Research



Cobalt-doped double-layer α -Fe₂O₃ nanorod arrays for enhanced photoelectrochemical reduction of Cr(VI)

 $Long Bai^1 \cdot Jueyu Wang^1 \cdot Kuo Yang^1 \cdot Yi Yan^1 \cdot Meitong Jin^1 \cdot Daizong Cui^1 \cdot Min Zhao^1$

Received: 11 November 2022 / Accepted: 31 January 2023

© The Author(s) 2023

Abstract

Element doping is an important method for improving the performance levels of photoelectrochemical (PEC) cells. Nevertheless, to date, the PEC conversion efficiency and photocurrent characteristics of the available photoanodes remain very low. In this study, cobalt (Co) was selectively doped into the bottom and/or top layers of double-layered α -Fe₂O₃ nanorod arrays grown on conductive transparent substrates (F:SnO₂, FTO) via a two-step hydrothermal method; this process was performed to enhance the charge transfer ability and thus significantly improve the PEC performance. The light response capabilities of all α -Fe₂O₃ films were evaluated by an electrochemical workstation under dark or visible light irradiation conditions. The sample of Co doped in the bottom layer exhibited a high photoelectrochemical performance, achieving a current density of 1.37 mA/cm² at + 1.0 V versus saturated calomel electrode (SCE); additionally, the sample exhibited a photoelectric synergistic ability to reduce Cr(VI) in an aqueous solution, with 84.85% reduction in 180 min. Under the influence of the electric field inside the double-layer electrode, the photoexcited electrons and holes are transferred to the surfaces of the FTO substrate and the photoanode, increasing the current density and enhancing Cr(VI) reduction. The results of this study offer an alternative approach for designing novel photoanodes with improved PEC performance levels by engineering the electron density distribution and band structure for efficient carrier separation; the results may provide new solutions in heavy metal reduction and contaminant degradation projects.

Keywords α -Fe₂O₃ nanorods \cdot Selective doping \cdot Photoanode \cdot Cr(VI) reduction

Introduction

Introducing a photoelectrochemical system composed of a TiO₂ photoelectrode to split water has opened a new field for semiconductor photocatalysis research [1]. Since then, semiconductor materials, such as TiO₂, α -Fe₂O₃, ZnO, WO₃, CdS, and BiVO₄, have been developed for use in photoelectron catalysis [2–6]. Hematite (α -Fe₂O₃) is one of the most

[⊠] Daizong Cui, siyu19831114@163.com; ⊠ Min Zhao, 82191513@163.com | ¹College of Life Science, Northeast Forestry University, Harbin, China.



Long Bai and Jueyu Wang contributed equally to this work.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s11671-023-03785-w.

promising photoelectric semiconductors because of its suitable bandgap (2.0–2.2 eV), stable phases, and abundance in nature. However, the applicability of hematite has been limited by its intrinsic properties, such as its low conductivity, short exited-state lifetime (< 10 ps), and short hole-diffusion length (2–4 nm) [7–9].

The usual approaches for overcoming these limitations and increasing the photoelectrochemical efficiency of α -Fe₂O₃ involve forming heterojunctions by combining two semiconductors, growing nanoparticles, and doping with foreign atoms [10, 11]. Doped elements greatly improve the conductivity of α -Fe₂O₃ by adding mobile charge carriers; the common doping transition metal elements include Ti⁴⁺, Si⁴⁺, Sn⁴⁺, Ni²⁺, and Co²⁺ [12–18]. Their use provides the most dispersed conduction band (CB) density of states, reduces the effective mass of electrons, and increases electron transfer. In addition, the optical and electrical properties of metal oxides can be improved by doping; doping involves adding external dopants to produce inherent defects. A typical example is that the photoelectrochemical (PEC) properties of Si-doped α -Fe₂O₃ nanofilms have improved. The optical current density exceeds 2.7 mA/cm² at + 1.23 V versus reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) [19]. Since Co and Ni are in the same group and cycle as Fe, and because the three elements have similar chemical properties, doping Co and Ni has been widely investigated; this process may increase the carrier lifetime and extend the absorption range of α -Fe₂O₃ photocatalysts. The in situ doping in α -Fe₂O₃ has demonstrated that the addition of Co or Ni minimally impacts the structure of α-Fe₂O₃ [20, 21]. A study of the influences of different doping elements, including Ni²⁺, Co²⁺, Ru³⁺, Ce³⁺, and Pd²⁺, on electrode performance shows that the doping of Ni and Co enhances the electrocatalytic activity of the electrode; in particular, the doping of Ni greatly improves the degradation efficiency of the electrode. This same study has confirmed that Ni and Co enter the lattice of α -Fe₂O₃ and increase its carrier density [22, 23]. Different doping concentrations have been shown to affect the performance of the electrode; although the carrier density increases with increasing doping content, the surrounding carrier density and the conductivity both decrease [18, 24].

The tailoring of the nanostructure of the α -Fe₂O₃ crystal is another important factor affecting the electrochemical characteristics and catalytic activity of the electrode; one suitable approach involves building multispacer nano- α -Fe₂O₃ films with large specific surface areas and good structural stabilities [25, 26]. One-dimensional α -Fe₂O₃ nanostructures, such as nanowires, nanorods, and nanotubes, have better optical properties and electrocatalytic performance levels because their shorter diffusion lengths help to effectively transport holes to the surface [27]. Increasing the number of array layers increases the specific surface area of the electrode and the number of catalytic active sites, tailoring the optical and electronic properties of the semiconductor. For example, a new Fe-doped NiCoP hyperbranched array structure grown on foam nickel (the secondary one-dimensional nanowire array grows on the primary two-dimensional nanosheet array) has been established; this structure maximizes the utilization of catalytic active sites and provides a large electrolyte contact surface area, significantly improving the catalytic activity [28]. Therefore, the electrocatalytic performance levels of electrode materials can be improved by using electrode materials with different structures.

By considering the above research, in this paper, a new, simple, and efficient Co-doped bilayer is prepared by hydrothermal synthesis regarding structural and doping modifications of α -Fe₂O₃ nanorod arrays. We construct a monolayer on the surface of fluoride-doped tin dioxide conductive glass (FTO) by a hydrothermal method. The α -Fe₂O₃ nanorod array structure, based on a single layer, continues to be prepared by hydrothermal synthesis technology to obtain the α -Fe₂O₃ electrode. This double-layer structure greatly improves the electron transfer efficiency of α -Fe₂O₃. Additionally, with doping modification technology as a guide, doped Co nanoparticles are used to improve the catalytic performance of the double-layer α -Fe₂O₃ electrode. The double layer obtained by the combination of structural and doping modifications of the α -Fe₂O₃ electrode has good catalytic ability and lower charge transfer impedance. This layer is applied for reducing Cr(VI) through photoelectric synergy; the reduction rate is fast and the effect is good, providing a new idea for the research and development of electrode modification.

Experimental

Synthesis of the α -Fe₂O₃ nanorod arrays

Figure 1 shows the process flowchart for the hydrothermal synthesis of α -Fe₂O₃ nanorod arrays. The material was grown on fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO; 7 Ω /sq, Top Vendor Science & Technology Co., Ltd.)-coated glass substrates using a hydrothermal method developed by Bai [29]. After being ultrasonically cleaned in deionized water and then an acetone and ethanol solution, the FTO substrate was dried with N₂ before use; the treated FTO was stored under ethanol. To make the undoped base α -Fe₂O₃, 4.8653 g ferric chloride (FeCl₃·6H₂O, \geq 99.0%, Tianjin Yongda Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd.) and



Fig. 1 Schematic preparation of α -Fe₂O₃ nanorod arrays

10.1988 g sodium nitrate (NaNO₃, \geq 99.0%, Tianjin Yongda Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd.) were added to 120 mL of deionized water. The pH of the mixture was adjusted to 1.5 using hydrochloric acid (HCl, 36–38 wt.%, Tianjin Yongda Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd.) and stirred for 30 min. A piece of cleaned FTO substrate was placed inside a 250 mL Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave with its conductive side facing down; then, the solution was transferred into the autoclave and heated at 95 °C for 10 h. After cooling to room temperature, the FTO was removed from the autoclave, washed with plenty of deionized water, and dried at 70 °C for 15 min. Finally, all of the organic materials in the FTO were removed by calcination at 550 °C for 30 min under an air atmosphere in a muffle furnace (SX2, Shanghai Zhetai Machinery Manufacturing Co., Ltd.); then, the specimen was annealed at 700 °C for 2 h to form calcined α -Fe₂O₃ [30]. The undoped, base α -Fe₂O₃ nanorod arrays were labeled FTO/ α -Fe₂O₃ (F), and the Co-doped sample was labeled FTO/ α -Fe₂O₃:Co (FC).

Synthesis of double-layered α -Fe₂O₃ photoanodes with selective Co doping

Hydrothermal processing and two-step annealing were used to prepare the Co-doped α -Fe₂O₃ nanorod arrays on the FTO substrate [31]; each step was almost the same as those described above. All the α -Fe₂O₃ nanorod arrays were doped with 6% Co (CoCl₂, \geq 99.7%, Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd.) according to the method in the literature [32]. The double-layer α -Fe₂O₃ nanorod arrays prepared in this manner were labeled as FTO/ α -Fe₂O₃ (FF), FTO/ α -Fe₂O₃(α -Fe₂O₃ (FF), FTO/ α -Fe₂O₃(α -Fe₂O₃ (FC)), FTO/ α -Fe₂O₃(α -Fe₂O₃ (FC)) according to the arrangement of layers.

Material characterization

We used scanning electron microscopy (SEM; JSM-7500F) to examine the morphologies of all samples and characterized their crystal structures using powder X-ray diffraction (XRD; Bruker AXS D8 Advance diffractometer with a Cu Kα source,

 λ = 1.5406 Å). The chemical compositions of the materials were determined using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS; Kratos Axis Ultra DLD) with monochromatic Al Ka radiation, and the charge calibration compensated for the charge effect by correcting the C 1s line of the variable carbon setting to 284.8 eV. A diffuse reflectance spectrometer (DRS; EV300 UV–Vis, Thermo Scientific Instruments LLC, USA) was used to test the absorption spectrum of the mineral electrode, with BaSO₄ serving as a blank for comparison.

PEC and electrochemical characterization

Electrochemical tests were performed using a three-electrode electrochemical system on an electrochemical workstation (CHI760E, Shanghai Chenhua Instrument Co., Ltd, China) with an aqueous electrolyte solution of 0.5 M Na₂SO₄ at a pH of 3.0. The working electrode was the prepared hematite electrodes, a platinum foil electrode was used as the counter electrode, and a saturated calomel electrode was used as the reference electrode. Acetic acid was used as a hole-trapping agent, and the light source for simulating visible light was a 350 W Xe lamp. The optical density at the electrode surface was measured to be approximately 100 mW/cm², which was sufficient to allow us to study the electrochemical properties of the bilayer nanostructures. Linear sweep voltammetry (LSV), electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS), and Mott–Schottky (M–S) were used to describe the electrochemical properties of the photoanode. The starting and ending voltages of LSV were 0 V and + 1.0 V versus SCE, respectively, with a scanning speed of 0.1 mV/s and a sampling interval of 1 mV. To further understand the effect of Co doping on the charge transfer efficiency, we calculated the applied bias photon-to-current efficiency (ABPE) from the J–V curves using the following equation:

$$ABPE = I \times (1.23 - |V|)/P$$
(1)

where / is the photocurrent density at potential V and P is the simulated light intensity (100 mW/cm²).

The EIS potential was established as the open circuit voltage (OCP) of the working electrode, the frequency range was 10⁵ Hz to 1 Hz, and a 5 mV amplitude. The EIS test data of the anode under different experimental conditions were sorted as the Bode diagram, while the polarization internal resistance (RP) of the system was calculated using the equivalent circuit simulation program built in the instrument. M–S measurements were performed in a three-electrode configuration at a frequency of 1 kHz, and the start and end potentials were set in the range of 0.5–1.0 V for the open circuit voltage and 0.01 V for the amplitude; the slope from the M–S plots was used to estimate the carrier densities (Nd) in semiconductors using the following equation:

$$1/C_{\rm sc}^2 = \left[2/e\varepsilon_r\varepsilon_0 N_d A^2\right] \left(E - E_{\rm fb} - kT/e\right)$$
⁽²⁾

where C_{sc} is the capacitance, *e* is the electron charge (1.602 × 10⁻¹⁹ C), ε_r is the vacuum permittivity (8.854 × 10⁻¹² F/cm), ε_0 is the dielectric constant of the material (80), *A* is the working electrode area (25 cm²), *E* is the electrode potential, E_{fb} is the flat band potential, *k* is the Boltzmann constant (1.38 × 10⁻²³ J/K), and *T* is the thermodynamic temperature.

Reduction of Cr(VI) by the photoelectric electrodes

Amperometric i–t curve (i–t) and CV were used to evaluate the effects of oxygen, the cavity trapping agent, and the pH level on chromium reduction, and N_2 was introduced 30 min before the test to purify the dissolved oxygen system for the experimental group. I–t curves were measured at + 0.5 V versus SCE, while the pH level of the reaction system was adjusted to 3–5 with hydrochloric acid; the CV parameters were set to a potential range of – 0.8 to + 0.5 V. The scanning speed was 100 mV/s (reverse scanning), and continuous cyclic scanning was performed until the curve was stabilized. The decrease in Cr(VI) concentration with time was monitored spectrophotometrically at 540 nm according to the approach adopted by Bennett [33]. The measurements were initiated after mixing the chromium reagent 1,5-diphenylcarbohydrazide with 5 mL of the filtrate for 5 min. The reduction rate of Cr(VI) was calculated using the following formula:

$$R = (c_0 - c_t) / c_0 \times 100\%$$
(3)

The CV curve was used to test the reduction of Cr(VI) every 20 min. In contrast, the rest of the test conditions remained the same for the electrochemical technology as previously, and the voltage range was changed to -0.8 to 0 V versus SCE.

Results and discussion

Morphology

The double-layered α-Fe₂O₃ nanorod arrays were fabricated via a two-step hydrothermal method, and the singlelayered undoped and co-doped α -Fe₂O₃ nanorods using a one-step method as a reference. After annealing at 700 °C for 2 h, the α -Fe₂O₃ films turn red (Supplementary Fig. S1). The top- (Fig. 2) and side-view (inset of Fig. 2) SEM images show that the doped and undoped thin films comprise numerous nanorods with average lengths of 400–900 nm and diameters of approximately 50 nm. It is easily acceptable that the thickness of the bottom α -Fe₂O₃ layer is similar to that of the single-layered α -Fe₂O₃ film, given the same aqueous growth process as reported in a previous study [34]. The nanorod lengths increase with increasing doping in double-layered nanorods (Fig. 2c-f). The morphologies of the single and double layers are very similar in the nanorods from all groups, with the only difference being the thickness. The uniform distribution of vertically aligned nanorods across the FTO substrate demonstrates the suitability of the chosen synthesis approach. Representative morphology changes due to the doping elements are shown in the SEM images in Fig. 2b. With Co doping, the layer thickness decreases from 500 nm (Fig. 2a, F) to 400 nm (Fig. 2b, FC). However, the underlying films have the same crystal structure, thickness, and composition (Fe, O, and Si) as the single-layer film; thus, the probable cause is the different substrate, as far as we know that the film thickness plays a trade-off effect on optical absorption and charge carrier transport [20]. With F or FC as the substrate, the thickness of the upper film increases significantly (Fig. 2c-f). By comparing the SEM photographs and results in the literature, the film thicknesses measured from the cross section should be reliable.

In this study, the three factors that affect the semiconductor performance are the thickness of the as-synthesized film, the degree of Co doping, and whether the film is a monolayer or bilayer. The above results indicate that Co is successfully doped into the α -Fe₂O₃ nanorod films and changes the carrier mobility characteristics of the films. Figure 6 shows the schematic energy diagram of Co-doped double-layered α -Fe₂O₃ photoanodes; research shows that the increase in the charge carrier density increases the Fermi level and that the different Fermi energy levels of the bilayer films lead to band bending, improving the charge transfer ability [35]. In addition, the bilayer structure increases the specific surface area of the sample. Thus, these Co-doped α -Fe₂O₃ thin films show better electrochemical properties than single-layer α -Fe₂O₃ nanorod films.

Characterization of the α -Fe₂O₃ nanorod arrays

XRD and XPS were used to confirm the structure and chemical states of the modified electrodes. Figure 3a shows the XRD patterns of different electrodes. According to the XRD patterns, the diffraction peaks are 24.1°, 33.1°, 35.6°, 49.4°, 54.0°, and 63.9°, and the corresponding substances are the α -Fe₂O₃ phase (JCPDS card No.85-0599), (012), (104), (110), (024), (116), and (300) crystal planes, respectively [36, 37]. The diffraction peaks found in the XRD patterns match those of the hematite phase, indicating pure phases of α -Fe₂O₃ in these double-layered photoanodes. The relatively high intensity of the (110) peak designates a strong preferential orientation of the (110) axis vertical to the substrate. The peak value of the diffraction peak of (110) crystal plane is the highest, indicating that the prepared hematite mainly exposes (110) crystal plane, and the (110) crystal plane is more conducive to the charge of the photogenerated carrier [38]. Co doping does not affect the change of the α -Fe₂O₃ phase. However, a careful examination demonstrates that the peak intensities associated with the (012), (104), (116), and (300) planes slightly decrease with Co doping into the α -Fe₂O₃ layers; this phenomenon is likely related to Co doping inhibiting the growth of the nanorods along the (110) direction, changing the film thickness, as observed in the SEM images. To confirm the existence of Fe, Co, and O elements in the samples and their oxidation state for later analysis of PEC performance and mechanism, the XPS spectrum was performed as shown in Fig. 3b-e and Fig. S2–S4. The XPS results show that obtained the main product comprises Fe and O (Fig. 3b). Figure 3c displays the Fe 2p XPS spectra of the different photoanodes; the two strong features of Fe $2p_{3/2}$ and Fe $2p_{1/2}$ are observed, which are consistent with the literature [39, 40], corresponding to Fe³⁺ in α -Fe₂O₃ nanorods; the lack of a Fe²⁺ satellite peak at 715.5 eV shows that there are no Fe²⁺ impurities in these samples. Although the Fe 2p_{3/2} and Fe 2p_{1/2} peaks of all the photoanodes show very similar profiles, the Fe 2p_{3/2} peak of FF is 710.8 eV, FCF 710.6 eV, FFC 710.4 eV, and FCFC 710.0 eV in Fig. S2, indicating that the doping of Co causes the peak position of Fe 2p to move forward. Figure 3d shows the O 1s spectra of the α -Fe₂O₃ were fitted



Fig. 2 SEM of **a** FTO/ α -Fe₂O₃, **b** FTO/ α -Fe₂O₃:Co, **c** FTO/ α -Fe₂O₃, **d** FTO/ α -Fe₂O₃:Co/ α -Fe₂O₃, **e** FTO/ α -Fe₂O₃:Co, and **f** FTO/ α -Fe₂O₃:Co/ α -Fe₂

into two peaks at approximately 530.2 eV and 531.4 eV, corresponding to lattice oxygen species of α -Fe₂O₃ and FTO, respectively. Moreover, Fig. 3d displays the Co $2p_{3/2}$ peaks of all of Co doing photoanodes the binding energy of 784.0 eV indicates the Co $2p_{3/2}$ peaks revealing that the oxidation state of Co is Co 2p. Co doping and the two-step method may change the peaks of O 1s and Co $2p_{3/2}$ slightly (Fig. S3, S4). The O 1s spectra of FF and F have two peaks,



Fig. 3 a XRD patterns b XPS survey c Fe 2p XPS core-level spectrum d Co 2p XPS core-level spectrum e O 1s XPS core-level spectrum, and f UV-Vis DRS of different photoelectrodes

but there are three in FCF, FFC, FFFC, and FCFC, indicating that the existence of Co affects the Fe–O bond, producing Co–O bond [41, 42]. These results clearly confirm the transfer of electrons from Co to α -Fe₂O₃, improving the carrier transfer efficiency regarding photocatalytic performance [43, 44].





Figure 3f and Fig. S5 show the DRS spectra of several α -Fe₂O₃ films. These samples show good optical absorption characteristics in the UV visible region (200–800 nm), and the absorption edges of the FCF, FFC, and FC samples are significantly redshifted; this phenomenon may have occurred due to the existence of lattice defects and oxygen vacancies caused by the double-layer structure and the addition of cobalt. The intersection of the tangent of the absorption curve with the X-axis is the absorption threshold (λ g) of the nanoparticles. According to the transformed Kubelka–Munk function, the band gap (E_g) = 1240/ λ , and the corresponding band gaps of F, FC, FF, FCF, and FCFC are estimated to be 2.11, 2.10, 2.30, 2.02, 2.00, and 2.15 eV, respectively; thus, the decrease in the band gap observed here may be attributed to the high surface energies of the nanoparticles [45]. Based on the above experimental results, the element doping process and the bilayer structure may have a synergistic effect, improving the carrier transfer efficiency and reducing the width of the band gap of Co-doped α -Fe₂O₃; these aspects improve its photocatalytic performance under visible light.

Electrochemical properties of the α-Fe₂O₃ films

For the redox reaction of semiconductor photoelectrodes to occur, there must be a separation of electron-hole pairs on their surface [46]. The redox reactions that occur on the surfaces of semiconductor photoelectrodes usually originate from the semiconductor-solution interface and with external biasing. The electrochemical test results using α -Fe₂O₃ nanorod arrays as working electrodes are as follows:

LSV (I–V curves) is used to characterize the electrochemical properties of the photoanodes and to study the charge carrier characteristics at the semiconductor–electrolyte interface. Figure 4a shows the LSV collected from the undoped and doped α -Fe₂O₃ electrodes. For the undoped α -Fe₂O₃ photoanode, the current density is approximately 0.24 mA/ cm²; the density increases slightly with element doping (the FC photoanode reaches 0.59 mA/cm²), while all Co-doped photoanodes show more obvious light responses. This phenomenon is attributed to the improvement in visible light absorption and suitable interfacial charge transfer on the doped α -Fe₂O₃. Significantly, the FCF sample shows a maximum photocurrent density of 1.37 mA/cm² at + 1.0 V versus SCE; this value is an enhancement exceeding 2285%, 143%, and 137% relative to the FF (60 μ A/cm²), FCFC (0.96 mA/cm²), and FFC (0.998 mA/cm²) samples, respectively (Table S1 in the Supplementary Materials). This current density is much higher than those seen with the single-layer electrode and doping metal element electrodes; this value has more advantages than some Fe₂O₃ electrodes doped with metal elements (Table S2). The decrease in current density seen with the FF electrode may occur due to the thickening of the film, hindering electron transmission; this phenomenon is attributed to the porosity of the film [47]. The photogenerated

holes on the electrode surface participate in the water oxidation reaction; therefore, several studies reported in the literature have estimated the PEC water hydrolysis light conversion efficiencies (ABPE) for these photoanodes (Fig. 4b and Table S1). The α -Fe₂O₃ materials prepared in this study show better photoconversion efficiencies than those reported at 0.657 V, 0.775 V, and 0.687 V for the FF (0.09%), FFC (0.93%), and FCFC (0.86%) electrodes, respectively. The FCF electrode exhibits an optimal photoconversion efficiency of 1.25% (0.701 V), which is more than 1.34 times and 1.45 times greater than those of the FFC and FCF electrodes, respectively. The ABPE and current density at + 1.0 V versus SCE of the FCF electrode are higher than those of Maitra et al. [48] (Table S2); this FCF photoelectrode shows better performance regarding the light response.

Based on the above results, we perform EIS and M–S using double-layer electrodes to elucidate the effects of Coselective doping on the electronic properties of double-layered α -Fe₂O₃ nanorod films. In the obtained Nyquist diagram (Fig. 4c), Z' and Z" are the real and imaginary parts of the impedance spectrum (z), respectively; these values illustrate the electron transfer dynamics of the FF, FFC, FCFC, and FCF electrodes. All the curves start with a half ring and then increase along the virtual impedance axis. The half-ring diameter of the FCF electrode is the smallest, indicating that the charge transfer speed of the FCF is the fastest. This phenomenon shows the equivalent circuit obtained from EIS spectrum analysis using ZSimpWin software (Ametek SI). In the equivalent circuit, the solution resistance (Rs) and charge transfer resistance (Rct) represent the internal and transfer resistance characteristics of the double-layer electrode, respectively. Rs represents the comprehensive resistance and includes the ionic resistance of the electrolyte, the inherent resistance of the electrode, and the contact resistance of the active material–collector interface. There is a Faraday reaction related to Rct. The calculated values of Rs and Rct are given in Table S3, and they show that the FCF electrode has lower Rs and Rct values than the FF, FFC, and FCFC electrodes, indicating faster reaction kinetics.

The slopes of the Mott–Schottky plots of the α -Fe₂O₃ electrodes (Fig. 4d) demonstrate their p-type semiconductor properties. Notably, the slopes of the remaining groups are smaller than those of α -Fe₂O₃; the smaller the slope is, the higher the electron density. The charge carrier density of FCF calculated using the Mott–Schottky equation is almost one order of magnitude higher than those of other electrodes (2.51×10^{21} cm⁻³, 3.35×10^{20} cm⁻³ (FF), 3.22×10^{20} cm⁻³ (FFC), and 8.62×10^{20} cm⁻³ (FCFC)) (Table S4). Clearly, the carrier densities significantly increase with the introduction of Co dopants; this phenomenon should give rise to the increased electrical conductivity and then the improved charge transfer ability [12] and is one of the reasons for PEC performance enhancement.

Photocatalytic performance levels of the α-Fe₂O₃ films

The amount of dissolved oxygen and the pH level are important factors affecting the reduction efficiency in the photoelectric reduction of Cr(VI) [49, 50]. Reportedly, if there is dissolved oxygen in the reaction system, the electron transfer resistance (Rs) of the electrolyte and the surface resistance of the electrode increase significantly, hindering electron transfer. As the reaction of photogenerated electrons with dissolved oxygen in the system significantly reduces the reduction of Cr(VI), the influences of dissolved oxygen on the performance levels of the electrodes must be evaluated.

Since the FCF electrode shows the best electrochemical performance in our tests, we have chosen it for this part of the study. As Fig. 5a shows that after the dissolved oxygen is removed, the photocurrent of the system increases significantly; this phenomenon may accelerate the rate of reduction in Cr(VI), acetic acid has a better effect as a hole-trapping agent. As Fig. 5b shows, the rate of reduction in Cr(VI) under different pH conditions is best at a pH level of 3.0. The state of Cr(VI) is closely related to the pH of the system and the species and concentrations of other ions present. At low pH levels, there are many protons in the solution that compete with Cr(VI) for electrons, affecting the reduction of Cr(VI). The protonated and positively charged photocatalyst surface is more conducive to the adsorption of $HCrO_4^-$, greatly promoting the reduction of Cr(VI) at lower pH values. The decrease in the positive charge and the increase in the negative charge on the surface of the photocatalyst due to the increase in pH inhibit the adsorption of CrO_4^{-2-} , weakening the reduction of Cr(VI). The above results indicate that the reduction of Cr(VI) is best at pH 3.0 and with a deaerated solution.

The effects of the different PEC, electrochemical (EC), and photocatalytic (PC) processes on the reduction of Cr(VI) are summarized in Fig. 5c. Under PC treatment conditions (light only), approximately 2.3% of the Cr(VI) is removed after 1 h. The application of an external bias field, E_{bias} , increases the removal of Cr(VI) significantly, and the removal is further accelerated by simultaneous irradiation. As Fig. 5c shows, EC treatment ($E_{\text{bias}} = +1.0 \text{ V}$ vs. SCE) for 1 h removes approximately 6.0% of the Cr(VI); the PEC treatment group removes up to 59.8% of the Cr(VI) for the electrode at + 1.0 V versus SCE. The reduction rates of the Cr(VI) solution that is treated for 180 min using PC, EC, and PEC are 13.3%, 17.3%, and 84.9%, respectively (Table S5). Under irradiation conditions, a small E_{bias} drives the effective separation of photogenerated

Fig. 5 a Effect of oxygen and organic acid on the i–t curve, b CV and transient photocurrent measured at different pH, c reduction of chromium, and d cyclic voltammetry curve of chromium reduction



charges, which is recombined without an *E*_{bias}. Figure 5d shows the CV curve of Cr(VI) reduction by the FCF electrode over 180 min. The redox peak gradually flattens as the reaction proceeds, corresponding to the reduction of Cr(VI).

Mechanism for the enhanced photocatalytic activity of the doped double-layer materials

Theory indicates that interstitial Co ions acting as electron donors increase the electron density, improving the Fermi levels of semiconductors [51]. Based on the similar valence band (VB) positions detected by XRD and UV–Vis DRS and relevant research scholars [35], it is inferred that Co doping changes only the Fermi level of α -Fe₂O₃ and not the band structure. The Fermi levels of the bilayer α -Fe₂O₃ photoanodes in which both layers are doped with Co are higher than those of the undoped FF electrodes. However, if the bottom and top layers are both doped with Co, the increasing Fermi level does not drive the electron flow [35]. Rather, the selective doping of Co results in different Fermi levels between the two layers, and the bending of the energy band promotes the separation of photoexcited electrons and holes; these electrons and holes are further transferred to the counter electrode and photoanode surface to participate in water redox reactions, improving the PEC performance [52, 53]. This enhanced PEC performance accelerates the formation of hydroxyl radicals from hole-oxidized water, which is conducive to the reduction of Cr(VI) to Cr(III) (Fig. 6). The photoexcited electrons (e⁻) are simultaneously transferred to the cathode through an external loop, where they drive the reduction of Cr(VI).

In this study, we have demonstrated that Co doping and the growth of a double-layer nanostructure improve PEC performance by improving the photocurrent density and ABPE, enhancing the reduction of Cr(VI). Additionally, we recognize that the reduction ability achieved here is lower than that of many other photocatalytic studies. Therefore, the PEC performance of doped double-layer materials must be further improved through various approaches, such as cocatalyst decoration and heterostructure design.

Fig. 6 Schematic diagram of double-layer photoelectrode enhancing chromium reduction



Conclusions

In summary, Co-doped α -Fe₂O₃ nanorod arrays have been successfully prepared through a simple and facile twostep method on a conductive transparent substrate composed of FTO. The Co-doped photoanode in the bottom layer (the FCF electrode) exhibits the highest PEC performance; when the applied voltage is + 1.0 V versus SCE, the current density reaches 1.37 mA/cm² under 350 W Xe lamp illumination, which is 22.85 times that of the undoped α -Fe₂O₃/ α -Fe₂O₃ nanorod film (0.06 mA/cm²) and even much higher than that of the α -Fe₂O₃ nanorod film with both Co-doped layers. Since the doping of Co increases the α -Fe₂O₃ electron density and the bilayer structure increases the specific surface area of the sample, the Fermi energy level in the α -Fe₂O₃:Co layer improves, effectively enhancing the photocurrent response. This phenomenon causes these electrodes to display an enhanced capacity for the PEC reduction of Cr(VI). In this work, we demonstrate that doping Co into the anode and establishing a double-layer structure improve the performance of the photoelectric pole and the applicability of semiconductor mineral photoelectrodes in the future.

Acknowledgements Not applicable.

Author contributions MZ and DZ Cui provided the idea and designed this study. LB and JYW designed the experiments and wrote the manuscript text. KY, YY, and MTJ participated in the manuscript revision. All authors discussed the results and reviewed the manuscript.

Funding This work was supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities by the China government (No. 2572019AA19, 2572020DT03).

Availability of data and materials All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication Not applicable.

Competing interests The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- 1. Fujishima A, Honda K. Electrochemical photolysis of water at a semiconductor electrode. Nature. 1972;238:37–8.
- 2. Zeng Q, Gao Y, Lai L, Chang S, Hu C. Highly improved photoelectrocatalytic efficiency and stability of WO₃ photoanodes by the facile in situ growth of TiO₂ branch overlayers. Nanoscale. 2018;10(28):13393–401.
- 3. Wang FF, Chen CD, Wang W, Kang ML, Gao Y, Chen XB, Zhang J. Internal field engineering of WO₃ by ion channel migration with enhanced photocatalytic oxygen evolution ability. J Mater Chem A. 2021;9(3):1678–91.
- 4. Kang BK, Hussain MB, Cheng XX, Peng C, Wang ZQ. Green electrodeposition synthesis of NiFe-LDH/MoOx/BiVO₄ for efficient water. J Colloid Interface Sci. 2022;626:146–55.
- 5. Nagappagari LR, Lee J, Lee H, Jeong B, Lee K. Energy and environmental applications of Sn⁴⁺/Ti⁴⁺ doped alpha-Fe₂O₃@Cu₂O/CuO photoanode under optimized photoelectrochemical conditions. Environ Pollut. 2021;271:116318.
- 6. Tao SM, Lin LY. Design of efficient mn-doped alpha-Fe₂O₃/Ti-doped alpha-Fe₂O₃ homojunction for catalyzing photoelectrochemical water splitting. Int J Hydrogen Energy. 2020;45(11):6487–99.
- 7. Liu J, Liang C, Zhang H, Tian Z, Zhang S. General strategy for doping impurities (Ge, Si, Mn, Sn, Ti) in hematite nanocrystals. J Phys Chem C. 2012;116(8):4986–92.
- 8. Lee MH, Park JH, Han HS, Song HJ, Cho IS, Noh JH, Hong KS. Nanostructured ti-doped hematite (α-Fe₂O₃) photoanodes for efficient photoelectrochemical water oxidation. Int J Hydrogen Energy. 2014;39(30):17501–7.
- 9. Fu L, Yu HM, Lin YM, Fang WQ. Nanowheat-like alpha-Fe₂O₃@Co-based/Ti foil photoanode with surface defects for enhanced charge carrier separation and photoelectrochemical water splitting. Energy Fuels. 2021;35(21):17956–63.
- 10. Yu Q, Meng X, Tao W, Peng L, Ye J. Hematite films decorated with nanostructured ferric oxyhydroxide as photoanodes for efficient and stable photoelectrochemical water splitting. Adv Func Mater. 2015;25(18):2686–92.
- 11. Liu TY, Ling YC, Yang Y, Finn L, Collazo E, Zhai T, Tong YX, Li Y. Investigation of hematite nanorod-nanoflake morphological transformation and the application of ultrathin nanoflakes for electrochemical devices. Nano Energy. 2015;12:169–77.
- 12. Miao C, Shi T, Xu G, Ji S, Ye C. Photocurrent enhancement for Ti-doped Fe₂O₃ thin film photoanodes by an in situ solid-state reaction method. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces. 2013;5(4):1310–6.
- Hahn NT, Mullins CB. Photoelectrochemical performance of nanostructured Ti- and Sn-doped α-Fe₂O₃ photoanodes. Chem Mater. 2010;22(23):6474–82.
- 14. Cesar I, Kay A, Martinez GJA, Grätzel. Translucent thin film Fe₂O₃ photoanodes for efficient water splitting by sunlight: nanostructuredirecting effect of Si-doping. J Am Chem Soc. 2006;128(14):4582–3.
- 15. Wang X, Peng KQ, Hu Y, Zhang FQ, Hu B, Li L, Wang M, Meng XM, Lee ST. Silicon/hematite core/shell nanowire array decorated with gold nanoparticles for unbiased solar water oxidation. Nano Lett. 2014;14(1):18–23.
- Liu Y, YuZhang Y-XW-D. MoS₂/CdS heterojunction with high photoelectrochemical activity for H-2 evolution under visible light: The role of MoS₂. J Phys Chem C. 2013;117(25):12949–57.
- 17. Hu YS, Kleiman-Shwarsctein A, Forman AJ, Hazen D, Mcfarland EW. Cheminform abstract: Pt-doped α-Fe₂O₃ thin films active for photoelectrochemical water splitting. ChemInform. 2008;20(12):3803–5.
- Sivakumar S, Anusuya D, Khatiwada CP, Sivasubramanian J, Venkatesan A, Soundhirarajan P. Characterizations of diverse mole of pure and Ni-doped α-Fe₂O₃ synthesized nanoparticles through chemical precipitation route. Spectrochim Acta Part A Mol Biomol Spectrosc. 2014;128(15):69–75.
- Suryawanshi MP, Ghorpade UV, Suryawanshi UP, Ha MoonJong. Solution processed porous Fe₂O₃ thin films for solar-driven water splitting. Korean J Mater Res. 2017;27(11):631–5.
- Guo Y, Liu T, Wang N, Luo Q, Lin H, Li J, Jiang Q, Wu L, Guo Z. Ni-doped α-Fe₂O₃ as electron transporting material for planar heterojunction perovskite solar cells with improved efficiency, reduced hysteresis and ultraviolet stability. Nano Energy. 2017;38:193–200.
- Athithan A, Jeyasundari J, Jacob Y. Biological synthesis, physico-chemical characterization of undoped and Co doped α-Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles using tribulus terrestris leaf extract and its antidiabetic, antimicrobial applications. Adv Nat Sci Nanosci Nanotechnol. 2021;12:045003.
- 22. Yang SY, Choo YS, Kim S, Sang KL, Lee J, Park H. Boosting the electrocatalytic activities of SnO₂ electrodes for remediation of aqueous pollutants by doping with various metals. Appl Catal B. 2012;111–112(12):317–25.
- 23. Ikram M, Inayat T, Haider A, Ul-Hamid A, Haider J, Nabgan W, Saeed A, Shahbaz A, Hayat S, Ul-Ain K, Butt AR. Graphene oxide-doped MgO nanostructures for highly efficient dye degradation and bactericidal action. Nanoscale Res Lett. 2021;16(1):56.
- 24. Frydrych J, Machala L, Tucek J, Siskova K, Filip J, Pechousek J, Safarova K, Vondracek M, Seo J, Schneeweiss O. Facile fabrication of tindoped hematite photoelectrodes-effect of doping on magnetic properties and performance for light-induced water splitting. J Mater Chem. 2012;43(22):23232–9.
- 25. Ling Y, Li Y. Review of Sn-doped hematite nanostructures for photoelectrochemical water splitting. Part Part Syst Charact. 2015;31(11):1113–21.

- 26. Zeng S, Tang K, Li T, Liang Z, Wang D, Wang Y, Qi Y, Zhou W. Facile route for the fabrication of porous hematite nanoflowers: Its synthesis, growth mechanism, application in the lithium ion battery, and magnetic and photocatalytic properties. J Phys Chem C. 2008;112(13):4836–43.
- 27. Tamirat AG, Rick J, Dubale AA, Su WN, Hwang BJ, Crespy D, Lv LP, Landfester K. Using hematite for photoelectrochemical water splitting: A review of current progress and challenges. Nanoscale Horizons. 2016;1(4):243–67.
- 28. Qi Y, Zhang QX, Meng SC, Li D, Wei WX, Jiang DL, Chen M. Iron-doped nickle cobalt ternary phosphide hyperbranched hierarchical arrays for efficient overall water splitting. Electrochim Acta. 2020;334:135633.
- 29. Bai SL, Yang XJ, Liu CY, Xiang X, Luo RX, He J, Chen AF. An integrating photoanode of WO₃/Fe₂O₃ heterojunction decorated with NiFe-LDH to improve pec water splitting efficiency. ACS Sustain Chem Eng. 2018;6(10):12906–13.
- 30. Li LZ, Liu CH, Qiu YY, Mitsuzak N, Chen ZD. The influence of the hydrothermal temperature and time on morphology and photoelectrochemical response of alpha-Fe₂O₃ photoanode. J Alloys Compd. 2017;696(5):980–7.
- 31. Mulmudi HK, Mathews N, Dou XC, Xi LF, Pramana SS, Lam YM, Mhaisalkar SG. Controlled growth of hematite (alpha-Fe₂O₃) nanorod array on fluorine doped tin oxide: synthesis and photoelectrochemical properties. Electrochem Commun. 2011;13(9):951–4.
- 32. Cheng F, Li XW. Effects of in situ Co or Ni doping on the photoelectrochemical performance of hematite nanorod arrays. Appl Sci Basel. 2020;10(10):3567.
- 33. Bennett RM, Cordero PRF, Bautista GS, Dedeles GR. Reduction of hexavalent chromium using fungi and bacteria isolated from contaminated soil and water samples. Chem Ecol. 2013;29(4):320–8.
- 34. Shen S, Kronawitter CX, Wheeler DA, Guo P, Lindley SA, Jiang J, Zhang JZ, Guo L, Mao SS. Physical and photoelectrochemical characterization of Ti-doped hematite photoanodes prepared by solution growth. J Mater Chem A. 2013;1(46):14498–506.
- 35. Kong TT, Huang J, Jia XG, Wang WZ, Zhou Y. Selective doping of titanium into double layered hematite nanorod arrays for improved photoelectrochemical water splitting. Appl Surf Sci. 2019;486(30):312–22.
- 36. Kumar V, Ahlawat DS, AarifUl Islam S, Singh A. Ce doping induced modifications in structural, electrical and magnetic behaviour of hematite nanoparticles. Mater Sci Eng, B. 2021;272: 115327.
- 37. Paradzah AT, Maabong-Tau K, Diale M, Krüger TPJ. Photoelectrochemical performance and ultrafast dynamics of photogenerated electrons and holes in highly titanium-doped hematite. Phys Chem Chem Phys. 2020;22(46):27450–7.
- 38. Li C, Luo Z, Wang T, Gong J. Surface, bulk, and interface: Rational design of hematite architecture toward efficient photo-electrochemical water splitting. Adv Mater. 2018;30(30):1707502.
- 39. Deng J, Lv X, Liu J, Zhang H, Nie K, Hong C, Wang J, Sun X, Zhong J, Lee S-T. Thin-layer Fe₂TiO₅ on hematite for efficient solar water oxidation. ACS Nano. 2015;9(5):5348–56.
- 40. Deng J, Lv X, Nie K, Lv X, Sun X, Zhong J. Lowering the onset potential of Fe₂TiO₅/Fe₂O₃ photoanodes by interface structures: F-and Rhbased treatments. ACS Catal. 2017;7(6):4062–9.
- 41. Jiao T, Lu C, Feng K, Deng J, Long D, Zhong J. N and Sn Co-doped hematite photoanodes for efficient solar water oxidation. J Colloid Interface Sci. 2021;585:660–7.
- 42. Sun Z, Fang G, Li J, Mo J, He X, Wang X, Yu Z. Preparation of (Ti, Zr) Co-doped hematite photoanode for enhanced photoelectrochemical water splitting. Chem Phys Lett. 2020;754: 137736.
- 43. Shi Y, Wang J, Wang C, Zhai TT, Bao WJ, Xu JJ, Xia XH, Chen HY. Hot electron of Au nanorods activates the electrocatalysis of hydrogen evolution on MoS₂ nanosheets. J Am Chem Soc. 2015;137(23):7365–70.
- 44. Zhang HX, Li Y, Li MY, Zhang HB, Zhang J. Boosting electrocatalytic hydrogen evolution by plasmon-driven hot-electron excitation. Nanoscale. 2018;10(5):2236–41.
- 45. Wang P, Dong F, Liu M, He H, Huo T, Zhou L, Zhang W. Improving photoelectrochemical reduction of Cr(VI) ions by building α-Fe₂O₃/TiO₂ electrode. Environ Sci Pollut Res. 2018;25:22455–63.
- 46. Monahan NR, Williams KW, Kumar B, Nuckolls C, Zhu XY. Direct observation of entropy-driven electron-hole pair separation at an organic semiconductor interface. Phys Rev Lett. 2015;114(24): 247003.
- 47. Shen H, Lee S, Kang JG, Eom TY, Lee H, Kang C, Han S. Thickness effects on the microstructure and electrical/thermoelectric properties of co-evaporated Bi-Te thin films. J Alloys Compd. 2018;767(30):522–7.
- 48. Maitra S, Sarkar A, Maitra T, Halder S, Kargupta K, Roy S. Solvothermal phase change induced morphology transformation in CdS/CoFe₂O₄@ Fe₂O₃ hierarchical nanosphere arrays as ternary heterojunction photoanodes for solar water splitting. New J Chem. 2021;45(28):12721–37.
- 49. Laid N, Bouanimba N, Ben Ahmede A, Toureche A, Sehili T. Characterization of ZnO and TiO₂ nanopowders and their application for photocatalytic water treatment. Acta Phys Pol, A. 2020;137(3):305–12.
- 50. Chen XR, Jia YH, Shi ZM, Le QC, Li JR, Zhang MX, Liu M, Atrens A. Understanding the discharge behavior of an ultra-high-purity Mg anode for Mg-air primary batteries. J Mater Chem A. 2021;9(37):21387–401.
- 51. Uesugi E, Uchiyama T, Goto H, Ota H, Ueno T, Fujiwara H, Terashima K, Yokoya T, Matsui F, Akimitsu J, Kobayashi K, Kubozono Y. Fermi level tuning of Ag-doped Bi₂Se₃ topological insulator. Sci Rep. 2019;9(1):5376.
- 52. Lv F, Yao YQ, Xu CY, Liu DY, Liao LP, Wang G, Zhou GD, Zhao XS, Liu DB, Yang XD, Song QL. Elimination of charge transport layers in highperformance perovskite solar cells by band bending. ACS Appl Energy Mater. 2021;4(2):1294–301.
- 53. Zhang K, Liu JL, Wang LY, Jin BJ, Yang XF, Zhang SL, Park JH. Near-complete suppression of oxygen evolution for photoelectrochemical H₂O oxidative H₂O₂ synthesis. J Am Chem Soc. 2020;142(19):8641–8.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.