# RESEARCH

**BMC** Pediatrics

## **Open Access**



# Intrauterine growth pattern in Butajira HDSS, Southern Ethiopia: BUNMAP pregnancy cohort

Yalemwork G. Mengistu<sup>1\*</sup>, Damen Hailemariam<sup>2</sup>, Meselech A. Roro<sup>3</sup>, Bilal S. Endris<sup>1</sup>, Kokeb Tesfamariam<sup>4</sup> and Seifu H. Gebreyesus<sup>1</sup>

## Abstract

Background Abnormal fetal growth pattern during pregnancy including excessive fetal size and intrauterine growth restrictions are the major determinants for perinatal outcomes and postnatal growth. Ultrasonography is a useful tool in monitoring fetal growth for appropriate care and interventions. However, there are few longitudinal studies using serial ultrasonography in low and middle-income countries. Moreover, the reference charts used for fetal growth monitoring in low-income countries comes from high income countries with distinct population features. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to evaluate the intrauterine growth pattern of the fetus using serial ultrasonography.

Methods We conducted a prospective community-based cohort study from March 2018 to December 2019. Pregnant women with gestational age of 24 weeks or below living in the Butajira HDSS were enrolled. We followed the pregnant women until delivery. Serial ultrasound measurements were taken, and fetal weight was estimated using the Hadlock algorithm based on biparietal diameter, head circumference, abdominal circumference, and femur length. The z-scores and percentiles of biometric measurements were calculated and compared to the INTER-GROWTH-21<sup>st</sup> International Standards for Fetal Growth.

Results We reviewed a total of 2055 ultrasound scans and 746 women who fulfill the inclusion criteria were involved". We found similar distribution patterns of biometric measurements and estimated fetal weight compared to the previous study done in Ethiopia, the WHO and INTERGROWTH-21<sup>st</sup> references. In our study, the 5<sup>th</sup>,50<sup>th</sup> and 95<sup>th</sup> percentiles of estimated fetal weight distribution have a similar pattern to the WHO and INTERGROWTH-21<sup>st</sup> charts. The 50<sup>th</sup> and 95<sup>th</sup> percentile had also a similar distribution pattern with the previous study conducted in Ethiopia. We found that 10% of the fetus were small for gestational age (below the 10<sup>th</sup> percentile) based on the Z-score of estimated fetal weight.

**Conclusion** Our study evaluated the fetal growth patterns in rural community of Ethiopia using serial ultrasound biometric measurements. We found similar IUG patterns to the WHO and INTERGROWTH-21<sup>st</sup> reference standards as well as the previous study conducted in Ethiopia.

Keywords Intrauterine growth, Fetal growth, Growth pattern, BUNMAP, Longitudinal study, Ultrasound, Cohort study Ethiopia

\*Correspondence: Yalemwork G. Mengistu yalemworkgetnet@gmail.com Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2023. Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativeco mmons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

## Introduction

Pregnancy is the time in which perinatal outcomes and postnatal growth are established [1]. Fetal size and growth trajectories are important indicators of underlying fetal health during pregnancy. Recently, fetal ultrasound has achieved a central role in diagnosis and management of fetal growth deviations. Small for gestational age (SGA) (smaller in size than the normal for their gestational age) is one of the fetal growth deviations [2]. An estimated fetal weight (EFW) below the 10<sup>th</sup> centile is the most commonly used sonographic definition of small for gestational age (SGA) [3].

Detection of an abnormal growth trajectory appears to be a better evaluator of a fetus failing to achieve its growth potential. Serial observation of biometric growth patterns is the most commonly used method to determinate fetal weight [4], and to ascertain expected growth and checking that the fetus is not growth restricted [5]. Ultrasound estimation of fetal weight before birth is very widely used in today's clinical practice, and, it is essential for the identification and management of high-risk pregnancies [6]. Ultrasound imaging could help for estimating gestational age, fetal size, and early diagnosis of fetal anomalies. This will help for the reduction of mortality rates among mothers and their babies [7].

There are very few ultrasound based multicenter global studies which were mainly conducted by WHO and the INTERGROWTH 21<sup>st</sup> Project showing the intra uterine growth pattern and fetal growth chart development from few countries of the world [6, 8]. However, such global studies hide local fetal growth patterns and risk summarizing possibly dissimilar characteristics where dietary habits, adequacy of food intake, health care utilization, and cultural practices are different. Besides, in studies of the Caucasian populations, significant differences in fetal growth were found among different ethnic groups [9]. This shows that racial/ethnic-specific standards improve the precision in evaluating fetal growth.

In Ethiopia, one longitudinal study assessed intrauterine growth patterns in a rural setting and droughtaffected population using serial ultrasonographic examination [10]. However, the study was only conducted on fetuses between 24 and 36 weeks of gestation and did not show the full course of growth pattern of fetus. Moreover, the growth pattern in Ethiopia and in Africa at large were not studied sufficiently and the country differences with maternal factors need to adjust the growth charts for local clinical use to increase the accuracy of fetal assessment and to avoid unnecessary obstetric interventions at the time of delivery. Therefore, this study aimed to evaluate the intrauterine growth pattern using prospective longitudinal serial ultrasound measurements in Butajira Ethiopia as the previous WHO and INTERGROWTH 21<sup>st</sup> studies focused on global context and among only few countries of the world.

## Methods and materials Study design and setting

We conducted a prospective community-based cohort study among pregnant women in Butajira, Health and Demographic Surveillance System (HDSS) in Meskanena Mareko District of Ethiopia. Butajira is the district's capital located 135 km south of Addis Ababa, the capital city of Ethiopia. Butajira HDSS is one of the oldest surveillance sites in Africa established in 1986. The site for the HDSS has an average altitude of 2,500 m above sea level with a range of 1,500 m in the low lands to 3,500 m in the mountainous areas [11]. It consists of nine rural and one urban kebeles from different ecological zones.

This study is part of an already ongoing mother-child cohort study established in 2018 by the School of Public Health, Addis Ababa University named Butajira Nutrition, Mental health, and Pregnancy (BUNMAP) Project.

## Study population

We included pregnant women living in Butajira HDSS and followed them until delivery. Pregnant women were identified by data collectors using house to house interview of every woman of reproductive age (15–49 years) to ask if she was pregnant. If a woman responded that she was not pregnant or did not know her pregnancy status, the data collectors used a WHO checklist to determine reasonable certainty that a woman is not pregnant [12]. For those women who were suspected to be pregnant based on their interview responses or the WHO checklist, an ultrasound scan was performed to verify the pregnancy and estimate gestational age. We then included all pregnant women with a gestational age of 24 weeks or below who agreed to participate in the study.

Participant recruitment was started in March 2018, and we followed them up to December 2019. For this analysis, we included all pregnant women under the follow up of the BUNMAP project who had two or more ultrasound visits after 14 weeks of gestation. A total of 746 pregnant women with singleton fetus were included in the present analysis.

## Data collection

We collected data on baseline socioeconomic status, maternal age, maternal blood pressure, maternal hemoglobin, anthropometric measurements (maternal weight, height and mid-upper arm circumference) and obstetric history. Maternal conditions that could affect fetal growth and birth weight, such as twin pregnancy, congenital malformation, hypertensive disorders, malnutrition and anemia were assessed. The women were assessed at nearby health facilities (health centers and health posts) They were given a followup card to visit the health facility to attend at least three prescheduled visits at 14, 26, and 36 weeks of gestation. They were also reminded by data collectors through hometo-home visit one day prior to their appointment date.

We collected the fetal biometric data using transabdominal ultrasound examination with portable Sonosite M-Turbo diagnostic imaging, and full color flow mapping ultrasound system (FUJIFILM SonoSite Inc., Bothell, WA 98021 USA) at a time of enrolment and each subsequent visits. Ultrasound examinations were done by a midwife trained and validated by senior obstetrician. She has an experience of ultrasound scan before this study [10]. The approximate estimated date of delivery was determined by ultrasound at recruitment for the study. Intrauterine growth of the fetus was followed using serial ultrasound examination. At inclusion, gestational age (GA) and estimated date of delivery were calculated using crown-rump length (CRL) when pregnancy was between 8 and 13 weeks of gestation. After 13 weeks of gestation, fetal weight estimation was done using the Hadlock algorithms based on head circumference (HC), biparental diameter (BPD), abdominal circumference Any abnormality identified during an ultra-sonographic examination was communicated to the mothers and linked to nearby hospitals for further management.

The mid-upper-arm circumference (MUAC) was determined using a standard MUAC tape.

Mid upper arm circumference (MUAC) of the women less than 23 cm was considered as an indicator for maternal malnutrition [14, 15]. Pregnant women with MUAC below 23 cm were linked to the nearby health institution for further nutritional management and intervention according to the national guideline of malnutrition management [16].

## Data analysis

We entered the data using Epi data software version 3.1. Then, we exported the data to the IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 26 (IBM Corp., Armonk, N.Y., USA) for further cleaning and analysis. Reference curves were estimated based on centiles for each biometry and estimated fetal weight (EFW) at each gestational age from 14 to 38 weeks. Due to the small number of observations



Fig. 1 Pregnant women enrolled and retained in the final analysis of the BUNMAP cohort, Butajira Ethiopia 2018–2019. BUNMAP, Butajira Nutrition, Mental health, and Pregnancy; U/S, ultrasound

at 39 and 40 weeks of gestation, we didn't include them in the analysis of this study. The z-scores and percentiles of biometric measurements were calculated and fitted to INTERGROWTH-21<sup>st</sup> International Standards for Fetal Growth (V1.0.6257.25224) to compare the growth of many fetuses to the standards [6, 8].

Z-score of estimated fetal weight was calculated using the INTERGROWTH  $21^{st}$  beta version 1.0 calculator for estimated fetal weight [17]. The proportion of fetuses who are small for gestational age were evaluated after identifying the  $10^{th}$  centiles of the z-score for estimated fetal weight for gestational age 22-38 weeks. All estimated biometric measurements were computed to the nearest whole number for constructed tables of EFW and to the nearest one decimal place for BPD, HC, AC, and FL.

Pregnant women with twin pregnancies, abortion, congenital malformations and had only one ultrasound

**Table 1** Socio-demographiccharacteristicsofmothersparticipated in the study, Butajira Ethiopia, 2018–2019

Age		
15–24	232 (31.1)	
25–34	467 (62.6)	
35–44	47 (6.3)	
Educational status		
Completed College/ University	11(1.5)	
Completed secondary education	75 (10.1)	
Primary (grade 1–8)	358 (48)	
Read &write	82 (11)	
illiterate	220 (29.5)	
Ethnicity		
Guragie	532 (71.3)	
Siltie	161 (21.6)	
Other	53 (7.1)	
Religion		
Muslim	638 (85.5)	
Orthodox	74 (9.9)	
protestant	34 (4.6)	
Occupation		
Housewife	564 (75.6)	
Farmer	70 (9.4)	
Merchant	66 (8.8)	
Other	46 (6.2)	
Residency		
Urban	149 (20)	
Rural	597(80)	
Hemoglobin (n=731)		
<11gm/dl	61 (8.2)	
>11am/dl	670 (89.8)	

scan after 14 weeks of gestation were excluded from the analysis.

## **Measurements and definitions**

Small for gestational age: Small for gestational age (SGA) is defined as an estimated fetal weight below the 10<sup>th</sup> percentile for gestational age [18].

Maternal under-nutrition: A pregnant mother was defined as undernourished if her mid-upper arm circumference (MUAC) fell below 23 cm [19].

Anemia: A pregnant woman was considered anemic if her hemoglobin level was <11 g/dl [20].

## Results

In the BUNMAP Project, a total of 1,860 women were screened and 1,024 of them met the inclusion criteria. Out of the 1,024 women who were enrolled in the study, 196 women exited from the study. Eighty-two women (17 twin pregnancies, four fetuses with congenital anomalies, eight abortions, and 53 women with only

**Table 2** Descriptive characteristics of estimated fetal weight anddistribution of ultrasound examinations in relation to gestationalage, Butajira Ethiopia, 2018–2019

Gestational age (weeks)	Number of observations	Estimated fetal weight (g)			
		Minimum	Maximum	$Mean\pmSD$	CV%
14	60	68	107	83±9	10.8
15	52	90	127	111±9	8.1
16	59	108	177	140±13	9.3
17	65	143	209	173±14	8.1
18	59	183	290	$215 \pm 22$	10.2
19	53	200	328	$271 \pm 25$	9.2
20	64	199	416	$320 \pm 31$	9.7
21	80	232	486	$383 \pm 35$	9.1
22	72	387	544	$466 \pm 35$	7.5
23	67	473	780	$553 \pm 50$	9
24	63	500	749	$646\pm46$	7.1
25	93	593	980	$756 \pm 58$	7.7
26	102	615	1036	$845\pm66$	7.8
27	137	798	1408	$974\pm74$	7.6
28	104	940	1315	$2000\pm78$	3.9
29	76	993	1559	$1265 \pm 91$	7.2
30	78	1171	1636	$1415 \pm 99$	7
31	94	1194	1860	$1572 \pm 124$	7.9
32	58	1439	2043	$1780 \pm 119$	6.7
33	86	1528	2325	$2008 \pm 145$	7.2
34	126	1800	2521	$2208 \pm 129$	5.8
35	130	2042	2999	$2446 \pm 169$	6.9
36	134	2234	3091	$2708 \pm 164$	6.1
37	94	2558	3554	$2957 \pm 172$	5.8
38	49	2825	3515	$3173 \pm 137$	4.3



**Fig. 2** Estimated fetal weight (gm) by percentiles (14–38 weeks), Butajira Ethiopia 2018–2019. Distribution of the  $5^{th}$ ,  $10^{th}$ ,  $50^{th}$ ,  $90^{th}$ , and  $95^{th}$  percentiles of estimated fetal weight, n = 2055 observations

single ultrasound measurement in the gestational age 14–38 weeks) were excluded from the analysis (Fig. 1).

We analyzed a total of 2,055 ultrasound scans among 746 singleton fetuses. In the present analysis, we included pregnant women with 2 and above ultrasound measurements from 14–38 weeks of gestation. Growth patterns were analyzed, and growth charts were developed based on the estimated fetal weight (EFW) of 746 singleton fetuses. Percentiles of estimated fetal weight and biometric measurements were analyzed for fetuses above 14 weeks of gestation. Percentiles for small for gestational age was analyzed among fetuses above 22 weeks of gestation.

## **Baseline maternal characteristics**

The median age of the women during enrolment was 26 years (interquartile range, 23–30). Almost all (745/746) of them were married, 85.5% were Muslim by religion and 71.3% are Guragie by ethnicity. Majority of them (75.6%) were housewife, 48% completed their primary education (grade 1–8), and 29.5% were illiterate. The median mid-upper arm circumference was 24.6 cm (interquartile range, 23.4- 26.5), and 23.1% of the women have mid upper arm circumference below 23 cm. The detail socio demographic characteristics of participants shown in Table 1.

## Intrauterine growth evaluation

The median number of ultrasound scan per fetus was 3 ranging from 2–6. Nearly, 48% (357/746) had three ultrasound scans, 37.3% (278/746) had two ultrasound scans, and 13.4% (100/746) had four ultrasound scans between 14–38 weeks. Out of the 2,055 ultrasound scans, 52.7% (1084/2055) scans done in the gestational age 25–35 weeks, 33.8% (694/2055) in 14–24 weeks and 13.5% (277/2055) done in 36–38 weeks of gestation. The distribution of ultrasound examination and descriptive statistics of EFW by gestational age presented in Table 2 (placed at the end of the manuscript). It shows that the coefficient of variation decreased as the gestational age increased.

We plotted smoothed 5<sup>th</sup>,10<sup>th</sup>, 50<sup>th</sup>, 90<sup>th</sup> and 95<sup>th</sup> percentiles of estimated fetal weight as shown in Fig. 2. The growth chart shows similar growth pattern with the standards. The growth pattern distribution in comparison to different studies (Ethio-Adamitulu, WHO and INTERGROWTH studies) is also presented in Fig. 3.

To calculate the z-score for EFW, we included a total of 1,531 ultrasound scans whose gestational age is  $\geq$  22 weeks. The z-score for estimated fetal weight ranged from-3.33 to 2.99, with a mean of -0.01 ± 0.67 as shown in Fig. 4. We found that 10% of the fetus were small for gestational age (below the 10<sup>th</sup> percentile) based on the Z-score of EFW. Small for gestational age was also



Fig. 3 Distribution of the 5<sup>th</sup>, 50<sup>th</sup> and 95<sup>th</sup> percentiles for estimated fetal weight for gestational ages 22 to 38 weeks. Selected percentiles taken from WHO study [6], INTERGROWTH-21<sup>st</sup> study [8], Butajira-Ethiopia (current study) and Adamitulu-Ethiopia study [10]



z-score

**Fig. 4** Z-score of estimated fetal weight, Butajira Ethiopia, 2018–2019. The Z-score distribution ranged from -3.33 to 2.99. n = 1,531 observations for the gestational age  $\geq$  22 weeks included in the analysis

found to be 10.1% based on the Z- score of abdominal circumferences.

The 5<sup>th</sup>,50<sup>th</sup> and 95<sup>th</sup> percentiles of each biometric measurements presented in Fig. 5. It shows that each biometrics percentiles for GA range between 14 and 38 weeks at weekly intervals. The growth patterns of each biometrics (AC, BPD, FL, HC) by percentiles presented in S Table 1, S Table 2, S Table 3, and S Table 4 respectively. These growth pattern can be used for charting the four biometric values at a particular GA and this will help for the identification of pregnancies affected by intrauterine growth restriction and macrocosmic babies.

We presented the distribution of 10<sup>th</sup> and 90<sup>th</sup> percentiles of the EFW in relation to other studies in Table 3.

As shown in S Table 5, S Table 6, and S Table 7, we calculated the FL/HC, FL/BPD and FL/AC ratios to assess fetal asymmetry. Our finding shows that 2.6% (54/2055 US scans) had microcephaly (BPD < 3 SD). In this study, we also found that the mean FL/HC,

Page 7 of 10

FL/BPD and FL/AC ratios were  $20 \pm 2\%$ ,73 ± 8% and  $22 \pm 2\%$  respectively.

## Discussion

We described the intrauterine growth pattern in rural community of Ethiopia. We found that the intrauterine growth pattern was similar to the previous studies. The study found that 10% of the fetus were small for gestational age (below the 10<sup>th</sup> percentile) based on the Z-score of EFW. We also found that 10.1% of the fetus were small for gestational age based on the Z- score of abdominal circumferences.

Our study shows similar distribution pattern with the international reference standards, However, at 37 weeks of gestation, we found the 5<sup>th</sup>,50<sup>th</sup> and 95<sup>th</sup> percentiles of EFW 2,646, 2,958 and 3,168 gm while the WHO and INTERGROWTH-21<sup>st</sup> studies found 2,372, 2,966, 3,538 gm and 2,190, 2,806, 3,480 gm respectively. When we compared with WHO and INTERGROWTH studies, the 95<sup>th</sup> percentiles of EFW in this study is lower than them.



Abdominal circumference



**Fig. 5** Fetal anthropometric measurements by percentiles and gestational age, Butajira Ethiopia, 2018–2019. Distributions of the 5<sup>th</sup>, 50<sup>th</sup> and 95<sup>th</sup> percentiles of fetal biometrics, n = 2,055 observations for the gestational age 14–38 weeks. BPD, Biparietal diameter; HC, Head circumference; AC, Abdominal circumference; FL, Femur length

**Table 3** The 10<sup>th</sup> and 90<sup>th</sup> percentile for estimated fetal weight in relation to other reference values, Butajira Ethiopia, 2018–2019

Reference Charts	Gestational week					
	20	24	28	32	36	
10 <sup>th</sup> percentile of EFW (	g)					
INTERGROWTH-21st		602	951	1472	2146	
Butajira (Ethiopia)	292	588	1003	1630	2468	
Adamitulu (Ethiopia)		599	1016	1614	2550	
WHO	286	576	1026	1635	2352	
90 <sup>th</sup> percentile of EFW (	g)					
Adamitulu (Ethiopia)		710	1194	1892	2869	
Butajira (Ethiopia)	359	714	1208	1943	2901	
INTERGROWTH-21st		751	1277	2090	3086	
WHO	380	765	1368	2187	3153	

Percentiles from previous study in Ethiopia [10], the present study (bold), INTERGROWTH-21<sup>st</sup> study [8], and WHO study [6], are listed according to ascending values at 28 weeks but are not formally compared or ranked

AC Abdominal circumference, EFW Estimated fetal weight

The difference might be due to the sample size differences between our study and the WHO and INTERGROWTH studies. Participant difference might also bring such differences; INTERGROWTH-21<sup>st</sup> and WHO studies took well-nourished participants without known health, environmental, and/or socioeconomic constraints. Besides, living at an altitude lower than 1,500 m was also their inclusion criteria while our study area has an average altitude of 2,500 m above sea level with a range of 1,500 m in the low lands to 3,500 m in the mountainous areas [11]. This high altitude might cause hypoxia which is an important factor to affect fetal development during pregnancy due to limited oxygen to meet the needs of fetal growth and development [21].

The Z- score distributions of BPD, HC, AC and FL in our study ranges from -4.9 to +2.8, -4.7 to +4.1, -5.7 to +3.7 and -6.1 to +6.9 respectively. When we fit to the INTERGROWTH-21<sup>st</sup> application software, the Z-score distribution of biometric measurements in our study were different and lower than the INTERGROWTH 21st standards which is between -3 & +3. For example, z-score distribution of BPD in our study is between 4.9 and +2.8. This variation might be due to the measurement difference; in our study BPD was measured from outer to inner diameter of the parietal bone, while INTERGROWTH-21<sup>st</sup> measures from outer-to-outer diameter [8]. On average, an estimated increase of 0.06 mm in difference between outer-to-outer and outer-to-inner measurements for every one-week increase in gestational age was observed from the previous study [22]. Other possible reasons for lower Z-score distributions of biometrics in our study might be due to study participant characteristics. The INTERGROWTH-21st study includes those with adequate nutritional status [23]. This resulted in a group of clinically healthy women, with adequate nutritional status, who by definition were at low risk of fetal growth restriction [8].

The rate of SGA in this study is consistent with the previous study done in the drought affected rural parts of Ethiopia and Tanzania [10, 24]. This similarity between our study and the previous study conducted in the drought affected areas of Ethiopia where more than 40% of the study participants were undernourished at the start of the study, might be explained by placental adaptation; maternal undernutrition is associated with degenerative placenta changes. However, degenerative placental changes may not impair the placenta's transport capacity sufficiently to alter fetal nutrition and were not thereby associated with reduction in fetal growth [25].

In our study, both EFW and AC z-scores below the 10<sup>th</sup> percentile gave similar rate of SGA. This indicates, AC and EFW below the 10<sup>th</sup> percentile can be used to diagnose small for gestational age. Different research also examined the ability of AC in detecting SGA and fetal growth restriction. They found that AC below the 10<sup>th</sup> percentile can be used to diagnose FGR [26, 27].

We calculated the fetal biometrics ratios to see the fetal body proportion. A literature says that if the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile of the FL/AC ratio  $^{2}23.5\%$ , the fetus is growth retarded [28]. In our study the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile is above the recommended values which is 24.4% and suggestive of fetal growth retardation. Hohler and Quetel also stated that a FL/BPD ratio of  $79\pm8\%$  after 22 weeks of gestation is normal. Values above the specified number considered as microcephaly and lesser values considered as short limbed dwarfism [29]. In our case we found that  $75.3\pm5.5\%$  FL/BPD ratio which can be suggestive of short limbed dwarfism. When we compared the FL/HC ratio with the WHO fetal growth charts, our finding is much lower than the WHO standards.

## Strength and limitation

The current study had many strengths. We have used longitudinal study that gave reference intervals for both fetal size and growth pattern unlike cross-sectional studies that provide information on fetal size only. This study included the relatively large number of study participants from both urban and rural settings which can give representative research findings. The study is part of an on ongoing project under the school of public health in Addis Ababa University in that link the current study findings with subsequent growth and developmental studies. Moreover, studying in a HDSS site has its own value; study participants are under the follow up of the HDSS and will be used for further studies too. Another strength of the study is the use of ultrasound to estimate GA and EFW, which is the gold standard for the assessment of fetal growth. This will give a robust finding and represent all fetus in full second and third trimester.

The present study had some limitations. Participants in this study were not coming at a regular interval of time for ultrasound examination. This result in difficulties to identify in which trimester the growth faltering was more common. In addition, this study might not show whether growth faltering occurs in later gestational ages (after 38 weeks).

## **Conclusion and recommendations**

Our study evaluated the intrauterine growth patten using ultrasound measurements. We found that the fetal growth pattern in our study is similar with the previous study conducted in drought affected areas of Ethiopia, the WHO and INTERGROWTH studies. Our study also found that 10% of the fetus were small for their gestational age. Therefore, we recommend subsequent follow up studies to identify the causes of growth restriction and small fetal size in the study area to confirm whether the small fetal size is due to other causes or the nature of the population in the study area.

#### Abbreviations

AC	Abdominal Circumference
BMI	Body Mass Index
BPD	Biparietal Diameter
BUNMAP	Butajira, Nutrition, Mental health and Pregnancy
CRL	Crown-rump Length
EFW	Estimated Fetal Weight
FL	Femur Length
GA	Gestational Age
HC	Head Circumference
HDSS	Health and Demographic Surveillance System (HDSS)
IQR	Interquartile Range
IUG	Intra-uterine Growth
MUAC	Mid Upper Arm Circumference
WHO	World Health Organization

## **Supplementary Information**

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s12887-023-04244-2.

Additional file 1: S Table 1. Growth chart for fetal abdominal circumference, Butajira Ethiopia, 2018-2019.

Additional file 2: S Table 2. Growth chart for fetal biparietal diameter (outer-inner) Butajira Ethiopia, 2018-2019.

Additional file 3: S Table 3. Growth charts for fetal femur length Butajira Ethiopia, 2018-2019.

Additional file 4: S Table 4. Growth chart for fetal head circumference Butajira Ethiopia, 2018-2019.

Additional file 5: S Table 5. Growth chart for fetal femur length/head circumference ratio, Butajira- Ethiopia, 2018-2019.

Additional file 6: S Table 6. Growth chart for fetal femur length/biparietal diameter, Butajira Ethiopia, 2018-2019.

Additional file 7: S Table 7. Growth chart for fetal femur length/Abdominal circumference ratio, Butajira Ethiopia,2018-2019.

### Acknowledgements

This work would not have been possible without the support of several individuals and Addis Ababa University. We are grateful to all mothers who participated in the study; data collectors and supervisors for their support in data collection process; the Butajira HDSS workers for their support in facilitating and identifying eligible mothers for this study by home-to-home visit, and the Gurage zonal health department for facilitating the field work. We also extend our gratitude to Addis Ababa university for the support they provided.

#### Authors' contributions

YG visualize and analyze the data, drafted the manuscript. YG, DH, SH, MA, BS and KT participated in investigation, supervision, project administration, writing review and editing. Funding acquisition by SH. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

#### Funding

This study was funded by Addis Ababa University; grant number TR085/2016.

## Availability of data and materials

Data sets used and/or analyzed during the current study is available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

## Declarations

### Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was conducted in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the College of Health Sciences, Addis Ababa University (code: 099/17/SPH). Permission was obtained from relevant provincial and local authorities in the HDSS. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants and/or their LAR. For illiterate participants, the research team read the information sheet for them, and a thumbprint was collected witnessed (countersigned) by a third-party witness who is competent to sign. All procedures were conducted based on voluntary participation. Pregnant women who had indication(s) for treatment and further management (hypertensive, anemic, pregnancy with congenital anomalies, MUAC < 23 cm) during ultrasound evaluation were referred to a nearby hospital.

## **Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Public Health Nutrition and Dietetics, School of Public Health, College of Health Sciences, Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. <sup>2</sup>Department of Health Systems Management and Health Policy, School of Public Health, College of Health Sciences, Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. <sup>3</sup>Department of Reproductive, Family and Population Health, School of Public Health, College of Health Sciences, Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. <sup>4</sup>Department of Public Health, College of Medicine and Public Health, Ambo University, Ambo, Ethiopia.

### Received: 23 February 2023 Accepted: 11 August 2023 Published online: 24 August 2023

#### References

- Ramakrishna U, Grant F, Goldenberg T, Zongrone A, Martorell R. Effect of women's nutrition before and during early pregnancy on maternal and infant outcomes. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol. 2012;1:285–301.
- Schlaudecker EP, Munoz FM, Bardají A, Boghossian NS, Khalil A, Mousa H, et al. Small for gestational age: case definition & guidelines for data collection, analysis, and presentation of maternal immunisation safety data. Vaccine. 2017;35(48):6518–28. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.vaccine.2017.01.040.

- Well-being SOFF. Sonographic Assessment of Fetal Growth. In: Professor Diogo Ayres-de-Campos, editor. Surveillance of fetal well-being. 5th ed. 2021. p. 1–8.
- Faraci M, Renda E, Monte S, Di PFAF, Valenti O, De DR, et al. Fetal growth restriction : current perspectives. J Prenat Med. 2011;5(2):31–3.
- Ohuma EO, Altman DG. Design and other methodological considerations for the construction of human fetal and neonatal size and growth charts. Stat Med. 2019;38(19):3527–39.
- Kiserud T, Piaggio G, Carroli G, Widmer M, Jensen LN, Giordano D, et al. The world health organization fetal growth charts: a multinational longitudinal study of ultrasound biometric measurements and estimated fetal weight. PLoS Med. 2017;14(1):1–36.
- Yaw Amo Wiafe ATO and ETD. The Role of Obstetric Ultrasound in Reducing Maternal and Perinatal Mortality. In 2011. p. 207–34. Available from: http://www.intechopen.com/books/ultrasound-imagingmedical-appli cations/the-role-of-obstetric-ultrasound-in-reducing-maternal-and-perin atal-mortality.
- Papageorghiou AT, Ohuma EO, Altman DG, Todros T, Ismail LC, Lambert A, et al. International standards for fetal growth based on serial ultrasound measurements: the Fetal Growth Longitudinal Study of the INTER-GROWTH-21 st Project. 2014;869–79.
- Louis GMB, Grewal J, Albert PS, Sciscione A, Wing DA, Grobman WA, et al. Racial / ethnic standards for fetal growth: the NICHD fetal growth studies. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2015;213(4):449.e1-449.e41. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.ajoq.2015.08.032.
- Roro M, Deressa W, Lindtjørn B. Intrauterine growth patterns in rural Ethiopia compared with WHO and INTERGROWTH-21 st growth standards: a community-based longitudinal study. PLoS One. 2019;14(12):1–15.
- Teferi M, Desta M, Yeshitela B, Beyene T, Cruz Espinoza LM, Im J, et al. Acute febrile illness among children in Butajira, south-central Ethiopia during the typhoid fever surveillance in Africa program. Clin Infect Dis. 2019;69(Suppl 6):S483–91.
- 12. Health F, Fhi I. How to Be Reasonably Sure a Client is Not Pregnant. 2008.
- Salomon LJ, Alfirevic Z, da Silva CF, Deter RL, Figueras F, Ghi T, Glanc P, Khalil A, Lee W, Napolitano R, Papageorghiou AT, Sotiriadis A, Stirnemann JTA. ultrasound assessment of fetal biometry and growth Clinical. Ultrasound Obs Gynecol. 2019;53:715–23.
- 14. Women D, Wic C. Anthropometric training manual. 2010.
- Morley D, Box PO, Albans S. Tapes for measuring Mid upper arm circumference (MUAC). SSMJ. 1(4):1–2.
- 16. Government of Ethiopia FM of H. National Guideline for the Management of Acute Malnutrition. Addis Ababa. 2019.
- 17. Stirnemann J, Villar J, Salomon ⊥ et al. International estimated fetal weight standards of the INTERGROWTH-21st project. Ultrasound Obs Gynecol. 2016.
- 18 Melamed N, Baschat A, Yinon Y, Athanasiadis A, Mecacci F, Figueras F, et al. FIGO (International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics) initiative on fetal growth: best practice advice for screening, diagnosis, and management of fetal growth restriction. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2021;152:3–57.
- Ververs M tesse, Antierens A, Sackl A, Staderini N, Captier V. Which anthropometric indicators identify a pregnant woman as acutely malnourished and predict adverse birth outcomes in the humanitarian context? PLoS Curr. 2013;(JUNE).
- Who, Chan M. Haemoglobin concentrations for the diagnosis of anaemia and assessment of severity. Geneva, Switz World Heal Organ. 2011;1–6. Available from: http://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en&btnG=Searc h&q=intitle:Haemoglobin+concentrations+for+the+diagnosis+of+ anaemia+and+assessment+of+severity#1.
- Liu JL, Ma SQ, Wuren TN. Effect of high altitude hypoxia on fetal development during pregnancy and the reason analysis. Sheng Li Xue Bao. 2017;69(2):235–9.
- Wong L, Paul E, Murday HK, Fang J, Lavender I, Coombs PR, et al. Biparietal diameter measurements using the outer-to-outer versus outer-to-inner measurement: a question of pedantry? Australas J Ultrasound Med. 2018;21(3):161–8.
- 23 Villar J, Altman DG, Purwar M, Noble JA, Knight HE, Ruyan P, et al. The objectives, design and implementation of the INTERGROWTH-21 st Project. BJOG. 2013;120((Suppl. 2)):9–26.
- 24. Schmiegelow C, Scheike T, Oesterholt M, Minja D, Pehrson C, Magistrado P, et al. Development of a fetal weight chart using serial trans-abdominal

ultrasound in an east African population: a longitudinal observational study. PLoS One. 2012;7(9):e44773.

- Jones AP, Crnic LS. Maternal Mediation of the Effects of Malnutrition BT - Handbook of Behavioral Teratology. In: Riley EP, Vorhees C V, editors. Boston, MA. Springer US. 1986. p. 409–25. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4613-2189-7\_17.
- Combs CA, Castillo R, Webb GW, Rosario A. Impact of adding abdominal circumference to the definition of fetal growth restriction. Am J Obstet Gynecol MFM. 2021;3(4):100382. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajogmf.2021. 100382.
- Pressman K, Odibo L, Duncan JR, Odibo AO. Impact of using abdominal circumference independently in the diagnosis of fetal growth restriction. J ultrasound Med Off J Am Inst Ultrasound Med. 2022;41(1):157–62.
- Hadlock FP, Deter RL, Harrist RB, Roecker E, Park SK. A date-independent predictor of intrauterine growth retardation: Femur length/abdominal circumference ratio. Am J Roentgenol. 1983;141(5):979–84.
- 29 Hohler CW, Quetel TA. Comparison of ultrasound femur length and biparietal diameter in late pregnancy. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1981;141(7):759– 62. https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9378(81)90700-6.

## **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

#### Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

#### At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

