LETTER Open Access

Acceptability of a COVID-19 pre-exposure prophylaxis trial with hydroxychloroquine in French healthcare workers during the first wave of COVID-19 pandemic



Amandine Gagneux-Brunon^{1,2}, Clémentine Schilte³, Arnauld Garcin⁴, Nathalie Jolly³, Muriel Vray⁵, Laura Schaeffer⁵, Xavier Duval⁶, Bruno Hoen⁷ and Elisabeth Botelho-Nevers^{1,2*}

Keywords: Pre-exposure chemoprophylaxis trial, SARS-CoV-2 infection, Healthcare workers, Acceptability, Hydroxychloroquine

Healthcare workers (HCWs) have been over-represented among people infected with Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome-Coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2). In France, between March 1 and November 2, 2020, SARS-CoV-2 infection was diagnosed in more than 44,000 HCWs and killed 17 [1]. In the USA, HCWs accounted for around 20% of the confirmed cases of SARS-CoV-2 infections by April 2020 [2]. Protection of HCWs rapidly became a crucial challenge during the COVID-19 pandemics [3]. Pre- and post-exposure chemoprophylaxis was considered to be used in addition to personal protective equipment. More than 50 clinical trials aiming to assess chloroquine or hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) in HCWs and/or household contacts of COVID-19 cases have been registered worldwide with ClinicalTrials.gov. In France in April 2020, a multi-center randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial to evaluate the efficacy of a 2-month pre-exposure prophylaxis with HCQ in 600 HCWs exposed to COVID-19 patients was started [4]. This trial was funded by the French hospital program for clinical research (PHRC) and sponsored by the University Hospital of Saint-Etienne in collaboration with Institut Pasteur in Paris. For each participant, six visits at the investigation center, one weekly electrocardiogram, 5 blood samplings, and 4 nasopharyngeal swabs for SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR were scheduled. Before the trial started, we conducted an anonymous online survey in ten of the investigation centers to evaluate the acceptability of the trial among HCWs, whether they worked in a hospital, an ambulatory setting, or a long-term care facility. Eight hundred and seventy-one HCWs completed the survey. Among respondents, 695 (79.8%) reported they were interested in participating in the trial. Intention to participate was not influenced by age or working place. Among the 695 potential volunteers, 430 (61.9%) were under 45 years of age and only 126 (18.1%) reported a significant comorbidity. The main reasons for declining participation in the study were the following: (1) fears about HCQ side effects in 121 of the 176 decliners (68.8%), (2) the perception that the individual risk of severe COVID-19 was low in 36 (20.5%), (3) the constraints resulting from the number of study visits in 29 (16.5%), and (4) the burden of nasopharyngeal swabs for SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR in 25 (14.2%). Six hundred and ninety-five respondents reported being interested in participating in the chemoprophylaxis trial, and 117 were actually enrolled by May 27, 2020. In the first center where the trial was started, 342 HCWs reported being

²CIC-1408 Vaccinology, INSERM, University Hospital of Saint-Etienne, Saint-Etienne, 42055, France

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2021 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

^{*} Correspondence: elisabeth.botelho-nevers@chu-saint-etienne.fr ¹Department of Infectious diseases, University Hospital of Saint-Etienne, Saint-Etienne, 42055, France

Gagneux-Brunon *et al. Trials* (2021) 22:373 Page 2 of 2

interested in participating in the trial and 91 were enrolled between April 14 and May 27 2020, when the French Medicine Agency put all trials evaluating HCQ on hold.

After the French Medicine Agency's decision and as the French epidemic curve was decreasing and the recruitment became challenging, the trial was stopped. This work shows that, at the time the survey was launched, French HCWs were prone to participate in a SARS-CoV-2 infection chemoprophylaxis trial with HCQ, although fear of side effects was the primary reason to decline study participation. This information was key in our decision to start the trial.

two randomized, double-blind, controlled trials showed no protective effect of HCQ in HCWs exposed to SARS-CoV-2 [5, 6], it is now admitted that HCQ is unlikely to act as a prophylactic agent against COVID-19. However, the evaluation of other agents and regimens would still be of interest in highrisk people until effective vaccines are widely available. Given the acceptability rate we observed in our survey, the feasibility of clinical trials on chemoprophylaxis of COVID-19 in HCWs appears reasonably fair. Nevertheless, we suggest that before starting trials involving hundreds of volunteers, surveys need be conducted to assess participants' acceptability and preferences among preventive strategies to be tested.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank all investigators that contribute to broadcast the survey in an around their centers.

Authors' contributions

EBN is the chief investigator and BH the scientific director of the trial COVIDAXIS. For the study of acceptability reported here, EBN and AGB conceived the questionnaire. AG, NJ, MV, LS, XD, and BH reviewed it. AGB, EBN, and CS created it on Lime survey, and EBN and CS contributed to the diffusion of the survey. The authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

The Trial COVIDAXIS was supported by a grant from the French Ministry of Health (SERI-COVID-I 2020-0355). The sponsor of this study is CHU de Saint-Etienne. The study of acceptability reported here have no specific funding.

Availability of data and materials

EBN and AGB have access to the final database of acceptability study reported here.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Acceptability study reported here, performed in link to the trial COVIDAXIS (NCT04328285) was approved by the Ethics committee of University Hospital of Saint-Etienne (IRBN822020/CHUSTE.). Here, we do not report results of COVIDAXIS trial (trial that was approved by CPPIDF1-2020-ND47).

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of Infectious diseases, University Hospital of Saint-Etienne, Saint-Etienne, 42055, France. ²CIC-1408 Vaccinology, INSERM, University Hospital of Saint-Etienne, Saint-Etienne, 42055, France. ³Center for Translational Science, Institut Pasteur, Paris, France. ⁴Unité de Recherche Clinique, Innovation et Pharmacologie, University Hospital of Saint-Etienne, Saint-Etienne, 42055, France. ⁵Unité d'Epidémiologie des Maladies Emergentes, Institut Pasteur, INSERM, Paris, France. ⁶Inserm CIC-1425, AP-HP, Hôpital Universitaire Bichat; Inserm UMR-1137 IAME; Université Paris Diderot, UFR de Médecine-Bichat, Paris, France. ⁷Institut Pasteur, Paris, France, France.

Received: 18 November 2020 Accepted: 18 May 2021 Published online: 30 May 2021

References

- Recensement national des cas de COVID-19 chez les professionnels en établissements de santé [Internet]. [cited 2020 Nov 9]. Available from: https://www.santepubliquefrance.fr/etudes-et-enquetes/recensement-na tional-des-cas-de-covid-19-chez-les-professionnels-en-etablissements-de-sa
- CDC COVID-19 Response Team. Characteristics of health care personnel with COVID-19 - United States, February 12-April 9, 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2020;69(15):477–81.
- The Lancet. COVID-19: protecting health-care workers. Lancet. 2020; 395(10228):922. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30644-9.
- Chemoprophylaxis of SARS-CoV-2 Infection (COVID-19) in exposed healthcare workers - full text view - ClinicalTrials.gov [Internet]. [cited 2020 Jul 3]. Available from: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04328285.
- Abella BS, Jolkovsky EL, Biney BT, Uspal JE, Hyman MC, Frank I, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Hydroxychloroquine vs Placebo for Pre-exposure SARS-CoV-2 Prophylaxis Among Health Care Workers: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Intern Med. 2021;181(2):195-202.
- Rajasingham P, Bangdiwala AS, Nicol MR, Skipper CP, Pastick KA, Axelrod ML, et al. Hydroxychloroquine as pre-exposure prophylaxis for COVID-19 in healthcare workers: a randomized trial. Clin Infect Dis. 2020:ciaa1571.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

