


RESEARCH

Open Access



# Epidemiology of musculoskeletal disorders among iranian adults: results from a non-communicable disease cohort study

Farid Najafi<sup>1</sup>, Mitra Darbandi<sup>1</sup>, Sepideh Kazemi Neyfa<sup>2</sup>, Masoud Tokazebani Belasi<sup>2</sup>, Neda Izadi<sup>3</sup>, Yahya Pashar<sup>1</sup> and Akbar Barzegar<sup>4\*</sup> 

## Abstract

**Background** Persistent pain and reduced mobility and dexterity are the typical characteristics of Musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs). We aimed to determine the prevalence of back and joint pain, back, and joint stiffness, arthritis, and osteoporosis among adults and their distribution according to sociodemographic characteristics and comorbidities.

**Methods** This cross-sectional study was conducted on 9,520 participants aged 35–65 years from baseline data of the Ravansar Non-Communicable Disease (RaNCD) cohort study, in the west of Iran. MSDs were evaluated by the RaNCD cohort study physician using a standard questionnaire. Binary logistic regression was used to determine associations and reported by odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI).

**Results** The MSDs in women were significantly more than in men (59.06% vs. 40.94%,  $P < 0.001$ ). Skeletal muscle mass (SMM) was significantly lower in subjects with MSDs, and waist circumference (WC) and visceral fat area (VFA) were higher than in the non-MSDs ( $P < 0.001$ ). MSDs were significantly more common in urban men and women (OR = 1.33; 95% CI: 1.14–1.50 and OR = 1.59; 95% CI: 1.39–1.82, respectively). Obesity increased the odds of MSDs in women (OR = 1.57; 95% CI: 1.33–1.84), whereas there was no association between BMI and MSDs in men. Men with high socioeconomic status (SES) had lower odds of MSDs than men with weak SES (OR = 0.77; 95% CI: 0.64–0.92).

**Conclusion** The MSDs were more prevalent among older people, women, obese people and urban dwellers. Lifestyle modification, especially weight loss may be helpful in reducing and controlling MSDs.

**Keywords** Musculoskeletal disorders, Joint pain, Back pain, Non-communicable disease

\*Correspondence:

Akbar Barzegar  
barzegarakbar@gmail.com

<sup>1</sup>Research Center for Environmental Determinants of Health (RCEDH), Health Institute, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran

<sup>2</sup>Research Centre for Prevention of cardiovascular disease, Institute of Endocrinology & Metabolism, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

<sup>3</sup>Research Center for Social Determinants of Health, Research Institute for Endocrine Sciences, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

<sup>4</sup>Department of Occupational Health, School of Health, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

## Background

Musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs) deal with joints, bones, muscles, and multiple body areas or systems. Persistent pain and reduced mobility and dexterity are the typical characteristics of MSDs conditions. Studies report that musculoskeletal problems are the leading cause of 15% of primary health care consultations [1]. Although MSDs are generally more prevalent among the older generation, younger individuals, especially through the peak of their income-earning years are also affected resulting in reducing their quality of life and work capacity [2, 3]. Based on data from Global Burden of Disease (GBD) 2019, around 1.71 billion individuals worldwide are suffering from MSDs, including neck pain, rheumatoid arthritis, low back pain, fractures, other injuries, amputation, and osteoarthritis. Globally, MSDs conditions account for the leading cause of disability. Low back pain, which is the most common complaint among MSDs, is the major contributor to disability in 160 countries [2–4].

A significant limitation of Mobility and dexterity caused by MSDs leads to premature retirement, decreased levels of well-being, and limited ability to attend social activities. MSDs conditions are considered the primary cause of seeking rehabilitation services around the world [5]. People living with MSDs are at a higher risk of developing other non-communicable diseases namely cardiovascular diseases and also mental health issues [4]. The number of individuals suffering from MSD conditions and related functional restrictions is rapidly growing as a result of the worldwide population aging which is extremely burdensome for both individuals and public healthcare bodies [6].

Epidemiological assessment of non-communicable diseases is among the first steps for policymakers to plan and provide appropriate and efficient healthcare resources. The current cross-sectional analysis aims to study the Epidemiology of musculoskeletal disorders among Iranian adults using data from the baseline phase of the Ravansar Non-Communicable Disease (RaNCD) cohort. The RaNCD cohort constitutes one major portion of the Iranian Adult Cohort Prospective Epidemiological Research Studies (PERSIAN). In our study, we measured MSDs including back and joint pain, back and joint stiffness, rheumatoid arthritis, and osteoporosis as outcomes.

## Method

### Study design and setting

The present study is a cross-sectional analysis of the data from the RaNCD cohort. The RaNCD cohort study is a part of the Iranian Adult Cohort Prospective Epidemiological Research Studies (PERSIAN). In the Persian cohort, all 19 cohort sites (including a representative sample of different Iranian ethnicities in different

provinces) and aimed to follow up with all participants for the next 15 years [7]. More information is available at <https://persiancohort.com/>. Ravansar is one of the cities of Kermanshah province, which is located in the west of Iran. The baseline phase of the RaNCD study was conducted between November 2014 and February 2017, the details of which have been previously published [8]. All participants in the baseline phase of the RaNCD study entered the study (n=10,047). Exclusion criteria included pregnant women (n=136), participants with cancer (n=80), participants with amputation or physical disabilities (n=149), and missing data (n=162). Finally, 9,520 subjects were included in the current study.

### Data Collection

#### Questionnaire information

Demographic and lifestyle information in the digital cohort questionnaire was completed face-to-face by the trained experts of the RaNCD Cohort center. The current smokers were considered to be people who smoked at least 100 cigarettes a year. According to the RaNCD study protocol, alcohol consumption was defined as drinking approximately 200 ml of beer or 45 ml of liquor once a week for at least six months. The length of time that the participant usually sleeps is 24 h. Menopause status was defined as having no menstrual period for the past 12 months.

*Socio-economic status measurements* Socio-economic status (SES) was generated using principal component analysis (PCA) using 18 items including education level, place of residence, housing, and amenities [9]. Then the participants were ranked and classified into three groups from the lowest to the highest.

*Physical activity measurements* The physical activity was assessed using the PERSIAN cohort questionnaire and was classified into three groups, low (24–36.5 MET/hour per day), moderate (36.6–44.4 MET/hour per day) and high ( $\geq 44.5$  MET/hour per day) [8].

### Measurements

**Anthropometry** Body composition components including body mass index (BMI), visceral fat area (VFA), and waist circumference (WC) were measured by Bio-Impedance Analyzer BIA (Inbody 770, Inbody Co, Seoul, Korea).

### Definitions of T2DM, CVDs, hypertension, dyslipidemia, depression, and obesity

Individuals with a systolic blood pressure (SBP)  $\geq 140$  mm Hg and/or diastolic blood pressure (DBP)  $\geq 90$  mm Hg and/or those with a current use of antihypertensive drugs as hypertensive were classified as having hypertension. Fasting blood sugar (FBS)  $\geq 126$  mg/dL and/or treatment with

antidiabetic medications were considered for the diagnosis of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). The participants are with a history of hospitalization and/or treatment for one or more heart diseases such as stroke, myocardial infarction, and coronary artery disease, and/or taking medications for cardiovascular diseases (CVDs). Dyslipidemia was defined as serum levels of total cholesterol  $\geq 240$  mg/dL and/or low-density cholesterol

(LDL-C)  $\geq 160$  mg/dL and/or triglyceride (TG)  $\geq 200$  mg/dL and/or low-density cholesterol (HDL-C)  $< 40$  mg/dL or taking medications for dyslipidemia [10]. Depression was defined based on the diagnosis of depression by a physician or receiving medication for depression. BMI  $\geq 30$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> was considered obese.

**Table 1** Baseline characteristics of studied participants, (N = 9,520)

Characteristics	Total (n = 9,520)	Men (n = 4,538)	Women (n = 4,982)	P value
	Mean $\pm$ SD or frequency (%)			
<b>Age (years)</b>	47.27 $\pm$ 8.25	46.92 $\pm$ 8.05	47.58 $\pm$ 8.41	0.001
<b>Residency, n (%)</b>				
Urban	5701 (59.88)	2811 (61.94)	2890 (58.01)	< 0.001
Rural	3819 (40.12)	1727 (38.06)	2092 (41.99)	
<b>Lifestyle</b>				
Current smoker, n (%)	1090 (11.50)	997 (22.07)	93 (1.88)	< 0.001
Alcohol use, n (%)	463 (4.86)	461 (10.16)	2 (0.04)	< 0.001
Sleep duration (per 24 h)	7.10 $\pm$ 1.22	7.01 $\pm$ 1.19	7.18 $\pm$ 1.24	< 0.001
<b>Physical activity (Met h/day)</b>				
Low	2869 (30.14)	1576 (34.73)	1293 (25.95)	< 0.001
Moderate	4522 (47.50)	1380 (30.41)	3142 (63.07)	
High	2129 (22.36)	1582 (34.86)	547 (10.98)	
<b>Comorbidities, n (%)</b>				
Hypertension	1487 (15.62)	663 (14.61)	824 (16.54)	0.009
Diabetes	816 (8.57)	363 (8.00)	453 (9.10)	0.057
Dyslipidemia	4215 (44.28)	2413 (53.17)	1802 (36.17)	< 0.001
Cardiovascular diseases	1598 (16.79)	554 (12.21)	1044 (20.96)	< 0.001
Depression	292 (3.07)	90 (1.98)	202 (4.05)	< 0.001
<b>Body mass index (kg/m<sup>2</sup>)</b>				
Normal (18-24.9 kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	2860 (30.04)	1685 (37.13)	1175 (23.58)	< 0.001
Overweight (25-29.9 kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	41.33 (43.41)	2099 (46.35)	2034 (40.83)	
Obesity ( $\geq 30$ kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	2527 (26.54)	754 (16.62)	1773 (35.59)	
<b>Musculoskeletal disorders</b>				
Back pain	2211 (23.23)	962 (21.20)	1249 (25.07)	< 0.001
Back stiffness	745 (7.83)	231 (5.09)	514 (10.32)	< 0.001
Joint pain	3560 (37.40)	1334 (29.40)	2226 (44.68)	< 0.001
Joint stiffness	472 (4.96)	124 (2.73)	348 (6.99)	< 0.001
Rheumatoid arthritis	246 (2.58)	51 (1.12)	195 (3.91)	< 0.001
Osteoporosis	242 (2.54)	31 (0.68)	211 (4.24)	< 0.001

Data are shown mean  $\pm$  SD for continuous variables and n (%) categorical variables

\*P-value was obtained t-test and Chi square test

### Musculoskeletal disorders

MSDs were the outcome in this study that included back pain, joint pain, back stiffness, joint stiffness, rheumatoid arthritis, and osteoporosis. According to the RaNCD cohort study protocol, back pain and joint pain were defined based on participants' self-report of pain, and the location of pain was confirmed based on the RaNCD study cohort physician's opinion. Pain associated with fractures, malignancies, and infections was not considered back pain. Back and joint stiffness is defined by the experience of back pain and joint pain, with morning stiffness of one hour or more. Rheumatoid arthritis and osteoporosis are based on self-reports of previous diagnoses by a specialist.

### Statistical analysis

All analysis was performed using STATA software version 14.2 (Stata Corp, College Station, Tex). Descriptive findings are reported as percentages for categorical variables as a number (percentage) and as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation for continuous variables. The distribution of baseline characteristics (including demographic, anthropometry, physical activity, lifestyle, and comorbidity) variables between men and women, as well as between two groups with and without musculoskeletal disorders, was compared with the Chi-square test and t-test. Binary logistic regression analysis was performed to evaluate the association between musculoskeletal disorders and risk factors using crude and adjusted models for age, smoking, alcohol use, and sleep duration. All variables with a P-value less than 0.2 in the univariable model were included in the multivariable analysis. Odds ratios (ORs) were calculated with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated. P values  $< 0.05$  were considered significant for all analyses.

### Results

A total of 9,520 cohort study participants with an average age of 47.27  $\pm$  8.25 years who met the inclusion criteria for the present study were analyzed. Table 1 presents the basic information about the participants in the present study. In this study, 47.67% of the participants were men and 59.88% were rural residents. High physical activity in men was almost three times that of women (34.86% vs. 10.98%). The prevalence of CVDs, obesity, and depression was significantly higher in women than in men ( $P < 0.001$ ). Furthermore, 1,334 of the studied

men (29.40%) and 2,226 of the women (44.68%) had joint pain, which was significantly more in women than in men ( $P < 0.001$ ). All MSDs in the studied women were significantly more than in men ( $P < 0.001$ ).

MSDs were significantly higher in men than in women, and in urban residents than in rural residents ( $P < 0.001$ ). High SES was 30.86% in the group with MSDs and 36.21% in the non-MSDs group ( $P < 0.001$ ). Overall, the

**Table 2** Comparison of baseline characteristics of participants according to musculoskeletal condition, (N=9,520)

Characteristics	Non-musculoskeletal disorders (n=4,820)	Musculoskeletal disorders (n=4,700)	P value*
	Mean $\pm$ SD or frequency (%)		
<b>Age (years)</b>	46.11 $\pm$ 8.03	48.45 $\pm$ 8.29	< 0.001
<b>Sex, n (%)</b>			
Male	2614 (54.23)	1924 (40.94)	< 0.001
Female	2206 (45.77)	2776 (59.06)	
<b>Residency, n (%)</b>			
Urban	2723 (56.49)	2978 (63.36)	< 0.001
Rural	2097 (43.51)	1722 (36.64)	
<b>Socio-economic status, n (%)</b>			
Weak	1521 (31.56)	1612 (34.31)	< 0.001
Moderate	1553 (32.23)	1636 (34.82)	
Good	1745 (36.21)	1450 (30.86)	
<b>Physical activity (Met h/day)</b>			
Low	1472 (30.54)	1397 (29.72)	0.001
Moderate	2205 (45.75)	2317 (49.30)	
High	1143 (23.71)	986 (20.98)	
<b>Lifestyle</b>			
Current smoker, n (%)	599 (12.49)	491 (10.49)	0.001
Alcohol use, n (%)	265 (5.50)	198 (4.21)	0.004
Sleep duration (per 24 h)	7.13 $\pm$ 1.18	7.04 $\pm$ 1.26	0.002
<b>Anthropometry</b>			
Body Mass Index, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	27.10 $\pm$ 4.57	27.91 $\pm$ 4.60	< 0.001
Waist Circumference, cm	96.48 $\pm$ 10.36	98.10 $\pm$ 10.60	< 0.001
Skeletal Muscle Mass, kg	27.01 $\pm$ 5.82	25.93 $\pm$ 5.60	< 0.001
Visceral Fat Area, cm <sup>2</sup>	115.48 $\pm$ 50.10	129.05 $\pm$ 52.11	< 0.001
<b>Comorbidities, n (%)</b>			
Hypertension	618 (12.82)	869 (18.49)	< 0.001
Type 2 Diabetes	354 (7.35)	462 (9.83)	< 0.001
Dyslipidemia	2125 (44.10)	2090 (44.47)	0.708
Cardiovascular diseases	625 (12.97)	973 (20.70)	< 0.001
Depression	127 (2.63)	165 (3.51)	0.013
Obesity ( $\geq 30$ kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	1109 (23.01)	1418 (30.17)	< 0.001
<b>Menopausal women, n (%)</b>	526 (23.82)	1025 (36.86)	< 0.001
<b>Contraceptive pills use, n (%)</b>	1657 (75.08)	2171 (78.21)	0.009

Data are shown to mean  $\pm$  SD for continuous variables and n (%) categorical variables

\*P-value was obtained t-test and Chi square test

participants with MSDs had a significantly lower SES ( $P < 0.001$ ). BMI, WC, and VFA were significantly higher in individuals with MSDs than in non-MSDs ( $P < 0.001$ ). The SMM in the individuals with the MSDs group was significantly lower than the other group (27.01  $\pm$  5.82 vs. 25.93  $\pm$  5.60;  $P < 0.001$ ). The prevalence of obesity was 30.17% and 23.01% in those with and without MSDs, respectively ( $P < 0.001$ ). Hypertension, T2D, CVDs, and depression in individuals with MSDs were significantly higher than the non-MSDs. The use of contraceptive pills in women with MSDs was significantly higher than in women without MSDs ( $P < 0.001$ ) (Table 2).

Table 3 shows the association of demographic and anthropometric factors and comorbidities with MSDs. On the basis of multivariable logistic regression, each one-year increase in age was associated with a 1% increase in odds of MSDs in men (OR=1.01; 95% CI: 1.01–1.02) and the corresponding value for women was 3% (OR=1.03; 95% CI: 1.02–1.04). MSDs were significantly more common in men and women living in city (OR: 1.33; 95% CI: 1.14–1.50 and OR: 1.59; 95% CI: 1.39–1.82, respectively). Being overweight was associated with increased odds of MSDs (OR=1.34; 95% CI: 1.15–1.56). Similarly, obesity increased the odds of MSD in women (OR=1.57; 95% CI: 1.33–1.84), whereas there was no association between BMI and MSDs in men. Furthermore, men with high SES had lower odds of MSDs than men with weak SES (OR=0.77; 95% CI: 0.64–0.92). In women, moderate SES was associated with a 18% increase in the odds of MSDs (OR=1.18; 95% CI: 1.03–1.36). In addition, in men, former smokers (OR=1.21; 95% CI: 1.01–1.47) and in women, former and passive smokers (OR: 1.90; 95% CI: 1.30–2.79 and OR: 1.13; 95% CI: 1.01–1.27, respectively) were associated with the prevalence of MSDs. CVDs were associated with a significant increase in MSDs in men (OR=1.28; 95% CI: 1.01–1.63). However, in women, there was no significant association between comorbidities and MSDs. Menopause was associated with a 21% increase in odds of MSDs (OR=1.21; 95% CI: 1.01–1.47).

## Discussion

The current cross-sectional study provides thoughtful insight into the epidemiology of MSDs among Iranian adults. Based on this study, several factors, including aging, living in urban areas, obesity, socioeconomic status, and comorbidities are significantly associated with MSDs.

A meaningful association was found between aging and MSDs in both men and women studied groups. Residents of urban areas were revealed to suffer far more MSDs compared to the ones living in rural areas. In addition, our results showed women were shown to be more among the population with MSDs. (59.06% vs. 45.77%).

**Table 3** Association of demographic, anthropometric factors and comorbidities with musculoskeletal diseases using binary logistic regression

Variables	Men		Women	
	Univariable	Multivariable	Univariable	Multivariable
	Odds ratio (95% CI)		Odds ratio (95% CI)	
<b>Age (year)</b>	1.02 (1.01–1.03)	<b>1.01 (1.01–1.02)</b>	1.04 (1.03–1.04)	<b>1.03 (1.02–1.04)</b>
<b>Residency</b>				
Urban	1.17 (1.03–1.32)	<b>1.31 (1.14–1.50)</b>	1.56 (1.39–1.75)	1.59 (1.39–1.82)
Rural	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
<b>Socio-economic status</b>				
Poor	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Moderate	0.82 (0.69–0.97)	0.84 (0.70–1.01)	1.27 (1.11–1.44)	<b>1.18 (1.03–1.36)</b>
High	0.77 (0.66–0.90)	<b>0.77 (0.64–0.92)</b>	1.08 (0.93–1.26)	1.01 (0.84–1.20)
<b>Physical activity (Met h/day)</b>				
Low	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Moderate	0.98 (0.85–1.14)	1.00 (0.86–1.16)	0.93 (0.81–1.06)	1.03 (0.90–1.19)
High	1.11 (0.96–1.28)	1.11 (0.96–1.30)	0.81 (0.66–0.99)	1.05 (0.85–1.31)
<b>Smoke status</b>				
Non-smoker	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Current smoker	1.16 (0.98–1.37)	1.16 (0.98–1.37)	1.38 (0.90–2.11)	1.19 (0.76–1.84)
Former smoker	1.36 (1.13–1.64)	<b>1.21 (1.01–1.47)</b>	2.67 (1.85–3.84)	<b>1.90 (1.30–2.79)</b>
Passive smoker	1.08 (0.93–1.25)	1.08 (0.93–1.25)	1.15 (1.02–1.29)	<b>1.13 (1.01–1.27)</b>
<b>BMI Level</b>				
Underweight	0.99 (0.65–1.50)	-	0.96 (0.59–1.58)	1.02 (0.61–1.70)
Normal	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Overweight	1.07 (0.94–1.22)	-	1.37 (1.18–1.59)	<b>1.34 (1.15–1.56)</b>
Obese	1.18 (0.99–1.40)	-	1.69 (1.45–1.96)	<b>1.57 (1.33–1.84)</b>
<b>Sleep duration</b>				
< 6 h	1.25 (1.04–1.51)	1.20 (0.99–1.45)	1.34 (1.10–1.64)	1.18 (0.96–1.45)
6–7 h	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
≥ 8 h	0.99 (0.84–1.14)	0.98 (0.86–1.13)	0.87 (0.77–0.98)	0.94 (0.83–1.07)
<b>Comorbidities (yes)</b>				
Hypertension	1.55 (1.31–1.83)	1.17 (0.93–1.46)	1.49 (1.28–1.74)	0.95 (0.76–1.17)
Type 2 diabetes	1.14 (0.92–1.41)	-	1.57 (1.28–1.92)	1.13 (0.91–1.41)
Dyslipidemia	1.07 (0.95–1.20)	-	1.16 (1.03–1.31)	0.93 (0.82–1.05)
Cardiovascular diseases	1.61 (1.35–1.93)	<b>1.28 (1.01–1.63)</b>	1.64 (1.42–1.89)	1.20 (0.98–1.47)
Depression	0.94 (0.62–1.44)	-	1.39 (1.04–1.86)	1.25 (0.92–1.71)
<b>Menopausal women (yes)</b>	-	-	1.86 (1.65–2.11)	<b>1.21 (1.01–1.47)</b>

A similar study showed that chronic lumbar and cervical back pain were more prevalent in the women compared to the studied men [11]. Generally, previous studies have shown that female gender is a risk factor for MSDs [12–14]. However, scientific evidence shows men are more likely to adopt hazardous behaviors, such as the use of narcotics to alleviate the suffering caused by chronic pain [15]. Vega-Fernández et al.'s study also showed that MSDs were more in urban areas than in rural areas [14]. Therefore, the association between demographic factors and MSDs was consistent with previous studies.

Regarding SES, MSDs were found significantly less common in men who were in a high compared to those with weak SES. In contradiction, MSDs among women within the moderate SES population were shown to be remarkably more prevalent. In the current study,

generally, the participants with MSDs were found to have a significantly lower socio-economic status ( $P < 0.001$ ). This result is similar to the finding of the study on the Australian population clarifying that MSDs, including arthritis, chronic back pain, gout, osteoporosis, spondyloarthropathies, rheumatoid arthritis, and the chronic associated pain was more prevalent among those individuals of lower socioeconomic status [16]. Another study also revealed that residents of socially deprived regions experienced more musculoskeletal symptoms [1]. It is concluded that SES is an important factor in the occurrence of MSDs.

In the present study, while obesity and being overweight were significantly related to MSDs in women individuals, a similar association was not observed among the studied men population. A hypothesis would be



inactivity caused by pain, which is probably more prevalent in women and the following overweight. In a longitudinal epidemiological study, a strong association was shown between lumbar back pain and BMI > 30 [11]. Obesity accounts for a wide range of MSDs, as well as serious disabilities, and reduced quality of life [17–19]. Several data regarding the positive association between obesity and chronic low back pain are available [20, 21]. In these studies, adiposity was mainly determined based on BMI. However, information on low back pain may not be conveyed sufficiently by BMI, as it is a composition of several factors like fat and lean mass. In our study, not only BMI but also WC and VFA were measured and analyzed, resulting in a more precise estimation of the body fat distribution. A cross-sectional study revealed that people who suffer from chronic back pain tend to have a higher proportion of their body fat located in their limbs compared to people without chronic low back pain [22]. However, obesity affects people's lifestyle, especially reducing physical activity, and can indirectly lead to MSDs. On the other hand, there is a two-way relationship between obesity and MSDs, and people with skeletal and joint pain have less physical activity, which helps to gain weight [23, 24]. Therefore, longitudinal studies are recommended for a better understanding of the relationship between MSDs and obesity.

The odds of developing hypertension, diabetes, and dyslipidemia were higher in people with MSDs in both sexes, although it was not statistically significant. Similarly, a study has shown that the prevalence of T2DM in men with and without back pain is not significantly different from each other [25]. Although the associations were not significant, but the association between metabolic diseases and MSDs, some factors such as physical activity and obesity may play a mediating role. However, our findings showed, CVDs were associated with a significant increase in MSDs in men. This is in line with a longitudinal study in adults that showed a strong association between lumbar back pain and CVDs (OR: 4.58) and introduced obesity as a mediator of the association between back pain and CVDs [11].

The use of contraceptive pills in women with MSDs was shown to be notably higher compared to the women without MSDs ( $P < 0.001$ ). The number of menopausal women was also higher among the population with MSDs. However, menopause was associated with a 21% increase in odds of MSDs. Studies show an increase in back pain when women enter menopause, and it has been observed that low back pain is more common in postmenopausal women than in men of the same age [26–28]. Sex steroids have positive effects on musculoskeletal health. Estrogen plays an important role in the skeleton of body and the connective tissue system in general, and estrogen deficiency around menopause negatively affects

the health of bones, muscles, collagen, tendons, ligaments, cartilage, synovial membrane, and joint capsule [29].

### Limitations

The strength of the present study was the use of data from a large population. The current study faced several limitations. Firstly, due to the cross-sectional nature of the present study, a longitudinal study is required to confirm the results. Secondly, the degree and severity of pain were not measured which in itself restricted the possibility to interpret the findings. Moreover, outcome evaluation was conducted based on participants' self-reporting followed by RaNCD study cohort physician confirmation with no para-clinical data available which can interfere with the results. On top of that, the findings of the present study cannot be generalized to other populations due to some substantial reasons. The first and most important reason is that the studied population and especially the studied women were super active individuals doing demanding careers namely farming and Livestock farming which were far more physically active compared to other counterpart populations. Consequently, further investigations after mitigating the limitations of the current study would be strongly recommended.

### Conclusion

The findings of this study showed that MSDs are more common in women and older people. MSDs were significantly less common in men and women living in rural areas. Obesity, High and moderate SES increased the odds of MSD in women. Lifestyle modification, especially weight loss and increased physical activity may be helpful in reducing and controlling MSDs.

### Abbreviations

BMI	Body mass index
HDL-C	High-density lipoprotein cholesterol
LDL-C	Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol
TG	Triglycerides
T-C	Total cholesterol
FBS	Fasting blood sugar
MSDs	Musculoskeletal disorders
SMM	Skeletal muscle mass
GBD	Global Burden of Disease
RaNCD	Ravansar Non Communicable Disease
OCP	Oral contraceptive pills
SES	Socio-economic status
PERSIAN	Prospective Epidemiological Research Studies in IRAN
WHR	Waist hip ratio
WC	Waist circumference
VFA	Visceral fat area
PCA	Principal component analysis
T2DM	Type 2 diabetes mellitus
CVDs	cardiovascular diseases
OR	Odds ratio
CI	Confidence interval

### Acknowledgements

The authors thank the PERSIAN cohort Study collaborators and of Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences. The Iranian Ministry of Health and Medical Education has also contributed to the funding used in the PERSIAN Cohort through Grant no 700/534.

### Author Contribution

FN, AB and MD contributed to the design of the study. YP and FN were responsible for data collection and supervision of the study. MD and NI performed statistical analysis. SKN, MTB, AB and MD wrote the manuscript. The authors read and approved the final manuscript.

### Funding

This research was supported by the Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences (grant number: 92472).

### Data Availability

All data generated and analyzed during this study are included in the manuscript.

### Declarations

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

The Ethics Committee of Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences approved the study (KUMS.REC.1394.318). All methods were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations. All the participants were provided oral and written informed consent.

#### Consent for publication

Not Applicable.

#### Conflict of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Received: 27 December 2022 / Accepted: 16 April 2023

Published online: 22 April 2023

### References

1. Urwin M, Symmons D, Allison T, Brammah T, Busby H, Roxby M, et al. Estimating the burden of musculoskeletal disorders in the community: the comparative prevalence of symptoms at different anatomical sites, and the relation to social deprivation. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 1998;57(11):649–55.
2. Musculoskeletal health. <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/musculoskeletal-conditions>. 14 July 2022.
3. Cieza A, Causey K, Kamenov K, Hanson SW, Chatterji S, Vos T. Global estimates of the need for rehabilitation based on the Global Burden of Disease study 2019: a systematic analysis for the global burden of Disease Study 2019. *The Lancet*. 2020;396(10267):2006–17.
4. Williams A, Kamper SJ, Wiggers JH, O'Brien KM, Lee H, Wolfenden L, et al. Musculoskeletal conditions may increase the risk of chronic disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. *BMC Med*. 2018;16(1):167.
5. Cieza A, Causey K, Kamenov K, Hanson SW, Chatterji S, Vos T. Global estimates of the need for rehabilitation based on the Global Burden of Disease study 2019: a systematic analysis for the global burden of Disease Study 2019. *Lancet*. 2021;396(10267):2006–17.
6. Fitzmaurice C. Global, regional, and national cancer incidence, mortality, years of life lost, years lived with disability, and disability-adjusted life-years for 29 cancer groups, 2006 to 2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease study. *J Clin Oncol*. 2018;36(15suppl):1568.
7. Poustchi H, Eghtesad S, Kamangar F, Etemadi A, Keshkar A-A, Hekmatdoost A, et al. Prospective Epidemiological Research Studies in Iran (the PERSIAN Cohort Study): Rationale, objectives, and design. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2018;187(4):647–55.
8. Pasdar Y, Najafi F, Moradinazar M, Shakiba E, Karim H, Hamzeh B, et al. Cohort Profile: Ravansar Non-Communicable Disease cohort study: the first cohort study in a Kurdish population. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2019;48(3):682–3 f.
9. Najafi F, Soltani S, Karami Matin B, Kazemi Karyani A, Rezaei S, Soofi M, et al. Socioeconomic-related inequalities in overweight and obesity: findings from the PERSIAN cohort study. *BMC Public Health*. 2020;20(1):1–13.
10. Kopin L, Lowenstein CJ. Dyslipidemia. *Annals of internal medicine*. 2017 Dec 5;167(11):ITC81–96.
11. Angst F, Angst J, Ajdacic-Gross V, Aeschlimann A, Rössler W. Epidemiology of Back Pain in Young and Middle-Aged adults: a Longitudinal Population Cohort Survey from Age 27–50 years. *Psychosomatics*. 2017;58(6):604–13.
12. Mena-Iturriaga MJ, Mauri-Stecca MV, Sizer PS, Leppe J. Quality of life in chronic musculoskeletal symptomatic Chilean population: secondary analysis of National Health Survey 2009–2010. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2020;21(1):1–7.
13. Ng Y, Ibrahim N, Maakip I. Prevalence and risk factors of musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs) among primary and secondary school teachers: a narrative review. *Austin J Musculoskelet Disord*. 2017;4:1046.
14. Vega-Fernández G, Lera L, Leyton B, Cortés P, Lizana PA. Musculoskeletal disorders associated with quality of life and body composition in urban and rural public school teachers. *Front Public Health*. 2021;9:607318.
15. Bento TPF, Genebra CVDS, Maciel NM, Cornelio GP, Simeão SFAP, Vitta Ad. Low back pain and some associated factors: is there any difference between genders? *Braz J Phys Ther*. 2020;24(1):79–87.
16. González-Chica DA, Vanlint S, Hoon E, Stocks N. Epidemiology of arthritis, chronic back pain, gout, osteoporosis, spondyloarthropathies and rheumatoid arthritis among 1.5 million patients in Australian general practice: NPS MedicineWise MedicinesInsight dataset. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2018;19(1):20.
17. Benjamin DR, Frawley HC, Shields N, van de Water AT, Taylor NF. Relationship between diastasis of the rectus abdominis muscle (DRAM) and musculoskeletal dysfunctions, pain and quality of life: a systematic review. *Physiotherapy*. 2019;105(1):24–34.
18. Mendonça CR, Noll M, dos Santos Rodrigues AP, Silveira EA. High prevalence of musculoskeletal pain in individuals with severe obesity: sites, intensity, and associated factors. *Korean J Pain*. 2020;33(3):245–57.
19. Mork PJ, Vik KL, Moe B, Lier R, Bardal EM, Nilsen TIL. Sleep problems, exercise and obesity and risk of chronic musculoskeletal pain: the Norwegian HUNT study. *Eur J Public Health*. 2014;24(6):924–9.
20. Chen X, Tang H, Lin J, Zeng R. Causal relationships of obesity on chronic pain: A Two-Sample Mendelian Randomization Study. *Front Endocrinol*. 2022:1954.
21. McPhail SM, Schippers M, Marshall AL. Age, physical inactivity, obesity, health conditions, and health-related quality of life among patients receiving conservative management for musculoskeletal disorders. *Clin Interv Aging*. 2014;9:1069.
22. Oh M, Kim J, Lee S, Lee S, Lee J-D. Association between Fat distribution and chronic low back Pain among 10,606 adults: data from the Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2022;19(9):5599.
23. Darbandi M, Najafi F, Pasdar Y, Mostafaei S, Rezaeian S. Factors associated with overweight and obesity in adults using structural equation model: mediation effect of physical activity and dietary pattern. *Eating and Weight Disorders-Studies on Anorexia, Bulimia and Obesity*. 2020;25(6):1561–71.
24. Woolf AD, Erwin J, March L. The need to address the burden of musculoskeletal conditions. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol*. 2012;26(2):183–224.
25. Pasdar Y, Hamzeh B, Moradi S, Cheshmeh S, Najafi F, Moradinazar M, et al. Better muscle strength can decrease the risk of arthralgia and back & joint stiffness in Kurdish men; a cross-sectional study using data from RaNCD cohort study. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2020;21(1):686.
26. Kozinoga M, Majchrzycki M, Piotrowska S. Low back pain in women before and after menopause. *Menopause Review/Przegląd Menopauzalny*. 2015;14(3):203–7.
27. Wang YXJ. Menopause as a potential cause for higher prevalence of low back pain in women than in age-matched men. *J Orthop Translation*. 2017;8:1–4.
28. Wáng YXJ, Wáng J-Q, Káplár Z. Increased low back pain prevalence in females than in males after menopause age: evidences based on synthetic literature review. *Quant imaging Med Surg*. 2016;6(2):199.
29. Khadilkar SS. *Musculoskeletal disorders and menopause*. Springer; 2019. pp. 99–103.

### Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.