{n}\left|A^{*}\right|^{2}+n \lambda^{n+1} \geq 0$ ), all $\lambda>0$, characterizing $\mathcal{P}(n)$ (resp., $\mathcal{P}(*-n)$ ) operators is a contraction. Consider for example the forward unilateral shift $U \in B(\mathcal{H})$. Trivially, $\alpha U \in \mathcal{P}(1)$ is a (proper) contraction for all positive $\alpha<1$. The operator $D=$ $\left|\alpha^{2} U^{2}\right|^{2}-2 \lambda|\alpha U|^{2}+\lambda^{2}=\alpha^{4}-2 \alpha^{2} \lambda+\lambda^{2}=\left(\alpha^{2}-\lambda\right)^{2}>1$ for all $\lambda>1+\alpha^{2}$. It is possible that, for contractions $A \in \mathcal{P}(*-n)$, the positive operator $D=\left|A^{n+1}\right|^{2}-\frac{n+1}{n}\left|A^{*}\right|^{2}+1$ is a contraction. We have, however, not been able to prove this.

The conclusion that $D_{i_{0}}$ is a contraction in Lemma 2.7 implies that the sequence $\left\{D_{i_{0}}^{p}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ being a monotonic decreasing bounded sequence of non-negative operators converges to a projection $P_{i_{0}}$.

Lemma 2.8 If $D_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ is the non-negative contraction of Lemma 2.7 with $\lim _{p \rightarrow \infty} D_{i_{0}}^{p}=P_{i_{0}}$ for an $i=i_{0}$, then $A P_{i_{0}}=0$ if $i_{0}=1,3$ and $A^{*} P_{i_{0}}=0$ if $i_{0}=2$.

Proof Letting $D_{i_{0}}=R_{i_{0}}^{2}$ and $R_{i_{0}}^{m} x=y_{i_{0}}$ for $x \in \mathcal{H}$ and $1 \leq i_{0} \leq 3$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|y_{1}\right\|^{2}-\left\|R_{1} y_{1}\right\|^{2} & =\left\|y_{1}\right\|^{2}-\langle | A^{n+1}\left|\frac{2}{n+1} y_{1}, y_{1}\right\rangle+\left\|A y_{1}\right\|^{2} \\
& \geq\left\|y_{1}\right\|^{2}-\left\|A^{n+1} y_{1}\right\|^{\frac{2}{n+1}}\left\|y_{1}\right\|^{\frac{2 n}{n+1}}+\left\|A y_{1}\right\|^{2} \\
& \geq\left\|A y_{1}\right\|^{2} \quad\left(\text { case } i_{0}=1\right) \\
\left\|y_{2}\right\|^{2}-\left\|R_{2} y_{2}\right\|^{2} & \left.=\left\|y_{2}\right\|^{2}-\left.\langle | A^{n+1}\right|^{\frac{2}{n+1}} y_{2}, y_{2}\right\rangle+\left\|A^{*} y_{2}\right\|^{2} \\
& \geq\left\|y_{2}\right\|^{2}-\left\|A^{n+1} y_{2}\right\|^{\frac{2}{n+1}}\left\|y_{2}\right\|^{\frac{2 n}{n+1}}+\left\|A^{*} y_{2}\right\|^{2} \\
& \geq\left\|A^{*} y_{1}\right\|^{2} \quad\left(\text { case } i_{0}=2\right), \quad \text { and } \\
\left\|y_{3}\right\|^{2}-\left\|R_{3} y_{3}\right\|^{2} & =\left\|y_{3}\right\|^{2}-\left\langle\|\left. A^{n+1}\right|^{2} y_{3}, y_{3}\right\rangle+\frac{n}{n+1}\left\|A y_{3}\right\|^{2}-\left\|y_{3}\right\|^{2} \\
& =-\left\|A^{n+1} y_{3}\right\|^{2}\left\|y_{3}\right\|+\frac{n+1}{n}\left\|A y_{3}\right\|^{2} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{n}\left\|A y_{3}\right\|^{2} \quad\left(\text { case } i_{0}=3\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let the operator $B$ stand for $A$ if $i_{0}=1$ or 3 , and let $B=A^{*}$ if $i_{0}=2$. Letting $a=1$ if $i_{0}=1$ or 2 , and $a=1 / n$ if $i_{0}=3$, we then have

$$
a \sum_{m=0}^{p}\left\|B R_{i_{0}}^{m} x\right\|^{2} \leq \sum_{m=0}^{p}\left\|R_{i_{0}}^{m} x\right\|^{2}-\sum_{m=0}^{p}\left\|R_{i_{0}}^{m+1} x\right\|^{2}=\|x\|^{2}-\left\|R_{i_{0}}^{p+1} x\right\|^{2} \leq\|x\|^{2}
$$

for every $x \in \mathcal{H}$ and integer $p \geq 0$. The positive integer $n$ being fixed, it follows that $\left\|B R_{i_{0}}^{p} x\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$; hence

$$
0=\lim _{p \rightarrow \infty} B R_{i_{0}}^{2 p} x=B \lim _{p \rightarrow \infty} D_{i_{0}}^{p} x=B P_{i_{0}}
$$

for every $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Consequently, $A P_{i_{0}}=0$ if $i_{0}=1,3$ and $A^{*} P_{i_{0}}=0$ if $i_{0}=2$.
Recall that $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is a $C_{0}$ - -contraction (resp., $C_{1}$ - -contraction) if $\left\|T^{n} x\right\|$ converges to 0 for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$ (resp., does not converge to 0 for all non-trivial $x \in \mathcal{H}$ ); $T$ is of class $C_{.0}$, or $C_{.1}$, if $T^{*}$ is of class $C_{0}$., respectively $C_{1}$. All combinations are allowed, leading to the classes $C_{00}, C_{01}, C_{10}$, and $C_{11}$ of contractions ([9], p.72). We say that a contraction $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is strongly stable if $T^{n}$ converges strongly to the 0 operator as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
The following theorem is our main result.
Theorem 2.9 If a contraction $0 \neq A \in B(\mathcal{H})$ has no non-trivial invariant subspace, and if A satisfies the positivity condition $\left(D_{i}\right)(i=1,2,3)$ for an $i=i_{0}$, then $D_{i_{0}}$ is a strongly stable (hence $C_{00}$ ) proper contraction.

Proof Start by recalling that $A$ has a non-trivial invariant subspace if and only if $A^{*}$ does. The hypotheses imply that the sequence $\left\{D_{i_{0}}^{p}\right\}$ of non-negative contractions converges to a projection $P_{i_{0}}$ such that $A P_{i_{0}}=0$ whenever $i_{0}=1$ or 3 and $A^{*} P_{i_{0}}=0$ whenever $i_{0}=2$. Equivalently, $P_{i_{0}} A^{*}=0$ whenever $i_{0}=1$ or 3 and $P_{i_{0}} A=0$ whenever $i_{0}=2$. Thus $P_{i_{0}}^{-1}(0)$ is a non-zero invariant subspace for $A^{*}$ in the case in which $i_{0}=1$ or 3 , and $P_{2}^{-1}(0)$ is a nonzero invariant subspace for $A$ in the case in which $i_{0}=2$. Hence we must have $P_{i}^{-1}(0)=\mathcal{H}$ for every choice of $i_{0}(=1,2,3)$, and then the sequence $\left\{D_{i_{0}}^{p}\right\}$ converges strongly to the 0 operator. Since strong stability coincides with proper contractiveness for non-negative operators [10], $D_{i_{0}}$ is a proper contraction (of the class $C_{00}$ of contractions).

Remark 2.10 A generalization of the class $\mathcal{A}(n)$ of operators in $B(\mathcal{H})$ is obtained by considering operators $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$ for which

$$
D_{m(n)}=A^{* m}\left(\left|A^{n+1}\right|^{\frac{2}{n+1}}-|A|^{2}\right) A^{m} \geq 0
$$

for integers $m \geq 1$. (Similar generalizations of the classes $\mathcal{A}(*-n), \mathcal{P}(n)$, and $\mathcal{P}(*-n)$ are obtained by considering $A^{* m}\left(\left|A^{n+1} \frac{2}{n+1}-\left|A^{*}\right|^{2}\right) A^{m} \geq 0, A^{* m}\left(\left|A^{n+1}\right|^{2}-2 \lambda|A|^{2}+\lambda^{2}\right) A^{m} \geq 0\right.$, and $A^{* m}\left(\left|A^{n+1}\right|^{2}-2 \lambda\left|A^{*}\right|^{2}+\lambda^{2}\right) A^{m} \geq 0$, respectively.) Calling this class of operators $A$ the class of $m$-quasi $(\mathcal{A}(n))$ operators, denoted $A \in m-\mathrm{Q}(\mathcal{A}(n))$, it is seen that the operators $A \in m-\mathrm{Q}(\mathcal{A}(n))$ have an upper triangular matrix representation

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A_{1} & C \\
0 & A_{2}
\end{array}\right)\binom{\overline{\operatorname{ran} A^{m}}}{A^{* m-1}(0)}
$$

where $A_{1} \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ and $A_{2}^{*}$ is $(m+1)$-nilpotent. An argument similar to that used above (cf. [3] to see the minor changes in detail that are required) shows that, if $A$ is a contraction, then the sequence $\left\{D_{m(n)}^{p}\right\}$ of positive operators converges strongly to a projection $P$ such that $A^{m+1} P=0$. The operators $A \in m-\mathrm{Q}(\mathcal{A}(n))$ are not normaloid. If a contraction $A \in m-\mathrm{Q}(\mathcal{A}(n))$ has no non-trivial invariant subspaces, then $A$ is a quasi-affinity (i.e., $A$ is injective and has a dense range), and hence $A \in \mathcal{A}(n)$. Thus Theorem 2.9 has the following analog for contractions $A \in m-\mathrm{Q}(\mathcal{A}(n))$ : If a contraction $A \in m-\mathrm{Q}(\mathcal{A}(n))$ has no non-trivial invariant subspace, then $A$ is a proper contraction such that $D_{m(n)} \in C_{00}$ is a strongly stable contraction.
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