DOI 10.1186/s13075-016-0975-4

van Beers-Tas et al. Arthritis Research & Therapy (2016) 18:76

Open Access



A prospective cohort study of 14-3-3η in ACPA and/or RF-positive patients with arthralgia

Marian H. van Beers-Tas^{1*}, Anthony Marotta², Maarten Boers^{3,4}, Walter P. Maksymowych⁵ and Dirkjan van Schaardenburg^{1,6}

Abstract

Background: 14-3-3 η (eta) is a novel serum/plasma protein biomarker involved in the upregulation of inflammatory and joint damage factors. We analysed the association of 14-3-3 η with the development of clinically apparent arthritis in a cohort of subjects with arthralgia and positivity for at least one serologic marker: rheumatoid factor (RF) or anti-citrullinated protein antibody (ACPA).

Methods: Measurement of 14-3-3η in plasma collected on entry into the cohort. For this study, 144 subjects with a minimum of 2.5 (median and maximum 5) years of follow-up were available. The relationship between presence and levels of 14-3-3η and development of arthritis was investigated.

Results: Arthritis occurred in 43 (30 %) of the 144 subjects after a median of 15 months. 14-3-3 η was detectable up to 5 years before onset of clinical arthritis and was present significantly more often (36 % versus 14 %; relative risk 2.5, 95 % confidence interval 1.2–5.6; p = 0.02) and at significantly higher levels (median 0.95 versus 0.28 ng/ml; p = 0.02) in subjects developing arthritis compared with those who did not. 14-3-3 η levels/positivity and ACPA, but not RF, were univariately associated with the development of arthritis while generalized linear model analysis with RF and ACPA as obligatory factors could not return an incremental benefit with 14-3-3 η .

Conclusions: 14-3-3η was detectable prior to the onset of arthritis and was associated with arthritis development in arthralgia subjects pre-selected for positivity of RF or ACPA. Its power to predict onset of arthritis independent of ACPA and RF requires a new study in which patients are not pre-selected based on ACPA and/or RF seropositivity.

Keywords: 14-3-3ŋ protein, Rheumatoid arthritis, Prediction, Anti-citrullinated protein antibodies, Arthralgia

Background

The focus on the management of rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is increasingly towards early detection and treatment. Better prediction of the development of RA will potentially allow preventive interventions in these at-risk individuals. Recently, we published a prediction rule for the development of arthritis in rheumatoid factor (RF) and/or anti-citrullinated protein antibodies (ACPA) positive (seropositive) arthralgia patients [1]. Patients could be divided into high, intermediate or low risk categories quite accurately with an area under the receiver operator characteristic (ROC) curve (AUC) of 0.82 at 5 years. However, this is still inadequate for individual patient care – assuming the availability of a preventive intervention; therefore additional biomarkers to improve the predictive arthritis risk algorithm is required.

Several such potential biomarkers were recently described. Examples are anti-carbamylated protein antibodies (anti-Carp) [2], peptidyl arginine deiminase type 4 (anti-PAD-4) [3], and a high interferon gene score [4]. Two of these biomarkers were discovered within the same patient group as we will describe here [2, 4]. Such biomarkers may help to improve prediction, but also offer new insights into the course of events leading to clinical arthritis.



© 2016 van Beers-Tas et al. **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

^{*} Correspondence: m.v.beers@reade.nl

¹Amsterdam Rheumatology and Immunology Center | Reade, Dr. Jan van Breemenstraat 2, PO Box 58271, 1056 AB, 1040 HG Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Serum 14-3-3n (eta) is a novel protein biomarker showing potential in predicting radiographic deterioration in early and advanced RA [5, 6]. 14-3-3 proteins belong to a family of seven isoforms known to bind to and regulate the biologic activity of various intracellular proteins [7]. Overexpression of 14-3-3 proteins is associated with worse outcomes in various diseases, such as cancers, neurodegenerative diseases and Creutzfeldt-Jakob's disease. The 14-3-3n isoform is expressed at higher levels in patients with arthritis compared with healthy individuals, which is thought to be related to 14-3-3n's direct ability to induce factors linked to inflammation and radiographic damage [8]. 14-3-3n has been shown to induce inflammatory factors such as interleukin (IL)-1 and -6, and is linked to the process of joint damage as it also induces factors such as receptor activator of nuclear factor-kB ligand (RANKL) and matrix metalloproteinase (MMP) 1.

In this study, we analysed the association of baseline $14-3-3\eta$ with the development of clinically apparent arthritis in a cohort of subjects with arthralgia who were pre-selected based on being positive for at least one sero-logic marker: rheumatoid factor (RF) or anti-citrullinated protein antibody (ACPA).

Methods

Study participants

From the Reade seropositive arthralgia cohort, the first 144 participants (with \geq 30 months of follow-up or development of arthritis, included between 2004 and 2008) were used. This cohort was set up to determine clinical and serological risk factors for development of arthritis, and comprises subjects at risk of arthritis, as defined by arthralgia (no history and no presence of clinically diagnosed arthritis at the time of their first physical examination and no erosions on X-rays of hands and feet) and positivity for at least one serologic marker: ACPA or RF [9].

Study procedures

At baseline, all participants had clinical and demographic data collected (including visual analogue scale pain, morning stiffness, total of painful and swollen joints) and provided a plasma sample through standard phlebotomy procedures. Enrolment was based on being positive for ACPA and/or RF. Plasma was stored at -20 °C until blinded batch analyses were performed. Following baseline assessments, all participants were re-assessed at regular 12-month intervals over 5 years with emphasis on the development of clinical arthritis. An extra visit could be scheduled in case of arthritis development. Arthritis was defined based on the presence of at least one swollen joint on physical examination of 44 joints by a trained medical doctor (WB or LAS), who was aware of the status of

ACPA and RF in the patient. In case of (uncertain) arthritis according to the first observer, the final judgment on presence or absence of arthritis was determined by a senior rheumatologist, who was unaware of the serostatus in the patient (DS). The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Slotervaart Hospital and Reade, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, and written informed consent was obtained from all study participants.

Detection of biochemical markers

Baseline plasma was assessed for 14-3-3 η levels using the quantitative 14-3-3 η enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA, Augurex Life Sciences Corp, Vancouver, Canada). Positivity for 14-3-3 η was defined as \geq 0.19 ng/ml based on the manufacturer's recommended cut-off, and at 2 times and 4 times this cut-off. The development, validation and calibration of the assay are detailed in a recent publication [6]. ACPA was measured by an anti-CCP2 ELISA (Axis Shield, Dundee, UK) and immunoglobulin M rheumatoid factor (IgM-RF) by an in-house ELISA as described previously [10]. The cut-off level for ACPA positivity was set at \geq 5 arbitrary units/ml (AU/ml), according to the manufacturer's instructions. The cut-off level for IgM-RF positivity was set at \geq 30 international units/ml (IU/ml).

Statistical methods

The primary outcome chosen was arthritis, not rheumatoid arthritis to prevent circularity, as ACPA and RF are present in the 2010 American College of Rheumatology (ACR)/European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) RA criteria and 14-3-3n is not. Continuous, normally distributed data were presented as mean (standard deviation) and two-tailed t tests were used to establish whether significant differences existed between groups. Non-normally distributed data were presented as median (interquartile range) and analysed by Mann-Whitney U tests. Fisher's exact test was used to identify if positivity for any of the serologic variables investigated (ACPA, RF, and 14-3-3n) was significantly associated with arthritis development over 5 years. Spearman's rank correlation coefficients expressed the relationship between 14-3-3n and the other serological markers ACPA and RF. Coxproportional hazards survival analysis tested whether 14-3-3ŋ can predict time to arthritis development.

Generalized linear models (GLM) assessed whether 14-3-3 η was independently associated with the development of arthritis within 5 years. We used GLM with binomial outcome and log-link function, rather than standard logistic regression, because of the opportunity to describe relative risks (RR) instead of odds ratios, as this is a more proper association measure for describing results from prospective cohort studies. Since enrolment in the study implied that a subject was either ACPA or

RF positive (or both) and no data was obtained in a group negative on both ACPA and RF, we jointly corrected for ACPA and RF status using a categorical variable distinguishing the three groups: (1) only RF positive, (2) only ACPA positive, (3) both RF and ACPA positive. Thereafter we created a variable containing 14-3-3ŋ at different cutoff points (as mentioned above). In the GLM we first put in the categorical variable, after which we added 14-3-3n. The generated p values for 14-3-3 η can then be interpreted as follows; if significance is found then the 14-3-3n test adds predictive value to the ACPA and RF test in the case one or both of these tests are positive. Note that this significance will imply that the additive value is the same for all three categories. To test whether predictive performance of 14-3-3n depends on the outcome of the ACPA and RF test, we also performed interaction analysis (by adding the interaction between the categorical variable and 14-3-3n in multivariable analyses). This interaction analysis will reveal whether 14-3-3ŋ has more predictive capacity in one of the three groups. All analyses were performed with SPSS version 21 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA).

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of study participants

Page	3	of	7

Results

Arthritis development

Forty-three out of a total of 144 subjects (30 %) developed arthritis after a median of 15 months (Table 1). The median follow-up of subjects not developing arthritis was 60 months (minimum 30 months). Ninety-five percent of the subjects developing arthritis fulfilled the 2010 ACR/EULAR classification criteria for RA [11]. Of those, 28 % fulfilled the criteria regardless of their ACPA and RF serostatus. Five subjects had erosions on their hands or feet X-rays at the time of arthritis diagnosis (out of 36 subjects with X-rays performed). Compared with the subjects not developing arthritis, those that did had significantly more morning stiffness and pain, higher ACPA levels and positivity, and higher 14-3-3ŋ levels and positivity at baseline. Importantly, RF, erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) and C-reactive protein (CRP) were not significantly different between the two groups. At baseline 29 % of subjects used non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and no patients received hydroxychloroquine. During the course of the study, 42 % used NSAIDs at one or more time points, and 5 %

Variable	Total group (n = 144)	Arthritis (n = 43)	No arthritis (n = 101)	p value
Time until end of follow-up (censoring or arthritis; months)	60 (1-60)	15 (0–60)	60 (30–60)	<0.01
Age (years)*	55 (11)	54 (11)	56 (12)	NS
Males (%)	23	28	21	NS
Disease activity				
Tender joint count 53	0 (0–5)	0 (0–2)	0 (0–5)	NS
Visual analogue scale pain	29 (0–100)	35 (0–100)	26 (0–98)	NS
Use of NSAIDs (%)	29	35	26	NS
ESR (mm/hour)	11 (0-34)	11 (0-34)	11 (1–31)	NS
CRP (mg/l)	2 (0–47)	2 (0–47)	3 (0–27)	NS
Fulfilment of 2010 ACR/EULAR classification criteria for RA (%)	NA	95	0	NA
14-3-3ŋ results				
Level (ng/ml)	0.35 (0.03–20)	0.95 (0.12–20)	0.28 (0.03–20)	< 0.01
≥0.19 ng/ml (%)	71	86	64	< 0.01
≥0.40 ng/ml (%)	45	58	40	0.04
≥0.80 ng/ml (%)	33	51	24	< 0.01
RF results				
Level (IU/ml)	38 (1–1192)	31 (1–383)	40 (1–1192)	NS
Positivity (%)	63	61	63	NS
ACPA results				
Level (AU/ml)	108 (0–9860)	455 (0-8710)	59 (0–9860)	< 0.01
Positivity (%)	65	95	53	<0.01

Abbreviations: NS not significant, NSAIDs non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, ESR erythrocyte sedimentation rate, CRP C-reactive protein, NA not applicable, RA rheumatoid arthritis, RF rheumatoid factor, IU/ml international units/ml, ACPA anti-citrullinated protein antibodies, AU/ml arbitrary units/ml, (p value ≥ 0.05) *Mean (SD), all other continuous variables mentioned as median (min-max) received hydroxychloroquine (of these patients, five did not develop arthritis whilst two did). Notably, 31 subjects (22 %) received 1–2 dexamethasone injections after baseline in a double-blind trial (which did not delay or prevent arthritis development) [9].

Serological biomarkers 14-3-3η, ACPA and RF

As represented in Table 1, median $14-3-3\eta$ expression levels at baseline were significantly higher in the 43 subjects who developed arthritis in comparison with 101 subjects that did not develop arthritis (median 0.95 vs 0.28, p < 0.01). Table 1 together with Fig. 1 demonstrate that the prevalence of 14-3-3 η positivity at baseline was significantly greater in those patients that developed arthritis in comparison with those that did not at the different cut points (86 % vs 64 %, p < 0.01; 58 % vs 40 %, p = 0.04; 51 % vs 24 %, p < 0.01 for cut-offs 0.19, 0.4 and 0.8 respectively). Also, the distribution of positivity for ACPA, RF and 14-3-3 η and the different combinations between those that developed arthritis and





those who did not is outlined in Fig. 1. It shows that subjects developing arthritis were either in the subgroup of ACPA/14-3-3n positives (30 %) or ACPA/RF/14-3-3n positives (52 %). Spearman's rank sum revealed that levels of 14-3-3n were moderately correlated with those of RF and ACPA (0.30 and 0.31, respectively; p < 0.01). Performance characteristics of 14-3-3n were as follows for the 0.19 cut-off point (manufacturer's recommended cut-off): sensitivity 36 %, specificity 86 %, positive predictive value 86 % and negative predictive value 36 %. Univariate GLM analysis indicated that baseline 14-3-3ŋ positivity significantly predicted arthritis development delivering RRs of 2.5 (p = 0.02), 1.7 (p = 0.04) and 2.2 (p < 0.01) at the cut-off points ≥ 0.19 , ≥ 0.40 and ≥ 0.80 ng/ml, respectively (Table 2, upper part). GLM evaluating 14-3-3ŋ levels further revealed 14-3-3n's association with the arthritis outcome, with an RR of 1.04 (p = 0.01). As previously reported from this cohort, ACPA positivity had a strong association with arthritis development (RR 10.9, p <0.01, measured univariately), but RF positivity did not. In multivariable GLM (Table 2, lower part) 14-3-3 η levels and positivity at all cutoff points were corrected for the autoantibody status of ACPA and/or RF. Since we used a categorical variable for ACPA and/or RF presence, the generated p values for

Table 2 Univariate and multivariable association of 14-3-3 η , ACPA and RF with arthritis development

Univariate logistic regression		
Variable	RR (95 % CI)	p value
14-3-3η		
Cut-off ≥0.19	2.5 (1.2–5.6)	0.02
Cut-off ≥0.40	1.7 (1.0–2.8)	0.04
Cut-off ≥0.80	2.2 (1.3–3.5)	<0.01
Levels	1.04 (1.01–1.07)	0.01
Multivariable logistic regression		
Variable	RR (95 % CI)	p value
Categorical variable:		
RF	Reference	
ACPA	7.9 (1.9–32.4)	<0.01
RF and ACPA	15.0 (3.8–59.7)	< 0.01
Adding 14-3-3n to the above c	ategorical variable	
14-3-3η ≥0.19	1.6 (0.7–3.5)*	0.25
14-3-3η ≥0.40	1.2 (0.7–1.8)	0.52
14-3-3η ≥0.80	1.3 (0.8–2.1)	0.36
14-3-3ŋ levels	1.01 (0.98–1.04)**	0.50

Abbreviations: ACPA anti-citrullinated protein antibodies, RF rheumatoid factor, RR relative risk, CI confidence interval

14-3-3 η can be interpreted as predictive capacity of 14-3-3 η in the case one or both of these ACPA/RF tests are positive. In this situation, neither 14-3-3 η levels nor positivity at any cut-off point added value to the prediction of arthritis development. In the interaction analyses the added value of a positive 14-3-3 η test did not differ between subjects that were only RF positive, only ACPA positive or those who were positive for both tests. No significant relation between either 14-3-3 η positivity or levels and time of arthritis onset could be found in the Cox proportional hazards model (data not shown). Subgroup analysis of subjects with certain combinations of biomarkers, for example 14-3-3 η positivity in ACPA negative versus positive subjects, was not feasible due to small subgroups.

Discussion

This study presents data that 14-3-3 η is present in the pre-clinical phase of arthritis development, since there was a greater proportion of positivity of this marker at study entry together with higher expression in a pre-selected cohort of ACPA- and/or RF-positive arthralgia subjects who developed arthritis, compared with those who did not. This may be related to 14-3-3 η 's ability to induce various inflammatory and joint degradative factors [6, 8].

Since 14-3-3n is an inflammatory mediator, the mechanism through which it is related to the development of arthritis may be different from that of autoantibodies such as ACPA and RF, whose levels tend to remain static or unchanged over the course of one's disease. In this regard, a possible link of 14-3-3ŋ with non-specific measures of inflammation, such as ESR and CRP, might be revealing. However, measurements of ESR and CRP at baseline were related neither to development of arthritis nor to 14-3-3η positivity in this cohort (data not shown). Another difference with autoantibodies might be the dynamic nature of serum 14-3-3n, which was supported by a study in first-degree relatives of indigenous North Americans with RA [12]. This study population was not suitable for serial measurements since half of the patients developed arthritis shortly after inclusion and therefore missed a secondary measurement of 14-3-3n.

In this study, although 14-3-3 η was associated with the development of arthritis, baseline 14-3-3 η levels and positivity at three cut-off points did not add predictive value to the combination of ACPA and RF. This is most likely influenced by both the pre-selection method for this cohort, the ascertainment of arthritis, as well as the dynamic nature of 14-3-3 η . In particular, the blinded confirmatory rheumatologist reviewed only those suspected of developing arthritis, and not all 144 subjects. Since the unblinded physician was making the initial assessment of arthritis development, if a bias did exist

^{*}Interpretation of the relative risk, example: subjects that are 14-3-3n positive at the 0.19 cut-off point have a 1.6 times higher risk of developing arthritis, given the knowledge that these subjects must be either RF or ACPA positive **For continuous variables the relative risk conveys the higher risk of developing arthritis per ascending unit of the independent variable, in this case 14-3-3n

from their knowledge of ACPA and RF status, the blinded confirmatory physician would have reviewed a predominance of, say, ACPA-positive subjects and therefore identified more arthritis among those who were ACPA positive.

For clinical practice it would be very useful if 14-3-3n positivity could enhance the prediction of (rheumatoid) arthritis when combined with ACPA and RF. One such study that would enable such an analysis comes from a cohort of subjects based on clinically suspect arthralgia at risk for RA rather than on the basis of positive serology results. In addition to this, a prospective cohort recruited based on the presence of either of the three markers ACPA, RF or 14-3-3n may avoid any underestimation of the predictive capacity of 14-3-3n [13]. Another suggestion would be to use a design which includes serial measurements of 14-3-3η. The OMER-ACT working group has recommended this design in guidelines to study soluble biomarkers, aimed at clinical validation of their predictive capacity, particularly for prognostic end points [14]. The major limitation of baseline assessment alone has been repeatedly emphasized, particularly for responsive biomarkers such as 14-3-3n, which could vary considerably over the course of disease, and also with therapeutic intervention. This is highlighted in a recent publication describing clinical validation of IL-6 as a predictor of an event where longitudinal, but not baseline assessment alone, was predictive of structural damage in RA [15]. The publication is about progression of radiographic damage, but it applies to other end points as well. However, a single assessment does conform with the clinical situation where a decision is often made to follow the patient or not.

Another limitation of this study was that the primary outcome could not be rheumatoid arthritis, as ACPA and RF are part of the 2010 ACR/EULAR classification criteria and 14-3-3 η is not. We explored alternative outcomes such as subjects fulfilling the ACR/EULAR criteria regardless of serostatus and the development of erosions, but not enough subjects were positive for either to allow meaningful analysis.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we have shown that 14-3-3η is often present in arthralgia subjects positive for ACPA and/or RF prior to the development of arthritis, and was associated with the development of arthritis. In this cohort of subjects pre-selected for ACPA and/or RF positivity the added predictive value of 14-3-3η, both levels and different cutoff points, could not be established. Further studies are warranted to assess the combined utility of these three markers in predicting the development of arthritis.

Abbreviations

ACPAs: anti-citrullinated protein antibodies; anti-Carp: anti-carbamylated protein antibodies; anti-PAD-4: peptidyl arginine deiminase type 4;

AU/ml: arbitrary units/ml; Cl: confidence interval; CRP: C-reactive protein; DS: Dirkjan van Schaardenburg; ELISA: enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays; ESR: erythrocyte sedimentation rate; GLM: generalized linear model; IgM-RF: immunoglobulin M rheumatoid factor; IL: interleukin; IU/ml: international units/ml; LAS: Lotte van de Stadt; MMP: matrix metalloproteinase; NSAIDs: non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; RANKL: receptor activator of nuclear factor-kB ligand; RA: rheumatoid arthritis; RF: rheumatoid factor; RR: relative risk; WB: Wouter Bos.

Competing interests

A. Marotta is stockholder of Augurex and patent holder of the 14-3-3 biomarker technology. W.P. Maksymowych is listed on the patent and receives royalty payments from the University of British Columbia for the 14-3-3 biomarker technology. The other authors have nothing to disclose.

Authors' contributions

MvBT carried out the analysis, interpreted the data and drafted the manuscript, furthermore she is accountable for all aspects of the work. AM participated in designing the study, carried out the laboratory tests of 14-3-3, helped interpret the results and helped in drafting the manuscript. MB helped design the study and interpret the data, along with critically reviewing the manuscript. WM helped interpret the data, along with critically reviewing the manuscript. DvS participated in the design and coordination of the study, supervised the drafting of the manuscript, and carefully reviewed the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

We thank Wouter Bos and Lotte van de Stadt for collecting the cohort patient data.

We also thank Charlie Goldsmith and Peter van de Ven for their expert statistical advice.

Financial support

This project was funded by the Netherlands Organisation for Health Research and Development (61000010) and Augurex Life Sciences Corp.

Author details

¹Amsterdam Rheumatology and Immunology Center | Reade, Dr. Jan van Breemenstraat 2, PO Box 58271, 1056 AB, 1040 HG Amsterdam, The Netherlands. ²Augurex Life Sciences Corp, 887 Great Northern Way, Suite 125-1, North Vancouver, BC, Canada. ³Amsterdam Rheumatology and Immunology Center, VU University Medical Center, PO Box 7057, 1007 MB Amsterdam, The Netherlands. ⁴Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, VU Medical Center, PO Box 7057, 1007 MB Amsterdam, The Netherlands. ⁵University of Alberta, Rheumatic Disease Unit, 116 St and 85 Ave, Edmonton, AB T6G 2R3, Canada. ⁶Amsterdam Rheumatology and Immunology Center, Academic Medical Center, PO Box 22660, 1100 DD Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

Received: 16 December 2015 Accepted: 15 March 2016 Published online: 01 April 2016

References

- van de Stadt LA, Witte BI, Bos WH, van Schaardenburg D. A prediction rule for the development of arthritis in seropositive arthralgia patients. Ann Rheum Dis. 2013;72(12):1920–6.
- Shi J, van de Stadt LA, Levarht EW, Huizinga TW, Toes RE, Trouw LA, et al. Anti-carbamylated protein antibodies are present in arthralgia patients and predict the development of rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum. 2013;65(4):911–5.
- Kolfenbach JR, Deane KD, Derber LA, O'Donnell CI, Gilliland WR, Edison JD, et al. Autoimmunity to peptidyl arginine deiminase type 4 precedes clinical onset of rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum. 2010;62(9):2633–9.
- Lubbers J, Brink M, van de Stadt LA, Vosslamber S, Wesseling JG, van Schaardenburg D, et al. The type I IFN signature as a biomarker of preclinical rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis. 2013;72(5):776–80.
- Maksymowych WP, Landewe R, van der Heijde D. Serum 14-3-3η: a rheumatoid arthritis biomarker. Arthritis Rheum. 2011;63(10):S358.
- Maksymowych WP, Naides SJ, Bykerk V, Siminovitch KA, van Schaardenburg D, Boers M, et al. Serum 14-3-3eta is a novel marker that complements current

serological measurements to enhance detection of patients with rheumatoid arthritis. J Rheumatol. 2014;41(11):2104–13.

- van Heusden GP. 14-3-3 proteins: regulators of numerous eukaryotic proteins. IUBMB Life. 2005;57(9):623–9.
- Maksymowych WP, van der Heijde D, Allaart CF, Landewe R, Boire G, Tak PP, et al. 14-3-3eta is a novel mediator associated with the pathogenesis of rheumatoid arthritis and joint damage. Arthritis Res Ther. 2014;16(2):R99.
- Bos WH, Dijkmans BA, Boers M, van de Stadt RJ, van Schaardenburg D. Effect of dexamethasone on autoantibody levels and arthritis development in patients with arthralgia: a randomised trial. Ann Rheum Dis. 2010;69(3):571–4.
- Nielen MM, van Schaardenburg D, Reesink HW, van de Stadt RJ, van der Horst-Bruinsma IE, de Koning MH, et al. Specific autoantibodies precede the symptoms of rheumatoid arthritis: a study of serial measurements in blood donors. Arthritis Rheum. 2004;50(2):380–6.
- Aletaha D, Neogi T, Silman AJ, Funovits J, Felson DT. Bingham 3rd CO, et al. 2010 rheumatoid arthritis classification criteria: an American College of Rheumatology/European League Against Rheumatism collaborative initiative. Ann Rheum Dis. 2010;69(9):1580–8.
- 12. Hitchon CA, Smolik I, Meng X, Robinson D, El-Gabalawy HS. Serum 14-3-3eta are elevated in indigenous North Americans with rheumatoid arthritis and may predict imminent synovitis in their in at-risk first degree relatives. Arthritis Rheum. 2015;67(10). http://acrabstracts.org/abstract/serum-14-3-3eta-are-elevated-in-indigenous-north-americans-with-rheumatoid-arthritisand-may-predict-imminent-synovitis-in-their-at-risk-first-degree-relatives/. Accessed 1th Dec 2015.
- Collins GS, Reitsma JB, Altman DG, Moons KG. Transparent reporting of a multivariable prediction model for individual prognosis or diagnosis (TRIPOD): the TRIPOD Statement. BMC Med. 2015;13:1.
- Maksymowych WP, Landewe R, Tak PP, Ritchlin CJ, Ostergaard M, Mease PJ, et al. Reappraisal of OMERACT 8 draft validation criteria for a soluble biomarker reflecting structural damage endpoints in rheumatoid arthritis, psoriatic arthritis, and spondyloarthritis: the OMERACT 9 v2 criteria. J Rheumatol. 2009;36(8):1785–91.
- Baillet A, Gossec L, Paternotte S, Etcheto A, Combe B, Meyer O, et al. Evaluation of serum interleukin-6 level as a surrogate marker of synovial inflammation and as a factor of structural progression in early rheumatoid arthritis: results from a French national multicenter cohort. Arthritis Care Res. 2015;67(7):905–12.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

