RESEARCH

BMC Geriatrics



Elevated non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio increases the 1-year risk of recurrent stroke in older patients with non-disabling ischemic cerebrovascular events: results from the Xi'an Stroke Registry Study of China

Zhongzhong Liu^{1,2,4†}, Xuemei Lin^{1,4†}, Lingxia Zeng², Huan Zhang^{1,3}, Weiyan Guo^{1,4}, Qingli Lu^{1,4}, Congli Huang^{4,5}, Jing Wang^{1,4}, Pei Liu^{1,4}, Qiaoqiao Chang^{1,4}, Mi Zhang^{1,4}, Yan Huo^{1,4}, Yan Wang^{1,4}, Fang Wang^{1,4} and Songdi Wu^{1,3,4*}

Abstract

Background Few studies have explored the prognostic role of nontraditional lipid-related indicators in non-disabling ischemic cerebrovascular events (NICE). In this study, we aimed to investigate the relationship between the ratio of non-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol to high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (non-HDL-C/HDL-C) and the1-year risk of recurrent stroke in patients with NICE.

Methods Total cholesterol (TC), HDL-C, and patient information were collected at admission. Recurrent stroke events were followed up 3, 6, and 12 months after onset. Non-HDL-C levels were calculated by subtracting HDL-C from TC. The non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio was treated as a continuous variable and in quartiles (Q1–Q4). Stratified multivariate Cox regression was used to investigate the relationship between the non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio and the 1-year risk of recurrent stroke in patients with NICE.

Results Overall, 1,659 patients with NICE were enrolled. For each unit increase in the non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio, the 1-year risk of recurrent stroke in patients aged \geq 65 years (older patients) with NICE increased by 64% in the adjusted model (hazard ratio [HR]: 1.64, 95% confidence interval [CI]:1.18–2.27, P=0.003), and the HRs were 3.21 and 4.24 times higher in the Q3 and Q4 groups than that in the Q1 group, which was considered to be the reference (adjusted model Q3: HR: 3.21, 95% CI: 1.05–9.83, P=0.041; adjusted model Q4: HR: 4.24, 95% CI: 1.30–13.85, P=0.017). However, there was no significant difference in patients younger than 65 years. Both curve fitting and Kaplan–Meier cumulative risk analysis showed that an elevated non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio significantly increased the 1-year risk of recurrent stroke in older patients with NICE. The optimal range for the non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio should be no higher than the Q2

[†]Zhongzhong Liu and Xuemei Lin contributed equally to this work.

*Correspondence: Songdi Wu wusongdi@gmail.com

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

group (2.256–2.939). Stratified Cox regression analysis showed that these results tended to be stable for different comorbidities (all *P* for interaction > 0.05).

Conclusions Elevated non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratios significantly increased the 1-year risk of recurrent stroke in older patients with NICE. Therefore, clinicians need to pay more attention to this indicator when managing older patients with NICE.

Keywords Non-disabling ischemic cerebrovascular events, Lipids, Older patients, Recurrent stroke

Background

Non-disabling ischemic cerebrovascular events (NICE), including transient ischemic attack (TIA), minor ischemic stroke, rapid remission, and unimpaired stroke, have attracted significant attention in recent years [1]. The incidence rate of recurrent stroke and cardiovascular events in the first year in patients with NICE is >6% [1, 2]. Patients with NICE are more likely to be treated aggressively and intensively than those with disabling ischemic cerebrovascular events, thus attracting clinical attention [3, 4].

Previous studies have shown that nontraditional serum lipid-related indicators (including total cholesterol [TC]/ high-density lipoprotein cholesterol [HDL-C] ratio, triglycerides [TGs]/HDL-C ratio, and non-HDL-C) are associated with coronary heart disease, asymptomatic intracranial arterial stenosis, and stroke prognosis [5-7]. Non-HDL-C was obtained as a rough measure of TC minus HDL-C and represented the cholesterol content in the atherogenic lipoproteins [3, 8]. The non-HDL-C/ HDL-C ratio is obtained by dividing the non-HDL-C levels by the HDL-C levels. This ratio is a nontraditional indicator of dyslipidemia, representing the balance between atherogenic and antiatherogenic lipid particles. It is significantly associated with an increased prevalence of asymptomatic intracranial arterial stenosis [7] and carotid atherosclerosis [9]. In addition, the non-HDL-C/ HDL-C ratio is strongly associated with several dyslipidemia-related diseases, such as diabetes mellitus [10], liver function test abnormalities [11], chronic kidney disease [12], and carotid atherosclerosis [9] as well as considered superior to traditional lipid profiles in assessing the degree of arterial stiffness [13].

Many studies have reported that the non-HDL-C/ HDL-C ratio is associated with carotid atherosclerosis, intracranial atherosclerotic stenosis, and poor stroke prognosis [5, 14–16]. However, few studies have focused on the relationship between the non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio and the 1-year risk of recurrent stroke in patients with NICE who have a high incidence of recurrent stroke [2, 17]. Therefore, we hypothesized that the non-HDL-C/ HDL-C ratio might have a predictive value for recurrent stroke within 1 year in patients with NICE.

Based on the Xi'an Stroke Registry Study platform in China, we collected TC, HDL-C, and other clinical data

to investigate the relationship between the non-HDL-C/ HDL-C ratio and the 1-year risk of recurrent stroke in patients with NICE. We also aimed to explore new cholesterol intervention targets for reducing the 1-year risk of recurrent stroke in patients with NICE.

Methods

Study population

This study is based on 3,117 patients with all stroke subtypes collected in the Xi'an Stroke Registry Study platform from January to December 2015 [18]. These patients were hospitalized for stroke and were followed up at 3, 6, and 12 months after onset. We excluded non-NICE patients, including those with a NIHSS score on admission>5 (n=996), and those with hemorrhagic stroke (n=179). In addition, we also excluded patients who do not have data on non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio (n=88), and patients who failed to follow-up at the end of 1 year (n=195). Finally, a total of 1659 patients with NICE were included. Detailed inclusion and exclusion criteria are presented in Fig. 1. NICE includes minor ischemic stroke, TIA, rapid remission, and unimpaired stroke. Minor ischemic stroke was defined as an NIHSS score on admis $sion \le 5$ [19]. The TIA was defined as focal brain ischemia with resolution of symptoms within 24 h after onset, which is consistent with the definition used in Wang et al's study [4]. Rapid remission and unimpaired stroke were defined as NIHSS>5 at the onset & NIHSS 0-5 at arrival. The diagnostic criteria were consistent across all the participating hospitals. Follow-up was conducted from January 2015 to February 2017.

This study conforms to the guiding principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. It has been approved by the academic committee of Xi'an No.1 Hospital and the ethics committees of each participating hospital (approval no. 2014 [5]). Written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Measurements and outcomes

This was a prospective, multicenter, observational cohort study. We obtained baseline data, including demographic information (age, sex, and educational level), cerebrovascular risk factors (including smoking and drinking), and examination on admission (including body mass index [BMI], systolic blood pressure [SBP] on admission,



Fig. 1 Flow chart of the screening and enrollment of study participants. NICE, non-disabling ischemic cerebrovascular events; NIHSS, National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol

diastolic blood pressure [DBP]on admission, heart rate, NIHSS score on admission). We also obtained previous medical history (including prior stroke, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and atrial fibrillation) and pneumonia. We looked at laboratory data, including TC, TGs, HDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), glycated hemoglobin, fasting blood glucose (FBG), alanine aminotransferase, aspartate aminotransferase, alkaline phosphatase, homocysteine, serum creatinine, estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), blood urea nitrogen, uric acid, leukocyte count, and platelet count (Table 1).

The definition and evaluation criteria of clinically related data in this study were the same as those in the Chinese Intracranial Atherosclerosis Study [20]. Lipidrelated indicators, including TC, LDL-C, HDL-C, and TG, were measured through venous blood within 24 h after admission. Non-HDL-C was calculated by subtracting HDL-C from TC. The non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio was obtained by dividing non-HDL-C by HDL-C levels and was treated as continuous and quartile (Q1–Q4) variables for analysis. Non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio quartiles (Q1–Q4) were defined as the distribution of non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio from low to high and divided into four parts according to the quartiles. The ranges of quartiles (Q1–Q4) were as follows: Q1: <2.256, Q2: 2.256–2.939, Q3: 2.940–3.749, and Q4: \geq 3.750.

The endpoint event of this study was recurrent stroke within 1 year, defined as the occurrence of new acute stroke events (including acute ischemic stroke, intracranial hemorrhage, subarachnoid hemorrhage, and TIA) during the 1-year follow-up [21]. New acute stroke events were determined by an independent adjudication board composed of stroke specialists from each hospital.

Follow-up

The patients were followed-up at 3, 6, and 12 months after stroke onset, with a time error within 7 days. Data on recurrent stroke were collected via telephone or faceto-face interviews. All follow-up processes were completed by trained research coordinators. For patients with recurrent stroke, the date of the event was recorded. Patients who withdrew from the study or could not be

Table 1 Baseline characteristics by non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio quartiles (Q1-Q4) in patients with NICE.

n=1659Q1, n=415Q2, n=414Q3, n=415Q4, n=415valueDemographic informationAge (years) 64.0 ± 12.1 66.3 ± 12.3 64.1 ± 12.3 64.1 ± 11.2 61.5 ± 12.0 <0.001 Sex, n(%)male1050(63.3)266(64.1)247(59.7)257(61.9)280(67.5)female009(67)149(35.9)167(40.3)158(38.1)135(32.5)Educational level, n (%)elementary or below756(45.6)217(52.3)180(43.5)176(42.4)183(44.1)middle school302(18.2)67(16.1)67(16.2)85(20.5)83(20)high school or above601(36.2)131(31.6)167(40.3)154(37.1)149(35.9)Smoking, n (%) <th>Variables</th> <th>Overall</th> <th colspan="5">Non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio quartiles</th>	Variables	Overall	Non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio quartiles					
Demographic information Age (years) 64.0 ± 12.1 66.3 ± 12.3 64.1 ± 12.3 64.1 ± 11.2 61.5 ± 12.0 <0.001		n=1659	Q1, n=415	Q2, n=414	Q3, n=415	Q4, n=415	value	
Age (years) 64.0 ± 12.1 66.3 ± 12.3 64.1 ± 12.3 64.1 ± 11.2 61.5 ± 12.0 <0.001 Sex, n(%) .	Demographic information							
Sex, n(%) 0.116 male 1050(63.3) 266(64.1) 247(59.7) 257(61.9) 280(67.5) female 609(36.7) 149(35.9) 167(40.3) 158(38.1) 135(32.5) Educational level, n (%) 136(44.1) 135(32.5) 0.03 elementary or below 756(45.6) 217(52.3) 180(43.5) 176(42.4) 183(44.1) middle school 302(18.2) 67(16.1) 67(16.2) 85(20.5) 83(20) high school or above 601(36.2) 131(31.6) 167(40.3) 154(37.1) 149(35.9) Cerebrovascular risk factors 580 236(56.9) 217(52.3) Smoking, n (%) 128(52.5) 254(61.4) 236(56.9) 217(52.3) smoking cessation 318(19.2) 100(24.1) 69(16.7) 77(18.6) 72(17.3) current smoking 1416(25.1) 97(23.4) 91(22.0) 102(24.6) 126(30.4) prinking, n (%) 400(24.1) 95(22.9) 81(19.2) 107(25.	Age (years)	64.0 ± 12.1	66.3 ± 12.3	64.1 ± 12.3	64.1±11.2	61.5 ± 12.0	< 0.001	
male1050(63.3)266(64.1)247(59.7)257(61.9)280(67.5)female609(36.7)149(35.9)167(40.3)158(38.1)135(32.5)Educational level, n (%)0.03elementary or below756(45.6)217(52.3)180(43.5)176(42.4)183(44.1)middle school302(18.2)67(16.1)67(16.2)85(20.5)83(20)high school or above601(36.2)131(31.6)167(40.3)154(37.1)149(35.9)Smoking, n (%)current sink factorssmoking cessation318(19.2)100(24.1)69(16.7)77(18.6)72(17.3)current smoking925(55.8)218(52.9)81(19.6)102(24.6)126(30.4)Drinking, n (%)400(24.1)97(23.4)91(22.0)102(24.6)126(30.4)Drinking, n (%)400(24.1)95(2.9)81(19.6)107(25.8)117(28.2)0.024BMI (kg/m²)24.0 ± 3.323.3 ± 3.623.8 ± 3.524.1 ± 3.124.6 ± 2.9<0.01	Sex, n(%)						0.116	
female 609(36,7) 149(35.9) 167(40.3) 158(38.1) 135(32.5) Educational level, n(%)	male	1050(63.3)	266(64.1)	247(59.7)	257(61.9)	280(67.5)		
Educational level, n(%) 0.03 elementary or below 756(45.6) 217(52.3) 180(43.5) 176(42.4) 183(44.1) middle school 302(18.2) 67(16.1) 67(16.2) 85(20.5) 83(20) 149(35.9) high school or above 601(36.2) 131(31.6) 167(40.3) 154(37.1) 149(35.9) 149(35.9) Ewestory User and states User and states User and states User and states 167(40.3) 154(37.1) 149(35.9) 100(30.1) Mover smoking or above 925(55.8) 218(52.5) 254(61.4) 236(56.9) 217(52.3) 0.006 Never smoking cessation 318(19.2) 100(24.1) 69(16.7) 77(18.6) 72(17.3) 0.024 Urinking, n(%) 416(25.1) 97(23.4) 91(20.0) 102(24.6) 126(30.4) 0.024 Drinking, n(%) 416(25.1) 97(23.4) 91(20.0) 102(24.6) 126(30.4) 0.024 Drinking, n(%) 416(25.1) 97(23.4) 91(20.0) 102(24.6) 126(30.4) 0.01	female	609(36.7)	149(35.9)	167(40.3)	158(38.1)	135(32.5)		
elementary or below 756(45.6) 217(52.3) 180(43.5) 176(42.4) 183(44.1) middle school 302(18.2) 67(16.1) 67(16.2) 85(20.5) 83(20) high school or above 601(36.2) 131(31.6) 167(40.3) 154(37.1) 149(35.9) Cerebroxascular risk factors 5 5 5 5 5 0.006 Never smoking (%) 1 5 254(61.4) 236(56.9) 217(52.3) 5 smoking cessation 318(19.2) 100(24.1) 69(16.7) 77(18.6) 72(17.3) 5 Drinking, n (%) 416(25.1) 97(23.4) 91(22.0) 102(24.6) 126(30.4) 0.004 Drinking, n (%) 416(25.1) 97(23.4) 91(22.0) 107(25.8) 117(28.2) 0.024 Drinking, n (%) 416(25.1) 97(23.4) 91(22.0) 102(24.6) 117(28.2) 0.024 BMI (kg/m ²) 24.0 ± 3.3 23.3 ± 3.6 23.8 ± 3.5 24.1 ± 3.1 24.6 ± 2.9 0.001 SBP on admission (mmHg) 5	Educational level, n (%)						0.03	
middle school302(18.2)67(16.1)67(16.2)85(20.5)83(20)high school or above601(36.2)131(31.6)167(40.3)154(37.1)149(35.9)Cerebroxacular risk factorsSmoking, n (%)555555555555555556566<	elementary or below	756(45.6)	217(52.3)	180(43.5)	176(42.4)	183(44.1)		
high school or above 601(36.2) 131(31.6) 167(40.3) 154(37.1) 149(35.9) Cerebroxacular risk factors Smoking, n (%)	middle school	302(18.2)	67(16.1)	67(16.2)	85(20.5)	83(20)		
Smoking, n (%) 0.006 Never smoking 925(55.8) 218(52.5) 254(61.4) 236(56.9) 217(52.3) - smoking cessation 318(19.2) 100(24.1) 69(16.7) 7(18.6) 7(17.3) - current smoking 416(25.1) 97(23.4) 91(22.0) 102(24.6) 126(30.4) - Drinking, n (%) 400(24.1) 95(22.9) 81(19.6) 107(25.8) 117(28.2) 0.024 Extrimation and mission 400(24.1) 95(22.9) 81(19.6) 107(25.8) 117(28.2) 0.024 BMI (kg/m ²) 240.±3.3 23.±3.63 23.8±3.5 24.1±3.1 24.6±2.9 <0.001	high school or above	601(36.2)	131(31.6)	167(40.3)	154(37.1)	149(35.9)		
Smoking, n (%) 0.006 Never smoking 925(55.8) 218(52.5) 254(61.4) 236(56.9) 217(52.3) smoking cessation 318(19.2) 100(24.1) 69(16.7) 77(18.6) 72(17.3) current smoking 416(25.1) 97(23.4) 91(22.0) 102(24.6) 126(30.4) Drinking, n (%) 400(24.1) 95(22.9) 81(19.6) 107(25.8) 117(28.2) 0.024 Examination on admission 400(24.1) 95(22.9) 81(19.6) 107(25.8) 117(28.2) 0.024 BMI (kg/m ²) 24.0 ± 3.3 23.3 ± 3.6 23.8 ± 3.5 24.1 ± 3.1 24.6 ± 2.9 <0.001	Cerebrovascular risk factors							
Never smoking 925(55.8) 218(52.5) 254(61.4) 236(56.9) 217(52.3) smoking cessation 318(19.2) 100(24.1) 69(16.7) 77(18.6) 72(17.3) current smoking 416(25.1) 97(23.4) 91(22.0) 102(24.6) 126(30.4) Drinking, n (%) 400(24.1) 95(22.9) 81(19.6) 107(25.8) 117(28.2) 0.024 Examination on admission 24.0 ± 3.3 23.3 ± 3.6 23.8 ± 3.5 24.1 ± 3.1 24.6 ± 2.9 <0.001	Smoking, n (%)						0.006	
smoking cessation 318(19.2) 100(24.1) 69(16.7) 77(18.6) 72(17.3) current smoking 416(25.1) 97(23.4) 91(22.0) 102(24.6) 126(30.4) Drinking, n (%) 400(24.1) 95(22.9) 81(19.6) 107(25.8) 117(28.2) 0.024 Examination on admission 24.0 ± 3.3 23.3 ± 3.6 23.8 ± 3.5 24.1 ± 3.1 24.6 ± 2.9 <0.001 SBP on admission (mmHg) 145.4 ± 20.8 144.9 ± 20.8 145.8 ± 22.5 144.2 ± 19.4 146.6 ± 20.4 0.363 DBP on admission (mmHg) 85.5 ± 12.3 84.0 ± 11.4 85.7 ± 13.2 85.1 ± 11.5 87.3 ± 12.6 0.001 Heart rate (times per minute) 74.5 ± 9.6 74.5 ± 9.8 75.0 ± 10.3 74.5 ± 8.8 73.9 ± 9.5 0.424 NHSS score on admission 2.0(1.0~4.0) 2.0(1.0~4.0) 2.0(1.0~4.0) 2.0(1.0~4.0) 2.0(0.0~4.0) 0.206	Never smoking	925(55.8)	218(52.5)	254(61.4)	236(56.9)	217(52.3)		
current smoking Drinking, n (%)416(25.1)97(23.4)91(22.0)102(24.6)126(30.4)Drinking, n (%)400(24.1)95(22.9)81(19.6)107(25.8)117(28.2)0.024 Examination on admission BMI (kg/m ²)24.0±3.323.3±3.623.8±3.524.1±3.124.6±2.9<0.001SBP on admission (mmHg)145.4±20.8144.9±20.8145.8±22.5144.2±19.4146.6±20.40.363DBP on admission (mmHg)85.5±12.384.0±11.485.7±13.285.1±11.587.3±12.60.001Heart rate (times per minute)74.5±9.674.5±9.875.0±10.374.5±8.873.9±9.50.424NIHSS score on admission2.0(1.0~4.0)3.0(1.0~4.0)2.0(1.0~4.0)2.0(1.0~4.0)2.0(0.0~4.0)0.226	smoking cessation	318(19.2)	100(24.1)	69(16.7)	77(18.6)	72(17.3)		
Drinking, n (%) 400(24.1) 95(22.9) 81(19.6) 107(25.8) 117(28.2) 0.024 Examination on admission BMI (kg/m ²) 24.0 ± 3.3 23.3 ± 3.6 23.8 ± 3.5 24.1 ± 3.1 24.6 ± 2.9 <0.001 SBP on admission (mmHg) 145.4 ± 20.8 144.9 ± 20.8 145.8 ± 22.5 144.2 ± 19.4 146.6 ± 20.4 0.363 DBP on admission (mmHg) 85.5 ± 12.3 84.0 ± 11.4 85.7 ± 13.2 85.1 ± 11.5 87.3 ± 12.6 0.001 Heart rate (times per minute) 74.5 ± 9.6 74.5 ± 9.8 75.0 ± 10.3 74.5 ± 8.8 73.9 ± 9.5 0.424 NIHSS score on admission 2.0(1.0~4.0) 3.0(1.0~4.0) 2.0(1.0~4.0) 2.0(0.0~4.0) 0.226	current smoking	416(25.1)	97(23.4)	91(22.0)	102(24.6)	126(30.4)		
BMI (kg/m ²) 24.0±3.3 23.3±3.6 23.8±3.5 24.1±3.1 24.6±2.9 <0.001 SBP on admission (mmHg) 145.4±20.8 144.9±20.8 145.8±22.5 144.2±19.4 146.6±20.4 0.363 DBP on admission (mmHg) 85.5±12.3 84.0±11.4 85.7±13.2 85.1±11.5 87.3±12.6 0.001 Heart rate (times per minute) 74.5±9.6 74.5±9.8 75.0±10.3 74.5±8.8 73.9±9.5 0.424 NIHSS score on admission 2.0(1.0~4.0) 3.0(1.0~4.0) 2.0(1.0~4.0) 2.0(0.0~4.0) 0.226	Drinking, n (%)	400(24.1)	95(22.9)	81(19.6)	107(25.8)	117(28.2)	0.024	
BMI (kg/m ²) 24.0±3.3 23.3±3.6 23.8±3.5 24.1±3.1 24.6±2.9 <0.001	Examination on admission							
SBP on admission (mmHg) 145.4±20.8 144.9±20.8 145.8±22.5 144.2±19.4 146.6±20.4 0.363 DBP on admission (mmHg) 85.5±12.3 84.0±11.4 85.7±13.2 85.1±11.5 87.3±12.6 0.001 Heart rate (times per minute) 74.5±9.6 74.5±9.8 75.0±10.3 74.5±8.8 73.9±9.5 0.424 NIHSS score on admission 2.0(1.0~4.0) 3.0(1.0~4.0) 2.0(1.0~4.0) 2.0(0.0~4.0) 0.226	BMI (kg/m ²)	24.0±3.3	23.3 ± 3.6	23.8 ± 3.5	24.1 ± 3.1	24.6 ± 2.9	< 0.001	
DBP on admission (mmHg) 85.5±12.3 84.0±11.4 85.7±13.2 85.1±11.5 87.3±12.6 0.001 Heart rate (times per minute) 74.5±9.6 74.5±9.8 75.0±10.3 74.5±8.8 73.9±9.5 0.424 NIHSS score on admission 2.0(1.0~4.0) 3.0(1.0~4.0) 2.0(1.0~4.0) 2.0(1.0~4.0) 0.226	SBP on admission (mmHg)	145.4 ± 20.8	144.9 ± 20.8	145.8±22.5	144.2±19.4	146.6 ± 20.4	0.363	
Heart rate (times per minute) 74.5±9.6 74.5±9.8 75.0±10.3 74.5±8.8 73.9±9.5 0.424 NIHSS score on admission 2.0(1.0~4.0) 3.0(1.0~4.0) 2.0(1.0~4.0) 2.0(0.0~4.0) 0.226	DBP on admission (mmHg)	85.5±12.3	84.0±11.4	85.7±13.2	85.1±11.5	87.3±12.6	0.001	
NIHSS score on admission 2.0(1.0~4.0) 3.0(1.0~4.0) 2.0(1.0~4.0) 2.0(0.0~4.0) 0.226	Heart rate (times per minute)	74.5 ± 9.6	74.5 ± 9.8	75.0 ± 10.3	74.5 ± 8.8	73.9 ± 9.5	0.424	
	NIHSS score on admission	2.0(1.0~4.0)	3.0(1.0~4.0)	2.0(1.0~4.0)	2.0(1.0~4.0)	2.0(0.0~4.0)	0.226	
Previous medical history	Previous medical history							
Prior stroke, n (%) 442(26.6) 117(28.2) 113(27.3) 100(24.1) 112(27) 0.572	Prior stroke, n (%)	442(26.6)	117(28.2)	113(27.3)	100(24.1)	112(27)	0.572	
Hypertension, n (%) 1181(71.2) 285(68.7) 294(71) 292(70.4) 310(74.7) 0.271	Hypertension, n (%)	1181(71.2)	285(68.7)	294(71)	292(70.4)	310(74.7)	0.271	
Diabetes mellitus, n (%) 378(22.8) 65(15.7) 94(22.7) 88(21.2) 131(31.6) < 0.001	Diabetes mellitus, n (%)	378(22.8)	65(15.7)	94(22.7)	88(21.2)	131(31.6)	< 0.001	
Atrial fibrillation, n (%) 74(4.5) 20(4.8) 23(5.6) 22(5.3) 9(2.2) 0.069	Atrial fibrillation, n (%)	74(4.5)	20(4.8)	23(5.6)	22(5.3)	9(2.2)	0.069	
Pneumonia , n (%) 44(2.7) 12(2.9) 8(1.9) 13(3.1) 11(2.7) 0.731	Pneumonia, n (%)	44(2.7)	12(2.9)	8(1.9)	13(3.1)	11(2.7)	0.731	
Laboratory findings	Laboratory findings							
Total cholesterol (mmol/L) 4.4 ± 1.1 3.7 ± 0.8 4.2 ± 0.9 4.6 ± 0.9 5.1 ± 1.1 <0.001	Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	4.4 ± 1.1	3.7 ± 0.8	4.2 ± 0.9	4.6±0.9	5.1 ± 1.1	< 0.001	
Trialycerides (mmol/l) 1.7+1.4 1.2+0.9 1.5+0.9 1.7+1.0 2.5+2.0 <0.001	Trialycerides (mmol/L)	1.7+1.4	1.2+0.9	1.5+0.9	1.7 + 1.0	2.5+2.0	< 0.001	
HDL-cholesterol (mmol/L) 1.1±0.3 1.4±0.3 1.2±0.3 1.1±0.2 0.9±0.2 <0.001	HDL-cholesterol (mmol/L)	1.1 ± 0.3	1.4 ± 0.3	1.2 ± 0.3	1.1 ± 0.2	0.9 ± 0.2	< 0.001	
LDL-cholesterol (mmol/L) 2.6±0.8 2.0±0.6 2.5±0.7 2.8±0.7 3.1±0.9 <0.001	LDL-cholesterol (mmol/L)	2.6 ± 0.8	2.0 ± 0.6	2.5 ± 0.7	2.8 ± 0.7	3.1 ± 0.9	< 0.001	
Glycated hemoglobin.% 6.4±1.6 6.0±1.3 6.2±1.5 6.4±1.5 6.9±1.9 <0.001	Glycated hemoglobin.%	6.4 ± 1.6	6.0 ± 1.3	6.2 ± 1.5	6.4 ± 1.5	6.9 ± 1.9	< 0.001	
FBG (mmol/L) 5.9±2.3 5.5±1.8 5.7±2.0 5.9±2.1 6.4±2.8 <0.001	FBG (mmol/L)	5.9 ± 2.3	5.5 ± 1.8	5.7 ± 2.0	5.9 ± 2.1	6.4 ± 2.8	< 0.001	
Alanine aminotransferase (U/L) 23.7 ± 18.4 23.4 ± 17.8 22.5 ± 14.1 24.4 ± 23.2 24.6 ± 17.4 0.343	Alanine aminotransferase (U/L)	23.7 ± 18.4	23.4 ± 17.8	22.5 ± 14.1	24.4 ± 23.2	24.6 ± 17.4	0.343	
Aspartate aminotransferase (U/I) $238+125$ $245+117$ $239+117$ $237+154$ $232+109$ 0.482	Aspartate aminotransferase (U/L)	238+125	245+117	239+117	237+154	232+109	0.482	
Alkaline phosphatase (U/L) 783+254 772+284 798+271 778+229 783+229 0.52	Alkaline phosphatase (U/L)	783+254	772+284	798+271	778+229	783+229	0.52	
Homocysteine (μ mol/ml) 211+142 195+127 205+138 215+151 230+150 0035	Homocysteine (umol/ml.)	21 1 + 14 2	195+127	205+138	215+151	230+150	0.035	
Serum creatinine (umol/1) 758+385 733+286 750+427 744+224 804+525 0.042	Serum creatinine (µmol/L)	758+385	733+286	750+427	744+224	804+525	0.042	
$= GFR (ml / min/173m^2) $ 75.8 + 17.7 74.1 + 19.2 75.9 + 18.2 76.5 + 15.1 76.7 + 18.1 0.133	eGER (ml /min/1 $73m^2$)	75.8 + 17.7	741+192	759+182	765+151	767+181	0.133	
$\frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000$	Blood urea nitrogen(mmol/L)	50+18	52+21	50+17	50+17	50+17	0.481	
Uric acid(umol/L) 292.6+96.5 281.9+99.8 286.7+95.9 294.5+92.6 307.2+05.9 0.001	Uric acid(umol/L)	2926+965	281 9 + 99 8	2867+959	2945+926	307 2 + 95 9	0.001	
leukocyte count (x109/l) = 67+23 = 64+23 = 66+22 = 68+23 = 70+23 = 70001	Leukocyte count ($\times 10^9/l$)	67+23	64+23	66+22	68+23	70+23	< 0.001	
Platelet count (x109/l) = 1010+592 = 1797+599 = 1857+570 = 1972+589 = 2016+586 < 0.001	Platelet count $(x10^{9}/l)$	1910+592	1797+599	185 7+57 0	1972+589	2016+586	< 0.001	

Notes: data presented are mean \pm SD, median (Q1–Q3), or N (%)

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; FBG, fasting blood glucose; NIHSS, national institutes of health stroke scale; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate. Q, quartilesQ1: <2.256, Q2:2.256–2.939,Q3: 2.940–3.749,Q4: \geq 3.750

Table 2 Cox regression analysis of the non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio and 1-year risk of recurrent stroke in patients with NICE

Variable	Overall	Event,	Crude model	P value	Adjusted model	Р
		n%	HR(95%CI)		HR(95%CI)	value
Non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio, per 1 unit increase	1659	58(3.5)	1.09(0.89~1.33)	0.425	1.43(1.11~1.84)	0.005
Non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio quartile						
Q1	415	11(2.7)	Ref.		Ref.	
Q2	414	15(3.6)	1.37(0.63~2.97)	0.432	1.79(0.75~4.27)	0.189
Q3	415	16(3.9)	1.45(0.68~3.13)	0.339	2.27(0.96~5.38)	0.063
Q4	415	16(3.9)	1.45(0.67~3.12)	0.344	2.70(1.06~6.89)	0.037
P for trend				0.355		0.03

Notes: Crude adjust none; adjusted for age, sex; smoking, drinking, prior stroke, pneumonia, NIHSS score at admission, BMI, ALT, triglyceride, FBG, alkaline phosphatase, platelet count, blood urea nitrogen, hypertension, atrial fibrillation, and diabetes mellitus. Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; FBG, fasting blood glucose; NIHSS, national institutes of health stroke scale; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; and Q, quartile. Q1: <2.256, Q2:2.256–2.939, Q3: 2.940–3.749, Q4: ≥3.750

contacted for 5 consecutive working days were considered lost to follow-up.

Statistical analyses

Continuous variables conforming to normal distribution are presented as mean±standard deviation, and categorical variables are presented as percentages (%). The Chi-square or t-test was used to analyze the differences between the two groups. One-way analysis of variance was used to assess differences among multiple groups of normally distributed variables with consistent variance. Multiple continuous variables among multiple groups with incomplete normal distribution were compared using the Kruskal-Wallis rank-sum test. Multivariate Cox regression models were used to analyze the relationship between the non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio and the 1-year risk of recurrent stroke. The covariates were included as potential confounders based on their relationship with the outcomes of interest or a change in effect estimate of more than 10%.

Smooth curve fitting and Kaplan–Meier (K–M) curves were used to analyze the nonlinear relationship between the non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio and the 1-year risk of recurrent stroke. The log-rank test was used to detect differences in the recurrence curves among quartiles. Subgroup analysis and forest maps were used to analyze the influence of related risk factors and comorbidities on the relationship between the non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio and the 1-year risk of recurrent stroke. All analyses were performed using the statistical software packages R 3.3.2 (http://www.R-project.org, The R Foundation) and EmpowerStats (R) (www.empowerstats.com, X&Y Solutions, Inc., Boston, MA, USA).

Results

Baseline characteristics

At the end of the follow-up period, 195 patients were lost to follow-up. We compared the clinical characteristics of patients lost to follow-up with those who were not lost to follow-up at the end of 1 year. We found that only SBP and DBP at admission significantly differed between the two groups, while the other related clinical characteristics showed no significant difference. Those findings suggest that the patients who were lost to follow-up were close to randomization and had little impact on the relationship between the non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio and the 1-year risk of recurrent stroke (Supplementary Table 1).

The cohort used in our study consisted of 1659 eligible participants with a mean age of 64.0 ± 12.1 years (male: 63.3%). Baseline demographic information, cerebrovascular risk factors, examinations on admission, previous medical histories, and laboratory findings of the non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio quartiles (Q1-Q4) were compared (Table 1). Patients with a higher non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio tended to be relatively younger, had higher smoking and drinking rates, lower HDL-C levels, and a higher prevalence of diabetes mellitus, as well as higher education status compared to those with a lower non-HDL-C/ HDL-C ratio. In addition, the non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio was directly proportional to BMI, DBP on admission, TC, TG, LDL-C, glycated hemoglobin, FBG, homocysteine, serum creatinine, and uric acid levels, leukocyte, and platelet counts. The non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio among quartiles showed no significant differences in terms of sex, SBP on admission, alanine aminotransferase, aspartate aminotransferase, heart rate, NIHSS score on admission, prior stroke, hypertension, atrial fibrillation, pneumonia, alkaline phosphatase, blood urea nitrogen levels, and eGFR.

Cox regression analysis of the non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio and 1-year risk of recurrent stroke in patients with NICE

In the crude model without adjustments, our results revealed that the Cox regression analysis did not identify a significant association between an increase in the non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio whether considered as a continuous or categorical variable, and the 1-year risk of recurrent stroke. However, after adjusting for potential confounders in the adjusted model, the 1-year risk of recurrent stroke increased by 43% for each unit increase in the non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio in patients with NICE (HR: 1.43, 95%CI: 1.11–1.84, P=0.005). The 1-year risk of recurrent stroke was 2.70-fold higher in Q4 than in Q1, which was considered the reference in patients with NICE (HR: 2.70, 95%CI: 1.06-6.89, P=0.037) (Table 2). Further analysis revealed that age, as an important confounder, significantly affected the results of the univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses. Therefore, we performed Cox regression analysis stratified by age < 55, 55–64, 65–74, and \geq 75 years. The results showed that the 1-year risk of recurrent stroke did not significantly increase with the non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio in patients aged < 65 years with NICE. The risk significantly increased in ages 65-74 years (HR: 1.92, 95%CI: 1.16~3.18, P=0.011) and ages ≥ 75 years (HR: 1.73, 95%CI: 1.04~2.88, *P*=0.036) (Supplementary Table 2).

Analyzing the relationship between the non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio and the 1-year risk of recurrent stroke in patients with NICE using age-stratified analysis

Based on the above analysis, patients with NICE were divided into two groups according to their age, i.e., patients who were aged < 65 years and those aged ≥ 65

years. The results of the Cox regression analysis are shown in Table 3. On the one hand, When treating the non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio as a continuous variable, for each unit increase of non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio in patients aged < 65 years with NICE, there were no significant differences in the crude and adjusted models (crude model: HR: 0.91, 95%CI: 0.63-1.32, P=0.61; adjusted model: HR: 1.23, 95%CI: 0.77-1.95, P=0.391). However, for older patients (≥ 65 years old) with NICE, the 1-year risk of recurrent stroke increased by 33% in the crude model and 64% in the adjusted model (crude model: HR: 1.33, 95%CI: 1.02-1.74, P=0.038; adjusted model: HR: 1.64, 95%CI: 1.18-2.27, P=0.003). The smoothing curve analysis showed an increased linear relationship that went up from left to right between the non-HDL-C/ HDL-C ratio and the 1-year risk of recurrent stroke in all age groups of NICE patients (Fig. 2a). However, stratified curve fitting showed that this linear relationship was mainly present in older patients (Fig. 2b).

On the other hand, when treating the non-HDL-C / HDL-C ratio as a categorical variable (Q1-Q4). The 1-year risk of recurrent stroke in the Q4 group was 2.85 times higher than that in the Q1 group, which was considered

Table 3 Multivariate analysis of non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio and 1-year risk of recurrent stroke in patients with NICE stratified by age of 65 years

Subgroup	Variable	Overall	Event, n%	Crude Model HR(95%Cl)	Р value	Adjusted Model HR(95%CI)	P value
Age < 65 year							
	Non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio, per 1 unit increase	833	19(2.3)	0.91(0.63~1.32)	0.61	1.23(0.77~1.95)	0.391
	Non-HDL-C/HDL- Cratio quartile						
	Q1	178	5 (2.8)	Ref.		Ref.	
	Q2	201	6 (3)	1.08 (0.33~3.53)	0.902	1.98(0.43~9.15)	0.384
	Q3	206	4 (1.9)	0.69 (0.19~2.59)	0.587	1.40(0.26~7.53)	0.697
	Q4	248	4 (1.6)	0.57 (0.15~2.13)	0.406	1.36(0.22~8.37)	0.744
	P for trend				0.31		0.854
Age≥65 year							
	Non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio, per 1 unit increase	826	39(4.7)	1.33(1.02~1.74)	0.038	1.64(1.18~2.27)	0.003
	Non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio quartile						
	Q1	237	6 (2.5)	Ref.		Ref.	
	Q2	213	9 (4.2)	1.65 (0.59~4.64)	0.341	2.02(0.64~6.39)	0.229
	Q3	209	12 (5.7)	2.26 (0.85~6.03)	0.102	3.21(1.05~9.83)	0.041
	Q4	167	12 (7.2)	2.85 (1.07~7.60)	0.036	4.24(1.3~13.85)	0.017
	P for trend				0.025		0.011

Notes: Crude adjust none; adjusted for sex; smoking, drinking, prior stroke, pneumonia, NIHSS score at admission, BMI, ALT, triglyceride, FBG, alkaline phosphatase, platelet count, blood urea nitrogen, hypertension, atrial fibrillation, and diabetes mellitus. Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; FBG, fasting blood glucose; NIHSS, national institutes of health stroke scale; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; and Q, quartiles. Q1: <2.256, Q2:2.256–2.939, Q3: 2.940–3.749, Q4: ≥3.750



Fig. 2 The curve fitting of non-HDL-c/HDL-c ratio and 1-year risk of recurrent stroke in patients with NICE. Figure 2**a**, represents curve fitting regardless of all ages; Fig. 2**b**, represents curve fitting grouped by age \geq 65 years. The solid line indicates the adjusted hazard ratio and the dashed lines the 95% confidence interval bands. Age \geq 65 year were shown in red, and age < 65 years were shown in blue

the reference (crude model: HR: 2.85, 95%CI: 1.07-7.60, P=0.036). The risks of the 1-year risk of recurrent stroke in the Q3 and Q4 groups were 3.21 and 4.24 times higher than in the Q1 group, respectively (adjusted model Q3: HR: 3.21, 95%CI: 1.05-9.83, P=0.041; adjusted model Q4: HR: 4.24, 95%CI: 1.30–13.85, P=0.017). Although there was no significant difference between Q2 and Q1, the trend of increased risk was significantly different in the Q1-Q4 groups(P=0.025 and P=0.011, respectively). In addition, after adjusting for potential confounding factors (the K-M curve of univariate analysis was illustrated in Supplementary Fig. 1), the K-M cumulative risk analyses also showed that the cumulative risk of the 1-year risk of recurrent stroke increased with an increase in the non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio from Q1 to Q4 in all age groups (Fig. 3a). The 1-year risk of recurrent stroke was significantly higher in the Q3 and Q4 groups than in the Q1 group, mainly in older patients. The optimal range of the non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio should be no higher than the Q2 group (2.256–2.939) (Fig. 3b).

Subgroups analyses

Since stroke patients often have complications that affect the patient's prognosis, we performed subgroup and interactive analyses to assess whether the relation-ship between the non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio and the 1-year risk of recurrent stroke was consistent in different subgroups (Fig. 4). The results indicated a significant increase in the 1-year risk of recurrent stroke in NICE

patient aged≥65 years with an increase in the non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio. Furthermore, a significant interaction was observed between patients aged <65 years and those aged≥65 years (interaction p=0.032). Although subgroup analysis showed no significant interaction effect in different sexes (*P* for interaction=0.715), the 1-year risk of recurrent stroke in men (HR: 1.61, 95%CI: 1.16–2.24) was higher than that in women. Similar results were found in NICE patients without diabetes mellitus (HR: 1.43, 95%CI: 1.05–1.97), without atrial fibrillation (HR: 1.36, 95%CI: 1.04–1.78), and with hypertension (HR: 1.52, 95%CI: 1.08–2.12). There was no significant difference in the interactive analyses.

Discussion

Our study showed that the non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio was an independent risk factor for recurrent stroke within 1 year in patients with NICE. A significant increase in the 1-year risk of recurrent stroke caused by increased non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio was mainly observed in older patients with NICE but not those aged <65 years. In the subgroup analysis, the 1-year risk of recurrent stroke increased with an elevated non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio for men and patients with hypertension, but it was not statistically significant in other comorbidities.

Mounting evidence indicates that nontraditional lipid indicators are associated with stroke prognosis [5, 6]. However, few studies have focused on the relationship between the non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio and the risk of



Fig. 3 Cumulative risk of recurrent stroke within 1 year after non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio as quartiles (Q1-Q4). Figure 3a represents cumulative risk regardless of all ages; Fig. 3b represents cumulative risk grouped by age ≥ 65 years

Subgroup	n,total	n(event%)	HR(95%CI)	P for interaction
Age				0.032
<65	833	19 (2.3)	1.23 (0.77~1.95)	
≥65	826	39 (4.7)	1.64 (1.18~2.27)	
Sex				0.715
male	1050	42 (4)	1.61 (1.16~2.24)	
female	609	16 (2.6)	► ► ► 1.14 (0.64~2.03)	
Diabetes mellitus				0.984
no	1281	44 (3.4)	1.43 (1.05~1.97)	
yes	378	14 (3.7)	● 1.8 (0.98~3.31)	
Atrial fibrillation				0.154
no	1585	54 (3.4)	1.36 (1.04~1.78)	
yes	74	4 (5.4)	← 4.01(0~Inf)	
Pneumonia				0.784
no	1615	49 (3)	► • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
yes	44	9 (20.5)	←● 0.75 (0~Inf)	
Hypertension				0.635
no	478	18 (3.8)	► 1 .33 (0.91~1.94)	
yes	1181	40 (3.4)	1.52 (1.08~2.12)	
			0.5 1 2	
			Effect(95%CI)	

Fig. 4 Forest map subgroup analysis of non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio and 1-year risk of recurrent stroke. Stratified by age, sex, diabetes mellitus, atrial fibrillation, pneumonia, and hypertension

recurrent stroke, especially in patients with NICE. In this study, our findings indicate that the increased 1-year risk of recurrent stroke was statistically significant only in the adjusted model but not in the crude model (Table 2). After additional covariate screening and stratified analysis, our results demonstrate that age is a crucial factor in the relationship between the non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio and the 1-year risk of recurrent stroke, with 65 years of age identified as the optimal cut-off point (Supplementary Table 2). Specifically, there was a significant increase

in the 1-year risk of recurrent stroke associated with an increased non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio in older patients with NICE, while no such association was observed in those aged <65 years (Table 3). This result is similar to that of Guo et al.'s, which found that the non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio as a coefficient of atherosclerosis was associated with intracranial arterial stenosis, a potential risk factor for recurrent stroke [7]. This finding suggests that clinicians should pay attention to the non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio in older patients with NICE and that early

interventions may help reduce the 1-year risk of recurrent stroke.

To explain why the non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio only significantly increased the 1-year risk of recurrent stroke in age \geq 65 years patients with NICE, we conducted a thorough literature review and comparative analysis. The possible explanations are as follows: First, previous studies have shown that the non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio was significantly associated with an increased prevalence of intracranial arterial stenosis, leading to an increased degree of luminal stenosis, plaque lipid area, intra-plaque hemorrhage, and thrombosis [7, 9, 22, 23]. These are independent risk factors for recurrent stroke. Older patients are more susceptible to the effects of the non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio due to age-related changes in immunity, organ function, blood vessels elasticity, and blood flow velocity, which increase the risk of thrombosis. Second, the proportion of ever smokers was similar across the four groups (Q1-Q4), but the smoking cessation rate was highest in Q1, suggesting that the higher non-HDL/HDL ratio in younger patients may be a reflection of poor health behavior in younger patients. In contrast, older patients in Q4 may have a high non-HDL/ HDL ratio despite adequate medical treatment including statin prescription and thus have a higher "true" atherosclerotic burden at baseline. This may be one of the reasons why this indicator only significantly affects stroke recurrence in older patients. Third, some studies have revealed that an elevated non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio can lead to the occurrence and development of chronic kidney disease and metabolic syndrome [12, 24-26]. Compared with NICE patients aged < 65 years, older patients had lower eGFR levels and higher serum creatinine and blood urea nitrogen levels, suggesting that older patients with NICE are at risk of chronic renal impairment, thus increasing the risk of recurrent stroke. In addition, our results showed that HDL cholesterol, TG levels, and SBP on admission were significantly higher in older patients with NICE than in those aged < 65 years (Supplementary Table 3). Per the criteria for metabolic syndrome [27], the metabolic syndrome risk is significantly increased in older patients with NICE [28]. Fourth, related studies have shown that high non-HDL-C and low HDL-C levels were independent risk factors for mild cognitive impairment [29, 30], more common in older patients with stroke, and associated with atrophy of the hippocampus and recurrent stroke [31-34]. One study showed that approximately 30% of older patients with mild cognitive impairment experience recurrent strokes [33]. These findings suggest that an elevated non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio (non-HDL-C divided by HDL-C levels) may lead to an increased risk of recurrent stroke by influencing cognitive impairment.

In addition to the above possible explanations, our comparative analysis also found that older patients with NICE have a higher proportion of elementary education background or below, higher NIHSS score on admission, and a significantly higher proportion of prior stroke, pneumonia, and atrial fibrillation than those<65 years (Supplementary Table 3). These clinical characteristics suggest that, although NICE patients have mild symptoms, older patients with NICE also have more comorbidities associated with an increased risk of recurrent stroke.

To analyze the effect of comorbidities in patients with NICE on our results, we performed a subgroup analysis to explore this issue. There was no statistically significant interaction between the non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio and the 1-year risk of recurrent stroke in each subgroup. However, the subgroup analysis showed a higher 1-year risk of recurrent stroke in men than in women with NICE (Fig. 4). This finding may be related to the higher proportion of male patients engaged in smoking and drinking and experiencing chronic renal injury (Supplementary Table 4). This sex difference is similar to the results of a previous study that found male patients with high internal carotid artery stenosis had an increased risk of recurrent stroke, mainly due to the high proportion of smoking and high serum creatinine and uric acid levels [35]. Subgroup analysis showed that patients with NICE with hypertension had a higher 1-year risk of recurrent stroke with an elevated non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio than patients without hypertension. This result is similar to previous studies that found a history of hypertension and elevated SBP after the initial stroke was associated with an increased risk of recurrent stroke [36-38]. Our results also showed that the 1-year risk of recurrent stroke was increased in patients with NICE without diabetes mellitus and atrial fibrillation. However, there are no relevant reports on this aspect. Further analysis of the effect of comorbidities in patients with NICE on the relationship between non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio and recurrent stroke within 1 year is needed.

Our study has some limitations. First, we only included patients with stroke from four tertiary grade A hospitals in Xi'an, China, which could not represent the status quo of patients with NICE in community hospitals. Second, the imaging indicators related to patients with NICE were not included in our study. Therefore, we did not adjust the indicators, which may have a particular effect on the results. Third, our study did not include the indicators related to the neck, peripheral blood, and intracranial vessels. Finally, since previous studies have not focused on the relationship between the non-HDL-C/ HDL-C ratio and recurrent stroke in patients with NICE, our results need to be further verified by subsequent studies.

Conclusions

Our study found that an elevated non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio significantly increased the 1-year risk of recurrent stroke in older patients with NICE but not in those aged <65 years. Age was an interaction factor between the non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio and recurrent stroke. The optimal range of the non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio should be no higher than Q2 (2.256–2.939). Our results suggest that clinicians assessing older patients with NICE with an appropriate range of non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratios may help reduce the 1-year risk of recurrent stroke.

List of abbreviations

CI	confidence interval
BMI	body mass index
DBP	diastolic blood pressure
FBG	fasting blood glucose
eGFR	estimated glomerular filtration rate
HDL-C	high-density lipoprotein cholesterol
HR	hazard ratio
LDL-C	low-density lipoprotein cholesterol
NICE	non-disabling ischemic cerebrovascular events
NIHSS	National Institute of Health Stroke Scale
non-HDL-C	non-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol
SBP	systolic blood pressure
TC	total cholesterol
TGs	triglycerides
TIA	transient ischemic attack

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12877-023-04102-x.

Supplementary Material 1

Supplementary Material 2

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to all the laboratory technicians, medical staffs and nurses from the participating hospitals. Also, we thank International Science Editing (http://www.internationalscienceediting.com) for editing this manuscript.

Authors' contributions

SDW, ZZL and XML had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. SDW and ZZL planned and designed the study. XML, LXZ, HZ, QLL, WYG, CLH, JW, PL, QQC, MZ, YH, YW and FW contributed to data acquisition and interpretation. ZZL analyzed the data and was primarily responsible for writing the manuscript. LXZ and XML revised the manuscript for important intellectual content. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Funding

This study was supported by the project of Shaanxi Administration of Traditional Chinese Medicine (Grant no. 2022-SLRH-LJ-013); the Science and Technology Program of Shaanxi Province (Grant no.2023-YBSF-041; 2023-YBSF-048;2023-YBSF-052; 2021SF-333; Grant no.2022SF-507; Grant no. 2022SF-381); the Science and Technology Plan Major Project of Xi'an City [Grant no. 201805104YX12SF38(2)]; the Science and Technology Plan Project of Xi'an City [Grant no.22YXYJ0061; 22YXYJ0074;20YXYJ0008(1)]; Scientific research project of Shaanxi Provincial Health Commission [Grant no. 2022C005]; and the Scientific Research Projects of the Xi'an Health Commission (Grant nos. 2020ms03, 2020yb05 2021yb33and 2022qn11). The funders had no role in design and analysis of this trial.

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was approved by the academic committee and the ethics committee of Xi'an No. 1 hospital, and was conducted in accordance with the ethical principles for medical research involving human participants as described in the declaration of Helsinki. All patients/participants provided their written and oral informed consents for participating in this study.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author details

 ¹Department of Neurology, Xi'an No.1 Hospital, The First Affiliated Hospital of Northwest University, Xi'an 710002, China
²Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health of Xi'an Jiaotong University Health Science Center, Xi'an 71006, China
³College of Life Science, Northwest University, Xi'an 710069, China
⁴Xi'an Key Laboratory for Innovation and Translation of Neuroimmunological Diseases, Xi'an 710002, China
⁵Department of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Xi'an No.1 Hospital, The First Affiliated Hospital of Northwest University, Xi'an 710002, China

Received: 10 August 2022 / Accepted: 9 June 2023 Published online: 05 July 2023

References

- Wei-Qi CHEN, Jing MLJING, Yi-Long WANG, Yong-Jun WANG. Why should we focus on non-disabling ischemic cerebrovascular events and its Definition[J]. Chin J Stroke. 2018;13(05):470–2.
- Amarenco P, Lavallee PC, Labreuche J, Albers GW, Bornstein NM, Canhao P, et al. One-year risk of stroke after transient ischemic attack or minor Stroke[J]. N Engl J Med. 2016;374(16):1533–42.
- Grundy SM, Stone NJ, Bailey AL, Beam C, Birtcher KK, Blumenthal RS, AHA/ ACC/AACVPR/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/ADA/AGS/APhA et al. /ASPC/NLA/PCNA Guideline on the Management of Blood Cholesterol: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines[J]. Circulation, 2019, 139(25):e1082-e1143.
- Wang Y, Wang Y, Zhao X, Liu L, Wang D, Wang C, et al. Clopidogrel with aspirin in acute minor stroke or transient ischemic attack[J]. N Engl J Med. 2013;369(1):11–9.
- Park JH, Lee J, Ovbiagele B. Nontraditional serum lipid variables and recurrent stroke risk[J]. Stroke. 2014;45(11):3269–74.
- Wang G, Jing J, Wang A, Zhang X, Zhao X, Li Z, et al. Non-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol predicts adverse outcomes in Acute lschemic Stroke[J]. Stroke. 2021;52(6):2035–42.
- Guo J, Wang A, Wang Y, Liu X, Zhang X, Wu S, et al. Non-traditional lipid parameters as potential predictors of asymptomatic intracranial arterial Stenosis[J]. Front Neurol. 2021;12:679415.
- Carr SS, Hooper AJ, Sullivan DR, Burnett JR. Non-HDL-cholesterol and apolipoprotein B compared with LDL-cholesterol in atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease risk assessment[J]. Pathology. 2019;51(2):148–54.
- Qin G, Tu J, Zhang C, Tang X, Luo L, Wu J, et al. The value of the apoB/apoAlota ratio and the non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio in predicting carotid atherosclerosis among chinese individuals with metabolic syndrome: a cross-sectional study[J]. Lipids Health Dis. 2015;14:24.
- Zhang N, Hu X, Zhang Q, Bai P, Cai M, Zeng TS, et al. Non-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol: high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio is an independent risk factor for diabetes mellitus: results from a population-based cohort study[J]. J Diabetes. 2018;10(9):708–14.

- An T, Song Y, Yang Y, Guo M, Liu H, Liu K, et al. Non-HDL-cholesterol to HDL-cholesterol ratio is an independent risk factor for liver function tests abnormalities in geriatric population[J]. Lipids Health Dis. 2018;17(1):296.
- Zuo PY, Chen XL, Liu YW, Zhang R, He XX, Liu CY. Non-HDL-cholesterol to HDL-cholesterol ratio as an independent risk factor for the development of chronic kidney disease[J]. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis. 2015;25(6):582–7.
- Zhao W, Gong W, Wu N, Li Y, Ye K, Lu B, et al. Association of lipid profiles and the ratios with arterial stiffness in middle-aged and elderly Chinese[J]. Lipids Health Dis. 2014;13:37.
- Iannuzzi A, Giallauria F, Gentile M, Rubba P, Covetti G, Bresciani A et al. Association between Non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio and carotid intima-media thickness in post-menopausal Women[J]. J Clin Med, 2021, 11(1).
- Masson W, Epstein T, Huerin M, Lobo M, Molinero G, Siniawski D. Association between non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio and carotid atherosclerosis in postmenopausal middle-aged women[J]. Climacteric. 2019;22(5):518–22.
- Yang WS, Li R, Shen YQ, Wang XC, Liu QJ, Wang HY, et al. Importance of lipid ratios for predicting intracranial atherosclerotic stenosis[J]. Lipids Health Dis. 2020;19(1):160.
- Hurford R, Wolters FJ, Li L, Lau KK, Kuker W, Rothwell PM, et al. Prevalence, predictors, and prognosis of symptomatic intracranial stenosis in patients with transient ischaemic attack or minor stroke: a population-based cohort study[J]. Lancet Neurol. 2020;19(5):413–21.
- W Z F T. W. Design and implementation of management system for stroke data mining based on non-structured electronic medical record. [J] China Digital Medicine. 2015;10(2):41–4.
- Park HK, Kim BJ, Han MK, Park JM, Kang K, Lee SJ, et al. One-year outcomes after minor stroke or high-risk transient ischemic attack: korean Multicenter Stroke Registry Analysis[J]. Stroke. 2017;48(11):2991–8.
- Wang Y, Zhao X, Liu L, Soo YO, Pu Y, Pan Y, et al. Prevalence and outcomes of symptomatic intracranial large artery stenoses and occlusions in China: the chinese intracranial atherosclerosis (CICAS) Study[J]. Stroke. 2014;45(3):663–9.
- Callaly E, Ni Chroinin D, Hannon N, Marnane M, Akijian L, Sheehan O, et al. Rates, Predictors, and outcomes of early and late recurrence after stroke: the North Dublin Population Stroke Study[J]. Stroke. 2016;47(1):244–6.
- Chen XY, Wong KS, Lam WW, Zhao HL, Ng HK. Middle cerebral artery atherosclerosis: histological comparison between plaques associated with and not associated with infarct in a postmortem study[J]. Cerebrovasc Dis. 2008;25(1–2):74–80.
- Banerjee C, Chimowitz MI. Stroke caused by atherosclerosis of the major intracranial Arteries[J]. Circ Res. 2017;120(3):502–13.
- Kim SW, Jee JH, Kim HJ, Jin SM, Suh S, Bae JC, et al. Non-HDL-cholesterol/ HDL-cholesterol is a better predictor of metabolic syndrome and insulin resistance than apolipoprotein B/apolipoprotein A1[J]. Int J Cardiol. 2013;168(3):2678–83.

- Zhang F, Liu L, Zhang C, Ji S, Mei Z, Li T. Association of metabolic syndrome and its components with risk of Stroke recurrence and mortality: a Metaanalysis[J]. Neurology. 2021;97(7):e695–e705.
- Chen W, Pan Y, Jing J, Zhao X, Liu L, Meng X et al. Recurrent stroke in minor ischemic stroke or transient ischemic attack with metabolic syndrome and/or diabetes Mellitus[J]. J Am Heart Assoc, 2017, 6(6).
- Grundy SM, Cleeman JI, Daniels SR, Donato KA, Eckel RH, Franklin BA, et al. Diagnosis and management of the metabolic syndrome: an American Heart Association/National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Scientific Statement[J]. Circulation. 2005;112(17):2735–52.
- Duan Z, Wei X, Liu H, Zhai Y, Hu T, Xu J et al. The effect of metabolic syndrome and/or hyperglycemia on outcomes of acute ischemic stroke patients treated with intravenous thrombolysis[J]. Int J Stroke, 2022:17474930211067352.
- Lu D, Li P, Zhou Y, Xu X, Zhang H, Liu L, et al. Association between serum nonhigh-density lipoprotein cholesterol and cognitive impairment in patients with acute ischemic stroke[J]. BMC Neurol. 2016;16(1):154.
- Wang M, Li Y, Cong L, Hou T, Luo Y, Shi L, et al. High-density lipoprotein cholesterol and brain aging amongst rural-dwelling older adults: a population-based magnetic resonance imaging study[J]. Eur J Neurol. 2021;28(9):2882–92.
- Kwon HS, Lee D, Lee MH, Yu S, Lim JS, Yu KH, et al. Post-stroke cognitive impairment as an independent predictor of ischemic stroke recurrence: PICASSO sub-study[J]. J Neurol. 2020;267(3):688–93.
- Qiu C, Zhang Y, Bronge L, Herlitz A, Aspelin P, Backman L, et al. Medial temporal lobe is vulnerable to vascular risk factors in men: a population-based study[J]. Eur J Neurol. 2012;19(6):876–83.
- D'Souza CE, Greenway MRF, Graff-Radford J, Meschia JF. Cognitive impairment in patients with Stroke[J]. Semin Neurol. 2021;41(1):75–84.
- Sun JH, Tan L, Yu JT. Post-stroke cognitive impairment: epidemiology, mechanisms and management[J]. Ann Transl Med. 2014;2(8):80.
- Chen CY, Weng WC, Wu CL, Huang WY. Association between gender and stoke recurrence in ischemic stroke patients with high-grade carotid artery stenosis[J]. J Clin Neurosci. 2019;67:62–7.
- Alter M, Friday G, Lai SM, O'Connell J, Sobel E. Hypertension and risk of stroke recurrence[J]. Stroke. 1994;25(8):1605–10.
- Yuan K, Chen J, Xu P, Zhang X, Gong X, Wu M, et al. A Nomogram for Predicting Stroke recurrence among Young Adults[J]. Stroke. 2020;51(6):1865–7.
- Friday G, Alter M, Lai SM. Control of hypertension and risk of stroke recurrence[J]. Stroke. 2002;33(11):2652–7.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.