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#### Abstract

Background: Quinoline derivatives have diverse biological activities including anticancer activity. On the other hand, many sulfonamide derivatives exhibited good cytotoxic activity. Hybrids of both moieties may present novel anticancer agents. Results: Chloroquinoline incorporating a biologically active benzene-sulfonamide moieties 5-21 and diarylsulfone derivatives $\mathbf{2 2}$ and $\mathbf{2 3}$ were prepared using ( $E$-1-(4-((E)-7-chloro-1-methylquinolin-4(1H)-ylideneamino)phenyl)-3-(dimethyl-amino)prop-2-en-1-one $\mathbf{4}$ as strategic starting material. The structure of the newly synthesized compounds were confirmed by elemental analyses and spectral data. Compound $\mathbf{4}$ was confirmed by X-ray crystallographic analysis. The prepared compounds were evaluated for their anticancer activity against Lung, HeLa, Colorectal and breast cancer cell lines. Compounds $\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{7}, \mathbf{1 1}, \mathbf{1 4}$ and $\mathbf{1 7}$ showed better or comparable activity to $2^{\prime}, 7^{\prime}$-dichlorofluorescein (DCF) as reference drug. Molecular docking of the active compounds on the active site of PI3K enzyme was performed in order to explore the binding mode of the newly synthesized compounds. Conclusion: Compounds $\mathbf{2 , 4}, \mathbf{7}, \mathbf{1 1}, \mathbf{1 4}$ and $\mathbf{1 7}$ are novel quinoline derivatives that may represent good candidates for further evaluations as anticancer agents. The mechanism of action of these compounds could be through inhibition of PI3K enzyme.
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## Background

Quinoline scaffold has been broadly distributed in sundry natural and synthetic compounds with multipurpose biological activities [1-3]. The antitumor activity of the quinoline derivatives for instance camptothecin [4], luotonin [5], ascididemin [6], TAS-103 A that displayed $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of: $0.0030-0.23$ microM hostile to various cell lines [7], CIL-102 B that unveiled $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of: 0.31-2.69 microM hostile to countless cell lines [8], cryptolepin [9] and indolo[2,3-b]quinolines [10] has been described.

[^0]Numerous mechanisms of action were optional for such action among them was the strong suppression of E2F1 that inhibits growth by thwarting cell cycle progression and fasters differentiation by creating a permissive environment for cell distinction [11]. Chloroquinolines were valuable in sundry cancer sorts remarkably, breast cancer with high aptitude to induce apoptosis [12]. Heterocyclic sulfonamides have publicized good anticancer bustle with diversity of mechanisms embracing cell cycle perturbation at G1 phase, disruption of microtubules assembly and the eminent carbonic anhydrase inhibition activity with selectivity to the tumor allied isoforms hCA IX and hCA XII [13-17]. Merging quinoline scaffold with the biologically active benzene-sulfonamide moiety has
received immense attention as PI3K inhibitor which is an vital enzyme regulatory signal transduction [16, 18-20]. Freshly, diaryl sulfones that were prepared from Dapson have shown respectable cytotoxic activity on breast cancer cell line [21]. Based on the aforementioned and as a continuation for our effort to synthesize a novel anticancer agents [18-25], we have prepared novel quinolonesulfonamide and diarylsulfone derivatives. Prepared compounds were subjected to cytotoxic assay on lung, hela, colorectal and breast cancer cell lines. Likewise, "the highest active compounds were docked on the active site of PI3K enzyme" to recommend their binding mode in a trial to explore their mechanism of action expecting to reach innovative anticancer agents.
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## Results and discussion

## Chemistry

The ambition of this effort was to prepare a new series of chloroquinolines carrying biologically active benzenesulfonamide moieties and to assess their anticancer activity. Thus, interaction of 2 [26] with dimethylforma-mide-dimethylacetal (DMF-DMA) in dry xylene yielded the unexpected 4 instead of expected 3. "The structural assignments to synthesized compounds were based on their physico-chemical characteristics and spectroscopic (FT-IR, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$, and mass spectroscopy) investigations". Structure of 4 was confirmed by X-ray crystallographic analysis [27] (Figs. 1, 2). IR of 4 revealed the disappearance of NH band and presence of absorption bands for (aromatic), (aliphatic), (CO), (CN), (CCl). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR showed the presence of a singlet at 2.4 ppm attributed to $\mathrm{N}-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$, singlet at 3.4 ppm assigned to $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$, two doublet at $5.4,6.5 \mathrm{ppm}$ for $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}$ of quinolone ring, two doublet at $6.1,7.4 \mathrm{ppm}$ assigned to $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}$ group. Enaminones are highly reactive intermediates extensively used for the preparation of heterocyclic derivatives. Thus, treatment of 4-(7-chloro-1-methylquinolin-4-( 1 H )-ylideneamino) phenyl-3-(dimethyl-amino)-prop-2-en-1-one 4 with sulfonamide derivatives in refluxing ethanol/acetic acid mixture (2:1) afforded the sulfonamide derivatives 5-21 (Scheme 1). "Structures of the latter products were assigned on the basis of their analytical and spectral data". ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of 5-21 support the assumption that these structures were in E-form and not in Z form, while the coupling constant of doublet signals for olefinic protons was equal to $6.1-7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$. IR of the reaction products showed in each case three absorption bands for 2 NH functions in the $3446-3143 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ region, in addition to carbonyl functions $1654-1635 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ region and CCl functions $883-763 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Scheme 1). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of 5 showed singlet at 12.0 ppm assigned to NH group, while ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR revealed singlet at 189.3 ppm for CO group. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $\mathbf{6}$ exhibited singlet at 2.0 ppm according to $\mathrm{COCH}_{3}$ group. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of 7 revealed singlet at 9.4 ppm for NH


Fig. 1 ORTEP diagram of the title compound $\mathbf{4}$ drawn at $40 \%$ ellipsoids for non-hydrogen atoms


Fig. 2 Crystal packing of compound $\mathbf{4}$ showing the intermolecular hydrogen bonds
group. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR of $\mathbf{8}$ showed singlet at 2.3 ppm for $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ group, while ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of 9 exhibited two signals at 1.9 , 2.6 assigned to $2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ groups. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of $\mathbf{1 0}$ revealed two signals at $10.2,12.0 \mathrm{ppm}$ assigned to $\mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ groups. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR of 11 exhibited two signals at $6.6,6.8 \mathrm{ppm}$ for $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}$ of thiazole ring. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $\mathbf{1 2}$ exhibited singlet at 2.4 ppm for $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ of thiadiazole ring. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of 13 showed signal at 186.6 ppm due to CO group. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ NMR of $\mathbf{1 5}$ exhibited singlet at 2.3 ppm for $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ of pyrimidine ring. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $\mathbf{1 6}$ revealed singlet at 2.2 ppm for $2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ of pyrimidine ring. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of compound 17 exhibited singlet at 3.9 ppm for $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ group. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $\mathbf{1 8}$ showed singlet at 3.7 ppm assigned to $2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ groups, while ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of 19 exhibited two signals at 3.6, 3.8 ppm attributed to $2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ groups. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of $\mathbf{2 0}$ revealed singlet at 12.0 according to NH group of indazole ring. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR of 21 showed singlet at 186.7 ppm for CO group. Interaction of 4 with Dapson in molar ratio ( $1: 1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) afforded the mono compound 22 , while the bis-compound 23 was achieved in the same condition but in molar ratio ( $2: 1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ). Compounds 22 and 23 were confirmed by microanalyses, IR, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ and mass spectral data. IR of 22 revealed the characteristic bands at 3446, 3348, $3213 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{NH}\right), 1635 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (CO), $1591 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{CN}), 1369,1180 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right), 821 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (CCl). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of 22 exhibited signals at 3.4 ppm corresponding to $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ group, 5.9 ppm due to $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ group, two doublet at $6.1,7.4 \mathrm{ppm}$ for 2 CH quinoline, two doublet at $6.5,6.6 \mathrm{ppm}$ assigned to $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}$ groups, singlet
at $12.0 \mathrm{NH} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of 22 showed singlet at 186.6 ppm attributed to (CO) group. Mass of 22 revealed a molecular ion peak $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ at $569\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right]$(19.87) with a base peak appeared at 90 (100). IR of 23 showed a characteristic bands at $3143 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(2 \mathrm{NH}), 1635 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(2 \mathrm{CO}), 1570 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (2CN), 1375, $1180 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right), 819 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(2 \mathrm{CCl}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ NMR of 23 revealed signals at 3.4 ppm for $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$, two doublets at $6.2,7.3 \mathrm{ppm}$ due to 4 CH quinoline, two doublets at $6.6,7.2$ assigned to $2 \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}$, two singlet's at $9.3,12.0$ for 2 NH groups. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of 23 revealed singlet at 186.7 ppm for (2CO) groups. Mass of 23 showed a molecular ion peak $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ at $889\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right](6.48)$ with a base peak appeared at 272 (100) (Scheme 2).

## In vitro cytotoxic screening

The newly synthesized compounds were evaluated for their in vitro cytotoxic activity against human lung (A549-Raw), hela, colorectal (lovo) and breast (MDAMB231) cancer cell lines and $2^{\prime}, 7^{\prime}$-dichlorofluorescein (DCF) was used as the reference drug in this study. The relationship between surviving fraction and drug concentration was plotted to obtain the survival curve of cancer cell lines. The response parameter calculated was the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value, which corresponds to the concentration required for $50 \%$ inhibition of cell viability. Table 1 shows the in vitro cytotoxic activity of the newly synthesized compounds. In a closer look to Table 1, we can see that compounds $1,2,4,7,11,14$ and 17 were active towards all the tested cell line while the rest of
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Scheme 1 Synthetic pathways for compounds 5-21
compounds were inactive. Regarding the activity towards lung cancer cell line (A549-Raw), all the aforementioned compounds were more active than DCF as reference drug. Compound 2 was the most active compound with IC $_{50}$ value of $44.34 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$. For Hela cancer cell line, the same compounds were active. Compounds 7 and 17 were more active than DCF and compound 17 was the
most active compound with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $30.92 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$. In case of lovo cancer cell line, all seven compounds were more active than DCF. Compound 2 was the most active compound with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $28.82 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$. Finally, the activity towards breast cancer cell line (MDA-MB231) was better than that of DCF for the aforementioned compounds except for compound 14. Compound 17


Scheme 2 Synthetic pathways for compounds 22 and 23

Table 1 In vitro anticancer screening of the newly synthesized compounds against four cancer cell lines

| Compound <br> no. | A549-Raw <br> (lung cancer <br> cells) | Hela cells | Lovo <br> (colorectal <br> cancer cells) | MDA-MB231 <br> (breast can- <br> cer cells) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{I C}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml})$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 68.74 | 84.20 | 84.26 | 77.78 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 44.34 | 56.32 | 28.82 | 38.83 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 76.73 | 88.66 | 104.78 | 72.85 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | na | na | na | na |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | na | na | na | na |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 91.0 | 51.58 | 39.09 | 55.58 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | na | na | na | na |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | na | na | na | na |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | na | na | na | na |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 97.27 | 91.74 | 81.89 | 111.90 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | na | na | na | na |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | na | na | na | na |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | 96.45 | 94.63 | 93.72 | 115.11 |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | na | na | na | na |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | na | na | na | na |
| $\mathbf{1 7}$ | 47.31 | 30.92 | 31.27 | 26.54 |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | na | na | na | na |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | na | na | na | na |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | na | na | na | na |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | na | na | na | na |
| $\mathbf{2 2}$ | na | na | na | na |
| $\mathbf{2 3}$ | na | na | na | na |
| $\mathbf{D C F ~}$ | 124.87 | 54.07 | 114.12 | 113.94 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

na not active
again was the most active compound with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $26.54 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$. In the light of biological results, we can see that the 4,7 -dichloroquinoline $\mathbf{1}$ showed moderate anticancer activity that were enhanced upon converting it to 1-(4-(7-chloloquinoline-4-ylamino) phenyl)ethanone 2. The activity still exists upon preparation of $(E)$-1-(4( $(E)$-7-chloro-1-methylquinolin-4( 1 H$)$-ylideneamino) phenyl)-3-(dimethylamino) prop-2-en-1-one 4. Further preparation of the sulfonamide derivatives 5-21 using various sulfa drugs only succeeded to obtain active derivatives with the guanidine derivative 7 , the thiazole derivative 11, the pyrimidine derivative 14 and the 5 -methoxypyrimidine derivative 17 . Combination with diaryl sulfone moieties as in compounds 22 and 23 did not yield active compounds.

## Molecular docking

Phosphoinositide 3-kinases (PI3K) comprises an important class of enzymes that phosphorylates the 3 hydroxyl group of inisitol and play a major role in signal transduction through the cell cycle. Targeting PI3K by inhibitors has become a well-known strategy in seeking for new anticancer agents [28]. Quinolinesulfonamide derivatives were reported to express good inhibitory activity on PI3K enzyme [16]. In our present investigation and in a trial to suggest the mechanism of action of the active compounds, molecular docking of compounds $\mathbf{1}$, $2,4,7,11,14$ and 17 was performed on the active site of PI3K to explore their binding modes to amino acids of the active site of the enzyme. The protein data bank file (PDB: 3S2A) was selected for this purpose. The file contains PI3K enzyme co-crystallized with a quinoline ligand. All docking procedures were achieved by MOE (Molecular Operating Environment) software 10.2008 provided by chemical computing group, Canada. Docking on the active site of PI3K enzyme was performed for all synthesized compounds. Docking protocol was verified by redocking of the cocrystallized ligand in the vicinity of the active site of the enzyme with energy score $(S)=-29.8249 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ and root mean standard deviation (RMSD) $=1.9094$ (Fig. 3). The quinoline ligand interacts with the active site of PI3K by six interactions: Val 882 with a hydrogen bond of $2.90 \AA$, Tyr 867 with a hydrogen bond of $3.33 \AA$, Asp 864 with a hydrogen bond of $3.33 \AA$, Lys 833 with a hydrogen bond of $3.33 \AA$, Ser 806 with a hydrogen bond of $3.74 \AA$ and Asp 841 with a hydrogen bond of $2.79 \AA$ through a water molecule. All the docked compounds were fit in the active site of enzyme. Energy scores (S) as well as amino acids interactions were listed in Table 2. The best docking score was achieved by compound 17 with a value $=-27.1666 \mathrm{kcal} /$ mol. Compound 17 interacted with Val 822 with a


Fig. 3 Co-crystallized quinoline ligand on the active site of phosphoinisitol kinase (PI3K)
hydrogen bond of $3.20 \AA$, with Asp 964 with a hydrogen bond of $2.48 \AA$, with Ser 806 with a hydrogen bond of $3.38 \AA$ and finally with His 984 with a hydrogen bond of $2.70 \AA$ (Figs. 4, 5).

## Experimental

## Chemistry

Melting points (uncorrected) were determined in open capillary on a Gallen Kamp melting point apparatus (Sanyo Gallen Kamp, UK). Precoated silica gel plates (Kieselgel $0.25 \mathrm{~mm}, 60 \mathrm{~F} 254$, Merck, Germany) were used for thin layer chromatography. A developing solvent system of chloroform/methanol (8:2) was used and the spots were detected by ultraviolet light. IR spectra ( KBr disc) were recorded using an FT-IR spectrophotometer (Perkin Elmer, USA). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectra were scanned on an NMR spectrophotometer (Bruker AXS Inc., Switzerland), operating at 500 MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and 125.76 MHz for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$. Chemical shifts are expressed in $\delta$-values (ppm) relative to TMS as an internal standard, using DMSO- $d_{6}$ as a solvent. Elemental analyses were done on a model 2400

CHNSO analyser (Perkin Elmer, USA). All the values were within $\pm 0.4 \%$ of the theoretical values. All reagents used were of AR grads.
(E)-1-(4-((E)-7-chloro-1-methylquinolin-4(1H)-ylideneam-ino)phenyl)-3-(dimethylam-ino)prop-2-en-1-one (4) 1-(4-(7-chloroquinoline-4-ylamino)phenyl)ethanone 2 $(2.97 \mathrm{~g}, 0.01 \mathrm{~mol})$ and dimethylformamide-dimethylacetal ( $1.19 \mathrm{~g}, 0.01 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added into dry xylene ( 30 mL ). Reaction was refluxed for 10 h , and the solid product recrystallized from ethanol to give 4.
Yield, 89 \%; m.p. $268.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR: 3100 (arom.), 2966, 2856 (aliph.), 1696 (CO), 1618 (CN), 776 (CCl).). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}:$ $2.4\left[\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right], 3.6\left[\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right], 5.4,6.5$ [2d, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}$ quinoline, $J=7.1,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}], 6.1,7.4[2 \mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}, J=7.5,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}], 6.9-7.6[\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}]$. ${ }^{13}$ CNMR: 36.3, 44.5 (2), 91.5, 114.6, 115.3, 116.9, 121.4 (2), 131.7, 132.8 (2), 133.0, 135.9, 136.6, 141.4, 146.2, 152.5, 161.4, 166.4, 191.3. MS m/z (\%): 365 ( ${ }^{+}$) (2.84), 74 (100). Anal.Calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ (365.86): C, 68.94; H, 5.51; N, 11.49. Found: C, 68.66; H, 5.22; N, 11.74.

Table 2 Binding scores and amino acid interactions of the docked compounds on the active site of phosphoinisitol kinase (PI3K)

| Compound no. | S Kcal/Mol | Amino acid interactions | Interacting groups | Type of interaction | H bond length $\AA$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -15.0154 | Val 882 | N -quinoline | H-bond (acceptor) | 2.87 |
| 2 | -19.6829 | Val 882 | N -quinoline | H-bond (acceptor) | 3.5 |
|  |  | Lys 802 | CO | H-bond (acceptor) | 2.42 |
|  |  | Lys 890 | Phenyl | Arene-cation |  |
| 4 | -15.8363 | Val 882 | CO | H-bond (acceptor) | 2.58 |
| 7 | -15.2630 | Val 882 | CO | H-bond (acceptor) | 2.95 |
|  |  | Asp 964 | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{NH}$ | H-bond (donor) | 1.48 |
|  |  | Lys 890 | Phenyl | Arene-cation |  |
| 11 | -14.8730 | Val 882 | CO | H-bond (acceptor) | 3.15 |
|  |  | Lys 883 | $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ | H-bond (acceptor) | 2.97 |
|  |  | Ala 885 | NH | H-bond (donor) | 1.74 |
|  |  | Glu 814 | $\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ | H-bond (donor) | 1.34 |
| 14 | -22.7755 | Val 882 | CO | H-bond (acceptor) | 2.86 |
|  |  | Lys 883 | $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ | H-bond (acceptor) | 2.80 |
|  |  | Lys 883 | N -pyrimidine | H-bond (acceptor) | 3.00 |
|  |  | Lys 890 | Phenyl | Arene-cation |  |
| 17 | -27.1666 | Val 882 | N -pyrimidine | H-bond (acceptor) | 3.20 |
|  |  | Asp 964 | NH | H-bond (donor) | 2.48 |
|  |  | Ser 806 | CO | H-bond (acceptor) | 3.38 |
|  |  | His 948 | CN | H-bond (acceptor) | 2.70 |




Fig. 5 3D interactions of compound $\mathbf{1 7}$ on the active site of phosphoinisitol kinase (PI3K)

## Synthesis of sulfonamide derivatives 5-21

4-(7-chloro-1-methylquinolin-4-(1H)-ylideneamino) phenyl-3-(dimethylamino)-prop-2-en-1-one 4 (3.65 g, 0.01 mol ) and sulfa-drugs ( 0.012 mol ) was added into ethanol $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and acetic acid $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was refluxed for 18 h . The solid product formed was recrystallized from dioxane to give 5-21.

## 4-(E)-3-(4-(E)-7-chloro-1-methylquinolin-4(1H)-ylideneamino) phenyl)-3-oxoprop-1-en-ylamino)benzenesulfonamide (5)

Yield, 88 \%; m.p. $299.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR: 3381, $3209\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{NH}\right)$, 3078 (arom.), 2937, 2869 (aliph.), 1635 (CO), 1593 $(\mathrm{CN}), 1373,1182\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right), 867(\mathrm{CCl}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}: 3.6[\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ], 6.2, 7.3 [2d, 2H, 2CH quinoline, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ], $6.1,7.6[2 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}], 7.7-8.6[\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ], 12.0 [s,1H, NH]. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}: 40.5,95.1$, $99.8,104.9$ (2), 112.5, 115.4, 116.2, 119.5 (2), 125.8 (2), 127.9, 128.2 (2), 133.8, 137.6, 138.4, 143.1, 144.6, 146.7,
152.5, 172.5, 189.3. $\mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (\%): $492\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)(4.72), 91$ (100). Anal. Calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ (492.98): C, 60.91; H, 4. 29; N, 11.36. Found: C, 61.19; H, 4.52; N, 11.01.
$N$-(4-(E)-3-(4-(E)-7-chloro-1-methylquinolin-4(1H)-ylide-neamino)phenyl)-3-oxoprop-1-enylamino)phenylsulfonyl) acetamide(6)
Yield, 76 \%; m.p. $310.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR: 3367 (NH), 3066 (arom.), 2939, 2877 (aliph.), 1724, 1635 (2CO), 1593 (CN), $1369,1184\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right), 833(\mathrm{CCl}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}: 2.0\left[\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right]$, $3.5\left[\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right], 6.3,7.3[2 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}$ quinoline, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}], 6.6,7.6[2 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}]$, 7.7-8.6 [m, $\left.12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right], 12.0[\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}]$. ${ }^{13}$ CNMR: 23.6, 40.5, 97.8, 101.3, 112.7(2), 115.1, 116.0, $119.5,120.2$ (2), 125.9 (2), 128.1, 129.5 (2), 130.2, 134.6, 142.8 (2), 144.5, 146.9, 150.0, 152.4, 163.1, 186.7, 189.6. MS m/z (\%): $535\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$(9.36), 74 (100). Anal. Calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ (535.01): C, 60.61; H, 4.33; N, 10.47. Found: C, 60.29; H, 4.59; N, 10.19.

## N -carbamimidoyl-4-(E)-3-(4-(E)-7-chloro-1-methylquino-lin-4(1H)-ylideneamino)- phenyl)-3-oxoprop-1-enylamino) benzenesulfonamide (7)

Yield, 81 \%; m.p. $146.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR: 3431, 3336, $3209\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right.$, NH), 3100 (arom.), 2957, 2858 (aliph.), 1635 (CO), 1593 (CN), 1373, 1178 ( $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ ), 827 (CCl). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR:} 3.4$ [s, 3H, $\mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ], 6.2, 7.6 [2d, $2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}$ quinoline, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ], 6.1 , $7.4[2 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}], 7.7-8.6[\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ], 9.4 [s, 1H, NH imino], 10.3, 12.0 [2s, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}+\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right] .{ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}: 40.5,94.9,99.4,112.8$ (2), 115.2, 116.1, 119.5, 120.2 (2), 125.8 (2), 127.8, 129.5 (2), 131.2, 133.8, 134.6, 138.0, 142.9, 144.8, 145.1, 158.2, 158.5, 172.8, 189.2. MS m/z (\%): $535\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)(7.74), 76$ (100). Anal. Calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ (535.02): C, 58.37; H, 4. 33; N, 15.71. Found: C, 58.55; H, 4.09; N, 15.47.

4-(E)-3-(4-(E)-7-chloro-1-methylquinolin-4(1H)-ylideneamino) phenyl)-3-oxoprop-1-en-ylamino)-N-(3-methylisoxa-zol-5-yl)benzenesulfonamide (8)
Yield, 86 \%; m.p. $192.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR: 3446, 3215 (NH), 3088 (arom.), 2970, 2883 (aliph.), 1635 (CO), 1616 (CN), $1369,1159\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right), 821(\mathrm{CCl}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}: 2.3$ [s, 3H, CH ${ }_{3}$ ], 3.4 [s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ], 6.1, 7.3 [ $2 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}$ quinoline, $J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $6.6,7.6[2 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}], 6.7[\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ isoxazole], $7.7-8.5\left[\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right], 12.0[\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{NH}] .{ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}: 12.4,40.5,95.5,100.4,104.7,113.0$ (2), $115.5,116.3,119.5,120.1$ (2), 125.8, 129.2 (2), 132.9 (2), 133.7, 134.6, 142.8, 144.9, 145.2, 146.8, 147.4, 153.7, 154.3, 158.5, 170.5, 186.9. MS m/z (\%): $574\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$(1.62), 58 (100). Anal. Calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ (574.05): C, 60.68; H, 4. 21; N, 12.20. Found: C, 60.39; H, 4.54; N, 12.49.

## 4-(E)-3-(4-(E)-7-chloro-1-methylquinolin-4(1H)-ylideneamino) phenyl)-3-oxoprop-1-en-ylamino)-N- (3,4-dimethyl-isoxazol-5-yl)benzenesulfonamide (9)

Yield, 77 \%; m.p. $212.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR: 3381, 3230 (NH), 3099 (arom.), 2926, 2819, 2763 (aliph.), 1635 (CO), 1589 (CN), 1373, $1180\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right), 810(\mathrm{CCl}) . \mathrm{H}^{1}$ NMR: 1.9, 2.6 [2s, 6H, $\left.2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right], 3.4\left[\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right], 6.2,7.3$ [2d, $2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}$ quinoline, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}], 6.6,7.5[2 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}]$, $7.6-8.6[\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}], 10.4,12.0\left[2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}+\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right]$. ${ }^{13}$ CNMR: 6.4, 10.8, 40.5, 95.5, 100.3, 102.9, 104.4 (2), $115.5,116.4,119.2,120.7$ (2), 126.1, 127.3 (2), 129.5 (2), 133.6, 134.1, 135.2, 142.9, 144.4, 145.4, 147.7, 157.4, 157.9, 161.5, 172.5, 189.3. MS m/z (\%): 588 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) (11.22), 55 (100). Anal. Calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ (588.08): C, 61.27; H, 4. 46; N, 11.91. Found: C, 61.01; H, 4.17; N, 11.64.

## 4-(E)-3-(4-(E)-7-chloro-1-methylquinolin-4(1H)-ylideneamino) phenyl)-3-oxoprop-1-en-ylamino)- N -(1-phe-nyl-1H-pyrazol-5-yl)benzenesulfonamide (10)

Yield, 80 \%; m.p. $94.3{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR: 3417, 3230 (NH), 3064 (arom.), 2966, 2827 (aliph.), 1635 (CO), 1591 (CN), 1373,
$1180\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right), 763(\mathrm{CCl}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}: 3.4\left[\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right], 6.2$, $7.5[2 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}$ quinoline, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}], 6.5,7.2[2 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}], 7.8-8.6[\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}], 10.2$, 12.0 [ $2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}+\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ ]. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}: 40.5,97.3,100.0$, $103.5,111.6$ (2), 113.0, 116.2, 118.6, 123.7 (2), 124.7 (2), 125.1, 129.0 (2), 129.1, 129.2 (2), 129.3 (2), 129.4, 129.5, 135.1, 136.2, 137.7, 138.9, 140.2, 142.7, 144.3, 146.1, 156.8, 172.4, 186.8. MS m/z (\%): 635 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) (4.43), 103 (100). Anal. Calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ (635.13): C, 64.30; H, 4. 28; N, 13.23. Found: C, 64.56; H, 4.52; N, 13.49.

## 4-(E)-3-(4-(E)-7-chloro-1-methylquinolin-4(1H)-ylideneamino) phenyl)-3-oxoprop-1-en-ylamino)-N-(thiazol-2-yl) benzenesulfonamide (11)

Yield, 69 \%; m.p. $172.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR: 3341, 3219 (NH), 3101 (arom.), 2937, 2869 (aliph.), 1635 (CO), 1589 (CN), 1373, $1180\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right), 773$ (CCl). $\left.{ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}\right): 3.4$ [s, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]$, $5.8,7.6[2 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}$ quinoline, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}], 6.2,7.2[2 \mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}], 6.6,6.8[2 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{CH}$ thiazole, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}], 7.7-8.6[\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}], 10.2,12.0[2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{NH}+\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right] .{ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}: 40.5,95.1,99.8,108.5,112.9(2)$, $115.3,116.2,119.5,120.1$ (2), 125.9, 128.3 (2), 129.5 (2), 133.0, 134.6, 135.7, 136.9, 143.0, 144.6, 145.1, 146.9, 152.6, 168.4, 172.5, 186.6. MS m/z (\%): 576 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) (8.99), 101 (100). Anal. Calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ (576.09): C, 58.38; H, 3.85; N, 12.16. Found: C, 58.23; H, 4.11; N, 12.46.

4-(E)-3-(4-(E)-7-chloro-1-methylquinolin-4(1H)-ylideneam-
ino) phenyl)-3-oxoprop-1-en-ylamino)- N -(5-methyl-1,3,4
-thiadiazol-2-yl)benzenesulfonamide (12) Yield, 82 \%; m.p. $304.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR: 3246, 3115 (NH), 3088 (arom.), 2937, 2859 (aliph.), 1635 (CO), 1589 (CN), 1383, $1182\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right), 769(\mathrm{CCl}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}: 2.4$ [s, 3H, CH ${ }_{3}$ thiadiazole], 3.4 [s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ], $6.2,7.6$ [2d, $2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}$ quinoline, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}], 6.6,7.2[2 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}], 7.7-$ $8.5[\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}], 10.3,12.0\left[2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}+\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right]$. ${ }^{13}$ CNMR: 16.4, 40.5, 95.2, 99.9, 115.4 (2), 116.3, 120.2, 120.4, 125.2 (2), 127.9, 128.2 (2), 129.5 (2), 133.1, 134.8, $135.3,143.0,143.8,144.6,144.8,152.1,154.7,168.3$, 172.4, 189.3. MS m/z (\%): 591 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) (25.7), 178 (100). Anal. Calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ (591.10): C, 56.89; H, 3.92 , N, 14.22. Found: C, 56.59; H, 3.68; N, 14.49.

4-((E)-3-(4-((E)-7-chloro-1-methylquinolin-4(1H)-ylideneamino) phenyl)-3-oxoprop-1-enylamino)- N -(pyridin-2-yl) benzenesulfonamide (13)
Yield, 91 \%; m.p. $177.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR: 3323, 3219 (NH), 3080 (arom.), 2939, 2849 (aliph.), 1654 (CO), 1596 (CN), 1375, $1178\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right), 773(\mathrm{CCl}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR:} 3.4\left[\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right], 6.2$, 7.6 [2d, $2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}$ quinoline, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}], 6.6,7.3$ [2d, 2 H , $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}], 7.7-8.6[\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}], 10.3,12.0$ [2s, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}+\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right] .{ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}: 40.5,95.3,100.0,104.9$, 112.9 (2), 113.7, 115.3, 116.4, 119.5, 120.2 (2), 128.2,
129.5 (2), 132.9 (2), 133.7, 134.4, 135.7, 140.3, 142.9, 143.9, 144.6, 145.2, 146.7, 152.4, 153.4, 172.5, 186.6. MS m/z (\%): $570\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$(18.2), 79 (100). Anal. Calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ (570.06): C, 63.21; H, 4. 24; N, 12. 29. Found: C, 63.47; H, 4.52; N, 12.55.

4-((E)-3-(4-((E)-7-chloro-1-methylquinolin-4(1H)-ylidenea-mino)phenyl)-3-oxoprop-1-enylamino)- N -(pyrimidin-2-yl) benzenesulfonamide (14)
Yield, 65 \%; m.p. $212.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR: 3367, 3179 (NH), 3078 (arom.), 2937, 2870 (aliph.), 1635 (CO), 1577 (CN), $1375,1178\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right), 883(\mathrm{CCl}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}: 3.4 \quad[\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ], 6.2, 7.3 [2d, $2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}$ quinoline, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ], 6.6, $7.6[2 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}], 7.0-8.6[\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right], 12.0[\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}] .{ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}: 40.5,95.5$, 100.3, 112.6 (2), 115.9, 116.0, 119.5, 120.2 (2), 125.8, 128.1 (2), 130.3 (2), 132.9, 133.7, 134.3, 134.6, 142.8, 144.3, 145.2, 146.9, 157.6 (2), 157.7, 158.6, 172.5, 186.6. MS m/z (\%): $571\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$(33.2), 158 (100). Anal. Calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ (571.05): C, 60.99; H, 4. 06; N, 14.72. Found: C, 61.28; H, 4.32; N, 14.47.

4-((E)-3-(4-((E)-7-chloro-1-methylquinolin-4(1H)-ylidenea-mino)phenyl)-3-oxoprop-1-enylamino)- N -(4-methylpyrimi-din-2-yl)benzenesulfonamide (15)
Yield, 78 \%; m.p. $274.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR: 3366, 3259 (NH), 3076 (arom.), 2962, 2870 (aliph.), 1635 (CO), 1562 (CN), 1373, $1182\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right), 773(\mathrm{CCl}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}: 2.3$ [s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ], 3.4 [s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ], 6.2, 7.6 [ $2 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}$ quinoline, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ], 6.6, 7.3 [2d, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}], 7.5-8.5[\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}], 10.3,12.0\left[2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}+\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right] .{ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}: ~ 23.7$, 40.5, 95.4, 100.2, 104.9, 112.4 (2), 114.9, 115.2, 115.8, 119.6 (2), 128.2, 129.5 (2), 130.5 (2), 132.9, 134.4, 134.6, $142.8,144.3,145.3,146.7,152.4,157.4,158.0,168.6$, 172.5, 186.6. MS m/z (\%): 585 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) (9.36), 172 (100). Anal.Calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ (585.08): C, 61.59; H, 4.31; N, 14.36. Found: C, 61.29; H, 4.59; N, 14.09.

4-((E)-3-(4-((E)-7-chloro-1-methylquinolin-4(1H)-ylidenea-mino)phenyl)-3-oxoprop-1-enylamino)- N -(4,6-dimethylpy-rimidin-2-yl)benzenesulfonamide (16)
Yield, 91 \%; m.p. $97.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR: 3354, 3239 (NH), 3055 (arom.), 2947, 2861 (aliph.), 1635 (CO), 1593 (CN), 1371, $1180\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right), 864(\mathrm{CCl}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}: 2.2$ [s, 6H, 2CH ${ }_{3}$ ], 3.4 [s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ], $5.8,7.2[2 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}$ quinoline, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ], $6.6,7.7[2 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}], 7.8-8.5[\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right], 12.0$ [s, 1H, NH]. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}: 23.4$ (2), 40.2, 95.3, 100.1, 104.7, 112.3 (2), 113.8, 114.6, 115.4, 120.6 (2), 125.7, 129.4 (2), 130.8 (2), 132.9, 133.7, 134.8, 144.8, 145.0, 146.9, 157.1, 167.7, 167.8 (2), 172.7, 189.3. MS m/z (\%): $599\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)(2.71), 109$ (100). Anal. Calcd. For
$\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ (599.10): C, 62.15; H, 4. 54; N, 14.03. Found: C, 62.36; H, 4.19; N, 14.29.

4-((E)-3-(4-((E)-7-chloro-1-methylquinolin-4(1H)-ylideneamino) phenyl)-3-oxoprop-1-enylamino)- N -(5-methoxypy-rimidin-2-yl)benzenesulfonamide (17)
Yield, 84 \%; m.p. $264.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR: 3396, 3221 (NH), 3101 (arom.), 2979, 2865 (aliph.), 1637 (CO), 1593 (CN), 1371, $1178\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right), 862(\mathrm{CCl}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}: 3.4$ [s, 3H, NCH ${ }_{3}$ ], 3.9 [s, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right], 5.9,7.4[2 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}$ pyrimidine, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $6.2,7.3$ [2d, 2H, 2CH quinoline, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}], 6.6,7.6[2 \mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}], 7.7-8.6[\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}], 10.3$, 12.0 [ $\left.2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}+\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right] .{ }^{13}$ CNMR: 40.5, 56.7, 95.4, 100.2, 105.0 (2), 112.6, 115.1, 116.0, 119.6 (2), 125.8, 128.2 (2), 129.8 (2), 130.1, 133.7, 134.6, 142.8, 144.2, 144.9, 145.3, 149.9, 151.7, 152.4, 153.3, 172.5, 186.6, 186.9. MS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%): 601\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$(11.87), 74 (100). Anal. Calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ (601.08): C, 59.95; H, 4.19; N, 13.98. Found: C, 60.23; H, 3.81; N, 13.69.

4-((E)-3-(4-((E)-7-chloro-1-methylquinolin-4(1H)-ylidenea-mino)phenyl)-3-oxoprop-1-enylamino)- N -(2,6-dimeth-oxypyrimidin-4-yl)benzenesulfonamide (18)
Yield, 87 \%; m.p. $232.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR: 3387, 3201 (NH), 3097 (arom.), 2980, 2839 (aliph.), 1635 (CO), 1589 (CN), 1352, $1178\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right), 771(\mathrm{CCl}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}: 3.4$ [s, 3H, N-CH ${ }_{3}$ ], 3.7 [s, $\left.6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right], 5.9[\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ pyrimidine], 6.2, $7.3[2 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, 2 CH quinoline, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}], 6.6,7.2[2 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}$, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}], 7.4-8.5[\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}], 10.3,12.0[2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{NH}+\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right] .{ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}: 40.5,54.1,54.9,85.1,95.6$, $100.4,104.9$ (2), 115.4, 116.2, 119.5, 120.2 (2), 128.1, 129.8 (2), 132.7 (2), 132.9, 133.7, 134.6, 142.7, 144.2, 144.9, 145.2, 152.3, 160.8, 161.0, 164.7, 172.0, 186.6. MS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%): 631\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$(34.47), 154 (100). Anal. Calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}$ (631.10): C, 59.00; H, 4.31; N, 13.32. Found: C, 58.76; H, 4.62; N, 13.03.

4-((E)-3-(4-((E)-7-chloro-1-methylquinolin-4(1H)-ylideneamino) phenyl)-3-oxoprop-1-enylamino)- N -(5,6-dimeth-oxypyrimidin-4-yl)benzenesulfonamide (19)
Yield, 83 \%; m.p. $110.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR: 3365, 3230 (NH), 3095 (arom.), 2941, 2863 (aliph.), 1635 (CO), 1577 (CN), 1375, $1159\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right), 773(\mathrm{CCl}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR:} 3.4$ [s, 3H, N-CH3 ], 3.6, $3.8\left[2 \mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right], 6.2,7.2$ [2d, $2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}$ quinoline, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}], 6.6,7.6[2 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}], 7.7-$ $8.4[\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}], 8.5[\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ pyrimidine], 10.3, 12.0 [ $2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}+\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ ]. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}: 40.5,54.2,56.5$, 95.3, 100.1, 112.6 (2), 115.8, 119.4, 120.8 (2), 127.9, 129.5 (2), 130.2, 133.0 (2), 133.8, 134.7, 142.9, 144.7, 145.1, 146.9, 149.8, 150.9, 152.0, 154.3, 161.7, 172.5, 186.6. MS m/z (\%): $631\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$(22.13), 189 (100). Anal. Calcd. For
$\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}$ (631.10): $\mathrm{C}, 59.00 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.31 ; \mathrm{N}, 13.32$. Found: C, 59.31; H, 4.04; N, 13.10.

## 4-((E)-3-(4-((E)-7-chloro-1-methylquinolin-4(1H)-ylidenea-mino)phenyl)-3-oxoprop-1-enylamino)- N -(1 H -indazol-6-yl) benzenesulfonamide (20)

Yield, 89 \%; m.p. $100.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR: 3374, 3231 (NH), 3086 (arom.), 2978, 2848 (aliph.), 1635 (CO), 1589 (CN), 1363, 1151 ( $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ ), 819 (CCl). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}: 3.4$ [s, 3H, $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ], 5.8, 6.6 [2d, 2H, 2CH quinoline, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $6.2,6.8[2 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}], 7.0-8.5[\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right], 10.8,12.0[2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{NH}] .{ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}$ : 40.5, 91.1, 95.5, 100.4, 113.0, 115.1 (2), 115.4, 116.3, $119.5,119.6,119.8,120.0,120.6,125.8,129.0$ (2), 129.8 (2), 132.1, 132.8, 133.5, 137.3, 140.7, 143.6, 144.3, 145.3, 146.8, 147.0, 154.3, 173.4, 189.8. MS m/z (\%): $609\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$ (51.63), 117 (100). Anal. Calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ (609.10): C, 63.10; H, 4.14; N, 13.80. Found: C, 62.76; H, 4.40; N, 14.18.

4-((E)-3-(4-((E)-7-chloro-1-methylquinolin-4(1H)-ylidenea-mino)phenyl)-3-oxoprop-1-enylamino)- N -(quinoxalin-2-yl) benzenesulfonamide (21)
Yield, 66 \%; m.p. $209.9^{\circ}$ C. IR: 3334, 3212 (NH), 3064 (arom.), 2981, 2863 (aliph.), 1635 (CO), 1591 (CN), 1375, $1178\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right), 767(\mathrm{CCl}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}: 3.4\left[\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right], 6.2$, 7.3 [2d, $2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}$ quinoline, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}], 6.6,7.2[2 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}], 7.5-8.6[\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}], 10.3$, 12.0 [ $2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}+\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ ]. ${ }^{13}$ CNMR: 40.5, 95.5, 100.3, 112.7 (2), 115.1, 116.0, 119.5,120.2 (2), 125.1, 126.3, 127.2, 127.3, 129.1, 130.1 (2), 131.1 (2), 132.8, 133.0, 133.8, 134.7, 138.0, 138.1, 139.2, 140.3, 142.7, 144.3, 149.7, 152.1, 169.6, 186.7. MS m/z (\%): 621 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) (10.76), 177 (100). Anal. Calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ (621.11): C, 63.81; H, 4.06; N, 13.53. Found: C, 63.49; H, 4.34; N, 13.23.

## (E)-3-(4-(4-aminophenylsulfonyl)phenylamino)-1-(4-((E)-7-chloro-1-methylquinolin-4(1H)-ylideneamino)phenyl) prop-2-en-1-one (22)

Compound 4 ( $3.65 \mathrm{gm}, 0.01 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and dapson ( 2.48 g , $0.01 \mathrm{~mol})$ was added into ethanol $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and acetic acid $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction was refluxed for 9 h and the solid obtained while hot was recrystallized from dioxane to give 22.
Yield, 69 \%; m.p. $95.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR: 3446, 3348, $3213\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right.$, NH), 3100 (arom.), 2956, 2838 (aliph.), 1635 (CO), 1591 (CN), 1369, $1180\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right), 821$ (CCl). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}: 3.4$ [s, 3H, $\left.\mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right], 5.9$ [s, 2H, $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ], 6.1, 7.4 [2d, $2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}$ quinoline, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}], 6.5,6.6[2 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}], 7.5-$ 8.6 [m, 15H, Ar-H], 12.0 [s, 1H, NH]. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}: 40.5,95.5$, $100.3,113.3$ (2), 113.4, 115.8 (2), 116.6, 119.3, 125.8 (2), 128.9 (4), 129.6 (2), 132.9 (3), 133.7, 135.9, 142.8, 144.2, 145.2, 146.9, 152.4, 154.3, 172.5, 186.6. MS m/z (\%): 569
$\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$(19.87), 90 (100). Anal. Calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ (569.07): C, 65.43; H, 4.43; N, 9.85. Found: C, 65.13; H, 4.71; N, 9.57.
(2E, 2'E)-3, $3^{\prime}$-(4, $4^{\prime}$-sulfonylbis(4,1-phenylene)bis(azanediyl)) bis(1-(4-((E)-7-chloro-1-methylquinolin-4(1H)-ylideneam-ino)phenyl)prop-2-en-1-one) (23)
Compound 4 ( $7.30 \mathrm{gm}, 0.02 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and Dapson ( 2.48 g , $0.01 \mathrm{~mol})$ was added into ethanol ( 20 mL ) containing acetic acid ( 10 mL ). Reaction was refluxed for 12 h and the solid obtained while hot was recrystallized from acetic acid to give 23.
Yield, 60 \%; m.p. $186.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR: 3143 (NH), 3078 (arom.), 2964, 2842 (aliph.), 1635 (CO), 1570 (CN), 1375, 1180 $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right), 819$ (CCl). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}: 3.4$ [s, $\left.6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right], 6.2,7.3$ [2d, $4 \mathrm{H}, 4 \mathrm{CH}$ quinoline, $J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}], 6.6,7.2[2 \mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $2 \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}], 7.4-8.5[\mathrm{~m}, 22 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}], 9.3$, 12.0 [2s, 2H, 2NH]. ${ }^{13}$ CNMR: 40.5 (2), 95.8 (2), 100.7 (2), 104.9 (2), 113.4 (4), 115.8 (2), 116.7 (2), 119.6 (4), 125.8 (4), 129.7 (4), 132.8 (4), 133.6 (2), 134.6 (2), 142.6 (2), 144.0 (2), 145.9 (2), 146.7 (2), 152.3 (2), 172.5 (2), 186.7. MS m/z (\%): 889 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) (6.48), 272 (100). Anal. Calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{50} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ (889.85): C, 67.49; H, 4.30; $\mathrm{N}, 9.44$. Found: C, 67.83; H, 4.66; N, 9.12.

## Anticancer screening

The cytotoxic activity in vitro of the novel synthesized compounds was measured using the sulforhodamine B stain (SRB) assay and the method of Skehan et al. [29]. The in vitro anticancer screening was done at pharmacognosy Department, College of Pharmacy, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. Cells were plated in 96 -multiwell plate ( $10^{4}$ cells/well) for 24 h before treatment with the compound(s) to allow attachment of cell to the wall of the plate. Test compounds were dissolved in dimethylsulfoxide. Different concentrations of the compound under test ( $10,25,50$, and $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) were added to the cell monolayer. Triplicate wells were prepared for each individual concentration. Monolayer cells were incubated with the compound(s) for 48 h at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and in an atmosphere of $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. After 48 h , cells were fixed, washed and stained for 30 min with $0.4 \%$ (Wt/vol) SRB dissolved in $1 \%$ acetic acid. Excess unbound dye was removed by four washes with $1 \%$ acetic acid and attached stain was recovered with Trise-EDTA buffer. Color intensity was measured using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay ELISA reader. Optical density was read at 510 nm . The relation between the surviving fraction and drug concentration was plotted to get the survival curve after the specified time The molar concentration required for $50 \%$ inhibition of cell viability $\left(I C_{50}\right)$ was calculated and compared to the reference drug $2^{\prime}, 7^{\prime}$-dichlorofluorescein (DCF). The results are given in Table 1.

## Molecular docking

"All the molecular modeling studies were carried out on an Intel Pentium 1.6 GHz processor, 512 MB memory with Windows XP operating system using Molecular Operating Environment (MOE, 10.2008) software. All the minimizations were performed with MOE until a RMSD gradient of $0.05 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \AA^{-1}$ with MMFF94X force field and the partial charges were automatically calculated. The protein data bank file (PDB: 3S2A) was selected for this purpose. The file contains PI3K enzyme co-crystallized with a quinoline ligand obtained from protein data bank. The enzyme was prepared for docking studies where: (i) Ligand molecule was removed from the enzyme active site. (ii) Hydrogen atoms were added to the structure with their standard geometry. (iii) MOE Alpha Site Finder was used for the active sites search in the enzyme structure and dummy atoms were created from the obtained alpha spheres. (iv) The obtained model was then used in predicting the ligand enzymes interactions at the active site".

## Conclusion

In summary, we had synthesized a novel series of ben-zene-sulfonamide derivatives. Seven products 1, 2, 4, 7, 11, 14 and 17 presented sound anticancer activity hostile to lung (A594 Raw), hela, and colorectal (lovo) cancer cell lines with better or comparable activity to DCF. Moreover, molecular docking for these active compounds showed proper fitting on the active site of PI3K enzyme suggesting their action as inhibitors for this enzyme but more investigation should be carried out in the future to explore precisely the mechanism of the action of the synthesized derivatives.
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