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#### Abstract

In this paper, we present the best possible parameter $a \in(1 / 15, \infty)$ such that the functions $\psi^{\prime}(x+1)-\mathcal{L}_{x}(x, a)$ and $\psi^{\prime \prime}(x+1)-\mathcal{L}_{x x}(x, a)$ are strictly increasing or decreasing with respect to $x \in(0, \infty)$, where $\mathcal{L}(x, a)=\frac{1}{90 a^{2}+2} \log \left(x^{2}+x+\frac{3 a+1}{3}\right)+$ $\frac{45 a^{2}}{90 a^{2}+2} \log \left(x^{2}+x+\frac{15 a-1}{45 a}\right)$ and $\psi(x)$ is the classical psi function. As applications, we get several new sharp bounds for the psi function and its derivatives.
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## 1 Introduction

For real and positive values of $x$, Euler's gamma function $\Gamma$ and its logarithmic derivative $\psi$, the so-called psi function, are defined by

$$
\Gamma(x)=\int_{0}^{+\infty} t^{x-1} e^{-t} d t, \quad \psi(x)=\frac{\Gamma^{\prime}(x)}{\Gamma(x)},
$$

respectively. For extensions of these functions to complex variables and for basic properties see [1]. Recently, the gamma function $\Gamma$ and psi function $\psi$ have been the subject of intensive research. In particular, many remarkable inequalities and monotonicity properties for these functions can be found in the literature [2-18].

Recently, Yang [19] introduced the function

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}(x, a)= & \frac{1}{90 a^{2}+2} \log \left(x^{2}+x+\frac{3 a+1}{3}\right) \\
& +\frac{45 a^{2}}{90 a^{2}+2} \log \left(x^{2}+x+\frac{15 a-1}{45 a}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{align*}
$$

and proved that the double inequality

$$
\mathcal{L}(x, a)<\psi(x+1)<\mathcal{L}(x-1, b)+\frac{1}{x}
$$

holds for all $x>0$ if and only if $a \leq a_{0}=0.5129 \ldots$ and $b \geq(40+3 \sqrt{205}) / 105=0.7900 \ldots$ if $a \in(1 / 15, \infty)$ and $b \in(4 / 15, \infty)$, where $a_{0}$ is the unique solution of the equation $\mathcal{L}(0, a)=$ $\psi(1)$.

Partial derivative computations give

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{L}_{x}(x, a)=\frac{1}{90 a^{2}+2} \frac{2 x+1}{x^{2}+x+a+\frac{1}{3}}+\frac{45 a^{2}}{90 a^{2}+2} \frac{2 x+1}{x^{2}+x+\frac{15 a-1}{45 a}},  \tag{1.2}\\
& \mathcal{L}_{x x}(x, a)=-\frac{1}{45 a^{2}+1} \frac{x^{2}+x-a+\frac{1}{6}}{\left(x^{2}+x+a+\frac{1}{3}\right)^{2}}-\frac{45 a^{2}}{45 a^{2}+1} \frac{x^{2}+x+\frac{1}{45 a}+\frac{1}{6}}{\left(x^{2}+x+\frac{15 a-1}{45 a}\right)^{2}},  \tag{1.3}\\
& \mathcal{L}_{x x x}(x, a)=\frac{1}{45 a^{2}+1} \frac{(2 x+1)\left(x^{2}+x-3 a\right)}{\left(x^{2}+x+a+\frac{1}{3}\right)^{3}}+\frac{45 a^{2}}{45 a^{2}+1} \frac{(2 x+1)\left(x^{2}+x+\frac{1}{15 a}\right)}{\left(x^{2}+x+\frac{15 a-1}{45 a}\right)^{3}} . \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

It is not difficult to verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(x+1)-\mathcal{L}(x, a)}{x^{-6}}=-\frac{\left(a-\frac{40+3 \sqrt{205}}{105}\right)\left(a-\frac{40-3 \sqrt{205}}{105}\right)}{85,050 a} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

by use of the L'Hôspital's rule and the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime}(x) \sim \frac{1}{x}+\frac{1}{2 x^{2}}+\frac{1}{6 x^{3}}-\frac{1}{30 x^{5}}+\frac{1}{42 x^{7}}-\frac{1}{30 x^{9}}+\cdots \quad(x \rightarrow \infty) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

given in [20].
The main purpose of this paper is to present the best possible parameter $a \in(1 / 15, \infty)$ such that the functions $\psi^{\prime}(x+1)-\mathcal{L}_{x}(x, a)$ and $\psi^{\prime \prime}(x+1)-\mathcal{L}_{x x}(x, a)$ are strictly increasing or decreasing with respect to $x \in(0, \infty)$, and establish several new sharp bounds for the psi function and its derivatives. All numerical computations are carried out using the MATHEMATICA software.

## 2 Lemmas

In order to prove our main results we need several lemmas, which we present in this section.

Lemma 2.1 (see [19]) Let $\mathcal{L}(x, a)$ be defined on $(0, \infty) \times(1 / 15, \infty)$ by (1.1). Then the following statements are true:
(i) the functions $a \mapsto \partial \mathcal{L}(x, a) / \partial x$ is strictly decreasing, $a \mapsto \partial^{2} \mathcal{L}(x, a) / \partial x^{2}$ is strictly increasing and $a \mapsto \partial^{3} \mathcal{L}(x, a) / \partial x^{3}$ is strictly decreasing on $(1 / 15, \infty)$;
(ii) the function $a \mapsto \mathcal{L}_{x x}(x, a)-\mathcal{L}_{x x}(y, a)$ is strictly decreasing on $(1 / 15, \infty)$ if $x>y>0$;
(iii) the inequalities $\psi^{\prime}(x+1)-\mathcal{L}_{x}[x,(40+3 \sqrt{205}) / 105]>0$,
$\psi^{\prime \prime}(x+1)-\mathcal{L}_{x x}[x,(40+3 \sqrt{205}) / 105]<0$, and
$\psi^{\prime \prime \prime}(x+1)-\mathcal{L}_{x x x}[x,(40+3 \sqrt{205}) / 105]>0$ hold for all $x>0$.

Lemma 2.2 (see [20]) The identity

$$
\psi^{n}(x+1)-\psi^{n}(x)=\frac{(-1)^{n} n!}{x^{n+1}}
$$

holds for all $x>0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 2.3 (see [21]) Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f$ be a real-valued function defined on the interval $I=(\lambda, \infty)$ with $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x)=0$. Then $f(x)<0$ iff $(x+1)-f(x)>0$ for all $x \in I$, and $f(x)>0$ $\operatorname{iff}(x+1)-f(x)<0$ for all $x \in I$.

## 3 Main results

Theorem 3.1 Let $\mathcal{L}(x, a)$ be defined on $(0, \infty) \times(1 / 15, \infty)$ by $(1.1)$ and $F_{a}(x)=\psi(x+1)-$ $\mathcal{L}(x, a)$. Then the following statements are true:
(i) $F_{a}(x)$ is strictly increasing with respect to $x$ on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $a \geq a_{1}=(40+3 \sqrt{205}) / 105=0.7900 \ldots ;$
(ii) $F_{a}(x)$ is strictly decreasing with respect to $x$ on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $a \leq a_{2}=\left(45-4 \pi^{2}+3 \sqrt{4 \pi^{4}-80 \pi^{2}+405}\right) /\left[30\left(\pi^{2}-9\right)\right]=0.4705 \ldots$.

Proof (i) If $F_{a}(x)$ is strictly increasing with respect to $x$ on $(0, \infty)$, then $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}\left[x^{7} F_{a}^{\prime}(x)\right] \geq 0$. Making use of L'Hôspital's rule and (1.5) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(x+1)-\mathcal{L}(x, a)}{x^{-6}} & =-\frac{1}{6} \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}\left[x^{7} F_{a}^{\prime}(x)\right] \\
& =-\frac{\left(a-\frac{40+3 \sqrt{205}}{105}\right)\left(a-\frac{40-3 \sqrt{205}}{105}\right)}{85,050 a} \leq 0 . \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, $a \geq a_{1}=(40+3 \sqrt{205}) / 105$ follows easily from (3.1) and $a \in(1 / 15, \infty)$.
If $a \geq a_{1}=(40+3 \sqrt{205}) / 105$, then Lemma 2.1(i) and (iii) lead to

$$
F_{a}^{\prime}(x)=\psi^{\prime}(x+1)-\mathcal{L}_{x}(x, a) \geq \psi^{\prime}(x+1)-\mathcal{L}_{x}\left(x, a_{1}\right)>0
$$

for all $x \in(0, \infty)$. Therefore, $F_{a}(x)$ is strictly increasing with respect to $x$ on $(0, \infty)$.
(ii) If $F_{a}(x)$ is strictly decreasing with respect to $x$ on $(0, \infty)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{a}^{\prime}(0)=\psi^{\prime}(1)-\mathcal{L}_{x}(0, a) \leq 0 . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (1.2) and $\psi^{\prime}(1)=\pi^{2} / 6$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime}(1)-\mathcal{L}_{x}(0, a)=\frac{45\left(\pi^{2}-9\right) a^{2}-3\left(45-4 \pi^{2}\right) a-\pi^{2}+9}{6(3 a+1)(15 a-1)} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $a \leq a_{2}=\left(45-4 \pi^{2}+3 \sqrt{4 \pi^{4}-80 \pi^{2}+405}\right) /\left[30\left(\pi^{2}-9\right)\right]$ follows from (3.2) and (3.3) together with $a \in(1 / 15, \infty)$.
Next, we prove that $F_{a}(x)$ is strictly decreasing with respect to $x$ on $(0, \infty)$ if $a \leq a_{2}$. From Lemma 2.1(i) we clearly see that it is enough to prove that $F_{a_{2}}^{\prime}(x)<\psi^{\prime}(x+1)-\mathcal{L}_{x}\left(x, a_{2}\right)<0$ for all $x \in(0, \infty)$.
Let $x>0$ and $a>1 / 15$. Then it follows from (1.2), (1.6), and Lemma 2.2 that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} F_{a}^{\prime}(x)=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}\left[\psi^{\prime}(x+1)-\mathcal{L}_{x}(x, a)\right]=0,  \tag{3.4}\\
& F_{a}^{\prime}(x+1)-F_{a}^{\prime}(x) \\
& =\psi^{\prime}(x+2)-\psi^{\prime}(x+1)-\mathcal{L}_{x}(x+1, a)+\mathcal{L}_{x}(x, a) \\
& =-\frac{2(x+1)+1}{\left(90 a^{2}+2\right)\left[(x+1)^{2}+(x+1)+\frac{3 a+1}{3}\right]} \\
& \quad-\frac{45 a^{2}[2(x+1)+1]}{\left(90 a^{2}+2\right)\left[(x+1)^{2}+(x+1)+\frac{15 a-1}{45 a}\right]}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad+\frac{2 x+1}{\left(90 a^{2}+2\right)\left(x^{2}+x+\frac{3 a+1}{3}\right)}+\frac{45 a^{2}(2 x+1)}{\left(90 a^{2}+2\right)\left(x^{2}+x+\frac{15 a-1}{45 a}\right)}-\frac{1}{(x+1)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{q(x, a)}{p(x, a)} \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
q(x, a)= & \frac{7\left(a+\frac{3 \sqrt{205}-40}{105}\right)\left(\frac{40+3 \sqrt{205}}{105}-a\right)}{45 a}(x+1)^{2}-\frac{\left(a+\frac{1}{3}\right)^{2}\left(a-\frac{1}{15}\right)^{2}}{9 a^{2}}  \tag{3.6}\\
p(x, a)= & (x+1)^{2}\left(x^{2}+3 x+a+\frac{7}{3}\right)\left(x^{2}+x+a+\frac{1}{3}\right) \\
& \times\left(x^{2}+x+\frac{1}{3}-\frac{1}{45 a}\right)\left(x^{2}+3 x+\frac{7}{3}-\frac{1}{45 a}\right)>0 \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial q(x, a)}{\partial a}=-\frac{7\left(45 a^{2}+1\right)}{2,025 a^{2}}(x+1)^{2}-\frac{2\left(a+\frac{1}{3}\right)\left(a-\frac{1}{15}\right)\left(2,025 a^{2}+45\right)}{18,225 a^{3}}<0 . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We divide the proof into two cases.
Case 1. $x \in(1 / 20, \infty)$. Then from (3.6) and (3.8) together with $a_{2}<48 / 100$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(x, a_{2}\right)>q\left(x, \frac{48}{100}\right)>q\left(\frac{1}{20}, \frac{48}{100}\right)=\frac{2,341,501}{1,312,200,000}>0 . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (3.5) and inequalities (3.7) and (3.9) lead to

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{a_{2}}^{\prime}(x+1)-F_{a_{2}}^{\prime}(x)>0 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $F_{a_{2}}^{\prime}(x)<0$ follows from Lemma 2.3 and (3.4) together with (3.10).
Case 2. $x \in(0,1 / 20]$. Then Lemma 2.1(i) and $a_{2}>9 / 20$ lead to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{x x}\left(x, a_{2}\right)>\mathcal{L}_{x x}\left(x, \frac{9}{20}\right) . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (1.3) and Lemma 2.1(iii) together with (3.11) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{a_{2}}^{\prime \prime}(x)=\psi^{\prime \prime}(x+1)-\mathcal{L}_{x x}\left(x, a_{2}\right)<\mathcal{L}_{x x}\left(x, a_{1}\right)-\mathcal{L}_{x x}\left(x, a_{2}\right)=\frac{P(x)}{6 Q(x)} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
Q(x)= & \left(60 x^{2}+60 x+47\right)^{2}\left(81 x^{2}+81 x+23\right)^{2} \\
& \times\left(570 x+505 x^{2}+210 x^{3}+35 x^{4}+252\right)^{2}(x+1)^{3}>0 \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(x)= & 9,756,595,800 x^{11}+146,348,937,000 x^{10}+1,005,597,383,250 x^{9} \\
& +3,954,619,691,700 x^{8}+9,800,346,642,855 x^{7}+16,058,808,560,085 x^{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +17,731,092,059,926 x^{5}+13,107,900,251,862 x^{4}+6,210,045,031,977 x^{3} \\
& +1,655,666,210,995 x^{2}+153,061,816,584 x-15,463,394,658 \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.14) we clearly see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(x)<0 \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x \in(0,1 / 20]$ since $P(x)$ is strictly increasing on $(0,1 / 20]$ and

$$
P\left(\frac{1}{20}\right)=-\frac{2,874,530,403,954,909,124,821}{1,024,000,000,000}<0 .
$$

Equation (3.12) and inequalities (3.13) and (3.15) lead to the conclusion that $F_{a_{2}}^{\prime}(x)$ is strictly decreasing on $(0,1 / 20]$. Therefore, $F_{a_{2}}^{\prime}(x)<F_{a_{2}}^{\prime}(0)=0$.

Theorem 3.2 Let $\mathcal{L}(x, a)$ be defined on $(0, \infty) \times(1 / 15, \infty)$ by $(1.1), F_{a}(x)=\psi(x+1)-\mathcal{L}(x, a)$ and $a_{3}=0.4321 \ldots$ is the unique solution of the equation $\mathcal{L}_{x x}(0, a)=\psi^{\prime \prime}(1)$. Then the following statements are true:
(i) $F_{a}^{\prime}(x)$ is strictly decreasing with respect to $x$ on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if

$$
a \geq a_{1}=(40+3 \sqrt{205}) / 105=0.7900 \ldots ;
$$

(ii) $F_{a}^{\prime}(x)$ is strictly increasing with respect to $x$ on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $a \leq a_{3}$.

Proof (i) If $F_{a}^{\prime}(x)$ is strictly decreasing with respect to $x$ on $(0, \infty)$, then $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}\left[x^{8} F_{a}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right] \leq 0$. Making use of L'Hôspital's rule and (1.5) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(x+1)-\mathcal{L}(x, a)}{x^{-6}} & =\frac{1}{42} \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}\left[x^{8} F_{a}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right] \\
& =-\frac{\left(a-\frac{40+3 \sqrt{205}}{105}\right)\left(a-\frac{40-3 \sqrt{205}}{105}\right)}{85,050 a} \leq 0 . \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, $a \geq a_{1}=(40+3 \sqrt{205}) / 105$ follows easily from (3.16) and $a \in(1 / 15, \infty)$.
If $a \geq a_{1}=(40+3 \sqrt{205}) / 105$, then

$$
F_{a}^{\prime \prime}(x)=\psi^{\prime \prime}(x+1)-\mathcal{L}_{x x}(x, a) \leq \psi^{\prime \prime}(x+1)-\mathcal{L}_{x x}\left(x, a_{1}\right)<0
$$

follows easily from Lemma 2.1(i) and (iii).
(ii) If $F_{a}^{\prime}(x)$ is strictly increasing with respect to $x$ on $(0, \infty)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{a}^{\prime \prime}(0)=\psi^{\prime \prime}(1)-\mathcal{L}_{x x}(0, a) \geq 0 . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from Lemma 2.1(i) that the function $a \mapsto F_{a}^{\prime \prime}(0)$ is strictly decreasing on $(1 / 15, \infty)$. Note that

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{a_{3}}^{\prime \prime}(0)=0, \quad a_{3} \in(1 / 3,1 / 2)  \tag{3.18}\\
& F_{1 / 3}^{\prime \prime}(0)=\frac{171}{64}-2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{3}}=0.2677 \ldots>0,  \tag{3.19}\\
& F_{1 / 2}^{\prime \prime}(0)=\frac{19,299}{8,450}-2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{3}}=-0.1202 \ldots<0 . \tag{3.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, $a \leq a_{3}$ follows from (3.17)-(3.20) and the monotonicity of the function $a \mapsto$ $F_{a}^{\prime \prime}(0)$.

If $a \leq a_{3}$, then we only need to prove that $F_{a_{3}}^{\prime \prime}(x)>0$ for all $x \in(0, \infty)$ by Lemma 2.1(i). We divide the proof into two cases.
Case 1. $x \in(3 / 50, \infty)$. Then from (1.3), (1.6), Lemma 2.1(ii), Lemma 2.2 and $a_{3}<9 / 20$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} F_{a_{3}}^{\prime \prime}(x)=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}\left[\psi^{\prime \prime}(x+1)-\mathcal{L}_{x x}\left(x, a_{3}\right)\right]=0,  \tag{3.21}\\
& F_{a_{3}}^{\prime \prime}(x+1)-F_{a_{3}}^{\prime \prime}(x) \\
& \quad=\psi^{\prime \prime}(x+2)-\psi^{\prime \prime}(x+1)-\left[\mathcal{L}_{x x}\left(x+1, a_{3}\right)-\mathcal{L}_{x x}\left(x, a_{3}\right)\right] \\
& \quad<\frac{2}{(x+1)^{3}}-\left[\mathcal{L}_{x x}\left(x+1, \frac{9}{20}\right)-\mathcal{L}_{x x}\left(x, \frac{9}{20}\right)\right]=-\frac{2 r(x)}{s(x)}, \tag{3.22}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
s(x)= & \left(60 x+60 x^{2}+47\right)^{2}\left(81 x+81 x^{2}+23\right)^{2} \\
& \times\left(180 x+60 x^{2}+167\right)^{2}\left(243 x+81 x^{2}+185\right)^{2}(x+1)^{3}>0 \tag{3.23}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
r(x)= & 125,413,273,555,200 x^{10}+1,254,132,735,552,000 x^{9} \\
& +5,518,250,043,762,960 x^{8}+14,046,814,696,855,680 x^{7} \\
& +22,840,386,490,946,664 x^{6}+24,664,633,018,794,864 x^{5} \\
& +17,718,225,566,437,953 x^{4}+8,120,232,997,769,412 x^{3} \\
& +2,081,281,129,927,908 x^{2}+179,154,971,702,976 x \\
& -19,953,618,766,474 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We clearly see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(x)>0 \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x \in(3 / 50, \infty)$ since $r(x)$ is strictly increasing on $(3 / 50, \infty)$ and

$$
r\left(\frac{3}{50}\right)=\frac{1,114,560,148,894,087,067,992,508}{3,814,697,265,625}>0 .
$$

Therefore, $F_{a_{3}}^{\prime \prime}(x)>0$ for $x \in(3 / 50, \infty)$ follows from (3.21)-(3.24) and Lemma 2.3.
Case 2. $x \in(0,3 / 50]$. Then from $F_{a_{3}}^{\prime \prime}(0)=\psi^{\prime \prime}(1)-\mathcal{L}_{x x}\left(0, a_{3}\right)=0$ we know that it is enough to prove that $F_{a_{3}}^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)>0$.

It follows from (1.4) and Lemma 2.1(i) and (iii) together with $a_{3}>21 / 50$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{a_{3}}^{\prime \prime \prime}(x) & =\psi^{\prime \prime \prime}(x+1)-\mathcal{L}_{x x x}\left(x, a_{3}\right) \\
& >\mathcal{L}_{x x x}\left(x, a_{1}\right)-\mathcal{L}_{x x x}\left(x, \frac{21}{50}\right)=-\frac{R(x)}{3 S(x)}, \tag{3.25}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
S(x)= & (x+1)^{4}\left(150 x^{2}+150 x+113\right)^{3}\left(189 x^{2}+189 x+53\right)^{3} \\
& \times\left(35 x^{4}+210 x^{3}+505 x^{2}+570 x+252\right)^{3}>0 \tag{3.26}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(x)= & 1,439,970,288,529,500,000 x^{19} \\
& +33,839,301,780,443,250,000 x^{18} \\
& +377,685,219,317,959,507,500 x^{17} \\
& +2,619,038,198,507,995,293,750 x^{16} \\
& +12,578,516,662,166,748,200,250 x^{15} \\
& +44,394,499,254,715,419,844,125 x^{14} \\
& +119,436,801,689,614,664,479,875 x^{13} \\
& +250,817,342,412,016,626,059,625 x^{12} \\
& +417,457,335,039,758,233,395,000 x^{11} \\
& +555,642,395,442,917,892,895,800 x^{10} \\
& +593,602,907,219,352,981,396,390 x^{9} \\
& +508,233,654,389,427,279,197,745 x^{8} \\
& +346,198,219,129,731,218,829,124 x^{7} \\
& +184,849,155,080,550,188,733,310 x^{6} \\
& +75,353,569,007,634,565,613,769 x^{5} \\
& +22,380,430,314,381,942,509,812 x^{4} \\
& +4,414,609,088,286,249,144,994 x^{3} \\
& +450,421,073,304,504,390,873 x^{2} \\
& +4,721,565,008,851,422,102 x \\
& -4,420,688,040,144,642,816
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from

$$
R^{\prime \prime}(x)>0, \quad R(0)<0,
$$

and

$$
R\left(\frac{3}{50}\right)=-\frac{337,711,343,455,989,855,048,292,675,691,209,992,531,618,111}{190,734,863,281,250,000,000,000,000}<0
$$

that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(x)<\frac{3 / 50-x}{3 / 50} R(0)+\frac{x}{3 / 50} R(3 / 50)<0 . \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $F_{a_{3}}^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)>0$ follows from (3.25)-(3.27).

Let $a_{1}=(40+3 \sqrt{205}) / 105, a_{2}=\left(45-4 \pi^{2}+3 \sqrt{4 \pi^{4}-80 \pi^{2}+405}\right) /\left[30\left(\pi^{2}-9\right)\right]$, and $a_{3}=0.4321 \ldots$ be the unique solution of the equation $\mathcal{L}_{x x}(0, a)=\psi^{\prime \prime}(1)$. Then (1.2) and (1.3) lead to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{L}_{x}\left(x, a_{1}\right)=\left(x+\frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{x+x^{2}+23 / 21}{x^{4}+2 x^{3}+17 x^{2} / 7+10 x / 7+12 / 35},  \tag{3.28}\\
& \mathcal{L}_{x}\left(x, a_{2}\right)=\left(x+\frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{x^{2}+x+\frac{\pi^{2}}{15\left(\pi^{2}-9\right)}}{x^{4}+2 x^{3}+\frac{7 \pi^{2}-60}{5\left(\pi^{2}-9\right)} x^{2}+\frac{2 \pi^{2}-15}{5\left(\pi^{2}-9\right)} x+\frac{1}{5\left(\pi^{2}-9\right)}},  \tag{3.29}\\
& \mathcal{L}_{x x}\left(x, a_{1}\right) \\
& \quad=-\frac{5}{6} \frac{\left(1,470 x^{6}+4,410 x^{5}+7,875 x^{4}+8,400 x^{3}+5,863 x^{2}+2,398 x+346\right)}{\left(35 x^{4}+70 x^{3}+85 x^{2}+50 x+12\right)^{2}},  \tag{3.30}\\
& \mathcal{L}_{x x}\left(x, \frac{1}{3}\right)=-\frac{9}{2} \frac{450 x^{6}+1,350 x^{5}+1,965 x^{4}+1,680 x^{3}+897 x^{2}+282 x+38}{\left(3 x^{2}+3 x+2\right)^{2}\left(15 x^{2}+15 x+4\right)^{2}} . \tag{3.31}
\end{align*}
$$

From Lemma 2.1(i), Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, (3.28)-(3.31), and $a_{3}>1 / 3$ we have the following.

## Corollary 3.3

(i) The double inequalities

$$
\mathcal{L}_{x}\left(x, a_{1}\right)<\psi^{\prime}(x+1)<\mathcal{L}_{x}\left(x, a_{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{L}_{x x}\left(x, a_{3}\right)<\psi^{\prime \prime}(x+1)<\mathcal{L}_{x x}\left(x, a_{1}\right)
$$

hold for all $x>0$ with the best possible constants $a_{1}, a_{2}$, and $a_{3}$.
(ii) The double inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(x+\frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{x+x^{2}+\frac{23}{21}}{x^{4}+2 x^{3}+\frac{17 x^{2}}{7}+\frac{10 x}{7}+\frac{12}{35}} \\
&<\psi^{\prime}(x+1) \\
&<\left(x+\frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{x^{2}+x+\frac{\pi^{2}}{15\left(\pi^{2}-9\right)}}{x^{4}+2 x^{3}+\frac{7 \pi^{2}-60}{5\left(\pi^{2}-9\right)} x^{2}+\frac{2 \pi^{2}-15}{5\left(\pi^{2}-9\right)} x+\frac{1}{5\left(\pi^{2}-9\right)}} \\
&-\frac{9}{2} \frac{450 x^{6}+1,350 x^{5}+1,965 x^{4}+1,680 x^{3}+897 x^{2}+282 x+38}{\left(3 x^{2}+3 x+2\right)^{2}\left(15 x^{2}+15 x+4\right)^{2}} \\
&<\psi^{\prime \prime}(x+1) \\
&<-\frac{5}{6} \frac{\left(1,470 x^{6}+4,410 x^{5}+7,875 x^{4}+8,400 x^{3}+5,863 x^{2}+2,398 x+346\right)}{\left(35 x^{4}+70 x^{3}+85 x^{2}+50 x+12\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

hold for all $x>0$.

Let $a \in\left(\frac{1}{15}, \frac{45-4 \pi^{2}+3 \sqrt{4 \pi^{4}-80 \pi^{2}+405}}{30\left(\pi^{2}-9\right)}\right]$ and $\gamma=0.577215 \ldots$ be the Euler-Mascheroni constant. Then from Lemma 2.1(ii) and the fact that $F_{a}(0)=-\gamma-\mathcal{L}(0, a)$ and $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} F_{a}(x)=0$ we get Corollary 3.4 immediately.

## Corollary 3.4 The double inequality

$$
\mathcal{L}(x, a)<\psi(x+1)<\mathcal{L}(x, a)-\gamma-\mathcal{L}(0, a)
$$

holds for all $x>0$ and $a \in\left(\frac{1}{15}, \frac{45-4 \pi^{2}+3 \sqrt{4 \pi^{4}-80 \pi^{2}+405}}{30\left(\pi^{2}-9\right)}\right]$ with the best possible constant $-\gamma-$ $\mathcal{L}(0, a)$.

In particular, taking $a=1 / 3,4 / 15, \sqrt{5} / 15,1 / 15$ and using (1.1) one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{12} \log \left(x^{2}+x+\frac{2}{3}\right)+\frac{5}{12} \log \left(x^{2}+x+\frac{4}{15}\right) \\
& \quad<\psi(x+1)<\frac{1}{12} \log \left(x^{2}+x+\frac{2}{3}\right)+\frac{5}{12} \log \left(x^{2}+x+\frac{4}{15}\right)+\frac{1}{12} \log \frac{3}{2}+\frac{5}{12} \log \frac{15}{4}-\gamma, \\
& \frac{16}{21} \log \left(x+\frac{1}{2}\right)+\frac{5}{42} \log \left(x^{2}+x+\frac{3}{5}\right) \\
& \quad<\psi(x+1)<\frac{16}{21} \log \left(x+\frac{1}{2}\right)+\frac{5}{42} \log \left(x^{2}+x+\frac{3}{5}\right)+\frac{16}{21} \log 2+\frac{5}{42} \log \frac{5}{3}-\gamma \\
& \frac{1}{4} \log \left[\left(x^{2}+x+\frac{1}{3}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{45}\right]<\psi(x+1)<\frac{1}{4} \log \left[\left(x^{2}+x+\frac{1}{3}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{45}\right]+\frac{1}{4} \log \frac{45}{4}-\gamma
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\psi(x+1)>\frac{1}{12} \log \left(x^{2}+x\right)+\frac{5}{12} \log \left(x^{2}+x+\frac{2}{5}\right)
$$

for $x>0$.
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