RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access

Quality assessment of services provided by health centers in Mashhad, Iran: SERVQUAL versus HEALTHQUAL scales



Tahereh Sharifi¹, Seyede-Elahe Hosseini², Saeed Mohammadpour³, Javad Javan-Noughabi^{4*}, Hosein Ebrahimipour⁴ and Elahe Hooshmand⁴

Abstract

Background: Primary health care is the entry point to the health-care system and regarded as an essential step to achieving universal health coverage. The present study aimed at evaluating the quality of health-care services provided in health centers in Mashhad, Iran.

Methods: This was a cross-sectional study implemented among 200 health service users who were referring to four health centers in Mashhad during January to June 2019. The quality of services in health centers was evaluated with the SERVQUAL and HEALTHQUAL models. Data was analyzed by employing paired t-test and independent sample t-test using SPSS version 16 software. The Levene test was used for examining the equality of variance (homogeneity). Significance level of all the tests was considered when $p \le 0.05$.

Results: According to the results of SERVQUAL questionnaire, the average scores of health service users' expectations and perceptions were 4.97 and 3.26, respectively, and the quality gap in the provided services was equal to -1.7. Based on HEALTHQUAL questionnaire, the average scores of health service users' perception and expectations were 4.72 and 3.25, respectively, and the quality gap in the provided services was equal to -1.16. Empathy was the highest quality dimension (-2.019) based on SERVQUAL model, and efficiency dimension was the highest based on HEALTHQUAL model (-1.761).

Conclusions: The findings of the current study showed a negative gap between the service users' expectations and perceptions in both models. Therefore, the results of this study helps the health managers and policymakers to plan effective interventions for improving the provided services emphasizing the dimensions with the wider gaps.

Keywords: Service quality, Health care evaluation, Health services research, Primary health care, Health-care providers

⁴Social Determinants of Health Research Center, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



^{*} Correspondence: javannj@mums.ac.ir; javadjavan.n@gmail.com

Background

In Alma-Ata declaration (1978), primary health care (PHC) was introduced as the key element to achieve "Health for all by the year 2000" [1]. PHC is an important strategy that provides universal health care coverage in order to increasing health equity and health promotion [2]. In Iran, health-care services are provided at three levels: primary, secondary, and tertiary. Health centers are responsible for providing primary health care services such as promotive, preventive and curative, and refer only those cases that need more specialized services including secondary and tertiary care [3].

Health centers are the first point of contact between the society and health system [4]. Thus, providing appropriate and quality health-care services in these centers will prevent unnecessary referrals and consequently additional costs. Also, high quality PHC services can lead to increased satisfaction, trust and confidence, speed of service delivery and cost-effectiveness of health-care services and decrease waiting time as well [5-8]. The world health organization (WHO) has emphasized the importance of quality in providing health-care services and declared the access to quality health care for all as the way of achieving sustainable development [6]. Also in Iran, provision of quality health services has been focused in the country's upstream documents such as the general health policies in the national five-year development plan [9, 10].

A way of evaluating service quality is the use of SERV QUAL model that has been proposed by Parasuraman et al. based on the gap theory of service quality [11]. This questionnaire has been employed in previous studies for quality assessment of services [12-16]. In this tool, health-care service quality is evaluated by comparing the customers' expectations and perceptions from different aspects (physical and tangible dimensions of service, assurance, responsiveness, service reliability, and empathy) [11, 17]. Although this tool has been utilized in the area of health, using a special questionnaire for health-care providers beside the general questionnaire such as SERVQUAL can introduce more realistic results of the comparison. Therefore, by conducting studies in health-care context in Iran, a tool called HEALTHQUAL was designed to give a better image about the quality of health-care services [18, 19]. This questionnaire has been previously employed in several studies to evaluate the quality of health-care services [20, 21].

Various studies have investigated service quality and addressed the quality gap in services provided in comprehensive health service centers and hospitals. The results of these studies suggest that there is an improper responsiveness of health system to people's health priorities and raised health-care costs [12–16, 22, 23]. Despite many studies carried out on quality of health-care

services in Iran, there is a lack of evidence for health-care quality in Mashhad. Mashhad is a populous city inhabited with more than 3 million people located in the northeast of Iran. Every year, almost 2 million pilgrims from all around the world travel to Mashhad to practice religious rituals. Accordingly, health centers play a central role in Mashhad and they face many challenges in their strategies to provide PHC. On the other hand, there are few quality assessment studies that have been undertaken using HEALTHQUAL model.

The present study aimed at evaluating the quality of health services provided in health centers using two questionnaires, SERVQUAL and HEALTHQUAL. The findings of this study granted evidences regarding the quality gaps in primary health care. These evidences help researchers in health field, and also policymakers to develop effective interventions for eliminating the recognized gaps.

Methods

This was a cross-sectional study implemented among health service users who were referring to four health centers in Mashhad, the second largest city in Iran and the capital of Razavi Khorasan province, during January to June 2019.

The sample size was 200, which has been determined using the following formula:

$$n = Z_{1-\alpha/2}^2 * \sigma^2 / d^2$$

Sample size formula

Where, n is the sample size, z is 1.96 for 95% confidence level; σ is the standard deviation which is equal to 0.35, and d is the margin of error which is equal to 0.05.

There are five main comprehensive health centers in Mashhad city (No. 1, 2, 3, and 5 and Samen Health Center). We selected the four centers that cover the largest population. Then, 50 people from each center were randomly selected based on the inclusion criteria. It should be noted that the sample size was evenly divided between the centers due to the relatively similar volume of population covered by the four selected centers. Health service users aged 18 years old and more who were willing to participate in this study and able to speak Persian were included. People who refused to participate in the study were excluded. Participants completed questionnaires immediately after receiving the service.

In this study, we used both of SERVQUAL and HEAL THQUAL models in order to evaluate the quality of services in health centers, and to compare the results of two methods. The SERVQUAL and HEALTHQUAL methods identify the gaps between customers' expectations and perceptions of service quality.

SERVQUAL model was developed by Parasuraman, Zeithaml & Berry [11], which consists of five dimensions and 22 questions:

- 1. Tangibles (with 4 questions about physical, equipment, and appearance of facilities)
- Reliability (includes 5 questions about ability to provide a promised service dependably and accurately)
- 3. Responsiveness (encompasses 4 questions about willing to help service users promptly and efficiently)
- 4. Assurance (embraces 4 questions about manner and expertise of employee and ability the establishing trust and confidence in customers)
- 5. Empathy (with 5 questions about individualized attention of organization to its clients).

The reliability of the instrument was measured by Cronbach alpha which was 0.96 for perceptions and 0.93 for expectations [24].

We also used HEALTHQUAL model that has been applied by Mosadeghrad to evaluate health service quality [19]. This questionnaire includes 4 domains and 30 questions:

- 1. Environment (embraces 11 questions about physical facilities, buildings, equipment)
- 2. Empathy (with 12 questions about interactions between healthcare providers and service users)
- 3. Efficiency (composed of 3 questions about factors such as waiting time, speed of service delivery and cost-effectiveness of healthcare services)
- 4. Effectiveness (encompasses 4 questions about expected goals of service users).

The reliability was checked using Cronbach alpha coefficient which was 0.96 the HEALTHQUAL questionnaire [19].

Both questionnaires were completed by the participants two times, once before receiving the service to evaluate their expectations (evaluation of desirable situation), and another time after receiving the service to evaluate their perceptions (evaluation of current situation). The gap between expectations and perceptions defined as services quality, as the negative gap means low quality and the positive one indicates high quality.

Both questionnaires were on a five-point Likert scale that ranges from: 1 = strongly disagree, 2 = disagree, 3 = uncertain, 4 = agree, to 5 = strongly agree.

Data were analyzed using SPSS version 16 by applying descriptive (frequency, percentage, mean and standard deviation) and analytical (paired *t*-test and independent *t*-test) statistics. Paired *t*-test was used to compare the

mean scores of expectations and perceptions in each model. Independent t-test was used to compare the mean scores of quality gap according to the gender (male or female), age (\leq 40 or > 40 years old), marital status (single or married) and education level (diploma & lower or Bachelor). Also, the Levene test was employed for examination of the equality of variance homogeneity.

Ethical consideration

This approval of our study was granted by the Ethics Committee of Torbat Heydarieh University of Medical Sciences [The code of Ethics: IR.THUMS.REC.1396.43]. All participants have signed the written informed consent form for the various aspects of data collection. Confidentiality and privacy were assured for all study participants. All methods were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations under Ethics approval and consent to participate.

Results

Table 1 shows that among the 200 participants surveyed, the vast majority of participants were below 40 years old (n = 178, 90%), women (n = 181, 91%), married (n = 196, 98%) and graduates university education (n = 110, 56%).

As exhibited in Table 2, there was a significant negative quality gap in all 5 SERVQUAL dimensions (p < 0.001). The highest and the lowest gaps in services quality were related to the dimensions of "Empathy" (- 2.019) and "Tangibles" (- 1.483). Moreover, total services quality gap was (- 1.707), indicating that the provided services did not meet the expectations of service users.

Table 3 indicates that the expectation score was higher than the perception score in all HEALTHQUAL dimensions. The highest gaps in services quality were associated with dimensions of "Empathy" (-1.6 ± 0.106), followed by "Environment" and "Effectiveness" The

Table 1 Sociodemographic characteristic of service users (N = 200)

Variation	Number	Percentage	
Age			
≤ 40	179	90	
> 40	21	10	
Sex			
Men	19	9	
Women	181	91	
Marital status			
Married	196	98	
Single	4	2	
Education level			
University education	110	56	
Non-university education	90	44	

Table 2 Mean and standard deviation of the service user perceptions, expectations, and service gaps in SERVQUAL model

Dimensions and	Expectation	Perception	Quality Gap	t-test	P-
items	(Mean ± SD)	(Mean ± SD)	(Mean ± SD)		Value
Tangibles	4.981 ± 0.086	3.497 ± 0.535	- 1.483 ± 0.545	-38.452	0.000*
Reliability	4.976 ± 0.105	3.237 ± 0.505	-1.738 ± 0.535	-45.930	0.000*
Responsiveness	4.98 ± 0.104	3.487 ± 509	-1.492 ± 0.525	-40.199	0.000*
Assurance	4.964 ± 0.128	3.163 ± 0.856	-1.801 ± 0.867	- 29.372	0.000*
Empathy	4.972 ± 0.109	2.953 ± 1.235	-2.019 ± 1.239	- 23.042	0.000*
Total Service Quality	4.974 ± 0.086	3.267 ± 0.546	-1.707 ± 0.558	- 43.233	0.000*

^{*}Statistically significant at 0.05 level

lowest gaps in services quality demonstrated in "Efficiency" dimension (-0.698 ± 0.638). In HEALTHQUAL model, total services quality gap was (-1.104).

A statistical evaluation using student t-test in Table 4 showed that there was no significant difference in SERV QUAL and HEALTHQUAL scores according to age, sex and educational status (p > 0.05). However, we found that there was a statistically significant difference in SERVQUAL scores between the two groups of marital status (p < 0.05).

Discussion

The main aim of the present study was to evaluate the quality of provided services in health centers in Mashhad using SERVQUAL and HEALTHQUAL models.

The findings of the current study showed a negative gap between the service users' expectations and perceptions in both model. It means that quality of the delivered PHC services was lower than what the service users expected. The quality gap in the provided services was (-1.7) based on SERVQUAL model and (-1.1) according to HEALTHQUAL model. This result was consistent with most of the previous studies on health-care quality assessment. The quality gap in the provided health-care services in urban primary health care centers in Kermanshah province of Iran was reported about (-1.4) based on SERVQUAL model [25]. Evaluation of health-care service quality via SERVQUAL scale in a hospital in Turkey displayed that the quality gap in the provided health-care services was (-2.24) [13]. Quality of healthcare services in public and private hospitals was compared in a study performed in Peshawar, Pakistan. In that study, the quality gap based on SERVQUAL model was (-1.85) for public hospitals and (-0.86) for private hospitals [26]. Mosadeghrad and Sokhanvar revealed that the quality gaps in the provided health-care services were (-0.57) and (-0.58), respectively in two studies conducted based on HEALTHOUAL model [21]. Nemati et al., in a study aimed to compare the Iranian university and non-university hospitals service quality based on the HEALTHQUAL model, found that the quality gap was - 0.42 and - 0.64 for university and nonuniversity hospitals respectively [20]. The quality gap reported in these studies was less than the value mentioned in the present study, and this can be attributed to the difference between the population and study setting (hospital vs. health centers). Also, the type of provided services in hospitals is different from that in health centers.

SERVQUAL results denoted that service users' expectations have been at a high level in all dimensions (environment, human interaction, efficiency, effectiveness), and the highest score has been reported in "Tangibility" and "Responsiveness" dimensions (4.98). A study conducted by Pekkaya et al. showed a similar result. In this study, the highest expectation score was related to "Tangibility" (8.15) [13]. In HEALTHQUAL model, the highest average score of expectations was related to "Environment" (4.832). This result was in consistent with Nemati et al. study. This study showed that patients at hospitals paid more attention to "environment" dimension based on HEALTHQUAL model [20].

Table 3 Mean scores and standard deviation of the service user perceptions, expectations, and service gaps in HEALTHQUAL model

Expectation	Perception	Quality Gap	t-test	P-		
(Mean ± SD)	$(Mean \pm SD)$	(Mean ± SD)		Value		
4.832 ± 0.066	3.736 ± 0.535	- 1.096 ± 0.980	-42.436	0.001*		
4.769 ± 0.112	3.169 ± 0.505	-1.6 ± 0.106	- 41.106	0.000*		
4.652 ± 0.225	3.954 ± 901	-0.698 ± 0.638	-38.226	0.001*		
4.762 ± 0.137	3.742 ± 0.823	-1.02 ± 0.724	- 43.309	0.000*		
4.723 ± 0.020	3.256 ± 0.789	-1.104 ± 0.567	-30.697	0.000*		
	(Mean ± SD) 4.832 ± 0.066 4.769 ± 0.112 4.652 ± 0.225 4.762 ± 0.137	(Mean \pm SD)(Mean \pm SD) 4.832 ± 0.066 3.736 ± 0.535 4.769 ± 0.112 3.169 ± 0.505 4.652 ± 0.225 3.954 ± 901 4.762 ± 0.137 3.742 ± 0.823	(Mean \pm SD) (Mean \pm SD) (Mean \pm SD) 4.832 ± 0.066 3.736 ± 0.535 -1.096 ± 0.980 4.769 ± 0.112 3.169 ± 0.505 -1.6 ± 0.106 4.652 ± 0.225 3.954 ± 901 -0.698 ± 0.638 4.762 ± 0.137 3.742 ± 0.823 -1.02 ± 0.724	(Mean \pm SD) (Mean \pm SD) (Mean \pm SD) 4.832 ± 0.066 3.736 ± 0.535 -1.096 ± 0.980 -42.436 4.769 ± 0.112 3.169 ± 0.505 -1.6 ± 0.106 -41.106 4.652 ± 0.225 3.954 ± 901 -0.698 ± 0.638 -38.226 4.762 ± 0.137 3.742 ± 0.823 -1.02 ± 0.724 -43.309		

^{*}Statistically significant at 0.05 level

Table 4 Associations between service users' demographic characteristics and the mean of quality gaps: HEALTHQUAL and SERV OUAL scores

Characteristics	Quality gap based on HEALTHQUAL model				Quality gap based on SERVQUAL model					
	LEVENE'S Test for Equality of Variances	F	<i>P</i> - value	Independent Samples Test	<i>P</i> - value	LEVENE'S Test for Equality of Variances	F	<i>P</i> - value	Independent Samples Test	<i>P</i> - value
Gender	Equal variances assumed	0.01	0.752	- 0.414	0.679	Equal variances assumed	0.08	0.778	-0.732	0.465
	Equal variances not assumed			-0.426	0.674	Equal variances not assumed			-0.669	0.511
Age	Equal variances assumed	0.419	0.518	-1.058	0.291	Equal variances assumed	0.105	0.746	1.352	0.178
	Equal variances not assumed			-1.059	0.3	Equal variances not assumed			1.454	0.158
Marital status	Equal variances assumed	0.033	0.857	-0.086	0.931	Equal variances assumed	0.327	0.568	2.016	0.045*
	Equal variances not assumed			-0.101	0.926	Equal variances not assumed			1.53	0.222
Education level	Equal variances assumed	0.622	0.431	0.799	0.425	Equal variances assumed	0.095	0.759	-1.277	0.203
	Equal variances not assumed			0.813	0.417	Equal variances not assumed			-1.268	0.206

^{*}Statistically significant at 0.05 level

Since Tangibility dimension in SERVQUAL refers to physical and observable services same as the Environment dimension in HEALTHQUAL, it can be approved that the two questionnaires present had almost similar results in terms of service users' expectations. Therefore, service users' expectations mainly focused on the physical space, staff appearance, and modern equipment. Health care is one of the complex services and due to information asymmetry between service users and health-care providers, the service users cannot evaluate the quality service itself, accordingly, they assess that based on tangible items such as the physical environment and equipment.

The results of SERVQUAL model in terms of perceptions indicated that the highest score was related to "Tangible" dimension (3.49). The results reported in several studies exhibited that the "Assurance" was the highest-performing dimension [25, 27]. Another study discovered that the highest perception score was associated with "Reliability" dimension [28, 29]. Interestingly, none of these studies was consistent with the present study, as such, the possible explanation of the difference in results can be due to the heterogeneous study setting and the diverse array of health-care services provided. For example, the study conducted by Karydis has specifically focused on dental health care [27], however, a quality assessment study carried out by Peprah et al. showed similar results [30]. The results of HEAL THQUAL model showed that the highest average score of perceptions was related to "Efficiency" dimension (3.954). This finding of our study was inconsistent with the other studies. Two studies evaluated the quality of services in hospitals using HEALTHQUAL instrument. In these studies, the lowest average score of perceptions was related to "Efficiency" dimension [20, 21]. In HEAL THQUAL model, efficiency refers to factors such as waiting time, speed of service delivery and cost-effectiveness of healthcare services. Difference between the population and study setting is one of the main reasons for different results.

The highest quality gaps were observed in "Empathy" dimension according to SERVQUAL and HEAL THQUAL model. Empathy stands for understanding the needs of service users, and has a great role in communication with them. In HEALTHQUAL model, empathy includes questions about interactions between healthcare providers and service users. Different studies reported similar results [28, 31] Nemati et al. in their study showed that the highest quality gap was related to "Empathy" and "Environment" dimensions [20]. In study performed by Mosadeghrad and Sokhanvar "Efficiency" was rated as the least quality gap, and this was in line with the present study. The low expectation in "Efficiency" dimension according to service users' view can be due to the fact that services provided in health-care centers are for-free, and also with low costs in the public hospitals because of the health insurance. These merits contribute in financial protection of service users, and this might lead to less expectation in this dimension.

One of the research limitations was lack of investigation of staff viewpoints. Hence, it is suggested to survey their viewpoints in future studies and to employ qualitative methods for identifying the causes beyond quality gaps, and attempting to come up with appropriate solutions to decrease or even eliminate the gap in healthcare centers where the provided services are of low quality.

Conclusion

The results of the present research showed that the service quality is at a lower level than people's expectations. In this way, improving the quality of services in primary health care can result in more effective prevention services and ultimately raise the level of community health status. The highest quality gaps were noticed in "Empathy" dimension according to SERVQUAL and HEAL THQUAL model, and this draws the attention of policymakers to set a reform plan which might include improvement of "Empathy" dimension, paying attention to patient when utilizing health services, proper training of staff on how to deal with clients professionally to reduce the quality gap.

Abbreviations

PHC: Primary Health Care; WHO: World Health Organization

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-021-06405-4.

Additional file 1.

Acknowledgements

The authors are deeply grateful to the participants in this study who made this work possible, as well as the reviewers of the manuscript for their useful comments in improving the study.

Authors' contributions

All authors (TSH; SHE; SM; JJN; HE and EH) contributed to the design and execution of the study. SM and JJN analyzed and interpreted the data. JJN wrote the main manuscript. All authors revised the manuscript and approved the final version for publication.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific funding.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This approval of our study was obtained from the Ethics Committee of Torbat Heydarieh University of Medical Sciences [The code of Ethics: IR.THUMS.REC.1396.43]. All participants provided written informed consent form for the various aspects of data collection. It was also implemented in accordance with the principles and regulations of confidentiality and privacy.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Health Sciences Research Center, Torbat Heydariyeh University of Medical Sciences, Torbat Heydariyeh, Iran. ²School of Health Management and Information Sciences, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran. ³School of Management and Medical Information, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. ⁴Social Determinants of Health Research Center, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran.

Received: 25 November 2020 Accepted: 15 April 2021 Published online: 28 April 2021

References

- Weber M. From Alma Ata to the SDG s: The Politics of Global Health Governance and the Elusive "Health for All". Global Governance: A Review of Multilateralism and International Organizations. 2020;26(1):176–97.
- Walley J, Lawn JE, Tinker A, De Francisco A, Chopra M, Rudan I, et al. Primary health care: making Alma-Ata a reality. Lancet. 2008;372(9642):1001–7.
- Eskandari M, Abbaszadeh A, Borhani F. Barriers of referral system to health care provision in rural societies in Iran. J Caring Sci. 2013;2(3):229.
- 4. Petersen PE. Strengthening of oral health systems: oral health through primary health care. Med Princ Pract. 2014;23(Suppl. 1):3–9.
- Papp R, Borbas I, Dobos E, Bredehorst M, Jaruseviciene L, Vehko T, et al. Perceptions of quality in primary health care: perspectives of patients and professionals based on focus group discussions. BMC Fam Pract. 2014;15(1): 128.
- Organization WH. Conceptual and strategic approach to family practice: towards universal health coverage through family practice in the eastern Mediterranean region. 2014.
- Saleh S, Alameddine M, Mourad Y, Natafgi N. Quality of care in primary health care settings in the eastern Mediterranean region: a systematic review of the literature. Int J Qual Health Care. 2015;27(2):79–88.
- Tabrizi J, Saadati M, Sadeghi Bazargani H, Abedi L, Alibabayee R. Iranian public trust in health services: evidence from Tabriz, Islamic Republic of Iran. East Mediterr Health J. 2016;22(10):713–8.
- Bastani P, Tahernezhad A, Hakimzadeh SM. Forty years review of upstream documents of the Islamic Republic of Iran's health sector on strategic purchasing of advanced-expensive medical equipment. Int J Health Governance. 2020;25(2):93–105.
- Sajadi HS, Ehsani-Chimeh E, Majdzadeh R. Universal health coverage in Iran: where we stand and how we can move forward. Med J Islam Repub Iran. 2019:33:9.
- Parasuraman A, Zeithaml VA, Berry LL. Servqual: a multiple-item scale for measuring consumer perc. J Retail. 1988;64(1):12.
- Fan L-h, Gao L, Liu X, Zhao S-h, Mu H-t, Li Z, et al. Patients' perceptions of service quality in China: An investigation using the SERVQUAL model. PLoS One. 2017;12(12):e0190123.
- Pekkaya M, Pulat İmamoğlu Ö, Koca H. Evaluation of healthcare service quality via Servqual scale: an application on a hospital. Int J Healthc Manag. 2019;12(4):340–7.
- Manulik S, Rosińczuk J, Karniej P. Evaluation of health care service quality in Poland with the use of SERVQUAL method at the specialist ambulatory health care center. Patient Prefer Adherence. 2016;10:1435.
- Mečev D, Kardum Goleš I. Primary healthcare service quality measurement: SERVQUAL scale. Ekonomski vjesnik. 2015;28(1):161–77.
- Al-Borie HM, Damanhouri AMS. Patients' satisfaction of service quality in Saudi hospitals: a SERVQUAL analysis. Int J Health Care Qual Assur. 2013; 26(1):20–30.
- 17. Parasuraman A, Berry LL, Zeithaml VA. Refinement and reassessment of the SERVQUAL scale. J Retail. 1991;67(4):420.
- Lee D. HEALTHQUAL: a multi-item scale for assessing healthcare service quality. Serv Bus. 2017;11(3):491–516.
- Mosadeghrad AM. A conceptual framework for quality of care. Mater Sociomed. 2012;24(4):251.
- Nemati R, Bahreini M, Pouladi S, Mirzaei K, Mehboodi F. Hospital service quality based on HEALTHQUAL model and trusting nurses at Iranian university and non-university hospitals: a comparative study. BMC Nurs. 2020;19(1):1–9.
- Mosadeghrad AM, Sokhanvar M. Measuring quality of services in Tehran teaching hospitals using HEALTHQUAL instrument. Razi J Med Sci. 2018; 25(168):10–20.

- 22. Lee D, Kim KK. Assessing healthcare service quality: a comparative study of patient treatment types. Int J Qual Innov. 2017;3(1):1.
- Nemati R, Bahreini M, Pouladi S, Mirzaei K, Mehboodi F. Hospital service quality based on HEALTHQUAL model and trusting nurses at Iranian University and non-university hospitals: a comparative study; 2020.
- Abari AAF, Yarmohammadian MH, Esteki M. Assessment of quality of education a non-governmental university via SERVQUAL model. Procedia Soc Behav Sci. 2011;15:2299–304.
- Matin BK, Rezaei S, Moradinazar M, Mahboubi M, Ataee M. Measurement of quality of primary health services by SERVQUAL model: evidence from urban health centers in west of Iran. Res J Med Sci. 2016;10(5):475–80.
- Siddiq A. Quality of healthcare services in public and private hospitals of Peshawar, Pakistan: a comparative study using Servqual. City Univ Res J. 2016;6(2):242–55.
- Karydis A, Komboli-Kodovazeniti M, Hatzigeorgiou D, Panis V. Expectations and perceptions of Greek patients regarding the quality of dental health care. Int J Qual Health Care. 2001;13(5):409–16.
- 28. Kashf SM, Rakhshani T, Hashemi H. Evaluation the quality of health services based on SERVQUAL model in Ahwaz health care centers, Iran. Caspian J Intern Med. 2019;4(1):1–5.
- 29. Khamis K, Njau BJBhsr. Patients' level of satisfaction on quality of health care at Mwananyamala hospital in Dar es Salaam, Tanzania. 2014;14(1):400.
- 30. Peprah AA, Atarah BA. Assessing patient's satisfaction using servqual model: a case of sunyani regional hospital, Ghana. Int J Bus Soc Res. 2014;4(2):133–43
- 31. Papanikolaou V, Zygiaris S. Service quality perceptions in primary health care centres in Greece. Health Expect. 2014;17(2):197–207.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

