RESEARCH



The effects of the prognostic biomarker SAAL1 on cancer growth and its association with the immune microenvironment in lung adenocarcinoma

Song Tong^{1†}, Ni Jiang^{2†}, Jun-Hao Wan^{1†}, Chong-Rui Chen¹, Si-Hua Wang¹, Chuang-Yan Wu¹, Qiang Guo¹, Xiao-Yue Xiao¹, Huan Huang^{3*} and Ting Zhou^{4*}

Abstract

Background Inhibition of Serum Amyloid A-like 1 (SAAL1) expression could inhibit cancer progression and improve the prognosis of cancer patients. At present, the correlation between SAAL1 and lung adenocarcinoma (LAC) remains unclear. Therefore, this study surveyed the worth and pathway of SAAL1 in LAC progression and immunity.

Methods Bioinformatics and immunohistochemistry were used to identify the SAAL1 expression in LAC. The roles of SAAL1 expression in the existence values of LAC patients were explored, and the nomograms were constructed. Clinical values of SAAL1 co-expressed genes were evaluated by COX regression, survival, and Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis. EDU and western blotting methods were used to inquiry the functions and pathways of the SAAL1 in cell growths. The correlation between the SAAL1 level and immune microenvironment was visualized using correlation research.

Results SAAL1 level was elevated in LAC tissues, and was observed in cancer tissues of dead patients. SAAL1 overexpression had something to do with shorter overall survival, progression-free interval, and disease-specific survival in LAC. The area under the curve of SAAL1 was 0.902 in normal tissues and cancer tissues. Inhibition of SAAL1 expression could inhibit cancer cell proliferation, which may be related to the decreased expression of cyclin D1 and Bcl-2 proteins. In LAC, SAAL1 level had something to do with stromal, immune, and estimate scores, and correlated with macrophages, T cells, Th2 cells, CD8 T cells, NK CD56dim cells, DC, eosinophils, NK CD56bright cells, pDC, iDC, cytotoxic cells, Tgd, aDC cells, B cells, Tcm, and TFH levels. SAAL1 overexpression had something to do with existence values and the immunity in LAC.

Conclusions Inhibition of SAAL1 expression could regulate cancer growth via cyclin D1 and Bcl-2. SAAL1 is a promising prognostic biomarker in LAC patients.

Keywords SAAL1, Lung adenocarcinoma, Immune infiltration, Prognosis, Cell cycle

[†]Song Tong, Ni Jiang and Jun-Hao Wan Wan contributed equally to this work.

*Correspondence: Huan Huang huanghuan595@sina.com Ting Zhou zhouting_whuh@126.com Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/ficenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Introduction

In recent years, people have paid closer attention to their health. Chest computed tomography (CT) may reveal the presence of ground-glass nodules (GGNs) or mixed GGNs in the lungs. In most cases, the postoperative pathological evaluation of these GGNs demonstrates adenocarcinoma in situ, microinvasive, and invasive lung adenocarcinoma (LAC). In addition, gene detection of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), tumor protein p53 (TP53), KRAS, and other gene mutations could predict the prognosis of LAC patients [1, 2]. These targeted therapies could enhance the existence values of LAC patients. However, the prognosis of patients with advanced LAC remained suboptimal. Therefore, new therapeutic targets are required to improve the prognosis of LAC patients.

Some genes could predict poor prognosis in LAC patients and are related to the occurrence and development of LAC [3-6]. SAAL1 was recently reported to be an inflammatory gene related to disease progression [7-10]. For example, Chen et al. reported that the level of SAAL1 in lung tissue of mice stimulated by lipopolysaccharide (LPS) increased. Inhibition of SAAL1 expression could reduce lung injury, edema and neutrophil infiltration, and inhibit LPSstimulated inflammatory factor production and NLR signaling pathway [10]. SAAL1 expression was significantly increased in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and had something to do with shorter overall survival (OS) in HCC. Interference with SAAL1 expression reduced the proliferation, migration, colony formation, and invasion ability of HCC cells. It also induced hepatocyte growth factor (HGF)/ MET to drive AKT/mechanistic target of rapamycin kinase (mTOR) signaling pathway and heighten the sensitivity of HCC cells to sorafenib [8]. At present, the mechanisms of SAAL1 in LAC have not been reported. Therefore, bioinformatics was applied to investigate the SAAL1 levels in cancer tissues. The roles and signaling mechanisms of SAAL1 expression in the prognosis and cell growth in LAC were revealed. The correlation between the SAAL1 level and immune microenvironment was revealed to provide a new candidate marker for the treatment of LAC patients.

Methods

The expression levels of SAAL1

The gene expression data of all patients were gained from the Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA), XENA-TCGA, and Genotypic Tissue Expression (GTEx) databases. The transcripts per million (TPM) and fragments per kilobase of transcript per million fragments mapped (FPKM) data types of cancer patients were included in this research. The TCGA database contained 730 normal and 10,363 tumor tissue samples. The XENA-TCGA database contained 727 normal and 9807 tumor tissue samples. The GTEx database contained 7568 normal tissue samples. SAAL1 levels in cancer tissues and normal tissues of paired and unpaired patients were analyzed via the Wilcoxon rank sum test and displayed by using the violin diagrams. In addition, the expression levels in the cancer tissues and normal tissues of 14 paired LAC patients undergoing surgical resection of our hospital via immunohistochemical.

The clinical values of SAAL1 in LAC

Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis was a tool for evaluating gene expression in cancer [11, 12]. In 59 normal and 535 LAC tissues from the TCGA database, ROC analysis were applied to survey the diagnostic value of SAAL1 expression in LAC. After combining the data of SAAL1 expression and clinicopathological characteristics of LAC patients from the TCGA database, Kaplan-Meier (K-M) survival analysis was applied to survey the prognosis values of SAAL1 expression in LAC, and The relationship between high- and low- SAAL1 levels and the T stage, N stage, M stage, pathologic stage, primary therapy outcome, gender, race, age, anatomic neoplasm subdivision, smoker, OS event, DSS event, and PFI event of LAC patients was analyzed. The grouping of cancer patients was based on the median value of SAAL1 expression. In addition, Univariate COX regression analysis was performed to evaluate the relationship between T stage, N stage, M stage, age, gender, and SAAL1 expression levels with the prognosis of LAC patients, and multivariate COX regression analysis was performed using P < 0.05 as the filtering criterion.

The correlation between the SAAL1 level and existence values in patient subgroups

In 535 LAC patients, the correlation between SAAL1 overexpression and poor OS, progression-free interval (PFI), and disease-specific survival (DSS) was investigated in LAC patient subgroups based on the clinicopathological features (such as the T1, T2, T3, and others).

The roles, pathways and network of SAAL1 co-expressed genes

The filter criteria for SAAL1 co-expressed genes was an absolute correlation coefficient greater than 0.5 using correlation analysis, which were defined



Fig. 1 SAAL1 expression in unpaired pan-cancer tissues. A TCGA; B XENA-TCGA; C XENA-TCGA + GTEx; D TCGA. Note: TCGA, the cancer genome atlas; GTEx, Genotypic Tissue Expression



Fig. 2 SAAL1 expression in LAC tissues. A Normal tissues vs LAC tissues; B OS; C DSS; D PFI. Note: OS, overall survival; PFI, progression-free interval; DSS, disease-specific interval; LAC, lung adenocarcinoma

as strongly co-expressed genes [13]. Gene Ontology, and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes methods were performed to elucidate the roles and pathways of the strongly correlated genes of SAAL1 [13]. The protein network of the strongly co-expressed genes of SAAL1 was established in the Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes (STRING) database.

The roles of prognostic genes in LAC

COX regression method was performed to authenticate the influencing genes of OS, DSS and PFI in LAC patients, with P < 0.01 to define the prognostic genes of LAC. The intersection of the prognostic genes and SAAL1 co-expressed genes in LAC was obtained. The expression levels of the intersection genes CCNB1, SGO1, GTSE1, E2F7, MCM4, DLGAP5, CDCA2, CENPK, FAM111B, SPC24, DEPDC1B, CENPH, CDC25C, PARPBP, FANCI, SPC25, KIF18A, BUB1B, KIF20A, SGO2, and TTK in LAC tissues were obtained, and their relationship with living states in LAC patients was estimated using K-M survival and differential expression analysis.

Immunohistochemical analyses

The tissues were gained from the Department of Pathology of our hospital to confirm the SAAL1 levels, which was estimated via the ethics committee of Wuhan Union Hospital. The tissues from patients were subjected to routine dewaxing and hydration, sodium citrate buffer antigen repair, blocking, incubation with anti-human SAAL1 antibody antibodies (1:200, Proteintech, China) and secondary antibodies, DAB color development, counterstaining, and sheet sealing. The relative expression levels of SAAL1 protein were calculated as described in a previous study [14].

Construction of the cell model with down-regulation of SAAL1 expression

The A549 cells were cultivated in RPIM-1640 medium, and subcultured once every 2–3 days. A549 cells with good growth were placed in a 6-well plate, and sh-SAAL1 solution and pro-transfection reagent (sh-SAAL1 target sequence was 5'-CCACCUACUCUG CUGGAAATT-3', GeneChem, China) were added at 24 h to establish the LAC cell model with down-regulated SAAL1 expression. The expression levels of SAAL1 in the blank, control, and interference cell groups was identified via western blotting.

EDU experiment

The EDU assay is an experimental technique for detecting apoptosis of cancer cells. After the establishment of the A549 cell model, the reagents were added according to the instructions of the EDU kit. Subsequently, DNA counterstaining, imaging and apoptosis analysis of A549 cells were performed [15]. The EDU experiment was repeated three times.

The mechanisms of SAAL1 involvement in LAC progression The data of LAC tissues obtained were classified into two groups via the SAAL1 median value. The effects of SAAL1 expression changes on gene sets were explored on the Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) software platform to determine the signaling mechanisms involved in LAC progression [16, 17]. NOM p-value was used as the significance standard. Based on the

Characteristic	Low SAAL1 expression	High SAAL1 expression	Р
N	267	268	
T stage			0.007
T1	101 (19%)	74 (13.9%)	
Τ2	124 (23.3%)	165 (31%)	
Т3	29 (5.5%)	20 (3.8%)	
Τ4	11 (2.1%)	8 (1.5%)	
N stage			0.339
NO	178 (34.3%)	170 (32.8%)	
N1	49 (9.4%)	46 (8.9%)	
N2	32 (6.2%)	42 (8.1%)	
N3	0 (0%)	2 (0.4%)	
M stage			0.679
MO	179 (46.4%)	182 (47.2%)	
M1	14 (3.6%)	11 (2.8%)	
Pathologic stage			0.200
Stage I	147 (27.9%)	147 (27.9%)	
Stage II	67 (12.7%)	56 (10.6%)	
Stage III	34 (6.5%)	50 (9.5%)	
Stage IV	15 (2.8%)	11 (2.1%)	
Primary therapy outcome			0.212
PD	30 (6.7%)	41 (9.2%)	
SD	17 (3.8%)	20 (4.5%)	
PR	5 (1.1%)	1 (0.2%)	
CR	168 (37.7%)	164 (36.8%)	
Gender			0.631
Female	146 (27.3%)	140 (26.2%)	
Male	121 (22.6%)	128 (23.9%)	
Race			0.146
Asian	6 (1.3%)	1 (0.2%)	
Black or African American	25 (5.3%)	30 (6.4%)	
White	199 (42.5%)	207 (44.2%)	
Age			0.596
<=65	123 (23.8%)	132 (25.6%)	
>65	133 (25.8%)	128 (24.8%)	
Anatomic neoplasm subdivision		x	0.142
Left	110 (21.2%)	95 (18.3%)	
Right	147 (28.3%)	168 (32.3%)	
Smoker		x	0.630
No	40 (7.7%)	35 (6.7%)	
Yes	221 (42.4%)	225 (43.2%)	
OS event		x	0.003
Alive	188 (35.1%)	155 (29%)	
Dead	79 (14.8%)	113 (21.1%)	
DSS event			< 0.001
Alive	205 (41.1%)	174 (34.9%)	
Dead	43 (8.6%)	77 (15.4%)	
PFI event		×	0.007
Alive	170 (31.8%)	139 (26%)	
Deed	07 (10.10()	120 (24 104)	

Table 1 The relationship between high- and low- expression of SAAL1 and clinical characteristics of LAC patients

Note: LAC Lung adenocarcinoma, OS Overall survival, PFI Progression-free interval, DSS Disease-specific survival

Ρ

0.242 0.002

0.040

0.007

0.064

0.993

0.070

0.954

0.550

< 0.001



Fig. 3 The diagnostic and prognostic values of SAAL1 expression in LAC. A ROC analysis; B OS; C DSS; D PFI. Note: OS, overall survival; PFI, progression-free interval; DSS, disease-specific survival; ROC, receiver operating characteristic; LAC, lung adenocarcinoma

Characteristics	N	Univariate analysis	Multivariate analysis		
		HR (95% CI)	Р	HR (95% CI)	
T stage	523				
Τ1	175	Reference			
T2	282	1.521 (1.068–2.166)	0.020	1.318 (0.830–2.092)	
Т3	47	2.937 (1.746-4.941)	< 0.001	3.078 (1.525–6.214)	
T4	19	3.326 (1.751–6.316)	< 0.001	2.329 (1.040-5.219)	
N stage	510				
NO	343	Reference			
N1	94	2.381 (1.695–3.346)	< 0.001	2.436 (1.275-4.655)	
N2	71	3.108 (2.136-4.521)	< 0.001	2.270 (0.953-5.403)	
N3	2	0.000 (0.000-Inf)	0.994	0.000 (0.000-Inf)	
M stage	377				
MO	352	Reference			
M1	25	2.136 (1.248–3.653)	0.006	2.059 (0.944-4.492)	
Pathologic stage	518				
Stage I	290	Reference			
Stage II	121	2.418 (1.691–3.457)	< 0.001	0.979 (0.487–1.969)	
Stage III	81	3.544 (2.437–5.154)	< 0.001	1.342 (0.511–3.524)	
Stage IV	26	3.790 (2.193–6.548)	< 0.001		
Gender	526				
Female	280	Reference			
Male	246	1.070 (0.803–1.426)	0.642		
Age	516				
<=65	255	Reference			
>65	261	1.223 (0.916–1.635)	0.172		
SAAL1	526				
Low	262	Reference			
High	264	1.500 (1.123–2.005)	0.006	1.890 (1.314–2.719)	
Note: LAC Lung adenocarc	inoma, OS Overall sur	vival			

 Table 2
 Cox regression analysis revealed the risk factors for OS in LAC patients

Characteristics	N	Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis		
		HR (95% CI)	Р	HR (95% CI)	Р	
T stage	488					
T1	168	Reference				
T2	262	1.701 (1.085–2.668)	0.021	1.319 (0.738–2.359)	0.350	
Т3	43	2.846 (1.453–5.572)	0.002	2.771 (1.070–7.174)	0.036	
T4	15	2.770 (1.061-7.230)	0.037	2.691 (0.822-8.811)	0.102	
N stage	475					
NO	327	Reference				
N1	83	2.751 (1.808-4.185)	< 0.001	2.669 (1.151–6.188)	0.022	
N2	63	2.762 (1.698-4.493)	< 0.001	2.605 (0.751-9.030)	0.131	
N3	2	0.000 (0.000-Inf)	0.995	0.000 (0.000-Inf)	0.996	
M stage	344					
MO	323	Reference				
M1	21	2.455 (1.269–4.749)	0.008	2.719 (1.127–6.555)	0.026	
Pathologic stage	483					
Stage I	277	Reference				
Stage II	112	3.017 (1.931–4.715)	< 0.001	1.033 (0.417–2.558)	0.945	
Stage III	72	3.326 (2.028–5.457)	< 0.001	0.988 (0.247-3.943)	0.986	
Stage IV	22	4.632 (2.371–9.050)	< 0.001			
Gender	491					
Female	262	Reference				
Male	229	0.989 (0.687-1.424)	0.954			
Age	481					
<=65	243	Reference				
>65	238	1.013 (0.701-1.464)	0.944			
SAAL1	491					
Low	243	Reference				
High	248	1.850 (1.270–2.696)	0.001	2.520 (1.559–4.073)	< 0.001	

Table 3	Cox regression	analysis reve	ealed the ris	sk factors for	DSS in LAC patients
---------	----------------	---------------	---------------	----------------	---------------------

Note: LAC Lung adenocarcinoma, DSS Disease-specific survival

GSEA results, western blotting was used to determine the signaling pathways of SAAL1 involved in LAC progression.

Western blotting

After the establishment of SAAL1 expression model cells, the A549 cells in the blank, control, and interference with SAAL1 expression groups were lysed by cell lysate, and BCA quantitative analysis was performed. Extracted proteins were resolved via the sodium dodecylsulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and electro-transferred onto the polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane. 1: 1000 SAAL1, cyclin D1, and Bcl-2 antibody (Proteintech, China) incubation was performed after the membranes of western blotting were cut. The membranes were washed, and secondary antibody incubation was completed. The membranes were washed again, and then the SAAL1, cyclin D1, and Bcl-2 protein levels in each group were calculated.

The relationship between SAAL1 level and immune microenvironment

The Estimation of Stromal and Immune cells in Malignant Tumor tissues using Expression data (ESTIMATE) and single sample gene set enrichment analysis (ssGSEA) ways were performed to determine the immune scores and immune cells in LAC tissues. Moreover, correlation method was performed to identify the relation between the SAAL1 levels and the levels of immune scores, and immune cells.

Characteristics	N	Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis		
		HR (95% CI)	Р	HR (95% CI)	Р	
T stage	523					
T1	175	Reference				
T2	282	1.758 (1.276–2.422)	< 0.001	1.428 (1.025–1.991)	0.035	
Т3	47	3.495 (2.199–5.556)	< 0.001	2.593 (1.419–4.738)	0.002	
T4	19	1.113 (0.444–2.791)	0.819	0.590 (0.190-1.834)	0.362	
N stage	510					
NO	343	Reference				
N1	94	1.540 (1.118–2.122)	0.008	1.215 (0.692–2.135)	0.497	
N2	71	1.498 (1.018–2.205)	0.040	0.560 (0.192-1.633)	0.288	
N3	2	0.906 (0.127-6.485)	0.922	0.546 (0.062-4.845)	0.587	
M stage	377					
M0	352	Reference				
M1	25	1.513 (0.855–2.676)	0.155			
Pathologic stage	518					
Stage I	290	Reference				
Stage II	121	2.013 (1.478-2.742)	< 0.001	1.404 (0.795–2.479)	0.242	
Stage III	81	1.831 (1.257–2.669)	0.002	2.608 (0.841-8.085)	0.097	
Stage IV	26	2.086 (1.189–3.657)	0.010	2.091 (1.064-4.110)	0.032	
Gender	526					
Female	280	Reference				
Male	246	1.172 (0.901–1.526)	0.236			
Age	516					
<=65	255	Reference				
>65	261	1.023 (0.784–1.335)	0.867			
SAAL1	526					
Low	262	Reference				
High	264	1.511 (1.159–1.970)	0.002	1.581 (1.195–2.091)	0.001	

Table 4	Cox regression	analysis revea	aled the risk fac	ctors for PFI in L	AC patients
---------	----------------	----------------	-------------------	--------------------	-------------

Note: LAC, lung adenocarcinoma; PFI, progression-free interval

Statistical analysis

The Wilcoxon rank sum test and chi-square test were used to determine the SAAL1 levels in LAC tissues of TCGA database, and the t-test was used to determine the SAAL1 levels in clinical LAC tissues and cell models. ROC, COX and survival methods were carried out the diagnostic and prognostic values of SAAL1 expression. Correlation technique was carried out the relationship between SAAL1 levels and immune infiltration. P < 0.05 was known as the statistically significant.

Results

Significantly higher expression levels of SAAL1 were found in LAC

SAAL1 was overexpressed in KIRP, HNSC, LUSC, BLCA, KICH, CESC, STAD, LIHC, COAD, LAC, KIRC, CHOL, PRAD, BRCA, READ, GBM, THCA, ESCA, UCEC, and others in the unpaired cancer patients (Fig. 1A-D), and in UCEC, BLCA, THCA, LIHC, PRAD, COAD, KICH, KIRC, HNSC, KIRP, CHOL, LUSC, ESCA, STAD, BRCA, LAC, and others in the paired cancer patients (Figure S1). SAAL1 was particularly overexpressed in LAC tissues (Fig. 2A).

A	Characteristics	Ν	HR (95% CI)		SAAL1(P value)	Characteristics	Ν	11R (95% CI)		SAAL1(P value)
	T stage			1		T stage			I.	
	T1&T2	430	1.87(1.24-2.83)	! -	0.003	T1&T2	430	1.87(1.24-2.83)	! 	0.003
	T1&T2&T3	473	1.75(1.19-2.58)		0.004	T1&T2&T3	473	1.75(1.19-2.58)		0.004
	T2&T3&T4	320	1.57(1.03-2.41)		0.036	T2&T3&T4	320	1.57(1.03-2.41)		0.036
	N stage			1		N stage			1	
	N0	327	2.39(1.35-4.22)		0.003	N0	327	2.39(1.35-4.22)		0.003
	M stage					M stage			i i	
	M0	323	2.34(1.45-3.76)	I 🛏 🖬 🖬	< 0.001	M0	323	2.34(1.45-3.76)	I	< 0.001
1	Pathologic stage					Pathologic stage			1	
	Stage I	277	2.77(1.38-5.55)	·		Stage I	277	2.77(1.38-5.55)	·•	• 0.004
	Stage I&II	389	1.76(1.11-2.79)	p	0.015	Stage 1&11	389	1.76(1.11-2.79)	j	0.015
	Stage I&II&III	461	2.01(1.34-3.00)	! 	0.001	Stage I&II&III	461	2.01(1.34-3.00)	! 	0.001
	Stage II&III	184	1.81(1.09-2.99)	⊢ ∎	0.022	Stage II&III	184	1.81(1.09-2.99)	├-●	0.022
S	stage II&III&IV	206	1.68(1.06-2.69)		0.029	Stage II&III&IV	206	1.68(1.06-2.69)		0.029
	Stage III&IV	94	2.03(1.02-4.04)		0.045	Stage III&IV	94	2.03(1.02 4.04)		0.045
Prim	ary therapy outcome					Primary therapy outcome			1	
	CR	315	2.42(1.33-4.40)	; — • — ·	0.004	CR	315	2.42(1.33-4.40)	; —•	0.004
	Gender			1		Gender			1	
	Male	229	2.08(1.19-3.64)	'	0.01	Male	229	2.08(1.19-3.64)	! 	0.01
	Race					Race				
	White	384	2.26(1.48-3.45)	i 🛏 🛶	< 0.001	White	384	2.26(1.48-3.45)	i	< 0.001
	Age			1		Age			1	
	<=65	243	1.70(1.01-2.86)		0.048	<=65	243	1.70(1.01-2.86)		0.048
	>65	238	2.03(1.16-3.55)	i 	0.013	>65	238	2.03(1.16-3.55)	i	0.013
	Residual tumor			1		Residual tumor			1	
	R0	318	2.31(1.44-3.70)	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	< 0.001	R0	318	2.31(1.44-3.70)	·	< 0.001
Anatom	ic neoplasm subdivision					Anatomic neoplasm subdivision			1	
	Right	293	1.99(1.22-3.24)	I	0.005	Right	293	1.99(1.22-3.24)	I	0.005
	Smoker					Smoker			!	
	Yes	408	1.74(1.15-2.64)		0.009	Yes	408	1.74(1.15-2.64)		0.009
				1 2 3 4 5					1 2 3 4 5	

Fig. 4 Increased SAAL1 expression was associated with poorer OS and DSS in LAC patient subgroups. A OS; B DSS. Note: OS, overall survival; LAC, lung adenocarcinoma; DSS, disease-specific survival

Overexpression of SAAL1 had something to do with diagnosis, T stage and dismal prognosis in LAC

Elevated SAAL1 expression levels were observed in tissues of deceased LAC patients (Fig. 2B-D). In addition, the high- and low- SAAL1 expression was related to the T stage and survival time of LAC patients, and has statistical significance (Table 1). Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis showed that the area under the curve of SAAL1 in normal tissues and cancer tissues was 0.902 (Fig. 3A), indicating the potential diagnostic value of SAAL1 in LAC. K-M survival showed that SAAL1 overexpression had something to do with the short OS, DSS, and PFI in LAC patients (Fig. 3B-D). Univariate and multivariate COX regression analyses revealed that SAAL1 overexpression was an independent risk factor for OS, DSS and PFI in LAC patients (Tables 2, 3, 4).

Increased expression of SAAL1 had something to do with poor existence values in patient subgroups

Increased SAAL1 expression was associated with shorter OS in LAC patients with demographic features stage T1-2, stage T1-3, N0, left lung, right lung, M0, pathological stage, CR, male, white, age (<=65), RO, and smoking history (Fig. 4). In addition,

increased SAAL1 level had something to do with shorter DSS in subgroups of LAC patients with stage T1-2, stage T1-3, white, stage T2-4, M0, pathological stage, N0, CR, male, right lung, age (>65), RO, age (<=65), and smoking history, as displayed in Fig. 4. Furthermore, higher SAAL1 level had something to do with shorter PFI in subgroups of LAC patients with demographic features stage T1, stage T1-2, stage T1-3, N0, stage T2, white, stage T2-4, left lung, right lung, M0, male, pathological stage, age (>65), CR, female, RO, no smoking history, and smoking history (Figure S2).

Functions and PPI network of SAAL1-associated genes

Based on the study criteria, 64 genes were identified as strongly related to SAAL1 (Table 5). SAAL1-associated genes were involved in segregation, mitotic nuclear division, nuclear division, DNA replication, cell cycle and meiotic cell cycle process, cell cycle G1/S phase transition, spindle checkpoint, positive regulation of cell cycle, DNA recombination, and others (Fig. 5 and Table S1). In terms of mechanisms, the SAAL1-related genes were enriched in base excision repair, DNA replication, cell cycle, mismatch repair, cellular senescence, and others (Fig. 5 and

Table 5 SAAL1-associated genes

Gene	r	р	Gene	r	p
NCAPG	0.552988531	3.54892E-44	DSCC1	0.532620346	1.56765E-40
DLGAP5	0.518746717	3.4648E-38	MELK	0.514004467	2.07283E-37
ТТК	0.515605675	1.13662E-37	PSMA1	0.535849494	4.30361E-41
SKA1	0.541999372	3.52932E-42	RAD51AP1	0.531133944	2.82907E-40
CHEK1	0.529465685	5.46886E-40	VRK1	0.509401149	1.14576E-36
FANCG	0.523832118	4.93025E-39	E2F7	0.511543	5.18816E-37
RFC5	0.509099787	1.28029E-36	SPDL1	0.525544676	2.53781E-39
POLA2	0.501989002	1.70281E-35	PTTG1	0.50051243	2.8923E-35
TPX2	0.513378661	2.61923E-37	CCNB2	0.502227351	1.56287E-35
CDCA2	0.504811631	6.14062E-36	NCAPH	0.508551313	1.56653E-36
DDIAS	0.522759064	7.46012E-39	EIF3M	0.54806952	2.84063E-43
NDC80	0.528823382	7.04181E-40	GINS2	0.55147096	6.76676E-44
CENPH	0.563827448	3.20458E-46	CKAP2	0.517440556	5.68693E-38
CCDC34	0.519432655	2.66865E-38	LMNB1	0.547133619	4.20331E-43
FEN1	0.577025167	8.173E-49	KIFC1	0.500452946	2.95453E-35
SPC24	0.518715514	3.50615E-38	BUB1	0.524865117	3.30445E-39
GTSE1	0.506645554	3.14913E-36	MCM8	0.507542927	2.26796E-36
POLE2	0.514675755	1.61188E-37	SPC25	0.51846544	3.85567E-38
FAM111B	0.540135714	7.57186E-42	HASPIN	0.512203076	4.05959E-37
DEPDC1B	0.507983727	1.92955E-36	KIF20A	0.511583386	5.11096E-37
RNASEH2A	0.511086513	6.14541E-37	RRM1	0.623845835	4.86658E-59
CENPK	0.531492153	2.45455E-40	WDHD1	0.511076448	6.16838E-37
PRIM1	0.500704055	2.70052E-35	SGO2	0.507932879	1.96588E-36
MCM6	0.50150824	2.02396E-35	KIF18A	0.655641643	4.88088E-67
PRR11	0.500595374	2.80769E-35	FBXO5	0.528418122	8.25722E-40
CDC25C	0.521028815	1.45024E-38	MCM4	0.510832423	6.75204E-37
HJURP	0.505744469	4.37432E-36	KIF15	0.524586957	3.68087E-39
FANCI	0.529998723	4.43208E-40	SGO1	0.510301016	8.2192E-37
RFC4	0.519848327	2.27747E-38	GINS1	0.506599711	3.2023E-36
E2F8	0.522560379	8.05343E-39	PARPBP	0.503867524	8.64632E-36
PSMC3IP	0.512398745	3.77449E-37	CCNB1	0.514153033	1.96069E-37
CDCA5	0.533312577	1.18962E-40	BUB1B	0.507401847	2.38823E-36

Table 6). Figure S3 illustrates the protein-protein interaction (PPI) network of the SAAL1-related genes.

The prognostic roles of SAAL1-related genes in LAC

The intersection between prognostic factors of LAC patients and SAAL1-related genes included 21 genes. The expression levels of CCNB1, SGO1, GTSE1, E2F7, MCM4, DLGAP5, CDCA2, CENPK, FAM111B, SPC24, DEPDC1B, CENPH, CDC25C, PARPBP, FANCI, SPC25, KIF18A, BUB1B, KIF20A, SGO2, and TTK were significantly increased in unpaired and

paired LAC tissues (Fig. 6 and S4). In addition, elevated CCNB1, SGO1, GTSE1, E2F7, MCM4, DLGAP5, CDCA2, CENPK, FAM111B, SPC24, DEPDC1B, CENPH, CDC25C, PARPBP, FANCI, SPC25, KIF18A, BUB1B, KIF20A, SGO2, and TTK expression levels had something to do with short OS, DSS, and PFI in LAC patients (Figs 7, 8, 9).

Inhibition of SAAL1 expression could inhibit LAC growth by regulating the cell cycle

The IHC results revealed SAAL1 overexpression in LAC tissues (Fig. 10A-B). The GO annotation results



Fig. 5 Functions and signaling pathways of SAAL1-associated genes

demonstrated that the SAAL1-related genes were related to cell growth. Subsequently, a cell model with down-regulated SAAL1 expression was established by using shRNA interference technology. Inhibiting SAAL1 expression in LAC cells promoted cancer cell apoptosis (Fig. 10C-D). Moreover, mechanism analysis showed that SAAL1 was enriched in the cell cycle, DNA replication, and the p53 signaling

Table 6 The mechanisms regulated by SAAL1-related genes

ID	Description	Ρ	Count
hsa03030	DNA replication	6.97E-16	9
hsa04110	Cell cycle	2.18E-12	10
hsa04114	Oocyte meiosis	1.15E-07	7
hsa04115	p53 signaling pathway	7.90E-05	4
hsa04914	Progesterone-mediated oocyte maturation	0.000268389	4
hsa03420	Nucleotide excision repair	0.0004373	3
hsa03430	Mismatch repair	0.00241898	2
hsa05170	Human immunodeficiency virus 1 infection	0.004383701	4
hsa05166	Human T-cell leukemia virus 1 infec- tion	0.004920727	4
hsa03410	Base excision repair	0.004949548	2
hsa03460	Fanconi anemia pathway	0.012870589	2
hsa04218	Cellular senescence	0.013271769	3
hsa03450	Non-homologous end-joining	0.041083372	1

(Table 7). Cyclin D1 and Bcl-2 genes were hub members of the cell cycle. We found that inhibition of SAAL1 expression resulted in significant down-regulation of cyclin D1 and Bcl-2 protein levels in A549 cells (Fig. 10E).

SAAL1 expression had something to do with LAC immune microenvironment

Correlation method showed that the SAAL1 levels in LAC had something to do with the stromal score (0.263), estimate score (0.33), and immune score (0.342), and were related to the neutrophils (0.469), Th1 cells (0.334), pDC (0.304), cytotoxic cells (0.293), aDC (0.284), TReg (0.279), macrophages (0.227), T cells (0.224), B cells (0.222), NK CD56dim cells (0.31), Th2 cells (0.213), NK Cells (0.192), iDC (0.182), DC (0.173), NK CD56bright cells (0.171), Tgd (0.153), CD8 T Cells (0.135), Tcm (-0.126), eosinophils (0.12), and TFH (0.102) levels (Fig. 11, Figure S5 and Table 8).

Discussion

Several research reports that inhibition of oncogenes or promotion of tumor suppressor gene expression could delay cancer progression and may improve the survival times of cancer patients [18–21]. Recent studies have discovered the overexpression of the inflammatory gene SAAL1 in HCC. Furthermore, elevated SAAL1 expression was had something to do



Fig. 6 The expression levels of 21 SAAL1-related genes in LAC tissues. Note: LAC, lung adenocarcinoma



Fig. 7 Increased SAAL1-related gene expression levels were associated with poorer OS in LAC patients. A CCNB1; B SGO1; C GTSE1; D E2F7; E MCM4; F DLGAP5; G CDCA2; H CENPK; I FAM111B; J SPC24; K DEPDC1B; L CENPH; M CDC25C; N PARPBP; O FANCI; P SPC25; Q KIF18A; R BUB1B; S KIF20A; T SGO2; U TTK. Note: OS, overall survival; LAC, lung adenocarcinoma



Fig. 8 Increased SAAL1-related gene expression levels were associated with poorer DSS in LAC patients. A CCNB1; B SGO1; C GTSE1; D E2F7; E MCM4; F DLGAP5; G CDCA2; H CENPK; I FAM111B; J SPC24; K DEPDC1B; L CENPH; M CDC25C; N PARPBP; O FANCI; P SPC25; Q KIF18A; R BUB1B; S KIF20A; T SGO2; U TTK. Note: DSS, disease-specific survival; LAC, lung adenocarcinoma



Fig. 9 Increased SAAL1-related gene expression levels were associated with poorer PFI in LAC patients. A CCNB1; B SGO1; C GTSE1; D E2F7; E MCM4; F DLGAP5; G CDCA2; H CENPK; I FAM111B; J SPC24; K DEPDC1B; L CENPH; M CDC25C; N PARPBP; O FANCI; P SPC25; Q KIF18A; R BUB1B; SKIF20A; T SGO2; U TTK. Note: PFI, progression-free interval; LAC, lung adenocarcinoma



Fig. 10 Inhibition of SAAL1 expression could inhibit LAC growth by regulating the cell cycle. (A-B) The expression levels in clinical tissues via IHC at 20 × magnification; C Identification of the A549 cell model with SAAL1 down-expression; D Apoptosis via EDU at 20 × magnification; E Cyclin D1 and Bcl-2 expression in the A549 cell model. Note: LAC, lung adenocarcinoma; **, *P* < 0.01

with shorter OS in patients with HCC [8, 22]. Therefore, inhibition of SAAL1 expression could inhibit the growth and migration ability of HCC cells, impair the AKT/mTOR phosphorylation cascade driven by

Name	Size	NES	NOM P
Cell cycle	124	2.3955967	0
Spliceosome	126	2.306933	0
Homologous recombination	28	2.2579896	0
RNA degradation	57	2.239501	0
Pyrimidine metabolism	97	2.1597848	0
Purine metabolism	156	2.1199543	0
Nucleotide excision repair	44	2.1095386	0.001976285
Oocyte meiosis	112	2.0554802	0
DNA replication	36	2.0520623	0
Mismatch repair	23	2.0502622	0.00203666
Basal transcription factors	35	2.0111003	0
Base excision repair	33	1.9896193	0.004048583
Progesterone mediated oocyte matura- tion	85	1.9445288	0
P53 signaling pathway	68	1.9198885	0.002066116
One carbon pool by folate	17	1.9191456	0.002040816
Ubiquitin mediated proteolysis	133	1.8948809	0
Proteasome	44	1.7589222	0.018480493
Aminoacyl tRNA biosynthesis	22	1.6986598	0.019646365
RNA polymerase	28	1.5847529	0.042596348
Cysteine and methionine metabolism	34	1.5472888	0.042424243

Table 7 The mechanisms impacted by SAAL1 over-expression

HGF/MET, and increase the sensitivity of HCC cells to sorafenib and foretinib [8]. This study demonstrated similar findings in LAC. SAAL1 was overexpressed in LAC tissues, with an area under the curve of 0.902, indicating the diagnostic potential of SAAL1 in LAC. SAAL1 overexpression had something to do with shorter OS, DSS, and PFI in LAC patients. COX regression analyses revealed that SAAL1 overexpression was an independent risk factor for OS, DSS and PFI in LAC patients. The results suggest that SAAL1 is a potential prognostic and diagnostic marker for LAC patients, which could be used as a target for LAC treatment.

The cell cycle was one of the key mechanisms of cancer cell growth [23-25]. For example, CCNB1 is an essential mitosis initiator and controller. Significant CCNB1 overexpression was observed in LAC patients and was correlated with tumor stage and shorter OS. Furthermore, CCNB1 overexpression may promote LAC cell proliferation, migration, invasion and cell cycle. In contrast, a negative correlation was found between miR-139-5p and CCNB1. miR-139-5p could reduce the expression level of CCNB1, thereby inhibiting cell growth and migration [23]. This study found that SAAL1 overexpression was associated with cell cycle, oocyte meiosis, DNA replication, and mismatch repair. Down-regulated expression of SAAL1 induced A549 cell apoptosis and inhibited the expression of cell cycle-related proteins cyclin D1 and Bcl-2, suggesting that SAAL1 could play an oncogene role in LAC



Fig. 11 SAAL1 expression levels were significantly correlated with the stromal, estimate, and immune scores. A Stromal score; B Immune score; C Estimate score

progression, and promote LAC growth through cell cycle regulation.

In recent years, much research has focused on immunotherapy as a treatment option for LAC patients, which is expected to lengthen survival times [26–33].

 $\label{eq:stable} \textbf{Table 8} \hspace{0.1 cm} \text{SAAL1} \hspace{0.1 cm} \text{expression} \hspace{0.1 cm} \text{was} \hspace{0.1 cm} \text{correlated} \hspace{0.1 cm} \text{with} \hspace{0.1 cm} \text{LAC} \hspace{0.1 cm} \text{immune} \hspace{0.1 cm} \text{cells}$

Immune cells	r	Р
aDC	0.284	< 0.001
B cells	0.222	< 0.001
CD8 T cells	0.135	0.002
Cytotoxic cells	0.293	< 0.001
DC	0.173	< 0.001
Eosinophils	0.120	0.006
iDC	0.182	< 0.001
Macrophages	0.227	< 0.001
Mast cells	0.035	0.420
Neutrophils	0.469	< 0.001
NK CD56bright cells	0.171	< 0.001
NK CD56dim cells	0.310	< 0.001
NK cells	0.192	< 0.001
pDC	0.304	< 0.001
T cells	0.224	< 0.001
T helper cells	0.023	0.601
Tcm	-0.126	0.004
Tem	0.066	0.125
TFH	0.102	0.018
Tgd	0.153	< 0.001
Th1 cells	0.334	< 0.001
Th17 cells	0.075	0.085
Th2 cells	0.213	< 0.001
TReg	0.279	< 0.001

Note: LAC Lung adenocarcinoma

For example, Huang et al. investigated 25 LAC patients treated with anti-PD-1/PD-L1 immunotherapy combined with anti-angiogenic agents and 49 LAC patients treated with anti-PD-1/PD-L1 monotherapy. The disease control rate, median progression-free survival (PFS), and median OS were significantly higher in the anti-PD-1/PD-L1 immunotherapy group compared to the anti-PD-1/PD-L1 monotherapy group. Moreover, the risk model revealed that anti-PD-1/PD-L1 immunotherapy combined with anti-angiogenesis prolonged the PFS in LAC patients [26]. Alausa et al. reported that G protein subunit gamma 12 (GNG12) silencing could activate the NF-kB signaling, inhibit the PD-L1 gene transcription, promote cancer immune escape, and then activate cancer proliferation, angiogenesis and immunotherapy resistance [30]. In this study, we found that the SAAL1 expression levels were correlated with stromal, immune, and estimate scores, and were correlated with immune cells, such as macrophages, T cells, B cells, Th2 cells, cytotoxic cells, and CD8 T cells. This indicated a significant correlation between SAAL1 expression and the LAC immune microenvironment.

In this research, we confirmed the role of SAAL1 in LAC progression by utilizing bioinformatics analysis and basic research. The findings of the present study reflect the results of Chu et al. in HCC [8, 22], indicating that SAAL1 could play an oncogenic role in LAC progression. However, this study has the following deficiencies: 1) we should further collect the tissue samples from LAC patients to detect SAAL1 expression levels, and explore the relationship between SAAL1 expression and clinical data of LAC patients to evaluate the clinical values of SAAL1; 2) we will add more LAC cell lines to verify the roles and mechanisms of SALL1 in vivo and in vitro. Overall, SAAL1

was overexpressed in LAC tissues, which was significantly associated with shorter OS, DSS, and PFI, and demonstrated diagnostic value for LAC patients. Inhibition of SAAL1 expression could inhibit the growth of LAC cells by regulating the cell cycle. SAAL1 overexpression was significantly correlated with the stromal score, immune score, estimate score, and immune infiltrating cell levels in LAC, which could be a new candidate target molecule for the treatment of LAC patients.

Conclusions

SAAL1 is overexpressed in LUAD and is associated with poor survival of cancer patients. Inhibition of SAAL1 expression could regulate cancer growth via the cell cycle. This shows that SAAL1 is a promising prognostic biomarker in LAC patients.

Abbreviations

SAAL1	Serum Amyloid A-like 1
LAC	Lung adenocarcinoma
OS	Overall survival
EMT	Epithelial-mesenchymal transition
CT	Chest computed tomography
PFI	Progression-free interval
GTEx	Genotypic Tissue Expression
HCC	Hepatocellular carcinoma
DSS	Disease-specific survival
GGN	Ground-glass nodules
TCGA	The Cancer Genome Atlas

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-023-10741-5.

Additional file 1.

Additional file 2 Figure S1. SAAL1 expression in paired pan-cancer tissues. (A) TCGA; (B) XENA-TCGA; (C) TCGA. Note: TCGA, the cancer genome atlas. Figure S2. Increased SAAL1 expression was associated with poorer PFI in LAC patient subgroups. Note: PFI, progression-free interval; LAC, lung adenocarcinoma. Figure S3. PPI network of SAAL1-associated genes. Note: PPI, protein-protein interaction. Figure S4. The expression levels of 21 SAAL1-related genes in paired LAC tissues. Note: LAC, lung adenocarcinoma. Figure S5. SAAL1 expression levels were significantly correlated with the immune cells. (A) Neutrophils; (B) Th1 cells; (C) NK CD56dim cells; (D) pDC; (E) Cytotoxic cells; (F) aDC; (G) TReg; (H) Macrophages; (I) T cells. Table S1. Functions of SAAL1-associated genes.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

Song Tong, Ni Jiang, Jun-Hao Wan wrote the manuscript for this study and supervised and interpreted the findings. Si-Hua Wang, Qiang Guo, Chuang-Yan Wu, Chong-Rui Chen, and Xiao-Yue Xiao downloaded and analyzed the research data from the TCGA database and performed basic research analysis. Ting Zhou, Huan Huang, and Song Tong were involved in the subject research and supervised the conduct of the experiments. All authors confirmed the final manuscript. The author(s) read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This research was supported using the Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 82070431, 82100299, 82100115, and 82100116) and the Natural Science Foundation of Hubei (No. 2020CFB392, and 2020CFB818).

Availability of data and materials

The data could be obtained from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology. All participants provided written informed consent and complied with the declaration of Helsinki.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of Thoracic Surgery, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430022, China. ²Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Women and Children's Hospitalof, Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing 401147, China. ³Department of Thoracic Surgery, People's Hospital of Dongxihu District, Wuhan 430040, China. ⁴Department of Critical Care Medicine, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430022, China.

Received: 14 December 2022 Accepted: 15 March 2023 Published online: 27 March 2023

References

- 1. Sun H, Liu SY, Zhou JY, Xu JT, Zhang HK, Yan HH, Huan JJ, Dai PP, Xu CR, Su J, Guan YF, Yi X, Yu RS, Zhong WZ, Wu YL. Specific TP53 subtype as biomarker for immune checkpoint inhibitors in lung adenocarcinoma. EBioMedicine. 2020;60:102990.
- Biton J, Mansuet-Lupo A, Pécuchet N, Alifano M, Ouakrim H, Arrondeau J, Boudou-Rouquette P, Goldwasser F, Leroy K, Goc J, Wislez M, Germain C, Laurent-Puig P, Dieu-Nosjean MC, Cremer I, Herbst R, Blons H, Damotte D. TP53, STK11, and EGFR Mutations Predict Tumor Immune Profile and the Response to Anti-PD-1 in Lung Adenocarcinoma. Clin Cancer Res. 2018;24(22):5710–23.
- Ding DX, Li Q, Shi K, Li H, Guo Q, Zhang YQ. LncRNA NEAT1-miR-101-3p/ miR-335-5p/miR-374a-3p/miR-628-5p-TRIM6 axis identified as the prognostic biomarker for lung adenocarcinoma via bioinformatics and meta-analysis. Transl Cancer Res. 2021;10(11):4870–83.
- Tang S, Ni J, Chen B, Sun F, Huang J, Ni S, Tang Z. PAFAH1B3 predicts poor prognosis and promotes progression in lung adenocarcinoma. BMC Cancer. 2022;22(1):525.
- Ma G, Yang L, Dong J, Zhang L. CCNE1 Promotes Progression and is Associated with Poor Prognosis in Lung Adenocarcinoma. Curr Pharm Biotechnol. 2022;23(9):1168–78.
- Xiao D, He J, Guo Z, He H, Yang S, Huang L, Pan H, He J. Rhophilin-2 Upregulates Glutamine Synthetase by Stabilizing c-Myc Protein and Confers Resistance to Glutamine Deprivation in Lung Cancer. Front Oncol. 2021;10:571384.
- Sato T, Fujii R, Konomi K, Yagishita N, Aratani S, Araya N, Aono H, Yudoh K, Suzuki N, Beppu M, Yamano Y, Nishioka K, Nakajima T. Overexpression of SPACIA1/SAAL1, a newly identified gene that is involved in synoviocyte proliferation, accelerates the progression of synovitis in mice and humans. Arthritis Rheum. 2011;63(12):3833–42.

- Chu PY, Tung SL, Tsai KW, Shen FP, Chan SH. Identification of the Novel Oncogenic Role of SAAL1 and Its Therapeutic Potential in Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Cancers (Basel). 2020;12(7):1843.
- Fujii R, Komatsu R, Sato T, Seki I, Konomi K, Aono H, Niki H, Yudoh K, Nishioka K, Nakajima T. SPACIA1/SAAL1 Deletion Results in a Moderate Delay in Collagen-Induced Arthritis Activity, along with mRNA Decay of Cyclin-dependent Kinase 6 Gene. Int J Mol Sci. 2018;19(12):3828.
- Chen W, Xu S, Xiang L, Zhang Y, Wang C, Fan T, Huang W, Lu Z. The silencing of SAAL1 suppresses pneumonia progression via modulating the NLR signaling pathway. Ann Transl Med. 2022;10(20):1128.
- Lu G, Zhou Y, Zhang C, Zhang Y. Upregulation of LIMK1 Is Correlated with Poor Prognosis and Immune Infiltrates in Lung Adenocarcinoma. Front Genet. 2021; 12(undefined): 671585.
- 12. Zhang Y, Chen Z, Jiang A, Gao G. KLRK1 as a prognostic biomarker for lung adenocarcinoma cancer. Sci Rep. 2022;12(1):1976.
- Wei QY, Lau ATY, Mo HY, Zhong QH, Zhao XY, Yu FY, Han J, Wu YY, Xu YM. Effects of CYP3A43 Expression on Cell Proliferation and Migration of Lung Adenocarcinoma and Its Clinical Significance. Int J Mol Sci. 2022; 24: undefined.
- Tong S, Xia T, Fan K, Jiang K, Zhai W, Li JS, Wang SH, Wang JJ. 14-3-3ζ promotes lung cancer cell invasion by increasing the Snail protein expression through atypical protein kinase C (aPKC)/NF-κB signaling. Exp Cell Res. 2016;348(1):1–9.
- Liu HS, Guo Q, Yang H, Zeng M, Xu LQ, Zhang QX, Liu H, Guo JL, Zhang J. SPDL1 Overexpression Is Associated With the 18F-FDG PET/CT Metabolic Parameters, Prognosis, and Progression of Esophageal Cancer. Front Genet. 2022;13:798020.
- Guo Q, Liu XL, Liu HS, Luo XY, Yuan Y, Ji YM, Liu T, Guo JL, Zhang J. The Risk Model Based on the Three Oxidative Stress-Related Genes Evaluates the Prognosis of LAC Patients. Oxid Med Cell Longev. 2022;2022:4022896.
- 17. Zhang YQ, Li K, Guo Q, Li D. A New Risk Model Based on 7 Quercetin-Related Target Genes for Predicting the Prognosis of Patients with Lung Adenocarcinoma. Front Genet. 2022;13:890079.
- Nie L, Zhang C, Song H, Zhao Q, Cheng L, Zhang P, Yang X. Overexpression of Stathmin 1 Predicts Poor Prognosis and Promotes Cancer Cell Proliferation and Migration in Ovarian Cancer. Dis Markers. 2022;2022:3554100.
- Zhang M, Liu Y, Liu Y, Hou S, Li H, Ma Y, Wang C, Chen X. A Potential Indicator ARRDC2 Has Feasibility to Evaluate Prognosis and Immune Microenvironment in Ovarian Cancer. Front Genet. 2022;13:815082.
- Li D, Ji Y, Guo J, Guo Q. Upregulated expression of MTFR2 as a novel biomarker predicts poor prognosis in hepatocellular carcinoma by bioinformatics analysis. Future Oncol. 2021;17(24):3187–201.
- Li P, Sun J, Ruan Y, Song L. High PHD Finger Protein 19 (PHF19) expression predicts poor prognosis in colorectal cancer: a retrospective study. PeerJ. 2021;9:e11551.
- Yang W, Han B, Chen Y, Geng F. SAAL1, a novel oncogene, is associated with prognosis and immunotherapy in multiple types of cancer. Aging (Albany NY). 2022;14(15):6316–37.
- Bao B, Yu X, Zheng W. MiR-139-5p Targeting CCNB1 Modulates Proliferation, Migration, Invasion and Cell Cycle in Lung Adenocarcinoma. Mol Biotechnol. 2022;64(8):852–60.
- Xu Q, Xu Z, Zhu K, Lin J, Ye B. LINC00346 Sponges miR-30c-2-3p to Promote the Development of Lung Adenocarcinoma by Targeting MYBL2 and Regulating CELL CYCLE Signaling Pathway. Front Oncol. 2021;11:687208.
- Sheng Y, Li J, Yang Y, Lu Y. Hypoxia-inducible lipid droplet-associated (HILPDA) facilitates the malignant phenotype of lung adenocarcinoma cells in vitro through modulating cell cycle pathways. Tissue Cell. 2021;70:101495.
- Huang D, Cui P, Huang Z, Wu Z, Tao H, Zhang S, Xiang R, Hu Y. Anti-PD-1/L1 plus anti-angiogenesis therapy as second-line or later treatment in advanced lung adenocarcinoma. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. 2021;147(3):881–91.
- Chen L, Cao MF, Xiao JF, Ma QH, Zhang H, Cai RL, Miao JY, Wang WY, Zhang H, Luo M, Ping YF, Yao XH, Cui YH, Zhang X, Bian XW. Stromal PD-1+ tumor-associated macrophages predict poor prognosis in lung adenocarcinoma. Hum Pathol. 2020;97:68–79.

- Liu C, Li Z, Wang S, Fan Y, Zhang S, Yang X, Hou K, Tong J, Hu X, Shi X, Wang X, Liu Y, Che X, Qu X. FUT4 is involved in PD-1-related immunosuppression and leads to worse survival in patients with operable lung adenocarcinoma. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. 2019;145(1):65–76.
- Yan B, Wang S, Liu C, Wen N, Li H, Zhang Y, Wang H, Xi Z, Lv Y, Fan H, Liu X. Engineering magnetic nano-manipulators for boosting cancer immunotherapy. J Nanobiotechnology. 2022;20(1):547.
- Alausa A, Victor UC, Fadahunsi OS, Owolabi N, Adeniji A, Olatinwo M, Ogunlana AT, Olaleke B, Balogun TA, Ogundepo S, Adegbola PI. Checkpoints and immunity in cancers: Role of GNG12. Pharmacol Res. 2022;180: 106242.
- Chen Z, Yue Z, Yang K, Li S. Nanomaterials: small particles show huge possibilities for cancer immunotherapy. J Nanobiotechnology. 2022;20(1):484.
- Alausa A, Lawal KA, Babatunde OA, Obiwulu ENO, Oladokun OC, Fadahunsi OS, Celestine UO, Moses EU, Akaniro IR, Adegbola Pl. Overcoming immunotherapeutic resistance in PDAC: SIRPα-CD47 blockade. Pharmacol Res. 2022;181:106264.
- Cunha LL, Ward LS. Translating the immune microenvironment of thyroid cancer into clinical practice. Endocr Relat Cancer. 2022;29(6):R67–83.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.