RESEARCH

Open Access

Association between serum liver enzymes and hypertension using propensity score matching analysis: evidence from a large kurdish prospective cohort study



Mina Tahmasebi Fard^{1,3}, Farid Najafi¹, Shahab Rezaeian^{1,4}, Maryam Kohsari² and Mehdi Moradinazar^{1*}

Abstract

Background The association between liver enzymes and hypertension (HTN) has been reported in some studies and the findings are inconsistent. This study was conducted to evaluate the association of liver enzymes with HTN among the Iranian Kurdish population.

Methods This prospective cohort study was a part of the 5-years (2017–2021) follow-up phase of the Ravansar Non-Communicable Disease (RaNCD) cohort study in Kermanshah province, western Iran. The association between alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), gamma-glut amyl transferase (GGT), and alkaline phosphatase (ALP) and HTN was investigated by Cox proportional-hazard model (CPHM). We used one-to-one Propensity score matching (PSM) analysis to minimize the effects of confounding factors on the relationship between liver enzymes and HTN.

Results The full population included a total of 8267 participants. According to PSM, for liver enzyme GGT a total of 3664 participants were analyzed. The results of multivariate CPHM showed there is a relationship between participants with high level of GGT and had a higher risk of HTN (HR 1.34; 95% CI: 1.11–1.63). After PSM analysis, the effect of GGT on HTN remained positive and significant (HR 1.48; 95% CI: 1.22–1.78). The 5-years incidence rate of HTN in men and women were 1.27 and 0.81 (person-year), respectively.GGT had the greatest accuracy, which demonstrated an AUROC of 0.7837.

Conclusion Results of this study showed GGT could be a potential biomarker among liver enzymes for early detection of HTN. Therefore, monitoring GGT levels is helpful in the early detection of HTN.

Keywords Hypertension, Propensity score matching, Liver enzymes (GGT, ALT, AST, ALP)

*Correspondence: Mehdi Moradinazar m.moradinazar@gmail.com ¹Department of Epidemiology, School of Health, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran ²Behavioral Diseases Research Center, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran
 ³ Student Research Committee, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran
 ⁴ Infectious Diseases Research Center, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicate of the original autory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Background

Hypertension (HTN) is one of the most important risk factors for cardiovascular disease (CVDs) across the world. Usually, HTN has no symptoms, and various causes developing it, but it can be controlled with medications [1]. The prevalence of HTN in different countries varies from 10% to more than 60% [2], and its average global prevalence is reported about 22% in 2021 [3]. Based on the evidence, the prevalence of HTN will be increased to 29.2% in 2025 [4].

Risk factors for HTN are divided into unmodifiable factors, such as gender, age, and family history, and modifiable factors, such as obesity, sedentary lifestyle, stress, poor diet, and etc. [2, 5-7]. According to previous studies, there is a significant association between age, smoking, obesity, high-calorie diet, salt intake, sedentary lifestyle, literacy, and alcohol with HTN [8-12]. However, the role of liver enzymes as a risk factor for HTN has not been well known [13]. The serum levels of the liver enzymes alanine aminotransferase (ALT), gammaglutamyl transferase (GGT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), and alkaline phosphatase (ALP) are markers of liver function [14], and also elevated in liver dysfunctions and other metabolic disorders [15, 16]. GGT is used to assess alcohol abuse and oxidative stress [17]. Aminotransferases (ALT and AST) play an important role in liver gluconeogenesis and amino acid metabolism [18, 19]. ALP utilize for detection gallbladder and bile duct disorders [20].

There are limited studies on the relationship between liver function and HTN incident. Some study such as Taiwan cohort study and study on Bangladeshi population, found that elevated GGT and ALT levels were associated with the HTN [13, 21]. In Iranian population evidence illustrated that HTN has become one of the leading causes of mortality and Disability-adjusted life years (DALYs), and prevalence rates of HTN are increasing (overall rate 25% for HTN) [22]. Hence, determining new factors that help timely diagnose can considerably reduce the mortality and DALYs rate associated with HTN.

In the previous study, through a cross-sectional survey on the initial phase of the RaNCD cohort, we established that elevated serum levels of GGT and ALP could increase the incidence risk of HTN[23]. To confirm our prior results, we conduct a five-year follow-up study on the same population in the present study. In this study, we used PSM analysis to overcome the effect of possible selection bias and to further control for potential confounding factors. PSM is a tool to adjust a treatment effect for measured confounders and is so an alternative to common regression adjustment. PSM first was used by Rosenbaum and Rubin in 1983, to reduce bias in an observational study. PSM analysis tries to compare outcomes between patients who have similar distributions of all covariates measured, therefore clarify variable's effects on outcome[24].

Methods

Study design and participants

This prospective cohort study was a part of the 5-years (2017–2021) follow-up phase of the Ravansar Non-Communicable Disease (RaNCD) cohort study in Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences (KUMS), western Iran. The RaNCD cohort study, as a part of the PERSIAN (Prospective Epidemiological Research Studies in Iran) Cohort, focus on Ravansar constant inhabitants aged 35–65 years of old. All 21 PERSIAN cohort studies used the same questionnaire and aim to follow up participants for at least 15 years after enrollment. Ravansar, in western Iran, is a city in Kermanshah province close to Iraqi borders. Its residents are mostly Kurdish. The design and foundations of the PERSIAN cohort study were detailed here [25].

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria of RaNCD cohort study were residency more than one year in that city, people aged 35–65 years who live at least 9 months of the year in the area, persons who were willing to participate and complete the study, people formally consent to participate and were able to communicate with the research team. In this study, people had liver disease and/ or used liver medications (n=210), who had high blood pressure and/ or used antihypertensive drugs (n=1558), participants in special health status such as cancer or pregnancy (n=30) and those who were unwilling to participate in the followup were excluded to eliminate confounding variables. Finally, out of 10,065 participants in the cohort study, 8267 persons were enrolled in the present study.

Data collection and quality control

Data were collected by our research team at the Cohort Center, who were well trained in study protocols for patient entry and data gathering. Demographic data, lifestyle risk factors, medical history, and medication use for previous or current underlying diseases were obtained with standardized questionnaires. All completed questionnaires were checked and verified for errors by the quality control team before final analysis. The patients' national identification numbers were used to avoid duplicate follow up.

Definition and measurements

All participants were advised to fast for about 10–12 h. About 5 ml of blood was drawn intravenously and obtained with a venoject tube. The serum was centrifuged at 300 g for 10 min and stored at -20 °C until tested. Liver enzymes (including ALT, AST, GGT, and ALP)



Fig. 1 Flowchart of study design

were analyzed with an enzymatic colorimetric assay by Mindray-BS-380 auto analyzer (Mindray, USA). In this study, Youden's index was used to determine the best cut point of liver enzymes, this points had highest sensitivity and specificity for each liver enzymes. Best cut points were AST>20.0 U/L, ALT>21.3 U/L, GGT>21.8U/L, and ALP>194 U/L.

Bio impedance Analyzer (BIA) (In Body 770 Bio space, Korea) was used to assess the anthropometric measurements. Height was measured with 0.1 cm accuracy with a stadiometer and weight was measured with 0.5 kg accuracy. Body mass index (BMI) was computed as body weight (kg) divided by height (m2). Waist circumference (WC) was assessed according to cm around the middle of the body at the upper part of the hip bones.

HTN was measured through a standardized procedure after 5 min of rest with two measurements of the right arm and two measurements of the left arm with cuff size adjusted to arm circumference. The cuff was placed on the arm at the heart level using a Riester duplex blood pressure device. There was at least a one-minute interval between two separate measurements. The average of two measurements for each arm was calculated. The higher measurement of two arms was considered the mean of systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) [26]. participants with SBP \geq 140 mm Hg and/ or DBP \leq 90 mm Hg and/or taking medicine according to the doctor's prescription as hypertensive persons.

Follow up

During follow-up phase, telephone-based questionnaires including the occurrence of death or the incidence of any medical events, hospitalizations, or diagnostic/ therapeutic care will be annually completed for all participants. Also, disease registration centers reports will be collected if a participant or his/her family does not answer six phone calls in two weeks, research team will follow the phone call with a house visit to perform a face-to-face interview. If a participant has been detected with a main NCD, research team obtains copies of medical documents for further assessment and recording. If needed, medical/physical examinations are applied to determine a diagnosis. Likewise, medical events forms will be completed. In the event of death, a verbal autopsy specialized from for the Iranian population is also completed Fig. 1.

Statistical analysis

Based on the review of the literature in this field, 21 possible variables that may affect the relationship between liver enzymes and the incidence of hypertension were identified, these variables are a group of confounding variables that include: age; gender; education years; physical activity; alcohol consumption; body mass index; smoking status; diabetes melitus; cardiovascular disease; salt use; family medical history; cholesterol; triglyceride; high-density lipoprotein; low-density lipoprotein; residence type; socio-economic status; healthy nutrition index; depression; job; used oil type. Which was used for adjusted in the full population and PSM, for more information on how to segment and measure these variables, you can refer to RaNCD Cohort protocol [25, 27]. Incidence rate was calculated by dividing the number of new cases of HTN by the population at risk (person - year).

In this study, PSM was performed using a 1:1 matching protocol without replacement (greedy-matching algorithm/nearest neighbor matching), thus participants with high levels of liver enzymes as the exposure group were matched with participants with low levels of liver enzyme as the non-exposure group. We also investigated the relationship between liver enzymes and the hypertension in the full population using a Cox proportional-hazard model (CPHM), and P-value<0.05 were considered significant.

The Akaike information criterion (AIC) and Bayesian information criterion (BIC) were used to compare the goodness of model fit for hypertension prediction. The Nagelkerke pseudo-R2 and the area under the receiveroperating characteristic curve (AUROC) were calculated to compare the regular differences in the distribution of variables between two groups of the study. Decreasing the level of all mentioned indices is indicated the goodness of choosing a model with low regular differences. We analyzed all data using STATA software version 14.2.

Ethical approval The Research Ethics Committee at KUMS approved the study protocol (Ethics No. IR.KUMS. REC.1399.1168). Also, patients were informed about participating in the study and signed the consent form. Patient data were kept confidential with the access limited to two of researchers and the quality control physician.

Results

The full population included a total of 8267 participants (before excluding participants with missing covariate data): 4939 in the unexposed group (people with low level of liver enzymes) and 3328 in the exposed group (people with high level of liver enzymes). According to PSM, a total of 1832 unexposed people were matched to 1832 exposed people. The baseline characteristics (demographic and biochemical markers) and adjusted variables of the matched and unmatched sample are displayed in Table 1, and in Supplementary Table 1 (S1) Basic demographic data of the study population, based on liver enzymes and variables also Basic biochemical variables of the study population are showen in Table 2. After 5-years follow-up, 506 participants developed hypertension. The 5-years incidence rate of HTN in men and women were 1.27 and 0.81 (person-year), respectively.

Cut off point, accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, positive likelihood ratio, and negative likelihood ratio for liver enzymes are shown in Table 3. In ROC analysis, GGT with a cut-off value 21.8 U/L, a sensitivity of 50.0%, a specificity of 61.0%, LR+of 1.28, LR – of 0.81 an AUROC of 0.7837 had it has the highest quality of diagnostic value (accuracy) among liver enzymes Fig. 2.

Also multivariate CPHM showed there is a relationship between participants with high level of GGT and had a higher risk of HTN (hazard ratio (HR) 1.34; 95% CI: 1.11–1.63) compared to those with low level of GGT. After PSM analysis, the effect of GGT on HTN remained positive and significant. The results showed probably that participants with high level of GGT had significantly higher risk of HTN (HR 1.48; 95% CI: 1.22–1.78) Table 4.

After PSM analysis, Pseudo-R2 decreased for all liver enzymes especially GGT, therefore, PSM produced better quality models for predicting HTN. GGT had greater predictive power relative to other liver enzymes in predicting HTN as measured by the AIC and BIC Table 4.

The standardized mean difference for confounding variables between the two comparison groups (intervention) was higher than 10% after PSM. The balance in the distribution of confounding variables is equal between the two comparison groups Table 5.

After adjusting for 21 variables, gender, age, and body mass index (BMI) were significant (PV<0.05). Figure 3 show forest plot of HR (95% CIs) before and after PSM analysis for age, BMI, and sex. After PSM analysis, HR for men and women were 1.15 and 1.35, HR for normal, overweight, and obese were 1.7, 1.23, and 1.39, and HR for 34–45, 46–55, and 56–65 age groups were 1.18, 1.59, and 1.91, respectively decreased. Therefore, by properly propensity score matching, the effect of important confounding variables on the outcome can be prevented.

Discussion

In the present study, we investigated the associations between liver enzymes and the risk of HTN, in Kurdish adults. First of all, The results of multivariate CPHM showed There is a relationship between participants with high level of GGT and had a higher risk of HTN compared to those with low level of GGT. However, this association may be greatly influenced by various confounding factors such as age, gender, marital status, BMI, education and etc. Therefore, one-to-one PSM analysis performed to minimize the effects of confounding factors. After PSM analysis, impose of GGT on HTN risk significantly elevated. PMS effect was not observed for other enzymes. ALT and AST could increase the risk of HTN; however, these associations were not significant. Also, after PSM analysis, the effect of ALT and AST on HTN was decreased. Lastly, there was no relationship between ALP and HTN.

Our present findings of a positive association between HTN and GGT activities are confirmed previous results that showed the GGT activity had effect on elevated HTN risk significantly[21, 28]. Furthermore, the current results accordance with other studies reports. It has been showed that GGT level is positively associated with increases in Odd Ratio for HTN [29]. Rahman et al. [13] and Park et al. [30] showed that the serum GGT activity had an independent correlation with HTN and they are elevated in hypertensive persons. Two longitudinal studies showed that baseline serum GGT was an independent

	ł	-		-												
Variables	ووا				ALI				ASI				ALP			
	Full popu	llation	Propensi matched	ty score	Full popu	lation	Propensit matched	y score	Full populati	uo	Propensity matched	/ score	Full populatio	Ľ	Propen matche	sity score d
	Un-*** expose	Expose**	Un expose	Expose	Un- expose	Expose	Un- expose	Expose	Un- expose	Expose	Un- expose	Expose	Un- expose	expose	Un- ex- pose	expose
	4939	3328	1832	1832	4266	4001	1852	1852	4458	3809	2047	2047	4555	3712	2168	2168
Age* group	(%) u (
35-45	2676	1671	887	920	2149	2198	936	1017	2364 (53.0)	1983	994	1065	2601	1746	1004	1020
	(54.2)	(50.2)	(48.4)	(50.2)	(50.4)	(54.9)	(50.5)	(54.9)		(52.1)	(48.6)	(52.0)	(57.1)	(47.0)	(46.3)	(47.0)
46-55	1481	1085	606	597	1339	1227	611	568	1373 (30.8)	1193	675	642	1360 (29.9)	1206	755	704
	(30.0)	(32.6)	(33.1)	(32.6)	(31.4)	(30.7)	(33.0)	(30.7)		(31.3)	(32.9)	(31.4)		(32.5)	(34.8)	(32.5)
56-56	782 /1 E 0\	572 (177)	339 /1 0 E/	315	778	576	305 /16 EV	267 (14 4)	721 (16 2)	633 (16.6)	378 /10 EV	340 /16.61	594 /12 00	760 (20.5)	409	444 20 EV
* '0000'	(Ø.CI)	(7.71)	(C.0 I)	(7.71)	(10.2)	(14.4)	(C.01)	(14.4)	(7.01)	(0.01)	(c.ol)	(1 0.0)	(0.61)		(10.4)	(C.U2)
	1057		V C F F	1167	1001	0090	1167	2001	1 500 (25 6)	0200	7.201	0201		1001	(,	1110/E10/
ואוכוכ	(37.6)	2102 (63.2)	(61.4)	(63.2)	(31.7)	2000 (65.2)	(63.0)	(65.2)	(0.00) KOC	(62.2)	(61.9)	(62.2)	(7.04) 0007	(51.2)	(52.7)	
Female	3082	1226	708	675	2915	1393	685	645	2869	1439	780	774	2497	1811	1026	1058(48.8)
	(62.4)	(36.8)	(38.6)	(36.8)	(68.3)	(34.8)	(37.0)	(34.8)	(64.4)	(37.8)	(38.1)	(37.8)	(54.8)	(48.8)	(47.3)	
Education)	rears															
0	1164	633	370	348	1141	656	335	304	1003	794	400	427	863	934	487	545 (25.1)
	(23.6)	(19.0)	(20.2)	(19.0)	(26.8)	(16.4)	(18.1)	(16.4)	(22.5)	(20.8)	(19.5)	(20.9)	(18.9)	(25.2)	(22.5)	
1-5	2028	1177	656	648	1811	1394	662	645	1875	1330	759	715	1853	1352	862	790(36.5)
	(41.1)	(35.4)	(35.8)	(35.4)	(42.4)	(34.8)	(35.7)	(34.8)	(42.1)	(34.9)	(37.1)	(34.9)	(40.7)	(36.4)	(39.8)	
6-9	835	624 (18.7)	347	344	677	782	358	362	763	696	412	373	798	661	360	386
	(16.9)		(19.0)	(18.8)	(15.9)	(19.5)	(19.3)	(19.6)	(17.1)	(18.3)	(20.1)	(18.2)	(17.5)	(17.8)	(16.6)	(17.8)
10-12	573	556	266	306	419	710	292	329	524	605	298	326	647	482	276	282
	(11.6)	(16.7)	(14.5)	(16.7)	(8.6)	(17.8)	(15.8)	(17.8)	(11.7)	(15.9)	(14.6)	(15.9)	(14.2)	(13.0)	(12.7)	(13.0)
13<	339	338	193 (10 F)	186	218 (r i)	459 (11 r)	205	212	293 ((()	384 (10-1)	178 (5.7)	206 (10.1)	394 (5 -1)	283 (17)	183	165 (7.6)
Physical Act	(0.0) tivitv MFTs	(1.0.2)	(C:0 I)	(1.01)	(1.c)	(c.1.1)	(1.11)	(+)	(0.0)	(1.01)	(0./)	(1.0.1)	(0./)	(0.7)	(0.4)	
	1301	1127	636	621	1173	1305	647	604	1306	1122	621	603	1788	1140	674	966 (30 7)
	(26.3)	(33.9)	(34.7)	(33.9)	(26.3)	(32.6)	(34.7)	(32.6)	(29.3)	(29.3)	(30.0)	(29.4)	(28.3)	(30.7)	(31.1)	
Medium	2509	1448	775	797	2237	1720	764	797	2287	1670	863	898	2227	1730	1003	1010(46.6)
	(50.8)	(43.5)	(42.3)	(43.5)	(52.5)	(43.0)	(41.2)	(43.0)	(51.3)	(43.8)	(42.2)	(43.9)	(48.9)	(46.6)	(46.3)	
Intense	1129	753	420	414	906	976	446	451	865	1017	563	546	1040	842	491	492
	(22.9)	(22.6)	(23.0)	22.6)	(21.2)	(24.4)	(24.1)	(24.1)	(19.4)	(26.7)	(27.5)	(26.7)	(22.8)	(22.7)	(22.6)	(22.7)
Alcohol Co.	nsumption															
No	4761	3092	1711	1702	4117	3736	1736	1729	4251	3602	1933	1936	4355	3498	2042	2043
	(96.4)	(92.2)	(93.4)	(92.9)	(96.5)	(93.4)	(93.7)	(93.4)	(95.4)	(94.6)	(94.4)	(94.6)	(95.6)	(94.2)	(94.2)	(94.2)
Yes	178	236	121	132	149	265	116	123	207	207 (5.4)	114 (5.6)	111	200	214	126	125(5.8)
	(3.6)	(7.1)	(9.9)	(7.1)	(3.5)	(9:9)	(6.3)	(0.6)	(4.6)			(5.4)	(4.4)	(5.8)	(5.8)	

Table 1 Basic demographic data of the study population [n (%)] base on liver enzymes

	Table 1 (continue															
Huberbality Functional protection indicated Properative conception indicated Functional protection indicated Functional protectid Functional protectid Funct	Variables	GGT				ALT				AST				ALP			
Up-ma Expose Up Expose Expose </th <th></th> <th>Full popu</th> <th>ulation</th> <th>Propensit matched</th> <th>ty score</th> <th>Full popu</th> <th>llation</th> <th>Propensit matched</th> <th>ty score</th> <th>Full popula</th> <th>tion</th> <th>Propensit matched</th> <th>y score</th> <th>Full populati</th> <th>uo</th> <th>Propei match</th> <th>isity score ed</th>		Full popu	ulation	Propensit matched	ty score	Full popu	llation	Propensit matched	ty score	Full popula	tion	Propensit matched	y score	Full populati	uo	Propei match	isity score ed
Bit Description State 10 113 93 57 50 128 73 41 18.5 14.6 -0 23 14.3 140 13 113 103 103 128 73 53 <t< th=""><th></th><th>Un-*** expose</th><th>Expose**</th><th>Un expose</th><th>Expose</th><th>Un- expose</th><th>Expose</th><th>Un- expose</th><th>Expose</th><th>Un- expose</th><th>Expose</th><th>Un- expose</th><th>Expose</th><th>Un- expose</th><th>expose</th><th>Un- ex- pose</th><th>expose</th></t<>		Un-*** expose	Expose**	Un expose	Expose	Un- expose	Expose	Un- expose	Expose	Un- expose	Expose	Un- expose	Expose	Un- expose	expose	Un- ex- pose	expose
150 160 17 131 <td>BMI*</td> <td></td>	BMI*																
	18.9>	166	40	22	24	169	37	34	17	113	93	57	50	128	78	41	46
19-340 664 627 335 434 147 909 644 471 1238 108 633 566 1331 503 53		(3.4)	(1.2)	(1.2)	(1.3)	(4.0)	(6.0)	(1.8)	(0.0)	(2.5)	(2.4)	(2.8)	(2.4)	(2.8)	(2.1)	(1.9)	(2.1)
$ \begin{array}{{ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	19-24.9	1654	682	375	434	1427	606	464	421	1228	1108	633	596	1383	953	559	557(25.7)
2-5.95 2014 1551 870 825 1636 1937 1937 1937 1937 1937 1937 1937 1937 1937 1937 1937 1937 1937 1937 1937 1937 1933 1937 1933 1937 1933 1937 1932 1937 1932 1937 1932 1937 1932 1933 1937 1932 1933 1933 1933 1933 1933 1933 1933 1933 1933 1933 1933 1933 1933 1933 1933 <th< td=""><td></td><td>(33.5)</td><td>(20.5)</td><td>(20.5)</td><td>(23.7)</td><td>(33.5)</td><td>(22.7)</td><td>(40.925.1)</td><td>(22.7)</td><td>(27.6)</td><td>(29.1)</td><td>(30.9)</td><td>(29.1)</td><td>(30.4)</td><td>(25.7)</td><td>(25.8)</td><td></td></th<>		(33.5)	(20.5)	(20.5)	(23.7)	(33.5)	(22.7)	(40.925.1)	(22.7)	(27.6)	(29.1)	(30.9)	(29.1)	(30.4)	(25.7)	(25.8)	
(403) (473) <th< td=""><td>25-29.9</td><td>2014</td><td>1581</td><td>870</td><td>825</td><td>1636</td><td>1959</td><td>817</td><td>906</td><td>1874</td><td>1721</td><td>888</td><td>924</td><td>1927</td><td>1668</td><td>954</td><td>974(44.9)</td></th<>	25-29.9	2014	1581	870	825	1636	1959	817	906	1874	1721	888	924	1927	1668	954	974(44.9)
300-349 866 318 450 385 739 885 336 396 952 773 364 394 889 795 773 774 773 773 774 773		(40.8)	(47.5)	(47.5)	(45.0)	(38.3)	(49.0)	(44.1)	(49.9)	(42.0)	(45.2)	(43.4)	(45.1)	(42.3)	(44.9)	(44.0)	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	30.0-34.9	866	818	450	382	799	885	396	98	952	732	364	394	889	795	475	464
350c 239 207 114 168 235 211 141 20 291 155 163 238 218 139 Smoking status 633 646 633 <t< td=""><td></td><td>(17.5)</td><td>(24.6)</td><td>(24.6)</td><td>(20.8)</td><td>(18.7)</td><td>(22.1)</td><td>(21.4)</td><td>(5.3)</td><td>(21.4)</td><td>(19.2)</td><td>(17.8)</td><td>(19.3)</td><td>(19.5)</td><td>(21.4)</td><td>(21.9)</td><td>(21.4)</td></t<>		(17.5)	(24.6)	(24.6)	(20.8)	(18.7)	(22.1)	(21.4)	(5.3)	(21.4)	(19.2)	(17.8)	(19.3)	(19.5)	(21.4)	(21.9)	(21.4)
(43) (5.2) (9.2) (5.3) (7.6) (10) (6.5) (4.1) (5.1) (4.1) (5.0) (5.9) (5.9) (6.4) Smoking stats Non- 214.2 137.8 (37.3) (38.3) (37.3) (37.3) (38.3) (37.3)	35.00<	239	207	114	168	235	211	141	20	291	155	105	83	228	218	139	127
Smoking status Smoking		(4.8)	(6.2)	(6.2)	(9.2)	(5.5)	(5.3)	(7.6)	(1.0)	(6.5)	(4.1)	(5.1)	(4.1)	(5.0)	(5.9)	(6.4)	(5.9)
	Smoking st	atus															
smoker (43.4) (37.8) (37.3) (37.9) (32.1) (39.9) (32.1) (39.4) (38.3) (40.1) (43.4) (38.3) (40.1) (43.4) (38.3) (40.1) (43.4) (38.3) (41.6) (12.3) (12.4) (12.2) (12.4) (12.2) (12.4) (12.2) (13.7) (14.8)<	Non-	2142	1257	684	692	1806	1593	657	738	1875	1524	735	819	1977	1422	865	83
recently 515 486 288 268 503 498 363 230 535 466 380 250 451 550 535 466 380 250 451 530 172 103 1173 1133 1135 1133 1135 1133 1135 1133 1135 1133 1135 1133 1135 1133 1135 1133 1135 1133 1135 1133 1135 1133 1135 1133 1135 1133 1135 1133 1135 1133 1135	smoker	(43.4)	(37.8)	(37.3)	(37.8)	(42.3)	(39.8)	(35.5)	(39.9)	(42.1)	(40.0)	(35.9)	(40.1)	(43.4)	(38.3)	(40.0)	(38.3)
	recently	515	486	288	268	503	498	363	230	535	466	380	250	451	550	252	321
history 31 175 182 263 384 167 178 300 347 200 187 342 305 210 (64) (99) (65) (90) (65) (90) (67) (91) (78) (81) (75) (82) (97) (74) (95) (95) (65) (90) (57) (31) (352) (32) (357) (387) (357) (387) (357) (387) <td></td> <td>(10.4)</td> <td>(14.6)</td> <td>(15.7)</td> <td>(14.6)</td> <td>(11.8)</td> <td>(12.5)</td> <td>(19.6)</td> <td>(12.4)</td> <td>(12.0)</td> <td>(12.2)</td> <td>(18.6)</td> <td>(12.2)</td> <td>(6.6)</td> <td>(14.8)</td> <td>(11.6)</td> <td>(14.8)</td>		(10.4)	(14.6)	(15.7)	(14.6)	(11.8)	(12.5)	(19.6)	(12.4)	(12.0)	(12.2)	(18.6)	(12.2)	(6.6)	(14.8)	(11.6)	(14.8)
	history	316	331	175	182	263	384	167	178	300	347	200	187	342	305	210	178 (8.2)
the past 1966 1234 685 690 1694 526 665 706 1748 1472 732 731 1785 1435 840 3938 (377) (377) (377) (397) (381) (3922) (387) (357) (386) (3922) (387) <td< td=""><td></td><td>(6.4)</td><td>(6.6)</td><td>(9.6)</td><td>(6.6)</td><td>(6.2)</td><td>(9.6)</td><td>(0.0)</td><td>(9.6)</td><td>(6.7)</td><td>(9.1)</td><td>(9.8)</td><td>(9.1)</td><td>(7.5)</td><td>(8.2)</td><td>(6.7)</td><td></td></td<>		(6.4)	(6.6)	(9.6)	(6.6)	(6.2)	(9.6)	(0.0)	(9.6)	(6.7)	(9.1)	(9.8)	(9.1)	(7.5)	(8.2)	(6.7)	
	the past	1966	1254	685	690	1694	526	665	706	1748	1472	732	791	1785	1435	840	838 (38.7)
DM incidence No 4864 3172 1750 1746 4165 3871 1769 1792 4324(97.0) 3712(97.4) 1993 1995 4459 3577 2093 (95.3) (95.3) (95.5) (95.3) (97.6) (96.8) (95.5) (96.8) (95.5) (97.4) (97.5) (97.9) (96.4) (95.5) yes 75 156 82 86 101 130 83 60 134(3.0) 97(2.6) 54(2.6) 52(2.5) 96 135 71 (1.5) (4.7) (4.5) (4.7) (2.4) (3.2) (4.5) (3.2) (4.5) (3.2) (4.5) (3.2) (4.5) (3.2) (4.5) (3.3) (2.1) (3.6) (3.3) CVD incidence No 136 98 61 65 4113 3902 1802 4324 3710 1993 1994 4454 3580 2095 yes 4936 3097 2076 134 99 50 46 134 99 54 53 101 1332 71 yes 4936 3097 2076 134 99 50 46 134 99 54 3710 1993 1994 4454 3580 2095 yes 4936 3097 2076 134 99 50 46 134 99 54 3710 1933 1994 4454 3580 2095 yes 4336 3097 2076 134 99 50 46 134 99 54 3710 1933 1994 4454 3580 2095 yes 4336 3097 2076 134 99 50 727) (2.5) (3.0) (2.6) (2.6) (2.6) (2.6) (3.1) (3.2) (3.1) (3.5) (3.2) (3.1) (3.2) (3.2) (3.1) (3.2		(39.8)	(37.7)	(37.4)	(37.7)	(39.7)	(38.1)	(35.9)	(38.1)	(39.2)	(38.7)	(35.7)	(38.6)	(39.2)	(38.7)	(38.7)	
No 4864 3172 1750 1746 4165 3871 1769 1792 4324 (970) 3712 (97.4) 1993 1995 4459 3577 2093 ves 75 156 82 (95.3) (97.6) (96.8) (35.5) (96.8) (35.5) (96.3) (97.6) (96.4) (36.7) (31.3) (31.3) (31.3) (31.3) (31.3) (31.3) (31.4) (31.6) (32.6) (36.4) (96.4) (96.4) (96.4) (96.4) (96.4) (96.4) (96.4) (96.4) (96.4) (96.4) (96.4) (96.4) (96.4) (96.4) (96.4) (96.4) (96.4) <td< td=""><td>DM inciden</td><td>ce</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	DM inciden	ce															
	No	4864	3172	1750	1746	4165	3871	1769	1792	4324 (97.0)	3712 (97.4)	1993	1995	4459	3577	2097	2089(96.4)
yes 75 156 82 86 101 130 83 60 134 (3.0) 97 (2.6) 54 (2.6) 52 (2.5) 96 135 71 (1.5) (4.7) (4.5) (4.7) (2.4) (3.2) (4.5) (3.1) (3.6) (3.3) CVD incidence 8 61 65 4132 3902 1802 1806 4324 3710 1993 1994 4454 3580 2097 Vo 136 (3.1) (96.9) (97.5) (97.0) (97.4) (97.4) (97.4) (97.4) (96.4) (97.4) (97.4) (97.4) (97.4)		(98.5)	(95.3)	(95.5)	(95.3)	(97.6)	(96.8)	(95.5)	(8.96)			(97.4)	(97.5)	(97.9)	(96.4)	(96.7)	
(1.5) (4.7) (4.5) (4.7) (2.4) (3.2) (4.5) (3.1) (3.6) (3.3) CVD incidence 98 61 65 4132 3902 1802 1806 4324 3710 1994 4454 3580 2097 No 136 98 61 65 4132 3902 1802 1906 4324 3710 1994 4454 3580 2097 Vo 136 98 61 65 4132 3902 1802 1906 4374 3710 1993 1994 4454 3580 2097 ves 4936 3097 2080 2076 134 99 50 46 134 99 54 56 71 97.3) 96.90 (97.1) (96.9) (37.1) (2.5) (2.0) (2.6) (2.6) (2.2) (3.6) (3.3) Salt Use 1337 2831 (2830 286 146 1269 1065 (28.0) 561 (2.6) (2.6) (2.6) (2.6) (2.7)	yes	75	156	82	86	101	130	83	60	134 (3.0)	97 (2.6)	54 (2.6)	52 (2.5)	96	135	71	79
CVD incidence 136 98 61 65 4132 3902 1802 1806 4224 3710 1993 1994 4454 3580 2097 (2.7) (3.1) (2.9) (3.1) (96.9) (97.5) (97.3) (97.5) (97.0) (97.4) (97.4) (97.4) (97.8) (96.4) (96.7) (96.7) (97.3) (96.9) (97.1) (96.9) (97.1) (97.5) (3.1) (2.5) (3.0) (2.6) (2.6) (2.6) (2.2) (3.1) (3.3) (2.6) (3.1) (2.5) (3.0) (2.6) (2.6) (2.6) (2.2) (3.0) (3.3) (3.3) (3.3) (3.3) (3.3) (3.3) (3.3) (3.3) (3.3) (3.3) (3.3) (3.3) (2.6) (2.7) (2.8) (2.7) (2.2) (3.1) (2.7) (2.8) (2.7) (2.8) (2.7) (2.8) (2.7) (2.2) (3.1) (2.7) (2.8) (2.8) (2.7) (2.8) (2.7) (2.2) (3.1) (2.7) (2.8) (2.7) (2.8) (2.7) (2		(1.5)	(4.7)	(4.5)	(4.7)	(2.4)	(3.2)	(4.5)	(3.2)					(2.1)	(3.6)	(3.3)	(3.6)
No 136 98 61 65 4132 3902 1802 1806 4324 3710 1993 1994 4454 3580 2091 (2.7) (3.1) (2.9) (3.1) (96.9) (97.5) (97.0) (97.4) (97.4) (97.8) (96.4) (96.7) yes 4936 3097 2080 2076 134 99 54 53 101 132 71 yes 4936 3097 2080 2076 134 99 54 53 101 132 71 yes 4936 3097 2080 2076 134 99 54 53 101 132 71 salt Use 1397 937 488 516 1214 1119 508 56 1269 1065 (28.0) 561 572 1312 1022 592 Ves 1397 937 28.0) (28.5) (28.6) 2661 574	CVD incide	JCe															
(2.7) (3.1) (2.9) (3.1) (96.9) (97.5) (97.5) (97.4) (97.4) (97.8) (96.4) (96.7) yes 4936 3097 2080 2076 134 99 54 53 101 132 71 yes 4936 3097 2080 2076 134 99 54 53 101 132 71 (97.3) (96.9) (97.1) (96.9) (3.1) (2.5) (2.7) (2.6) (2.6) (2.2) (3.6) (3.3) Salt Use 1397 937 488 516 1214 1119 508 518 1269 1065 (28.0) 561 572 1312 1022 592 Ves 1397 937 488 516 1214 1119 508 561 1269 1065 (28.0) 561 572 1312 1022 592 Ves 1307 28.10 (27.4) (28.0) 561 572 1312 1022 592 274 (275) 275 275 275	No	136	98	61	65	4132	3902	1802	180	5 4324	3710	1993	1994	4454	3580	2097	2091
yes 4936 3097 2080 2076 134 99 50 46 134 99 54 53 101 132 71 (97.3) (96.9) (97.1) (96.9) (97.1) (96.9) (3.1) (2.5) (2.7) (2.5) (3.0) (2.6) (2.6) (2.2) (3.6) (3.3) (3.1) Salt Use (3.3) (3		(2.7)	(3.1)	(2.9)	(3.1)	(6.96)	(97.5)	(97.3)	(97.5	(07.0)	(97.4)	(97.4)	(97.4)	(97.8)	(96.4)	(96.7)	(96.4)
(97.3) (96.9) (97.1) (96.9) (3.1) (2.5) (2.7) (2.6) (2.6) (2.6) (2.1) (3.6) (3.3) Salt Use 1397 937 488 516 1214 1119 508 518 1269 1065 (28.0) 561 572 1312 1022 592 Yes 1397 937 488 516 1214 1119 508 518 1269 1065 (28.0) 561 572 1312 1022 592 Yes (28.3) (28.1) (28.2) (28.0) (27.4) (28.0) 561 572 1312 1022 592 Sometimes 1590 1030 609 567 1352 1267 603 586 1441 1179 (30.9) 660 634 1448 1172 (31.6) 685 (31.1) (32.2) (31.7) (31.7) (32.6) (31.6) (32.3) (32.10) (31.9) (31.8) (31.4) (31.4) (31.4) (31.4) (31.4) (31.4) (31.4) (31.4) (31.4) (31	yes	4936	3097	2080	2076	134	66	50	46	134	66	54	53	101	132	71	77
Salt Use 1397 937 488 516 1214 1119 508 518 1269 1065 (28.0) 561 572 1312 1022 592 (28.3) (28.3) (28.1) (26.7) (28.2) (28.2) (27.4) (27.9) (28.8) (27.5) (27.5) (27.5) (28.3) (28.3) (28.1) (28.2) (27.5) (27.5) (27.5) (27.5) (28.2) (28.3) (28.2) (28.2) (27.5) (27.5) (27.5) (27.5) (27.5) (28.2) (28.3) (28.2) (28.2) (27.5) (27.5) (27.5) (27.5) (27.5) (27.5) (27.5) (28.2) (28.3) (28.2) (28.2) (27.5) (27.5) (27.5) (27.5) (27.5) (27.5) (27.5) (28.2) (28.3) (28.2) (28.2) (27.5) (27.5) (27.5) (27.5) (27.5) (27.5) (27.5) (28.2) (28.3) (28.2) (28.2) (27.5) (27.5) (27.5) (27.5) (27.5) (27.5) (27.5) (28.2) (28.3) (28.2) (28.2) (28.2) (27.5) (27.5) (27.5) (27.5) (27.5) (27.5) (27.5) (28.2) (28.3) (28.2) (28.2) (28.2) (27.5) (27		(97.3)	(6.9)	(97.1)	(6:96)	(3.1)	(2.5)	(2.7)	(2.5)	(3.0)	(2.6)	(2.6)	(2.6)	(2.2)	(3.6)	(3.3)	(3.6)
Yes 1397 937 488 516 1214 1119 508 518 1269 1065 (28.0) 561 572 1312 1022 592 (28.3) (28.3) (28.1) (26.7) (28.2) (28.2) (28.0) (27.4) (27.4) (28.6) (27.5) (Salt Use																
(28.3) (28.1) (26.7) (28.2) (28.5) (28.0) (27.4) (28.0) (28.5) (27.4) (27.9) (28.8) (27.5) (27.3 Sometimes 1590 1030 609 567 1352 1267 603 586 1441 1179(30.9) 660 634 1448 1172(31.6) 685 (32.2) (31.0) (33.2) (30.9) (31.7) (31.7) (32.6) (31.6) (32.3) (32.2) (31.0) (31.8) (31.4)	Yes	1397	937	488	516	1214	1119	508	518	1269	1065 (28.0)	1 561	572	1312	1022	592	597
Sometimes 1590 1030 609 567 1352 1267 603 586 1441 1179 30.9) 660 634 1448 1172 685 (31.2) (31.0) (33.2) (30.9) (31.7) (31.7) (31.5) (32.3) (32.2) (31.8) (31.8) (31.4)		(28.3)	(28.1)	(26.7)	(28.2)	(28.5)	(28.0)	(27.4)	(28.() (28.5)		(27.4)	(27.9)	(28.8)	(27.5)	(27.3)	(27.5)
(32.2) (31.0) (33.2) (30.9) (31.7) (31.7) (32.6) (31.6) (32.3) (32.2) (31.0) (31.8) (31.6)	Sometimes	1590	1030	609	567	1352	1267	603	586	1441	1179 (30.9)	099 0	634	1448	1172(31.6)	685	684
		(32.2)	(31.0)	(33.2)	(30.9)	(31.7)	(31.7)	(32.6)	(31.6	5) (32.3)		(32.2)	(31.0)	(31.8)		(31.6)	(31.6)

Table 1	(continue	q)														
Variables	GGT				ALT				AST				ALP			
	Full popu	lation	Propensit matched	ty score	Full popu	lation	Propensit) matched	/ score	Full popula	tion	Propensity matched	score	Full populatic	Ę	Propen matche	sity score d
	Un-***	Expose**	٩	Expose	μŪ	Expose	'n	Expose	Un-	Expose	- Lu	Expose	Un- expose	expose	ч С	expose
	expose		expose		expose		expose	-	expose		expose				ex- pose	
No	1952	1361	735	749	1696	1615	741	748	1748	1565	826	841	1795	1518		87
	(39.5)	(40.9)	(40.1)	(40.9)	(39.8)	(39.8)	(40.0)	(40.4)	(39.2)	(41.1)	(40.4)	(41.1)	(39.4)	(40.9)	(41.1)	40.9)
FH1_Hype	rtension															
N	2557	1661	912	914	2183	2035	930 (50.2)	942	2263	1995	1034 (50.5)	1051	2320	1898	1110	108
	(51.8)	(49.9)	(49.8)	(49.9)	(51.2)	(50.9)		(50.9)	(50.8)	(51.3)		(51.3)	(50.9)	(51.1)	(51.2)	51.1)
yes	2382	1667	920	918	2083	1966	922 (49.8)	910	2195	1854	1013	996 (48.7)	2235	1814	1058	059
	(48.2)	(50.1)	(50.2)	(50.1)	(48.8)	(49.1)		(49.1)	(49.2)	(48.7)	(49.5)		(49.1)	(48.9)	(48.8)	48.9)
GGT: gamm disease inci	a-glutamyl tr dence; FH1_F	ansferase; ALT: typertension: F	alanine amir amily medica	otransferase al history	e; AST: aspart	ate aminotra	ansferase; ALP.	alkaline pho	osphatase; Bl	dl: body mass	index; DM inci	dence: diabe	tes mellitus incic	ence; CVD inc	cidence: ca	rdiovascular
*P-value<0	.005 **Expos	e: People with l	high liver enz	zymes ***Un	exposed: Peo	ople with Lov	w liver enzym	es								

risk factor for HTN development [31]. Dan et al. have also reported a positive association of GGT with HTN in Indian adults [32]. Kotani et al. reported a positive association between higher serum GGT level and clinical HTN [33]. Cheung et al. showed that GGT was associated with incident HTN in Hong Kong Chinese [34].

The main mechanisms that connect GGT with HTN are not fully clear; although, there are some possible justifications. First of all, GGT is known as a marker of oxidative stress and inflammation [29]. Lee et al. conducted a longitudinal, multicenter epidemiologic study, they found that GGT is a predictor of incident hypertension and it is positively related to inflammation markers like fibrinogen, High-sensitivity C - reactive protein (CRP), and F2-isoprostane [35]. Secondly, GGT has been detected inside atherosclerotic plaques and it has been found that plays an important role in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis, and also triggering low-density lipoproteins (LDLs) oxidation and other pro-oxidant reactions [36]. Third, GGT plays a central role in glutathione homeostasis [35, 37]. Therefore, increased GGT levels might be a marker of inflammation and oxidative stress, which are main features of HTN and CVDs.

Serum ALT, AST, and ALP did not indicate a significant association with HTN in the current investigation. Our results for ALT, AST, and ALP are in agreement with the findings of previous studies. Rahman et al. found that serum AST and ALP did not show a significant association with HTN, however, they reported ALT were significantly associated with HTN in Bangladeshi adults [13]. Gupta et al. found that there was no significant relationship between liver enzymes (ALT, AST and GGT) and HTN [38]. In contrast, some studies found that ALP is associated with HTN [39, 40]. Various reference values, age range, demographics characteristics, ethnicity, and etc. might be significant factors for the observed variations of these studies.

Limitation and strength

There are some limitations in this study. Assessment of ALP, ALT, AST, and GGT in plasma can be non-specific and found in other diseases hepatitis B and C, biliary diseases, musculoskeletal diseases, and myocardial injury. The diagnostic performance of GGT in this study is good but there is no external data for extrapolation and verification of results. Despite these limitations, this study is the first investigation, to the best of our knowledge, to assess the association between liver enzymes and HTN using PSM analysis via a follow-up cohort study in an Iranian population in the Kurdish region. Major strengths of this study are the large prospective design, the length of follow-up, and the standardized protocol. Another strength of the present study included applying PSM analysis to eliminate the effects of confounding factors

Fold Progressity score indicated Fold	Variables	GGT				ALT				AST				ALF			
		Ful I populati	ц	Propensit matched	y score	Full populati	u u	propensity <u>s</u> matched	score	Full populati		propensity s matched	core	Full pop tion	oula-	oropen sity sco matche	ן פס
oppos oppos <th< th=""><th></th><th>***u[]</th><th>Expose**</th><th>5</th><th>Exnose</th><th>Unexpose</th><th>Exnose</th><th>Unexpose</th><th>Exnose</th><th>Unexpose</th><th>Exnose</th><th>Unexpose</th><th>Exnose</th><th>n U</th><th>-×-</th><th></th><th></th></th<>		***u[]	Expose**	5	Exnose	Unexpose	Exnose	Unexpose	Exnose	Unexpose	Exnose	Unexpose	Exnose	n U	-×-		
And Solution		expose		expose				olicypoic				ouchooc		expose	pose		ose
499 323 182 182 266 400 152 162 236 207 207 455 371 216 CHOL 733 203 1146 1119 308 207 1193 335 123 131 137 2047 455 317 2017 455 132 132 335 517 335 517 335 513 140 137 137 137 137 137 137 137 135 142 335 147 Rote 306 323 533<																ose .	
Choolestic constant and according and according ac		4939	3328	1832	1832	4266	4001	1852	1852	4458	3809	2047	2047	4555	3712	2168 2	2168
Qptimal 3730 2023 6111 6131 6731 2331 2331 2331 2335	CHOL																
7531 (62) (61) (72) (66) (66) (66) (67) <th< th=""><td>Optimal</td><td>3720</td><td>2032 (61.1)</td><td>1146</td><td>1119</td><td>3081</td><td>2671</td><td>1193</td><td>1237</td><td>3211 (72.0)</td><td>2541</td><td>1379</td><td>1367</td><td>3395</td><td>2357</td><td>1402</td><td>377</td></th<>	Optimal	3720	2032 (61.1)	1146	1119	3081	2671	1193	1237	3211 (72.0)	2541	1379	1367	3395	2357	1402	377
Border S20 943 663 519 870 657 945 643 619 873 890 943 553 753<		(75.3)		(62.5)	(61.1)	(72.3)	(66.8)	(64.4)	(66.8)		(66.7)	(67.4)	(66.8)	(74.5)	(63.5) ((64.7)	63.5)
(16.6) (23.3) (23.3) (23.3) (23.3) (23.3) (23.3) (23.3) (23.4) (23.5) (24.1) (23.5) (23.1) (23.6) (23.1) (23.1) (23.1) (23.1) (23.1)<	Border	920	943	463	519	876	987	460	457	945	918	482	493	889	974	553	69
High rule 299 (61) 333 213 194 309 343 199 158 302 350 156 167 160 1(32) 194 303 133 T (10.6) (12.2) (10.6) (72.3) (63.4) (12.4) (10.6) (72.3) (33.4) <td></td> <td>(18.6)</td> <td>(28.3)</td> <td>(25.3)</td> <td>(28.3)</td> <td>(20.5)</td> <td>(24.7)</td> <td>(24.8)</td> <td>(24.7)</td> <td>(21.2)</td> <td>(24.1)</td> <td>(23.5)</td> <td>(24.1)</td> <td>(19.5)</td> <td>(26.2)</td> <td>(25.5)</td> <td>26.3)</td>		(18.6)	(28.3)	(25.3)	(28.3)	(20.5)	(24.7)	(24.8)	(24.7)	(21.2)	(24.1)	(23.5)	(24.1)	(19.5)	(26.2)	(25.5)	26.3)
Item (106) (122) (106) (72) (55) (53) (53) (51) (51) (51) (50) (103) (53) Item (28) (556) (57.1) (556) (77) (53) (53) (54) (56) (51) (53) <	High risk	299 (6.1)	353	223	194	309	343	199	158	302	350	186	187	271	381	213	222
TG the formal sign in the forma			(10.6)	(12.2)	(10.6)	(7.2)	(8.5)	(10.8)	(8.5)	(6.8)	(9.2)	(9.1)	(9.1)	(0.9)	(10.3)	(8.6)	10.2)
Optimal 3891 1851 1046 1019 3324 2148 11.5 11.9 3494 2338 1455 Roucle 62.5 66.9 (57.1) (55.5) (77.2) (16.3) (17.3) (74.7) (53.0) (57.3) (57.1) (55.6) 77.9 (74.7) (53.0) (57.1) (55.1) (57.1) (55.1) (57.1) (56.3) 34.4 353 60.3 </th <td>TG</td> <td></td>	TG																
(738) (556) (77.1) (556) (77.2) (60.4) (60.8) (60.4) (73.8) (65.8) (64.4) (74.7) (53.0) (53.4) (74.7) (53.0) (53.3) <td>Optimal</td> <td>3891</td> <td>1851</td> <td>1046</td> <td>1019</td> <td>3324</td> <td>2418</td> <td>1126</td> <td>1119</td> <td>3290</td> <td>2452</td> <td>1367</td> <td>1319</td> <td>3404</td> <td>2338</td> <td>1415</td> <td>365</td>	Optimal	3891	1851	1046	1019	3324	2418	1126	1119	3290	2452	1367	1319	3404	2338	1415	365
Border 622 668 368 524 766 316 355 634 656 333 833 603 687 177 (172) (133) (183) (177) (115) (120) (12		(78.8)	(55.6)	(57.1)	(55.6)	(77.9)	(60.4)	(60.8)	(60.4)	(73.8)	(64.4)	(66.8)	(64.4)	(74.7)	(63.0)	(65.3) (63.0)
(126) (201) (201) (201) (123) (192) (142) (172) (163) (173) (133) <th< th=""><td>Border</td><td>622</td><td>668</td><td>368</td><td>368</td><td>524</td><td>766</td><td>316</td><td>355</td><td>634</td><td>656</td><td>334</td><td>353</td><td>603</td><td>687</td><td>370 4</td><td>f01</td></th<>	Border	622	668	368	368	524	766	316	355	634	656	334	353	603	687	370 4	f01
Top 411 773 333 426 400 784 366 363 510 674 325 362 524 600 360 Net yligh 15 36(1.1) 35 19 18 7.232 7.099 7.327 7.177 11.15 17.77 17.16 16.05 16.77 15.95 10.65 16.77 15.91 16.65 15.75 10.87 16.65 16.77 15.91 16.65 17.77 15.91 16.75		(12.6)	(20.1)	(20.1)	(20.1)	(12.3)	(19.2)	(17.0)	(19.2)	(14.2)	(17.2)	(16.3)	(17.3)	(13.3)	(18.5) ((17.1)	18.5)
	Top	411	773	383	426	400	784	366	363	510	674	325	362	524	660	360	386
Wery high 15 36 (1.1) 35 19 18 33 44 15 24 27 21 13 24 (0.5) 27 23 HDL (0.3) (1.9) (1.1) (0.4) (0.8) (2.4) (0.8) (0.5) (0.7) (1.0) (0.6) (0.7) (1.0) HDL (1.1.2) (1.92) (1.92) (1.92) (1.92) (1.92) (1.92) (1.92) (1.1) <td></td> <td>(8.3)</td> <td>(23.2)</td> <td>(20.9)</td> <td>(23.2)</td> <td>(9.4)</td> <td>(19.6)</td> <td>(19.8)</td> <td>(19.6)</td> <td>(11.5)</td> <td>(17.7)</td> <td>(15.9)</td> <td>(17.7)</td> <td>(11.5)</td> <td>(17.8) (</td> <td>(16.6)</td> <td>17.8)</td>		(8.3)	(23.2)	(20.9)	(23.2)	(9.4)	(19.6)	(19.8)	(19.6)	(11.5)	(17.7)	(15.9)	(17.7)	(11.5)	(17.8) ((16.6)	17.8)
(0.3) (1.9) (1.1) (0.4) (0.8) (2.4) (0.8) (0.7) (1.0) (0.6) (0.7) (1.0) HOL (1.12) (192) (192) (192) (192) (192) (1128) (162) (128) (152) (153) (15	Very high	15	36 (1.1)	35	19	18	33	44	15	24	27	21	13	24 (0.5)	27		9
HOL S54 639 336 352 424 769 361 356 576 617 319 331 582 611 340 (11.2) (192) (192) (192) (192) (192) (192) (162) (128) (165) (157) (162) (128) (165) (157) (162) (128) (165) (157) (162) (128) (165) (157) (162) (128) (165) (157) (128) (162) (156) (156) (156) (156) (156) (156) (156) (157) (341) (367) (341) (367) (341) (367) (341) (367) (341) (367) (341) (367) (341) (367) (341) (362) (371) (362) (371) (362) (361) (362) (371) (362) (371) (362) (371) (362) (371) (361) (412) (412) (410) (410) (424) (410)		(0.3)		(1.9)	(1.1)	(0.4)	(0.8)	(2.4)	(0.8)	(0.5)	(0.7)	(1.0)	(0:0)		(0.7)	()	0.7)
Optimal 554 639 336 352 424 769 361 356 576 617 319 331 582 611 340 (11.2) (19.2) (19.2) (19.2) (19.2) (19.2) (19.2) (19.2) (15.2) (15.4) (16.2) (15.4) (16.2) (15.4) (16.2) (15.4) (16.2) (15.4) (16.2) (15.4) (16.2) (15.4) (16.2) (15.4) (16.2) (15.4) (15.3) </th <td>HDL</td> <td></td>	HDL																
(11.2) (19.2) (19.2) (19.2) (19.2) (19.2) (19.2) (15.6) (16.2) (15.8) (16.2) (15.1) Border 1605 1322 712 3397 1339 738 752 1581 1346 820 132.5) (39.7) (31.3) (39.7) (31.3) (39.8) (39.8) (34.3) (36.7) (36.7) (34.7) (36.3) (37.8) High risk 2780 1367 754 752 2507 1640 785 759 2354 1793 990 964 2392 1755 1008 (56.3) (41.1) (41.2) (41.0) (52.8) (41.0) (52.8) (47.1) (47.7) (47.3) (465) (465) LDL 2720 (41.5) (42.5) (44.6) (52.8) (41.0) (52.8) (47.7) (52.2) (47.3) (465) (465) LDL 2823 1380 779 786 2864 2387 1816 969 969 964 732 1422 1465	Optimal	554	639	336	352	424	769	361	356	576	617	319	331	582	611	340	357
Border 165 1322 712 (38.9) 738 1532 752 1581 1346 820 (32.5) (39.7) (39.7) (31.3) (39.8) (38.1) (39.8) (34.3) (36.7) (36.7) (34.7) (36.3) (37.8) High risk 2780 1367 754 752 2507 1640 785 759 2354 1793 990 964 2392 1755 1008 (56.3) (41.1) (41.2) (41.0) (52.8) (41.0) (52.8) (47.1) (48.4) (47.1) (52.2) (47.3) (56.4) (47.7) (57.2) (47.7) (57.2) (47.7) (57.2) (47.7) (57.2) (47.7) (57.2) (47.7) (57.2) (47.7) (57.2) (47.7) (57.2) (47.7) (57.2) (47.7) (57.2) (47.7) (47.7) (57.2) (47.7) (47.7) (57.2) (47.7) (47.7) (57.4) (47.5) (47.7) (57.4) <td< th=""><td></td><td>(11.2)</td><td>(19.2)</td><td>(19.9)</td><td>(19.2)</td><td>(6.6)</td><td>(19.2)</td><td>(19.5)</td><td>(19.2)</td><td>(12.9)</td><td>(16.2)</td><td>(15.6)</td><td>(16.2)</td><td>(12.8)</td><td>(16.5) (</td><td>(15.7)</td><td>16.5)</td></td<>		(11.2)	(19.2)	(19.9)	(19.2)	(6.6)	(19.2)	(19.5)	(19.2)	(12.9)	(16.2)	(15.6)	(16.2)	(12.8)	(16.5) ((15.7)	16.5)
(32.5) (39.7) (31.3) (39.8) (38.1) (39.8) (34.3) (36.7) (36.7) (36.7) (36.7) (36.7) (36.7) (36.7) (36.7) (36.7) (36.7) (36.7) (36.7) (36.7) (36.7) (36.7) (36.3) (37.8) High risk 2780 1367 752 2507 1640 785 759 2354 1793 990 964 2392 1755 1008 Coptimal 2823 (41.1) (41.2) (41.0) (52.8) (41.0) (52.8) (47.1) (57.2) (47.7) (57.2) (47.7) (57.2) (47.7) (57.2) (47.7) (57.2) (47.7) (57.2) (47.7) (57.2) (47.7) (57.2) (47.7) (57.2) (47.7) (57.2) (47.7) (57.2) (47.7) (57.2) (47.7) (57.2) (47.7) (57.2) (47.7) (57.2) (47.7) (57.2) (47.7) (47.7) (57.2) (47.5) (47.7) (47.7) (57.2) (47.5) (47.7) (47.7) (57.2) (47.5) (47.7	Border	1605	1322	712 (38.9)	728	1335	1592	706	737	1528	1399	738	752	1581	1346 8	320	786
High risk 2780 1367 754 752 2507 1640 785 759 2354 1793 990 964 2392 1755 1008 150.3) (41.1) (41.2) (41.1) (58.8) (41.0) (42.4) (41.0) (52.8) (47.1) (48.4) (47.1) (57.2) (47.3) (47.1) (57.2) (47.3) (47.1) (57.2) (47.3) (47.1) (57.2) (47.3) <		(32.5)	(39.7)		(39.7)	(31.3)	(39.8)	(38.1)	(39.8)	(34.3)	(36.7)	(36.0)	(36.7)	(34.7)	(36.3) (37.8) (36.3)
(5.3) (41.1) (41.2) (41.1) (58.8) (41.0) (52.8) (47.1) (48.4) (47.1) (52.2) (47.3) (45.3) (47.1) (52.2) (47.3) (45.3) (45.3) (41.5) (52.2) (47.3) (47.7) (57.2) (47.7) (57.2) (47.7) (57.2) (47.7) (57.2) (47.7) (47.3) (47.3) (47.5) (44.7) (47.7) (47.3) (47.5) (47.7) (47.7) (47.3) (47.5) (47.7)	High risk	2780	1367	754	752	2507	1640	785	759	2354	1793	066	964	2392	1755	. 8001	025
LDL Optimal 2823 1380 779 760 2337 1866 843 864 2387 1816 969 976(47.7) 2515 1688 969 57.2) (41.5) (42.5) (54.8) (46.6) (45.5) (46.5) (53.5) (47.7) (47.3) (55.2) (45.5) (44.7) Border 16.25 1344 730 740 1425 1544 693 714 1547 1422 767 764 1542 1427 843 32.9) (40.4) (39.9) (40.4) (33.4) (38.6) (37.4) (38.6) (34.7) (37.3) (37.3) (37.3) (33.9) (38.4) (38.9) High risk 491 604 323 332 504 591 (14.8) 316 274 524 571 311 307 (15.0) 498 597 356 (9.9) (18.1) (17.6) (18.1) (11.8) (17.1) (14.8) (11.8) (11.8) (15.0) (15.2) (10.9) (16.1) (16.1) (16.4) GGT: gamma-glutan/transferase; AST: spartate aminotransferase; ALP: alkaline phosphatase; Choi: cholesteroi; TG: triglyceride; HDI: high-density lipoprotein; LDI: low density lipopro		(56.3)	(41.1)	(41.2)	(41.1)	(58.8)	(41.0)	(42.4)	(41.0)	(52.8)	(47.1)	(48.4)	(47.1)	(52.2)	(47.3)	(46.5)	47.3)
Optimal 2823 1380 779 760 2337 1866 843 864 2387 1816 969 976 (47.7) 2515 1688 969 (57.2) (41.5) (42.5) (44.5) (45.5)	LDL																
(57.2) (41.5) (42.5) (41.5) (54.8) (46.6) (53.5) (47.7) (47.3) (55.2) (45.5) (45.7) (45.5) (45.7) (45.5) (45.7) (45.5) (45.7) (45.5) (45.7) (45.5) (45.5) (45.5) (45.7) (45.5) (45.7) (45.5) (45.7) (35.4) (38.9) (38.4) (38.9) (38.4) (38.9) (38.4) (38.9) (38.4) (38.9)	Optimal	2823	1380	779	760	2337	1866	843	864	2387	1816	696	976 (47.7)	2515	1688	696	986
Border 1625 1344 730 740 1425 1544 693 714 1547 1422 767 764 1542 1427 843 (32.9) (40.4) (33.4) (38.6) (37.4) (38.6) (37.3) (37.3) (37.3) (37.3) (37.3) (37.3) (37.3) (37.9) (38.4) (38.9) High risk 491 604 323 332 504 591 (14.8) 316 274 524 571 311 307 (15.0) 498 597 356 9(9) (18.1) (17.4) (17.1) (14.8) (11.8) (15.0) (15.2) (10.9) (16.1) (16.4) GGT: gamma-glutamyltransferase; AST: spartate aminotransferase; ALT: abartate aminot		(57.2)	(41.5)	(42.5)	(41.5)	(54.8)	(46.6)	(45.5)	(46.6)	(53.5)	(47.7)	(47.3)		(55.2)	(45.5) ((44.7)	45.5)
(32.9) (40.4) (33.4) (38.6) (37.4) (38.5) (37.3) (37.3) (37.3) (37.3) (37.3) (37.3) (37.3) (37.4) (38.4) (38.9) High risk 491 604 323 332 504 591 (14.8) 316 274 524 571 311 307 (15.0) 498 597 356 (9.9) (18.1) (11.8) (17.1) (14.8) (11.8) (15.0) (15.2) (10.9) (16.1) (16.4) GGT: gamma-glutamyltransferase; ALT: alanine aminotransferase; AST sapartate aminotransferase; ALE: alkaline phosphatase; Chol: cholesterol; TG: triglyceride; HDL: high-density lipoprotein; LDL: low density lipoprotein; LDL: low de	Border	1625	1344	730	740	1425	1544	693	714	1547	1422	767	764	1542	1427 8	343 8	333
High risk 491 604 323 332 504 591 316 274 524 571 311 307 15.0) 498 597 356 (9.9) (18.1) (17.6) (18.1) (11.8) (17.1) (14.8) (11.8) (15.0) (15.2) (10.9) (16.1) (16.4) GGT: gamma-glutamyl transferase; ALT: alanine aminotransferase; AST: aspartate aminotransferase; ALP: alkaline phosphatase; Chol: cholesterol; TG: triglyceride; HDL: high-density lipoprotein; LDL: low density lipoprotein; LDL: low densi		(32.9)	(40.4)	(39.9)	(40.4)	(33.4)	(38.6)	(37.4)	(38.6)	(34.7)	(37.3)	(37.5)	(37.3)	(33.9)	(38.4)	38.9) (38.4)
(9.9) (18.1) (17.6) (18.1) (11.8) (17.1) (14.8) (11.8) (15.0) (15.2) (10.9) (16.1) (16.4) GGT: gamma-glutamyl transferase; ALT: alanine aminotransferase; AST: aspartate aminotransferase; ALP: alkaline phosphatase; Chol: cholesterol; TG: triglyceride; HDL: high-density lipoprotein; LDL: low density lipoprotein; LDL: low densi	High risk	491	604	323	332	504	591 (14.8)	316	274	524	571	311	307 (15.0)	498	597	356	349
GGT: gamma-glutamyl transferase; ALT: alanine aminotransferase; AST: aspartate aminotransferase; ALP: alkaline phosphatase; Chol: cholesterol; TG: triglyceride; HDL: high-density lipoprotein; LDL: low density li		(6:6)	(18.1)	(17.6)	(18.1)	(11.8)		(1.7.1)	(14.8)	(11.8)	(15.0)	(15.2)		(10.9)	(16.1) (16.4) (16.1)
	GGT: gamn **Evnote: F	ia-glutamyl ti eonle with hi	ransferase; ALT:	alanine amin	otransferas:	e; AST: aspartate	aminotransfe	rase; ALP: alkalir	ne phosphatas	se; Chol: choleste	ol; TG: triglyc	eride; HDL: high	-density lipop	rotein; LDL	: low den	sity lipo	orotein

 Table 2
 Basic biochemical variables of the study population base on livel

Table 3	Cut off p	oint, accuracy	, sensitivity,	specificity,	positive likelihood	d ratio, and negative	likelihood ratio for liver en	zymes
		,		1 //		,		

Liver enzymes	Cut off	Aucracy	Sensetivity	Specificity	LR+	LR-
GGT	21.8	0.78	0.50	0.61	1.28	0.81
ALT	21.3	0.53	0.51	0.52	1.02	0.98
AST	20.0	0.66	0.52	0.53	1.02	0.98
ALP	194	0.68	0.50	0.55	1.12	0.91

GGT: gamma-glutamyl transferase; ALT: alanine aminotransferase; AST: aspartate aminotransferase; ALP: alkaline phosphatase



Fig. 2 Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) for liver enzymes

according to th	he liver enzym	ies using CPHM and	PSIM analysis
Variables		Full population	Propensity score matching
		aHR	aHR
		(95% CI)	(95% CI)
GGT	N0	1	1
	Yes	1.34(1.11–1.63)	1.48(1.22–1.78)
	p-value	0.004	0.002
Goodness of fit	R ² peso	0.138	0.001
index	AIC	3515.909	1497.967
	BIC	3711.570	1533.68
ALT	NO	1	1
	Yes	1.12(0.92-1.35)	1.07(0.98–1.17)
	p-value	0.236	0.136
Goodness of fit	R ² peso	0.145	0.004
index	AIC	8781.022	6497.967
	BIC	9153.064	7533.68
AST	NO	1	1
	Yes	1.07(0.89-1.29)	1.03(0.95-1.12)
	p-value	0.860	0.474
Goodness of fit	R ² peso	0.071	0.006
index	AIC	8777.768	687.967
	BIC	9142.791	5433.68
ALP	NO	1	1
	Yes	0.98(0.82-1.17)	0.99 (0.91–1.08)
	p-value	0.860	0.898
Goodness of fit	R ² peso	0.040	0.002
index	AIC	8780.724	457.967
	BIC	9152.766	6544.68

 Table 4
 Adjusted Hazard ratio (aHR) and 95% CI of the HTN

 according to the liver enzymes using CPHM and PSM analysis

Variable name	The stan-
	dardized
	mean
	difference
Age group	0.28
Gender	0.26
Residence type	0.17
Education Years	0.16
Socio-economic status	0.13
Physical activity	0.11
Alcohol consumption	0.18
Cholesterol	0.14
Triglyceride	0.14
High-density lipoprotein	0.14
Low-density lipoprotein	0.15
Body mass index	0.15
Smoking status	0.19
Healthy Eating Index	0.25
Depression	0.25
Diabetes mellitus	0.13
Cardiovascular disease	0.25
dol	0.12
Salt use	0.11
Used oil type	0.34
Family hypertension	0.11

 Table 5
 The standardized mean difference after propensity

score matching



Fig. 3 Forest plot of HR (95% CIs) before and after PSM for Age, BMI, and sex

Abbreviation: aHR: Adjusted Hazard ratio ;ALT: alanine aminotransferase; AST: aspartate aminotransferase; GGT: gamma-glutamyl transferase; ALP: alkaline phosphatase

*Adjusted for variables: Age group; Gender; Residence Type; Education Years; Socio-economic status; Physical Activity ; Alcohol Consumption; cholesterol; triglyceride; high-density lipoprotein; low-density lipoprotein; body mass index; Smoking status; Healthy Nutrition Index; Depression; diabetes mellitus; cardiovascular disease; Has Job; Salt Use; Oil Type; Family medical history. including age, BMI, lipids, smoking, physical activities and etc. to examine the relationship between HTN and liver enzymes.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the higher serum GGT level was positively associated with the prevalence of HTN in Kurdish adults. This study showed that GGT has a potential diagnostic value for HTN. Therefore, monitoring GGT levels is helpful in the early detection of HTN. Further studies are needed to confirm the mechanisms between increased liver enzymes and develop HTN in the general population.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s12872-022-02884-3.

Supplementary Material 1: Association between Serum Liver Enzymes and hypertension using Propensity Score Matching Analysis: Evidence from a Large Kurdish Cohort

Acknowledgements

The authors are deeply grateful to the investigators of PERSIAN for their valuable support in designing the methods and providing and developing the questionnaire, as well as interviewers, RaNCD staff, and Ravansar's population who have cooperated in gathering the data and participating in this research. This study was supported by the Ministry of Health and Medical Education of Iran, and Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences (Grant No.: 92472) supported this study.

Author contributions

Research idea and study design: MT, MM and FN and SHR and MK; Data acquisition: MT, MM and FN and SHR; Data analysis/interpretation: MT, MM and FN and SHR; Statistical analysis: MT, MM and FN and SHR; Supervision and mentorship: MT, MM and FN and SHR and MK. Each author contributed important intellectual content during manuscript drafting or revision and accepts accountability for the overall work by ensuring that questions pertaining to the accuracy or integrity of any portion of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved. All authors read and approved the fnal manuscript.

Funding

This study has no funding sources.

Data availability

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request. All the information on how to access the RaNCD public data archive, with a list of current proposals and papers under preparation, can be found on our website: www.persiancohort. com.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The Ethics Committee of Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences approved the study (IR.KUMS.REC.1399.1168). Also, all methods in this study were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations. Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in this study.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 30 June 2022 / Accepted: 6 October 2022 Published online: 10 November 2022

References

- Samvat T, Hodjatzadeh A, Naderi A. Guide to diagnosis, evaluation & treatment of hypertension for doctors. Tehran: Seda Publication; 2000. pp. 39–45.
- Delavari A, Alaoddini AS F. National Profile Of Noncommunicable Disease Risk Factors In The I.R.Of Iran. Ministry Of Health & Medical Education, Center For Disease Control. 2005; Available from: https://www.who.int/ncds/surveillance/ steps/IR_IranSTEPSReport.pdf.
- Amini S, et al. Epidemiological status of suicide in the Middle East and North Africa countries (MENA) from 1990 to 2017. Clin Epidemiol Global Health. 2021;9:299–303.
- Lim SS, et al. A comparative risk assessment of burden of disease and injury attributable to 67 risk factors and risk factor clusters in 21 regions, 1990–2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. The lancet. 2012;380(9859):2224–60.
- Azizi F, Hatami H, Janghorbani M. Epidemiology and control of common diseases in Iran. Tehran. Khosravi Publisher; 2004.
- Mirzazadeh A, et al. The prevalence of obesity in Iran in recent decade; a systematic review and meta-analysis study. Iran J public health. 2009;38(3):1–11.
- Goodarzi M, et al. Hypertension prevalence in over 18-year-old population of Zabol. Razi J Med Sci. 2004;11(43):821–7.
- Gupta R, et al. Prevalence and determinants of hypertension in the urban population of Jaipur in western India. J Hypertens. 1995;13(10):1193–200.
- Singh R, et al. Epidemiological study of hypertension and its determinants in an urban population of North India. J Hum Hypertens. 1997;11(10):679–85.
- Songc H, Dai L. Case-control analysis of the risk factor of hypertension among rural population of Henan province. Chin J Clin Rehabilitation. 2005;19(35):26–7.
- Bautista LE, et al., Risk factors associated to the prevalence of hypertension among adults in Bucamaranga, Colombia. Salud publica de Mexico, 2002. 44(5): pp. 399–405.
- 12. Lee M, Entzming L. Risk factor of hypertension & correlates of pressure in memorial hospital, Thailand. J Med Assoc Thai. 2006;8(89):1213–21.
- Rahman S, et al. Association between serum liver enzymes and hypertension: a cross-sectional study in Bangladeshi adults. BMC Cardiovasc Disord. 2020;20(1):1–7.
- Hanley AJ, et al. Elevations in markers of liver injury and risk of type 2 diabetes: the insulin resistance atherosclerosis study. Diabetes. 2004;53(10):2623–32.
- Clark JM, Brancati FL, Diehl AM. The prevalence and etiology of elevated aminotransferase levels in the United States. Am J Gastroenterol. 2003;98(5):960–7.
- 16. Clark JM, Diehl AM. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: an underrecognized cause of cryptogenic cirrhosis. JAMA. 2003;289(22):3000–4.
- 17. Whitfield J. Gamma glutamyl transferase. Crit Rev Clin Lab Sci. 2001;38(4):263–355.
- Wroblewski F, Ladue JS, Serum glutamic pyruvic transaminase in cardiac and hepatic disease. Proceedings of the Society for Experimental Biology and Medicine, 1956. 91(4): p. 569–571.
- Wróblewski F, LaDue JS. Serum glutamic oxalacetic aminopherase (transaminase) in hepatitis. JAMA. 1956;160(13):1130–4.
- Tonelli M, et al. Relation between alkaline phosphatase, serum phosphate, and all-cause or cardiovascular mortality. Circulation. 2009;120(18):1784–92.
- Lin C, et al. Association between sleep disorders and hypertension in Taiwan: a nationwide population-based retrospective cohort study. J Hum Hypertens. 2017;31(3):220–4.
- 22. Oori MJ, et al. Prevalence of HTN in Iran: Meta-analysis of Published Studies in 2004–2018. Curr Hypertens reviews. 2019;15(2):113–22.
- 23. Kohsari M, et al., *Liver Enzymes and Their Association with Some Cardiometabolic Diseases: Evidence from a Large Kurdish Cohort.* BioMed Research International, 2021. 2021.
- GRINSTEIN-WEISS M, et al. Financial education and savings outcomes for low - income IDA participants: Does age make a difference? J Consum Aff. 2015;49(1):156–85.

- 26. Whelton PK, et al., 2017 ACC/AHA/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/AGS/APhA/ASH/ASPC/ NMA/PCNA/NMA/PCNA guideline for the prevention, detection, evaluation, and management of high blood pressure in adults: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2018. 71(19): p. e127-e248.
- Pasdar Y, et al. Cohort profile: Ravansar Non-Communicable Disease cohort study: the first cohort study in a Kurdish population. Int J Epidemiol. 2019;48(3):682–3 f.
- Kohsari M, et al., Liver Enzymes and Their Association with Some Cardiometabolic Diseases: Evidence from a Large Kurdish Cohort. BioMed Research International, 2021. 2021: p. 5584452.
- 29. Liu C-F, et al. The associations between liver enzymes and the risk of metabolic syndrome in the elderly. Exp Gerontol. 2018;106:132–6.
- 30. Park E-O, et al. The associations between liver enzymes and cardiovascular risk factors in adults with mild dyslipidemia. J Clin Med. 2020;9(4):1147.
- Bonnet F, et al. Gamma-glutamyltransferase, fatty liver index and hepatic insulin resistance are associated with incident hypertension in two longitudinal studies. J Hypertens. 2017;35(3):493–500.
- Dan S, et al. Association between serum gamma-glutamyl transferase level and hypertension in Indian adults: a population based cross-sectional study. North Am J Med Sci. 2012;4(10):496.

- Kotani K, et al. The association between an increased level of gammaglutamyl transferase and systolic blood pressure in diabetic subjects. Tohoku J Exp Med. 2008;214(4):321–5.
- Cheung BM, et al. Gamma-glutamyl transferase level predicts the development of hypertension in Hong Kong Chinese. Clin Chim Acta. 2011;412(15–16):1326–31.
- Lee D-H, et al., γ-glutamyltransferase is a predictor of incident diabetes and hypertension: the Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults (CARDIA) Study. Clinical chemistry, 2003. 49(8): p. 1358–1366.
- 36. Paolicchi A, et al. Human atherosclerotic plaques contain gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase enzyme activity. Circulation. 2004;109(11):1440–0.
- Jousilahti P, Rastenyte D, Tuomilehto J. Serum gamma-glutamyl transferase, self-reported alcohol drinking, and the risk of stroke. Stroke. 2000;31(8):1851–5.
- Gupta A, et al. Levels of alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate amino transferase (AST) and gamma glutamyl transferase (GGT) in hypertension. Biomed Res-India. 2012;24(1):59–61.
- Preetha S. Estimation of liver function test in hypertension patients. J Pharm Sci Res. 2016;8(8):869.
- Shimizu Y, et al. Association between alkaline phosphatase and hypertension in a rural Japanese population: the Nagasaki Islands study. J Physiol Anthropol. 2013;32(1):1–8.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.