RESEARCH Open Access

# Serotype distribution and antimicrobial susceptibility of invasive *Streptococcus pneumoniae* isolates among adult and elderly population before and after introduction of pneumococcal conjugate vaccine in Casablanca, Morocco



#### **Abstract**

**Background** *Streptococcus pneumoniae (S. pneumoniae)*, remains a major cause of mortality and morbidity worldwide. The objective of this study was to determine the trends of invasive pneumococcal diseases (IPD) in adult and elderly population in Casablanca (Morocco) before and after introduction of pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (PCV) by determining the distribution of pneumococcal serotypes and antibiotic resistance profile of isolated strains.

**Method** The proposed study is a retrospective laboratory-based surveillance of IPD in hospitalized adult (15–59 years old) and elderly (≥ 60 years old) patients in Ibn Rochd University Hospital Centre from 2007 to 2019 (13 years). All the 250 non-duplicate clinical invasive isolates from adult and elderly patients, confirmed as *S. pneumoniae* according to the laboratory standard identification procedures, are included in this study.

**Results** A significant decrease of the overall incidence in IPD was observed only in adults from 0.71 to 0.54/100000 populations (P = 0.02) and to 0.47/100000 populations (P = 0.0137) in the early and mature post-vaccine period respectively compared to the pre-vaccine period. Our results also showed a significant reduction in the overall prevalence of vaccine serotypes from 28.17 to 6.90% (P = 0.0021) for the PCV-10 serotypes, and from 46.48 to 25.86% (P = 0.0164) for the PCV-13 serotypes only in the mature post-vaccine period (2015–2019). In parallel, the rate of non-vaccine serotypes did not significantly change in the early post-vaccine period (2011–2014) while it increased considerably from 54 to 74.14% (P = 0.0189) during the mature post-vaccine period. The rate of penicillin non-susceptible pneumococcal isolates decreased significantly from 23.94 to 8.77% (P = 0.02) in adult patients, and the

<sup>†</sup>Néhémie Nzoyikorera and Idrissa Diawara contributed equally and share co-first authorship in this work.

\*Correspondence: Néhémie Nzoyikorera nzoyikorera@yahoo.fr

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third partial in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

rate of cotrimoxazole non-susceptible pneumococcal isolates significantly decreased from 29.58 to 8.77% in the early post-vaccine period (P = 0.003) and to 7.24% in the mature post-vaccine period (P = 0.0007).

**Conclusion** Although childhood vaccination has considerably reduced the incidence of IPD in adult population through the herd effect, IPD remain a real public health problem due to the alarming increase in non-vaccine serotypes (NVS) and the lack of herd effect among elderly population. The rate of antibiotic resistance was relatively low. Nevertheless, resistance constitutes a serious problem to the therapeutic arsenal due to the known capacity for genetic dissemination in the pneumococcus.

**Keywords** *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, Serotype, Antibiotic resistance, Invasive pneumococcal diseases, Adult population, Herd effect, Pneumococcal conjugate vaccine

# **Background**

Streptococcus pneumoniae (S. pneumoniae), also known as pneumococcus, is an encapsulated Gram-positive bacterium belonging to the genus Streptococcus and the family Streptococcaceae. A commensal bacterium of the human nasopharynx, the pneumococcus can pass from the status of asymptomatic carriage to that of a major pathogen and cause of non-invasive diseases such as sinusitis, otitis media, conjunctivitis and invasive infections such as meningitis, bacteraemia, bacteraemic pneumonia and pleural empyema. It is one of the main pathogens responsible for morbidity and mortality worldwide [1]. Globally, 294,000 of 5.83 million deaths among < 5 year old children were related to pneumococcal infections in 2015 according to the World Health Organization (WHO) [2].

The management of invasive infections mainly includes treatment with antibiotics and prevention through the use of vaccines which is the best option for preventing invasive pneumococcal diseases (IPD). In several countries, pneumococcal conjugated vaccines (PCV) have been recommended in childhood immunization programs for more than a decade. In Morocco, the National Immunization Program (NIP) introduced the PCV-13 in October 2010 in 2+1 schedule at 2, 4 and 12 months of age, and switched to PCV-10 in July 2012. They have significantly reduced the global burden of IPD in children as reported in the review of Izurieta [3]. Nowadays, 100 different serotypes have been identified and characterized [4]. However, the serotype prevalence and distribution vary greatly depending on geographic region, patient age, vaccination coverage, and time period of surveillance, which implies the need for continuous surveillance of pneumococcal infections.

Beta-lactam antibiotics, especially the third-generation cephalosporins (C3G), are used to treat the pneumococcal infections. Other antibiotic families (macrolides, lincosamides, fluroquinolones) are needed for beta-lactam resistant pneumococcal isolates or for patients who do not tolerate beta-lactams. Globally, the increase of penicillin-non-susceptible pneumococci (PNSP) have been

documented in various regions [5, 6]. The emergence of the antimicrobial drug resistant pneumococcal isolates constitutes a serious therapeutic problem. Since the resistance patterns of S. pneumoniae vary according to geographic location, time, age, site of infection, and serotypes in circulation, the surveillance must be implemented and the guidelines must be derived from the local epidemiology [6, 7]. In Casablanca, pneumococcal laboratory-based surveillance has started since 1994 in Ibn Rochd University Hospital Centre (IR-UHC) of Casablanca. The published results on serotype distribution and antimicrobial susceptibility of *S. pneumoniae* strains isolated until 2014 targeted mostly the children < 5 years [7–11]. They showed an overall decrease of vaccine serotypes (VS) among children < 2 years as well as a slight increase in non-vaccine serotypes (NVS) and significant decrease of the PNSP strains [9]. The main objective of this study was to determine the trends of IPD in adult and elderly population in Casablanca, Morocco before and after introduction of PCV in the national immunization program. The secondary objectives are to determine the distribution of pneumococcal serotypes responsible for IPD and the antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of isolated strains and their antibiotic resistance profile.

# **Methods**

# Study population

The proposed study is a laboratory-based surveillance of IPD in hospitalized adult (15–59 years old) and elderly (≥60 years old) patients in IR-UHC. This centre is the only and well-known public tertiary hospital covering the entire region of Grand Casablanca, where almost all cases of serious diseases such as meningitis and complicated diseases in other hospitals are systematically transferred. It is composed of four training hospital formations covering all ages and all specialties. The microbiology department belongs to the laboratory of the IR-UHC, ensuring all microbiological analyzes. The Grand Casablanca with the same 4 provinces as before the territorial division of 2015 (Casablanca, Mohammedia, Nouaceur, Mediouna) is located on the Atlantic coast, in the center west of the

country. This region covers an area of 1140.54 km<sup>2</sup>. It is the most populated region of the Kingdom of Morocco with a population of 4,648,720 in 2018, which represents 13.19% of the national population of Morocco as provided by the High Council for Planning of the National Centre of documentation in Morocco [12].

# Study design

This is a retrospective study to evaluate the trend of IPD before and after introduction of PCV in Moroccan NIP in adult and elderly patients hospitalized in IR-UHC from 2007 to 2019 (13 years). All clinical invasive isolates from adult and elderly patients that were confirmed as *S. pneumoniae* according to the laboratory standard pneumococcal identification procedures are included in this study. All duplicate *S. pneumoniae* isolates are not considered in this study. To assess the impact of PCV in these two categories of age, the study period was subdivided intopre-vaccine period (2007–2010) and post-vaccine period (2011–2019). The post-vaccine period was subdivided into early post-vaccine period (2011–2014) and mature post-vaccine period (2015–2019) based on vaccine coverage rate.

#### **Pneumococcal isolates**

All *S. pneumoniae* isolates were identified following standard procedures of bacteriology (i.e., α-hemolysis, optochin susceptibility and bile solubility). Each pneumococcal isolate was reported on a laboratory report form including demographic and medical information on the patient. All isolates of *S. pneumoniae* from a normally sterile body site (e.g., blood, cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), or, less commonly, joint, pleural or pericardial fluid) were categorized as IPD. When an isolate was recovered from CSF and blood, the case was categorized as meningitis [9]. From 2007 to 2019, all the non-duplicate invasive *S. pneumoniae* isolates recovered from adult and elderly patients were included in this study.

# Pneumococcal serogrouping/serotyping

Serogrouping was performed by the checkerboard method with Pneumotest-latex (Staten's Serum Institute antisera, Copenhagen, Denmark). Serotyping was performed by Quellung capsule swelling method using Statens Serum Institute antisera (Copenhague, Denmark). For some strains, serogrouping/serotyping were also done by multiplex PCR as described previously by Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) [13].

# **Antimicrobial susceptibility**

Antimicrobial susceptibility by disc diffusion for 5 antibiotics (erythromycin, chloramphenicol, co-trimoxazole, tetracycline and levofloxacin) and determination of the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of penicillin G and ceftriaxone with E-tests (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK) were carried out on Mueller–Hinton agar with 5% of sheep blood (BioMérieux, Marcy-l'Etoile, France) and interpreted according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI,2012) [14]. recommendations before 2014 and the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) recommendations after 2014. Oxacillin (1 μg) was used for screening of PNSP isolates. Quality control was conducted using *S. pneumoniae* ATCC 49,619.

### Statistical and data analysis

Computerized data was analyzed with Epi Info version 7.1.5 and Microsoft Excel version 2019. To better assess the impact of vaccination on serotypes, different serotypes identified were subdivided into three major groups: VS group covered by PCV-10 and/or PCV-13 and NVS group not covered by PCV-13 [9]. The annual incidence rate of IPD per 100,000 populations was estimated by dividing the number of confirmed infections by the number of populations of the target group (15-59 years and≥60 years) per year. The chi square test or Fisher's exact test were performed to compare proportion between different periods [9]. Statistical significance was determined with 95% confidence interval (CI). Change in incidence rate between the pre- and post-vaccination periods was assessed by calculating absolute risks reduction and relative risks reduction (ARR and RRR) [15, 16]. Differences were considered significant if the P < 0.05.

#### **Results**

# Isolate information and incidence rate of IPD

A total of 250 isolates was recovered from adult (n = 197) and elderly patients (n = 53) during our study period (from 2007 to 2019), among them 34.4% (n = 86), 33.2%(n = 83) and 32.4% (n = 81) were recovered from the pre-vaccine period, the early and mature post-vaccine period respectively. Compared to the pre-vaccine period, a significant decrease in the overall incidence rate of IPD in the adult population was observed, ranging from 0.71 to 0.54/100,000 populations (P = 0.02) with a decrease rate of 24.18% (IC 95% = -55.51 to +6.33) and from 0.71 to 0.47/100,000 populations (P = 0.0137) with a reduction rate of 66% (95% CI: 46% to 93%) in the early and mature post-vaccine period, respectively (Table 1). As for the elderly patient group, the incidence rate underwent a non-significant increase from 1.28 to 2.09/100,000 populations (P = 0.12) in the early post-vaccine period while it decreased to 0.69/100,000 populations (P = 0.11) in the mature post-vaccine period compared to the prevaccine period.

Table 1 Sample origin and IPD incidence according to age groups in the pre-and post-vaccination periods

	Pre-vaccine period 2007– 2010 no. of	Post-implem no. of cases/ populations	100.000	Baseline (2007- vaccine period		post-	Baseline (2007- vaccine period	-2010) vs mature (2015–2019)	post-
	cases/100,000 populations <sup>a</sup>	Early post- vaccine period 2011–2014	Mature post-vaccine period 2015–2019	Absolute risk reduction cases/100,000 populations (95% CI)	Relative Risk Reduction % (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value	Absolute risk reduction cases/100,000 populations (95% CI)	Relative risk reduction % (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value
15–59 years									
CSF	0.18	0.09	0.26	-0.09 (-0.20 to $+0.02)$	-47.53 (-110.37 to+9.88)	0.09	-0.09 (-0.20 to $+0.02)$	0.73 (0.45 to 1.20)	0.19
Blood	0.35	0.37	0.16	+0.02 (-0.15 to+0.19)	+5.23 (-42.98 to+52.99)	0.82	+0.02 (-0.15 to+0.19)	0.86 (0.44 to 1.71)	0.65
Pleural fluid	0.07	0.04	0.03	- 0.03 (- 0.11 to 0.04)	-46.03 (-157.46 to+53.22)	0.48	-0.03 (-0.11 to 0.04)	0.48 (0.12 to 1.77)	0.21
<sup>c</sup> Others	0.11	0.04	0.02	-0.07 (-0.16 to 0.00)	- 65.65 (- 147.48 to + 4.07)	0.09	- 0.07 (- 0.16 to 0.00)	0.18 (0.03 to 0.70)	0.0037
Total	0.71	0.54	0.47	-0.17 (-0.39 to $+0.04)$	- 24.18 (- 55.51 to + 6.33)	0.02	-0.17 (-0.39 to +0.04)	0.66 (0.46 to 0.93)	0.0137
≥ 60 years									
CSF	0.77	0.56	0.23	- 0.20 (- 0.95 to + 0.50)	- 26.55 (- 124.25 to + 64.88)	0.53	- 0.20 (- 0.95 to + 0.50)	0.68 (0.12 to 3.65)	0.58
Blood	0.34	1.12	0.17	+0.78 (+0.08 to +1.58)	+230.55 (+24.26 to+463.05)	0.04	+0.78 (+0.08 to +1.58)	0.22 (0.03 to 0.90)	0.0149
Pleural fluid	0.09	0.24	0.23	+0.16 (-0.27 to+0.63)	+ 183.32 (- 319.24 to + 739.21)	0.62	+0.16 (-0.27 to +0.63)	2.72 (0.26 to 134.03)	0.35
Other	0.09	0.16	0.06	+0.08 (-0.34 to +0.51)	+88.88 (-397.33 to+595.49)	1	+0.08 (-0.34 to+0.51)	0.68 (0.008 to 53.41)	0.78
Total	1.28	2.09	0.69	+0.81 (-0.25 to+1.91)	+63.70 (-19.53 to 149.45)	0.12	+0.81 (-0.25 to+1.91)	0.54 (0.23 to 1.24)	0.11

Incidences were calculated as incidence = Number of IPD cases  $\times$  100,000/population during the years of surveillance

# Serotype distribution of pneumococcal isolates

The serotype/serogroup was determined for 95.6% (n=239) of all invasive isolates recovered from adult and elderly patients (Tables 2 and 3), the remaining strains (11 strains) not serotyped were lost because of freezing problems. In adult population, the most frequent serotypes before vaccination were 19A (n=9), 8 (n=7) and 18C (n=6) whereas after the introduction of vaccination, only serotypes 6B (n=5) and 3 (n=5) dominated in the early post-vaccine period and the serotype 3 and 19A with 8.62% (n=5) each in the mature post period.

In adult population, the vaccination coverage of the serotypes included in PCV-10 and PCV-13 showed a non-significant increase from 28.17 to 35.09% (P=0.4) for PCV-10 serotypes and a non-significant slight reduction from 46.48 to 44% (P=0.76) for PCV-13 serotypes in the early post-vaccine period. In the mature post-vaccine period, it significantly decreased to 6.90% (P=0.0021) for the PCV-10 serotypes and to 25.86% (P=0.0164) for the PCV-13 serotypes compared to the pre-vaccine period. In parallel, the rate of NVS did not change in the early post-vaccine period while it increased considerably

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> The pre-period was from January 2007 to October 2010

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> The post-period was from January 2011 to December 2019 and devised in the early post-vaccine period (2011–2014) and the mature post-period (2015–2019)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Other sterile sites (articular fluid, pus/tissues)

**Table 2** Serotype distribution during the pre- and post-vaccination period (early and mature post-vaccine period) in the adult patients (15–59 years)

Serotype	Pre-v		od (2007–2010):	Early N = 5		e period (2011–2014):		re post-vacc 5–2019): N =	
	n	%	Cumulative %	n	%	Cumulative %	n	%	Cumulative %
4	0	0	0	1	1.75	1.75	0	0	0
6B	1	1.41	1.41	5	8.77	10.52	1	1.72	1.72
9V	1	1.41	2.82	1	1.75	12.28	1	1.72	3.45
14	1	1.41	4.23	1	1.75	14.03	0	0	3.45
18C	6	8.45	12.68	0	0	14.03	0	0	3.45
19F	2	2.82	15.49	1	1.75	15.79	0	0	3.45
23F	3	4.23	19.72	4	7.02	22.8	0	0	3.45
1	3	4.23	23.94	4	7.02	29.82	1	1.72	5.17
5	1	1.41	25.35	0	0	29.82	1	1.72	6.9
7F	2	2.82	28.17	3	5.26	35.08	0	0	6.9
Total PCV-10	20	28.17		20	35.09	P = 0.4031	4	6.9	P = 0.0021
3	2	2.82	30.99	5	8.77	43.86	5	8.62	15.52
6A	2	2.82	33.8	0	0	43.86	1	1.72	17.24
19A	9	12.68	46.48	0	0	43.86	5	8.62	25.86
Total PCV-13	33	46.48		25	43.86	P = 0.7682	15	25.86	P = 0.0164
2	1	1.41	47.89	0	0	43.86	0	0	25.86
7A	1	1.41	49.3	2	3.51	47.36	0	0	25.86
7C	1	1.41	50.7	0	0	47.36	0	0	25.86
8	7	9.86	60.56	4	7.02	54.38	4	6.9	32.76
10	2	2.82	63.38	1	1.75	56.14	0	0	32.76
11	0	0	63.38	2	3.51	59.64	1	1.72	34.48
12F	3	4.23	67.61	2	3.51	63.15	0	0	34.48
17F	0	0	67.61	2	3.51	66.66	3	5.17	39.66
22F	0	0	67.61	1	1.75	68.42	1	1.72	41.38
23A	2	2.82	70.42	0	0	68.42	1	1.72	43.1
33	0	0	70.42	1	1.75	70.17	3	5.17	48.27
34	3	4.23	74.65	1	1.75	71.93	1	1.72	49.99
9N	0	0	74.65	0	0	71.93	1	1.72	51.71
35F	0	0	74.65	1	1.75	73.68	0	0	51.71
NVT	18	25.35	100	15	26.32	100	28	48.28	100
Total non PCV-13	38	54		32	56.14	P = 0.8096	43	74.14	P = 0.0189

from 54 to 74.14% (P=0.0189) during the mature post period of vaccination (Table 2). The coverage rate of PCV-13/non PCV-10 serotypes (3,6A,19A) was of 8.77% and 18.96% in the early and mature post-vaccine period respectively. Compared to the pre-vaccine period, there was not a significant difference.

With regard to the elderly population group, there was non-significant difference between the pre-vaccine period and the early post-vaccine period. Compared to the pre-vaccine period, we observed a significant decrease of vaccine coverage of the serotypes included in PCV-13 from 60 to 16.67% (P=0.0255). At the same time, the rate of NVS increased considerably from 40

to 83.33% (P=0.026) during the mature post period of vaccination (Table 3). The coverage rate of PCV-13/non PCV-10 serotypes (3,6A,19A) was 26.93% and 0% in the early and mature post-vaccine period respectively in the elderly population. Compared to the pre-vaccine period, there was not a significant difference.

### **Antimicrobial resistance**

The rates of resistant isolates to different antibiotics are summarized in Table 4. The comparative analysis of resistance rates to different antibiotics before and after the introduction of vaccination showed a great variability according to age groups. Compared to the pre-vaccine

**Table 3** Serotype distribution during the pre- and post-vaccine period (early and mature post-vaccine period) in the elderly patients (≥ 60 years)

Serotype	Pre-\ N =		od (2007–2010)	Early N = 2		e period (2011–2014)		re post-vacc 5–2019) 12	ine period
	N	%	Cumulative %	N	%	Cumulative %	N	%	Cumulative %
4	2	13.33	13.33	0	0	0	0	0	0
6B	0	0	13.33	1	3.85	3.85	1	8.33	8.33
9V	0	0	13.33	0	0	3.85	0	0	8.33
14	0	0	13.33	1	3.85	7.69	1	8.33	16.67
18C	0	0	13.33	0	0	7.69	0	0	16.67
19F	0	0	13.33	3	11.54	19.23	0	0	16.67
23F	1	6.67	20	0	0	19.23	0	0	16.67
1	0	0	20	2	7.69	26.92	0	0	16.67
5	2	13.33	33.33	0	0	26.92	0	0	16.67
7F	0	0	33.33	0	0	26.92	0	0	16.67
Total PCV-10	5	33.33		7	26.92	P = 0.6692	2	16.67	P = 0.33
3	4	26.67	60	6	23.08	50	0	0	16.67
6A	0	0	60	0	0	50	0	0	16.67
19A	0	0	60	1	3.85	53.85	0	0	16.67
Total PCV-13	9	60		14	53.85	P = 0.7058	2	16.67	P = 0.0255
8	1	6.67	66.66	4	15.38	69.23	1	8.33	25
9N	1	6.67	73.33	0	0	69.23	1	8.33	33.33
12F	1	6.67	80	1	3.85	73.08	0	0	33.33
17F	0	0	80	1	3.85	76.92	0	0	33.33
20	1	6.67	86.66	0	0	76.92	0	0	33.33
23A	0	0	86.66	0	0	0	1	8.33	41.67
22F	1	6.67	93.33	0	0	76.92	0	0	41.67
NVT	1	6.67	100	6	23.08	100	7	58.33	100
Total no PCV-13	6	40		12	46.15	P = 0.7058	10	83.33	P = 0.026

period, there were non-significant changes in antimicrobial resistance rates in the early post-vaccine period for all antibiotics tested in the two age categories (P > 0.05), with exception of the rate of cotrimoxazole and penicillin non susceptible pneumococcal isolates. The rate of PNSP decreased significantly from 23.94 to 8.77% (P = 0.02) in adult patients in the early post-vaccine period while the rate of cotrimoxazole non susceptible pneumococcal isolates significantly decreased from 29.58 to 8.77% in the early post-vaccine period (P = 0.003) and to 7.24% in the mature post-vaccine period (P = 0.0007). However, only one case of a strain with reduced sensitivity to ceftriaxone recovered from the adult population, all other strains isolated in the post-vaccination period were sensitive to C3Gs.

#### Discussion

IPD remain a global public health problem in both children and adults [1, 2]. Vaccination with PCVs recommended by the WHO since 2007 remains the best option

to prevent IPD. Nowadays, the vaccination coverage throughout all the country is 100% since 2017 [17]. However, WHO recommends and encourages all the countries that have introduced pneumococcal vaccination in their NIPs to set up an IPD surveillance system to assess the impact of the vaccines. In response, the microbiology laboratory of the IR-UHC has carried out laboratory based surveillance of IPD for more than 25 years [7–9].

Based on obtained results, although the overall incidence rate of IPD in adult patients was < 1/100,000 populations, a significant reduction from 0.71 to 0.54/100,000 populations (P=0.02) was observed during the early post-vaccine period and decreased to 0.47/100,000 populations in mature post-vaccine period (P=0.0137) compared to the pre-vaccine period. The use of PCVs in vaccination programmes has also led to IPD incidence reduction among the unvaccinated population due to the herd effect in other countries. These results demonstrate the herd effect following the implementation of vaccination in the NIP in Morocco.

 
 Table 4
 Distribution of Penicillin G-, Erythromycin-, Cotrimoxazole-, Tetracycline-, Chloramphenicol- Ceftriaxone- non susceptible (I+R) strains among adult and elderly patients
 during pre- and post-vaccination periods

Antibiotic	Adult (15–59 years old)	ars old)				Elderly (≥60 years old)	ars old)			
	Pre-vaccine period 2007– 2010 <sup>a</sup>	Post-vaccine period (2011–2019)	d (2011–2019)	p-value		Pre-vaccine period	Post-vaccine peri	Post-vaccine period (2011–2019) <sup>b</sup> p-value	p-value	
	2007-2010 (N = 71)	Early post period:2011–2014 (N = 57)	Mature post period: 2015– 2019 (N = 69)	(2011–2014)	(2011–2014) (2015–2019) $2007–2010$ (N = 15)	2007-2010 (N = 15)	Early post- vaccine period: 2011–2014 (N = 26)	Mature post- vaccine period: 2015–2019 (N = 12)	(2011–2014)	(2011–2014) (2015–2019)
Penicillin G <i>n</i> (%) 17 (23.94)	17 (23.94)	5 (8.77)	11 (15.94)	0.02	0.23	2 (13.33)	2 (7.69)	2 (16.66)	0.61	0.81
Erythromycin n (%)	5 (7.04)	6 (10.52)	11 (15.94)	0.48	60.0	1 (6.66)	2 (7.69)	1 (8.33)	_	0.87
Cotrimoxazole <i>n</i> (%)	21 (29.58)	5 (8.77)	5 (7.24)	0.003	0.0007	2 (13.33)	2 (7.69)	0 (0.00)	0.61	0.19
Tetracycline <i>n</i> (%) 22 (30.98)	22 (30.98)	11 (19.30)	23 (33.33)	0.13	0.76	4 (26.27)	6 (23.08)	3 (25.00)	6.0	0.94
Chloramphenicol 3 (4.22) <i>n</i> (%)	3 (4.22)	6 (10.53)	3 (4.34)	0.29	96.0	3 (20.00)	1 (3.85)	1 (8.33)	0.13	0.4
Ceftriaxone <i>n</i> (%) 1 (1.41)	1 (1.41)	1 (1.75)	2 (2.89)	<del>-</del>	0.54	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	NS	NS
o to the library of t	1									

I = Intermediate, R = Resistant

n = number of (I + R)

NS = non-significant

The percentage was calculated as = Number of (I + R)  $\times$  100/ total number of strains

<sup>a</sup> The pre-period was from January 2007 to October 2010

<sup>b</sup> The post-period was from January 2011 to December 2019 and devised in the early post-vaccine period (2011–2014) and mature post-period (2015–2019)

However, given there are ~ 100 pneumococcal serotypes and PCVs only include a small number of these, subsequent serotype replacement by NVS may consequently start to cancel out any reduction (possibly substantial) in the overall disease burden initially achieved with the vaccine through both direct and indirect means. As it was the case in our study where the significance decrease in VS was accompanied by a dramatic increase in NVS in the mature post-vaccine period, as reported in many countries where they witnessed the emergence and increase of NVS during the PCV era [18, 19]. It is well established now that paediatric PCVs induce valuable herd protection that extends across the age range but also drive serotype replacement, and these have opposing effects on the overall disease burden [20].

Among the groups most affected by IPD, there is also the elderly population group. Our results showed a non-significant increase in the incidence of IPD during the early post-vaccine period. Contrariwise, there was a non-significance decrease of the incidence rate in the mature post-vaccine period compared to the pre-vaccine period (P = 0.11). This variation may be explained by a natural fluctuation of IPD incidence as already reported by Elmdagrhi et al. before vaccination in Casablanca [8]. Whilst Sweden reported no overall decrease in total IPD in those aged > 65 years old, vaccine type IPD in this age group did decrease [21]. Ireland similarly saw a decrease in cases of PCV7 vaccine serotype IPD in adults aged > 65 years in the post introduction of vaccine in the paediatric programme [22].

However, the absence of a herd effect in the older population has been reported in several countries [21–23]. In contrast, in Australia, a reduction of 71% (95% CI: 36%; 88%) was observed in the post-vaccination period in people over 60 years of age, reflecting the herd effect [24]. As the herd effect increases with the time since the introduction of vaccination in the NIP, we expect even greater indirect protection of that age group in the coming years. Furthermore, these data suggest an alternative solution to this age group. New higher valent PCVs could be considered for protecting Moroccan adults against pneumococcal diseases. Nowadays, adult PCV15 and PCV20 are now licensed (EU/US). Besides PCVs, The Pneumococcal Polysaccharide Vaccine (PPV), PPV-23, has been recommended in several countries around the world, but the Moroccan NIP does not include adult pneumococcal vaccination. Djennad et al. (2019) [25] supports limited/ moderate short-term effectiveness of PPV23 against vaccine type IPD in those aged > 65 years which aligns with the consensus that PPV23 provides some protection against IPD in older adults, as is stated by Wang et al. [26]. However, evidence that PPV23 is effective against community acquired pneumococcal pneumonia in older adults continues to remain very inconsistent.

Furthermore, in some countries, especially in Europe, certain serotypes that are common in one age group, but rare in others, are increasing in incidence in groups where they were rare. This is the case in Finland with serotype 11A common in adults increasing in children, in France with serotypes 8 and 9 N common in adults increasing in children, serotypes 10A and 23B common in children increasing in adults and in Norway with serotype 24F common in children increasing in adults; serotypes 8 and 9 N commonly found in adults increasing in children [27]. In our study in Casablanca, Morocco, no serotype was particularly dominant in any age group.

In addition to the impact on IPD and serotype distribution, PCVs have been shown to be effective in reducing the prevalence of resistant strains in the countries where they have been introduced. In the present study, in contrast to the elderly population group, the prevalence of PNSP strains decreased from 23.94 to 8.77% during the early post-vaccine period (P = 0.02) and to 15.94% in mature post-vaccine period (P = 0.23) compared to the pre-vaccine period in adult population, while the strains with decreased susceptibility to co-trimoxazole decreased significantly both from 29.58 to 8.77% in early post-vaccine period and to 7.24% in mature post-vaccine period. As reported by Diawara et al., the rate of co-trimoxazole-resistant strains decreased following vaccination even in the children population [9]. Variable rates of PNSP strains have been reported in several regions. In Malaysia, the rate of PNSP strains was 22.4% between 2014 and 2017 [28], 27.5% in Iran between 2017 and 2019 and 75.3% in Tunisia between 2012 and 2016 [29]. Overall, the highest rates are reported in Africa (64.3%), the Middle East (46.4%) and North America (38.5%) [30].

It is important to note that this rate does not vary only by region and period of surveillance, it also depends on circulating serotypes. In Japan, antimicrobial susceptibility testing revealed that 88.9% and 89.4% of serotype 35B and 15A strains respectively were of PNSP [31]. In Tunisia, the two most frequent serotypes 19F and 14 represented higher rates of PNSP strains with 18% and 29.4% respectively [29]. In Casablanca, vaccination has significantly reduced the prevalence of multi-antibiotic resistant serotypes; PNSP strains were often associated with some pre-vaccine era serotypes covered by PCV including serotypes 9 V, 6B, 14, 19A, 19F and 23F [9]. As a result, the low rate observed in Casablanca during our study period would be linked to the persistence of 6B serotype and 19A in the early and mature post-vaccine period respectively. The study conducted in Taiwan showed that although the overall rate of β-lactam nonsusceptible S. pneumoniae strains decreased in 2010 due to the decline of serotypes 19F and 23F, it has increased since 2012, partly due to the rise of serotypes 15A and 23A [32]. The rate of ceftriaxone non-susceptible *S. pneumoniae* strains remains very low but very concerning. Given that ceftriaxone remains a reference choice for the empirical treatment of IPD, selective pressure through overuse of this antibiotic could lead to increased rates of PNSP strains to this molecule as reported elsewhere [33]. However, regional study, maybe a national multisite scale study would be important for the future to highlight the country's situation.

# Limit of the study

Our study is a one site laboratory-based surveillance of invasive pneumococcal isolates. Regional study or a national multisite scale study would be important to highlight the serotype distribution and the antimicrobial susceptibility of invasive *Streptococcus pneumoniae* isolates in the whole country.

#### **Conclusions**

Although childhood vaccination has considerably reduced the incidence of IPD in adults aged 15–59 years through the herd effect, IPD remain a real public health problem following the alarming increase in NVS. The rate of antibiotic resistance was relatively low. Nevertheless, resistance constitutes a serious problem to the therapeutic arsenal due to the known capacity for genetic dissemination in the pneumococcus. Continued surveillance should continue to assess the changes in serotype distribution of invasive and non-invasive *S. pneumoniae* in the mature post-vaccine period.

# **Abbreviations**

ARR Absolute risks reduction
C3G Third-generation cephalosporins
CDC Disease Control and Prevention
CI Confidence interval
CLSI Clinical and Laboratory Standards
Institute

CSF Cerebrospinal fluid

EUCAST European Committee on Antimicrobial

Susceptibility Testing Intermediate

IPD Invasive pneumococcal diseases
IR-UHC Ibn Rochd University Hospital Centre
MIC Minimum inhibitory concentration
NIP National immunization program
NVS Non-vaccine serotypes

PCV Pneumococcal conjugate vaccine
PNSP Penicillin-non-susceptible pneumococci

R Resistant
RRR Relative risks reduction
Streptococcus pneumoniae
VS Seneumoniae
Vaccine serotypes

#### Acknowledgements

We would like to thank all the microbiology team of IR-UHC for the support on bacteriological analysis.

#### **Author contributions**

Study Design: NE, KZ, ID, JN. Data Collection: NN, ID, KK, FM. Analyses: NN, ID, JN, KK, FM. Manuscript Writing: NN and ID wrote the manuscript and all authors contributed to revisions. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### **Funding**

Not applicable.

#### Availability of data and materials

All the data supporting the conclusions of the present study are included within the manuscript. Supplementary datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

#### **Declarations**

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by the Ethical committee for biomedical research of Mohammed V University—Soussi, Faculty of Medicine, Pharmacy and Dental Medicine of Rabat, Morocco. The patients/legal guardians were informed about the study, they signed an informed consent form. The study was carried out in an anonymous way. All methods were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations.

#### Consent for publication

Not applicable.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### **Author details**

<sup>1</sup>Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy of Casablanca, Hassan II University of Casablanca, Casablanca, Morocco. <sup>2</sup>Higher Institute of Biosciences and Biotechnology, Mohammed VI University of Health Sciences (UM6SS), Casablanca, Morocco. <sup>3</sup>Laboratory of Microbial Biotechnology and Infectiology Research, Mohammed VI Center for Research & Innovation, Rabat, Mohammed VI University of Health Sciences (UM6SS), Casablanca, Morocco. <sup>4</sup>National Reference Laboratory, National Institute of Public Health, Bujumbura, Burundi. <sup>5</sup>Bacteriology-Virology and Hospital Hygiene Laboratory, Ibn Rochd University Hospital Centre of Casablanca, Casablanca, Morocco. <sup>6</sup>Département de Médecine, Faculté de Médecine, Université du Burundi, Bujumbura, Burundi. <sup>7</sup>Ministère de la Santé Publique et de la Lutte contre le Sida, Institut National de Santé Publique de Bujumbura, Bujumbura, Burundi.

# Received: 15 September 2022 Accepted: 2 January 2023 Published online: 13 January 2023

#### References

- Geno KA, Gilbert GL, Song JY, Skovsted IC, Klugman KP, Jones C, et al. Pneumococcal capsules and their types: past, present, and future. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2015;28:871–99.
- WHO | Weekly Epidemiological Record, 22 February 2019, vol. 94, 08 (pp. 85–104). https://www.who.int/wer/2019/wer9408/en/. Accessed 26 Feb 2019.
- Izurieta P, Bahety P, Adegbola R, Clarke C, Hoet B. Public health impact
  of pneumococcal conjugate vaccine infant immunization programs:
  assessment of invasive pneumococcal disease burden and serotype
  distribution. Expert Rev Vaccines. 2018;17:479–93.
- Ganaie F, Saad JS, McGee L, van Tonder AJ, Bentley SD, Lo SW, et al. A new pneumococcal capsule type, 10D, is the 100th serotype and has a large cps fragment from an oral Streptococcus. MBio. 2020;11: e0093720.

- El Moujaber G, Osman M, Rafei R, Dabboussi F, Hamze M. Molecular mechanisms and epidemiology of resistance in *Streptococcus pneumo-niae* in the Middle East region. J Med Microbiol. 2017;66:847–58.
- Sallam M. Trends in antimicrobial drug resistance of Streptococcus pneumoniae isolates at Jordan University Hospital (2000–2018). Antibiotics. 2019;8:41.
- Benbachir M, Benredjeb S, Boye CS, Dosso M, Belabbes H, Kamoun A, et al. Two-year surveillance of antibiotic resistance in *Streptococcus pneumoniae* in four African cities. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2001;45:627–9.
- Elmdaghri N, Benbachir M, Belabbes H, Zaki B, Benzaid H. Changing epidemiology of pediatric Streptococcus pneumoniae isolates before vaccine introduction in Casablanca (Morocco). Vaccine. 2012;30:G46-50.
- Diawara I, Zerouali K, Katfy K, Zaki B, Belabbes H, Najib J, et al. Invasive pneumococcal disease among children younger than 5 years of age before and after introduction of pneumococcal conjugate vaccine in Casablanca, Morocco. Int J Infect Dis. 2015;40:95–101.
- Benbachir M, Elmdaghri N, Belabbes H, Haddioui G, Benzaid H, Zaki B. Eleven-year surveillance of antibiotic resistance in Streptococcus pneumoniae in Casablanca (Morocco). Microb Drug Resist. 2012;18:157–60.
- Nzoyikorera N, Diawara I, Fresia P, Maaloum F, Katfy K, Nayme K, et al. Whole genomic comparative analysis of Streptococcus pneumoniae serotype 1 isolates causing invasive and non-invasive infections among children under 5 years in Casablanca, Morocco. BMC Genomics. 2021;22:39.
- Note sur les premiers résultats du Recensement Général de la Population et de l'Habitat 2014. https://www.hcp.ma/Note-sur-les-premiers-resul tats-du-Recensement-General-de-la-Population-et-de-l-Habitat-2014\_ a1516.html. Accessed 19 Nov 2022.
- Streptococcus Lab Resources and Protocols | CDC. https://www.cdc.gov/ streplab/pneumococcus/resources.html. Accessed 29 Jul 2022.
- 14. M100-S21: Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; Twenty-First Informational Supplement.:172.
- Organisation mondiale de la Santé. Mesurer l'impact de la vaccination par les vaccins conjugués anti-streptococcus pneumoniae et anti-Haemophilus influenzae type b. Orgnisation mondiale de la Santé; 2013.
- von Gottberg A, de Gouveia L, Tempia S, Quan V, Meiring S, von Mollendorf C, et al. Effects of vaccination on invasive pneumococcal disease in South Africa. N Engl J Med. 2014;371:1889–99.
- Pneumococcal vaccination coverage. https://immunizationdata.who. int/pages/coverage/pcv.html?CODE=MAR&ANTIGEN=PCV3&YEAR=. Accessed 19 Nov 2022.
- Cohen O. Pneumococcal Conjugate Vaccine (PCV) Product Assessment. 162. https://www.jhsph.edu/ivac/wp-content/uploads/2018/05/pcv-product-assessment-april-25-2017.pdf.
- Feikin DR, Kagucia EW, Loo JD, Link-Gelles R, Puhan MA, Cherian T, et al. Serotype-specific changes in invasive Pneumococcal disease after pneumococcal conjugate vaccine introduction: a pooled analysis of multiple surveillance sites. PLoS Med. 2013;10: e1001517.
- Dagan R. Relationship between immune response to pneumococcal conjugate vaccines in infants and indirect protection after vaccine implementation. Expert Rev Vaccines. 2019;18:641–61.
- Galanis I. Effects of PCV7 and PCV13 on invasive pneumococcal disease and carriage in Stockholm, Sweden. Respir Infect. 2016;4:1208–18.
- Corcoran M, Vickers I, Mereckiene J, Murchan S, Cotter S, Fitzgerald M, et al. The epidemiology of invasive pneumococcal disease in older adults in the post-PCV era. Has there been a herd effect? 2017;11:2390–9.
- Hanquet G, Krizova P, Valentiner-Branth P, Ladhani SN, Nuorti JP, Lepoutre A, et al. Effect of childhood pneumococcal conjugate vaccination on invasive disease in older adults of 10 European countries: implications for adult vaccination. Thorax. 2019;74:473–82.
- Richter L, Schmid D, Kanitz EE, Zwazl I, Jasinska J, Burgmann H, et al. Invasive pneumococcal diseases in children and adults before and after introduction of the 10-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine into the Austrian national immunization program. 2019;14:e0210081.
- Djennad A, Ramsay ME, Pebody R, Fry NK, Sheppard C, Ladhani SN, et al. Effectiveness of 23-valent polysaccharide pneumococcal vaccine and changes in invasive pneumococcal disease incidence from 2000 to 2017 in those aged 65 and over in England and Wales. EClinicalMedicine. 2018;6:42–50.

- Wang Y, Li J, Wang Y, Gu W, Zhu F. Effectiveness and practical uses of 23-valent pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine in healthy and special populations. Hum Vaccin Immunother. 2018;14:1003–12.
- Løchen A, Croucher NJ, Anderson RM. Divergent serotype replacement trends and increasing diversity in pneumococcal disease in high income settings reduce the benefit of expanding vaccine valency. Sci Rep. 2020;10:18977.
- Arushothy R, Ahmad N, Amran F, Hashim R, Samsudin N, Azih CRC. Pneumococcal serotype distribution and antibiotic susceptibility in Malaysia: a four-year study (2014–2017) on invasive paediatric isolates. Int J Infect Dis. 2019;80:129–33.
- Ktari S, Jmal I, Mroua M, Maalej S, Ben Ayed NE, Mnif B, et al. Serotype distribution and antibiotic susceptibility of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* strains in the south of Tunisia: a five-year study (2012–2016) of pediatric and adult populations. Int J Infect Dis. 2017;65:110–5.
- Hackel M, Lascols C, Bouchillon S, Hilton B, Morgenstern D, Purdy J. Serotype prevalence and antibiotic resistance in *Streptococcus pneumoniae* clinical isolates among global populations. Vaccine. 2013;31:4881–7.
- Miyazaki H, Shibuya R, Midorikawa N, Chang B, Ohnishi M, Matsumoto T. Serotype distribution and antimicrobial susceptibility of *Streptococcus* pneumoniae strains isolated in Japan after introduction of the routine immunization program. J Infect Chemother. 2017;23:234–40.
- 32. Wu C-J, Lai J-F, Huang I-W, Shiau Y-R, Wang H-Y, Lauderdale T-L. Serotype distribution and antimicrobial susceptibility of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* in pre- and post-PCV7/13 Eras, Taiwan, 2002–2018. Front Microbiol. 2020;11: 557404.
- Habibi Ghahfarokhi S, Mosadegh M, Ahmadi A, Pourmand MR, Azarsa M, Rahbar M, et al. Serotype distribution and antibiotic susceptibility of Streptococcus pneumoniae isolates in Tehran, Iran: a surveillance study. IDR. 2020:13:333–40.

# **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

# Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- $\bullet\,$  thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

#### At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

