Open Access



Obstructive sleep apnea increases the risk of cardiovascular damage: a systematic review and meta-analysis of imaging studies

Mi Lu^{1,2†}, Zhenjia Wang^{3†}, Xiaojun Zhan^{1,2} and Yongxiang Wei^{1,2,4*}

Abstract

Background: We aimed to perform a systematic review and meta-analysis of the association between obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) and cardiac as well as coronary impairment evaluated using imaging modalities. Finding of this study will provide more robust evidence regarding OSA-induced cardiovascular damage.

Methods: We systematically searched through PubMed, EMBASE, and Cochrane library databases for relevant literatures on the association between OSA and cardiovascular damage evaluated using imaging modalities, and manually searched the references of selected articles for additional relevant articles. For each clinical parameter relevant to the meta-analysis, we first evaluated the methodological heterogeneity of the relevant studies and thereafter pooled the data together using fixed effect or random effect model. The difference in the relevant indices of cardiovascular damage between OSA patients and controls was evaluated using the standardized mean difference.

Results: Of the 82 articles included in the final systematic analysis, 20 studies explored the association between OSA and coronary atherosclerosis. OSA patients had higher rate of coronary atherosclerosis assessed by coronary artery calcification score and plaque volume. Moreover, the severity of OSA and coronary atherosclerosis displayed a positive correlation. The rest of the studies (n = 62) evaluated cardiac alterations in OSA patients. According to the inclusion and exclusion criteria, 46 studies yielding 3082 OSA patients and 1774 controls were pooled for the meta-analysis. For left cardiac structure and function, OSA patients exhibited significantly wider left atrial diameter; higher left atrium volume index; wider left ventricular end-systolic diameter, left ventricular end-diastolic diameter, and left ventricular mass; higher left ventricular mass index; wider interventricular septum diameter and posterior wall diameter; and higher left ventricular myocardial performance index (all p < 0.05). In addition, compared with controls, left ventricular ejection fraction was significantly decreased in OSA patients (p = 0.001). For right cardiac structure and function, OSA patients (both p < 0.001). Finally, compared with controls, OSA patients displayed a significant increase in right ventricular diameter and right ventricular myocardial performance index (p = 0.001).

Conclusion: Overall, this systematic review and meta-analysis provides imaging evidence in support that OSA patients are at a higher risk of developing coronary atherosclerosis and display cardiac remodeling and dysfunction.

¹ Department of Otolaryngology Head & Neck Surgery, Beijing Anzhen Hospital, Capital Medical University, No.2 Anzhen Road, Beijing 100029, China

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2021. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/A.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/A.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedicated in a credit line to the data.

^{*}Correspondence: weiyongxiang@tom.com

[†]Mi Lu and Zhenjia Wang contributed equally to this work.

Cardiac dysfunction

Background

Obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) is a common disorder that affects nearly 1 billion adults worldwide [1]. Compared to general population, OSA is more prevalent in patients with cardiovascular disease, with a reported prevalence of 38 to 65% in coronary artery disease (CAD) patients and 12 to 55% in heart failure patients [2]. Substantial evidence summarizes that OSA can cause acute and long-term adverse implication for heart and vasculature by inducing intermittent hypoxia (IH), abrupt drop in intrathoracic pressure, sympathetic activation, and inflammatory disturbances [2–5]. Although apneic episode only occurs during sleep, pathophysiological perturbations induced by repeated apnea do not subside after waking up [5]. Thus, cardiovascular events can accumulate long after the cessation of apnea.

Cardiac imaging modalities can provide accurate assessment for coronary atherosclerosis and cardiac abnormalities. Early identification and evaluation of cardiovascular alterations in OSA patients may impact the risk stratification. Currently, evidence from the perspective of imaging is accumulating for exploring the relationship between OSA and cardiovascular damage [6-10]. These studies can be broadly divided into two categories, including OSA and coronary atherosclerosis, and OSA and cardiac alterations. However, these studies had a relatively small sample size and focused on specific populations (i.e., Turk, American, and Chinese). In addition, to the best of our knowledge, there is no systematic review and meta-analysis on the relationship between OSA and cardiac as well as coronary impairment. We aimed to summarize the association between OSA and cardiovascular damage assessed by imaging modalities. Findings of this study will provide more robust evidence regarding OSA-induced cardiovascular damage.

Methods

This study was conducted in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) guidelines [11].

Search strategies

We systematically searched through PubMed, EMBASE, and Cochrane library databases for relevant literatures on the association between OSA and cardiovascular damage evaluated by imaging modalities. Only articles written in English and published between January 1st, 2005, and June 30th, 2020 were considered. The following terms were used to search eligible articles: "sleep apnea, obstructive", "sleep-disordered breathing", "coronary atherosclerosis", "coronary artery calcification", "coronary artery calcium", "coronary plaque", "cardiac remodeling", "ventricular function, left", "ventricular dysfunction, left", "left ventricular hypertrophy", "ventricular function, right", "ventricular dysfunction, right", "computed tomography", computed tomographic angiography", "intravascular ultrasound", "optical frequency domain imaging", "echocardiography", and "cardiac magnetic resonance imaging". In addition, we manually searched the references of the selected studies to find other potential sources. An example of search strategy is provided in Supplementary materials.

Study selection

Two investigators (Mi Lu and Zhenjia Wang) independently reviewed the titles and abstracts to identify relevant articles. A further screening was based on full-text articles to see whether they were eligible for inclusion. Any disagreement was resolved by discussion.

The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) enrolled participants were adults; (2) all participants underwent polysomnography (PSG) or portable sleep monitoring; (3) English-language articles. In addition, articles that conducted meta-analysis must also meet the following criteria: (1) the study must include at least two separate groups, OSA group and non-OSA group; (2) non-OSA was defined as apnea hypopnea index (AHI) < 5; (3) the study must have reported values of the corresponding parameters in mean with standard deviation or median with range. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) duplicate reports; (2) reviews, case reports, or animal experiments; (3) patients with other sleep disorders, such as central sleep apnea, obesity hypoventilation syndrome, and periodic limb movement disorder; (4) patients who received or are receiving OSA treatment; (5) participants with major comorbidities, such as structural heart disease, cardiomyopathy, pulmonary hypertension, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.

Data extraction

The search and retrieval of relevant articles was performed independently by two researchers (Mi Lu and Zhenjia Wang). For each included study, details of the first author, year of publication, country, sample size, participants' age, gender, body mass index (BMI), mean AHI, OSA diagnostic method and criteria, history of hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, etc. were extracted. For articles included in meta-analysis, parameters reflecting cardiac morphology and function were also extracted. Any disagreements were resolved by consensus.

Quality assessment

The Newcastle–Ottawa Scale (NOS) was used to assess the quality of included studies due to their case–control study design. The studies were assessed for the following criteria: selection (scale 0-4), comparability (scale 0-2), and exposure (scale 0-3). The quality score ranges from 0 to 9.

Statistical analysis

A meta-analysis will be carried out if included studies are sufficiently homogeneous. Standardized mean difference (SMD) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) will be used to determine the difference in the relevant indices of cardiovascular damage between OSA patients and controls. Some studies stratified patients according to OSA severity (mild, moderate, or severe) and thus reported the corresponding data within each stratum. Two formulae were used to combine subgroups and calculate the overall means and standard deviations (see Supplementary materials). Heterogeneity was assessed using the I^2 and chi-square tests, where $I^2 > 50\%$ and a chi-square p < 0.05

indicated significant heterogeneity between studies. In such cases, the random effects model was used to generate pooled effects. By contrast, the fixed-effects model was instead used when there was an acceptable heterogeneity. We conducted subgroup analyses based on the age of 50 years and BMI of 30 kg/m² when significant heterogeneity existed. Sensitivity analyses were conducted to test the robustness of the overall results using the leave-one-out method. Publication bias was assessed using a funnel plot. We used Egger tests to assess the asymmetry of the funnel plot. The trim-and-fill computation was used to estimate the effect of publication bias on the interpretation of the results. All analyses were performed using Stata/SE version 14.0 (StataCorp, College Station, Texas). Statistical significance was set at p < 0.05.

Finally, the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) system was used to assess the quality of evidence for each outcome presented in the systematic review [12]. We recorded the overall quality of evidence as high, moderate, low, or very low.

Results

The literature search yielded 366 citations. After removing duplicates, screening titles and abstracts, and reading the full text, only 82 articles were included in the final systematic review (Fig. 1). Of these, 20 studies explored



the relationship between OSA and coronary atherosclerosis, assessed by coronary artery calcification (CAC) score, the presence and volume of coronary plaque. The rest 62 studies focused on cardiac abnormalities and myocardial injury in OSA patients. The certainty of evidence is shown in the Supplementary materials. The overall quality of evidence in this systematic review and meta-analysis is from very low to moderate due to risk of reporting bias and substantial heterogeneity.

Obstructive sleep apnea and coronary artery calcification score

In this review, twelve articles evaluated the association between OSA and CAC score. The characteristics of the articles are shown in Table 1. All subjects included were free of overt cardiovascular diseases. Of these, 10 studies found that OSA was associated with CAC in basic models [13-22]; however, in some instances, the association was modestly attenuated and no longer significant after adjustment for confounding variables [15, 21, 22]. Another two studies by Kim et al. [14] and Luyster et al. [18] showed no significant relationship between OSA and CAC score after additional adjustment for BMI. In addition, Lutsey et al. [19] and Medeiros et al. [20] both demonstrated that the independent relationship between OSA and CAC score was only present in moderatesevere or severe OSA patients, while Bikov et al. [7] and Hamaoka et al. [8] found no correlation was observed between OSA and CAC score.

Obstructive sleep apnea and coronary plaque burden

A total of 9 studies explored the relationship between OSA and coronary plaque characteristics by using invasive (n=4) and non-invasive (n=5) imaging modalities. The characteristics of the studies are summarized in Table 2. In a Canadian study of 19 patients who underwent intravenous ultrasound, Turmel et al. [23] reported that the coronary plaque volume was significantly larger in patients with moderate to severe OSA than those with no or mild OSA. Similar finding has been observed in a larger sample cohort. In this latter study, Tan et al. [24] found there was a significantly independent relationship between moderate to severe OSA and coronary plaque volume in the target coronary artery. Wada et al. [25] assessed plaque characteristics of the culprit lesion by intravenous ultrasound and found that sleep-disordered breathing was associated with larger plaque volume and greater ultrasound attenuation. Another Japanese cohort of 50 patients who underwent PSG and optical frequency-domain imaging again found that OSA patients had significantly larger lipid burden, thinner fibrous cap, greater macrophage accumulation, and more microchannels than those without OSA [9].

Sharma et al. [26] and Umut et al. [27] both qualitatively assessed plaque burden using coronary computed tomography (CT) and found that the presence of noncalcified/mixed plaques was significantly higher in OSA patients compared to those without OSA. In addition, Kent et al. [28] found a positive relationship between OSA severity and total coronary plaque volume. Hamaoka et al. [8] furtherly reported a detailed association between OSA and coronary plaque volume with each CT value. They found that AHI was significantly correlated with low-attenuation plaque volume. In a recent study, Mo et al. [29] found that AHI and 3% oxygen desaturation index were both associated with significant coronary plaque burden.

Obstructive sleep apnea and cardiac structure and function

A total of 60 studies explored the relationship between OSA and cardiac structure as well as function. Of these, 58 studies analyzed cardiac structure and function using echocardiography [30–87]. Cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) [88] and single-photon emission computed tomography were used in 1 study each [89]. The main characteristics of the studies are shown in Table 3.

We furtherly performed a meta-analysis for studies in cardiac structure and function which was assessed by echocardiography. According to the inclusion and exclusion criteria detailed earlier, 46 studies yielding 3082 OSA patients and 1774 controls were pooled for the meta-analysis. These pooled findings and subgroup analyses are summarized in Tables 4 and 5, respectively. Comprehensive details are shown in the Supplementary materials. Additionally, sensitivity analyses showed that no significant change occurred when an independent study was omitted, confirming that the results of our meta-analyses were stable.

OSA and left cardiac structure and function

The parameters of left atrial diameter (LAD) and left atrium volume index (LAVI) were used to assess LA remodeling. The relationship between OSA and LAD was reported in 13 studies involving 1107 OSA patients and 317 controls. This meta-analysis revealed that compared to controls, OSA patients displayed significantly wider LAD (SMD [95% CI] 0.385 [0.252, 0.518]; p < 0.001), with non-significant heterogeneity. No significant publication bias (p = 0.757) was found among the studies. Differences in LAVI were reported in 6 studies involving 238 OSA patients and 163 controls. This meta-analysis found that LAVI in OSA patients was significantly higher than that in controls (SMD [95% CI] 0.307 [0.096, 0.518]; p = 0.004), with statistically significant heterogeneity. The subgroup analysis indicated that heterogeneity in OSA

Table 1	Charã	acteristic:	s of the in	cluded studi	es on OSA and	d coronary art	ery calcifi	cation							
First author	Year	Country	Simple size	Subgroup	Diagnosis criteria	Age, year	Male, %	BMI, kg/m2	Hypertension, %	Hyperlipidemia, %	Diabetes, %	ESS	AHI, events/h	OSA diagnostic methods	NOS
Sorajja	2008	USA	202	Controls (48)	AHI<5	46	30 (63)	31	20 (40)	23 (48)	2 (4)	NA	NA	PSG	∞
[13]				OSA (154)	AHI≥5	51	111 (72)	35	76 (49)	99 (64)	14 (9)	NA	NA		
Kim [14]	2010	Korea	258	First quartile (64)	The quartile of AHI	44 土 3	64 (100)	23±2	4 (6)	NA	2 (3)	NA	0.2±0.2	PSG	6
				Second quartile (64)		45 土 3	64 (100)	24土3	10 (16)	NA	2 (3)	AN	1.8±0.8		
				Third quar- tile (65)		46 土 3	65 (100)	24.8土3	9 (14)	AN	5 (6)	AN	5.7±1.8		
				Fourth quar- tile (65)		44 ± 3	65 (100)	26±3	14 (22)	NA	2 (3)	NA	24土15		
Kepez [15]	2011	Turkey	97	Snoring group (17)	AHI < 5	46.60 土 4.59	10 (58.8)	28.25 土 4.04	4 (23.5)	2 (12.5)	2 (11.8)	AN	NA	PSG	~
				Mild OSA (22)	$5 \leq AHI < 15$	46.56土9.54	16 (72.7)	27.61 土 3.09	7 (31.8)	6 (27.3)	2 (9.1)	NA	NA		
				Moderate OSA (21)	$15 \leq AHI < 30$	52.17 土 7.20	16 (76.2)	29.18±3.28	7 (33)	5 (23.8)	2 (9.5)	NA	AN		
				Severe OSA (37)	AHI≥ 30	49.85 土 10.60	22 (59.5)	30.99土4.43	15 (40.5)	11 (29.7)	7 (18.9)	NA	NA		
Arik [16]	2013	Turkey	73	Controls (16)	AHI < 5	50 土 10	9 (56.3)	28.2 土 4.8	6 (38)	6 (38)	4 (25)	AN	2.4 土 1.1	PSG	7
				Mild OSA (14)	AHI 5-15	48 土 9	6 (40.2)	30.9±6.4	5 (36)	3 (21)	2 (14)	AN	8.0±2.0		
				Moderate OSA (19)	AHI 16–29	47 土 9	13 (68.4)	28.4土4.8	4 (21)	3 (16)	6 (32)	NA	22.5 土 4.3		
				Severe OSA (24)	AHI≥ 30	52 土 10	15 (62.5)	32.2 土 4.1	12 (50)	9 (38)	9 (38)	NA	45.3 土 16.3		
Wein- reich	2013	Germany	1604	Controls (551)	AHI < 5	M 61.9 ± 6.9 F 60.8 ± 6.8	209 (37.9)	M 27.6 ± 3.6 F 26.2 ± 4.2	123 (58.9) 149 (43.6)	NA NA	27 (12.9) 30 (8.8)	NA	NA	Unattended portable	00
[1]				Mild OSA (651)	$5 \leq AHI < 15$	M 63.4 土 7.4 F 64.6 土 7.2	327 (50.2)	M 28.4 ± 3.5; F 27.7 ± 4.6	230 (70.3) 190 (58.6)	A A A	42 (12.8) 30 (9.3)	NA	NA	monitoring	
				Moderate OSA (288)	$15 \leq AHI \leq 29$	M 65.1 ±7.3 F 66.1 ± 7.4	176 (61.1)	M 28.6±3.7; F 28.8±5.1	125 (71) 69 (61.6)	NA NA	26 (14.7) 13 (11.6)	NA	NA		
				Severe OSA (114)	AHI≥ 30	M 65.9 ±7.7 F 68.5 ± 5.7	79 (69.3)	M 30.2 ± 3.9; F 30.9 ± 4.1	63 (79.8) 25 (71.4)	A N N	8 (10.1) 5 (14.3)	NA	AN		
Luyster	2014	USA	252	Controls (61)	AHI < 5	59.1 土 7.8	29 (48)	29.0±5.8	43 (71)	45 (77)	10 (16)	NA	2.7 土 1.1	Home sleep	6
[18]				Mild OSA (97)	AHI 5-14	60.9 土 7.6	48 (49)	29.9土4.5	65 (67)	81 (83)	22 (23)	NA	9.0±2.7	testing	
				Moderate- severe OSA (94)	AHI≥15	62.0 ± 6.5	64 (68)	30.4±5.0	71 (75)	65 (69)	19 (20)	NA	26.9±12.3		

Lu et al. Syst Rev

Table 1	(con	tinued)													
First author	Year	Country	Simple size	Subgroup	Diagnosis criteria	Age, year	Male, %	BMI, kg/m2	Hypertension, %	Hyperlipidemia, %	Diabetes, %	ESS	AHI, events/h	OSA diagnostic methods	NOS
Lutsey [19]	2015	USA	1465	Normal (510)	AHI < 5	66.9 ± 9.0	165 (32.3)	26.6±5.0	NA	NA	71 (14.0)	NA	AN	PSG	6
				Mild OSA (478)	AHI 5–14	68.9 ± 9.2	214 (44.8)	28.9±5.0	NA	NA	90 (19.0)	NA	NA		
				Moderate OSA (263)	AHI 15–29	69.1 ± 9.2	155 (58.9)	29.6±5.3	NA	NA	63 (24.1)	NA	NA		
				Severe OSA (214)	AHI≥30	67.9 ± 9.0	136 (63.6)	31.7±6.0	NA	NA	51 (23.8)	NA	AN		
Medei- ros	2016	Brazil	214	Controls (132)	AHI<5	55 (51–59)	(0) 0	27 (24–29)	92 (70)	94 (77)	32 (24)	9 (5–13)	1.9 (0.5–3.3)	Attended portable	\sim
[20]				Mild OSA (61)	$5 \le AHI < 15$	59 (54–62)	(0) 0	29 (25–34)	50 (82)	45 (75)	15 (25)	9 (6–13)	8.3 (6.0–11.1)	monitoring	
				Moderate/ severe OSA (21)	AHI≥15	58 (53–63)	(0) 0	32 (29–35)	20 (95)	14 (70)	6 (29)	9 (7–15)	16.9 (15.4–24.5)		
Seo [21]	2017	Korea	461	Normal (64) OSA (397)	AHI < 5 AHI ≥ 5	55.08 ± 7.65	428 (92.8)	25.57 ± 3.01	205 (44.5)	268 (58.1)	62 (13.4)	NA	25.46 ± 21.10	PSG	∞
Hama- oka [8]	2018	Japan	32	Mild-mod- erate OSA (15)	AHI 5-29	61.3 ± 12.4	11 (73.3)	26.3 ± 5.0	5 (30.0)	3 (20.0)	2 (13.3)	NA	20.7±8.4	PSG	Q
				Severe OSA (17)	AHI <u>></u> 30	65.0 ± 9.2	15 (88.2)	26.2±5.3	9 (52.9)	5 (29.4)	4 (23.5)	NA	45.2 ± 11.9		
Shpilsky [22]	2018	NSA	765	No/mild OSA (204)	AHI < 15	58 土 7	159 (28)	30土6	199 (36)	NA	43 (8)	AN	11土11	Port- able home	~
				Moderate/ severe OSA (561)	AHI≥15	61 土 7	108 (53)	31 土 6	104 (51)	AN	22 (11)	NA	26.1 ± 12.0	monitoring	
Bikov [7]	2019	Hungary	41	Controls (19)	$AHI \le 5$	56土9	3 (16)	26.3±3.8	12 (63)	3 (16)	10 (53)	6.2 ± 3.7	1.8±1.1	PSG or polyg-	~
				OSA (22)	AHI > 5	62 ± 10	12 (55)	29.4±5.7	34 (77)	22 (50)	8 (18)	7.2 土 4.7	18.8土16.3	rapny	
AHI apne	a hypop	onea index, <i>t</i>	BMI body m	ass index, ESS E	pworth sleepine	ess scale, NOS Nev	vcastle-Otta	awa scale, OSA o	bstructive sleep a	apnea, <i>PSG</i> polysom	nography				

Table 2 (Charact	teristics of	f the inclu	ded studies (on OSA and c	coronary pla	aupi								
First author	year	Country	Simple size	Subgroup	Diagnosis criteria	Age, years	Male (%)	BMI, kg/ m2	Hypertension, %	Hyperlipidemia, %	Diabetes, %	ESS	AHI, events/h	OSA diagnosis methods	NOS
Turmel	2009	Canada	19	Low AHI (7)	AHI < 15	57土4	6 (86)	28.3±2.9	NA	NA	NA	9.4±3.7	11.9±1.5	PSG	9
[23]				High AHI (12)	AHI≥15	63土9	12 (100)	29.9±5.7	AA	NA	NA	7.8 土 6.7	39.4 土 23.3		
Sharma [26]	2012	NSA	81	Non OSA (32)	AHI < 10	54.1 土 12.7	14 (43)	34.4	24 (77)	13 (42)	8 (26)	NA	7.5	PSG	S
				OSA (49)	AHI≥10	59.6 土 11.8	31 (63)	34.4	38 (84)	28 (62)	14 (31)	NA	42.2		
Kent [28]	2013	Ireland	29	Low AHI (15)	AHI < 15.5	45.1 土 8.6	15 (100)	32.2 土 4.1	(0) 0	(0) 0	(0) 0	7.7 土 4.8	5.5 ± 5.5	Attended cardi-	9
				High AHI (14)	AHI≥15.5	44.6 土 6.4	14 (100)	33.3 ± 5.6	(0) 0	(0) 0	(0) 0	13.2 ± 5.1	45.8 ± 20.1	orespi- ratory polygra- phy	
Tan [24]	2014	Singa- pore	93	No to mild OSA (61)	AHI≤15	52.8土 9.0	55 (90.2)	24.9土3.9	25 (41.0)	46 (75.4)	13 (21.3)	7.6土4.5	NA	Portable diag-	9
				Mod to severe OSA (32)	AHI>15	57.5 ± 6.9	26 (81.2)	26.8 土 4.2	23 (71.9)	29 (90.6)	11 (34.4)	9.4±3.6	Ч	nostic device	
Hamaoka [8]	2018	Japan	32	Mild-mod- erate OSA (15)	AHI 5-29	61.3 土 12.4	11 (73.3)	26.3 ± 5.0	5 (30)	3 (20)	2 (13.3)	AN	20.7 土 8.4	Full PSG	Ŝ
				Severe OSA (17)	AHI≥30	65.0±9.2	15 (88.2)	26.2 ± 5.3	9 (52.9)	5 (29.4)	4 (23.5)	NA	45.2 土 11.9		
Wada [25]	2018	Japan	289	No SDB (201)	3% ODI < 15	66.7 土 11.3	161 (80.1)	24.2 土 3.6	147 (73.1)	150 (74.6)	75 (37.3)	NA	~	Nocturnal pulse	9
				SDB (88)	3% ODI≥15	69.1 土 11.3	81 (92.1)	26.1 土 4.6	72 (81.8)	73 (83.0)	39 (44.8)	NA	/	oximetry	
Konishi [9]	2019	Japan	50	Non OSA (35)	AHI < 15	69.7±8.9	27 (77)	23.6 ± 3.2	23 (66)	29 (83)	11 (31)	AN	7.2 ± 3.8	Standard- ized PSG	9
				OSA (15)	$AHI \ge 15$	71.8±10.4	10 (67)	23.6 ± 3.3	12 (80)	12 (80)	4 (27)	NA	30.9土 12.6		
Mo [29]	2019	Australia	119	Non-severe OSA (77)	AHI < 30	60 土 11.4	52 (68)	31.7 土 7	46 (59.7)	41 (53.2)	16 (20.8)	AN	29.5 土 26.9	Laboratory PSG	9
				Severe OSA (42)	AHI≥30	58.5 土 11	30 (71)	32.8 土 11.6	23 (54.8)	20 (47.6)	10 (23.8)	NA			

nd coronary pl
nd coronary
nd coronar
nd coron
nd corc
nd CC
ğ
~
5
10
A S
Õ
C
0
S
÷
ĭ
st
Q
8
ĭ
\overline{O}
.⊆
ē
누
£
S
.9
st
5
ť
ğ
al
$\overline{\bigcirc}$
N
<u>a</u>

Table 2	(contin	ued)													
First author	year	Country	Simple size	Subgroup	Diagnosis criteria	Age, years	Male (%)	BMI, kg/ m2	Hypertension, %	Hyperlipidemia, %	Diabetes, %	ESS	AHI, events/h	OSA diagnosis methods	NOS
Umut [27]	2019	Turkey	214	Non-OSA (43)	Not men- tioned	52.3 土 6.4	33 (76.7)	31.2±3.9	13 (30.2)	21 (48.8)	7 (16.3)	NA	4.0±2.9	Attended PSG	œ
				Mild OSA (51)		53.9±6.7	34 (66.7)	32.6±4.2	15 (29.4)	33 (64.7)	6 (11.8)	AN	9.8±5.2		
				Moderate OSA (40)		55.2 ± 5.9	26 (65.0)	34.5 土 6.9	15 (37.5)	26 (65.0)	9 (22.5)	AN	21.8土4.1		
				Severe OSA (80)		54.9±7.2	62 (77.5)	35.3±5.6	30 (37.5)	42 (52.5)	19 (23.8)	Ч	43.5 土 12.9		
AHI apnea h	sendodyr	index, BMI	body mass i	ndex, NOS New	/castle-Ottawa s	icale, <i>ODI</i> Oxyo	gen Desatura	tion Index, OS	4 obstructive sleep a	Ipnea, PSG polysomn	ography, SDB	sleep-disor	dered breathing		

				רוממרמ זרממו		ומ כמומומר וו									
First author	Year	Country	Sample size	Subgroup	Diagnosis criteria	Age, years	Male (%)	BMI, kg/m²	Hypertension (%)	Hyperlipidemia (%)	Diabetes (%)	ESS	AHI, events/h	OSA diagnosis methods	SON
Echocardiog	raphy														
Arias [30]	2005	Spain	42	Control (15)	AHI < 5	48土9	15 (100)	28.7 土 4.7	(0) 0	NA	(0) 0	NA	3.9±3.3	Respiratory	7
				OSA (27)	$AHI \ge 10$	52 土 13	27 (100)	30.5 土 4.0	(0) 0	NA	(0) 0	NA	44.0±27.5	recording device	
Dursunoglu	2005	Turkey	49	Control (20)	AHI < 5	43.5 ± 6.0	15 (75)	29.3 土 2.4	(0) 0	NA	(0) 0	NA	5.2±2.8	PSG	œ
[31]				Mild OSA (11)	AHI 5-14	46.0±5.6	8 (73)	30.4土4.0	(0) 0	NA	(0) 0	NA	25.3±2.6		
				Moderate- severe OSA (18)	AHI≥ 15	46.5 土 4.9	14 (78)	30.6 ± 4.0	(0) 0	NA	(0) 0	NA	50.1 ± 11.6		
Dursunoglu [32]	2005	Turkey	67	Mild OSA (16)	AHI 5-14	46.0±5.6	13 (81.3)	29.3±2.4	4 (25)	NA	(0) 0	AN	5.2±2.8	PSG	9
				Moderate OSA (18)	AHI 15-29	46.5 土 4.9	15 (83.3)	30.4土4.0	8 (44.4)	NA	(0) 0	NA	25.3±2.6		
				Severe OSA (33)	AHI≥ 30	48.1 ± 6.5	28 (84.8)	30.6±3.7	26 (61.9)	NA	(0) 0	AN	50.1 ± 11.6		
Kasikcioglu	2005	Turkey	28	Control (14)	AHI < 5	51.8±12.9	14 (100)	27.9±2.5	0 (0)	0 (0)	(0) 0	NA	1.7 土 1.1	PSG	7
[33]				OSA (14)	AHI > 15	49.7 土 11.6	14 (100)	28.7±2.9	0 (0)	0 (0)	(0) 0	NA	32.9±7.1		
Tanriverdi	2006	Turkey	64	Control (24)	AHI < 5	51.9±5.2	19 (79.2)	29.4±3.9	0 (0)	0 (0)	(0) 0	NA	3 土 1.5	PSG	∞
[34]				OSA (40)	$AHI \ge 5$	51.3±9	32 (80)	29.8±5.3	0 (0)	0 (0)	(0) 0	NA	25.3 土 11.4		
Kasikcioglu [35]	2007	Turkey	20	Control group (10)	AHI<5	45 土 9	10 (100)	27.7 ± 2.6	(0) 0	(0) 0	(0) 0	NA	2.1 土 1.0	PSG	7
				Patient group (10)	AHI > 30	42 土 6	10 (100)	30.6±3.2	(0) 0	(0) 0	(0) 0	NA	43.8±11.7		
Ott [36]	2007	USA	41	Without OSA (18)	AHI < 5	45 土 2	18 (100)	32.3±0.9	(0) 0	(0) 0	(0) 0	AN	2 土 0.4	PSG	00
				Moderate to severe OSA (23)	AHI≥ 15	45 土 3	23 (100)	33.7 ± 0.8	(0) 0	(0) 0	(0) 0	NA	50土7.0		
Tavil [<mark>37</mark>]	2007	Turkey	41	Control (21)	AHI < 5	49土5	12 (57.1)	29土6	NA	NA	(0) 0		2±2	PSG	œ
				OSA (20)	AHI≥5	50 ± 7	11 (55.0)	30 土 7	NA	NA	(0) 0		31土29		
Bayram [<mark>38</mark>]	2008	Turkey	46	Control (18)	AHI < 5	41.9±11.5	14 (77.8)	27.9±2.7	(0) 0	0 (0)	(0) 0	NA	2.6±0.8	PSG	00
				OSA (28)	$AHI \ge 15$	44.8±10.5	23 (82.1)	29.7±5.3	0 (0)	0 (0)	(0) 0	NA	62.3±21.6		
Kim [39]	2008	Korea	62	None (24)	AHI < 5	52土1	24 (100)	27土1	3 (13)	NA	2 (8)	NA	2.0±0.3	PSG	8
				Mild to moderate (18)	$5 \leq AHI \leq 30$	52土1	18 (100)	27±1	2 (11)	AN	5 (28)	NA	16.1 ± 1.2		
				Severe (20)	AHI > 30	51土1	20 (100)	27±1	3 (15)	NA	3 (15)	NA	45.5 ± 3.2		
Oliveira [40]	2008	Brazil	106	Control (50)	AHI < 5	52.3±8.5	20 (40)	27.8±4.5	18 (36.0)	NA	4 (8.0)	NA	2.6 土 1.4	PSG	6
				OSA (56)	AHI≥5	52.9±10.6	29 (51.8)	29.4±6.3	25 (44.6)	NA	4 (7.1)	NA	30.3±23.1		

Table 3	contin	ued)													
First author	Year	Country	Sample size	Subgroup	Diagnosis criteria	Age, years	Male (%)	BMI, kg/m ²	Hypertension (%)	Hyperlipidemia (%)	Diabetes (%)	ESS	AHI, events/h	OSA diagnosis methods	NOS
Bayram [41]	2009	Turkey	46	Control (18)	AHI < 5	41.9±11.5	14 (77.8)	27.9±2.7	NA	(0) 0	(0) 0	NA	2.6±0.8	PSG	œ
				OSA (28)	$AHI \ge 15$	44.8土10.5	23 (82.1)	29.7±5.3	NA	(0) 0	(0) 0	NA	62.3±21.6		
Baguet [42]	2009	France	130	Group A (65)	RDI < 37	48 土 10	52 (80)	25.6±3	0 (0)	0 (0)	NA	NA	N/A	PSG or pol-	5
				Group B (65)	RDI > 37	49 土 10	57 (88)	27.5±3.5	(0) 0	(0) 0	NA	NA		ygraphy	
Haruki [43]	2009	NSA	49	control (20)	AHI < 5	36±6	19 (95)	23.4±2.9	1 (5)	3 (15)	(0) 0	NA	2.2 土 1.4	PSG	8
				OSA (29)	$AHI \ge 5$	40 土 8	29 (100)	27.6±4.3	9 (31)	22 (76)	3 (10)	NA	34.7 ± 23.1		
Kepez [44]	2009	USA	107	Without OSA (22)	AHI < 5	46.4土4.6	13 (59.1)	27.6土4.0	4 (18.2)	NA	AN	NA	2.5±2.0	PSG	7
				Mild to moderate OSA (45)	5 ≤ AHI < 30	48.8±8.2	33 (73.3)	28.4±3.4	16 (35.6)	Ч	NA	NA	15.0±13.0		
				Severe OSA (40)	AHI≥ 30	48.6±9.2	24 (60)	31.5±4.9	14 (35)	NA	٨A	NA	46.0土42.0		
Lee [45]	2009	China	51	Control (16)	AHI < 5	39 土 7.8	13 (82)	27 土 3.8	3 (19)	(0) 0	NA	NA	2 土 1.6	PSG	8
				Mild OSA (20)	AHI 5–20	45 土 9.5	17 (85)	28 ± 3.3	2 (10)	(0) 0	AN	NA	11土4.5		
				Moderate to severe OSA (15)	AHI > 20	46 土 11.9	15 (100)	31 ± 5.1	8 (53)	(0) 0	AN	AN	48±21		
Tugcu [46]	2009	Turkey	71	Controls (30)	AHI < 5	54 土 10	22 (73.3)	30.10±3.65	(0) 0	12 (40)	(0) 0	2.6±2.29	1.46±0.68	PSG	7
				OSA (41)	$AHI \ge 15$	56 土 12	32 (78.0)	31.38±4.97	0 (0)	21 (51.2)	(0) 0	19.37土4.3	38.84±21.80		
Tomiyama	2009	Japan	164	None (14)	AHI < 5	44 土 10	12 (85.7)	23.6±2.8	0 (0)	0 (0)	(0) 0	8±5	2.1 土 1.2	PSG	7
[47]				Mimo (65)	$5 \le AHI < 30$	46 土 11	59 (90.8)	25.0±3.1	0 (0)	(0) 0	(0) 0	10土4	19.7 ± 6.1		
				Severe (85)	$AHI \ge 30$	49 土 11	78 (91.8)	27.0±3.7	(0) 0	0 (0)	(0) 0	11 ±5	51.2±18.1		
Cioffi [48]	2010	Italy	157	Controls (20)	AHI < 5	56 土 15	16 (80)	29土6	10 (50)	12 (60)	2 (10)	NA	3.4 (1-10)	PSG	7
				Mild OSA (51)	AHI 5-15	60土13	41 (80)	29±5	36 (71)	28 (55)	11 (21)	AN	10 (6–15)		
				Moderate/ severe OSA (86)	AHI > 15	63土12	73 (85)	31±5	67 (78)	51 (59)	15 (17)	NA	33 (23–46)		
Tugcu [49]	2010	Turkey	53	Control (26)	AHI < 5	54土10	19 (73.1)	29.6±3.6	0 (0)	NA	(0) 0	NA	2 土 1	PSG	7
				OSA (27)	$AH \ge 15$	54土10	24 (88.9)	31.1±5.1	0 (0)	NA	(0) 0	NA	40土22		
Varol [50]	2010	Turkey	64	Control (18)	AHI < 5	44.8土11.6	13 (72)	29.2±4.8	0 (0)	NA	(0) 0	NA	2.1 土 1.6	PSG	8
				Mild to moderate (25)	5 ≤ AHI ≤ 30	51.2±8.7	19 (76)	29.9土4.3	(0) (0)	NA	(0) 0	NA	15.8±7.4		
				Severe (21)	AHI> 30	48.9±9.3	18 (85)	32.2±3.6	0 (0)	NA	(0) 0	NA	60.7 ± 24.5		

\cup
d 1
$\underline{\Psi}$
_
-
<u> </u>
·=
1.1
=
<u> </u>
0
0
<u> </u>
\cup
\sim
m
æ
_
-

Horizon Subpose Subpose Control Subpose Control Subpose Control Subpose Control Subpose <																
Cuck[1] J01 Iwey 90 Geoup (D3 M+54 Geoup (D3 M+34	First author	Year	Country	Sample size	Subgroup	Diagnosis criteria	Age, years	Male (%)	BMI, kg/m²	Hypertension (%)	Hyperlipidemia (%)	Diabetes (%)	ESS	AHI, events/h	OSA diagnosis methods	NOS
Amounts Amounts <t< td=""><td>Cicek [51]</td><td>2011</td><td>Turkey</td><td>90</td><td>Group A (26)</td><td>AHI≤5</td><td>49.4土12.3</td><td>13 (50)</td><td>21.1±2.3</td><td>12 (46.2)</td><td>6 (23.1)</td><td>4 (15.4)</td><td>7.5 土 4.7</td><td>2.4±1.5</td><td>PSG</td><td>7</td></t<>	Cicek [51]	2011	Turkey	90	Group A (26)	AHI≤5	49.4土12.3	13 (50)	21.1±2.3	12 (46.2)	6 (23.1)	4 (15.4)	7.5 土 4.7	2.4±1.5	PSG	7
Minus(s) Comp (C) 15-4H 0 551 553 51±42 5554/2 Minus(s) ac 0000 (C) H=20 73±162 6.03 35±64 15023 9133 81±33 5554/2 5554/2 Minus(s) ac 0000 (C) H=52 73±162 6.03 35±64 15023 51±33 55±47 55±47 Minus(sA) H=15-3 415-10 10763 31±44 17830 15±46 1000 M 21±33 55±47 Seee (5) H=15-3 41±71 17830 45±41 1000 000 M 21±33 55 Bull(5) 2012 Med 415 415±10 6549 31±44 1780 000 M 21±146 31±416 Bull(5) 2012 Med 45 25±112 8100 25±14 000 M 31±32 55 Bull(5) 2012 Med 51 732 72 700 70 000					Group B (20)	5 < AHI < 15	59.3±9.5	9 (45)	23.5±3.1	4 (20.0)	4 (20)	2 (10.0)	7.4土4.3	8.4土3.6		
Minus Coup D(a) Mig 20 S13±16 6(3) S13±16 6(3) S13±16 S13±16 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Group C (20)</td> <td>$15 \leq AHI < 30$</td> <td>60.1 土 13.5</td> <td>6 (30)</td> <td>26.5 土 4.2</td> <td>8 (40.0)</td> <td>5 (25)</td> <td>5 (25.0)</td> <td>8.1 土 4.2</td> <td>25.5 土 4.2</td> <td></td> <td></td>					Group C (20)	$15 \leq AHI < 30$	60.1 土 13.5	6 (30)	26.5 土 4.2	8 (40.0)	5 (25)	5 (25.0)	8.1 土 4.2	25.5 土 4.2		
Millons [51] Old Millons [51] Millons [51] <thmillons [51]<="" th=""> <thmillons [51]<="" th=""></thmillons></thmillons>					Group D (24)	AHI≥ 30	57.3 土 16.2	6 (25)	30.5 ± 6.4	15 (62.5)	9 (37.5)	8 (33.3)	8.8±5.9	62.9±23.6		
Notesing Solution (30) Heil-S-30 G27±01 10760 314±46 4(303) NH 000 NA 215±33 Seere CSA AH=S3 49±74 17680 315±49 1050 NA 504153 Biel(51) 2012 Turkey 34 Comolog 49±74 17680 35±44 000 NA 524113 95 Biel(51) 2012 Turkey 34 Comolog 45±113 166403 55±413 95 55	Altintas [52]	2012	USA	40	Mild OSA (7)	AHI 5-14	38.3±6.1	4 (57.1)	27.5 ± 5.1	1 (14.3)	NA	0 (0)	NA	8.5±2.2	PSG	7
Biol [5] July P4 Connol(3) MH = 30 418 = 374 17 (65) 315 ± 40 1050 M 000 M 505 ± 153 Biol [5] J12 Turkey P4 Connol(3) Arr<5					Moderate OSA (13)	AHI 15-29	42.7 ± 9.1	10 (76.9)	31.4土4.6	4 (30.8)	NA	(0) 0	NA	21.5±3.8		
Bald [5] 2012 Turkoy 94 Commo(33) MH+C5 416±116 6 (645) 55±144 000 000 NM 32±19 PSG B< Butt 5d S 4MH<20					Severe OSA (20)	AHI≥ 30	48.9土7.4	17 (85.0)	31.5 土 4.9	10 (50)	NA	(0) 0	NA	50.5 ± 15.3		
	Balci [53]	2012	Turkey	94	Control (33)	AHI < 5	41.6±11.6	16 (48.5)	26.3±1.4	(0) 0	(0) 0	0 (0)	NA	3.2±1.9	PSG	œ
					Mild to moderate (30)	5 ≤ AHI < 30	42.5 土 11.2	18 (60.0)	26.9±2.4	(0) 0	(0) 0	(0) 0	NA	14.2 土 14.6		
Burtled 2012 UK 80 Connol(40) AHI-55 56-10 372.3.1 000 000 NA 3.4.2 PSG					Severe (31)	AHI≥ 30	45.7 土 10.3	16 (51.6)	27.3±2.3	0 (0)	(0) 0	0 (0)	NA	66.3 土 39.9		
	Butt [54]	2012	UK	80	Control (40)	AHI < 5	46 土 9	30 (75)	32 土 6	(0) 0	0 (0)	0 (0)	NA	3±2	PSG	00
					OSA (40)	$AHI \ge 15$	50 土 10	33 (82.5)	34土8	(0) 0	(0) 0	0 (0)	NA	39土22		
	Cho [55]	2012	Korea	45	Control (20)	AHI < 5	47.2 ± 7.1	N/A	27.9±1.7	(0) 0	NA	0 (0)	6.67 土 1.11	N/A	PSG	9
					OSA (25)	$AHI \ge 15$	43.5 ± 11.3		28.0±3.4	(0) 0	NA	0 (0)	13.6±3.4	19.7 土 11.6		
ingl[56] mary OSA (154) AHI> 5 61 / ± 12 103 (154) 31 / ± 5 31 / ± 5 1 (34) 53 (344) 21 (136) NA 35 9 ± 284 Km [57] 2012 Kora 49 Control (24) AHI<5	Hammerst-	2012	Ger-	183	Control (29)	AHI < 5	55.7 ± 15.8	17 (58.6)	30.1 ± 5.5	12 (44.4)	7 (24.1)	76 (49.4)	NA	2.3±1.3	PSG	00
	ingl [56]		many		OSA (154)	AHI>5	61.7 土 12.4	109 (70.8)	31.1±5.8	1 (3.4)	53 (34.4)	21 (13.6)	NA	35.9±28.4		
Oliveira [58] 2012 Bazil 105 Control (50) AHI=5 43.48±11.32 20(80) 281.±3.1 0(0) 0(0) NA 1966£11164 Oliveira [58] 2012 Bazil 106 Control (50) AHI=5 53.3±8.5 20(40.0) 278±4.5 18(36) NA 4(8) NA 2.6±1.4 PSG 8 Pressman 2012 USA 54 None/mild AHI=15 3.2±10.5 2.9(4.60) 7(50) NA 4(7.1) NA 2.6±1.4 PSG 8 Pressman 2012 USA 54 None/mild AHI=15 4.3±1.3 3.200 3.7±6 7(50) NA 4(7.1) NA 2.6±1.4 PSG Fish And AH AH 15 4.3±1.3 3.200 3.7±6 7(50) NA 2(14) NA 5.0±24 PSG PS	Kim [57]	2012	Korea	49	Control (24)	AHI<5	48.42 土 7.45	17 (79.8)	27.45 ± 2.41	(0) 0	0 (0)	(0) 0	NA	2.94 土 1.44	PSG	Ø
					OSA (25)	AHI≥5	43.48±11.32	20 (80)	28.1±3.1	(0) 0	0 (0)	(0) 0	NA	19.66土11.64		
Pressman 201 05A (56) AH1>20 5.29\pm10.6 29 (51.8) 29 4±6.3 25 (44.6) NA 4(7.1) NA 303±23.1 Pressman 2012 USA 54 None/mild AH1<15	Oliveira [58]	2012	Brazil	106	Control (50)	AHI<5	52.3±8.5	20 (40.0)	27.8±4.5	18 (36)	NA	4 (8)	NA	2.6土1.4	PSG	ø
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					OSA (56)	AHI > 20	52.9±10.6	29 (51.8)	29.4±6.3	25 (44.6)	NA	4 (7.1)	NA	30.3 ± 23.1		
Moderate/ sever (40) HI>15 45±10 18 (45) 42±9 24 (60) NA 10 (25) NA 50±28 Yang [50] 2012 China 295 Control (75) AH<<5	Pressman [59]	2012	USA	54	None/mild OSA (14)	AHI<15	43土13	3 (20)	37土6	7 (50)	NA	2 (14)	NA	4.9±4	PSG	5
Yang [60] 2012 China 295 Control (75) AHI<5					Moderate/ severe (40)	AHI≥15	45土10	18 (45)	42±9	24 (60)	NA	10 (25)	NA	50土28		
Aslan [61] 2013 Turkey 80 Group 1(43) AHI<5	Yang [60]	2012	China	295	Control (75)	AHI < 5	59.8土1.1	61 (81.3)	26.32 ± 4.57	0 (0)	NA	0 (0)	NA	2.9±2.0	PSG	œ
Aslan [61] 2013 Turkey 80 Group 1 (43) AHI<15					OSA (220)	AHI>5	58.4±0.7	179 (81.4)	27.39±5.74	0 (0)	NA	(0) 0	NA	20.0±5.6		
Group 2 (37) AHI≥15 46.0±9.4 31,41±4.8 0 (0) 0 (0) 0 (0) NA 49.2±24.8 Hammerst- 2013 Ger- 82 Group 1 (29) AHI 5-14 61.8±13.0 21 (72.4) 28.9±4.9 13 (44.8) 9 (31) 1 (3.4) 8.5±4.1 9.0±2.8 PSG 5 ingl [62] many Group 1 (24) AHI 5-30 66.3±10.5 13 (54.1) 30.4±4.5 15 (62.5) 12 (50) 4 (16.6) 9.4±4.5 22.0±4.4 Group 1 (29) AHI >30 62.5±10.7 17 (58.6) 32.9±6.3 16 (55.1) 9 (31) 5 (172) 13.6±4.9 61.7±2.27	Aslan [<mark>61</mark>]	2013	Turkey	80	Group 1 (43)	AHI < 15	44.1 土 10.9	31 (72.1)	28.48±4.2	0 (0)	0 (0)	(0) 0	NA	5.3 土 4.5	PSG	ŝ
Hammerst- 2013 Ger- 82 Group1 (29) AHI 5-14 618±13.0 21 (72.4) 28.9±4.9 13 (44.8) 9 (31) 1 (3.4) 8.5±4.1 9.0±2.8 PSG 5 ingl [62] many Group1 (24) AHI 15-30 66.3±10.5 13 (54.1) 30.4±4.5 15 (62.5) 12 (50) 4 (16.6) 9.4±4.5 22.0±4.4 Group1 (29) AHI >30 62.5±10.7 17 (58.6) 32.9±6.3 16 (55.1) 9 (31) 5 (17.2) 13.6±4.9 61.7±2.27					Group 2 (37)	$AHI \ge 15$	46.0±9.4	34 (91.9)	31.41 土 4.8	0 (0)	(0) 0	(0) 0	NA	49.2 土 24.8		
ingl [62] many Group 1 (24) AHI 15–30 66.3±10.5 13 (54.1) 30.4±4.5 15 (62.5) 12 (50) 4 (16.6) 9.4±4.5 22.0±4.4 Group 1 (29) AHI>30 62.5±10.7 17 (58.6) 32.9±6.3 16 (55.1) 9 (31) 5 (17.2) 13.6±4.9 61.7±22.7	Hammerst-	2013	Ger-	82	Group 1 (29)	AHI 5-14	61.8±13.0	21 (72.4)	28.9土4.9	13 (44.8)	9 (31)	1 (3.4)	8.5 土 4.1	9.0±2.8	PSG	ŝ
Group1(29) AHI>30 625±10.7 17(58.6) 329±6.3 16(55.1) 9(31) 5(17.2) 136±4.9 61.7±22.7	ingl [62]		many		Group 1 (24)	AHI 15-30	66.3±10.5	13 (54.1)	30.4土4.5	15 (62.5)	12 (50)	4 (16.6)	9.4土4.5	22.0土4.4		
					Group 1 (29)	AHI > 30	62.5±10.7	17 (58.6)	32.9±6.3	16 (55.1)	9 (31)	5 (17.2)	13.6土4.9	61.7±22.7		

0
(۱)
~
2
<u> </u>
·
Ę
5
0
ŏ
9
(n)
Ψ
_
-

Table 3(contin	ued)													
First author	Year	Country	Sample size	Subgroup	Diagnosis criteria	Age, years	Male (%)	BMI, kg/m ²	Hypertension (%)	Hyperlipidemia (%)	Diabetes (%)	ESS	AHI, events/h	OSA diagnosis methods	NOS
Usui [63]	2013	Japan	74	Mild to moderate OSA (52)	5 ≤ AHI < 30	41.0±13.1	52 (100)	24.2±2.7	(0) 0	(0) 0	(0) 0	NA	17.2±6.9	PSG	9
				Severe OSA (22)	AHI≥ 30	47.0土13.5	22 (100)	24.5±3.0	(0) 0	(0) 0	(0) 0	AN	44.7 ± 10.3		
Vitarelli [64]	2013	Italy	77	Control (35)	AHI < 5	45.1 土 12.2	13 (37.1)	26.8±4.3	(0) 0	0 (0)	(0) 0	NA	3.8±1.1	PSG	80
				Mild OSA (19)	$5 \leq AHI < 30$	48.3±8.2	7 (36.8)	27.5±5.4	(0) 0	(0) 0	(0) (0	AA	15.4±2.2		
				Severe OSA (23)	AHI≥ 30	47.4±8.1	9 (39.1)	28.3±6.5	(0) 0	(0) 0	(0) 0	NA	59.4±9.3		
Araz [65]	2014	Turkey	98	Group 1 (31)	AHI < 5	49.8土10.9	20 (29.9)	29.5±7.3	(0) 0	NA	0 (0)	3土2	1.5 土 1.4	PSG	7
				Group 2 (67)	$AHI \ge 5$	49.7 土 12.7	47 (70.1)	34.6±8.3	(0) 0	NA	(0) 0	10土4	52.6±32.3		
Chen [66]	2014	China	79	Control group (14)	AHI < 15	47 ± 8	9 (64.3)	24.1 ± 3.4	7 (50)	4 (28.6)	(0) (0)	AN	8.6±3.8	PSG	L)
				OSAS group (65)	AHI≥15	49 土 10	54 (83.1)	26.9±3.6	32 (49.2)	17 (26.2)	(0) (0	AA	45.4土 19.5		
Danica [67]	2014	Serbia	203	Controls (78)	AHI < 5	48.8土10.2	36 (46.2)	24.9±2.8	5 (6.4)	51 (65.4)	0 (0)	NA	37.2±21.7	PSG	∞
				Patients (125)	$AHI \ge 5$	51.6±10.7	91 (72.8)	31.6±5.6	69 (55.6)	94 (75.2)	0 (0)	NA			
Sun [68]	2014	China	186	Control group (50)	AHI < 5	62.2±10.8	37 (74.0)	29.66 ± 4.22	(0) 0	NA	(0) (0	NA	RDI 26土19	PSG	7
				OSA group (136)	AHI≥5	63.3±10.6	89 (65.4)	30.94 土 4.15	(0) 0	NA	(0) 0	NA	RDI 14土6		
Cil [69]	2015	Turkey	74	Control (30)	AHI < 5	43.03 土 10.89	25 (83.3)	30.8土4.6	8 (26.7)	3 (10)	4 (13.3)	NA	1.35 土 2.94	PSG	∞
				OSA (44)	$AHI \ge 5$	49.8土11.5	30 (68.2)	34.0±6.7	19 (43.2)	9 (20.4)	6 (13.6)	NA	28.05 ± 28.82		
lmai [70]	2015	Ger- many	206	Mild to mod- erate OSA (139)	5 ≤ AHI < 30	45 土 12	115 (83)	23.7 ± 2.8	(0) 0	AN	(0) 0	NA	16.0±6.7	PSG	ŝ
				Severe OSA (67)	AHI≥ 30	52 土 11	61 (91)	25.7 ± 2.4	(0) 0	NA	(0) (0)	NA	49.4土 14.9		
Sforza [71]	2015	France	405	Non SDB (31)	AHI < 5	68.9±0.7	5 (17)	24.1 土 3.4	13 (41.9)	14 (45.2)	(0) 0	5.0±3.3	3.3±1.2	Respiratory	80
				Mild SDB (129)	5 < AHI < 15	68.8±0.7	44 (34)	24.5±3.3	47 (36.4)	53 (41.1)	4 (3.1)	5.5 ± 3.4	9.8±3.2	monitor- ing	
				Moderate SDB (135)	15 <ahi<30< td=""><td>68.9±0.8</td><td>55 (41)</td><td>25.3±3.7</td><td>57 (42.2)</td><td>56 (41.5)</td><td>4 (3.0)</td><td>5.9±3.6</td><td>21.3 土 4.1</td><td></td><td></td></ahi<30<>	68.9±0.8	55 (41)	25.3±3.7	57 (42.2)	56 (41.5)	4 (3.0)	5.9±3.6	21.3 土 4.1		
				Severe SDB (110)	AHI≥ 30	68.8±0.8	62 (56)	26.9±3.6	53 (48.6)	32 (29.4)	8 (7.3)	6.4±3.7	46.0±4.7		

Table 3 (contin	ued)													
First author	Year	Country	Sample size	Subgroup	Diagnosis criteria	Age, years	Male (%)	BMI, kg/m ²	Hypertension (%)	Hyperlipidemia (%)	Diabetes (%)	ESS	AHI, events/h	OSA diagnosis methods	NOS
Wang [72]	2015	China	108	Control (30)	AHI < 5	45 土 6	21 (71)	25 土 4	0 (0)	NA	(0) 0	NA	2.7±1.2	PSG	∞
				Mild (26)	$5 \leq AHI < 15$	48 土 8	18 (69)	26 土 4	(0) 0	NA	(0) 0	NA	10.5 ± 3.2		
				Moderate (29)	$5 \leq AHI < 30$	45 土 8	8 (26)	27±3	(0) 0	Ч	(0) 0	NA	18.7±5.6		
				Severe (23)	AHI≥ 30	46土6	6 (28)	27 土 4	(0) 0	NA	(0) 0	NA	57.2±2.6		
Akyol [73]	2016	Turkey	116	Mild OSA (26)	$5 \leq AHI < 15$	44.1 土 10.2	16 (61.5)	28.5±4.9	(0) 0	NA	(0) 0	AN	8.3±2.9	PSG	ц
				Moderate OSA (41)	$15 \leq AHI < 30$	44.2 土 10	30 (73.2)	29.7±3.3	(0) 0	NA	(0) 0	AN	23.1 ± 3.5		
				Severe OSA (49)	AHI≥ 30	46.4土11.2	33 (67.3)	31 土 2.7	(0) 0	NA	(0) 0	AN	51.9±17.3		
Altiparmak	2016	Turkey	94	Control (42)	AHI < 5	46 土 7	28 (66.7)	25.8±3.3	6 (14.3)	NA	5 (11.9)	NA	N/A	PSG	6
[74]				OSA (52)	AHI≥5	49 土 10	35 (67.3)	26.5 ± 2.1	16 (30.8)	NA	10 (19.2)	NA			
Altıparmak	2016	Turkey	66	Control (35)	AHI < 5	43.0土6.4	23 (74.2)	26.2±3.2	0 (0)	NA	(0) 0	NA	N/A	PSG	00
[75]				OSA (31)	$AHI \ge 5$	45.5±6.6	25 (71.4)	26.7 ± 2.1	0 (0)	NA	(0) 0	NA	45.4土28.1		
Güvenç [<mark>76</mark>]	2016	Turkey	67	Control (26)	AHI < 5	47 土 13	19 (73)	26.01 ± 3.53	10 (3.8)	NA	(0) 0	NA	N/A	PSG	7
				OSA (41)	AHI > 5	48 土 9	27 (66)	32.02 ± 4.6	13 (31.6)	AN	4 (10.5)	Ч	Moderate 23.0±4.5; severe 53.4±18.5		
Korcarz [77]	2016	USA	544	AHI < 5 (468)	AHI < 5	46 土 7	222 (47)	28.8±5.9	NA	NA	9 (2)	NA	1.1 ± 1.3	PSG	∞
				AHI 5–14.9 (76)	AHI 5-14.9	49土8	52 (68)	31.0±5.2	NA	NA	1 (1)	AN	8.7±2.9		
Li [78]	2016	China	100	Control (31)	AHI < 5	46.8±5.4	19 (61.3)	24.86±2.78	0 (0)	NA	(0) 0	NA	1.72±1.01	PSG	7
				Mild (24)	AHI 5-15	47.3±6.1	15 (62.5)	26.40±3.12	(0) 0	NA	(0) 0	NA	12.72 ± 2.03		
				Moderate (25)	AHI 16-30	47.9±7.9	15 (60)	26.83 ± 3.55	(0) 0	NA	(0) 0	NA	24.01 ± 3.56		
				Severe (20)	AHI > 30	48.5±5.4	12 (60)	27.97 ± 3.59	0 (0)	NA	(0) 0	NA	40.78 ± 5.02		
Ozkececi [<mark>79</mark>]	2016	Turkey	06	Without OSA (30)	AHI < 5	46.4土14	14 (46.7)	29.3 土 4.8	(0) 0	NA	NA	NA	1 (1-4)	PSG	œ
				OSA (60)	AHI ≥ 5	49.6土11.7	29 (96.7)	31.6±5.8	0 (0)	NA	NA	NA	24.5 (6–98)		

Lu et al. Syst Rev (2021) 10:212

Table 3 (continu	(pər													
First author	Year	Country	Sample size	Subgroup	Diagnosis criteria	Age, years	Male (%)	BMI, kg/m ²	Hypertension (%)	Hyperlipidemia (%)	Diabetes (%)	ESS	AHI, events/h	OSA diagnosis methods	NOS
Vitarelli [80]	2016	Italy	67	Control subjects (30)	AHI<5	46.2 土 13.4	11 (36.7)	26.4土4.3	(0) 0	(0) 0	(0) 0	AN	3.8土1.4	PSG	œ
				Mild OSA (10)	5 < AHI < 15	47.9土10.3	4 (40)	26.9±5.8	(0) 0	(0) 0	(0) 0	NA	7.1 土 1.9		
				Moderate OSA (8)	15 < AHI < 30	47.6±9.1	3 (37.5)	27.4±5.5	(0) 0	(0) 0	(0) 0	NA	19.8±2.7		
				Severe OSA (19)	AHI≥ 30	48.1 ± 10.2	7 (36.8)	28.2±6.3	(0) 0	(0) 0	(0) 0	NA	58.9±9.1		
Vural [81]	2016	Turkey	63	Non-OSA (20)	AHI < 5	42.9±13.1	12 (60)	26.2±1.0	5 (25)	9 (45)	1 (5)	NA	2.7 土 1.0	PSG	~
				Mild-to- moderate OSA (19)	5 <u>≤</u> AHI < 30	41.4土12.6	12 (63.2)	26.4±1.1	11 (57)	9 (47)	1 (5)	NA	14.9±8.3		
				Severe OSA (24)	AHI≥ 30	43.1 土 11.1	13 (54.2)	26.8±1.2	15 (62)	15 (62)	2 (8)	NA	60.9±21.3		
Zhou [<mark>82</mark>]	2016	China	79	Controls (19)	$AHI \le 5$	52.0土10.8	12 (63.2)	24.2 ± 3.7	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	PSG	7
				Mild OSA (20)	$5 < AHI \le 15$	53.9±11.7	16 (80)	30.6±9.7	٨A	NA	NA	NA			
				Moderate OSA (16)	15 < AHI ≤ 30	58.8±10.7	13 (81.2)	35.0±9.5	٨A	NA	NA	NA			
				Severe OSA (24)	AHI > 30	49.7 土 12.7	22 (91.7)	38.9±9.5	AN	NA	NA	NA			
Buonauro	2017	Italy	88	Control (29)	$AHI \le 5$	52.9土10.5	23 (79.3)	26.3 土 3.4	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Cardiores-	9
[83]				OSA (59)	AHI>15	54.4±11.2	49 (83.1)	33.2±7.2	NA	AN	NA	NA	42.0±24.3	piratory monitor- ing	
Vural [84]	2017	Turkey	162	Control (45)	AHI < 5	48.1 土 11.8	24 (53.3)	26.7 土 1.5	10 (44.4)	21 (46.7)	4 (8.9)	NA	2.8土1.0	PSG	80
				Mild (22)	AHI 5-15	47.7 土 11.8	14 (63.6)	27.2 土 1.3	13 (59.1)	9 (40.9)	4 (18.2)	NA	9.3±3.2		
				Moderate (27)	AHI 15-30	49.4土12.1	13 (56.5)	27.2±1.3	16 (69.6)	14 (60.9)	5 (21.7)	NA	24.9土3.9		
				Severe (68)	AHI≥ 30	49.9±9.5	34 (59.6)	27.6±2.3	31 (54.4)	26 (45.6)	9 (15.8)	NA	57.3 土 20.4		
Zhou [<mark>85</mark>]	2017	China	82	Control (19)	$AHI \le 5$	52.0±10.8	12 (63.2)	24.2 ± 3.7	(0) 0	NA	(0) 0	NA	NA	PSG	7
				Mild (21)	5 < AHI < 15	52.8土11.9	15 (71.4)	29.6±9.1	(0) 0	NA	(0) 0	NA			
				Moderate (19)	$15 \leq AHI < 30$	55.7 土 12.4	13 (68.4)	35.2±10.1	(0) 0	NA	(0) 0	NA			
				Severe (23)	AHI≥ 30	50.2±12.1	18 (78.3)	39.2±9.8	0 (0)	NA	(0) 0	NA			

fear C	ountry	Sample size	Subgroup	Diagnosis criteria	Age, years	Male (%)	BMI, kg/m ²	Hypertension (%)	Hyperlipidemia (%)	Diabetes (%)	ESS	A	.Hl, events/h	OSA diagnosis methods	NOS
018 Tu	urkey	55	Group I (26)	AHI 5-30	49.0±10.8	17 (66)	26.9±1.6	12 (46)	12 (46)	4 (15)	AN	00	9.4 9.8	PSG	9
			Group II (29)	AHI≥ 30	49.9±9.4	15 (52)	27.2 ± 2.4	15 (51)	14 (48)	6 (20)	AN	9	1.1 土 21.0		
018 Ci	hina	101	Control (30)	$AHI \le 5$	46.82 土 5.45	17 (56.7)	27.06 土 4.38	0 (0)	NA	(0) 0	NA	1.	.75 土 0.99	PSG	7
			Mild OSA (23)	AHI 5-15	47.31 土 6.15	13 (56.5)	28.40±3.12	(0) 0	NA	(0) 0	AN	1	3.96 ± 3.98		
			Moderate OSA (25)	AHI 16-30	47.96 土 7.90	14 (56)	29.83±5.05	(0) 0	NA	(0) 0	NA	5	4.01 土 3.56		
			Severe OSA (23)	AHI > 30	48.55 ± 5.43	14 (60.9)	32.97±3.59	(0) 0	NA	(0) 0	AN	ñ	9.61 土 6.64		
016 U	ISA	1412	AHI < 5 (256)	AHI < 5	66.2±8.7	69 (27.0)	26.1 ± 5.1	126 (49.2)	NA	27 (10.6)		NA N	/A	PSG	7
			AHI 5–15 (511)	AHI 5-15	67.4±8.6	194 (38.0)	27.7 土 4.7	270 (52.8)	NA	57 (11.2)		NA			
			AHI 15–30 (367)	AHI 15-30	0.6 干 0.69	201 (54.8)	28.6土4.8	204 (55.6)	NA	59 (16.1)		NA			
			AHI 30–50 (191)	AHI 30-50	69.2±9.2	124 (64.9)	29.6±5.6	114 (59.7)	NA	34 (17.9)		NA			
			AHI > 50 (87)	AHI > 50	68.5±8.6	67 (77.0)	31.1±5.2	55 (63.2)	NA	194 (13.8)		AN			
013 C	hina	63	Control (17)	AHI<5	51.0 (42.0, 54.0)	13 (76.5)	28.6 (25.2, 31.3)	NA	AN	4 (23.5)	AN	rri	¢.	PSG	00
			Mild (15)	AHI 5-20	48.0 (39.0, 55.0)	10 (66.7)	28.4 (25.7, 31.7)	NA	AN	3 (20.0)	AN	6	.		
			Moderate (13)	AHI 21-30	44.0 (39.0, 47.0)	9 (69.2)	28.5 (27.2, 32.1)	NA	AN	2 (15.4)	AN	ñ	8.5		
			Severe (18)	AHI≥31	45.0 (36.0, 51.0)	17 (94.4)	30.2 (28.7, 33.3)	٨A	NA	4 (22.2)	NA	9	5.2		
opnea ir ter, LVM i SG polys	ndex, BM left venti somnogri	// body ma ricular ma: abhv. <i>PWD</i>	iss index, <i>IVSD</i> i ss, <i>LVMI</i> left ver) nosterior wall	interventricula itricular mass i diameter SMI	ir septum diame ndex, LV MPI lefi	t ventricular i	atrial diameter, myocardial pert	LAV/ left atrial vc formance index,	Jume index, LVEDL LVEF left ventricul	D left ventric ar ejection fr	ular end action,	l-diastolic dian VOS Newcastle	neter, LVESD lef e-Ottawa scale,	t ventricular e OSA obstruct	ive -
	fear C 2018 T 2018 C 2016 L 2013 C 2013 C 2013 C 2013 C	fear Country 2018 Turkey 2018 China 2016 USA 2013 China 2013 China 2013 China 2013 China	(ear Country Sample size size	(ear Country Sample Subgroup size size Group I (26) 018 Turkey 55 Group I (29) 018 Turkey 55 Group I (29) 018 China 101 Control (30) 016 USA 101 Control (30) 016 USA 1412 AHI 5-15 (511) AHI 5-15 (511) 013 China 63 AHI 5-15 013 China 63 AHI 5-15 013 China 63 (17) 013 China 63 Control (17) 013 China 63 Control (17) 014 15 (19) (19) 013 China 63 Control (17) 014 Severe (18) Severe (18)	Rear Country Sample Subgroup Diagnosis size size criteria criteria 018 Turkey 55 Group I (26) AHI 5–30 018 Turkey 55 Group I (26) AHI 5–15 018 China 101 Control (30) AHI 5–15 0233 Moderate AHI 5–15 (23) 016 USA 1412 AHI 5–15 023 Severe OSA AHI 5–15 (23) 016 USA 1412 AHI 5–15 (23) 016 USA 1412 AHI 5–15 (23) 016 USA 1415-30 (367) AHI 5–15 013 China 63 Control (17) AHI 5–20 013 China 63	Gar Country Sample Subgroup Diagnosis Age, years Size size criteria criteria Age, years 018 Turkey 55 Group I(26) AHI 5-30 49.0±10.8 018 Turkey 55 Group I(26) AHI 5-15 47.31 ±6.15 023 Moderate AHI 5-15 47.31 ±6.15 23 016 USA 101 Control (30) AHI 5-15 47.31 ±6.15 026.233 AHI 5-15 AHI 5-15 47.31 ±6.15 23 016 USA 14112 AHI 5-15 47.31 ±6.15 026.123) AHI 5-15 AHI 5-15 66.2 ± 8.7 013 USA 1415-30 AHI 5-15 67.4 ± 8.6 013 AHI 5-15 AHI 5-15 67.4 ± 8.6 67.4 ± 8.6 013 AHI 5-15 AHI 5-15 67.4 ± 8.6 67.4 ± 8.6 013 AHI 5-15 AHI 5-15 67.4 ± 8.6 67.4 ± 8.6 013 AHI 5-16 AHI 5-10 68	Guntry Sample Subgroup Diagnosis Age. years Male (%) 018 Turkey 55 Group I(29) AHI 5-30 49.0±10.8 17 (66) 018 Turkey 55 Group I(29) AHI 5-15 45.657 17 (66) 018 Control (30) AHI 5-15 47.96±7.45 17 (66) 023 Moderate AHI 16-30 47.96±7.90 14 (56) 024 DSA (25) AHI 5-15 47.31±6.15 13 (56.5) 025A (23) AHI 16-30 47.96±7.90 14 (50.9) 026A (23) AHI 5-15 47.96±7.90 14 (50.9) 028A (23) AHI 5-15 47.96±7.90 14 (60.9) 029 (23) AHI 5-15 AHI 5-15 47.96±7.90 013 AHI 5-15 AHI 5-15 67.4±8.6 194 (38.0) 036.7) AHI 5-15 AHI 5-15 67.4±8.6 194 (64.9) 013 AHI 5-15 AHI 5-15 67.4±8.6 176 (64.9) 013 AHI 5-15 AHI 5-15 67.4±8.	Gat Country Subgroup Diagnosis Age, years Male (%) MMI kg/m ² 018 Turkey 55 Group 1(26) AH15-30 49.0±108 17 (66) 26.9±116 018 Turkey 55 Group 1(29) AH15-30 49.0±108 17 (66) 26.9±116 018 China 101 Control (30) AH15-15 47.31±6.15 13 (56.5) 28.40±3.312 016 U5A 101 Control (30) AH15-15 47.31±6.15 13 (56.5) 28.40±3.312 016 U5A 101 Control (30) AH15-15 47.31±6.15 13 (56.5) 28.40±3.35 025 Severe 05A AH15-15 47.31±6.15 14 (60.9) 32.97±3.59 015 U5A AH15-15 AH15-15 67.4±86 194 (380) 27.7±4.7 017 J367) AH15-30 AH15-30 AH15-30 AH15-30 28.146.49 29.6±5.65 018 U5A AH15-30 AH15-30 69.2±9.92 124.64.99 <td< td=""><td>Gentry Sample Subgroup Diagnosis Age, varsi Male (%) BMI, kg/m² Hypertension 001 Turkey 55 Group I(26) AHI 5-30 499.494 15 (52) 25.94.16 12 (46) 001 Turkey 55 Group I(26) AHI 5-30 499.49.41 15 (52) 27.24.2.4 15 (51) 001 Control (30) AHI 5-15 47.31 ± 6.15 13 (55) 284.04.312 0(0) 016 USA AHI 5-15 47.31 ± 6.15 13 (55) 289.34.5.55 0(0) 016 USA AHI 5-15 AHI 5-15 47.44.86 194 (380) 27.74.4.7 270 (528) 016 USA AHI 5-15 AHI 5-15 67.44.86 194 (380) 27.74.4.7 270 (528) 016 USA AHI 5-15 AHI 5-15 67.44.86 194 (380) 27.74.4.7 270 (528) 016 USA AHI 5-15 AHI 5-15 67.44.86 194 (380) 27.74.4.7 270 (528) 017 AHI 5-16<td>Gen Country Sample Subgroup Diagnosis of the Sample Subgroup Age vasas Male (%) B/M, Kg/m Hyperetension Hyperetipidemia 018 Turkey 55 Group (129) AH15-30 49.9.±108 17 (66) 26.9±1.6 12 (46) (9) 018 Turkey 55 Group (129) AH15-30 49.9±5.44 15 (55) 25.9±1.6 12 (46) (9) 018 China 101 Convol (20) AH15-30 49.9±5.44 15 (55) 25.9±1.5 14 (48) (9) 016 USA NH1 6-30 47.3±6.15 315.65 28.40±3.312 0 (0) NA 016 USA H41 5-15 AH1 5-13 47.3±6.15 316.65 28.40±3.312 0 (0) NA 016 USA H41 5-15 AH1 5-</td><td>Gent Y Sample Sample Sample Subgroup Digensis Age, years Mail (%) Mignic Mypertension Hyperlipidemia Diabetes (%) (%) Diabetes (%) <thdiabetes (%)<="" th=""> D</thdiabetes></td><td>Gen Contry Sample Subgroup Diagnosis Age, years Male (%) Mul, kg/m² Hypertipidemia Diabetes SS 018 Turkey 55 Group (26) AHI 5-30 490 ± 108 17 (66) 26 ± 16 12 (46) 14 (5) NA 018 Turkey 55 Group (126) AHI 5-30 490 ± 94 15 (52) 223 ± 24 15 (51) 14 (48) 6 (20) NA 018 China 101 Control (30) AHI 5-15 47 3 ± 55 28 40 ± 312 0 (0) NA 0 (0) NA 0233 AHI 5-15 AHI 5-15 47 3 ± 55 29 3 3 ± 55 0 (0) NA 0 (0) NA 0231 AHI 5-15 AHI 5-15 47 4 460 20 ± 55 0 (0) NA 0 (0) NA 031 AHI 5-15 AHI 5-15 47 4 460 20 ± 55 0 (0) NA 0 (0) NA 031 1413 AHI 5-15 67 4 ± 86 94 280 27 4 ± 4 27</td><td>Garty Subprote Maile (%) MAIL (%) Hyperficientian Diagnosis Aga years Maile (%) MAIL (%) Hyperficientian Diagnosis SS Maile (%) MAIL (%) Hyperficientian Diagnosis SS Maile (%) MAIL (%) Maile (%)</td><td>(m) Subports Diagroup Ray costs Mail softwaic Monochraic Monochraic Mail softwaic Mail so</td><td>Oral Subported Depended <thdepended< th=""> Depended <th< td=""></th<></thdepended<></td></td></td<>	Gentry Sample Subgroup Diagnosis Age, varsi Male (%) BMI, kg/m ² Hypertension 001 Turkey 55 Group I(26) AHI 5-30 499.494 15 (52) 25.94.16 12 (46) 001 Turkey 55 Group I(26) AHI 5-30 499.49.41 15 (52) 27.24.2.4 15 (51) 001 Control (30) AHI 5-15 47.31 ± 6.15 13 (55) 284.04.312 0(0) 016 USA AHI 5-15 47.31 ± 6.15 13 (55) 289.34.5.55 0(0) 016 USA AHI 5-15 AHI 5-15 47.44.86 194 (380) 27.74.4.7 270 (528) 016 USA AHI 5-15 AHI 5-15 67.44.86 194 (380) 27.74.4.7 270 (528) 016 USA AHI 5-15 AHI 5-15 67.44.86 194 (380) 27.74.4.7 270 (528) 016 USA AHI 5-15 AHI 5-15 67.44.86 194 (380) 27.74.4.7 270 (528) 017 AHI 5-16 <td>Gen Country Sample Subgroup Diagnosis of the Sample Subgroup Age vasas Male (%) B/M, Kg/m Hyperetension Hyperetipidemia 018 Turkey 55 Group (129) AH15-30 49.9.±108 17 (66) 26.9±1.6 12 (46) (9) 018 Turkey 55 Group (129) AH15-30 49.9±5.44 15 (55) 25.9±1.6 12 (46) (9) 018 China 101 Convol (20) AH15-30 49.9±5.44 15 (55) 25.9±1.5 14 (48) (9) 016 USA NH1 6-30 47.3±6.15 315.65 28.40±3.312 0 (0) NA 016 USA H41 5-15 AH1 5-13 47.3±6.15 316.65 28.40±3.312 0 (0) NA 016 USA H41 5-15 AH1 5-</td> <td>Gent Y Sample Sample Sample Subgroup Digensis Age, years Mail (%) Mignic Mypertension Hyperlipidemia Diabetes (%) (%) Diabetes (%) <thdiabetes (%)<="" th=""> D</thdiabetes></td> <td>Gen Contry Sample Subgroup Diagnosis Age, years Male (%) Mul, kg/m² Hypertipidemia Diabetes SS 018 Turkey 55 Group (26) AHI 5-30 490 ± 108 17 (66) 26 ± 16 12 (46) 14 (5) NA 018 Turkey 55 Group (126) AHI 5-30 490 ± 94 15 (52) 223 ± 24 15 (51) 14 (48) 6 (20) NA 018 China 101 Control (30) AHI 5-15 47 3 ± 55 28 40 ± 312 0 (0) NA 0 (0) NA 0233 AHI 5-15 AHI 5-15 47 3 ± 55 29 3 3 ± 55 0 (0) NA 0 (0) NA 0231 AHI 5-15 AHI 5-15 47 4 460 20 ± 55 0 (0) NA 0 (0) NA 031 AHI 5-15 AHI 5-15 47 4 460 20 ± 55 0 (0) NA 0 (0) NA 031 1413 AHI 5-15 67 4 ± 86 94 280 27 4 ± 4 27</td> <td>Garty Subprote Maile (%) MAIL (%) Hyperficientian Diagnosis Aga years Maile (%) MAIL (%) Hyperficientian Diagnosis SS Maile (%) MAIL (%) Hyperficientian Diagnosis SS Maile (%) MAIL (%) Maile (%)</td> <td>(m) Subports Diagroup Ray costs Mail softwaic Monochraic Monochraic Mail softwaic Mail so</td> <td>Oral Subported Depended <thdepended< th=""> Depended <th< td=""></th<></thdepended<></td>	Gen Country Sample Subgroup Diagnosis of the Sample Subgroup Age vasas Male (%) B/M, Kg/m Hyperetension Hyperetipidemia 018 Turkey 55 Group (129) AH15-30 49.9.±108 17 (66) 26.9±1.6 12 (46) (9) 018 Turkey 55 Group (129) AH15-30 49.9±5.44 15 (55) 25.9±1.6 12 (46) (9) 018 China 101 Convol (20) AH15-30 49.9±5.44 15 (55) 25.9±1.5 14 (48) (9) 016 USA NH1 6-30 47.3±6.15 315.65 28.40±3.312 0 (0) NA 016 USA H41 5-15 AH1 5-13 47.3±6.15 316.65 28.40±3.312 0 (0) NA 016 USA H41 5-15 AH1 5-	Gent Y Sample Sample Sample Subgroup Digensis Age, years Mail (%) Mignic Mypertension Hyperlipidemia Diabetes (%) (%) Diabetes (%) <thdiabetes (%)<="" th=""> D</thdiabetes>	Gen Contry Sample Subgroup Diagnosis Age, years Male (%) Mul, kg/m ² Hypertipidemia Diabetes SS 018 Turkey 55 Group (26) AHI 5-30 490 ± 108 17 (66) 26 ± 16 12 (46) 14 (5) NA 018 Turkey 55 Group (126) AHI 5-30 490 ± 94 15 (52) 223 ± 24 15 (51) 14 (48) 6 (20) NA 018 China 101 Control (30) AHI 5-15 47 3 ± 55 28 40 ± 312 0 (0) NA 0 (0) NA 0233 AHI 5-15 AHI 5-15 47 3 ± 55 29 3 3 ± 55 0 (0) NA 0 (0) NA 0231 AHI 5-15 AHI 5-15 47 4 460 20 ± 55 0 (0) NA 0 (0) NA 031 AHI 5-15 AHI 5-15 47 4 460 20 ± 55 0 (0) NA 0 (0) NA 031 1413 AHI 5-15 67 4 ± 86 94 280 27 4 ± 4 27	Garty Subprote Maile (%) MAIL (%) Hyperficientian Diagnosis Aga years Maile (%) MAIL (%) Hyperficientian Diagnosis SS Maile (%) MAIL (%) Hyperficientian Diagnosis SS Maile (%) MAIL (%) Maile (%)	(m) Subports Diagroup Ray costs Mail softwaic Monochraic Monochraic Mail softwaic Mail so	Oral Subported Depended Depended <thdepended< th=""> Depended <th< td=""></th<></thdepended<>

Lu et al. Syst Rev (2021) 10:212

Echocardiographic	Number of	OSA/control	SMD (95% CI)	p value	Study he	terogeneit	у	Egger's
parameters	studies				l ²	χ²	p value	test <i>p</i> value
LAD (mm)	13	1107/317	0.385 (0.252, 0.518)	< 0.001	39.1%	19.72	0.073	0.757
LAVI (ml/m ²)	6	238/163	0.307 (0.096, 0.518)	0.004	89.50%	47.49	< 0.001	0.036
LVESD (mm)	24	1526/620	0.323 (0.223, 0.422)	< 0.001	0.00%	14.8	0.902	0.646
LVEDD (mm)	18	918/406	0.126 (0.003, 0.249)	0.044	0.00%	13.98	0.669	0.638
LVM (g)	7	708/612	0.558 (0.403, 0.712)	< 0.001	87.3%	47.42	< 0.001	0.807
LVMI (g/m ²)	23	1515/641	0.478 (0.242, 0.714)	< 0.001	81.3%	117.7	< 0.001	0.562
IVSD (mm)	24	1375/808	0.471 (0.195, 0.747)	0.001	87.1%	177.71	< 0.001	0.021
PWD (mm)	22	1348/1047	0.602 (0.328, 0.875)	< 0.001	86.7%	157.47	< 0.001	0.087
LVEF (%)	39	2552/1737	- 0.238 (- 0.379, - 0.097)	0.001	73.4%	142.76	< 0.001	0.465
LV MPI	8	512/385	0.687 (0.371, 1.004)	< 0.001	74.4%	27.38	< 0.001	0.915
RVD (mm)	15	845/470	0.725 (0.605, 0.845)	< 0.001	82.7%	80.8	< 0.001	0.184
RV MPI	8	303/346	0.881 (0.487, 1.274)	< 0.001	79.4%	33.98	< 0.001	0.052
TAPSE	10	435/419	- 0.481 (- 0.810, - 0.152)	0.004	79.0%	42.9	< 0.001	0.12
RV FAC	5	762/234	- 0.399 (- 0.553, - 0.246)	< 0.001	0.00%	2.59	0.629	0.222

Table 4 Results of the meta-analysis comparing OSA patients and controls

IVSD interventricular septum diameter, *LAD* left atrial diameter, *LAV*/left atrium volume index, *LVEDD* left ventricular end-diastolic diameter, *LVESD* left ventricular end-systolic diameter, *LVM* left ventricular mass, *LVMI* left ventricular mass index, *LV MPI* left ventricular myocardial performance index, *LVEF* left ventricular ejection fraction, *OSA* obstructive sleep apnea, *PWD* posterior wall diameter, *SMD* standardized mean difference, *RVD* right ventricular diameter, *RV FAC* right ventricular fractional area change, *RV MPI* right ventricular myocardial performance index, *TAPSE* tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion

patients with age \geq 50 years or BMI < 30 kg/m² was significantly decreased. In this analysis, there was publication bias on Egger test (p = 0.036).

LV remodeling was assessed based on left ventricular (LV) end-systolic diameter (LVESD), LV end-diastolic diameter (LVEDD), LV mass (LVM), LV mass index (LVMI), interventricular septum diameter (IVSD), and posterior wall diameter (PWD). Differences in LVESD were reported in 24 studies involving 1526 OSA patients and 620 controls. This meta-analysis found that compared to controls, the OSA patients had significantly wider LVESD (SMD [95% CI] 0.323 [0.223, 0.422]; p<0.001), with non-significant heterogeneity. No significant publication bias (p = 0.646) was found among the studies. LVEDD was assessed in 18 studies involving 918 OSA patients and 406 controls. This meta-analysis found that compared to controls, OSA patients displayed significantly wider LVEDD (SMD [95% CI] 0.126 [0.003, 0.249]; p = 0.669), with non-significant heterogeneity. No significant publication bias was found among the studies (p = 0.638). Differences in LVM were reported in 7 studies involving 708 OSA patients and 612 controls. Our analysis revealed that compared to controls, LVM was substantially higher in OSA patients (SMD [95% CI] 0.558 [0.403, 0.712]; *p* < 0.001), with statistically significant heterogeneity. Further subgroup analysis showed that heterogeneity in OSA patients with age < 50 years or BMI < 30 kg/m² was significantly decreased. No significant publication bias was found among the studies (p = 0.807). Differences in LVMI were reported in 23 studies involving 1515 OSA

patients and 641 controls. Compared to controls, OSA patients exhibited significantly higher LVMI (SMD [95% CI] 0.478 [0.242, 0.714]; p<0.001), with statistically significant heterogeneity. No significant publication bias was found among the studies (p = 0.562). Differences in IVSD were reported in 24 studies involving 1375 OSA patients and 808 controls. We found that compared with controls, OSA patients have significantly wider IVSD (SMD [95% CIs] 0.471 [0.195, 0.747]; p = 0.001), with statistically significant heterogeneity. And Egger test revealed a significant publication bias among the studies (p=0.021). Differences in PWD were reported in 22 studies involving 1348 OSA patients and 1047 controls. The meta-analysis showed that OSA patients exhibited significantly wider PWD than that in controls (SMD [95% CIs] 0.602 [0.328, 0.875]; p < 0.001), with statistically significant heterogeneity. No significant publication bias was found among the studies (p = 0.087). There was no apparent change in the heterogeneity of LVMI, IVSD, and PWD when the subgroups were performed using the age of 50 years or the BMI of 30 kg/m^2 as the threshold.

LV dysfunction was diagnosed based on LV ejection fraction (LVEF) and LV myocardial performance index (LV MPI). Differences in LVEF were reported in 39 studies involving 2552 OSA patients and 1737 controls. This meta-analysis found that compared with controls, LVEF was significantly lower in OSA patients (SMD [95% CI] -0.238 [-0.379, -0.097]; p=0.001), with statistically significant heterogeneity. There was non-significant publication bias (p=0.465). Differences in LV MPI were

Table 5 Subgroup analysis

Echocardiographic	Subgroup	N	SMD (95% CI)	p value	Study hete	rogeneity	
parameters					²	X ²	<i>p</i> value
LAVI	Overall	6	0.307 (0.096, 0.518)	0.004	89.50%	47.49	< 0.001
	Age						
	Age ≥ 50	2	0.598 (- 0.070, 1.265)	0.886	9.6%	10.17	0.293
	Age < 50	4	0.962 (- 0.054, 1.978)	0.064	92.7%	41.05	< 0.001
	BMI						
	$BMI \ge 30$	3	1.121 (- 0.585, 2.828)	0.198	95.6%	45.02	< 0.001
	BMI < 30	3	0.262 (- 0.026, 0.550)	0.075	11.0%	2.25	0.325
LVM	Overall	7	0.558 (0.403, 0.712)	< 0.001	87.3%	47.42	< 0.001
	Age						
	Age ≥ 50	5	0.648 (-0.007, 1.371)	0.079	90.5%	42.10	< 0.001
	Age < 50	2	0.593 (0.121, 1.066)	0.001	0.0%	0.60	0.438
	BMI						
	$BMI \ge 30$	2	0.593 (0.121, 1.066)	0.224	94.9%	19.65	< 0.001
	BMI < 30	5	0.382 (0.121, 0.644)	0.004	37.9%	6.44	0.168
LVMI	Overall	23	0.478 (0.242, 0.714)	< 0.001	81.3%	117.7	< 0.001
	Age						
	Age ≥ 50	11	0.332 (-0.047, 0.712)	0.086	85.7%	69.94	< 0.001
	Age < 50	12	0.619 (0.242, 0.714)	< 0.001	71.1%	38.06	< 0.001
	BMI						
	$BMI \ge 30$	7	0.211 (-0.227, 0.650)	0.345	83.0%	35.29	< 0.001
IVSD	BMI < 30	16	0.598 (0.311, 0.884)	< 0.001	81.2%	79.94	< 0.001
	Overall	24	0.471 (0.195, 0.747)	0.001	87.1%	177.71	< 0.001
	Age						
	Age ≥ 50	10	0.569 (- 0.007, 1.145)	0.053	93.6%	141.06	< 0.001
	Age < 50	14	0.396 (0.166, 0.626)	0.001	64.5%	36.60	< 0.001
	BMI						
	$BMI \ge 30$	10	0.471 (0.195, 0.747)	0.005	83.0%	52.84	< 0.001
	BMI < 30	14	0.446 (0.046, 0.846)	0.029	88.4%	112.43	< 0.001
PWD	Overall	22	0.602 (0.328, 0.875)	< 0.001	86.7%	157.47	< 0.001
	Age						
	Age \geq 50	9	0.712 (0.084, 1.340)	0.026	93.7%	127.17	< 0.001
	Age < 50	13	0.511 (0.304, 0.718)	< 0.001	58.8%	29.11	0.004
	BMI						
	$BMI \ge 30$	8	0.356 (- 0.276, 0.988)	0.270	92.9%	98.19	< 0.001
	BMI < 30	14	0.730 (0.504, 0.955)	< 0.001	68.0%	40.68	< 0.001
LVEF	Overall	39	- 0.238 (- 0.379, - 0.097)	0.001	73.4%	142.76	< 0.001
	Age						
	Age \geq 50	16	-0.142 (-0.378, 0.094)	0.238	80.5%	76.92	< 0.001
	Age < 50	23	-0.310 (-0.476, -0.143)	< 0.001	62.8%	59.07	< 0.001
	BMI						
	$BMI \ge 30$	13	- 0.254 (- 0.630, 0.121)	0.184	87.5%	95.72	0.005
	BMI < 30	26	- 0.239 (- 0.358, - 0.120)	< 0.001	46.4%	46.63	< 0.001

Echocardiographic	Subgroup	N	SMD (95% CI)	p value	Study hete	erogeneity	
parameters					l ²	X ²	p value
LV MPI	Overall	8	0.687 (0.371, 1.004)	< 0.001	74.4%	27.38	< 0.001
	Age						
	Age ≥ 50	2	0.456 (-0.413, 1.326)	0.304	92.4%	13.09	< 0.001
	Age < 50	6	0.773 (0.426, 1.121)	< 0.001	63.0%	13.50	0.019
	ВМІ						
	$BMI \ge 30$	4	0.663 (0.091, 1.235)	0.023	80.9%	15.72	0.001
	BMI < 30	4	0.734 (0.363, 1.105)	< 0.001	66.7%	9.02	0.029
RVD	Overall	15	0.725 (0.605, 0.845)	< 0.001	82.7%	80.8	< 0.001
	Age						
	Age≥50	11	0.678 (0.318, 1.039)	< 0.001	70.2%	10.06	0.018
	Age < 50	4	0.873 (0.454, 1.293)	< 0.001	85.5%	69.08	< 0.001
	BMI						
	$BMI \ge 30$	8	0.922 (0.442, 1.403)	< 0.001	87.0%	53.65	< 0.001
	BMI < 30	7	0.676 (0.329, 1.023)	< 0.001	72.6%	21.93	0.001
RV MPI	Overall	8	0.881 (0.487, 1.274)	< 0.001	79.4%	33.98	< 0.001
	Age						
	Age≥50	3	0.723 (- 0.151, 1.597)	0.105	89.9%	19.70	< 0.001
	Age < 50	5	0.973 (0.586, 1.359)	< 0.001	62.8%	10.75	0.029
	BMI						
	$BMI \ge 30$	5	0.651 (0.161, 1.141)	0.009	80.1%	20.07	< 0.001
	BMI < 30	3	1.226 (0.879, 1.572)	< 0.001	20.5%	2.52	0.284
TAPSE	Overall	10	- 0.481 (- 0.810, - 0.152)	0.004	79.0%	42.9	< 0.001
	Age						
	Age ≥ 50	4	- 0.476 (- 1.137, 0.185)	0.158	74.2%	23.27	< 0.001
	Age < 50	6	-0.488 (-0.874, -0.102)	0.013	87.1%	19.38	0.002
	BMI						
	$BMI \ge 30$	5	-0.357 (-0.896, 0.182)	0.194	85.0%	26.74	< 0.001
	BMI < 30	5	-0.610 (-0.987, -0.232)	0.002	66.2%	11.84	0.019

Table 5 (continued)

IVSD interventricular septum diameter, *LAD* left atrial diameter, *LAVI* left atrium volume index, *LVM* left ventricular mass, *LVMI* left ventricular mass index, *LV MPI* left ventricular myocardial performance index, *LVEF* left ventricular ejection fraction, *OSA* obstructive sleep apnea, *PWD* posterior wall diameter, *SMD* standardized mean difference, *RVD* right ventricular diameter, *RV FAC* right ventricular fractional area change, *RV MPI* right ventricular myocardial performance index, *TAPSE* tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion

reported in 8 studies involving 512 OSA patients and 385 controls. This meta-analysis found that compared to controls, OSA patients displayed significantly higher LV MPI (SMD [95% CI] 0.687 [0.371, 1.004]; p < 0.001) with statistically significant heterogeneity. Non-significant publication bias was found among these studies (p = 0.915). Additionally, there was no apparent change in the heterogeneity of LVEF and LV MPI when subgroup analyses were performed using the age of 50 years or the BMI of 30 kg/m² as the threshold.

OSA and right cardiac structure and function

Right atrial diameter (RAD) was used in three studies to assess the RA remodeling. Of these, only Sun et al. [68]

found that RAD in OSA group was significantly higher than that in the control group.

RV remodeling was evaluated based on the right ventricular (RV) diameter (RVD) and RV free-wall thickness (RV FWT). Differences in RVD were reported in 15 studies involving 845 OSA patients and 470 controls. The meta-analysis found that compared to controls, OSA patients exhibited significantly higher RVD (SMD [95% CI] 0.725 [0.605, 0.845]; p < 0.001), with statistically significant heterogeneity, while no apparent change in the heterogeneity when the subgroups were performed using the age of 50 years or the BMI of 30 kg/m² as the threshold. No significant publication bias was detected among the studies (p = 0.184). Three studies used RV FWT to assess right ventricular remodeling. However, only one study found that there was significant difference in terms of RV FWT between severe OSA patients and controls [80].

Assessment of RV dysfunction was based on RV MPI, tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion (TAPSE), and RV fractional area change (RV FAC). Differences in RV MPI were reported in 8 studies involving 303 OSA patients and 346 controls. This meta-analysis found that OSA patients displayed significantly higher RV MPI than the controls (SMD [95% CI] 0.881 [0.487, 1.274]; p < 0.001), with statistically significant heterogeneity. Subgroup analysis showed that heterogeneity in OSA patients with BMI $< 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$ was significantly decreased. No significant publication bias was found among the studies (p = 0.052). Differences in TAPSE were reported in 10 studies involving 435 OSA patients and 419 controls. Herein, TAPSE was found to be significantly lower in OSA patients than controls (SMD [95% CI]-0.481 [-0.810, -0.152]; p=0.004), with statistically significant heterogeneity. There was no apparent change in the heterogeneity when the subgroups were performed using the age of 50 years or the BMI of 30 kg/m² as the threshold. No significant publication bias was found among the studies (p = 0.12). Differences in RV FAC were reported in 5 studies involving 294 OSA patients and 158 controls. In this meta-analysis, we found that compared to controls, OSA patients displayed a significantly lower RV FAC (SMD [95% CI] -0.399 [-0.553, -0.246]; p < 0.001), with non-significant heterogeneity. No significant publication bias was found among the studies (p = 0.222).

Obstructive sleep apnea and myocardial injury

In a community-based cohort, Shah et al. [90] found that LV scar measured using CMR with LGE was more prevalent in patients with OSA than those without OSA. After multivariable adjustment, OSA is still associated with over a twofold increase in the odds of LV scar presence, a majority of which were atypical and clinically unrecognized. In another study, Okuda et al. [91] demonstrated that moderate to severe OSA patients had less myocardial contractile reserve than those with less severe OSA. In addition, the severity of hypoxic events during sleep was also independently associated with myocardial contractile reserve.

Discussion

Main finding

Overall, this systematic review and meta-analysis summarized findings on the association between OSA and cardiovascular abnormalities diagnosed using imaging techniques in 82 studies published in the last 15 years. The major findings were as follows: (1) OSA increases the risk of developing coronary atherosclerosis. (2) There were significant alterations in patients with OSA regarding the parameters of cardiac remodeling and dysfunction, which illustrated that atrial enlargement, ventricular hypertrophy, and cardiac dysfunction were more common among OSA patients. (3) OSA is associated with subclinical myocardial injury.

OSA and coronary artery calcification score

Numerous studies included in this review reported OSA was associated with CAC score. However, in some instances, the association was no longer significant after adjustment for traditional risk factors such as BMI. While in the real-world study, several of these risk factors were shared by patients with OSA and coronary atherosclerosis, which illustrates the complexity of the interaction between OSA and CAC score. In addition, the same CAC score may represent two different types of lesions. One type is spotty calcification, which is a mixed plaque with small punctate calcification, and another one is pure small calcified plaque lesion [92]. However, the risk degree of the two lesions is markedly different. Histological investigations demonstrated that spotty calcification appears frequently in unstable plaques [92]. However, there is no relevant research on OSA and spotty calcifications. One possible explanation is that spotty calcification is a relatively subjective assessment index. Moreover, the low resolution of smooth convolution kernel, the conventional image reconstruction mode in coronary CTA, affects the measurement of diameters for spotty calcified lesions [93].

OSA and coronary plaque burden

All included studies demonstrated that OSA increases the risk of developing coronary plaque burden. Qualitative analysis showed that non-calcified and mixed plaque was more likely to present in OSA patients. Quantitative analysis showed that plaque volume was higher in patients with OSA than those without. However, there were inconsistent findings on the features of vulnerable plaque. Kent et al. [28] found no significant difference in the number of soft plaques between low-AHI group and high-AHI group, while Hamaoka et al. [8] recently reported that coronary low-attenuation plaque volume was associated with AHI. The potential reason may be the low-attenuation plaque volume not only existed in soft plaque, but existed in partially calcified plaque. In addition, the latter study used 320-slice CT to quantitatively evaluate coronary plaque burden and PSG to evaluate the severity of OSA, as opposed to the 64-slice CT and polygraphy used in the former. The more advanced technologies used in the latter study may have revealed more reliable findings. Of the invasive studies which assessed the association between OSA and plaque vulnerability, only one study [24], of 93 patients pre-treated with statin before recruitment, found no significant differences regarding the tissue composition of plaques and prevalence of thin cap fibroatheroma between OSA patients and controls. It was believed that the composition of tissue plaques and the incidence of thin cap fibroatheroma may have been influenced by statins [24, 94].

The linking of OSA to increased coronary plaque burden has been established. OSA and related intermittent hypoxia induces endothelial dysfunction mainly by activating numerous inflammatory responses, which will further accelerate coronary atherosclerosis. Indeed, OSA patients display increased secretion of circulating proinflammatory cytokines, chemokines, and adhesion molecules [95-97]. Our recent meta-analysis revealed that adiponectin levels, which are an anti-inflammatory and anti-atherosclerosis molecule, were significantly lower in patients with OSA than that in controls [98]. And continuous positive airway pressure can effectively reverse inflammatory cytokine levels [96]. However, there is no evidence on whether continuous positive airway pressure can ameliorate coronary plaque formation. Therefore, the future perspective in this domain is to elucidate the effect of OSA treatment on coronary plaques.

OSA and cardiac remodeling and dysfunction

This meta-analysis summarized the relationship between OSA and cardiac structure and function, as assessed by echocardiography. We found OSA patients exhibit significant alterations in several parameters of cardiac structure (wider LAD, LVESD, LVEDD, LVM IVSD, and PWD RVD and higher LAVI and LVMI) and function (increase in LV MPI and RV MPI and decrease in LVEF, TAPSE, and RV FAC). In addition, one CMR study also showed that individuals with severe OSA were more likely to have lower LVEF, and higher AHI levels are strongly associated with higher LVM. Even though there were publication biases regarding LAVI and IVSD findings, trim-and-fill test revealed the bias did not impact on the estimates of LAVI (i.e., no trimming performed because data was unchanged). Additionally, in some instance, the heterogeneity in OSA patients was significantly decreased when the subgroups were performed using the age of 50 years or the BMI of 30 kg/m² as the threshold, suggesting that age and BMI may be potential sources of heterogeneity.

Several mechanisms other than intermittent hypoxia might also be implicated for cardiac remodeling and dysfunction in patients with OSA. For instance, the negative intrathoracic pressure increases transmural pressure of the atria, ventricles, and aorta. Meanwhile, arousals during apneic and hypopnea episodes activate sympathetic pathway and increase the blood pressure. Over time, these events increase the LV and RV afterload and induce ventricular hypertrophy, cardiac diastolic and systolic dysfunction, and heart failure [4].

OSA and myocardial injury

OSA-related myocardial injury is increasingly well understood. In a rat model, Chen et al. found that IH-induced increased the expression of myocardial transforming growth factor-beta and oxidative stress concomitant with lower levels of tissue-inhibitor of metalloproteinase-1 and higher levels of collagen-1 mRNA and fibronectin mRNA. Taken together, these findings suggest that IH promotes cardiac fibrosis [99]. Our recent review also concluded that IH appears to have a direct effect on myocardial extracellular matrix [100]. In current review, two studies evaluated myocardial injury in patients with OSA using myocardial contractile reserve and LV scar, respectively. Both studies revealed that OSA is associated with subclinical myocardial injury. However, none of the studies quantified the impact of OSA on myocardial tissue damage. T1-mapping CMR is an emerging quantitative technique for the assessment of myocardial injury [101]. Native (non-contrast) T1 values reflect several alterations in myocardial tissue composition, such as edema, necrosis, and fibrosis [102–104]. Combining native and post contrast T1 assessment allows for quantification of the extracellular volume fraction, which provides further information about intercellular matrix, extracellular matrix remodeling, and extent of myocardial injury [105].

Study limitations

Firstly, web of science, google scholar, and Scopus databases were not searched, so we cannot claim to have been exhaustive in retrieving all studies. Secondly, no subgroup analysis of OSA stratification was performed because of the limited number of studies with AHI < 15 or AHI > 30 events/h. Finally, all included studies were cross-sectional studies and thus a causal relationship cannot be determined.

Conclusions

OSA patients have an increased risk of developing coronary atherosclerosis and subclinical myocardial injury. In addition, OSA patients are more likely to have cardiac remodeling and dysfunction, such as atrial enlargement, ventricular hypertrophy, and cardiac dysfunction, than controls.

Abbreviations

AHI: Apnea hypopnea index; BMI: Body mass index; CAC: Coronary artery calcification; CAD: Coronary artery disease; CMR: Cardiac magnetic resonance; CT: Computed tomography; CTA: Computed tomography angiography; IH: Intermittent hypoxia; IVSD: Interventricular septum diameter; LAD: Left atrial diameter; LAVI: Left atrium volume index; LVEDD: Left ventricular end-diastolic diameter; LVESD: Left ventricular end-systolic diameter; LVM: Left ventricular mass; LVMI: Left ventricular mass index; LV MPI: Left ventricular myocardial performance index; LVEF: Left ventricular ejection fraction; NOS: Newcastle–Ottawa scale; OSA: Obstructive sleep apnea; PWD: Posterior wall diameter; PSG: Polysomnography; SMD: Standardized mean difference; RVD: Right ventricular diameter; RV FAC: Right ventricular fractional area change; RV FWT: Right ventricular free wall thickness; TAPSE: Tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s13643-021-01759-6.

Additional file 1: Table S1 A example of search strategies. Table S2 Equations. Table S3 Certainty of evidence. Figure S1 Forest plot. Figure S2 Funnel plot. Figure S3 Sensitivity plot.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

Conception and design: LM, WZJ and WYX. Collection and assembly of data: LM, WZJ and ZXJ. Data analysis and interpretation: LM and WZJ. Manuscript writing: LM. Revised the language/article: All authors. Final approval of manuscript: All authors.

Funding

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81870335, 81670903), Beijing Hospitals Authority' Mission Plan (SML20190601), Beijing Scholars Program (NO.051), Capital Health Research and Development of Special Fund (2018–2-2065), and National Key R&D Program of China (NO.2019YFE0116000).

Availability of data and materials

Please contact author for data requests.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of Otolaryngology Head & Neck Surgery, Beijing Anzhen Hospital, Capital Medical University, No.2 Anzhen Road, Beijing 100029, China. ²The Key Laboratory of Upper Airway Dysfunction-Related Cardiovascular Diseases, Beijing Institute of Heart, Lung and Blood Vessel Diseases, No.2 Anzhen Road, Beijing 100029, China. ³Department of Radiology, Beijing Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Capital Medical University, No. 23 Back Road of Art Gallery, Beijing 100010, China. ⁴Department of Otorhinolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery, Capital Institute of Pediatrics, No.2 Yabao Road, Beijing 100020, China.

Received: 11 January 2021 Accepted: 8 July 2021 Published online: 30 July 2021

References

- Benjafield AV, Ayas NT, Eastwood PR, et al. Estimation of the global prevalence and burden of obstructive sleep apnoea: a literature-based analysis. Lancet Respir Med. 2019;7(8):687–98.
- Javaheri S, Barbe F, Campos-Rodriguez F, et al. Sleep apnea: types, mechanisms, and clinical cardiovascular consequences. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2017;69(7):841–58.
- Dredla BK, Castillo PR. Cardiovascular consequences of obstructive sleep apnea. Curr Cardiol Rep. 2019;21(11):137.
- Tadic M, Cuspidi C, Grassi G, Mancia G. Obstructive sleep apnea and cardiac mechanics: how strain could help us. Heart Fail Rev. 2021;26(4): 937–45.
- Floras JS. Sleep apnea and cardiovascular disease: an enigmatic risk factor. Circ Res. 2018;122(12):1741–64.

- Ali SS, Oni ET, Warraich HJ, et al. Systematic review on noninvasive assessment of subclinical cardiovascular disease in obstructive sleep apnea: new kid on the block. Sleep Med Rev. 2014;18(5):379–91.
- Bikov A, Kolossváry M, Jermendy ÅL, et al. Comprehensive coronary plaque assessment in patients with obstructive sleep apnea. J Sleep Res. 2019;28(5):e12828.
- Hamaoka T, Murai H, Kaneko S, et al. Significant association between coronary artery low-attenuation plaque volume and apnea-hypopnea index, but not muscle sympathetic nerve activity, in patients with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. Circ J. 2018;82(11):2852–60.
- Konishi T, Kashiwagi Y, Funayama N, et al. Obstructive sleep apnea is associated with increased coronary plaque instability: an optical frequency domain imaging study. Heart Vessels. 2019;34(8):1266–79.
- Sascău R, Zota IM, Stătescu C, et al. Review of echocardiographic findings in patients with obstructive sleep apnea. Can Respir J. 2018;2018:1206217.
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. BMJ. 2009;339:b2535.
- Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, et al. GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. BMJ. 2008;336(7650):924–6.
- Sorajja D, Gami AS, Somers VK, Behrenbeck TR, Garcia-Touchard A, Lopez-Jimenez F. Independent association between obstructive sleep apnea and subclinical coronary artery disease. Chest. 2008;133(4):927–33.
- Kim SH, Cho GY, Baik I, et al. Association of coronary artery calcification with obstructive sleep apnea and obesity in middle-aged men. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis. 2010;20(8):575–82.
- Kepez A, Niksarlıoğlu EY, Hazırolan T, et al. Evaluation of association between obstructive sleep apnea and coronary risk scores predicted by tomographic coronary calcium scoring in asymptomatic patients. Anadolu Kardiyol Derg. 2011;11(5):428–35.
- 16. Arik B, Inci MF, Gumus C, et al. Advanced age and apnea-hypopnea index predict subclinical atherosclerosis in patients with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. Multidiscip Respir Med. 2013;8(1):9.
- Weinreich G, Wessendorf TE, Erdmann T, et al. Association of obstructive sleep apnoea with subclinical coronary atherosclerosis. Atherosclerosis. 2013;231(2):191–7.
- Luyster FS, Kip KE, Aiyer AN, Reis SE, Strollo PJ Jr. Relation of obstructive sleep apnea to coronary artery calcium in non-obese versus obese men and women aged 45–75 years. Am J Cardiol. 2014;114(11):1690–4.
- Lutsey PL, McClelland RL, Duprez D, et al. Objectively measured sleep characteristics and prevalence of coronary artery calcification: the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis Sleep study. Thorax. 2015;70(9):880–7.
- Medeiros AK, Coutinho RQ, Barros IM, et al. Obstructive sleep apnea is independently associated with subclinical coronary atherosclerosis among middle-aged women. Sleep Breath. 2017;21(1):77–83.
- Seo MY, Lee JY, Hahn JY, et al. Association of obstructive sleep apnea with subclinical cardiovascular disease predicted by coronary artery calcium score in asymptomatic subjects. Am J Cardiol. 2017;120(4):577–81.
- 22. Shpilsky D, Erqou S, Patel SR, et al. Association of obstructive sleep apnea with microvascular endothelial dysfunction and subclinical coronary artery disease in a community-based population. Vasc Med. 2018;23(4):331–9.
- Turmel J, Sériès F, Boulet LP, et al. Relationship between atherosclerosis and the sleep apnea syndrome: an intravascular ultrasound study. Int J Cardiol. 2009;132(2):203–9.
- 24. Tan A, Hau W, Ho HH, et al. OSA and coronary plaque characteristics. Chest. 2014;145(2):322–30.
- Wada H, Dohi T, Kasai T, et al. Culprit plaque characteristics in patients with sleep-disordered breathing undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: an intravascular ultrasound study. J Am Heart Assoc. 2018;7(19):e009826.
- 26. Sharma S, Gebregziabher M, Parker AT, Abro JA, Armstrong AM, Schoepf UJ. Independent association between obstructive sleep apnea and noncalcified coronary plaque demonstrated by

noninvasive coronary computed tomography angiography. Clin Cardiol. 2012;35(10):641–5.

- 27. Umut SM, Bulut U, Karakurt H, Utkusavas A, Akbay E, Kartal KF. The relationship between obstructive sleep apnea and coronary plaque: a coronary computed tomographic angiography study. Acta Cardiol Sin. 2019;35(3):325–34.
- Kent BD, Garvey JF, Ryan S, Nolan G, Dodd JD, McNicholas WT. Severity of obstructive sleep apnoea predicts coronary artery plaque burden: a coronary computed tomographic angiography study. Eur Respir J. 2013;42(5):1263–70.
- Mo L, Gupta V, Modi R, et al. Severe obstructive sleep apnea is associated with significant coronary artery plaque burden independent of traditional cardiovascular risk factors. Int J Cardiovasc Imaging. 2020;36(2):347–55.
- Arias MA, García-Río F, Alonso-Fernández A, Mediano O, Martínez I, Villamor J. Obstructive sleep apnea syndrome affects left ventricular diastolic function: effects of nasal continuous positive airway pressure in men. Circulation. 2005;112(3):375–83.
- Dursunoğlu N, Dursunoğlu D, Kiliç M. Impact of obstructive sleep apnea on right ventricular global function: sleep apnea and myocardial performance index. Respiration. 2005;72(3):278–84.
- Dursunoglu D, Dursunoglu N, Evrengül H, et al. Impact of obstructive sleep apnoea on left ventricular mass and global function. Eur Respir J. 2005;26(2):283–8.
- Kasikcioglu HA, Karasulu L, Durgun E, Oflaz H, Kasikcioglu E, Cuhadaroglu C. Aortic elastic properties and left ventricular diastolic dysfunction in patients with obstructive sleep apnea. Heart Vessels. 2005;20(6):239–44.
- 34. Tanriverdi H, Evrengul H, Kaftan A, et al. Effect of obstructive sleep apnea on aortic elastic parameters: relationship to left ventricular mass and function. Circ J. 2006;70(6):737–43.
- Kasikcioglu HA, Karasulu L, Tartan Z, Kasikcioglu E, Cuhadaroglu C, Cam N. Occult cardiac dysfunction in patients with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome revealed by tissue Doppler imaging. Int J Cardiol. 2007;118(2):203–5.
- Otto ME, Belohlavek M, Romero-Corral A, et al. Comparison of cardiac structural and functional changes in obese otherwise healthy adults with versus without obstructive sleep apnea. Am J Cardiol. 2007;99(9):1298–302.
- Tavil Y, Kanbay A, Sen N, et al. Comparison of right ventricular functions by tissue Doppler imaging in patients with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome with or without hypertension. Int J Cardiovasc Imaging. 2007;23(4):469–77.
- Bayram NA, Ciftci B, Bayram H, et al. Effects of continuous positive airway pressure therapy on right ventricular function assessment by tissue Doppler imaging in patients with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. Echocardiography. 2008;25(10):1071–8.
- 39. Kim SH, Cho GY, Shin C, et al. Impact of obstructive sleep apnea on left ventricular diastolic function. Am J Cardiol. 2008;101(11):1663–8.
- Oliveira W, Campos O, Bezerra Lira-Filho E, et al. Left atrial volume and function in patients with obstructive sleep apnea assessed by real-time three-dimensional echocardiography. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2008;21(12):1355–61.
- AkarBayram N, Ciftci B, Durmaz T, et al. Effects of continuous positive airway pressure therapy on left ventricular function assessed by tissue Doppler imaging in patients with obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome. Eur J Echocardiogr. 2009;10(3):376–82.
- Baguet JP, Nadra M, Barone-Rochette G, Ormezzano O, Pierre H, Pépin JL. Early cardiovascular abnormalities in newly diagnosed obstructive sleep apnea. Vasc Health Risk Manag. 2009;5:1063–73.
- Haruki N, Takeuchi M, Nakai H, et al. Overnight sleeping induced daily repetitive left ventricular systolic and diastolic dysfunction in obstructive sleep apnoea: quantitative assessment using tissue Doppler imaging. Eur J Echocardiogr. 2009;10(6):769–75.
- Kepez A, Niksarlioglu EY, Hazirolan T, et al. Early myocardial functional alterations in patients with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. Echocardiography. 2009;26(4):388–96.
- Ching-Chih Lee CC. The impact of sleep apnea on conventional Doppler indices. Tzu Chi Medical Journal. 2009;21(3):210–7.
- Tugcu A, Guzel D, Yildirimturk O, Aytekin S. Evaluation of right ventricular systolic and diastolic function in patients with newly diagnosed obstructive sleep apnea syndrome without hypertension. Cardiology. 2009;113(3):184–92.
- Tomiyama H, Takata Y, Shiina K, et al. Concomitant existence and interaction of cardiovascular abnormalities in obstructive sleep apnea subjects with normal clinic blood pressure. Hypertens Res. 2009;32(3):201–6.

- Cioffi G, Russo TE, Stefenelli C, et al. Severe obstructive sleep apnea elicits concentric left ventricular geometry. J Hypertens. 2010;28(5):1074–82.
- Tugcu A, Yildirimtürk O, Tayyareci Y, Demiroglu C, Aytekin S. Evaluation of subclinical right ventricular dysfunction in obstructive sleep apnea patients using velocity vector imaging. Circ J. 2010;74(2):312–9.
- Varol E, Akcay S, Ozaydin M, Ozturk O, Cerci SS, Sahin U. Influence of obstructive sleep apnea on left ventricular mass and global function: sleep apnea and myocardial performance index. Heart Vessels. 2010;25(5):400–4.
- Cicek D, Lakadamyali H, Yağbasan BD, Sapmaz I, Müderrisoğlu H. Obstructive sleep apnoea and its association with left ventricular function and aortic root parameters in newly diagnosed, untreated patients: a prospective study. J Int Med Res. 2011;39(6):2228–38.
- Altintas N, Aslan E, Helvaci A, Malhotra A. Relationship between obstructive sleep apnea severity index and left ventricular function and volume. Ann Saudi Med. 2012;32(4):384–90.
- Balci MM, Arslan U, Firat H, et al. Serum levels of adipocyte fatty acidbinding protein are independently associated with left ventricular mass and myocardial performance index in obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. J Investig Med. 2012;60(7):1020–6.
- Butt M, Dwivedi G, Shantsila A, Khair OA, Lip GY. Left ventricular systolic and diastolic function in obstructive sleep apnea: impact of continuous positive airway pressure therapy. Circ Heart Fail. 2012;5(2):226–33.
- Cho KI, Kwon JH, Kim SM, Park TJ, Lee HG, Kim TI. Impact of obstructive sleep apnea on the global myocardial performance beyond obesity. Echocardiography. 2012;29(9):1071–80.
- Hammerstingl C, Schueler R, Wiesen M, et al. Impact of untreated obstructive sleep apnea on left and right ventricular myocardial function and effects of CPAP therapy. PLoS One. 2013;8(10):e76352.
- Kim SM, Cho KJ, Kwon JH, Lee HG, Kim TI. Impact of obstructive sleep apnea on left atrial functional and structural remodeling beyond obesity. J Cardiol. 2012;60(6):475–83.
- Oliveira W, Poyares D, Cintra F, et al. Impact of continuous positive airway pressure treatment on right ventricle performance in patients with obstructive sleep apnoea, assessed by three-dimensional echocardiography. Sleep Med. 2012;13(5):510–6.
- Pressman GS, Figueredo VM, Romero-Corral A, Murali G, Kotler MN. Effect of obstructive sleep apnea on mitral valve tenting. Am J Cardiol. 2012;109(7):1055–9.
- 60. Yang SQ, Han LL, Dong XL, et al. Mal-effects of obstructive sleep apnea on the heart. Sleep Breath. 2012;16(3):717–22.
- Aslan K, Deniz A, Cayli M, Bozdemir H, Sarica Y, Seydaoglu G. Early left ventricular functional alterations in patients with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. Cardiol J. 2013;20(5):519–25.
- Hammerstingl C, Schueler R, Wiesen M, et al. Effects of untreated obstructive sleep apnea on left and right ventricular myocardial function. Int J Cardiol. 2012;155(3):465–9.
- Usui Y, Takata Y, Inoue Y, et al. Severe obstructive sleep apnea impairs left ventricular diastolic function in non-obese men. Sleep Med. 2013;14(2):155–9.
- Vitarelli A, D'Orazio S, Caranci F, et al. Left ventricular torsion abnormalities in patients with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome: an early sign of subclinical dysfunction. Int J Cardiol. 2013;165(3):512–8.
- Araz Ö, Yilmazel Uçar E, Değirmenci H, et al. The correlation of ECHO findings of right cardiac pathologies with BNP, uric acid, and CRP in OSAS. Turk J Med Sci. 2014;44(5):832–8.
- Chen YL, Su MC, Liu WH, Wang CC, Lin MC, Chen MC. Influence and predicting variables of obstructive sleep apnea on cardiac function and remodeling in patients without congestive heart failure. J Clin Sleep Med. 2014;10(1):57–64.
- Danica LP, Krotin M, Zdravkovic M, et al. Early left ventricular systolic and diastolic dysfunction in patients with newly diagnosed obstructive sleep apnoea and normal left ventricular ejection fraction. Scientific World J. 2014;2014:898746.
- Sun Y, Yuan H, Zhao MQ, Wang Y, Xia M, Li YZ. Cardiac structural and functional changes in old elderly patients with obstructive sleep apnoea-hypopnoea syndrome. J Int Med Res. 2014;42(2):395–404.
- CemÇil TK, İshak Özel Tekin MRS, NaileErişGüdül RA, Aydın M. Left and right ventricle functions in patients obstructive sleep apnea and their relationship with apelin levels. Eur J Gen Med. 2015;12(4):344–9.

- Imai Y, Tanaka N, Usui Y, et al. Severe obstructive sleep apnea increases left atrial volume independently of left ventricular diastolic impairment. Sleep Breath. 2015;19(4):1249–55.
- Sforza E, Sabri M, DaCosta A, Isaaz K, Barthélémy JC, Roche F. Echocardiographic findings in healthy elderly people with unrecognized sleep disordered breathing. J Clin Sleep Med. 2015;11(9):975–80.
- 72. Wang D, Ma GS, Wang XY, Lu QQ, Wang Y, Liu NF. Left ventricular subclinical dysfunction associated with myocardial deformation changes in obstructive sleep apnea patients estimated by real-time 3D speckletracking echocardiography. Sleep Breath. 2016;20(1):135–44.
- Akyol S, Cortuk M, Baykan AO, et al. Biventricular myocardial performance is impaired in proportion to severity of obstructive sleep apnea. Tex Heart Inst J. 2016;43(2):119–25.
- Altiparmak IH, Erkus ME, Polat M, et al. Evaluation of pulmonary artery stiffness in patients with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. Echocardiography. 2016;33(3):362–71.
- 75. Altiparmak İH, Erkuş ME, Polat M, et al. Relation of elastic properties of pulmonary artery with left ventricular abnormalities and aortic stiffness in patients with moderate to severe obstructive sleep apnea: a cross-sectional echocardiographic study. Turk Kardiyol Dern Ars. 2016;44(4):289–99.
- Güvenç TS, Hüseyinoğlu N, Özben S, et al. Right ventricular geometry and mechanics in patients with obstructive sleep apnea living at high altitude. Sleep Breath. 2016;20(1):5–13.
- Korcarz CE, Peppard PE, Young TB, et al. Effects of obstructive sleep apnea and obesity on cardiac remodeling: the Wisconsin Sleep Cohort Study. Sleep. 2016;39(6):1187–95.
- Li J, Wang Z, Li Y, et al. Assessment of regional right ventricular systolic function in patients with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome using velocity vector imaging. Medicine (Baltimore). 2016;95(37):e4788.
- Ozkececi G, Ulasli SS, Akci O, et al. Assessment of pulmonary arterial stiffness in obstructive sleep apnea. Int J Cardiovasc Imaging. 2016;32(5):799–805.
- Vitarelli A, Terzano C, Saponara M, et al. Assessment of right ventricular function in obstructive sleep apnea syndrome and effects of continuous positive airway pressure therapy: a pilot study. Can J Cardiol. 2015;31(7):823–31.
- Vural MG, Çetin S, Gündüz H, et al. Assessment of left atrial appendage function during sinus rhythm in patients with obstructive sleep apnea. Anatol J Cardiol. 2016;16(1):34–41.
- Zhou NW, Shu XH, Liu YL, et al. A novel method for sensitive determination of subclinical left-ventricular systolic dysfunction in subjects with obstructive sleep apnea. Respir Care. 2016;61(3):366–75.
- 83. Buonauro A, Galderisi M, Santoro C, et al. Obstructive sleep apnoea and right ventricular function: a combined assessment by speckle tracking and three-dimensional echocardiography. Int J Cardiol. 2017;243:544–9.
- 84. Vural MG, Çetin S, Keser N, Firat H, Akdemir R, Gunduz H. Left ventricular torsion in patients with obstructive sleep apnoea before and after continuous positive airway pressure therapy: assessment by two-dimensional speckle tracking echocardiography. Acta Cardiol. 2017;72(6):638–47.
- Zhou NW, Pan CZ, Kong DH, et al. A novel method for sensitive determination of subclinical right ventricular systolic dysfunction in patients with obstructive sleep apnea. Clin Respir J. 2017;11(6):951–9.
- Çetin S, Vural M, Akdemir R, Fırat H. Left atrial remodelling may predict exercise capacity in obstructive sleep apnoea patients. Acta Cardiol. 2018;73(5):471–8.
- 87. Li J, Lu C, Wang W, Gong K, Zhao L, Wang Z. Assessment of right atrium dysfunction in patients with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome using velocity vector imaging. Cardiovasc Ultrasound. 2018;16(1):32.
- Javaheri S, Sharma RK, Wang R, et al. Association between obstructive sleep apnea and left ventricular structure by age and gender: the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. Sleep. 2016;39(3):523–9.
- Wang B, Liu ZL, Niu B, Liang HW, Qiao RL. Use of SPECT to detect changes in diastolic and coronary reserve in patients with obstructive sleep apnea. Sleep Breath. 2013;17(2):525–31.
- Shah NA, Reid M, Kizer JR, et al. Sleep-disordered breathing and left ventricular scar on cardiac magnetic resonance: results of the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. J Clin Sleep Med. 2020;16(6):855–62.
- 91. Okuda N, Ito T, Emura N, et al. Depressed myocardial contractile reserve in patients with obstructive sleep apnea assessed by tissue Doppler imaging with dobutamine stress echocardiography. Chest. 2007;131(4):1082–9.
- 92. Weigold WG, Abbara S, Achenbach S, et al. Standardized medical terminology for cardiac computed tomography: a report of the Society of

Cardiovascular Computed Tomography. J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr. 2011;5(3):136–44.

- Zhou Q, Jiang B, Dong F, Huang P, Liu H, Zhang M. Computed tomography coronary stent imaging with iterative reconstruction: a trade-off study between medium kernel and sharp kernel. J Comput Assist Tomogr. 2014;38(4):604–12.
- Nasu K, Tsuchikane E, Katoh O, et al. Effect of fluvastatin on progression of coronary atherosclerotic plaque evaluated by virtual histology intravascular ultrasound. JACC Cardiovasc Interv. 2009;2(7):689–96.
- Sun H, Zhang H, Li K, et al. ESM-1 promotes adhesion between monocytes and endothelial cells under intermittent hypoxia. J Cell Physiol. 2019;234(2):1512–21.
- 96. Yokoe T, Minoguchi K, Matsuo H, et al. Elevated levels of C-reactive protein and interleukin-6 in patients with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome are decreased by nasal continuous positive airway pressure. Circulation. 2003;107(8):1129–34.
- Imani MM, Sadeghi M, Khazaie H, Emami M, SadeghiBahmani D, Brand S. Evaluation of serum and plasma interleukin-6 levels in obstructive sleep apnea syndrome: a meta-analysis and meta-regression. Front Immunol. 2020;11:1343.
- Lu M, Fang F, Wang Z, Wei P, Hu C, Wei Y. Association between serum/ plasma levels of adiponectin and obstructive sleep apnea hypopnea syndrome: a meta-analysis. Lipids Health Dis. 2019;18(1):30.
- Chen TI, Tu WC. Exercise attenuates intermittent hypoxia-induced cardiac fibrosis associated with sodium-hydrogen exchanger-1 in rats. Front Physiol. 2016;7:462.
- Sanderson JE, Fang F, Lu M, Ma CY, Wei YX. Obstructive sleep apnoea, intermittent hypoxia and heart failure with a preserved ejection fraction. Heart. 2021;107(3):190-194.
- Radunski UK, Lund GK, Säring D, et al. T1 and T2 mapping cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging techniques reveal unapparent myocardial injury in patients with myocarditis. Clin Res Cardiol. 2017;106(1):10–7.
- 102. Ferreira VM, Piechnik SK, Dall'Armellina E, et al. Non-contrast T1-mapping detects acute myocardial edema with high diagnostic accuracy: a comparison to T2-weighted cardiovascular magnetic resonance. J Cardiovasc Magn Reson. 2012;14:42.
- Dall'Armellina E, Piechnik SK, Ferreira VM, et al. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance by non contrast T1-mapping allows assessment of severity of injury in acute myocardial infarction. J Cardiovasc Magn Reson. 2012;14:15.
- Iles L, Pfluger H, Phrommintikul A, et al. Evaluation of diffuse myocardial fibrosis in heart failure with cardiac magnetic resonance contrastenhanced T1 mapping. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2008;52(19):1574–80.
- Ferreira VM, Piechnik SK, Robson MD, Neubauer S, Karamitsos TD. Myocardial tissue characterization by magnetic resonance imaging: novel applications of T1 and T2 mapping. J Thorac Imaging. 2014;29(3):147–54.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

