BMC Medical Research Methodology

CORRECTION   **Open Access**

Correction to: Optimality criteria for futility stopping boundaries for group sequential designs with a continuous endpoint

Xieran Li[1], Carolin Herrmann[1,2] and Geraldine Rauch[1,2*]

Correction to: BMC Med Res Methodol 20, 274 (2020)
https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-020-01141-5

Following publication of the article [1], it was noted that due to a typesetting error the old version of Fig. 1 is displayed with the correct version of Fig. 1, and it should be deleted.

The correct Fig. 1 and caption have been included in this correction, and the original article has been corrected.

Reference
1. Li X, et al. Optimality criteria for futility stopping boundaries for group sequential designs with a continuous endpoint. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2020;20:274.

---

* Correspondence: geraldine.rauch@charite.de
[1]Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, corporate member of Freie Universität Berlin, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, and Berlin Institute of Health, Institute of Biometry and Clinical Epidemiology, Charitéplatz 1, 10117 Berlin, Germany
[2]Berlin Institute of Health (BIH), Anna-Louisa-Karsch-Str. 2, 10178 Berlin, Germany

Fig. 1 The "optimal" futility boundary $a_{0,opt}$ as a function of the admissible parameters Pow_{loss} and π_{wrong} for $N = 140$ (blue dots) and $n = 188$ (red squares). The black symbols highlight the "optimal" futility boundaries for $Pow_{loss} = 0.05$ and $\pi_{wrong} = 0.05$