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#### Abstract

Background: Human follicular fluid (HFF) provides a key environment for follicle development and oocyte maturation, and contributes to oocyte quality and in vitro fertilization (IVF) outcome. Methods: To better understand folliculogenesis in the ovary, a proteomic strategy based on dual reverse phase high performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) coupled to matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-offlight tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MALDI TOF/TOF MS) was used to investigate the protein profile of HFF from women undergoing successful IVF. Results: A total of 219 unique high-confidence (False Discovery Rate (FDR) < 0.01) HFF proteins were identified by searching the reviewed Swiss-Prot human database ( 20,183 sequences), and MS data were further verified by western blot. PANTHER showed HFF proteins were involved in complement and coagulation cascade, growth factor and hormone, immunity, and transportation, KEGG indicated their pathway, and STRING demonstrated their interaction networks. In comparison, $32 \%$ and $50 \%$ of proteins have not been reported in previous human follicular fluid and plasma.

Conclusions: Our HFF proteome research provided a new complementary high-confidence dataset of folliculogenesis and oocyte maturation environment. Those proteins associated with innate immunity, complement cascade, blood coagulation, and angiogenesis might serve as the biomarkers of female infertility and IVF outcome, and their pathways facilitated a complete exhibition of reproductive process.
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## Background

In vitro fertilization (IVF) coupled with embryo transfer into uterus has been applied as treatment for infertility several decades. IVF was initially used to assist the reproduction of sub-fertile women caused by tubal factors [1]. With the improvement of IVF techniques, IVF is now a routine treatment for many reproductive diseases. However, the success rate of pregnancy is still a problem in clinical IVF practice, which is only about $50 \%$ even if the embryos with normal morphology were

[^0]used for transfer [2]. In order to select embryos with the best potential good for IVF outcome, morphological assessments of blastocyst and blastocoels have been adopted, but it was still difficult to predict the quality of embryos [3]. Therefore, it was necessary to develop new strategies for embryo quality evaluation. Epidemiologic investigations showed that many intrinsic and extrinsic factors contributed to the quality of embryo. Because oocyte quality directly influences embryo development, HFF (microenvironment of oocyte maturation) became a main factor contributing to the success of IVF treatment [4].

Small antral follicles respond to ovarian stimulation by increasing in size due to rapid accumulation of follicular fluid, as well as granulosa cell divisions, which necessitate follicular basal lamina expansion. The components
of HFF had several origins: secretions from granulosa cells, thecal cells, occytes, and blood plasma composition transferred through the thecal capillaries [5]. The major components of HFF were proteins [6], steroid hormones [7], and metabolites [8]. HFF provided a special milieu to facilitate the communications between occyte and follicular cells, the development of follicle and the maturation of occytes. The alteration of HFF proteins reflected disorders of main secretary function of granulosa cells and thecae, and the damage of blood follicular barrier, which was associated with abnormal folliculogenesis [9] and a diminished reproductive potential [10]. In IVF treatment, HFF was easily accessible during the aspiration of oocytes from follicle, and was an ideal source for noninvasive screening of biomakers for oocyte maturation, fertilization success, IVF outcome, pregnancy, and ovarian diseases.
In the postgenomic era, proteomic techniques have been widely used in the field of reproductive medicine. HFF proteome has become a hotspot for research, which not only contributed to discovering proteins related to IVF outcomes, but also improved our comprehensive understanding of physiological process during follicle development and oocyte maturation [11]. Li and co-workers used surface-enhanced laser desorption/ionization-time of flight-mass spectrometry (SELDI-TOF-MS) combined with weak cation-exchange protein chip (WCX-2) to search for differentially expressed HFF proteins from mature and antral follicles [12]. Two-dimensional gel electrophoresis (2D-GE) followed by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) was also used to identify 8 differentially expressed HFF proteins related to immune and inflammatory responses from controlled ovarian hyperstimulation (COH) and natural ovulatory cycles [13]. Ambekar and co-workers carried out SDS-PAGE, OFFGEL and SCX-based separation followed by LC-MS/MS analysis to characterize 480 HFF proteins for a better understanding of folliculogenesis physiology [14]. Chen and co-workers explored the HFF biomarkers between successfully fertilized oocytes and unfertilized mature oocytes through nano-scale liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry (nano LC-MS/MS), and found 53 peptides to be potential candidates [15]. Although proteomic researches on HFF deepened our understanding of reproductive process and provided candidates related to oocyte quality, follicle development, IVF outcome and ovarian disorders, it was still essential to fully delineate the HFF networks and pathways involved in the physiology of reproduction and pathophysiology of infertility.
In the present study, we carried out an in-depth proteomic analysis of HFF from women undergoing successful IVF based on dual RP-HPLC coupled to MALDI

TOF/TOF MS. The results profiled candidate biomarkers for the prediction of oocyte maturation, fertilization, and pregnancy and provided a new complement for HFF dataset, which will improve the understanding of biological processes and complicated pathways and interaction networks in HFF.

## Methods

## Patients enrollment and sample preparation

The HFF samples were collected from 10 women who underwent IVF treatment and achieved pregnancy. The selected patients met the following criteria: infertility not caused by tubal factor; aged less than 38 years; serum FSH values < $12 \mathrm{mIU} / \mathrm{mL}$; undergoing their first fresh egg retrieval cycle; ovulation stimulated with the long protocol. The patients were also without chromosomal abnormalities, polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS), endometriosis and or endocrine disease. Cause of infertility was simple male factor. The body mass index (BMI) of patients met the normal criteria proposed by WGOC ( $18.5 \leq \mathrm{BMI} \leq 23.9 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) [16-18]. Ovarian stimulation and oocyte retrieval were performed as previously described [19]. Briefly, when more than two follicles exceeded 18 mm in diameter, 10,000 IU of HCG (Merck Serono, Swiss) was injected intramuscular. After 36 h, HFF was collected during trans-vaginal ultrasound guided aspiration of oocytes. The resultant HFF samples were macroscopically clear and without contamination of the flushing medium.
The samples were centrifuged at $10,000 \times g$ at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min to produce cell debris-free HFF fraction for further analysis. Concentration of HFF was determined by the Bradford method [20]. This work has been approved by the Ethics Committee of Beijing BaoDao Obstetrics and Gynecology Hospital, and written informed consents were obtained from all participants.

## First dimension high pH RP chromatography

Equal amounts ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) of HFF proteins from each sample were pooled for separation. The samples were sequentially treated with 20 mM dithiothreitol at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 120 min , and 50 mM iodoacetamide in dark for 60 min at room temperature. Then the sample was finally digested using trypsin (sequencing grade, Promega, France) (W/W, 1:50 enzyme/protein) overnight at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. According to the previous method with appropriate modification [21], the first dimension RP separation was performed on PF-2D HPLC System (Rigol) by using a Durashell RP column ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 150 \AA, 250 \mathrm{~mm} \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ i.d., Agela). Mobile phases A ( $2 \%$ acetonitrile, adjusted pH to 10.0 using $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ and $\mathrm{B}(98 \%$ acetonitrile, adjusted pH to 10.0 using $\mathrm{NH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) were used to develop a gradient. The solvent gradient was set as follows: $5 \% \mathrm{~B}$, 5 min; 5-15\% B, 15 min; 15-38\% B, 15 min; 38-90\% B,

Table 1 A list of 219 identified high-confidence HFF proteins from women underwent successful IVF by LC MALDI TOF/TOF mass spectrometry (FDR < 0.01)
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Table 1 A list of 219 identified high-confidence HFF proteins from women underwent successful IVF by LC MALDI TOF/TOF mass spectrometry (FDR < 0.01) (Continued)

| 40 | P00740 | Coagulation factor IX | F9 | 51,778 | 15.2 | 1 | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 41 | P00742 | Coagulation factor $X$ | F10 | 54,732 | 24.6 | 1 | 14.1 | 1 |
| 42 | P00748 | Coagulation factor XII | F12 | 67,792 | 29.9 | 4 | 20.8 | 4 |
| 43 | Q5TID7 | Coiled-coil domain-containing protein 181 | CCDC181 | 60,103 | - | - | 7.9 | 1 |
| 44 | P02746 | Complement C1q subcomponent subunit B | C1QB | 26,722 | 20.2 | 1 | 18.6 | 1 |
| 45 | Q9NZP8 | Complement C1r subcomponent-like protein | C1RL | 53,498 | 8.6 | 1 | 6.2 | 1 |
| 46 | P06681 | Complement C2 | C2 | 83,268 | 21.5 | 4 | 22.7 | 6 |
| 47 | P01024 | Complement C3 | C3 | 187,148 | 67.1 | 121 | 74.1 | 119 |
| 48 | POCOL4 | Complement C4-A | C4A | 192,785 | 46.6 | 53 | 54.8 | 66 |
| 49 | POCOL5 | Complement C4-B | C4B | 192,751 | 46.3 | 52 | 53 | 66 |
| 50 | P01031 | Complement C5 | C5 | 188,305 | 20.3 | 7 | 27.1 | 12 |
| 51 | P13671 | Complement component C6 | C6 | 104,786 | 26 | 6 | 25.5 | 6 |
| 52 | P10643 | Complement component C7 | C7 | 93,518 | 35.2 | 8 | 23.1 | 5 |
| 53 | P07357 | Complement component C8 alpha chain | C8A | 65,163 | 24.8 | 5 | 23.5 | 4 |
| 54 | P07358 | Complement component C8 beta chain | C8B | 67,047 | 37.1 | 4 | 37.2 | 6 |
| 55 | P07360 | Complement component C8 gamma chain | C8G | 22,277 | 48.5 | 7 | 48 | 5 |
| 56 | P02748 | Complement component C9 | C9 | 63,173 | 36.5 | 8 | 35.8 | 10 |
| 57 | P00751 | Complement factor B | CFB | 85,533 | 41.4 | 20 | 51.4 | 25 |
| 58 | P08603 | Complement factor H | CFH | 139,096 | 55.4 | 43 | 56.9 | 45 |
| 59 | Q03591 | Complement factor H-related protein 1 | CFHR1 | 37,651 | 33.9 | 2 | 27.3 | 5 |
| 60 | P05156 | Complement factor I | CFI | 65,750 | 31.1 | 7 | 31.7 | 5 |
| 61 | P08185 | Corticosteroid-binding globulin | SERPINA6 | 45,141 | 19.5 | 3 | 17.3 | 2 |
| 62 | Q9UBG0 | C-type mannose receptor 2 | MRC2 | 166,674 | 3.2 | 1 | - | - |
| 63 | P01034 | Cystatin-C | CST3 | 15,799 | 22.6 | 1 | - | - |
| 64 | P30876 | DNA-directed RNA polymerase II subunit RPB2 | POLR2B | 133,897 | - | - | 10.7 | 1 |
| 65 | Q8NHS0 | DnaJ homolog subfamily B member 8 | DNAJB8 | 25,686 | 16.8 | 1 | - | - |
| 66 | Q96DT5 | Dynein heavy chain 11, axonemal | DNAH11 | 520,369 | - | - | 9.8 | 1 |
| 67 | Q9C0C9 | E2 ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme | UBE2O | 141,293 | - | - | 3.9 | 1 |
| 68 | 095071 | E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase UBR5 | UBR5 | 309,352 | 7.6 | 1 | - | - |
| 69 | A4FU69 | EF-hand calcium-binding domain-containing protein 5 | EFCAB5 | 173,404 | 8.1 | 1 | - | - |
| 70 | Q16610 | Extracellular matrix protein 1 | ECM1 | 60,674 | 20.7 | 2 | 11.5 | 2 |
| 71 | Q9UGM5 | Fetuin-B | FETUB | 42,055 | 12.8 | 1 | 18.3 | 1 |
| 72 | P02671 | Fibrinogen alpha chain | FGA | 94,973 | 44.8 | 40 | 47.6 | 44 |
| 73 | P02675 | Fibrinogen beta chain | FGB | 55,928 | 72.1 | 53 | 68.6 | 42 |
| 74 | P02679 | Fibrinogen gamma chain | FGG | 51,512 | 69.1 | 36 | 68 | 34 |
| 75 | P02751 | Fibronectin | FN1 | 262,625 | 30.3 | 33 | 31.2 | 34 |
| 76 | Q08380 | Galectin-3-binding protein | LGALS3BP | 65,331 | 22.9 | 1 | 28.7 | 4 |
| 77 | P06396 | Gelsolin | GSN | 85,698 | 43.9 | 16 | 43.6 | 20 |
| 78 | P07093 | Glia-derived nexin | SERPINE2 | 44,002 | 34.7 | 4 | 28.6 | 3 |
| 79 | P22352 | Glutathione peroxidase 3 | GPX3 | 25,552 | 16.4 | 2 | 27 | 1 |
| 80 | Q7Z4J2 | Glycosyltransferase 6 domain-containing protein 1 | GLT6D1 | 36,274 | 2.6 | 1 | - | - |
| 81 | P0CG08 | Golgi pH regulator B | GPR89B | 52,917 | - | - | 7.7 | 1 |
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| 82 | P00738 | Haptoglobin | HP | 45,205 | 61.1 | 26 | 58.6 | 23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 83 | P00739 | Haptoglobin-related protein | HPR | 39,030 | 44.3 | 10 | - | - |
| 84 | Q9Y6N9 | Harmonin | USH1C | 62,211 | 7.8 | 1 | - | - |
| 85 | P69905 | Hemoglobin subunit alpha | HBA1/HBA2 | 15,258 | - | - | 28.2 | 1 |
| 86 | P68871 | Hemoglobin subunit beta | HBB | 15,998 | 43.5 | 2 | 52.4 | 1 |
| 87 | P02790 | Hemopexin | HPX | 51,676 | 55.8 | 44 | 76.4 | 50 |
| 88 | P05546 | Heparin cofactor 2 | SERPIND1 | 57,071 | 21 | 6 | 34.9 | 6 |
| 89 | Q04756 | Hepatocyte growth factor activator | HGFAC | 70,682 | 5.3 | 1 | - | - |
| 90 | P04196 | Histidine-rich glycoprotein | HRG | 59,578 | 33 | 15 | 37.9 | 18 |
| 91 | 043365 | Homeobox protein Hox-A3 | HOXA3 | 46,369 | 6.5 | 1 | - | - |
| 92 | P78426 | Homeobox protein Nkx-6.1 | NKX6-1 | 37,849 | 16.4 | 1 | - | - |
| 93 | Q14520 | Hyaluronan-binding protein 2 | HABP2 | 62,672 | 15.4 | 2 | 11.8 | 3 |
| 94 | P0DOX2 | Immunoglobulin alpha-2 heavy chain | N/A | 48,935 | 39.1 | 14 | 40.9 | 12 |
| 95 | P0DOX3 | Immunoglobulin delta heavy chain | N/A | 56,224 | 19.9 | 1 | 23.4 | 1 |
| 96 | P0DOX4 | Immunoglobulin epsilon heavy chain | N/A | 60,323 | 8.4 | 2 | 15.7 | 2 |
| 97 | P0DOX5 | Immunoglobulin gamma-1 heavy chain | N/A | 49,330 | 70.6 | 144 | 71.9 | 123 |
| 98 | P01876 | Immunoglobulin heavy constant alpha 1 | IGHA1 | 37,655 | 42.8 | 23 | 48.2 | 16 |
| 99 | P01859 | Immunoglobulin heavy constant gamma 2 | IGHG2 | 35,901 | 74.9 | 104 | 69.9 | 92 |
| 100 | P01860 | Immunoglobulin heavy constant gamma 3 | IGHG3 | 41,287 | 72.4 | 69 | 78.3 | 65 |
| 101 | P01861 | Immunoglobulin heavy constant gamma 4 | IGHG4 | 35,941 | 79.8 | 101 | 68.8 | 85 |
| 102 | P01871 | Immunoglobulin heavy constant mu | IGHM | 49,440 | 33.1 | 10 | 34.7 | 12 |
| 103 | A0A0C4DH31 | Immunoglobulin heavy variable 1-18 | IGHV1-18 | 12,820 | 53 | 7 | 48.7 | 9 |
| 104 | P23083 | Immunoglobulin heavy variable 1-2 | IGHV1-2 | 13,085 | 47.9 | 6 | - | - |
| 105 | A0A0C4DH33 | Immunoglobulin heavy variable 1-24 | IGHV1-24 | 12,824 | 38.5 | 2 | 38.5 | 3 |
| 106 | A0AOC4DH29 | Immunoglobulin heavy variable 1-3 | IGHV1-3 | 13,008 | 38.5 | 3 | - | - |
| 107 | A0A0A0MS14 | Immunoglobulin heavy variable 1-45 | IGHV1-45 | 13,508 | 9.4 | 2 | - | - |
| 108 | P01743 | Immunoglobulin heavy variable 1-46 | IGHV1-46 | 12,933 | - | - | 32.5 | 5 |
| 109 | P01742 | Immunoglobulin heavy variable 1-69 | IGHV1-69 | 12,659 | - | - | 34.2 | 5 |
| 110 | P01762 | Immunoglobulin heavy variable 3-11 | IGHV3-11 | 12,909 | 38.5 | 10 | 53.9 | 11 |
| 111 | P01766 | Immunoglobulin heavy variable 3-13 | IGHV3-13 | 12,506 | 60.3 | 6 | - | - |
| 112 | A0A0B4J1V0 | Immunoglobulin heavy variable 3-15 | IGHV3-15 | 12,926 | 55.5 | 8 | 42.9 | 7 |
| 113 | P01764 | Immunoglobulin heavy variable 3-23 | IGHV3-23 | 12,582 | 60.7 | 15 | 54.7 | 10 |
| 114 | A0A0B4J1X8 | Immunoglobulin heavy variable 3-43 | IGHV3-43 | 13,077 | - | - | 34.8 | 6 |
| 115 | A0A0A0MS15 | Immunoglobulin heavy variable 3-49 | IGHV3-49 | 13,056 | 47.1 | 3 | 50.4 | 3 |
| 116 | A0A075B6Q5 | Immunoglobulin heavy variable 3-64 | IGHV3-64 | 12,891 | 59.3 | 2 | 18.6 | 1 |
| 117 | A0A0C4DH42 | Immunoglobulin heavy variable 3-66 | IGHV3-66 | 12,698 | 61.2 | 14 | 55.2 | 10 |
| 118 | P01780 | Immunoglobulin heavy variable 3-7 | IGHV3-7 | 12,943 | 76.9 | 14 | 77.8 | 12 |
| 119 | A0A0B4J1Y9 | Immunoglobulin heavy variable 3-72 | IGHV3-72 | 13,203 | 55.5 | 9 | - | - |
| 120 | A0A0B4J1V6 | Immunoglobulin heavy variable 3-73 | IGHV3-73 | 12,858 | 58 | 3 | 58 | 4 |
| 121 | P01782 | Immunoglobulin heavy variable 3-9 | IGHV3-9 | 12,945 | 51.7 | 8 | 51.7 | 9 |
| 122 | P06331 | Immunoglobulin heavy variable 4-34 | IGHV4-34 | 13,815 | - | - | 38.2 | 4 |
| 123 | P01824 | Immunoglobulin heavy variable 4-39 | IGHV4-39 | 13,917 | 19.2 | 4 | - | - |
| 124 | A0A0C4DH38 | Immunoglobulin heavy variable 5-51 | IGHV5-51 | 12,675 | 66.7 | 9 | 50.4 | 8 |
| 125 | P01834 | Immunoglobulin kappa constant | IGKC | 11,765 | 88.8 | 50 | 92.5 | 37 |
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| 126 | P0DOX7 | Immunoglobulin kappa light chain | N/A | 23,379 | 61.2 | 52 | 62.6 | 39 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 127 | P04430 | Immunoglobulin kappa variable 1-16 | IGKV1-16 | 12,618 | - | - | 34.2 | 2 |
| 128 | A0A075B6S5 | Immunoglobulin kappa variable 1-27 | IGKV1-27 | 12,712 | 47 | 8 | 65 | 8 |
| 129 | P01594 | Immunoglobulin kappa variable 1-33 | IGKV1-33 | 12,848 | 49.6 | 5 | 42.7 | 4 |
| 130 | P01602 | Immunoglobulin kappa variable 1-5 | IGKV1-5 | 12,782 | 30.8 | 3 | 30.8 | 6 |
| 131 | A0A0C4DH72 | Immunoglobulin kappa variable 1-6 | IGKV1-6 | 12,697 | 47 | 4 | 47 | 5 |
| 132 | A0A0C4DH69 | Immunoglobulin kappa variable 1-9 | IGKV1-9 | 12,715 | 74.4 | 5 | 44.4 | 5 |
| 133 | P01611 | Immunoglobulin kappa variable 1D-12 | IGKV1D-12 | 12,620 | 44.4 | 5 | 49.6 | 7 |
| 134 | A0A0B4J2D9 | Immunoglobulin kappa variable 1D-13 | IGKV1D-13 | 12,569 | 13.7 | 1 | - | - |
| 135 | A0A075B6S4 | Immunoglobulin kappa variable 1D-17 | IGKV1D-17 | 12,835 | 28.2 | 1 | 43.6 | 2 |
| 136 | P04432 | Immunoglobulin kappa variable 1D-39 | IGKV1D-39 | 12,737 | 47 | 6 | 47.9 | 6 |
| 137 | P06310 | Immunoglobulin kappa variable 2-30 | IGKV2-30 | 13,185 | 50 | 5 | 63.3 | 7 |
| 138 | P01615 | Immunoglobulin kappa variable 2D-28 | IGKV2D-28 | 12,957 | 33.3 | 5 | 40.8 | 5 |
| 139 | A0A075B6S2 | Immunoglobulin kappa variable 2D-29 | IGKV2D-29 | 13,143 | - | - | 20.8 | 5 |
| 140 | P01614 | Immunoglobulin kappa variable 2D-40 | IGKV2D-40 | 13,310 | 37.2 | 6 | 37.2 | 5 |
| 141 | P04433 | Immunoglobulin kappa variable 3-11 | IGKV3-11 | 12,575 | 54.8 | 16 | 49.6 | 10 |
| 142 | P01624 | Immunoglobulin kappa variable 3-15 | IGKV3-15 | 12,496 | 42.6 | 9 | 50.4 | 8 |
| 143 | P01619 | Immunoglobulin kappa variable 3-20 | IGKV3-20 | 12,557 | 70.7 | 16 | 70.7 | 14 |
| 144 | A0A087WSY6 | Immunoglobulin kappa variable 3D-15 | IGKV3D-15 | 12,534 | 42.6 | 10 | 56.5 | 8 |
| 145 | A0A0C4DH25 | Immunoglobulin kappa variable 3D-20 | IGKV3D-20 | 12,515 | 64.7 | 10 | 64.7 | 8 |
| 146 | P06312 | Immunoglobulin kappa variable 4-1 | IGKV4-1 | 13,380 | 34.7 | 10 | 40.5 | 6 |
| 147 | A0M8Q6 | Immunoglobulin lambda constant 7 | IGLC7 | 11,254 | 54.7 | 13 | 53.8 | 10 |
| 148 | A0A0B4J1U3 | Immunoglobulin lambda variable 1-36 | IGLV1-36 | 12,478 | 13.7 | 1 | 13.7 | 1 |
| 149 | P01703 | Immunoglobulin lambda variable 1-40 | IGLV1-40 | 12,302 | 21.2 | 2 | - | - |
| 150 | P01700 | Immunoglobulin lambda variable 1-47 | IGLV1-47 | 12,284 | 54.7 | 4 | 39.3 | 3 |
| 151 | P01706 | Immunoglobulin lambda variable 2-11 | IGLV2-11 | 12,644 | 22.7 | 3 | - | - |
| 152 | A0A075B6K4 | Immunoglobulin lambda variable 3-10 | IGLV3-10 | 12,441 | 40 | 4 | 40 | 3 |
| 153 | P01714 | Immunoglobulin lambda variable 3-19 | IGLV3-19 | 12,042 | 50 | 2 | 42.9 | 1 |
| 154 | P80748 | Immunoglobulin lambda variable 3-21 | IGLV3-21 | 12,446 | 35.9 | 3 | - | - |
| 155 | P01717 | Immunoglobulin lambda variable 3-25 | IGLV3-25 | 12,011 | - | - | 43.8 | 3 |
| 156 | P01721 | Immunoglobulin lambda variable 6-57 | IGLV6-57 | 12,566 | 20.5 | 2 | - | - |
| 157 | P0DOX8 | Immunoglobulin lambda-1 light chain | N/A | 22,830 | 44.4 | 23 | 44.4 | 20 |
| 158 | P15814 | Immunoglobulin lambda-like polypeptide 1 | IGLL1 | 22,963 | 23 | 5 | 23 | 5 |
| 159 | P35858 | Insulin-like growth factor-binding protein complex acid labile subunit | IGFALS | 66,035 | 23.1 | 4 | 27.4 | 6 |
| 160 | P16144 | Integrin beta-4 | ITGB4 | 202,167 | 4.9 | 1 | - | - |
| 161 | P19827 | Inter-alpha-trypsin inhibitor heavy chain H1 | ITIH1 | 101,389 | 33.6 | 20 | 33.7 | 25 |
| 162 | P19823 | Inter-alpha-trypsin inhibitor heavy chain H2 | ITIH2 | 106,463 | 35.9 | 18 | 42.6 | 20 |
| 163 | Q06033 | Inter-alpha-trypsin inhibitor heavy chain H3 | ITIH3 | 99,849 | 5.2 | 1 | 15.5 | 1 |
| 164 | Q14624 | Inter-alpha-trypsin inhibitor heavy chain H4 | ITIH4 | 103,357 | 38.4 | 23 | 47 | 26 |
| 165 | Q15811 | Intersectin-1 | ITSN1 | 195,422 | - | - | 9.9 | 1 |
| 166 | P29622 | Kallistatin | SERPINA4 | 48,542 | 26.5 | 4 | 23 | 5 |
| 167 | Q92764 | Keratin, type I cuticular Ha5 | KRT35 | 50,361 | - | - | 16.7 | 1 |
| 168 | P13645 | Keratin, type I cytoskeletal 10 | KRT10 | 58,827 | 5.8 | 1 | - | - |
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| 169 | P04264 | Keratin, type II cytoskeletal 1 | KRT1 | 66,039 | 23.6 | 3 | 30 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 170 | P01042 | Kininogen-1 | KNG1 | 71,957 | 53.7 | 25 | 41 | 23 |
| 171 | P02750 | Leucine-rich alpha-2-glycoprotein | LRG1 | 38,178 | 21.6 | 4 | 27.1 | 5 |
| 172 | P18428 | Lipopolysaccharide-binding protein | LBP | 53,384 | 14.8 | 1 | 13.3 | 1 |
| 173 | P51884 | Lumican | LUM | 38,429 | 30.2 | 3 | 27.8 | 3 |
| 174 | P14174 | Macrophage migration inhibitory factor | MIF | 12,476 | 18.3 | 2 | - | - |
| 175 | P01033 | Metalloproteinase inhibitor 1 | TIMP1 | 23,171 | 18.8 | 2 | 34.8 | 2 |
| 176 | Q7Z5P9 | Mucin-19 | MUC19 | 805,253 | 4.3 | 1 | - | - |
| 177 | P35579 | Myosin-9 | MYH9 | 226,532 | - | - | 15.8 | 1 |
| 178 | Q96PD5 | N -acetylmuramoyl-L-alanine amidase | PGLYRP2 | 62,217 | 26 | 7 | 29.3 | 6 |
| 179 | A6NHNO | Otolin-1 | OTOL1 | 49,422 | 15.3 | 1 | - | - |
| 180 | P04180 | Phosphatidylcholine-sterol acyltransferase | LCAT | 49,578 | 15.5 | 2 | - | - |
| 181 | P36955 | Pigment epithelium-derived factor | SERPINF1 | 46,312 | 22.3 | 5 | 17.9 | 5 |
| 182 | P03952 | Plasma kallikrein | KLKB1 | 71,370 | 23 | 6 | 26.5 | 6 |
| 183 | P05155 | Plasma protease C1 inhibitor | SERPING1 | 55,154 | 34.8 | 9 | 33.2 | 16 |
| 184 | P05154 | Plasma serine protease inhibitor | SERPINA5 | 45,675 | 13.6 | 3 | - | - |
| 185 | P00747 | Plasminogen | PLG | 90,569 | 63 | 30 | 58.8 | 32 |
| 186 | Q96GD3 | Polycomb protein SCMH1 | SCMH1 | 73,354 | 4.7 | 1 | - | - |
| 187 | Q8WUM4 | Programmed cell death 6-interacting protein | PDCD6IP | 96,023 | - | - | 14.1 | 1 |
| 188 | P46013 | Proliferation marker protein Ki-67 | MK167 | 358,694 | 11.9 | 1 | 21.8 | 1 |
| 189 | P15309 | Prostatic acid phosphatase | ACPP | 44,566 | 25.1 | 4 | 17.9 | 2 |
| 190 | P02760 | Protein AMBP | AMBP | 38,999 | 38.9 | 11 | 42.1 | 12 |
| 191 | Q9UK55 | Protein Z-dependent protease inhibitor | SERPINA10 | 50,707 | 15.5 | 2 | 18.9 | 2 |
| 192 | Q96PF1 | Protein-glutamine gammaglutamyltransferase Z | TGM7 | 79,941 | - | - | 7.5 | 1 |
| 193 | P00734 | Prothrombin | F2 | 70,037 | 59.8 | 33 | 62.4 | 31 |
| 194 | P02753 | Retinol-binding protein 4 | RBP4 | 23,010 | 40.3 | 11 | 55.7 | 13 |
| 195 | 094885 | SAM and SH3 domain-containing protein 1 | SASH1 | 136,653 | - | - | 10.3 | 1 |
| 196 | P04279 | Semenogelin-1 | SEMG1 | 52,131 | 30.5 | 5 | 32.3 | 5 |
| 197 | Q02383 | Semenogelin-2 | SEMG2 | 65,444 | 21 | 3 | 18 | 5 |
| 198 | P57059 | Serine/threonine-protein kinase SIK1 | SIK1 | 84,902 | - | - | 7.3 | 1 |
| 199 | P02787 | Serotransferrin | TF | 77,064 | 71.4 | 143 | 79.4 | 185 |
| 200 | P02768 | Serum albumin | ALB | 69,367 | 89.3 | 607 | 91.3 | 550 |
| 201 | P35542 | Serum amyloid A-4 protein | SAA4 | 14,747 | 30 | 2 | 49.2 | 6 |
| 202 | P02743 | Serum amyloid P-component | APCS | 25,387 | 26.5 | 5 | 25.1 | 5 |
| 203 | P27169 | Serum paraoxonase/arylesterase 1 | PON1 | 39,731 | 24.5 | 7 | 19.2 | 5 |
| 204 | P04278 | Sex hormone-binding globulin | SHBG | 43,779 | 18.7 | 4 | 21.9 | 3 |
| 205 | P09486 | SPARC | SPARC | 34,632 | - | - | 5.3 | 1 |
| 206 | Q6N022 | Teneurin-4 | TENM4 | 307,957 | 5.3 | 1 | - | - |
| 207 | P05452 | Tetranectin | CLEC3B | 22,537 | 22.8 | 2 | 30.2 | 2 |
| 208 | P05543 | Thyroxine-binding globulin | SERPINA7 | 46,325 | 14.5 | 1 | 23.6 | 2 |
| 209 | Q8WZ42 | Titin | TTN | 3,816,030 | 10.6 | 1 | - | - |
| 210 | P21675 | Transcription initiation factor TFIID subunit 1 | TAF1 | 212,677 | - | - | 7 | 1 |
| 211 | Q66K66 | Transmembrane protein 198 | TMEM198 | 39,475 | 2.5 | 2 | 2.5 | 1 |

Table 1 A list of 219 identified high-confidence HFF proteins from women underwent successful IVF by LC MALDI TOF/TOF mass spectrometry (FDR < 0.01) (Continued)

| 212 | P02766 | Transthyretin | TTR | 15,887 | 69.4 | 12 | 69.4 | 19 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 213 | P13611 | Versican core protein | VCAN | 372,820 | - | - | 5.2 | 2 |
| 214 | P02774 | Vitamin D-binding protein | GC | 52,964 | 63.9 | 29 | 60.3 | 28 |
| 215 | P04070 | Vitamin K-dependent protein C | PROC | 52,071 | - | - | 2.2 | 1 |
| 216 | P07225 | Vitamin K-dependent protein S | PROS1 | 75,123 | 12.6 | 2 | - | - |
| 217 | P04004 | Vitronectin | VTN | 54,306 | 32.6 | 11 | 32.2 | 15 |
| 218 | Q6PF04 | Zinc finger protein 613 | ZNF613 | 70,143 | 6.6 | 1 | - | - |
| 219 | P25311 | Zinc-alpha-2-glycoprotein | AZGP1 | 34,259 | 52.7 | 14 | 52 | 17 |

$1 \mathrm{~min} ; 90 \% \mathrm{~B}, 8.5 \mathrm{~min} ; 90-5 \% \mathrm{~B}, 0.5 \mathrm{~min} ; 5 \% \mathrm{~B}, 10 \mathrm{~min}$. The tryptic peptides were separated at an eluent flow rate of $0.8 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ and monitored at 214 nm . Totally, 28 eluent fractions were collected and dried by a SPD2010 SpeedVac concentrator system (Thermo, USA).

## Second dimension low pH RP chromatography coupled with MS/MS measurement

According to the previous method [22], the samples were dried under vacuum and reconstituted in $30 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $0.1 \%(v / v)$ formic acid, $2 \%(v / v)$ acetonitrile in water for subsequent analyses. Each fraction was separated and spotted using the Tempo ${ }^{\text {mic }}$ LC-MALDI Spotting System (AB SCIEX, USA). Peptides were separated by a C18 AQ $150 \times 0.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ column ( $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, Michrom, USA) using a linear gradient formed by buffer A ( $2 \%$ acetonitrile, $0.1 \%$ formic acid) and buffer B (98\% acetonitrile, $0.1 \%$ formic acid), from $5 \%$ to $35 \%$ of buffer B over 90 min at a flow rate of $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$. The eluted peptides were mixed with matrix solution ( $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in $70 \%$ acetonitrile, $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid) at a flow rate of $2 \mu \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$ pushed by additional syringe pump. For each fraction, 616 spots were spotted on a $123 \times 81 \mathrm{~mm}$ LC-MALDI plate insert. Then the spots were analyzed using MALDITOF/TOF 5800 mass spectrometer (AB SCIEX, USA). A full-scan MS experiment ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ range from 800 to 4000) was acquired, and then the top 40 ions were detected by MS/MS.

## Protein identification

Protein identification was performed with the ProteinPilot $^{\text {t" }}$ software (version 4.0.1; AB SCIEX). Each MS/MS spectrum was searched against a database (2017_03 released UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot human database, 20,183 entries) and a decoy database for FDR analysis (programmed in the software). The search parameters were as follows: trypsin enzyme; maximum allowed missed cleavages 1; Carbamidomethyl cysteine; biological modifications programmed in the algorithm. Proteins with high-confidence (FDR < 0.01) were considered as positively identified proteins.

## Bioinformatics

The gene ontology enrichment analysis of HFF proteins were performed by using online bioinformatics tools of PANTHER (Protein ANalysis THrough Evolutionary Relationships) classification system (released 11.1, 2016-1024) (http://pantherdb.org/) [23] and DAVID (The Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery) bioinformatics resources 6.8 (https://david.ncifcrf.gov/) [24]. Each protein was placed in only one category, and those with no annotation and supporting information were categorized as "Unknown". The pathway map of HFF proteins were achieved through KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (Release 81.0, 2017-01-01) (http://www.kegg.jp) [25]. The protein-protein interaction network for the HFF proteins was annotated using the STRING (search tool for recurring instances of neighbouring genes) database (released 10.0, 2016-04-16) (http:// string-db.org/) [26]. The venn diagram was drawn through a online software "Calculate and draw custom Venn diagrams" (http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/Venn/).

## Western blot analysis

According to the method described previously [27, 28], $50 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ HFF protein were separated by a $12 \%$ SDS-PAGE gel and then electronically transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane. The resultant membrane was blocked with $5 \%(w / v)$ skimmed milk for 1 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and then was incubated with the primary antibody (Abcam, Cambridge, USA, diluted $1: 2000$ ) at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. After washing with TBST for three times, the membranes were incubated with horse-radish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (diluted 1:5000, Zhong-Shan Biotechnology, Beijing, China) at room temperature for 1 h . The immunoreactive proteins was visualized by enhanced chemiluminescence detection reagents (Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA) (Additional file 1: Table S1).

## Results

Identification of high-confidence HFF proteome by dual RP-HPLC coupled with MALDI TOF/TOF mass spectrometry.

A peptide sequencing strategy was applied by using two-dimensional chromatography-MALDI TOF/TOF mass spectrometry. We employed high pH ( pH 10 ) reverse phase liquid chromatography to decrease the complexity of the tryptic digest of the HFF proteins, and collected 28 fractions. Then each fraction was further separated by low $\mathrm{pH}(\mathrm{pH} 3)$ reverse phase liquid chromatography, and spotted on the plate using the Tempo ${ }^{\text {res }}$ LC-MALDI Spotting System. After sequencing by a 5800 MALDI TOF/TOF mass spectrometry, the resultant spectra were analyzed by ProteinPilot ${ }^{\text {m" }}$ software by searching the reviewed Swiss-Prot human database ( 20,183 sequences, 2017_03 released). A total of 219 unique high-confidence (FDR < 0.01 ) proteins were identified by two replicates (Table 1). Experiment 1 and 2 identified 188 with 2747 unique peptides and 179 proteins with 2800 unique peptides, respectively. 148 common proteins were shared between the two experiments. Figure 1 showed representative MS/MS spectra of peptides from the identified HFF proteins. The $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ of precursor (Fig. 2c) was over 2500, and almost all bions and y-ions were still obtained based on a 5800 MALDI TOF/TOF mass spectrometry.

## Bioinformatics analysis of the HFF proteome

The proteins identified by mass spectrometry were broadly placed into several GO categories on the basis of the PANTHER, DAVID and PubMed databases (Fig. 2). Based on molecular function, the majority (31\%) of proteins were related to immunity, whereas other involved protein functions were mainly complement and coagulation (17\%), protease or inhibitor (14\%), and transportation (10\%) (Fig. 2a). Based on subcellular localization, the majority ( $64 \%$ ) of the identified proteins located in extracellular region. Other main locations were extracellular matix (7\%), nuleus (6\%), and cytoskeleton (5\%) (Fig. 2b). Based on biological process, the majority (28\%) of proteins was related to developmental process, and the next prevalence was immunological system process (26\%). The other groups were involved into protein metabolic process (12\%), reproduction (5\%), lipid metabolic process (3\%), and transportation (2\%) (Fig. 2c).
KEGG pathway analysis was performed to map HFF protein interactions, Pathways associated with complement and coagulation cascades ( P _Value $=5.8 \mathrm{E}-52$ ), vitamin digestion and absorption ( P _Value $=0.023$ ), and ( P _Value $=0.066$ ) were significantly enriched. Figure 3


Fig. 1 Identification of HFF proteins by LC MALDI TOF/TOF MS Spectra. The MS/MS map ( $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$ ) marked with b ions and y ions for vitamin Dbinding protein identification. The sequences of precursor at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 2053.8506$ and 2353.9646 were analyzed by MS/MS to be GQELCADYSENTFTEYK and SYLSMVGSCCTSASPTVCFLK and the protein identified as vitamin D-binding protein. The MS/MS map (c,d) marked with b ions and $y$ ions for retinol-binding protein 4 identification. The sequences of precursor at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 2692.0667$ and 1197.6047 were analyzed by MS/MS to be GNDDHWIVDTDYDTYAVQYSCR and YWGVASFLQK and the protein identified as retinol-binding protein 4


Fig. 2 Pie diagrams of the proportion of HFF proteins categorized by GO classifications based on their (a) molecular function, (b) subcellular localization, (c) biological process
showed the complement and coagulation cascades pathway which included 17 (7.8\%) and 21 (9.6\%) highlighted HFF proteins in coagulation cascade and complement cascade, respectively.
A protein-protein interaction network was constructed by retrieving the STRING database. 151 proteins were in connection with other proteins, which lead to 738 paired relationships. As an example, 21 of 151 proteins related to basement membrane-specific heparan sulfate proteoglycan core protein (HSPG) was chosen, and 105 paired relationships were connected (Fig. 4).

Comparison of present HFF proteome, the previous reported HFF proteome and human plasma proteome
To disclose the overlap of the HFF proteomes between different labs and to explore the orign of the HFF proteins, the previous reported HFF proteins [14] and the human plasma proteome [29] were selected, whose protein identification criteria were both at a false discovery rate (FDR) of $1 \%$. The results reflected the overlap of our HFF proteins and the previously reported HFF proteins with human plasma proteins (Fig. 5). A total of $49 \%$ proteins in our HFF data were common to the previous HFF data. Compared with human plasma proteins, $69 \%$ proteins from our HFF data and $64 \%$ proteins from previous HFF data were common to human plasma proteins.

## Western blotting analysis

To verify the confidence of the proteome data, the expression patterns of 3 HFF proteins (retinol-binding protein 4, vitamin D-binding protein and lactotransferrin) from 10 women undergoing successful IVF were analyzed by western blotting (Fig. 6). Those three proteins could be detected in all 10 HFF samples. Compared with retinol-binding protein 4 and lactotransferrin, the expression of vitamin D-binding protein was relatively constant level in the HFF of ten women.

## Discussion

Proteomics has been carried out to discover HFF biomarkers for decades, and liquid chromatography coupled with ion trap MS became widely available with the development of high-throughput sequencing. The identification of HFF proteins from women with and without endometriosis was performed using ESI MS/MS [30]. Nanoflow LC-MS/MS combined with TMT labeling was used to identify HFF biomarkers from women undergoing IVF/ICSI treatment with or without folic acid supplement [31]. Another advance LTQ Orbitrap system coupled with LC was also applied to comparing HFF proteins between fertilized oocytes and non-fertilized oocytes from the same patient [32]. Based on sample pre-fractionation using microscale in-solution isoelectric focusing (IEF), capillary electrophoresis (CE) coupled offline to matrix assisted laser desorption/ionization time of flight tandem mass spectrometry (MALDI TOF MS/MS) identified 73 unique proteins [33]. Hanrieder and coworkers [34] utilized a proteomic strategy of IEF and reversed-phase nano-liquid chromatography coupled to MALDI TOF/TOF mass spectrometry to identify 69 proteins related to controlled ovarian hyper stimulation $(\mathrm{COH})$ during IVF. However, limited proteins were identified which delayed the research of HFF protein networks.
In the present work, a dual RP-HPLC coupled with MALDI TOF/TOF mass spectrometry was performed to identify HFF protein profiles associated with successful


Fig. 3 Presentative Network of protein HSPG2 in the identified HFF proteome. A total of 21 genes are connected with 105 paired relationships annotated by STRING database. The relationships among proteins were derived from evidence that includes textmining, co-expression, protein homology, gene neighborhood, from curated databases, experimentally determined, gene fusions, and gene co-occurrence (as shown in the legend with different color)

IVF, and 219 unique high-confidence (FDR < 0.01) HFF proteins were identified by searching the reviewed Swiss-Prot human database ( 20,183 sequences). Meanwhile, the new strategy indicated that the effective dual reverse LC pre-fractionation [21] could identify more HFF proteins.
Ambekar and co-workers carried out SDS-PAGE, OFFGEL and SCX-based separation followed by LCMS/MS (an LTQ-Orbitrap Velos MS) to identify 480 HFF proteins with high confidence (FDR < 0.01) [14]. A comparison with our results and these data showed that more than $50 \%$ proteins in present study were not found in previous dataset (Additional file 2: Figure S1), which indicated that the data from different MS platforms were complementary. Retinol-binding protein 4 and vitamin D-binding protein were verified by western blotting, and the results showed they were all expressed in the 10 HFF samples. Lactotransferrin was uniquely included in Ambekar's data, and was also successfully detected by western blotting in our study. This result not only testified the good quality of Ambekar's data, but also facilitated to integrate the data from different MS platform in the future. Interestingly, more than $60 \%$ of combined

HFF proteins from our data and Ambekar's data were found in the reported human plasma data [29]. HFF was a complex mixture, and the content of HFF mainly originates from the transfer of blood plasma constituents via theca capillaries, and the secretion of granulosa and thecal cells [5]. From the above contrast, we considered the transfer of plasma proteins was the major source of HFF, and the alternative permeability of theca capillaries would change the HFF compositions which inevitably impaired the oocyte quality, and even caused unsuccessful IVF outcome.

Bioinformatics analysis showed that 5\% HFF proteins were involved in lipid metabolism and transport process. It has been reported that ageing could decrease apolipoprotein A1 and apolipoprotein CII, while increase apolipoprotein E, which were associated with the decline in production of mature oocytes and the decline in fertility potential [35]. Preconception folic acid supplementation upregulated apolipoprotein A-I and apolipoprotein C-I of the HDL pathway in human follicular fluid, which increased embryo quality and IVF/ICSI treatment outcome [30]. In our HFF data, apolipoprotein A-I, apolipoprotein A-II, apolipoprotein A-IV, apolipoprotein C-I,


Fig. 5 Venn diagram of the overlap of HFF and human plasma protein datasets. Distribution of our present findings or the previously reported HFF proteins (Aditi S. Ambekar et al. 2013) and their overlap with those reported in human plasma (Terry Farrah et al. 2011)
apolipoprotein C-II, apolipoprotein C-III, apolipoprotein D, apolipoprotein E, apolipoprotein F, and apolipoprotein $M$ were all found, which indicated that those apolipoproteins were related to cholesterol homeostasis and steroidogenesis and played important roles in the maintenance of oocyte maturation microenvironment.
Pathway analysis showed that complement and coagulation cascades were the most prominent pathways ( P _Value $=5.8 \mathrm{E}-52$ ). Complement cascade promoted coagulation through the inhibition of fibrinolysis, and coagulation cascade in return amplified complement activation. Complement cross_talked with coagulation in a reciprocal way [36]. For example, plasmin, thrombin, elastase and plasma kallikrein could activate C3 [37]. Coagulation activation factor XII could cleave C1 to activate the classical complement pathway [38]. And thrombin could also directly cleave C 5 to generate active C5a [39]. Among our HFF proteins, components (F12, KLKB1, PLG, KNG1, F9, F10, SERPINC1, SERPIND1, SERPINA5, F2, PROS1, PROC, SERPINA1, SERPINF2, A2M, CPB2, and FGA) of extrinsic pathway and intrinsic pathway in coagulation cascade and those (FH, FI, FB, C3, C1qrs, SERPING1, C2, C4, C4BP, C5, C6, C7, C8A, C8B, C8G, C9, FGA, FGG, PLG, FGB, F10) of alternative pathway, classical pathway, and lectin pathway in complement cascade were all identified. During follicle development and ovulation, coagulation system in HFF


Fig. 6 Immunoblot analysis of retinol-binding protein 4, vitamin D-binding protein and lactotransferrin in 10 HFF samples of women underwent successful IVF. Protein lysates prepared from 10 HFF samples were examined by immunoblots using specific antibodies recognizing the retinolbinding protein $4(23 \mathrm{kDa})$, vitamin D -binding protein ( 53 kDa ) and lactotransferrin ( 78 kDa )
contributed to HFF liquefaction, fibrinolysis and the breakdown of follicle wall [40, 41]. Follicle development had been hypothesized as the controlled inflammatory processes in 1994 [42], and inappropriate complement activation was linked to abortion [43]. Inhibition of complement activation improved angiogenesis failure and rescued pregnancies [44]. The paired comparison of HFF with plasma showed C3, C4, C4a, and C9 as well as complement factor H and clusterin might contribute to the inhibition of complement cascade activity for women undergoing controlled ovarian stimulation for IVF [45]. However there were still debates on the role of complement cascade in IVF. Physiologic complement activation protected the host against infection in normal pregnancy [46]. In comparison with those non-fertilized oocytes, C3 was more abundant in HFF from fertilized oocytes [47]. In the course of IVF treatment, the functions of complement and coagulation cascade were very complicated during ovarian hyperstimulation. More works were still deserved in both mechanism research and clinical practice.
Based on the analysis of STRING, we discovered a profound HFF protein-protein interaction networks. 151 of 219 HFF proteins participated in the network with 738 paired relationships. Basement membrane-specific HSPG was found as a node, which was also a potential biomarker for oocyte maturation in HFF. HSPG was widely distributed on the surface of animal cells, and especially strongly expressed in granulosa cells. HSPG played a critical role in controlling inflammation control through binding and activating antithrombin III during folliculogenesis [48]. Women with PCOS showed HSPG defect in follicular development [49], and on the contrary, HSPG was up-regulated in the fertilized-oocyte HFF [32]. In the network, HSPG interacted with 20 of 219 HFF proteins, and constructed 105 paired relationships. We deduced that the loss of HSPG might affect the function of the whole network or more complicated interaction maps, which might cause subsequent failures of oocyte maturation, fertilization, and IVF treatment.

## Conclusions

HFF had a natural advantage for the noninvasive prediction of oocyte quality and IVF treatment outcome. The present study would provide a new complementary dataset for better understanding of oocyte maturation, and also delineate a new networks and pathways involved into the folliculogenesis. Furthermore, those novel findings would facilitate to testify the potential biomarkers associated with oocyte quality and IVF outcome. In the future, international laboratory collaboration should be established to standardize and optimize experimental design, patient selection, HFF handling, analysis methods, data standard, and clinical verification, which will greatly promote basic research of reproductive medicine, and ultimately accelerate the clinical transformation.
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[^1]sodium dodecyl polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis; SELDI-TOF-MS: surfaceenhanced laser desorption/ionization-time of flight-mass spectrometry; SERPINA1: Alpha-1-antitrypsin; SERPINA5: Plasma serine protease inhibitor; SERPINC1: Antithrombin-III; SERPIND1: Heparin cofactor 2; SERPINF2: Alpha-2antiplasmin; SERPING1: Plasma protease C1 inhibitor; STRING: search tool for recurring instances of neighbouring genes; WCX: weak cation-exchange; WGOC: Working Group on Obesity in China
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