Open Access

Check for updates

Incidence and risk factors of preoperative deep vein thrombosis in patients with intertrochanteric fractures: a retrospective study

Kai Song^{1,2}, Bowen Zhu^{1,2}, Yao Yao^{1,2}, Qing Jiang^{1,2}, Jin Xiong³ and Hongfei Shi^{3*}

Abstract

Background: The risk of perioperative complications remains high in patients with intertrochanteric fractures. Immobilization after injury may predispose these patients to deep vein thrombosis (DVT) while waiting for surgery. The aims of this study were to determine the incidence of preoperative DVT in patients with intertrochanteric fractures and identify independent risk factors.

Methods: This retrospective study included patients with intertrochanteric fractures waiting for surgical interventions at our institution from June 2018 to December 2020. All patients received pharmacologic thromboprophylaxis after admission and ultrasound screening for DVT in both lower limbs before surgery. Demographic, clinical and laboratory data of these patients were collected to perform univariate analysis first. Subsequently, factors with a significant difference in univariate analysis were introduced into the multivariate logistic regression analysis to determine the independent risk factors for preoperative DVT.

Results: A total of 266 patients were enrolled in this study. Seventy-one patients (26.7%) developed DVTs before surgery. The majority of DVTs were distal types (91.5%). There were 40 patients with DVTs only in the affected limb, 7 patients with DVTs only in the unaffected limb, and 24 patients with DVTs in both lower limbs. Advanced age, female, prolonged period from injury to admission, combined cranial trauma, shorter thrombin time, increased level of D-dimer and lower level of albumin proved to be the independent risk factors for preoperative DVT.

Conclusions: We observed a high incidence of preoperative DVT in patients with intertrochanteric fractures. Identification of patients at high risk may improve the prevention and treatment of preoperative DVT in this population.

Keywords: Intertrochanteric fracture, Deep vein thrombosis, Epidemiology, Risk factors

*Correspondence: shihongfei@njglyy.com

³ Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Nanjing Drum Tower Hospital, The Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing University Medical School, 321 Zhongshan Road, Nanjing 210008, Jiangsu, People's Republic of China Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



Background

Hip fractures represent a major public health problem and are associated with increased disability, healthcare cost and mortality [1, 2]. Intertrochanteric fractures are one of the most common types of osteoporotic hip fractures. Patients with an advanced age account for the majority of this group, which also increases the risk of morbidity and mortality [3]. Patients with intertrochanteric fractures were commonly treated using surgical

© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, wisit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

management by internal fixation. However, the incidence of perioperative complications remains high due to the characteristics of this population. Immobilization after intertrochanteric fracture results in venous stasis. Besides, patients with orthopedic trauma often have a hypercoagulable state and endothelial injury [4]. All three factors complete the Virchow Triad, which predispose these patients to deep vein thrombosis (DVT). DVT can lead to chronic venous insufficiency, post-thrombotic syndrome, and life-threatening pulmonary embolism, which are associated with increased hospitalization time, medical expense, patient unsatisfaction, morbidity and mortality [5]. Therefore, it is critical for clinicians to identify patients at high risk for DVT in this population. In this study, we aimed to determine the preoperative incidence of DVT in patients with intertrochanteric fractures by performing ultrasound screening and identify risk factors through multivariate regression analysis.

Methods

This retrospective study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB). We reviewed consecutive closed intertrochanteric fracture patients undergoing surgical interventions at our institution from June 2018 to December 2020. Patients with previous history of venous thromboembolism, pathological fractures, old fractures (more than three weeks before admission), longterm anticoagulant treatment, severe multiple injuries requiring several surgeries, contraindications for anticoagulants or coagulation disorders were excluded. All of the enrolled patients received pharmacologic thromboprophylaxis (rivaroxaban or low-molecular-weight heparin) after admission. Ultrasound screening for DVT in both lower limbs was performed one day before surgery. DVTs were classified into proximal DVTs and distal DVTs according to the location of thrombi. Proximal DVT is defined as the thrombus occurring proximally to the origin of the popliteal vein below the knee. Distal DVT refers to the thrombus located in the calf. Inferior vena cava (IVC) filter placement was only conducted in patients who were diagnosed with a proximal DVT. If patients had a distal DVT, planned surgeries were performed without additional preoperative interventions.

Medical records of these patients were reviewed to collect demographic and clinical information, including age, gender, body mass index (BMI), number of days between injury and surgery, number of days between injury and admission, number of days awaiting surgery, combined cranial trauma, blood transfusion before surgery, Caprini score, and preexisting illnesses (diabetes, hypertension, coronary heart disease and stroke). We also collected preoperative laboratory data of these patients, including hemoglobin (Hb) level, hematocrit (Hct), platelet count (PLT), albumin, estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), C-reactive protein (CRP), plasma prothrombin time (PT), international normalized ratio (INR), activated partial thromboplastin time (APTT), thrombin time (TT), fibrinogen and D-dimer.

Statistical analysis was performed using STATA version 12.0 (Stata Corp. LP, College Station, TX, USA). In order to determine the multivariate regression model inputs, univariate analysis was conducted first. We compared the differences between DVT group and non-DVT group in demographic, clinical and laboratory characteristics. Qualitative variables were compared by a chi-square test, and quantitative variables were analyzed by a *t* test. Subsequently, factors with a significant difference (*P* value < 0.05) were introduced into the multivariate logistic regression analysis to determine the independent risk factors for preoperative DVT.

Results

A total of 266 patients were enrolled in this study with a mean age of 74.5 ± 13.8 years (range 25–95 years). There were 107 males and 159 females. The mean number of days between injury and surgery was 6.8 ± 3.8 (range 1–25). The demographic, clinical and laboratory information of these patients is shown in Table 1.

Lower extremity DVTs were detected in 71 patients (26.7%). There were 40 patients with DVT only in the affected limb, 7 patients with DVT only in the unaffected limb, and 24 patients with DVTs in both lower limbs. Sixty-five patients with distal DVTs received planned surgery and prolonged postoperative anticoagulant therapy. Six patients were diagnosed with proximal DVTs and received IVC filter placement before surgery.

According to the univariate analysis, there were statistically significant differences between DVT group and non-DVT group in age (P < 0.001), gender (P = 0.001), number of days between injury and surgery (P = 0.002), number of days between injury and admission (P < 0.001), Caprini score (P < 0.001), combined cranial trauma (P = 0.016), thrombin time (P = 0.034), D-dimer (P = 0.012), albumin level (P < 0.001), Hb level (P = 0.005), and Hct (P = 0.013) (Table 2).

In order to determine the independent risk factors for preoperative DVT, factors with significant difference in univariate analysis were introduced into the multivariate logistic regression analysis. We found that advanced age (P=0.003), female (P=0.020), prolonged period from injury to admission (P=0.028), combined cranial trauma (P=0.043), shorter thrombin time (P=0.029), increased level of D-dimer (P=0.013) and lower level of albumin (P=0.039) were the independent risk factors for preoperative DVT in patients with intertrochanteric fractures (Table 3).

 Table 1
 Patient's
 demographic,
 clinical
 and
 laboratory

 information

Characteristics Total (n = 2)		
	Total (<i>n</i> = 266)	
Gender		
Male (%)	107 (40.2)	
Female (%)	159 (59.8)	
Age, years (mean \pm SD)	74.5 ± 13.8	
BMI, kg/m ² (mean \pm SD)	24.9 ± 4.1	
Number of days between injury and surgery (mean \pm SD)	6.8 ± 3.8	
Combined cranial trauma (%)	15 (5.6)	
Blood transfusion before surgery (%)	11 (4.1)	
Caprini score (mean \pm SD)	7.7 ± 1.1	
Diabetes (%)	75 (28.2)	
Hypertension (%)	132 (49.6)	
Coronary heart disease (%)	41 (15.4)	
Stroke (%)	28 (10.5)	
PT, s (mean \pm SD)	11.5 ± 0.8	
INR (mean \pm SD)	1.01 ± 0.07	
APTT, s (mean ± SD)	27.4 ± 2.9	
TT, s (mean \pm SD)	16.9 ± 1.1	
Fibrinogen, g/L (mean ± SD)	3.7 ± 1.1	
D-dimer, mg/L (mean \pm SD)	7.48 ± 5.99	
eGFR, (mean \pm SD)	100.9 ± 35.2	
CRP, mg/L (mean \pm SD)	43.9 ± 31.7	
Albumin, g/L (mean \pm SD)	36.9 ± 3.2	
Hb, g/L (mean ± SD)	108.0 ± 19.7	
Hct, % (mean ± SD)	32.0 ± 5.6	
PLT, 10 ⁹ /L (mean ± SD)	175.4 ± 67.9	

SD, standard deviation; BMI, body mass index; PT, prothrombin time; INR, international normalized ratio; APTT, activated partial thromboplastin time; TT, thrombin time; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; CRP, C-reactive protein; Hb, hemoglobin; Hct, hematocrit; PLT, platelet count

Discussion

In the present study, we identified a high incidence of preoperative DVT (26.7%) in patients with intertrochanteric fractures, even though prophylactic anticoagulation regimen was routinely applied before surgery. The majority of DVTs were distal types (91.5%), which only required prolonged postoperative anticoagulant therapy. Patients with proximal DVTs only accounted for a small portion of this population (8.5%). According to a previous study, the incidence of preoperative DVT in patients with intertrochanteric fracture was 37.61% and distal DVTs constituted 86.59% of all DVTs [6]. Zou et al. performed routine ultrasound Doppler scanning of bilateral lower extremities to detect admission DVT in the elderly with intertrochanteric fracture, and observed that 20.1% of these patients had DVT at the time of admission [7]. DVT is associated with chronic venous insufficiency, post-thrombotic syndrome, and life-threatening pulmonary embolism. Given the aggravation of DVT caused by surgery, preoperative evaluation of high-risk patients is strongly recommended. Besides, it is worth noting that 31 patients (43.7%) had DVT in the unaffected leg among the DVT group, which may be associated with the immobilization after fractures. Therefore, clinicians should pay more attention to this issue and encourage patients to start bedridden rehabilitation exercise immediately after injury.

This study identified prolonged period from injury to admission as an independent risk factor for preoperative DVT. Previous studies have also shown that delayed surgery is associated with a high risk of preoperative DVT [6, 8]. There are several common reasons leading to delayed surgery according to the previous study, including transfer from another hospital, late visit to the hospital, admission on weekend or holiday, drugs hold and patient's medical condition requiring preoperative management [9]. Some organization factors causing delayed surgery may be avoidable, including admission on weekend or holiday, waiting for the results of preoperative tests, and unavailable operating room. It has been widely accepted that early surgery is beneficial to the patients with hip fractures, since surgery without delay proved to improve mobility, decrease early and late mortality, and reduce other medical complications [9-11]. However, this topic still remains controversial. Among the patients receiving early surgery, those with compromised medical conditions showed a high mortality rate [11]. Therefore, if patients have optimizable comorbid medical conditions contributing to mortality, surgery should be performed after stabilizing the patient's condition to the greatest possible extent.

Most of the intertrochanteric fractures are caused by a fall, which is also the most common cause of traumatic brain injury in the elderly [12]. In this study, combined head trauma was found to be an independent risk factor for preoperative DVT in patients with intertrochanteric fractures. All of the patients with head trauma in this study only manifested mild symptoms, such as headache, a brief loss of consciousness and dizziness. None of them required surgery or medication for head trauma. Patients with a head trauma have proven to be at high risk of DVT [13, 14], which can be explained by several causative mechanisms. Head trauma leads to systemic inflammation, which promotes the release of von Willebrand factor (VWF) in endothelial cells [15]. VWF mediates platelet adhesion and subsequent thrombus formation [16]. Head trauma also causes the release of tissue factor from brain, which activates blood coagulation and secondary thrombosis [17].

In this study, a decreased level of albumin was found to be an independent risk factor for preoperative DVT.

Variables	Non-DVT (<i>n</i> = 195)	DVT (<i>n</i> = 71)	P value
Female gender (%)	105 (53.9)	54 (76.1)	0.001*
Age, years (mean \pm SD)	72.7 ± 14.9	79.6±8.4	< 0.001*
BMI, kg/m ² (mean \pm SD)	24.9 ± 4.0	24.7 ± 4.5	0.679
Number of days between injury and surgery (mean \pm SD)	6.4 ± 3.5	8.0 ± 4.5	0.002*
Number of days between injury and admission (mean \pm SD)	2.7 ± 2.6	4.7 ± 4.0	< 0.001*
Number of days awaiting surgery (mean \pm SD)	3.7 ± 2.4	3.3 ± 1.5	0.247
Combined cranial trauma (%)	7 (3.6)	8 (11.3)	0.016*
Blood transfusion before surgery (%)	6 (3.1)	5 (7.0)	0.151
Caprini score (mean \pm SD)	7.5 ± 1.2	8.1 ± 0.9	< 0.001*
Diabetes (%)	56 (28.7)	19 (26.8)	0.754
Hypertension (%)	101 (51.8)	31 (43.7)	0.241
Coronary Heart Disease (%)	29 (14.9)	12 (16.9)	0.685
Stroke (%)	22 (11.3)	6 (8.5)	0.506
PT, s (mean \pm SD)	11.5 ± 0.9	11.5 ± 0.7	0.905
INR (mean \pm SD)	1.01 ± 0.08	1.01 ± 0.06	0.819
APTT, s (mean \pm SD)	27.6 ± 3.0	27.1 ± 2.8	0.243
TT, s (mean \pm SD)	17.0 ± 1.1	16.6 ± 1.0	0.034*
Fibrinogen, g/L (mean \pm SD)	3.7 ± 1.0	3.9 ± 1.1	0.135
D-dimer, mg/L (mean \pm SD)	6.93 ± 5.63	9.00 ± 6.69	0.012*
eGFR, (mean \pm SD)	101.1 ± 34.9	100.5 ± 36.3	0.914
CRP, mg/L (mean \pm SD)	43.9±31.9	43.9±31.1	0.997
Albumin, g/L (mean \pm SD)	37.4 ± 3.3	35.5 ± 2.6	< 0.001*
Hb, g/L (mean \pm SD)	110.1 ± 20.1	102.4 ± 17.4	0.005*
Hct, % (mean \pm SD)	32.5 ± 5.7	30.6 ± 5.0	0.013*
PLT, 10^9 /L (mean ± SD)	171.1 ± 62.2	187.1 ± 81.0	0.091

 Table 2
 Univariate analysis of the risk factors for preoperative DVT

DVT, deep vein thrombosis; SD, standard deviation; BMI, body mass index; PT, prothrombin time; INR, international normalized ratio; APTT, activated partial thromboplastin time; TT, thrombin time; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; CRP, C-reactive protein; Hb, hemoglobin; Hct, hematocrit; PLT, platelet count *P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant

95% CI	P value				
1.15–4.99	0.020*				
1.02-1.11	0.003*				
0.78–1.11	0.409				
1.03–1.55	0.028*				
1.05-14.52	0.043*				
0.68-1.48	0.978				
0.49-0.96	0.029*				
1.01-1.13	0.013*				
0.78-0.99	0.039*				
0.91-1.05	0.540				
0.86-1.43	0.438				
_	0.86-1.43				

Table 3 Multivariate logistic regression analysis to identify independent risk factors for preoperative DVT

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; TT, thrombin time; Hb, hemoglobin; Hct, hematocrit

*P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant

A low level of albumin is commonly regarded as an indicator of malnutrition. However, we did not find the relationship between low BMI and DVT in this study. An explanation for the association between albumin and DVT is that hypoalbuminemia may be a marker of inflammation, and inflammation can cause a hypercoagulable state in these patients [18]. Besides, hypoalbuminemia may be also caused by renal loss, which indicates the renal loss of anti-thrombotic proteins in the meantime [18]. Therefore, a low level of albumin can also serve as an indicator of hypercoagulable state [19].

In this study, the indications for IVC filter placement were based on the expert consensus of the Chinese Association of Orthopedics [20]. We only performed IVC filter placement in patients with proximal DVTs before surgery. If a patient was diagnosed with a distal DVT, the surgery was performed as planned without additional preoperative interventions. However, the indications for IVC filter placement in orthopedic patients with preoperative DVTs varied among medical centers. For patients with femur and hip fractures, Smith et al. conducted IVC filter placement in all patients diagnosed with preoperative DVTs and found that there were no related complications [8]. Otherwise, some studies employed indications similar to ours [21, 22]. However, there is no high-quality study comparing safety and efficacy among different indications. Therefore, further studies regarding this topic are warranted.

This study has several limitations. First, it should be noted that the present study has the limitations inherent to retrospective single-center study. Even though we attempted to include potential risk factors as many as possible in the analysis, there may be other confounders contributing to the preoperative DVT. Besides, the mean duration from injury to admission was relatively long in this study, which may increase the incidence of preoperative DVT.

Conclusions

In this study, a high incidence of preoperative DVT (26.7%) was observed in patients with intertrochanteric fractures. Advanced age, female, prolonged period from injury to admission, combined cranial trauma, shorter thrombin time, increased level of D-dimer and lower level of albumin were found to be the independent risk factors for preoperative DVT. More attention about detecting and preventing preoperative DVT should be paid to patients with these risk factors.

Abbreviations

DVT: Deep vein thrombosis; IRB: Institutional Review Board; IVC: Inferior vena cava; BMI: Body mass index; Hb: Hemoglobin; Hct: Hematocrit; PLT: Platelet count; eGFR: Estimated glomerular filtration rate; CRP: C-reactive protein; PT: Prothrombin time; INR: International normalized ratio; APTT: Activated partial thromboplastin time; TT: Thrombin time; VWF: Von Willebrand factor.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Jiangsu Provincial Key Research and Development Foundation (BE2016608), National Natural Science Foundation of China (81702151, 81401793 and 81572132), Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (BK20170121), Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (021414380306), the Six Talent Peeks Project in Jiangsu Province (2016-WSW-060), and the Key Project supported by Medical Science and technology development Foundation, Nanjing Department of Health (QRX17007).

Author contributions

Conceptualization was performed by HS and KS. Methodology was performed by JX and QJ. Formal analysis and investigation were performed by KS, BZ, YY and HS. KS was involved in writing—original draft preparation. HS, JX and QJ were involved in writing—review and editing. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by Jiangsu Provincial Key Research and Development Foundation (BE2016608), National Natural Science Foundation of China (81702151, 81401793 and 81572132), Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (BK20170121), Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (021414380306), the Six Talent Peeks Project in Jiangsu Province (2016-WSW-060), and the Key Project supported by Medical Science and technology development Foundation, Nanjing Department of Health (QRX17007).

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by the institutional review board (IRB) of Nanjing Drum Tower Hospital (No. 2012029).

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹State Key Laboratory of Pharmaceutical Biotechnology, Division of Sports Medicine and Adult Reconstructive Surgery, Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Nanjing Drum Tower Hospital, The Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing University Medical School, 321 Zhongshan Road, Nanjing 210008, Jiangsu, People's Republic of China. ²Laboratory for Bone and Joint Disease, Model Animal Research Center (MARC), Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, Jiangsu, People's Republic of China. ³Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Nanjing Drum Tower Hospital, The Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing University Medical School, 321 Zhongshan Road, Nanjing 210008, Jiangsu, People's Republic of China.

Received: 17 July 2021 Accepted: 27 July 2022 Published online: 03 August 2022

References

- Lewiecki EM, Wright NC, Curtis JR, Siris E, Gagel RF, Saag KG, et al. Hip fracture trends in the United States, 2002 to 2015. Osteoporos Int. 2018;29:717–22.
- Guzon-Illescas O, Perez Fernandez E, Crespi Villarias N, Quiros Donate FJ, Pena M, Alonso-Blas C, et al. Mortality after osteoporotic hip fracture: incidence, trends, and associated factors. J Orthop Surg Res. 2019;14:203.
- Mattisson L, Bojan A, Enocson A. Epidemiology, treatment and mortality of trochanteric and subtrochanteric hip fractures: data from the Swedish fracture register. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2018;19:369.
- Whiting PS, Jahangir AA. Thromboembolic disease after orthopedic trauma. Orthop Clin North Am. 2016;47:335–44.
- Paydar S, Sabetian G, Khalili H, Fallahi J, Tahami M, Ziaian B, et al. Management of deep vein thrombosis (DVT) prophylaxis in trauma patients. Bull Emerg Trauma. 2016;4:1–7.
- Fei C, Wang PF, Qu SW, Shang K, Yang K, Li Z, et al. Deep vein thrombosis in patients with intertrochanteric fracture: a retrospective study. Indian J Orthop. 2020;54:101–8.
- Zuo J, Hu Y. Admission deep venous thrombosis of lower extremity after intertrochanteric fracture in the elderly: a retrospective cohort study. J Orthop Surg Res. 2020;15:549.
- Smith EB, Parvizi J, Purtill JJ. Delayed surgery for patients with femur and hip fractures-risk of deep venous thrombosis. J Trauma. 2011;70:E113–6.
- Cha YH, Ha YC, Yoo JI, Min YS, Lee YK, Koo KH. Effect of causes of surgical delay on early and late mortality in patients with proximal hip fracture. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg. 2017;137:625–30.
- Pincus D, Ravi B, Wasserstein D, Huang A, Paterson JM, Nathens AB, et al. Association between wait time and 30-day mortality in adults undergoing hip fracture surgery. JAMA. 2017;318:1994–2003.
- 11. Yonezawa T, Yamazaki K, Atsumi T, Obara S. Influence of the timing of surgery on mortality and activity of hip fracture in elderly patients. J Orthop Sci. 2009;14:566–73.
- Nilsson M, Eriksson J, Larsson B, Oden A, Johansson H, Lorentzon M. Fall risk assessment predicts fall-related injury, hip fracture, and head injury in older adults. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2016;64:2242–50.
- 13. Haut ER, Chang DC, Pierce CA, Colantuoni E, Efron DT, Haider AH, et al. Predictors of posttraumatic deep vein thrombosis (DVT): hospital practice

versus patient factors-an analysis of the National Trauma Data Bank (NTDB). J Trauma. 2009;66:994–9 (discussion 9-1001).

- Reiff DA, Haricharan RN, Bullington NM, Griffin RL, McGwin G Jr, Rue LW 3rd. Traumatic brain injury is associated with the development of deep vein thrombosis independent of pharmacological prophylaxis. J Trauma. 2009;66:1436–40.
- Hubbard WB, Dong JF, Cruz MA, Rumbaut RE. Links between thrombosis and inflammation in traumatic brain injury. Thromb Res. 2021;198:62–71.
- Memon AA, Sundquist K, PirouziFard M, Elf JL, Strandberg K, Svensson PJ, et al. Identification of novel diagnostic biomarkers for deep venous thrombosis. Br J Haematol. 2018;181:378–85.
- Sase T, Wada H, Kamikura Y, Kaneko T, Abe Y, Nishioka J, et al. Tissue factor messenger RNA levels in leukocytes compared with tissue factor antigens in plasma from patients in hypercoagulable state caused by various diseases. Thromb Haemost. 2004;92:132–9.
- Omar HR, Mirsaeidi M, Rashad R, Hassaballa H, Enten G, Helal E, et al. Association of serum albumin and severity of pulmonary embolism. Medicina (Kaunas). 2020;56:26.
- 19. Folsom AR, Lutsey PL, Heckbert SR, Cushman M. Serum albumin and risk of venous thromboembolism. Thromb Haemost. 2010;104:100–4.
- Orthopedics CAo. The expert consensus of Chinese Association of orthopedics for diagnosis and therapy of DVT. Chin J Orthop Trauma. 2013;15:1013–7.
- Huang J, Dai X, Zhang X, Li J, Huang M, Liu C, et al. Retrievable inferior vena cava filter to prevent pulmonary embolism in patients with fractures and deep venous thrombosis of lower extremities: a single-center experience. J Int Med Res. 2021;49:3000605211006591.
- Pan Y, Zhao J, Mei J, Shao M, Zhang J, Wu H. Evaluation of nonpermanent inferior vena cava filter placement in patients with deep venous thrombosis after lower extremity fracture: a single-center retrospective study. Phlebology. 2016;31:564–72.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

