RESEARCH

Open Access



GSTP1 c.313A > G mutation is an independent risk factor for neutropenia hematotoxicity induced by anthracycline-/ paclitaxel-based chemotherapy in breast cancer patients

Juanzi Zeng^{1,2†}, Heming Wu^{2,3†}, Donghua Liu^{1,2}, Liang Li^{1,2}, Jiaguan Li^{1,2}, Qiuming Wang^{1,2}, Min Ye^{1,2}, Oingvan Huang^{2,3}, Zhikang Yu^{2,3} and Jinfeng Zhang^{1,2*}

Abstract

Background: The link between glutathione S-transferase P1 (GSTP1) c.313A > G polymorphism and chemotherapyrelated adverse events remains controversial. The goal of this study was to assess how this variant affected the toxicity of anthracycline-/paclitaxel-based chemotherapy in patients with breast cancer.

Methods: This study retrospectively investigated pharmacogenetic associations of GSTP1 c.313A > G with chemotherapy-related adverse events in 142 breast cancer patients who received anthracycline and/or paclitaxel chemotherapy.

Results: There were 61 (43.0%), 81 (57.0%), 43 (30.3%), and 99 (69.7%) patients in the T0-T2, T3-T4, N0-N1, and N2-N3 stages, respectively. There were 108 (76.1%) patients in clinical stages I–III and 34 (23.9%) patients in clinical stage IV. The numbers of patients with luminal A, luminal B, HER2 +, and triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) were 10 (7.0%), 77 (54.2%), 33 (23.2%), and 22 (15.5%), respectively. The numbers of patients who carried GSTP1 c.313A > G A/A, A/G, and G/G genotypes were 94 (66.2%), 45 (31.7%), and 3 (2.1%), respectively. There were no statistically significant differences in the proportion of certain toxicities in patients with A/G, G/G, and A/G + G/G genotypes, except for neutropenia, in which the proportion of patients with A/G + G/G (χ^2 = 6.586, P = 0.035) genotypes was significantly higher than that with the AA genotype. The logistic regression analysis indicated that GSTP1 c.313A > G mutation (A/G + G/G vs. A/A genotype) (adjusted OR 4.273, 95% Cl 1.141–16.000, P = 0.031) was an independent variable associated with neutropenia.

Conclusions: The findings of this study indicate that the *GSTP1* c.313A > G mutation is an independent risk factor for neutropenia hematotoxicity in breast cancer patients induced by anthracycline-/paclitaxel-based chemotherapy.

Keywords: Breast cancer, GSTP1, Polymorphism, Chemotherapy toxicity

[†]Juanzi Zeng and Heming Wu contributed equally to the manuscript.

*Correspondence: sciyilushunfeng80@163.com

¹ Department of Medical Oncology, Meizhou People's Hospital (Huangtang Hospital), Meizhou Academy of Medical Sciences, Meizhou, People's Republic of China

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



Introduction

Breast cancer is a malignant tumor that develops in the breast's epithelial tissue, and the majority of sufferers are women [1]. Breast cancer is the most commonly diagnosed cancer in women worldwide, and it is also the leading cause of cancer death in women [2]. Because

© The Author(s) 2022. Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativeco mmons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data. China has such huge population, women are increasingly stressed at work and in their personal lives, and the annual growth rate of breast cancer has surpassed the global norm [3]. There are several factors that can increase the risk of breast cancer, such as gender, age, estrogen, family history, unhealthy lifestyle, and genetic variations [4].

According to hormone receptors (HRs) (including estrogen receptor (ER) and progesterone receptor (PR)), human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2), and Ki67 (a proliferation index marker) status, breast cancer is classified into four major subgroups, including luminal A, luminal B, HER2-enriched (HER2+), and triplenegative breast cancer (TNBC) subtypes [5]. Luminal A subtype breast cancer is defined as ER-positive (ER+), $PR \ge 20\%$, HER2-negative (HER2-), and Ki67 < 20%. luminal B-like (HER2-) breast cancer is ER+, HER2-, Ki67 \geq 20%, and PR < 20%. luminal B-like (HER2 +) breast cancer is ER+, HER2+, any Ki67 level, and PR level. Luminal B-like (HER2-) and luminal B-like (HER2+) are collectively called luminal B type. HER2+subtype breast cancer is defined as HER2+, ER-, and PR-. TNBC is defined as ER-, PR-, and HER2- [6, 7]. Distinct molecular kinds of breast cancer have different therapies, effectiveness, and recurrence risks [8, 9].

In recent years, precision therapy has received increasing attention. Breast cancer treatment has evolved into a mature system that includes cytotoxic chemotherapy, molecularly targeted therapy, endocrine therapy, and immunotherapy [10]. Cytotoxic chemotherapy is still one of the most common treatments for breast cancer. Chemotherapy is an important part of the comprehensive treatment of breast cancer. Based on different application periods, it is classified as postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy for early breast cancer, preoperative neoadjuvant chemotherapy for early or locally advanced breast cancer, first-line, and multiline rescue chemotherapy for advanced breast cancer [11]. Anthracycline and paclitaxel drugs are the cornerstones of breast cancer chemotherapy and are widely used in all of the above treatment stages [12]. Anthracycline- and paclitaxel-based chemotherapy is one of the primary established treatment options for breast cancer [13].

In clinical practice, patients with the same tumor stage, pathological type, and treatment regimen experience varying degrees of adverse reactions after treatment with anthracycline- and paclitaxel-based chemotherapy. It may be related to the patient's clinical characteristics, environmental factors, and genetic factors [14, 15]. Some studies showed that the metabolism of cytotoxic chemotherapy drugs in vivo is affected by glutathione S-transferases (GSTs) [16, 17]. GSTs are II-phase metabolic enzymes found in the human body that are involved in the metabolism of xenobiotic compounds and their reactive products, prevent oxidative stress, and catalyze the combination of electrophilic substances and reduced glutathione to exert detoxification effects. The metabolic activation of both anthracycline and paclitaxel is catalyzed by the GSTs during liver metabolism [18]. Mutations in the *GSTP1* gene may increase the sensitivity of chemotherapy drugs to cells by decreasing the activity

chemotherapy drugs to cells by decreasing the activity of the GSTP1 enzyme and the body's ability to metabolize and excrete chemotherapy drugs [19]. The *GSTP1* c.313A>G variant (Ile105Val, rs1695) may reduce the activity of the GSTP1 enzyme, which is a widely concerned polymorphism and the most studied mutation site of the *GSTP1* gene at present [20, 21].

Although there have been several studies on the relationship between *GSTP1* gene polymorphisms and chemotherapy toxicity in breast cancer, the findings are controversial, particularly in different populations [22, 23]. The goal of our study is to look into the link between *GSTP1* gene polymorphisms and adverse reactions to anthracycline-/paclitaxel-based chemotherapy in breast cancer patients from the Meizhou Hakka ethnic group in southern China. We performed a systematic retrospective study in a cohort of 142 Meizhou Hakka breast cancer patients.

Materials and methods

Participants

This retrospective clinical study included 142 patients with breast cancer who visited Meizhou People's Hospital (Huangtang Hospital) between September 2016 and September 2019. The following were the study subjects' inclusion criteria: (1) patients with histopathologically confirmed breast cancer; (2) patients who received cytotoxic chemotherapy based on anthracycline-/paclitaxelbased chemotherapy agents; (3) patients with no serious liver, kidney, or heart diseases; and (4) patients who were above the age of 18. The following were the study subjects' exclusion criteria: (1) patients with tumors other than breast cancer; (2) patients with severe liver, kidney, or heart disease insufficiency before treatment; and (3) other circumstances inconsistent with the inclusion criteria mentioned above. The Ethics Committee of the Meizhou People's Hospital approved this study, which was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Chemotherapy regimens and toxicity evaluation

Patients received anthracycline-/paclitaxel-based cytotoxic chemotherapy according to the following regimens:

TEC regimen: docetaxel (T) (75 mg/m²), epirubicin (E) (75 mg/m²) and cyclophosphamide (C) (500 mg/m²).

EC-T regimen: epirubicin (E) (90 mg/m²) and cyclophosphamide (C) (600 mg/m²); followed by docetaxel (T) (90 mg/m²).

EC-TH regimen: epirubicin (E) (90 mg/m²) and cyclophosphamide (C) (600 mg/m²); followed by docetaxel (T) (90 mg/m²) and trastuzumab (H) (initial dose 8 mg/kg, followed by 6 mg/kg).

EC regimen: epirubicin (E) (90 mg/m²) and cyclophosphamide (C) (600 mg/m²).

TCbH regimen: taxane (T) (175 mg/m²), carboplatin (Cb) [area under curve (AUC) of 6], and trastuzumab (H) (initial dose 8 mg/kg, followed by 6 mg/kg).

TCb regimen: taxane (T) (175 mg/m^2) and carboplatin (Cb) [area under curve (AUC) of 6].

A total of 114 patients were treated with the TEC regimen, 11 patients with the EC-T regimen, 12 patients with the EC-TH regimen, 3 patients with the TCbH regimen, and 1 patient with TCb, and EC regimen, respectively (Table 1). All drugs were injected intravenously and chemotherapy was administered once every 3 weeks over the course of, at least 2 cycles. All patients in this study were given standard drug doses of different regimens in the first course of treatment, and drug regimens and dosages in subsequent treatment cycles were adjusted according to the efficacy. During treatment, pay close attention to the side effects of drugs on patients. Erythropoiesis stimulating agents (ESAs) and thrombopoietin should be used to ameliorate symptoms of anemia and thrombocytopenia caused by the myelosuppression of chemotherapy drugs, and blood transfusion should be used if necessary. Granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) was not used of prophylaxis for neutropenia in the first course of treatment. G-CSF should be used only in subsequent cycles when grade 3 or higher neutropenia is present. Blood samples were collected to detect liver function indexes of patients before each cycle of medication. If abnormal liver function occurred, hepatoprotective agents were given. If the patient has nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, constipation, and other gastrointestinal adverse reactions, symptomatic treatment should be given.

For patients with peripheral nerve damage with symptoms such as numbness in the hands and feet, neurotrophic drugs can be used in subsequent treatment cycles. Scalp cooling devices can be used to improve the chemotherapy-induced alopecia.

At the end of each course, the adverse effects of chemotherapy were assessed. Toxicities of chemotherapy drugs including hematopoietic toxicity (anemia, leucopenia, neutropenia, and thrombocytopenia), hepatic function, renal function, cardiac function, gastrointestinal toxicity (vomiting and diarrhea), hair loss, and numbness of hands and feet were divided into 4 levels (I–IV) according

/ige (Jear)		
<35	16 (31.00±2.68)	11.3
35–50	60 (43.20 ± 4.70)	42.2
>50	66 (57.47±5.19)	46.5
Menopause		
No	83	58.5
Yes	59	41.5
T-stage		
T0-T2	61	43.0
T3-T4	81	57.0
N-stage		
N0-N1	43	30.3
N2-N3	99	69.7
Clinical stage		
-	108	76.1
IV	34	23.9
Molecular subtypes		
Luminal A	10	7.0
Luminal B	77	54.2
HER2+	33	23.2
TNBC	22	15.5
Chemotherapy regimen ^a		
TEC (docetaxel 75 mg/m ² ,	114	80.3
1 3		
-	23	16.2
m^2)		
TCbH (taxane 175 mg/m ²)	3	2.1
TCb (taxane 175 mg/m ²)	1	0.7
	<35 35–50 >50 Menopause No Yes T-stage T0–T2 T3–T4 N-stage N0–N1 N2–N3 Clinical stage I–III IV Molecular subtypes Luminal A Luminal B HER2 + TNBC Chemotherapy regimen ^a TEC (docetaxel 75 mg/m ² , epirubicin 75 mg/m ²) EC-T/EC-TH (docetaxel 90 mg/m ² , epirubicin 90 mg/ m ²)	<35 16 (31.00±2.68) 35-50 60 (43.20±4.70) >50 66 (57.47±5.19) Menopause 83 No 83 Yes 59 T-stage 61 T0-T2 61 T3-T4 81 No-N1 43 N2-N3 99 Clinical stage 108 IV 34 Molecular subtypes 10 Luminal A 10 Luminal B 77 HER2 + 33 TNBC 22 Chemotherapy regimen ^a 21 TCC (docetaxel 75 mg/m ²) 114 go mg/m ² , epirubicin 90 mg/m ² 23 momg/m ² , epirubicin 90 mg/m ² 3

ER Estrogen receptor, PR Progesterone receptor; TNBC Triple-negative breast cancer

07

1

^a Only the doses of anthracycline and paclitaxel in different regimens were shown

to the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events [24]. Adverse reactions, such as vomiting and diarrhea, were treated symptomatically.

Genotyping for the GSTP1 gene

EC (epirubicin 90 mg/m²)

Genomic DNA was extracted from whole blood samples using a QIAamp DNA Blood Mini Kit (Qiagen GmbH, North Rhine-Westphalia, Germany), according to the protocol provided. A NanoDrop2000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific) was used to determine the concentration and purity of DNA. The genotype of *GSTP1* (Ile-105Val, rs1695) was established using Sanger sequencing. The primer sequences and the PCR enzymes were provided by SINOMD Gene Detection Technology Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China). The target fragments were amplified

Number (mean \pm SD) Percentage (%)

Table 1 Clinical characteristics of breast cancer patients

Age (year)

using polymerase chain reaction (PCR): initial denaturation at 95°C for 3 min, followed by 45 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 15 s, annealing at 63°C for 1 min, and extension at 72°C for 1 min. ExoSap-It (ABI PCR Product Cleanup Reagent) was used to purify PCR products. ABI Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing kit was used to detect sequences, which were analyzed with Sequencing Analysis v5.4 (Life Technologies, CA, USA) on ABI 3500 Dx Genetic Analyzer.

Data collection and statistical analysis

Clinical information, including age, gender, histopathological type, TNM stage, tumor grade, molecular subtype, chemotherapy regimen, and toxicity of chemotherapy drugs, was collected. SPSS statistical software version 21.0 (IBM Inc., State of New York, USA) was used for data analysis. The Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) of *GSTP1* genotypes was assessed using the χ^2 test. Fisher's exact test was used to assess the relationship between *GSTP1* variant status and responsiveness and toxicity. A value of *P*<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Population characteristics

A total of 142 breast cancer patients were subjected in this study. There were 16 (11.3%) patients under the age of 35, 60 (42.2%) patients between the ages of 35 and 50, and 66 (46.5%) patients beyond the age of 50. There were 61 (43.0%) patients in the T0–T2 stages and 81 (57.0%) patients in T3–T4 stages, 43 (30.3%) patients in the N0–N1 stages, and 99 (69.7%) patients in the N2–N3 stages. There were 108 (76.1%) patients in clinical stages I–III and 34 (23.9%) patients in clinical stage IV. The numbers of luminal A, luminal B, HER2+, and TNBC patients were 10 (7.0%), 77 (54.2%), 33 (23.2%), and 22 (15.5%), respectively (Table 1).

GSTP1 gene polymorphism frequency in the study patients

GSTP1 c.313A > G genotyping was performed on all participants in this investigation. The numbers of *GSTP1* c.313A > G A/A, A/G, and G/G genotypes were 94 (66.2%), 45 (31.7%), and 3 (2.1%), respectively. The numbers of *GSTP1* c.313A > G A and G allele was 233 (82.0%) and 51 (18.0%), respectively. The genotypic distribution of *GSTP1* c.313A > G in the participants was consistent with the Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (χ^2 =0.809, *P*=0.368) (Table 2).

Adverse events

In this study, 142 patients experienced adverse reactions to chemotherapy drugs. In terms of hematological toxicity, there were 57 cases (40.1%) with leucopenia grade I/II and 71 cases (50.0%) with leucopenia grade III/IV,

Table 2 GSTP1	gene	polymorphism	frequency	in	the	study
patients						

Genotypes/allele	Number	Percentage (%)			
Genotypes					
A/A	94	66.2			
A/G	45	31.7			
G/G	3	2.1			
Allele					
А	233	82.0			
G	51	18.0			
HWE (χ ² , <i>P</i>)	$\chi^2 = 0.809, P = 0.368$				

HWE Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium; P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant

69 cases (48.6%) with neutropenia grade I/II, 49 cases (34.5%) with neutropenia grade III/IV, 87 cases (61.3%) with anemia grade I/II, 11 cases (7.7%) with anemia grade III/IV, 37 cases (26.1%) with thrombocytopenia grade I/II, and 27 cases (19.0%) with thrombocytopenia grade III/IV. There were 74 (52.1%), 5 (3.5%), and 1 (0.7%) patients with hepatic function, renal function, and cardiac function toxicity, respectively. In terms of gastrointestinal toxicity, there were 123 cases (86.6%) with vomiting grade I/II and 5 cases (3.5%) with diarrhea grade I/II. In addition, there were 142 cases (100.0%) with hair loss grade I/II and 91 cases (64.1%) with numbness of hands and feet grade I/II. The proportions of chemotherapy toxicities in *GSTP1* c.313A > G wild-type and *GSTP1* c.313A > G mutant patients are shown in Table 3.

Association between GSTP1 c.313A > G genotypes and toxicities

The association between GSTP1 c.313A > G genotypes and the grade of adverse reactions of chemotherapy is shown in Table 4. In terms of hematological toxicity caused by chemotherapy, there were no statistically significant differences in the proportions of leucopenia, anemia, and thrombocytopenia in patients with A/G, G/G, and A/G+G/G genotypes compared to patients with the A/A genotype (all P > 0.05). However, the proportion of neutropenia in patients with the A/G genotype (grade I/II 55.6% and grade III/IV 37.8%) was significantly higher than that in A/A genotype patients (grade I/II 43.6% and grade III/IV 34.0%) ($\chi^2 = 5.604$, P = 0.050), and A/G+G/G genotype (grade I/II 58.3% and grade III/IV 35.4%) was also higher than that in A/A genotype patients ($\chi^2 = 6.586$, P = 0.035). Furthermore, there were no statistically significant differences in the proportion of abnormal hepatic function, renal function, and cardiac function in patients with A/G, G/G, and A/G+G/G genotypes compared to patients with the A/A genotype

Toxicities	Total (n = 142)		GSTP1 c.313A (n=94)	>G wild-type	<i>GSTP1</i> c.313A>G mutation (<i>n</i> =48)	
	Grades I/II	Grades III/IV	Grades I/II	Grades III/IV	Grades I/II	Grades III/IV
Hematological toxicity						
Leucopenia	57 (40.1%)	71 (50.0%)	40 (42.6%)	44 (46.8%)	17 (35.4%)	27 (56.3%)
Neutropenia	69 (48.6%)	49 (34.5%)	41 (43.6%)	32 (34.0%)	28 (58.3%)	17 (35.4%)
Anemia	87 (61.3%)	11 (7.7%)	57 (60.6%)	7 (7.4%)	30 (62.5%)	4 (8.3%)
Thrombocytopenia	37 (26.1%)	27 (19.0%)	21 (22.3%)	17 (18.1%)	16 (33.3%)	10 (20.8%)
Hepatic function	71 (50.0%)	3 (2.1%)	46 (48.9%)	1 (1.1%)	25 (52.1%)	2 (4.2%)
Renal function	5 (3.5%)	0 (0)	3 (3.2%)	0 (0)	2 (4.2%)	0 (0)
Cardiac function	1 (0.7%)	0 (0)	1 (1.1%)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Gastrointestinal toxicity						
Vomiting	123 (86.6%)	0 (0)	81 (86.2%)	0 (0)	42 (87.5%)	0 (0)
Diarrhea	5 (3.5%)	0 (0)	2 (2.1%)	0 (0)	3 (6.3%)	0 (0)
Hair loss	142 (100.0%)	0 (0)	94 (100.0%)	0 (0)	48 (100.0%)	0 (0)
Numbness of hands and feet	91 (64.1%)	0 (0)	60 (63.8%)	0 (0)	31 (64.6%)	0 (0)

Table 3	Frequency of al	grade toxicities in patients
---------	-----------------	------------------------------

(all P > 0.05). In terms of gastrointestinal toxicity caused by chemotherapy, there were no statistically significant differences in the proportion of vomiting and diarrhea in patients with A/G, G/G, and A/G+G/G genotypes compared to patients with the A/A genotype (all P > 0.05) (Table 4).

The logistic regression analysis was performed to determine independent variables associated with neutropenia. The variables included age, menopausal status, T stage, N stage, clinical stage, molecular type, and chemotherapy regimen/dose (classified by chemotherapy, dose of anthracycline, and paclitaxel). Of these patients, 5 patients were excluded from the analysis because used anthracycline or paclitaxel alone (TCbH, TCb, and EC regimen) and the number of cases was small. The results indicated that GSTP1 c.313A > G mutation (A/G+G/G)vs. A/A genotype) (age-, menopause-, T-stage, N-stage, clinical stage-, molecular subtype-, and chemotherapy regimen/dose-adjusted OR 4.273, 95% CI 1.141-16.000, P=0.031) was an independent variable associated with neutropenia. No correlation was found between toxicity and patients' age, tumor staging, molecular subtype, menopause status, and chemotherapy regimen/dose (Table 5).

Discussion

Breast cancer is one of the most common malignant tumors in women [1]. Adjuvant chemotherapy is a crucial part of the comprehensive treatment of breast cancer. Chemotherapeutic drugs, on the other hand, destroy a huge number of bone marrow cells as well as tumor cells, due to a lack of targeting, resulting in bone marrow suppression and hematologic adverse reactions [25]. Clinically, patients receiving the same dose of the same chemotherapeutic drug may experience distinct adverse reactions, which are difficult to explain without considering patients' clinical factors (such as age, tumor stage and grade, and hormone receptor status) and environmental factors [26]. As gene sequencing technology advances and the need for precision therapy grows, clinicians and researchers are paying more and more attention to the role of pharmacogenetics in breast cancer chemotherapy [27].

GSTP1 is a member of the GST family, which is involved in catalyzing the formation of glutathione disulfide bonds for the protection of cells against oxidative stress. The GSTP1 rs1695 (c.313A > G, Ile105Val) polymorphism may influence GSTP1 enzyme activity, which is linked to chemotherapy drug detoxification and tumor cell sensitivity [28–30]. The GSTP1 rs1695 polymorphism has been linked to higher toxicity in several studies [31-33]. On the contrary, another study found that febrile neutropenia was prevalent among patients with the A/A genotype [23]. According to research, GSTP1 Ile105Val mutant enzymes induce high expression of intracellular defense proteins, which protect cells from chemotherapy drug toxicity by decreasing and inhibiting JNK (C-Jun NH2-terminal kinase) [34]. These discrepancies could be attributable to ethnic disparities, sample size, administration method, and the usage of multiple drugs. Furthermore, investigations have shown that certain genes, signaling pathways, and lncRNAs play a role in tumorigenesis, drug response, and metastasis [35-37]. All of these provide us new ideas to further study the adverse reactions

 Table 4
 Association
 between
 polymorphism
 of
 GSTP1
 genes
 and toxicities of chemotherapy
 <thchemotherapy</th>
 <thch

Toxicities	A/A (n = 94)	A/G (n = 45)	G/G (n=3)	A/G+G/G (n=48)
Hematological to	xicity			
Leucopenia				
No toxicities	10(10.6%)	4(8.9%)	0(0)	4(8.3%)
Grade I/II	40(42.6%)	16(35.6%)	1(33.3%)	17(35.4%)
Grade III/IV	44(46.8%)	25(55.6%)	2(66.7%)	27(56.3%)
P value*		0.652	1.000	0.574
Neutropenia				
No toxicities	21(22.3%)	3(6.7%)	0(0)	3(6.3%)
Grade I/II	41(43.6%)	25(55.6%)	3(100.0%)	28(58.3%)
Grade III/IV	32(34.0%)	17(37.8%)	0(0)	17(35.4%)
P value*		0.050	0.311	0.035
Anemia				
No toxicities	30(31.9%)	12(26.7%)	2(66.7%)	14(29.2%)
Grade I/II	57(60.6%)	29(64.4%)	1(33.3%)	30(62.5%)
Grade III/IV	7(7.4%)	4(8.9%)	0(0)	4(8.3%)
P value*		0.810	0.432	0.961
Thrombocytop	enia			
No toxicities	56(59.6%)	19(42.2%)	3(100.0%)	22(45.8%)
Grade I/II	21(22.3%)	16(35.6%)	0(0)	16(33.3%)
Grade III/IV	17(18.1%)	10(22.2%)	0(0)	10(20.8%)
P value*		0.145	0.756	0.265
Hepatic function	on			
No toxicities	47(50.0%)	20(44.4%)	1(33.3%)	21(43.8%)
Grade I/II	46(48.9%)	23(51.1%)	2(66.7%)	25(52.1%)
Grade III/IV	1(1.1%)	2(4.4%)	0(0)	2(4.2%)
P value*		0.386	1.000	0.402
Renal function				
No toxicities	91(96.8%)	43(95.6%)	3(100.0%)	46(95.8%)
Grade I/II	3(3.2%)	2(4.4%)	0(0)	2(4.2%)
Grade III/IV	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
P value [#]		0.659	1.000	1.000
Cardiac functio				
No toxicities	93(98.9%)	45(100.0%)	3(100.0%)	48(100.0%)
Grade I/II	1(1.1%)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
Grade III/IV	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
P value [#]		1.000	1.000	1.000
Gastrointestinal to	oxicity			
Vomiting	4.2 (4.2. 22()	6(40.00()	2 (2)	6(4.0.50()
No toxicities	13(13.8%)	6(13.3%)	0(0)	6(12.5%)
Grade I/II	81(86.2%)	39(86.7%)	3(100.0%)	42(87.5%)
Grade III/IV	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
P value [#]		1.000	1.000	1.000
Diarrhea				
No toxicities	92(97.9%)	43(95.6%)	2(66.7%)	45(93.8%)
Grade I/II	2(2.1%)	2(4.4%)	1(33.3%)	3(6.2%)
Grade III/IV	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
P value [#]		0.595	0.091	0.336

Table 4 (continued)

Toxicities	A/A (n=94)	A/G (n=45)	G/G (n=3)	A/G+G/G (n=48)
Hair loss				
No toxicities	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
Grade I/II	94(100.0%)	45(100.0%)	3(100.0%)	48(100.0%)
Grade III/IV	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
P value [#]		-	-	-
Numbness of h	ands and feet			
No toxicities	34(36.2%)	16(35.6%)	1(33.3%)	17(35.4%)
Grade I/II	60(63.8%)	29(64.4%)	2(66.7%)	31(64.6%)
Grade III/IV	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
P value#		1.000	1.000	1.000

 * Whether toxicities and the grade of toxicities in patients with mutant genotype vs. wild-type, respectively

[#] Toxicities or no in patients with mutant genotype vs. wild-type, respectively

and prognosis of chemotherapy drugs, as well as identify the reasons for the inconsistent results.

There have been few research on the connection between GSTP1 polymorphism and anthracycline-/ paclitaxel-based chemotherapy toxicity. The GSTP1 c.313 A>G mutation was found to be an independent risk factor for neutropenia hematotoxicity induced by anthracycline-/paclitaxel-based chemotherapy in breast cancer patients. Our findings are consistent with some of the findings of previously reported studies [22, 38, 39]. On the contrary, in a Japanese population, breast cancer patients treated with epirubicin and cyclophosphamide, as well as those with the GSTP1 c.313A > G A/A genotype were more likely to develop febrile neutropenia [23]. In a North American population, patients with the GSTP1 c.313A>G A/A genotype had a lower incidence of grade III and IV neutropenia than those with the GSTP1 c.313A>G G allele [40]. A clinical trial showed that patients with the GSTP1*A (Ile105/Ala114)/*B (Val105/Ala114) genotype may experience increased hematologic toxicity when treated with docetaxel chemotherapy [41]. Furthermore, another study found that GSTP1 c.313A>G was not linked to neutropenia in patients receiving chemotherapy with cyclophosphamide (CP), methotrexate (MTX), and 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) (CMF treatment) or a combination of 5-FU, anthracycline-based chemotherapy (adriamycin or its analog epirubicin), and CP (FAC/FEC treatment) regimens [42]. In addition, the relationship between GSTP1 gene polymorphism and adverse reactions related to chemotherapy drugs may be inconsistent in different cancer types and different treatment regimens. For example, Deng et al. found that colorectal cancer patients with GSTP1 c.313A > G mutation who received treatment with

Table 5	Logistic reg	aression ;	analysis	of	variables	associated	with	neutropenia
Tuble 5	LOGISTICIC	gicssion	unurysis	UI.	variabies	associated	VVICII	neuropenia

Variables	Unadjuste	d values	Adjusted values		
	P value	OR (95% CI)	P value	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	
	0.163	0.515 (0.202–1.309)	0.127	0.252 (0.043-1.483)	
Menopause (no/yes)	0.733	0.853 (0.341-2.131)	0.324	2.446 (0.414–14.440)	
T-stage (T3-T4/T0-T2)	0.965	1.020 (0.413-2.520)	0.593	1.316 (0.481–3.597)	
N-stage (N2-N3/N0-N1)	0.313	0.578 (0.199–1.678)	0.320	0.553 (0.172–1.776)	
Clinical stage (IV/I–III)	0.911	1.064 (0.360-3.142)	0.860	1.113 (0.340–3.637)	
Molecular subtype (TNBC/non-TNBC)	0.817	1.168 (0.313–4.367)	0.450	1.747 (0.410–7.442)	
Chemotherapy regimen/dose ((docetaxel 75 mg/m ² and epirubicin 75 mg/m ²)/(docetaxel 90 mg/m ² and epirubicin 90 mg/m ²))	0.197	2.014 (0.695–5.835)	0.124	2.454 (0.782–7.697)	
GSTP1 c.313A > G (A/G + G/G vs. A/A)	0.031	4.038 (1.132–14.395)	0.031	4.273 (1.141–16.000)	

TNBC Triple-negative breast cancer

fluoropyrimidines and oxaliplatin had an increased risk of severe vomiting (grade III/IV), but there was no relationship between the polymorphism and neutropenia. And it showed that GSTP1 c.313A>G mutation may be an independent risk factor for severe vomiting induced by chemotherapeutic drugs [43].

Furthermore, in this investigation, there was no correlation between toxicity effect and patients' age, tumor staging, molecular subtype, and menopause status in this study. A study showed that grade III or IV toxicities were more frequent in elderly patients [44]. Another study showed that elderly and younger patients had a similar frequency and number of toxicities [45]. The clinical stage of breast cancer may be related to the degree of toxicity of chemotherapy [46]. There are currently no investigations on the link between menopausal status and anthracycline- and/or paclitaxel-related toxicity in patients with breast cancer.

This is the first study in the Hakka population to look at the link between *GSTP1* c.313A > G genotypes and clinical toxicity of anthracycline-/paclitaxel-based chemotherapy in breast cancer patients. Nevertheless, there are some limitations to this study that should be noted. First, the number of subjects in this research is relatively small, leading to some deviations in the results. Second, we only investigated one single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) of *GSTP1* linked to anthracycline-/paclitaxel-related toxicity, and the status of additional SNP sites in these patients is unknown. As a result, one of the next steps will be to conduct additional research with larger sample size and to conduct a comprehensive analysis of the *GSTP1* gene.

In conclusion, the results of this study indicate that the GSTP1 c.313A > G mutation is an independent risk factor for neutropenia hematotoxicity induced by anthracycline-/paclitaxel-based chemotherapy in breast cancer patients. This is the first study of its kind among the Hakka population. Research on the relationship between drug metabolism gene polymorphism and chemotherapy toxicity can predict and avoid toxic reactions, which can help breast cancer patients improve their quality of life. However, genetic screening only identify those groups of patients who are likely to suffer from adverse effects. Reducing the degree of distress related to chemotherapy drugs requires scientific and detailed pre-chemotherapy care programs, timely and adequate communication between patients and doctors, and effective coping strategies [47].

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank other colleagues whom were not listed in the authorship of the Department of Medical Oncology and Center for Precision Medicine, Meizhou People's Hospital (Huangtang Hospital).

Authors' contributions

Juanzi Zeng, Heming Wu, and Jinfeng Zhang contributed to study concept and design. Qiungyan Huang and Zhikang Yu contributed to perform the experiments. Qiuning Wang, Donghua Liu, Min Ye, Liang Li, Jiaquan Li, Jinfeng Zhang, and Juanzi Zeng collected clinical data. Heming Wu, Juanzi Zeng, Zhikang Yu, and Jinfeng Zhang contributed to analyze the data. Heming Wu and Juanzi Zeng contributed to prepare the manuscript. The authors approved the final version to be published.

Funding

This study was supported by the Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Precision Medicine and Clinical Translation Research of Hakka Population (Grant No.: 2018B030322003); the Science and Technology Program of Meizhou (Grant No.: 2019B0202001); Science and Technology Planning Project of Social development of Meizhou city, China (Grant No.: 2018B025); and the Scientific Research Cultivation Project of Meizhou People's Hospital (Grant No.: PY-C2020020 and PY-C2020031).

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and analyzed during the current study available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Medicine, Meizhou People's Hospital (Huangtang Hospital), Meizhou Academy of Medical Sciences. All participants signed informed consent in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of Medical Oncology, Meizhou People's Hospital (Huangtang Hospital), Meizhou Academy of Medical Sciences, Meizhou, People's Republic of China. ²Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Precision Medicine and Clinical Translational Research of Hakka Population, Meizhou People's Hospital (Huangtang Hospital), Meizhou Academy of Medical Sciences, Meizhou, People's Republic of China. ³Center for Precision Medicine, Meizhou People's Hospital (Huangtang Hospital), Meizhou Academy of Medical Sciences, Meizhou, People's Republic of China.

Received: 18 January 2022 Accepted: 8 June 2022 Published online: 22 June 2022

References

- 1. Liang Y, Zhang H, Song X, Yang Q. Metastatic heterogeneity of breast cancer: molecular mechanism and potential therapeutic targets. Semin Cancer Biol. 2020;60:14–27.
- Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin. 2018;68:394–424.
- Feng RM, Zong YN, Cao SM, Xu RH. Current cancer situation in China: good or bad news from the 2018 Global Cancer Statistics? Cancer Commun (Lond). 2019;39:22.
- Sun YS, Zhao Z, Yang ZN, Xu F, Lu HJ, Zhu ZY, et al. Risk factors and preventions of breast cancer. Int J Biol Sci. 2017;13:1387–97.
- Liu QQ, Sun HF, Yang XL, Chen MT, Liu Y, Zhao Y, et al. Survival following radiotherapy in young women with localized early-stage breast cancer according to molecular subtypes. Cancer Med. 2019;8:2840–57.
- Goldhirsch A, Winer EP, Coates AS, Gelber RD, Piccart-Gebhart M, Thürlimann B, et al. Personalizing the treatment of women with early breast cancer: highlights of the St Gallen International Expert Consensus on the Primary Therapy of Early Breast Cancer 2013. Ann Oncol. 2013;24:2206–23.
- Prat A, Pineda E, Adamo B, Galván P, Fernández A, Gaba L, et al. Clinical implications of the intrinsic molecular subtypes of breast cancer. Breast. 2015;24(Suppl 2):S26-35.
- 8. Harbeck N, Gnant M. Breast cancer. Lancet. 2017;389:1134-50.
- 9. Fragomeni SM, Sciallis A, Jeruss JS. Molecular subtypes and local-regional control of breast cancer. Surg Oncol Clin N Am. 2018;27:95–120.
- Burguin A, Diorio C. Breast cancer treatments: updates and new challenges. J Pers Med. 2021;11:808.
- Masood S. Prediction and assessment of response to neo-adjuvant chemotherapy in breast cancer: the responsibilities of breast pathologists. Breast J. 2021;27:629–30.
- Biganzoli L, Aapro M, Loibl S, Wildiers H, Brain E. Taxanes in the treatment of breast cancer: Have we better defined their role in older patients? A position paper from a SIOG Task Force. Cancer Treat Rev. 2016;43:19–26.
- Fu C, Liu Y, Han X, Pan Y, Wang HQ, Wang H, et al. An immune-associated genomic signature effectively predicts pathologic complete response to neoadjuvant paclitaxel and anthracycline-based chemotherapy in breast cancer. Front Immunol. 2021;12: 704655.
- 14. Degu A, Kebede K. Drug-related problems and its associated factors among breast cancer patients at the University of Gondar comprehensive specialized hospital, Ethiopia: a hospital-based retrospective crosssectional study. J Oncol Pharm Pract. 2021;27:88–98.
- Yokoyama S, Tamaru S, Tamaki S, Nakanishi D, Mori A, Yamakawa T, et al. Genetic risk factors associated with antiemetic efficacy of palonosetron, aprepitant, and dexamethasone in japanese breast cancer patients treated with anthracycline-based chemotherapy. Clin Breast Cancer. 2018;18:e157–65.
- Amstutz U, Henricks LM, Offer SM, Barbarino J, Schellens JHM, Swen JJ, et al. Clinical pharmacogenetics implementation consortium (CPIC)

guideline for dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase genotype and fluoropyrimidine dosing: 2017 update. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2018;103:210–6.

- 17. Chatterjee A, Gupta S. The multifaceted role of glutathione S-transferases in cancer. Cancer Lett. 2018;433:33–42.
- Perperopoulou F, Pouliou F, Labrou NE. Recent advances in protein engineering and biotechnological applications of glutathione transferases. Crit Rev Biotechnol. 2018;38:511–28.
- Pacholak LM, Amarante MK, Guembarovski RL, Watanabe MAE, Panis C. Polymorphisms in GSTT1 and GSTM1 genes as possible risk factors for susceptibility to breast cancer development and their influence in chemotherapy response: a systematic review. Mol Biol Rep. 2020;47:5495–501.
- Zarebska A, Jastrzebski Z, Ahmetov II, Zmijewski P, Cieszczyk P, Leonska-Duniec A, et al. GSTP1 c.313A>G polymorphism in Russian and Polish athletes. Physiol Genomics. 2017;49:127–31.
- Liberman PHP, Goffi-Gomez MVS. Contribution of the GSTP1 c.313A>G variant to hearing loss risk in patients exposed to platin chemotherapy during childhood. Clin Transl Oncol. 2019;21:630–5.
- Tulsyan S, Chaturvedi P, Agarwal G, Lal P, Agrawal S, Mittal RD, et al. Pharmacogenetic influence of GST polymorphisms on anthracyclinebased chemotherapy responses and toxicity in breast cancer patients: a multi-analytical approach. Mol Diagn Ther. 2013;17:371–9.
- Sugishita M, Imai T, Kikumori T, Mitsuma A, Shimokata T, Shibata T, et al. Pharmacogenetic association between GSTP1 genetic polymorphism and febrile neutropenia in Japanese patients with early breast cancer. Breast Cancer. 2016;23:195–201.
- Yang SS, Chen L, Liu Y, Lu HJ, Huang BJ, Lin AH, et al. Validity and reliability of the simplified Chinese patient-reported outcomes version of the common terminology criteria for adverse events. BMC Cancer. 2021;21:860.
- Denduluri N, Somerfield MR. Selection of optimal adjuvant chemotherapy and targeted therapy for early breast cancer: ASCO guideline update. J Clin Oncol. 2021;39:685–93.
- Hiramoto S, Asano H, Miyamoto T, Takegami M, Kawabata A. Risk factors and pharmacotherapy for chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy in paclitaxel-treated female cancer survivors: a retrospective study in Japan. PLoS ONE. 2021;16: e0261473.
- Li J, Sun P, Huang T, He S, Li L, Xue G. Individualized chemotherapy guided by the expression of ERCC1, RRM1, TUBB3, TYMS and TOP2A genes versus classic chemotherapy in the treatment of breast cancer: a comparative effectiveness study. Oncol Lett. 2021;21:21.
- Peklak-Scott C, Smitherman PK, Townsend AJ, Morrow CS. Role of glutathione S-transferase P1–1 in the cellular detoxification of cisplatin. Mol Cancer Ther. 2008;7:3247–55.
- Karam RA, Pasha HF, El-Shal AS, Rahman HM, Gad DM. Impact of glutathione-S-transferase gene polymorphisms on enzyme activity, lung function and bronchial asthma susceptibility in Egyptian children. Gene. 2012;497:314–9.
- Dong SC, Sha HH, Xu XY, Hu TM, Lou R, Li H, et al. Glutathione S-transferase π: a potential role in antitumor therapy. Drug Des Devel Ther. 2018;12:3535–47.
- Ma J, Zhu SL, Liu Y, Huang XY, Su DK. GSTP1 polymorphism predicts treatment outcome and toxicities for breast cancer. Oncotarget. 2017;8:72939–49.
- Zhang BL, Sun T, Zhang BN, Zheng S, Lü N, Xu BH, et al. Polymorphisms of GSTP1 is associated with differences of chemotherapy response and toxicity in breast cancer. Chin Med J (Engl). 2011;124:199–204.
- Ge J, Tian AX, Wang QS, Kong PZ, Yu Y, Li XQ, et al. The GSTP1 105Val allele increases breast cancer risk and aggressiveness but enhances response to cyclophosphamide chemotherapy in North China. PLoS One. 2013;8: e67589.
- Lecomte T, Landi B, Beaune P, Laurent-Puig P, Loriot MA. Glutathione S-transferase P1 polymorphism (Ile105Val) predicts cumulative neuropathy in patients receiving oxaliplatin-based chemotherapy. Clin Cancer Res. 2006;12:3050–6.
- Tung NM, Boughey JC, Pierce LJ, Robson ME, Bedrosian I, Dietz JR, et al. Management of Hereditary Breast Cancer: American Society of Clinical Oncology, American Society for Radiation Oncology, and Society of Surgical Oncology Guideline. J Clin Oncol. 2020;38:2080–106.
- Zhang Y, He W, Zhang S. Seeking for correlative genes and signaling pathways with bone metastasis from breast cancer by integrated analysis. Front Oncol. 2019;9:138.
- 37. Chen Y, Li Z, Chen X, Zhang S. Long non-coding RNAs: from disease code to drug role. Acta Pharm Sin B. 2021;11:340–54.

- Islam MS, Islam MS, Parvin S, Ahmed MU, Bin Sayeed MS, Uddin MM, et al. Effect of GSTP1 and ABCC4 gene polymorphisms on response and toxicity of cyclophosphamide-epirubicin-5-fluorouracil-based chemotherapy in Bangladeshi breast cancer patients. Tumour Biol. 2015;36:5451–7.
- Zárate R, González-Santigo S, de la Haba J, Bandres E, Morales R, Salgado J, et al. GSTP1 and MTHFR polymorphisms are related with toxicity in breast cancer adjuvant anthracycline-based treatment. Curr Drug Metab. 2007;8:481–6.
- Yao S, Barlow WE, Albain KS, Choi JY, Zhao H, Livingston RB, et al. Gene polymorphisms in cyclophosphamide metabolism pathway, treatmentrelated toxicity, and disease-free survival in SWOG 8897 clinical trial for breast cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 2010;16:6169–76.
- Tran A, Jullien V, Alexandre J, Rey E, Rabillon F, Girre V, et al. Pharmacokinetics and toxicity of docetaxel: role of CYP3A, MDR1, and GST polymorphisms. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2006;79:570–80.
- Ludovini V, Antognelli C, Rulli A, Foglietta J, Pistola L, Eliana R, et al. Influence of chemotherapeutic drug-related gene polymorphisms on toxicity and survival of early breast cancer patients receiving adjuvant chemotherapy. BMC Cancer. 2017;17:502.
- Deng X, Hou J, Deng Q, Zhong Z. Predictive value of clinical toxicities of chemotherapy with fluoropyrimidines and oxaliplatin in colorectal cancer by DPYD and GSTP1 gene polymorphisms. World J Surg Oncol. 2020;18:321.
- 44. Monteiro AR, Garcia AR, Póvoa S, Soares RF, Macedo F, Pereira TC, et al. Acute toxicity and tolerability of anthracycline-based chemotherapy regimens in older versus younger patients with breast cancer: real-world data. Support Care Cancer. 2021;29:2347–53.
- Mariano C, Francl M, Pope J, Wong L, Lim HJ, Lohrisch C. Comparison of toxicity experienced by older versus younger patients enrolled in breast cancer clinical trials. Clin Breast Cancer. 2015;15:73–9.
- 46. Witherby S, Rizack T, Sakr BJ, Legare RD, Sikov WM. Advances in medical management of early stage and advanced breast cancer: 2015. Semin Radiat Oncol. 2016;26:59–70.
- Liu L, Wu Y, Cong W, Hu M, Li X, Zhou C. Experience of women with breast cancer undergoing chemotherapy: a systematic review of qualitative research. Qual Life Res. 2021;30:1249–65.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

