RESEARCH

Open Access

Urban-rural differences in China's crude death rate changes



Fan Xiao, Li Mei and Quanbao Jiang^{*}

Abstract

Background: From 1982 to 2010, the country's crude death rate (CDR) dropped sharply, fluctuated, and finally slightly declined. There is a big difference in CDR between urban and rural areas. From 1982 to 1990, the CDR in the country and the countryside declined, and the CDR in cities and towns rose. After 1990, the CDR in cities gradually decreased, the CDR in towns first fell and then rose, and the CDR in the countryside steadily increased. The CDR is affected by changes in the age-specific death rate (ASDR) and age structure.

Methods: This paper decomposes CDR changes into the influence of declines in ASDR and the impact of age structure changes based on 1982, 1990, 2000, and 2010 census data.

Results: The decline in ASDR reduces the CDR, and the aging population increases the CDR (including cities, towns, and the countryside). At the same time, decomposing the difference between the countryside and cities (or the countryside and towns) CDRs found that after 1990, the influence of ASDR differences and age structure differences increased with time. Our results revealed a more significant effect of ASDR differences. The combined effect of two factors (ASDR and age structure) makes the 0, 1–14, 15–64 age groups reduce the CDR, and the 65+ age group increases the CDR. In addition, the 0-year-old group has a not negligible impact on the changes in CDR, although it accounts for a small proportion of the total population.

Conclusions: The influence of ASDR and age structure differs over time (1982 to 1990, 1990 to 2000, and 2000 to 2010) and across regions (cities, towns, the countryside). Considering the slow decline in ASDR and the accelerated aging population, we can infer that the CDR in 2020 will stabilize or even rise slightly instead of dropping significantly (compared with the CDR in 2010). This study provides a basis for the formulation of relevant public health policies.

Keywords: Crude death rate, Age-specific death rate, Age structure, Decomposition, Urban-rural differences, Family planning policy

Background

The crude death rate (CDR) is defined as the number of deaths in a year per 1000 of the midyear population, and it is the simplest and most common measure of mortality [1]. CDR reflects the population's health status and can be applied for the design and evaluation of health policies. Further, the rate of natural increase (in the

*Correspondence: recluse_jqb@126.com

absence of migration), measuring how quickly a population is growing or declining, is calculated by subtracting the CDR from the crude birth rate. In addition, these three indicators (CDR, crude birth rate, rate of natural increase) can help us figure out a country's stage within the Demographic Transition Model. The three points mentioned above illustrate the importance of CDR.

The CDR is called "crude" because the denominator of the indicator includes people of all ages, but the death rate varies by age. In other words, the CDR is a function of age-specific death rate (ASDR) and age structure. In essence, a population with a high proportion of older



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Institute for Population and Development Studies, School of Public Policy and Administration, Xi'an Jiaotong University, No.28 Xianning West Road, Xi'an, Shaanxi, China

persons will have a higher CDR than a population consisting of predominantly young persons. For example, due to the aging population in more developed countries, the proportion of older adults is comparatively high, and its CDR is about 11 deaths per 1000 population [2]. On the contrary, the CDR of less developed and least developed countries with relatively young people is only 7 deaths per 1000 population [2]. Therefore, a direct comparison of the CDR in two periods or populations may lead to misleading conclusions. As a result, it is vital to analyze how ASDR and age structure affects the change in CDR, which can be achieved by the decomposition method.

China is the most populous country in the world and even small population changes can cause widespread concern. According to the world population data table, China's population would account for 18.04% of the world's total population in 2021 [2]. Based on the above two points: 1) CDR is an important indicator and easily affected by age structure; 2) China has a huge population. Studying the trends and causes of the CDR changes in the Chinese context is essential.

The gap between urban and rural areas in China is still huge, although urban and rural areas have experienced unprecedented development since the reform and opening-up in 1978. Many scholars have studied the urbanrural gap from multiple angles, including income [3-7], education [8–12], health care [13], and housing wealth [14]. It should be noted that since 1982, the Chinese population census has divided the entire population into three categories: city population (chengshi renkou), town population (zhen renkou), and the countryside population (xiang*cun renkou*); the first two live in urban areas, and the third live in rural areas [15–18]. In other words, the urban population is the sum of the city population and town population, and the rural population equals the countryside population. Based on the above analysis, it is necessary to separately discuss the CDR in cities, towns, and the countryside and the differences between the three.

The risk of death varies by age. Population with many young children or population with a high proportion of older adults will have a relatively higher CDR because mortality risk increases at a very young age and the most senior age. It is common in demography to split the population into three broad age groups: children and young adolescents (under 15 years old), the working-age population (15–64 years), and the elderly population (65 years and older). Since the mortality at age 0 dropped significantly from 1982 to 2010 (from 35.22 per 1000 live births to 13.21), we divide the 0–14 year old group into two groups: 0-year-old group and 1–14 year old group. Therefore, it is necessary to analyze CDR changes by four age groups (0, 1–14, 15–64, 65+). In this article, we developed a method of decomposing the change of CDR into contributions of ASDR changes and age structure changes. This paper proposes to use 1982, 1990, 2000, and 2010 census data to explore three questions: 1) From 1982 to 2010, the impact of the decline in ASDR and age structure changes on the CDR (including cities, towns, and the countryside); 2) Effects of ASDR and age structure on the countryside-city and the countryside-town CDR differences in 1982, 1990, 2000, and 2010; 3) From 1982 to 2010, the influence of 0, 1–14, 15–64, 65+ age groups on the changes of the CDR (including cities, towns, and the countryside). The study provides a perspective to better understand the changes in CDR in China. In the last section, we discuss the policy implications of our findings.

Methods

Drawing on the decomposition method employed by Kitagawa [19], Das Gupta [20], and Canudas Romo [21], this article decomposes the change in CDR into two parts: the influence of age structure changes and ASDR changes. In addition, Saikia and Choudhury [22] have used this method to decompose the difference in CDRs in India from 1971 to 2011. Our study differs from theirs in that we focus on differences in CDRs across periods, regions, and ages in China. In contrast, Saikia and Choudhury focused on differences in CDRs across gender and states in India and compared their results with those of the USA and Sri Lanka.

Let the superscripts x and y denote different points in time, *i* represents i years old ($i=0, 1, \dots, 89, 90+$). The decomposition of CDR changes can be indicated as follows:

$$\Delta = CDR^{y} - CDR^{x} = \sum_{i} C_{i}^{y} \cdot M_{i}^{y} - \sum_{i} C_{i}^{x} \cdot M_{i}^{x}$$

The terms M_i^x and M_i^y represent the ASDR of *i* years old at times *x* and *y*. The terms C_i^x and C_i^y describe the proportion of *i* years old at times *x* and *y*. Δ can be decomposed into:

$$\begin{split} \Delta &= \sum_{i} \left(C_{i}^{y} - C_{i}^{x} \right) \times \left[\frac{M_{i}^{x} + M_{i}^{y}}{2} \right] + \sum_{i} \left(M_{i}^{y} - M_{i}^{x} \right) \times \left[\frac{C_{i}^{x} + C_{i}^{y}}{2} \right] \\ &= \text{the influence of age structure differences} \\ &+ \text{the influence of ASDR differences} \end{split}$$

This factor decomposition method can analyze CDR changes in different periods in the same region and compare the CDR between two areas in the same period.

Data

Data sources

China's death data come from five different sources: (1) population census, conducted in 1982, 1990, 2000, 2010, and 2020 by the National Bureau of Statistics of China

(NBS). Death data include the numbers of deaths by age and gender across the country, cities, towns, and the countryside; (2) 1% national population sample survey, conducted in 1987, 1995, 2005, and 2015 by the NBS. Death data include the numbers of deaths by age and gender in the country, cities, towns, and the countryside; (3) 1‰ national sample survey on population changes, conducted every year except for population census years and 1% national population sample survey years by the NBS (from 1983 to present, except 1987, 1990, 1995, 2000, 2005, 2010, 2015, 2020). Death data include the numbers of deaths by age and gender in the country, cities, towns, and the countryside; (4) maternal and child health surveillance of China [23], conducted every year since 1991 by the National Health Commission of China (known as the Ministry of Health of China until 2013). Death data include the neonatal mortality rate, infant mortality rate, the under-five mortality rate, the maternal mortality rate in urban and rural areas; (5) United Nations inter-agency group for child mortality estimation [24]. Death data include infant mortality rate, mortality among children aged 1–4 years, and under-five mortality rate since 1969.

Data selection

To summarize, the characteristics of "large sample size", "comprehensive data information" make population census data an ideal data source for this article. In addition, two critical points need to be clarified with data selection. First, before 1982, the usable data on mortality were CDR [25, 26], infant mortality rate, mortality among children aged 1-4 years, and under-five mortality rate. The 1982 census collected detailed death data for the year prior to the census for the first time [27]. Therefore, the 1982 census provided the basis for the in-depth development of mortality studies. Second, China carried out its seventh population census in 2020, but it is expected to take two years to compile the complete data (not the major data). Thus, on the premise that the 2020 population census data is not published in full, this article uses death data from the 1982, 1990, 2000, and 2010 census data to study the CDR.

The quality of death data in the census has always been controversial, and it is necessary to judge its reliability and accuracy. Scholars believe that the death data in 1990 was significantly lower than the actual value, especially the infant mortality rate [28]. On the contrary, the death data in 2000 was higher than the actual value [29]. Only the death data in 1982 are high quality and can be used directly [25, 30]. Although the death data in 1990 and 2000 have certain deficiencies, the census data is a relatively good choice in the absence of other data. Therefore, this study still uses the unadjusted death data in 1990 and 2000 for calculation. Moreover, the infant mortality rate in 2010 was lower than the actual value [31], so we adjusted it based on the 2010 Maternal and child health surveillance (13.10 per 1000 live births) [32].

Results

Decomposition by time

Figure 1 shows the CDR across the country, cities, towns, and the countryside in 1982, 1990, 2000, and 2010. From 1982 to 2010, the country's CDR dropped sharply, fluctuated, and finally slightly declined (1982: 6.30; 1990: 5.90; 2000: 5.92; 2010: 5.69 per 1000 population). There are significant differences in CDRs among cities, towns, and the countryside. From 1982 to 1990, the CDR in the country and the countryside declined, while the CDR in cities gradually decreased (1990: 5.34; 2000: 4.21; 2010: 3.52 per 1000 population), the CDR in towns first fell and then rose (1990: 5.58; 2000: 4.45; 2010: 4.56 per 1000 population), and the CDR in the countryside steadily increased (1990: 6.42; 2000: 6.87; 2010: 7.46 per 1000 population).

Figure 2 illustrates the decomposition results of the changes in CDRs across the country, cities, towns, and the countryside. Overall, the decline in ASDR has led to a reduction in CDR, but older age structure (also known as population aging) has increased CDR. According to the findings, the CDR will drop if the impact of ASDR declines is more significant than the impact of older age structure. The CDR will increase if the effect of ASDR declines is less than the influence of older age structure. In addition, for the three periods (1982 to 1990, 1990 to 2000, 2000 to 2010), the impact of changes in ASDR and age structure across the country in the third period is greater than the first two time periods. The increase is mainly attributable to a rapid fall in the ASDR and the accelerated population aging.

For towns, the impact of ASDR changes was just 0.05 per thousand points from 1982 to 1990. This result is primarily due to an increase in the ASDR of some people and a decrease in the ASDR of others. The effects of the ASDR of different age populations cancel each other out. For example, the ASDR of the 7-year-old group fell from 0.68 per 1000 population in 1982 to 0.63 in 1990, whereas the ASDR of the 70-year-old group increased from 39.50 per 1000 population in 1982 to 42.13 in 1990. During the same period, the influence of age structure changes in towns was 0.94 per thousand points. This result is mainly attributable to the increase in the proportion of the 0-year-old group (0.56 percentage points) and 65+ age group (1.19 percentage points) in the total population.

Looking at Fig. 2, the impact of the ASDR differences in cities increased significantly in the second and third periods (1990 to 2000, 2000 to 2010). For instance, the effect of the ASDR differences in cities is -0.47 (1982 to 1990),



-1.62 (1990 to 2000), and -1.68 (2000 to 2010) per thousand points in the three periods. Towns have a similar phenomenon. This result reflects the rapid decline in ASDRs between 1990 and 2010 in cities and towns. The fundamental reason is the switch-over from the centrally planned economy to the socialist market economy in China, after which China's economy has flourished.

Similarly, the influence of changes in the ASDR in the countryside from 2000 to 2010 (-1.91 per thousand points) has increased significantly compared to the first two time periods (1982 to 1990: -0.86 per thousand points; 1990 to 2000: -0.57 per thousand points). This result reflects that the ASDR in the countryside has dropped as rapidly as in cities and towns after 2000. The decline in the ASDR in the countryside is due to the gradual establishment of the new rural cooperative medical system after 2003 [37-39]. It solved the problem of expensive (and challenging) medical care for the countryside population. As a result, the ASDR in the countryside dropped rapidly.

In addition, Fig. 2 also shows that the nationwide influence of the age structure changes from 2000 to 2010 has increased significantly compared with the previous two periods (1982 to 1990, 1990 to 2000). This phenomenon reflects the accelerated population aging from 2000 to 2010. Figure 3 illustrates this point well. According to Fig. 3, the proportion of people aged 65 accounted for 8.90% of the total in 2010, increasing 1.94 percentage points from 2000 (1982 to 1990: 0.66 percentage points, 1990 to 2000: 1.39 percentage points) [40].

Decomposition by region

Figure 4 lists the decomposition results of the countryside-city, the countryside-town CDR differences in 1982, 1990, 2000, and 2010. We can observe from Fig. 4 that from 1982 to 1990, the influence of ASDR differences and the effect of age structure differences decreased. After 1990, the influence of ASDR differences and the effect of age structure differences both increased over time. In addition, the impact of ASDR differences is greater than that of age structure differences.

In 1982, the countryside-city CDR difference was 1.47 per thousand points, mainly because the ASDR in the countryside is higher than that in the cities (the influence of ASDR differences is 1.31 per thousand points). Similarly, the differences in countryside-city and countryside-town CDRs in 1990, 2000, and 2010 were also caused by similar reasons.

After 1990, the influence of ASDR differences gradually increased in countryside-city and countryside-town.



Fig. 2 Decomposition of the crude death rates across the country, cities, towns, and the countryside from 1982 to 2010. Data Sour based on 1982, 1990, 2000, and 2010 census data [33–36]





Moreover, the effect of the countryside-city ASDR differences in 1990, 2000, and 2010 (1.08, 2.13, 2.74 per thousand points) is greater than that in countryside-town (0.82, 1.57, 1.77 per thousand points). In addition, from 1990 to 2000, the growth rate of the influence of countryside-city ASDR differences (1.05 per thousand points, 97.22%) was greater than in countryside-town (0.75 per thousand points, 91.46%). This phenomenon reflects a gradual increase in ASDR differences between cities and towns. Similarly, the influence of countryside-city (-0.01, 0.54, 1.20 per thousand points) and countryside-town (0.01, 0.86, 1.14 per thousand points) age structure differences have gradually increased in 1990, 2000, and 2010.

Decomposition by age

Table 1 illustrates the age decomposition of the CDR changes across the country, cities, towns, and the countryside. Overall, the table shows that the decline in ASDR and changes in the age structure of 0, 1–14, and 15–64 age groups decreased CDR together (except to individual

data points). Furthermore, the decline in ASDR and change in the age structure of the 65+ age group collectively increased the CDR. Additionally, the ASDR changes for all age groups have reduced the CDR. In contrast, the change in the age structure of the 15–64 and 65+ age groups increased the CDR. The role that the age groups play varies with times and regions.

The age groups play different roles in various times (1982 to 1990, 1990 to 2000, 2000 to 2010) and regions (the whole country and cities, towns, the countryside). For example, the national CDR changes from 1982 to 1990 were mainly affected by the age group of 1-14 years old. In addition, comparing the effects of different age groups (0, 1-14, 15-64, 65+) on the change in CDR, the 0-year-old group has a not negligible influence (1982 to 1990: -0.21 per thousand points; 1990 to 2000: -0.20; 2000 to 2010: -0.12).

A feature that needs to be clarified is the influence of the change in the age structure of the 0-year-old group across the country in the three periods (1982 to 1990, 1990 to 2000, and 2000 to 2010) were 0.05, -0.27, and

Table 1 D	ecompositic	n of the	country, c	ities, towns, ai	nd the counti	yside CDR	changes by a	age						
Area	Period	Total	Influence	of 0-year-old	group	Influence	of 1–14 age gi	roup	Influence	of 15-64 age	group	Influence o	of 65+ age gr	dno
			Subtotal	Influence of ASDR differences	Influence of age structure differences	Subtotal	Influence of ASDR differences	Influence of age structure differences	Subtotal	Influence of ASDR differences	Influence of age structure differences	Subtotal	Influence of ASDR differences	Influence of age structure differences
National	1982 to 1990	-0.39	- 0.21	- 0.26	0.05	- 0.26	- 0.24	- 0.02	-0.06	- 0.23	0.17	0.14	-0.31	0.45
	1990 to 2000	0.02	-0.20	0.07	-0.27	-0.14	- 0.07	-0.07	- 0.20	-0.45	0.25	0.55	-0.30	0.85
	2000 to 2010	-0.23	-0.12	- 0.15	0.03	- 0.09	-0.07	- 0.02	-0.28	- 0.66	0.38	0.26	-1.10	1.36
cities	1982 to 1990	0.18	-0.02	-0.07	0.04	90.0-	- 0.06	0.01	0.03	-0.07	0.10	0.23	-0.27	0.50
	1990 to 2000	-1.14	-0.23	-0.10	- 0.13	-0.13	- 0.08	-0.05	— 0.61	-0.78	0.17	-0.18	- 0.67	0.49
	2000 to 2010	-0.69	-0.01	- 0.01	0.00	- 0.04	-0.03	- 0.01	-0.44	- 0.63	0.19	- 0.20	-1.01	0.82
towns	1982 to 1990	0.99	0.07	-0.04	0.11	0.03	-0.01	0.03	0.26	0.16	0.10	0.63	-0.07	0.70
	1990 to 2000	-1.14	-0.24	-0.03	- 0.21	-0.17	- 0.10	-0.06	— 0.48	-0.68	0.20	-0.25	- 0.49	0.24
	2000 to 2010	0.11	-0.07	-0.08	0.01	-0.05	- 0.04	-0.01	— 0.21	-0.53	0.33	0.44	-0.74	1.18
countryside	1982 to 1990	-0.22	-0.17	- 0.24	0.07	- 0.26	-0.24	- 0.02	0.02	— 0.15	0.16	0.19	-0.24	0.43
	1 990 to 2 000	0.45	-0.24	0.12	-0.36	-0.17	- 0.08	-0.09	- 0.06	-0.37	0.31	0.93	-0.23	1.15
	2000 to 2010	0.59	-0.14	-0.23	0.09	-0.11	- 0.08	-0.02	0.00	-0.53	0.52	0.84	-1.08	1.92
Data sources:	Calculated bas	ed on 1982	, 1990, 2000), and 2010 censu	is data [<mark>33–36</mark>]									

0.03 per thousand points, respectively. It shows that the change in age structure during the second period (1990 to 2000) is greater than that in the other two periods. This characteristic exists in the whole country, cities, towns, and the countryside. How to understand this feature? This is mainly due to the change in the proportion of the 0-year-old population. Taking national data as an example, the proportion of the 0-year-old population *increased slightly* from 1982 to 1990 (0.16 percentage points) and from 2000 to 2010 (0.16 percentage points), but it *dropped significantly* from 1990 to 2000 (1.08 percentage points).

Conclusions and Discussion

Since the 1980s, China's CDR has experienced a process of sharp decline, slight fluctuations, and minor declines. Based on the census data in 1982, 1990, 2000, and 2010, this paper uses a decomposition method to decompose CDR changes into the influence of ASDR changes and age structure changes. The main conclusions of this study are as follows:

First, the decline in ASDR reduces the CDR, and the aging population increases the CDR (including cities, towns, and the countryside). The reason is that the rapid development of society and the economy has caused a rapid decline in ASDR [41–43]. Data show that China's per capita GDP had increased from 533 yuan in 1982 to 30,808 yuan in 2010 (72,000 yuan in 2020) [40]. The aging population is caused by many factors: 1) The strict family planning policy (the one-child policy) implemented from 1980 to 2007 and the rapid decline in fertility desire caused a sharp drop in the total fertility rate (from 5.80 in 1970 to 1.18 in 2010) [44–46]. 2) Improving living standards, medical standards, and hygiene standards have increased the average life expectancy.

Second, decomposing the difference between the countryside and cities (or the countryside and towns) CDRs found that after 1990, the influence of ASDR differences and age structure differences increased with time. Comparing the two revealed a more significant effect of ASDR differences. The following reasons could explain this. On the one hand, the ASDR in the countryside is higher than that in cities and towns, and the decline rate is slower than that in cities and towns. Because per capita income, medical conditions, and participation rate of basic medical insurance in the countryside are lower than those in cities and towns. On the other hand, since the 1980s, the number of migrant workers has increased, and the growth rate has accelerated. According to the 2020 Migrant Workers Monitoring Survey Report, the average age of migrant workers is 36.6 years old, of which 66.8% are 40 years old and below, and 14.2% are over 50 years old [47]. The continuous and large-scale rural-to-urban

migration has promoted the younger population of cities and towns (and the aging of the countryside population). Specifically, the scale of migrant workers in 1989, 2001, and 2010 were 30.00 million, 89.61 million, 153.35 million, respectively [48–50].

Third, the combined effect of two factors (ASDR and age structure) makes the 0, 1-14, 15-64 age groups reduce the CDR, and the 65+ age group increases the CDR. For the 65+ age group, the proportion of the total population increased, and the ASDR decreased. Still, the former had more effect than the latter, so the 65+ age group increased the CDR. In addition, the 0-year-old group has a not negligible impact on the CDR changes, although it accounts for a small proportion of the total population (1982: 1.94%; 1990: 2.11%; 2000: 1.02%;2010: 1.19%). First, the implementation of the one-child policy and the decline of fertility desire have significantly reduced the proportion of the 0-year-old group (from 1.94% in 1982 to 1.19% in 2010). Second, in recent decades, the rapid economic development, the improvement of living standards, and the continuous improvement of medical services and sanitation conditions have all contributed to a substantial reduction in mortality (especially mortality at age 0) [from 35.22 per 1000 live births in 1982 to 13.21 in 2010]. Considering the above two reasons, the 0-year-old group has played a vital role in changing the CDR.

Fourth, the CDR in 2020 will not drop significantly but will stabilize or even rise slightly (compared with the CDR in 2010). On the one hand, the population aging will be more evident in 2020. There are two reasons: 1) the number of births has not increased significantly after 2010. Since 1980, the Chinese government has successively implemented the following family planning policies: the one-child policy (1980), the policy that allows couples to have a second child if each parent is an only child (2007) [except Henan province, which followed in 2011] [51], the policy that allows couples to have a second child if one of the parents is an only child (2013), the universal two-child policy (2015), and the three-child policy (2021). According to Fig. 5, the crude birth rate rose slightly from 1982 to 1987, fell sharply from 1987 to 2010, fluctuated around 13 births per 1000 population from 2011 to 2016, and dropped rapidly from 2017 to 2020 (of which, it fell to a new low of 8.52 births per 1000 population in 2020). From another perspective, China's fertility rate did not continue to rise after 2007, although the government gradually relaxed its family planning policy in 2007, 2013, 2015, and 2021. Scholars generally believe that China's fertility rate will not rise sharply in the future (more likely to fluctuate or even decline) [15]. The number of births in China in Fig. 5 confirms our conjecture. From 2005 to 2016, the annual number of births





fluctuated from 13.5 to 15.0 million. The number of births increased to 17.09 million in 2017 and has declined since then (a record low in 2020: 12 million). 2) The number and proportion of older adults aged 65 and above are increasing. With the improvement of living standards, the average life expectancy in China has increased from 74.83 years to 77.30 years (2010 to 2019) [40, 52]. In addition, from 2010 to 2020, the number of people aged 65 and over has increased from 118.94 million to 190.64 million; the proportion of people aged 65 and over has increased from 8.9% to 13.5% [40]. In short, the decline in the number of births and the increase in the number of people aged 65 and over have made the population aging even more severe. On the other hand, the mortality of centenarians has not decreased noticeably in recent decades, but a significant mortality decline in younger age groups [53]. In other words, the average life span can grow due to medical and societal advancement, but maximal lifespan, even in the greatest of circumstances, is eventually restricted by biology: the very last surviving people live to 120 years old, not 150 or 200 [54]. Based on the above analysis (slow decline in ASDR and accelerated aging population process), it can be inferred that the CDR in 2020 will stabilize or even rise slightly instead of dropping significantly.

This study provides a basis for the formulation of relevant public health policies. The CDR is affected by age distribution. The relatively older population generally will have higher CDR, even if two regions have the same ASDRs. From 1982 to 2010, the changing trends of the CDR in cities, towns, and the countryside were different. We analyzed the reasons behind them. The increase in the CDR in the countryside after 1990 was mainly due to the accelerated population aging. Its essence is that the countryside' economic development and medical care are lower than in cities. Therefore, public health policies should be formulated with particular preferences for the countryside. In addition, the government should develop policies to focus on solving the social security problems of left-behind elderly (especially living alone) and disabled elderly in the countryside.

The study also has certain limitations. One limitation is that the quality of the census data influences our results and conclusions. In analyzing the urban-rural differences in China's CDR changes, we have no access to the 2020 population census, which is, of course, more representative in describing the recent changes. However, the decomposition of China's CDR is still meaningful. The results and conclusions can help deepen the understanding of China's CDR changes and their causes.

Abbreviations

ASDR: Age-specific death rate; CDR: Crude death rate; NBS: National Bureau of Statistics of China; PCO: Population Census Office under the State Council.

Acknowledgements

The author wishes to acknowledge the statistical office that provided the underlying data making this research possible: National Bureau of Statistics, China. We sincerely appreciate the helpful comments and suggestions from three anonymous reviewers and the editor. We also thank Kang Wang (Xi'an Jiaotong University, China) for revising the language of this manuscript.

Authors' contributions

QJ conceived the idea, FX and LM processed the data, FX drafted the original manuscript. All authors revised the manuscript, interpreted the results, and drew conclusions. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This research is supported by the Major Program of the National Social Science Foundation of China to QJ (Grant No. 15ZDB136).

Availability of data and materials

The data described in this article can be freely and openly accessed on the website of the National Bureau of Statistics of China: http://www.stats.gov.cn/tjsj/pcsj/

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study used secondary data from the public domain, and information that can identify specific individuals was not included. Ethics approval and consent to participate are not applicable. All methods were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 6 August 2021 Accepted: 4 February 2022 Published online: 25 February 2022

References

- 1. Siegel JS, Swanson DA. The methods and materials of demography. 2rd ed. San Diego: Elsevier Academic Press; 2004.
- Population reference bureau. World population data sheet. 2021. Available from: https://www.prb.org/news/2021-world-population-datasheet-released/.
- Zhu S, Yu C, He C. Export structures, income inequality and urban-rural divide in China. Appl Geogr. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2020. 102150.
- Su CW, Liu TY, Chang HL, Jiang XZ. Is urbanization narrowing the urban-rural income gap? A cross-regional study of China. Habitat Int. 2015;48:79–86.
- Zhou Q, Li Z. The impact of industrial structure upgrades on the urbanrural income gap: an empirical study based on china's provincial panel data. Growth Chang. 2021;52(3):1761–82.
- Jiang Y, Shi X, Zhang S, Ji J. The threshold effect of high-level human capital investment on China's urban-rural income gap. China Agric Econ Rev. 2011;3(3):297–320.
- Ma X, Wang F, Chen J, Zhang Y. The income gap between urban and rural residents in China: since 1978. Comput Econ. 2018;52(4):1153–74.
- Fu Q, Ren Q. Educational inequality under China's rural-urban divide: the hukou system and return to education. Environ Plan A. 2010;3:592–610.
- Li M, Yang R. Interrogating institutionalized establishments: urbanrural inequalities in China's higher education. Asia Pac Educ Rev. 2013;14(3):315–23.

- Wang L. Social exclusion and education inequality: towards an integrated analytical framework for the urban-rural divide in China. Br J Sociol Educ. 2012;33(3):409–30.
- Li A. Unfulfilled promise of educational meritocracy? Academic ability and China's urban-rural gap in access to higher education. Chin Sociol Rev. 2019;51(2):115–46.
- 12. Wang X, Shao S, Li L. Agricultural inputs, urbanization, and urban-rural income disparity: evidence from China. China Econ Rev. 2019;55:67–84.
- Ma C, Song Z, Zong Q. Urban-rural inequality of opportunity in health care: evidence from China. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021;18(15):7792.
- 14. Wang Y, Li Y, Huang Y, Yi C, Ren J. Housing wealth inequality in China: an urban-rural comparison. Cities. 2020;96:102428.
- Jiang Q, Yang S, Li S, Feldman MW. The decline in China's fertility level: a decomposition analysis. J Biosoc Sci. 2019;6:785–98.
- Zhou Y, Ma LJC. China's urbanization levels: reconstructing a baseline from the fifth population census. China Q. 2003;173:176–96.
- 17. Qin B, Zhang Y. Note on urbanization in China: urban definitions and census data. China. Econ Rev. 2014;30(C):495–502.
- Jiang Q, Yu Q, Yang S, Sánchez-Barricarte JJ. Changes in sex ratio at birth in China: a decomposition by birth order. J Biosoc Sci. 2017;49(6):826–41.
- Kitagawa EM. Components of a difference between two rates. J Am Stat Assoc. 1955;50(272):1168–94.
- 20. Das GP. Decomposition of the difference between two rates and its consistency when more than two populations are involved. Math Popul Stud. 1991;3(2):105–25.
- 21. Canudas RV. Decomposition methods in demography. Amsterdam: Rozenberg Publishers; 2003.
- Saikia N, Choudhury L. Decomposition of crude death rates for India and its selected States-1971 and 2011. Indian J Public Health Res Dev. 2017;8(3):28–33.
- 23. Maternal and Child Health Surveillance of China. Available from: http:// www.mchscn.cn/
- 24. United Nations Inter-agency Group for Child Mortality Estimation. Available from: https://childmortality.org
- 25. Banister J. An analysis of recent data on the population of China. Popul Dev Rev. 1984;2:241–71.
- 26. Banister J, Hill K. Mortality in China 1964-2000. Popul Stud. 2004;58(1):55–75.
- Zhou S, Zou G, Chen X, Yu H, Wang J, Fang P, et al. Educational attainment and mortality: results from the sixth population census in China. J Glob Health. 2019;9(2):20604.
- Zhai Z. Adjustment of infant mortality rate in 1990 and life table estimation. J Popul Res. 1993;2:9–16 (In Chinese).
- Li S, Sun F. Mortality analysis of China's 2000 population census data: a preliminary examination. China Rev. 2003;2:31–48.
- Wu X, He G. The evolution of population census undertakings in China, 1953-2010. China Rev. 2015;1:171–206.
- Hu S, Wang F, Yu C. Evaluation and estimation of the provincial infant mortality rate in China's sixth census. Biomed Environ Sci. 2015;6:410–20.
- 32. National Health Commission of China. China health statistics yearbook (2011). Beijing: Peking Union Medical College Press; 2012. (In Chinese)
- 33. Population Census Office under the State Council (PCO), Department of Population Statistics, National Bureau of Statistics of China. Tabulation on the 1982 population census of the People's Republic of China. Beijing: China Statistics Press; 1985. (In Chinese)
- 34. Population Census Office under the State Council (PCO), Department of Population Statistics, National Bureau of Statistics of China. Tabulation on the 1990 population census of the People's Republic of China. Beijing: China Statistics Press; 1993. (In Chinese)
- 35. Population census office under the state council (PCO), Department of Population, social science and technology statistics, National Bureau of Statistics of China. Tabulation on the 2000 population census of the People's republic of China. Beijing: China Statistics Press; 2002. (In Chinese)
- 36. Population Census Office under the State Council (PCO), Department of Population and Employment Statistics, National Bureau of Statistics of China. Tabulation on the 2010 population census of the People's Republic of China. Beijing: China Statistics Press; 2012. (In Chinese)

- Liu Y, Yuan Z, Liu Y, Jayasinghe UW, Harris MF. Changing community health service delivery in economically less-developed rural areas in China: impact on service use and satisfaction. BMJ Open. 2014;4(2):e004148.
- Albin B, Hjelm K, Zhang WC. Health care systems in Sweden and China: legal and formal organisational aspects. Health Res Policy Syst. 2010;8(1):1–8.
- Wang X, He X, Zheng A, Ji X. The effects of China's new cooperative medical scheme on accessibility and affordability of healthcare services: an empirical research in Liaoning Province. BMC Health Serv Res. 2014;14(1):1–10.
- 40. National Bureau of Statistics of China (NBS). China Statistical Yearbook (2021). Beijing: China Statistics Press; 2021. (In Chinese)
- Bloom DE, Canning D. Commentary: the Preston curve 30 years on: still sparking fires. Int J Epidemiol. 2007;3:498–9.
- 42. Cervellati M, Sunde U. Life expectancy and economic growth: the role of the demographic transition. J Econ Growth. 2011;2:99–133.
- Janssen F, Kunst AE, Mackenbach JP. Association between gross domestic product throughout the life course and old-age mortality across birth cohorts: parallel analyses of seven European countries, 1950-1999. Soc Sci Med. 2006;1:239–54.
- Cai Y. China's below-replacement fertility: government policy or socioeconomic development. Popul Dev Re. 2010;3:419–40.
- Cai Y. China's new demographic reality: learning from the 2010 census. Popul Dev Re. 2013;3:371–96.
- Jiang Q, Li Y, Sánchez-Barricarte JJ. Fertility intention, son preference, and second childbirth: survey findings from Shaanxi Province of China. Soc Indic Res. 2016;3:935–53.
- National Bureau of Statistics of China (NBS). 2020 Migrant Workers Monitoring Survey Report (In Chinese). 2021. Available from: http://www.stats. gov.cn/tjsj/zxfb/202104/t20210430_1816933.html.
- Fan X, Qie S. Analysis of China's rural labour force and transfer (in Chinese). 2002. Available from: http://www.stats.gov.cn/ztjc/ztfx/fxbg/ 200205/t20020531_14092.html
- Research Office of the State Council of China. Research report on Chinese migrant workers. Beijing: China Yan Shi Press; 2006. (In Chinese)
- National Bureau of Statistics of China (NBS). 2011 Migrant Workers Monitoring Survey Report (In Chinese). 2012. Available from: http://www.stats. gov.cn/ztjc/ztfx/fxbg/201204/t20120427_16154.html.
- Zeng Y, Hesketh T. The effects of China's universal two-child policy. Lancet. 2016;10054:1930–8.
- National Bureau of Statistics of China (NBS). Statistical communique on China's 2019 health care development (In Chinese). 2020. Available from: http://www.nhc.gov.cn/guihuaxxs/s10748/202006/ebfe31f24cc145b 198dd730603ec4442.shtml.
- Gavrilov LA, Krut'ko VN, Gavrilova NS. The future of human longevity. Gerontology. 2017;63(6):524–6.
- 54. Le Bourg E, Vijg J. The future of human longevity: time for a reality check. Gerontology. 2017;63(6):527–8.
- 55. Department of Population and Employment Statistics, National Bureau of Statistics of China (NBS). Tabulation on the 2015 1% national population sample survey of the People's republic of China. Beijing: China Statistics Press; 2016. (In Chinese)
- 56. Department of Population and Employment Statistics, National Bureau of Statistics of China (NBS). Tabulation on the 2005 1% national population sample survey of the People's republic of China. Beijing: China Statistics Press; 2006. (In Chinese)
- National Population Sample Survey Office, National Bureau of Statistics of China (NBS). Tabulation on the 1995 1% national population sample survey of the People's republic of China. Beijing: China Statistics Press; 1996. (In Chinese)
- Department of Population Statistics, National Bureau of Statistics of China (NBS). Tabulation on the 1987 1% national population sample survey of the People's republic of China. Beijing: China Statistics Press; 1988. (In Chinese)
- Department of Population and Employment Statistics, National Bureau of Statistics of China (NBS). China Population and Employment Statistical Yearbook (2020). Beijing: China Statistics Press; 2020. (In Chinese)
- 60. Department of Population and Employment Statistics, National Bureau of Statistics of China (NBS). China Population and Employment Statistical Yearbook (2019). Beijing: China Statistics Press; 2019. (In Chinese)

- 61. Department of Population and Employment Statistics, National Bureau of Statistics of China (NBS). China Population and Employment Statistical Yearbook (2018). Beijing: China Statistics Press; 2018. (In Chinese)
- 62. Department of Population and Employment Statistics, National Bureau of Statistics of China (NBS). China Population and Employment Statistical Yearbook (2017). Beijing: China Statistics Press; 2017. (In Chinese)
- 63. Department of Population and Employment Statistics, National Bureau of Statistics of China (NBS). China Population and Employment Statistical Yearbook (2016). Beijing: China Statistics Press; 2016. (In Chinese)
- 64. Department of Population and Employment Statistics, National Bureau of Statistics of China (NBS). China Population and Employment Statistical Yearbook (2015). Beijing: China Statistics Press; 2015. (In Chinese)
- 65. Department of Population and Employment Statistics, National Bureau of Statistics of China (NBS). China Population and Employment Statistical Yearbook (2014). Beijing: China Statistics Press; 2014. (In Chinese)
- 66. Department of Population and Employment Statistics, National Bureau of Statistics of China (NBS). China Population and Employment Statistical Yearbook (2013). Beijing: China Statistics Press; 2013. (In Chinese)
- 67. Department of Population and Employment Statistics, National Bureau of Statistics of China (NBS). China Population and Employment Statistical Yearbook (2012). Beijing: China Statistics Press; 2012. (In Chinese).
- National Health Commission of China. The Statistical Bulletin of China's Health Development (2020). 2021. Available from: http://www.gov.cn/ guoqing/2021-07/22/content_5626526.htm

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

