

RESEARCH

Open Access



# Some new nonlinear integral inequalities with weakly singular kernel and their applications to FDEs

Haidong Liu\* and Fanwei Meng

\*Correspondence:  
tomhd983@163.com  
School of Mathematical Sciences,  
Qufu Normal University, Qufu,  
273165, P.R. China

## Abstract

In this paper, we investigate some nonlinear integral inequalities with weakly singular kernel which can be used as tools in deriving boundedness of the solutions of certain fractional differential equations and integral equations. Our results generalize and improve some results in the literature. Besides, we give some applications for some fractional differential equations involving the Riemann-Liouville derivative and the Caputo derivative, respectively.

**Keywords:** integral inequalities; singular kernel; fractional differential equations; boundedness

## 1 Introduction

In the study of the qualitative and quantitative properties of solutions of some fractional differential equations, many inequalities with singular kernels have been developed (for example, see [1–18] and the references therein). In these inequalities, Medved' [3] discussed the following useful integral inequality:

$$u(t) \leq a(t) + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} f(s) w(u(s)) \, ds, \quad t > 0,$$

which came from the study of a global existence and an exponential decay result for a parabolic Cauchy problem by Henry [19]. Ma and Pečarić [10] used the modification of Medved'’s method [3] to study some new weakly singular integral inequality of Henry's type:

$$u^p(t) \leq a(t) + b(t) \int_0^t (t^\alpha - s^\alpha)^{\beta-1} s^{\gamma-1} f(s) u^q(s) \, ds, \quad t > 0,$$

and used it to study the boundedness of certain fractional differential equations with the Caputo fractional derivatives and integral equations involving the Erdélyi-Kober fractional integrals. Recently, Ye and Gao [17] studied a Henry-Gronwall type retarded integral inequalities:

$$\begin{cases} u(t) \leq a(t) + \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} b(s) u(s-r) \, ds, & t \in [t_0, T], \\ u(t) \leq \phi(t), & t \in [t_0-r, t_0], \end{cases}$$

and used it to obtain boundedness of a class of fractional differential equations. Very recently, Lin [18] established some new weakly singular integral inequality of Gronwall-Bellman type:

$$u(t) \leq a(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(t) \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\beta_i-1} c_i(s) u^{\gamma_i}(s) ds,$$

with the conditions that  $b_i(t)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) are bounded and monotonically increasing and used it to deal with the uniqueness of solutions for fractional differential equations.

In this paper, we discuss the more general integral inequality with weakly singular kernel:

$$u(t) \leq a(t) + \sum_{i=1}^l b_i(t) \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\alpha_i-1} f(s) u(s) ds + \sum_{j=1}^n c_j(t) \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\beta_j-1} g_j(s) u^{\gamma_j}(s) ds, \quad t \in [t_0, T),$$

where the real constants  $\alpha_i > 0$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$ ),  $\beta_j > 0$ ,  $0 \leq \gamma_j < 1$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ), and we have the following retarded integral inequality with weakly singular kernel:

$$\begin{cases} u(t) \leq a(t) + \sum_{i=1}^l b_i(t) \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\alpha_i-1} f(s) u(s-r) ds \\ \quad + \sum_{j=1}^n c_j(t) \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\beta_j-1} g_j(s) u^{\gamma_j}(s-r) ds, \quad t \in [t_0, T), \\ u(t) \leq \phi(t), \quad t \in [t_0-r, t_0). \end{cases}$$

Our results not only generalize some integral inequalities that have been studied in [17] and improve the results of [18] by removing the conditions that  $c_j(t)$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) are bounded and monotonically increasing but also provide a handy tool to derive the boundedness of the solutions of certain fractional differential equations and integral equations.

Throughout the present paper,  $\mathbb{N}$  denotes the set of the natural numbers;  $\mathbb{R}$  denotes the set of the real numbers;  $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, +\infty)$  is the subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ ; and  $C(D, E)$  denotes the class of all continuous functions defined on the set  $D$  with range in the set  $E$ .

## 2 Preliminaries and main results

The following lemmas are useful in our main results.

**Lemma 2.1** (Jensen's inequality) *Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . Then, for  $r > 1$ ,*

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \right)^r \leq n^{r-1} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^r.$$

**Lemma 2.2** [20] *Let  $c \geq 0$ ,  $x \geq 0$ , and  $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ . Then, for any  $k > 0$ ,*

$$cx^\lambda \leq kx + \theta(c, k, \lambda)$$

*holds, where  $\theta(c, k, \lambda) = (1-\lambda)\lambda^{\lambda/(1-\lambda)} c^{1/(1-\lambda)} k^{\lambda/(\lambda-1)}$ .*

**Lemma 2.3** Let  $[t_0, T) \subset \mathbb{R}$  ( $T \leq \infty$ ),  $a(t), b(t), f(t), c_i(t), g_i(t) \in C([t_0, T), \mathbb{R}_+)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ). If  $u(t) \in C([t_0, T), \mathbb{R}_+)$  and

$$u(t) \leq a(t) + b(t) \int_{t_0}^t f(s)u(s) \, ds + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i(t) \int_{t_0}^t g_i(s)u^{\gamma_i}(s) \, ds, \quad t \in [t_0, T), \tag{1}$$

where the real constants  $0 \leq \gamma_i < 1$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ), then, for any positive functions  $k_i(t) \in C([t_0, T), (0, +\infty))$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ),

$$u(t) \leq A(t) \exp \left\{ B(t) \int_{t_0}^t f(\tau) \, d\tau + \sum_{i=1}^n C_i(t) \int_{t_0}^t k_i(\tau) \, d\tau \right\}, \quad t \in [t_0, T), \tag{2}$$

where

$$A(t) = \max_{t_0 \leq s \leq t} a(s) + \sum_{i=1}^n C_i(t) \int_{t_0}^t \theta(g_i(s), k_i(s), \gamma_i) \, ds,$$

$$B(t) = \max_{t_0 \leq s \leq t} b(s), \quad C_i(t) = \max_{t_0 \leq s \leq t} c_i(s), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

*Proof* From Lemma 2.2 and (1), we get, for  $t \in [t_0, T)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &\leq a(t) + b(t) \int_{t_0}^t f(s)u(s) \, ds + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i(t) \int_{t_0}^t g_i(s)u^{\gamma_i}(s) \, ds \\ &\leq a(t) + b(t) \int_{t_0}^t f(s)u(s) \, ds + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i(t) \int_{t_0}^t [k_i(s)u(s) + \theta(g_i(s), k_i(s), \gamma_i)] \, ds \\ &= a(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i(t) \int_{t_0}^t \theta(g_i(s), k_i(s), \gamma_i) \, ds + b(t) \int_{t_0}^t f(s)u(s) \, ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i(t) \int_{t_0}^t k_i(s)u(s) \, ds, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where  $k_i(t) \in C([t_0, T), (0, +\infty))$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) are any positive functions. Given any  $T_0 \in (t_0, T)$ , for  $t \in [t_0, T_0]$ , from (3), we have

$$u(t) \leq A(T_0) + B(T_0) \int_{t_0}^t f(s)u(s) \, ds + \sum_{i=1}^n C_i(T_0) \int_{t_0}^t k_i(s)u(s) \, ds, \tag{4}$$

where  $A(t) = \max_{t_0 \leq s \leq t} a(s) + \sum_{i=1}^n C_i(t) \int_{t_0}^t \theta(g_i(s), k_i(s), \gamma_i) \, ds$ ,  $B(t) = \max_{t_0 \leq s \leq t} b(s)$ ,  $C_i(t) = \max_{t_0 \leq s \leq t} c_i(s)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Define  $z(t)$  by the right side of (4), then  $z(t_0) = A(T_0)$ ,  $u(t) \leq z(t)$ ,  $z(t)$  is nonnegative and nondecreasing, and

$$\begin{aligned} z'(t) &= B(T_0)f(t)u(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n C_i(T_0)k_i(t)u(t) \\ &= \left[ B(T_0)f(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n C_i(T_0)k_i(t) \right] u(t) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \left[ B(T_0)f(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n C_i(T_0)k_i(t) \right] z(t) \\ &= F(t)z(t), \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where  $F(t) = B(T_0)f(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n C_i(T_0)k_i(t)$ . Based on a straightforward computation, we have, for  $t \in [t_0, T_0]$ ,

$$z(t) \leq A(T_0) \exp \left\{ \int_{t_0}^t F(\tau) \, d\tau \right\}, \tag{6}$$

which implies that

$$z(T_0) \leq A(T_0) \exp \left\{ \int_{t_0}^{T_0} F(\tau) \, d\tau \right\}, \tag{7}$$

and then we get

$$\begin{aligned} u(T_0) &\leq A(T_0) \exp \left\{ \int_{t_0}^{T_0} F(\tau) \, d\tau \right\} \\ &= A(T_0) \exp \left\{ \int_{t_0}^{T_0} \left[ B(T_0)f(\tau) + \sum_{i=1}^n C_i(T_0)k_i(\tau) \right] \, d\tau \right\} \\ &= A(T_0) \exp \left\{ B(T_0) \int_{t_0}^{T_0} f(\tau) \, d\tau + \sum_{i=1}^n C_i(T_0) \int_{t_0}^{T_0} k_i(\tau) \, d\tau \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By the arbitrariness of  $T_0 \in (t_0, T)$ , we obtain the inequality (2). The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4** *Let  $[t_0, T) \subset \mathbb{R}$  ( $T \leq \infty$ ),  $a(t), b(t), f(t), c_i(t), g_i(t) \in C([t_0, T), \mathbb{R}_+)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ),  $\phi(t) \in C([t_0 - r, t_0], \mathbb{R}_+)$ , and  $a(t_0) = \phi(t_0)$ . If  $u(t) \in C([t_0 - r, T), \mathbb{R}_+)$ , and*

$$\begin{cases} u(t) \leq a(t) + b(t) \int_{t_0}^t f(s)u(s-r) \, ds \\ \quad + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i(t) \int_{t_0}^t g_i(s)u^{\gamma_i}(s-r) \, ds, & t \in [t_0, T), \\ u(t) \leq \phi(t), & t \in [t_0 - r, t_0), \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

where the real constants  $0 \leq \gamma_i < 1$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ). Then, for any positive functions  $k_i(t) \in C([t_0, T), (0, +\infty))$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ),

$$\begin{cases} u(t) \leq A(t) + [B(t) \int_{t_0}^{t_0+r} f(s)\phi(s-r) \, ds + \sum_{i=1}^n C_i(t) \int_{t_0}^{t_0+r} k_i(s)\phi(s-r) \, ds] \\ \quad \cdot \exp\{B(t) \int_{t_0+r}^t f(\tau) \, d\tau + \sum_{i=1}^n C_i(t) \int_{t_0+r}^t k_i(\tau) \, d\tau\} \\ \quad + B(t) \int_{t_0+r}^t A(s-r)f(s) \exp\{B(t) \int_s^t f(\tau) \, d\tau + \sum_{i=1}^n C_i(t) \int_s^t k_i(\tau) \, d\tau\} \, ds \\ \quad + \sum_{i=1}^n C_i(t) \int_{t_0+r}^t A(s-r)k_i(s) \\ \quad \cdot \exp\{B(t) \int_s^t f(\tau) \, d\tau + \sum_{i=1}^n C_i(t) \int_s^t k_i(\tau) \, d\tau\} \, ds, & t \in [t_0 + r, T), \\ u(t) \leq a(t) + b(t) \int_{t_0}^t f(s)\phi(s-r) \, ds \\ \quad + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i(t) \int_{t_0}^t g_i(s)\phi^{\gamma_i}(s-r) \, ds, & t \in [t_0, t_0 + r), \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

where

$$A(t) = a(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i(t) \int_{t_0}^t \theta(g_i(s), k_i(s), \gamma_i) \, ds,$$

$$B(t) = \max_{t_0 \leq s \leq t} b(s), \quad C_i(t) = \max_{t_0 \leq s \leq t} c_i(s), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

*Proof* From (8), for  $t \in [t_0, t_0 + r)$ , we can easily get

$$u(t) \leq a(t) + b(t) \int_{t_0}^t f(s)\phi(s-r) \, ds + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i(t) \int_{t_0}^t g_i(s)\phi^{\gamma_i}(s-r) \, ds. \tag{10}$$

From Lemma 2.2 and (8), for  $t \in [t_0 + r, T)$  and any positive functions  $k_i(t) \in C([t_0, T), (0, +\infty))$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ), we obtain the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &\leq a(t) + b(t) \int_{t_0}^t f(s)u(s-r) \, ds + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i(t) \int_{t_0}^t g_i(s)u^{\gamma_i}(s-r) \, ds \\ &\leq a(t) + b(t) \int_{t_0}^t f(s)u(s-r) \, ds + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i(t) \int_{t_0}^t [k_i(s)u(s-r) + \theta(g_i(s), k_i(s), \gamma_i)] \, ds \\ &= a(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i(t) \int_{t_0}^t \theta(g_i(s), k_i(s), \gamma_i) \, ds + b(t) \int_{t_0}^t f(s)u(s-r) \, ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i(t) \int_{t_0}^t k_i(s)u(s-r) \, ds \\ &= A(t) + b(t) \int_{t_0}^t f(s)u(s-r) \, ds + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i(t) \int_{t_0}^t k_i(s)u(s-r) \, ds, \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where  $A(t) = a(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i(t) \int_{t_0}^t \theta(g_i(s), k_i(s), \gamma_i) \, ds$ . Given any  $T_0 \in (t_0 + r, T)$ , for  $t \in [t_0 + r, T_0]$ , from (11), we obtain

$$u(t) \leq A(t) + B(T_0) \int_{t_0}^t f(s)u(s-r) \, ds + \sum_{i=1}^n C_i(T_0) \int_{t_0}^t k_i(s)u(s-r) \, ds, \tag{12}$$

where  $B(t) = \max_{t_0 \leq s \leq t} b(s)$ ,  $C_i(t) = \max_{t_0 \leq s \leq t} c_i(s)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Let

$$z(t) = B(T_0) \int_{t_0}^t f(s)u(s-r) \, ds + \sum_{i=1}^n C_i(T_0) \int_{t_0}^t k_i(s)u(s-r) \, ds, \tag{13}$$

then  $z(t_0) = 0$ ,  $u(t) \leq A(t) + z(t)$ ,  $z(t)$  is nonnegative and nondecreasing and

$$\begin{aligned} z'(t) &= B(T_0)f(t)u(t-r) + \sum_{i=1}^n C_i(T_0)k_i(t)u(t-r) \\ &\leq B(T_0)f(t)[A(t-r) + z(t-r)] + \sum_{i=1}^n C_i(T_0)k_i(t)[A(t-r) + z(t-r)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \left[ B(T_0)f(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n C_i(T_0)k_i(t) \right] A(t-r) + \left[ B(T_0)f(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n C_i(T_0)k_i(t) \right] z(t-r) \\
 &\leq \left[ B(T_0)f(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n C_i(T_0)k_i(t) \right] A(t-r) + \left[ B(T_0)f(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n C_i(T_0)k_i(t) \right] z(t) \\
 &= P(t)A(t-r) + P(t)z(t),
 \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where  $P(t) = B(T_0)f(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n C_i(T_0)k_i(t)$ . Based on a straightforward computation, from (14), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 z(t) &\leq \int_{t_0}^{t_0+r} P(s)\phi(s-r) \, ds \cdot \exp \left\{ \int_{t_0+r}^t P(\tau) \, d\tau \right\} \\
 &\quad + \int_{t_0+r}^t A(s-r)P(s) \exp \left\{ \int_s^t P(\tau) \, d\tau \right\} \, ds \\
 &= \left[ B(T_0) \int_{t_0}^{t_0+r} f(s)\phi(s-r) \, ds + \sum_{i=1}^n C_i(T_0) \int_{t_0}^{t_0+r} k_i(s)\phi(s-r) \, ds \right] \\
 &\quad \cdot \exp \left\{ B(T_0) \int_{t_0+r}^t f(\tau) \, d\tau + \sum_{i=1}^n C_i(T_0) \int_{t_0+r}^t k_i(\tau) \, d\tau \right\} \\
 &\quad + B(T_0) \int_{t_0+r}^t A(s-r)f(s) \\
 &\quad \cdot \exp \left\{ B(T_0) \int_s^t f(\tau) \, d\tau + \sum_{i=1}^n C_i(T_0) \int_s^t k_i(\tau) \, d\tau \right\} \, ds \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n C_i(T_0) \int_{t_0+r}^t A(s-r)k_i(s) \\
 &\quad \cdot \exp \left\{ B(T_0) \int_s^t f(\tau) \, d\tau + \sum_{i=1}^n C_i(T_0) \int_s^t k_i(\tau) \, d\tau \right\} \, ds,
 \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
 z(T_0) &\leq \left[ B(T_0) \int_{t_0}^{t_0+r} f(s)\phi(s-r) \, ds + \sum_{i=1}^n C_i(T_0) \int_{t_0}^{t_0+r} k_i(s)\phi(s-r) \, ds \right] \\
 &\quad \cdot \exp \left\{ B(T_0) \int_{t_0+r}^{T_0} f(\tau) \, d\tau + \sum_{i=1}^n C_i(T_0) \int_{t_0+r}^{T_0} k_i(\tau) \, d\tau \right\} \\
 &\quad + B(T_0) \int_{t_0+r}^{T_0} A(s-r)f(s) \\
 &\quad \cdot \exp \left\{ B(T_0) \int_s^{T_0} f(\tau) \, d\tau + \sum_{i=1}^n C_i(T_0) \int_s^{T_0} k_i(\tau) \, d\tau \right\} \, ds \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n C_i(T_0) \int_{t_0+r}^{T_0} A(s-r)k_i(s) \\
 &\quad \cdot \exp \left\{ B(T_0) \int_s^{T_0} f(\tau) \, d\tau + \sum_{i=1}^n C_i(T_0) \int_s^{T_0} k_i(\tau) \, d\tau \right\} \, ds.
 \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

By the arbitrariness of  $T_0 \in (t_0 + r, T)$ , we obtain the inequality (9). The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Remark 2.1** Assume that for Lemma 2.4, if  $b(t) = 1, c_i(t) = 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , then we can obtain Lemma 2.2 in [17].

**Theorem 2.1** Let  $[t_0, T) \subset \mathbb{R} (T \leq \infty), a(t), f(t), b_i(t), c_j(t), g_j(t) \in C([t_0, T), \mathbb{R}_+)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, l; j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ). If  $u(t) \in C([t_0, T), \mathbb{R}_+)$  and

$$u(t) \leq a(t) + \sum_{i=1}^l b_i(t) \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\alpha_i-1} f(s) u(s) ds + \sum_{j=1}^n c_j(t) \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\beta_j-1} g_j(s) u^{\gamma_j}(s) ds, \quad t \in [t_0, T), \tag{17}$$

where the real constants  $\alpha_i > 0 (i = 1, 2, \dots, l)$  and  $\beta_j > 0, 0 \leq \gamma_j < 1 (j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ . Then, for any positive functions  $k_j(t) \in C([t_0, T), (0, +\infty)) (j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ , the following assertions hold:

(i) Suppose that  $\alpha_i > \frac{1}{2} (i = 1, 2, \dots, l), \beta_j > \frac{1}{2} (j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ , then

$$u(t) \leq \sqrt{A(t)} \exp \left\{ t + \frac{B(t)}{2} \int_{t_0}^t F(\tau) d\tau + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{B_j(t)}{2} \int_{t_0}^t k_j(\tau) d\tau \right\}, \quad t \in [t_0, T), \tag{18}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A(t) &= \max_{t_0 \leq s \leq t} H(s) + \sum_{j=1}^n B_j(t) \int_{t_0}^t \theta(G_j(s), k_j(s), \gamma_j) ds, & H(t) &= 2e^{-2t} a^2(t), \\ B_j(t) &= \max_{t_0 \leq s \leq t} K_j(s), & K_j(t) &= \frac{2nc_j^2(t)\Gamma(2\beta_j - 1)}{4^{\beta_j-1}}, \\ G_j(t) &= g_j^2(t)M_j(t), & M_j(t) &= e^{2(\gamma_j-1)t}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ B(t) &= \max_{t_0 \leq s \leq t} K(s), & K(t) &= l \sum_{i=1}^l \frac{2b_i^2(t)e^{2t}\Gamma(2\alpha_i - 1)}{4^{\alpha_i-1}}, & F(t) &= f^2(t). \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

(ii) Suppose that  $0 < \alpha_i \leq \frac{1}{2} (i = 1, 2, \dots, l)$  or  $0 < \beta_j \leq \frac{1}{2} (j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ , then

$$u(t) \leq \tilde{A}^{1/q}(t) \exp \left\{ t + \frac{\tilde{B}(t)}{q} \int_{t_0}^t \tilde{F}(\tau) d\tau + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\tilde{B}_j(t)}{q} \int_{t_0}^t k_j(\tau) d\tau \right\}, \quad t \in [t_0, T), \tag{20}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}(t) &= \max_{t_0 \leq s \leq t} \tilde{H}(s) + \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{B}_j(t) \int_{t_0}^t \theta(\tilde{G}_j(s), k_j(s), \gamma_j) ds, & \tilde{H}(t) &= 2^{q-1} a^q(t) e^{-qt}, \\ \tilde{B}_j(t) &= \max_{t_0 \leq s \leq t} \tilde{K}_j(s), & \tilde{K}_j(t) &= (4n)^{(q-1)} c_j^q(t) \left( \frac{\Gamma(1-p(1-\beta_j))}{p^{1-p(1-\beta_j)}} \right)^{\frac{q}{p}}, \\ \tilde{G}_j(t) &= g_j^q(t) \tilde{M}_j(t), & \tilde{M}_j(t) &= e^{q(\gamma_j-1)t}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

$$\tilde{B}(t) = \max_{t_0 \leq s \leq t} \tilde{K}(s), \quad \tilde{K}(t) = (4l)^{(q-1)} \sum_{i=1}^l b_i^q(t) \left( \frac{e^{pt} \Gamma(1-p(1-\alpha_i))}{p^{1-p(1-\alpha_i)}} \right)^{\frac{q}{p}}, \quad \tilde{F}(t) = f^q(t),$$

$$p = 1 + \theta, \quad q = 1 + \frac{1}{\theta}, \quad \theta = \min\{\alpha_i, \beta_j, i = 1, 2, \dots, l; j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

*Proof* (i) For  $t \in [t_0, T)$ , from (17), using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and a simple computation,

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &\leq a(t) + \sum_{i=1}^l b_i(t) \left( \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{2\alpha_i-2} e^{2s} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{t_0}^t f^2(s) e^{-2s} u^2(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^n c_j(t) \left( \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{2\beta_j-2} e^{2s} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{t_0}^t g_j^2(s) e^{-2s} u^{2\gamma_j}(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &< a(t) + \sum_{i=1}^l \left( \frac{2b_i^2(t) e^{2t} \Gamma(2\alpha_i - 1)}{4^{\alpha_i}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{t_0}^t f^2(s) e^{-2s} u^2(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{2c_j^2(t) e^{2t} \Gamma(2\beta_j - 1)}{4^{\beta_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{t_0}^t g_j^2(s) e^{-2s} u^{2\gamma_j}(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Using Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u^2(t) &\leq 2a^2(t) + l \sum_{i=1}^l \frac{2b_i^2(t) e^{2t} \Gamma(2\alpha_i - 1)}{4^{\alpha_i-1}} \int_{t_0}^t f^2(s) e^{-2s} u^2(s) ds \\ &\quad + n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{2c_j^2(t) e^{2t} \Gamma(2\beta_j - 1)}{4^{\beta_j-1}} \int_{t_0}^t g_j^2(s) e^{-2s} u^{2\gamma_j}(s) ds. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Let  $w(t) = [e^{-t}u(t)]^2$ , we obtain

$$w(t) \leq H(t) + K(t) \int_{t_0}^t F(s)w(s) ds + \sum_{j=1}^n K_j(t) \int_{t_0}^t G_j(s)w^{\gamma_j}(s) ds,$$

where  $H(t), F(t), K_j(t)$ , and  $G_j(t)$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) are defined in (19).

Using Lemma 2.3, we get, for any positive functions  $k_j(t) \in C([t_0, T), (0, +\infty))$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ),

$$w(t) \leq A(t) \exp \left\{ B(t) \int_{t_0}^t F(\tau) d\tau + \sum_{j=1}^n B_j(t) \int_{t_0}^t k_j(\tau) d\tau \right\}, \tag{24}$$

where  $A(t), B(t)$ , and  $B_j(t)$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) are defined in (19). From the definition of  $w(t)$ , we get (18).

(ii) For  $t \in [t_0, T)$ , by the hypothesis, we get  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . Using the Hölder inequality and a simple computation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &\leq a(t) + \sum_{i=1}^l b_i(t) \left( \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{p\alpha_i-p} e^{ps} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_{t_0}^t f^q(s) e^{-qs} u^q(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^n c_j(t) \left( \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{p\beta_j-p} e^{ps} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_{t_0}^t g_j^q(s) e^{-qs} u^{q\gamma_j}(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned} &\leq a(t) + \sum_{i=1}^l b_i(t) \left( \frac{e^{pt} \Gamma(1-p(1-\alpha_i))}{p^{1-p(1-\alpha_i)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_{t_0}^t f^q(s) e^{-qs} u^q(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^n c_j(t) \left( \frac{e^{pt} \Gamma(1-p(1-\beta_j))}{p^{1-p(1-\beta_j)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_{t_0}^t g_j^q(s) e^{-qs} u^{q\gamma_j}(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously,  $1 - p(1 - \alpha_i) = 1 - (1 + \theta)(1 - \alpha_i) \geq 1 - (1 + \alpha_i)(1 - \alpha_i) = \alpha_i^2 > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, l,$   
 $1 - p(1 - \beta_j) = 1 - (1 + \theta)(1 - \beta_j) \geq 1 - (1 + \beta_j)(1 - \beta_j) = \beta_j^2 > 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$

Using Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u^q(t) &\leq 2^{q-1} a^q(t) + (4l)^{(q-1)} \sum_{i=1}^l b_i^q(t) \left( \frac{e^{pt} \Gamma(1-p(1-\alpha_i))}{p^{1-p(1-\alpha_i)}} \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \int_{t_0}^t f^q(s) e^{-qs} u^q(s) ds \\ &\quad + (4n)^{(q-1)} \sum_{j=1}^n c_j^q(t) \left( \frac{e^{pt} \Gamma(1-p(1-\beta_j))}{p^{1-p(1-\beta_j)}} \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \int_{t_0}^t g_j^q(s) e^{-qs} u^{q\gamma_j}(s) ds. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Let  $w(t) = [e^{-t} u(t)]^q$ , we get

$$w(t) \leq \tilde{H}(t) + \tilde{K}(t) \int_{t_0}^t \tilde{F}(s) w(s) ds + \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{K}_j(t) \int_{t_0}^t \tilde{G}_j(s) w^{\gamma_j}(s) ds,$$

where  $\tilde{H}(t), \tilde{K}_j(t) (j = 1, 2, \dots, n), \tilde{F}(t),$  and  $\tilde{G}_j(t) (j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$  are defined in (21). Using Lemma 2.3, we have, for any positive functions  $k_j(t) \in C([t_0, T), (0, +\infty)) (j = 1, 2, \dots, n),$

$$w(t) \leq \tilde{A}(t) \exp \left\{ \tilde{B}(t) \int_{t_0}^t \tilde{F}(\tau) d\tau + \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{B}_j(t) \int_{t_0}^t k_j(\tau) d\tau \right\}, \tag{26}$$

where  $\tilde{A}(t), \tilde{B}(t),$  and  $\tilde{B}_j(t) (j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$  are defined in (21). From the definition of  $w(t),$  we get (20). The proof is complete. □

**Theorem 2.2** *Let  $[t_0, T) \subset \mathbb{R} (T \leq \infty), a(t), f(t), b_i(t), c_j(t), g_j(t) \in C([t_0, T), \mathbb{R}_+) (i = 1, 2, \dots, l; j = 1, 2, \dots, n). \phi(t) \in C([t_0 - r, t_0], \mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $a(t_0) = \phi(t_0).$  If  $u(t) \in C([t_0 - r, T), \mathbb{R}_+),$  and*

$$\begin{cases} u(t) \leq a(t) + \sum_{i=1}^l b_i(t) \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\alpha_i-1} f(s) u(s-r) ds \\ \quad + \sum_{j=1}^n c_j(t) \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\beta_j-1} g_j(s) u^{\gamma_j}(s-r) ds, \quad t \in [t_0, T), \\ u(t) \leq \phi(t), \quad t \in [t_0 - r, t_0), \end{cases} \tag{27}$$

where the real constants  $\alpha_i > 0 (i = 1, 2, \dots, l), \beta_j > 0, 0 \leq \gamma_j < 1 (j = 1, 2, \dots, n).$  Then, for any positive functions  $k_i(t) \in C([t_0, T), (0, +\infty)) (i = 1, 2, \dots, n),$  the following assertions hold:

(i) *Suppose that  $\alpha_i > \frac{1}{2} (i = 1, 2, \dots, l), \beta_j > \frac{1}{2} (j = 1, 2, \dots, n),$  then*

$$\begin{cases} u(t) \leq e^t \{ A(t) + [B(t) \int_{t_0}^{t_0+r} F(s) \phi_1(s-r) ds + \sum_{j=1}^n B_j(t) \int_{t_0}^{t_0+r} k_j(s) \phi_1(s-r) ds] \\ \quad \cdot \exp\{B(t) \int_{t_0+r}^t F(\tau) d\tau + \sum_{j=1}^n B_j(t) \int_{t_0+r}^t k_j(\tau) d\tau\} \\ \quad + B(t) \int_{t_0+r}^t A(s-r) F(s) \exp\{B(t) \int_s^t F(\tau) d\tau + \sum_{j=1}^n B_j(t) \int_s^t k_j(\tau) d\tau\} ds \\ \quad + \sum_{j=1}^n B_j(t) \int_{t_0+r}^t A(s-r) k_j(s) \\ \quad \cdot \exp\{B(t) \int_s^t F(\tau) d\tau + \sum_{j=1}^n B_j(t) \int_s^t k_j(\tau) d\tau\} ds \}^{1/2}, \quad t \in [t_0 + r, T), \\ u(t) \leq a(t) + \sum_{i=1}^l b_i(t) \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\alpha_i-1} f(s) \phi(s-r) ds \\ \quad + \sum_{j=1}^n c_j(t) \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\beta_j-1} g_j(s) \phi^{\gamma_j}(s-r) ds, \quad t \in [t_0, t_0 + r), \end{cases} \tag{28}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 A(t) &= H(t) + \sum_{j=1}^n K_j(t) \int_{t_0}^t \theta(G_j(s), k_j(s), \gamma_j) ds, \\
 H(t) &= 2e^{-2t} a^2(t), \quad K_j(t) = \frac{2nc_i^2(t)e^{-2\gamma_j r} \Gamma(2\beta_j - 1)}{4^{\beta_j - 1}}, \\
 G_j(t) &= g_j^2(t)M_j(t), \quad M_j(t) = e^{2(\gamma_j - 1)t}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\
 B(t) &= \max_{t_0 \leq s \leq t} K(s), \quad K(t) = l \sum_{i=1}^l \frac{2b_i^2(t)e^{2t} \Gamma(2\alpha_i - 1)}{4^{\alpha_i - 1}}, \\
 F(t) &= f^2(t), \quad \phi_1(t) = e^{-2t} \phi^2(t), \quad B_i(t) = \max_{t_0 \leq s \leq t} K_j(s), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n.
 \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

(ii) Suppose that  $0 < \alpha_i \leq \frac{1}{2}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$ ) or  $0 < \beta_j \leq \frac{1}{2}$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) then

$$\begin{cases}
 u(t) \leq e^t \{ \tilde{A}(t) + [\tilde{B}(t) \int_{t_0}^{t_0+r} \tilde{F}(s) \phi_2(s-r) ds + \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{B}_j(t) \int_{t_0}^{t_0+r} k_j(s) \phi_2(s-r) ds] \\
 \quad \cdot \exp\{\tilde{B}(t) \int_{t_0+r}^t \tilde{F}(\tau) d\tau + \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{B}_j(t) \int_{t_0+r}^t k_j(\tau) d\tau\} \\
 \quad + \tilde{B}(t) \int_{t_0+r}^t \tilde{A}(s-r) \tilde{F}(s) \exp\{\tilde{B}(t) \int_s^t \tilde{F}(\tau) d\tau + \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{B}_j(t) \int_s^t k_j(\tau) d\tau\} ds \\
 \quad + \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{B}_j(t) \int_{t_0+r}^t \tilde{A}(s-r) k_j(s) \\
 \quad \cdot \exp\{\tilde{B}(t) \int_s^t \tilde{F}(\tau) d\tau + \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{B}_j(t) \int_s^t k_j(\tau) d\tau\} ds \}^{1/q}, \quad t \in [t_0 + r, T), \\
 u(t) \leq a(t) + \sum_{i=1}^l b_i(t) \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\alpha_i - 1} f(s) \phi(s-r) ds \\
 \quad + \sum_{j=1}^n c_j(t) \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\beta_j - 1} g_j(s) \phi^{\gamma_j}(s-r) ds, \quad t \in [t_0, t_0 + r),
 \end{cases} \tag{30}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{A}(t) &= \max_{t_0 \leq s \leq t} \tilde{H}(s) + \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{B}_j(t) \int_{t_0}^t \theta(\tilde{G}_j(s), k_j(s), \gamma_j) ds, \\
 \tilde{H}(t) &= 2^{q-1} e^{-qt} a^q(t), \quad \tilde{B}_j(t) = \max_{t_0 \leq s \leq t} \tilde{K}_j(s), \\
 \tilde{K}_j(t) &= (4n)^{(q-1)} c_j^q(t) e^{-q\gamma_j r} \left( \frac{\Gamma(1-p(1-\beta_j))}{p^{1-p(1-\beta_j)}} \right)^{\frac{q}{p}}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\
 \tilde{G}_j(t) &= g_j^q(t) \tilde{M}_j(t), \quad \tilde{M}_j(t) = e^{q(\gamma_j - 1)t}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\
 \tilde{B}(t) &= \max_{t_0 \leq s \leq t} \tilde{K}(s), \quad \tilde{K}(t) = (4l)^{(q-1)} \sum_{i=1}^l b_i^q(t) \left( \frac{e^{pt} \Gamma(1-p(1-\alpha_i))}{p^{1-p(1-\alpha_i)}} \right)^{\frac{q}{p}}, \\
 \phi_2(t) &= e^{-qt} \phi^q(t), \quad p = 1 + \theta, \quad q = 1 + \frac{1}{\theta}, \\
 \theta &= \min\{\alpha_i, \beta_j, i = 1, 2, \dots, l; j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}, \quad \tilde{F}(t) = f^q(t).
 \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

*Proof* From (27), for  $t \in [t_0, t_0 + r)$ , we can easily get

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(t) &\leq a(t) + \sum_{i=1}^l b_i(t) \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\alpha_i - 1} f(s) \phi(s-r) ds \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^n c_j(t) \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{\beta_j - 1} g_j(s) \phi^{\gamma_j}(s-r) ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

(i) For  $t \in [t_0, T)$ , from a proof procedure similar to (i) of Theorem 2.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 u^2(t) &\leq 2a^2(t) + l \sum_{i=1}^l \frac{2b_i^2(t)e^{2t}\Gamma(2\alpha_i - 1)}{4^{\alpha_i-1}} \int_{t_0}^t f^2(s)e^{-2s}u^2(s-r) \, ds \\
 &\quad + n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{2c_j^2(t)e^{2t}\Gamma(2\beta_j - 1)}{4^{\beta_j-1}} \int_{t_0}^t g_j^2(s)e^{-2s}u^{2\gamma_j}(s-r) \, ds. \tag{32}
 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $w(t) = [e^{-t}u(t)]^2$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 w(t) &\leq H(t) + K(t) \int_{t_0}^t F(s)w(s-r) \, ds \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^n K_j(t) \int_{t_0}^t G_j(s)w^{\gamma_j}(s-r) \, ds, \quad t \in [t_0, T) \tag{33}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$w(t) \leq \phi_1(t), \quad t \in [t_0 - r, t_0),$$

where  $H(t), K(t), F(t), \phi_1(t)$ , and  $K_j(t), G_j(t)$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) are defined in (29). Using Lemma 2.4, we get, for any positive functions  $k_j(t) \in C([t_0, T), (0, +\infty))$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ),

$$\begin{aligned}
 w(t) &\leq A(t) + \left[ B(t) \int_{t_0}^{t_0+r} F(s)\phi_1(s-r) \, ds + \sum_{j=1}^n B_j(t) \int_{t_0}^{t_0+r} k_j(s)\phi_1(s-r) \, ds \right] \\
 &\quad \cdot \exp \left\{ B(t) \int_{t_0+r}^t F(\tau) \, d\tau + \sum_{j=1}^n B_j(t) \int_{t_0+r}^t k_j(\tau) \, d\tau \right\} \\
 &\quad + B(t) \int_{t_0+r}^t A(s-r)F(s) \exp \left\{ B(t) \int_s^t F(\tau) \, d\tau + \sum_{j=1}^n B_j(t) \int_s^t k_j(\tau) \, d\tau \right\} \, ds \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^n B_j(t) \int_{t_0+r}^t A(s-r)k_j(s) \exp \left\{ B(t) \int_s^t F(\tau) \, d\tau + \sum_{j=1}^n B_j(t) \int_s^t k_j(\tau) \, d\tau \right\} \, ds, \\
 &\quad t \in [t_0 + r, T),
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $A(t), B(t)$ , and  $B_j(t)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) are defined in (21). From the definition of  $w(t)$ , we get (28).

(ii) For  $t \in [t_0, T)$ , from the similar proof procedure of (ii) of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 u^q(t) &\leq 2^{q-1}a^q(t) + (4l)^{(q-1)} \sum_{i=1}^l b_i^q(t) \left( \frac{e^{pt}\Gamma(1-p(1-\alpha_i))}{p^{1-p(1-\alpha_i)}} \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \\
 &\quad \cdot \int_{t_0}^t f^q(s)e^{-qs}u^q(s-r) \, ds \\
 &\quad + (4n)^{(q-1)} \sum_{j=1}^n c_j^q(t) \left( \frac{e^{pt}\Gamma(1-p(1-\beta_j))}{p^{1-p(1-\beta_j)}} \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \int_{t_0}^t g_j^q(s)e^{-qs}u^{q\gamma_j}(s-r) \, ds. \tag{34}
 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $w(t) = [e^{-t}u(t)]^q$ , we get

$$w(t) \leq \tilde{H}(t) + \tilde{K}(t) \int_{t_0}^t \tilde{F}(s)w(s-r) ds + \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{K}_j(t) \int_{t_0}^t \tilde{G}_j(s)w^{1/q}(s-r) ds, \quad t \in [t_0, T)$$

and

$$w(t) \leq \phi_2(t), \quad t \in [t_0 - r, t_0),$$

where  $\tilde{H}(t), \tilde{F}(t), \phi_2(t), \tilde{K}_j(t)$  and  $\tilde{G}_j(t)$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) are defined in (31). Using Lemma 2.4, we get, for any positive functions  $k_j(t) \in C([t_0, T), (0, +\infty))$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ),

$$\begin{aligned} w(t) \leq & \tilde{A}(t) + \left[ \tilde{B}(t) \int_{t_0}^{t_0+r} \tilde{F}(s)\phi_2(s-r) ds + \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{B}_j(t) \int_{t_0}^{t_0+r} k_j(s)\phi_1(s-r) ds \right] \\ & \cdot \exp \left\{ \tilde{B}(t) \int_{t_0+r}^t \tilde{F}(\tau) d\tau + \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{B}_j(t) \int_{t_0+r}^t k_j(\tau) d\tau \right\} \\ & + \tilde{B}(t) \int_{t_0+r}^t \tilde{A}(s-r)\tilde{F}(s) \exp \left\{ \tilde{B}(t) \int_s^t \tilde{F}(\tau) d\tau + \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{B}_j(t) \int_s^t k_j(\tau) d\tau \right\} ds \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{B}_j(t) \int_{t_0+r}^t \tilde{A}(s-r)k_j(s) \exp \left\{ \tilde{B}(t) \int_s^t \tilde{F}(\tau) d\tau + \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{B}_j(t) \int_s^t k_j(\tau) d\tau \right\} ds, \\ & t \in [t_0 + r, T), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{A}(t), \tilde{B}(t)$ , and  $\tilde{B}_j(t)$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) are defined in (31). From the definition of  $w(t)$ , we get (30). The proof is complete. □

### 3 Applications

In this section, we present some applications in studying the boundedness of the solutions of certain fractional differential equations with a Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative and a Caputo fractional derivative, respectively.

First, we consider some fractional differential equations with the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative. The Riemann-Liouville fractional order derivative and fractional integral are defined as follows.

**Definition 1** [21] For any  $0 < \beta < 1$ , the  $\beta$ th Riemann-Liouville fractional order derivative of a function  $f : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by

$$D_R^\beta f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\beta)} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\beta} f(s) ds,$$

where  $\Gamma$  is the gamma function.

**Definition 2** [21] The  $\beta$ th Riemann-Liouville fractional order integral of a function  $f : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by

$$I_R^\beta f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} f(s) ds,$$

where  $\beta > 0$ .

Let us consider the initial value problems for fractional differential equations in the following form:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n D_R^{\beta_i} y(t) = f(t, y(t)), \tag{35}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n I_R^{1-\beta_i} y(t)|_{t=0} = \delta, \tag{36}$$

where  $0 < \beta_1 < \beta_2 < \dots < \beta_n < 1$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ . The solution  $y(t)$  of the initial value problem (IVP) (35)-(36) can be written as (see [18])

$$\begin{aligned} y(t) &= I_R^{\beta_n} f(t, y(t)) - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} I_R^{\beta_n - \beta_i} y(t) + \delta \frac{t^{\beta_n - 1}}{\Gamma(\beta_n)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta_n)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta_n - 1} f(s, y(s)) \, ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta_n - \beta_i)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta_n - \beta_i - 1} y(s) \, ds + \delta \frac{t^{\beta_n - 1}}{\Gamma(\beta_n)}. \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

The following theorem deals with the boundedness of the solutions of the initial value problem (35)-(36).

**Theorem 3.1** *Suppose that the function  $f \in C([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  satisfies*

$$|f(t, y)| \leq h_1(t)|y|^{\gamma_1} + h_2(t)|y|^{\gamma_2} + h_3(t)|y|^{\gamma_3}, \quad (t, y) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}, \tag{38}$$

where  $0 < \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3 < 1$  are constants independent of  $t, y$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $h_1(t), h_2(t)$ , and  $h_3(t)$  are nonnegative continuous functions defined on  $[0, T]$ . If  $y(t)$  is any solution of the initial value problem (35)-(36), then, for any positive functions  $k_j(t) \in C([t_0, T], (0, +\infty))$  ( $j = 1, 2, 3$ ), the following assertions hold:

(i) *Suppose that  $\beta_n - \beta_i > \frac{1}{2}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ ),  $\beta_n > \frac{1}{2}$ , then*

$$u(t) \leq \sqrt{A(t)} \exp \left\{ \frac{t(2 + B(t))}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{B_j(t)}{2} \int_0^t k_j(\tau) \, d\tau \right\}, \quad t \in [0, T], \tag{39}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A(t) &= \max_{0 \leq s \leq t} H(s) + \sum_{j=1}^3 B_j(t) \int_0^t \theta(G_j(s), k_j(s), \gamma_j) \, ds, & H(t) &= \frac{\delta^2 2e^{-2t} t^{2(\beta_n - 1)}}{\Gamma^2(\beta_n)}, \\ B_j(t) &= \frac{6\Gamma(2\beta_n - 1)}{4^{\beta_n - 1} \Gamma^2(\beta_n)}, & G_j(t) &= h_j^2(t) e^{2(\gamma_j - 1)t}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \\ B(t) &= (n - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{2e^{2t} \Gamma(2\beta_n - 2\beta_i - 1)}{4^{\beta_n - \beta_i - 1} \Gamma^2(\beta_n - \beta_i)}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Suppose that  $\beta_n - \beta_i \leq \frac{1}{2}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ ), or  $0 < \beta_n \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , then

$$u(t) \leq \tilde{A}^{1/q}(t) \exp \left\{ \frac{t(q + \tilde{B}(t))}{q} + \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\tilde{B}_j(t)}{q} \int_0^t k_j(\tau) d\tau \right\}, \quad t \in [0, T], \tag{40}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}(t) &= \max_{0 \leq s \leq t} \tilde{H}(s) + \sum_{j=1}^3 \tilde{B}_j(t) \int_0^t \theta (\tilde{G}_j(s), k_j(s), \gamma_j) ds, & \tilde{H}(t) &= \frac{|\delta|^q 2^{q-1} e^{-qt} t^{q(\beta_n-1)}}{\Gamma^q(\beta_n)}, \\ \tilde{B}_j(t) &= (12)^{(q-1)} \frac{1}{\Gamma^q(\beta_n)} \left( \frac{\Gamma(1-p(1-\beta_n))}{p^{1-p(1-\beta_n)}} \right)^{\frac{q}{p}}, \\ \tilde{G}_j(t) &= h_j^q(t) e^{q(\gamma_j-1)t}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, & \tilde{B}(t) &= \max_{0 \leq s \leq t} \tilde{K}(s), \\ \tilde{K}(t) &= (4(n-1))^{(q-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\Gamma^q(\beta_n - \beta_i)} \left( \frac{e^{pt} \Gamma(1-p(1-\alpha_i))}{p^{1-p(1-\alpha_i)}} \right)^{\frac{q}{p}}, \\ p &= 1 + \theta, \quad q = 1 + \frac{1}{\theta}, & \theta &= \min\{\beta_n - \beta_i, \beta_n, i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof* From (37) and (38) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |y(t)| &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta_n)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta_n-1} |f(s, y(s))| ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta_n - \beta_i)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta_n-\beta_i-1} |y(s)| ds + |\delta| \frac{t^{\beta_n-1}}{\Gamma(\beta_n)} \\ &\leq |\delta| \frac{t^{\beta_n-1}}{\Gamma(\beta_n)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta_n)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta_n-1} (h_1(s)|y(s)|^{\gamma_1} + h_2(s)|y(s)|^{\gamma_2} + h_3(s)|y(s)|^{\gamma_3}) ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta_n - \beta_i)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta_n-\beta_i-1} |y(s)| ds. \end{aligned}$$

As an application of Theorem 2.1, we obtain the inequalities (39) and (40). This process completes the proof of Theorem 3.1. □

Next, we consider some fractional differential equations with the Caputo fractional derivative. The Caputo fractional order derivative is defined as follows.

**Definition 3** [21] The Caputo fractional derivative of order  $\alpha$  ( $n - 1 < \alpha < n$ ,  $n$  is a positive integer) of a continuous function  $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is given by

$$D_t^\alpha f(x) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_{t_0}^x (x-t)^{-\alpha+n-1} f^{(n)}(t) dt.$$

Let us consider the initial value problems for fractional differential equations with delay in the following form:

$$D_t^\beta y(t) = f(t, y(t-r)), \quad t \in [t_0, T), \tag{41}$$

with the given initial condition

$$y(t) = \phi(t), \quad t \in [t_0 - r, t_0], \tag{42}$$

where  $f \in C([t_0, T] \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  and  $\phi$  is a given continuously differentiable function on  $[t_0 - r, t_0]$  up to order  $n$  ( $n = -[-\beta]$ ), and we denote  $\phi^k(t_0) = b_k, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ .

**Theorem 3.2** *Suppose that*

$$|f(t, y)| \leq h_0(t)|y| + h_1(t)|y|^{\gamma_1} + h_2(t)|y|^{\gamma_2}, \quad (t, y) \in [t_0, T] \times \mathbb{R}, \tag{43}$$

where  $0 < \gamma_1, \gamma_2 < 1$  are constants independent of  $t, y$  in  $\mathbb{R}, h_0(t), h_1(t),$  and  $h_2(t)$  are non-negative continuous functions defined on  $[t_0, T]$ . If  $y(t)$  is any solution of the initial value problem (41)-(42), then, for any positive functions  $k_j(t) \in C([t_0, T], (0, +\infty))$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ), the following assertions hold:

(i) *Suppose that  $\beta > \frac{1}{2}$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) \leq & e^t \left\{ A(t) + \left[ B(t) \int_{t_0}^{t_0+r} F(s)\phi_1(s-r) ds + \sum_{j=1}^2 B_j(t) \int_{t_0}^{t_0+r} k_j(s)\phi_1(s-r) ds \right] \right. \\ & \cdot \exp \left\{ B(t) \int_{t_0+r}^t F(\tau) d\tau + \sum_{j=1}^2 B_j(t) \int_{t_0+r}^t k_j(\tau) d\tau \right\} \\ & + B(t) \int_{t_0+r}^t A(s-r)F(s) \\ & \cdot \exp \left\{ B(t) \int_s^t F(\tau) d\tau + \sum_{j=1}^2 B_j(t) \int_s^t k_j(\tau) d\tau \right\} ds \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^2 B_j(t) \int_{t_0+r}^t A(s-r)k_j(s) \\ & \left. \cdot \exp \left\{ B(t) \int_s^t F(\tau) d\tau + \sum_{j=1}^2 B_j(t) \int_s^t k_j(\tau) d\tau \right\} ds \right\}^{1/2}, \quad t \in [t_0 + r, T], \tag{44} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A(t) &= H(t) + \sum_{j=1}^2 K_j(t) \int_{t_0}^t \theta(G_j(s), k_j(s), \gamma_j) ds, \\ H(t) &= 2e^{-2t} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{b_k}{k!} (t - t_0)^k \right)^2, \\ B_j(t) = K_j(t) &= \frac{e^{-2\gamma_j r} \Gamma(2\beta - 1)}{4^{\beta-2} \Gamma^2(\beta)}, \quad G_j(t) = h_j^2(t) e^{2(\gamma_j-1)t}, \quad j = 1, 2, \\ B(t) &= \frac{2e^{2t} \Gamma(2\beta - 1)}{4^{\beta-1} \Gamma^2(\beta)}, \quad F(t) = h_0^2(t), \quad \phi_1(t) = e^{-2t} \phi^2(t). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Suppose that  $0 < \beta \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(t) \leq & e^t \left\{ \tilde{A}(t) + \left[ \tilde{B}(t) \int_{t_0}^{t_0+r} \tilde{F}(s)\phi_2(s-r) ds + \sum_{j=1}^2 \tilde{B}_j(t) \int_{t_0}^{t_0+r} k_j(s)\phi_2(s-r) ds \right] \right. \\
 & \cdot \exp \left\{ \tilde{B}(t) \int_{t_0+r}^t \tilde{F}(\tau) d\tau + \sum_{j=1}^2 \tilde{B}_j(t) \int_{t_0+r}^t k_j(\tau) d\tau \right\} \\
 & + \tilde{B}(t) \int_{t_0+r}^t \tilde{A}(s-r)\tilde{F}(s) \\
 & \cdot \exp \left\{ \tilde{B}(t) \int_s^t \tilde{F}(\tau) d\tau + \sum_{j=1}^2 \tilde{B}_j(t) \int_s^t k_j(\tau) d\tau \right\} ds \\
 & + \sum_{j=1}^2 \tilde{B}_j(t) \int_{t_0+r}^t \tilde{A}(s-r)k_j(s) \\
 & \left. \cdot \exp \left\{ \tilde{B}(t) \int_s^t \tilde{F}(\tau) d\tau + \sum_{j=1}^2 \tilde{B}_j(t) \int_s^t k_j(\tau) d\tau \right\} ds \right\}^{1/q}, \quad t \in [t_0 + r, T), \quad (45)
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{A}(t) &= \max_{t_0 \leq s \leq t} \tilde{H}(s) + \sum_{j=1}^2 \tilde{B}_j(t) \int_{t_0}^t \theta(\tilde{G}_j(s), k_j(s), \gamma_j) ds, \\
 \tilde{H}(t) &= 2^{q-1} e^{-qt} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{b_k}{k!} (t-t_0)^k \right)^q, \\
 \tilde{B}_j(t) &= \frac{8^{q-1} e^{-q\gamma_j r}}{\Gamma^q(\beta)} \left( \frac{\Gamma(1-p(1-\beta))}{p^{1-p(1-\beta)}} \right)^{\frac{q}{p}}, \quad \tilde{G}_j(t) = g_j^q(t) e^{q(\gamma_j-1)t}, \quad j = 1, 2, \\
 \tilde{B}(t) &= \frac{4^{q-1}}{\Gamma^q(\beta)} \left( \frac{e^{pt} \Gamma(1-p(1-\beta))}{p^{1-p(1-\beta)}} \right)^{\frac{q}{p}}, \quad \phi_2(t) = e^{-qt} \phi^q(t), \\
 p &= 1 + \beta, \quad q = 1 + \frac{1}{\beta}, \quad \tilde{F}(t) = h_0^q(t).
 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof* The solution  $y(t)$  of the initial value problem (41)-(42) can be written as (see [21])

$$\begin{cases} y(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{b_k}{k!} (t-t_0)^k + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^\beta f(s, y(s)) ds, & t \in [t_0, T), \\ u(t) = \phi(t), & t \in [t_0 - r, t_0]. \end{cases}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
 |y(t)| \leq & \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{|b_k|}{k!} (t-t_0)^k + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^\beta \\
 & \cdot (h_0(s)|y(s)| + h_1(s)|y(s)|^{\gamma_1} + h_2(s)|y(s)|^{\gamma_2}) ds, \quad t \in [t_0, T).
 \end{aligned}$$

As an application of Theorem 2.2, we obtain the inequalities (44) and (45). This process completes the proof of Theorem 3.2. □



**Competing interests**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

**Authors' contributions**

All authors contributed equally to the writing of this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Acknowledgements**

The authors would like to thank the referee for his/her careful reading and kind suggestions. This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundations of China (Nos. 11171178, 11271225), the Science and Technology Project of High Schools of Shandong Province (No. J14LI09).

Received: 3 February 2015 Accepted: 22 May 2015 Published online: 25 June 2015

**References**

1. Ma, QH, Yang, EH: Estimations on solutions of some weakly singular Volterra integral inequalities. *Acta Math. Appl. Sin.* **25**, 505-515 (2002)
2. Grinshpan, AZ: Weighted integral and integro-differential inequalities. *Adv. Appl. Math.* **41**, 227-246 (2008)
3. Medved', M: A new approach to an analysis of Henry type integral inequalities and their Bihari type versions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **214**, 349-366 (1997)
4. Medved', M: Singular integral inequalities and stability of semi-linear parabolic equations. *Arch. Math.* **24**, 183-190 (1998)
5. Kirane, M, Tatar, N-E: Global existence and stability of some semilinear problems. *Arch. Math.* **36**, 33-44 (2000)
6. Medved', M: Nonlinear singular integral inequalities for functions of two and  $n$  independent variables. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **5**, 287-308 (2000)
7. Tatar, N-E: Exponential decay for a semilinear problem with memory. *Arab J. Math. Sci.* **7**(1), 29-45 (2001)
8. Tatar, N-E: On an integral inequality with a kernel singular in time and space. *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* **4**(4), Article 82 (2003)
9. Ye, HP, Gao, JM, Ding, YS: A generalized Gronwall inequality and its application to a fractional differential equation. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **328**, 1075-1081 (2007)
10. Ma, QH, Pečarić, J: Some new explicit bounds for weakly singular integral inequalities with applications to fractional differential and integral equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **341**, 894-905 (2008)
11. Ma, QH, Pečarić, J, Zhang, JM: Integral inequalities of systems and the estimate for solutions of certain nonlinear two-dimensional fractional differential systems. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **61**, 3258-3267 (2011)
12. Bainov, D, Simeonov, P: *Integral Inequalities and Applications. Mathematics and Its Applications*, vol. 57. Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht (1992)
13. Jiang, FC, Meng, FW: Explicit bounds on some new nonlinear integral inequality with delay. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **205**, 479-486 (2007)
14. Ferreira, RAC, Torres, DFM: Generalized retarded integral inequalities. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **22**, 876-881 (2009)
15. Feng, Q, Meng, F: Some new Gronwall-type inequalities arising in the research of fractional differential equations. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2013**, Article ID 429 (2013)
16. Shao, J, Meng, FW: Gronwall-Bellman type inequalities and their applications to fractional differential equations. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2013**, Article ID 217641 (2013)
17. Ye, HP, Gao, JM: Henry-Gronwall type retarded integral inequalities and their applications to fractional differential equations with delay. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **218**, 4152-4160 (2011)
18. Lin, SY: New results for generalized Gronwall inequalities and their applications. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2014**, Article ID 168594 (2014)
19. Henry, D: *Geometric Theory of Semilinear Parabolic Equations. Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 840. Springer, Berlin (1981)
20. Sun, YG: Nonlinear dynamical integral inequalities in two independent variables and their applications. *Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc.* **2011**, Article ID 320794 (2011)
21. Kilbas, AA, Srivastava, HM, Trujillo, JJ: *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations. North-Holland Mathematics Studies*, vol. 204. Elsevier, Amsterdam (2006)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► [springeropen.com](http://springeropen.com)