NANO EXPRESS

Open Access



Destructive Clustering of Metal Nanoparticles in Chalcogenide and Oxide Glassy Matrices

M. V. Shpotyuk^{1*}, O. I. Shpotyuk^{2,3,4}, J. Cebulski⁵ and S. Kozyukhin⁶

Abstract

The energetic χ -criterion is developed to parameterize difference in the origin of high-order optical non-linearity associated with metallic atoms (Cu, Ag, Au) embedded destructively in oxide- and chalcogenide glasses. Within this approach, it is unambiguously proved that covalent-bonded networks of *soft* semiconductor chalcogenides exemplified by binary As(Ge)–S(Se) glasses differ essentially from those typical for *hard* dielectric oxides like vitreous silica by impossibility to accommodate pure agglomerates of metallic nanoparticles. In an excellence according to known experimental data, it is suggested that destructive clustering of nanoparticles is possible in Cu-, Ag-, and Au-ion-implanted dielectric oxide glass media, possessing a strongly negative χ -criterion. Some recent speculations trying to ascribe equally this ability to *soft* chalcogenide glasses despite an obvious difference in the corresponding bond dissociation energies have been disclosed and criticized as inconclusive.

Keywords: Glass, Chalcogenides, Nanoparticle, Host matrix, Chemical bond

Background

Nanocomposite materials containing functional nanoscalelength inhomogeneities created by embedded metal nanoparticles (MNP) attract a high attention in nowadays materials science community as perspective candidates for advanced sensing application exploring plasmon resonance effects [1-7]. From purely technological standpoint, these nanocomposites are often stabilized through destructive treatment of homogeneous solid-state matrices affected by incorporated MNP, e.g., by producing aggregates (atomic clusters) of dispersed MNP interacting with some unfettered atoms of destroyed substances. The low-energy (tens of keV) ion-implantation technique [6–9] can serve as typical representative example of such destructive nanoclusterization routes. In such a case, just chemical interaction plays a decisive role in the functionality of fabricated nanocomposites defined by MNP concentration, their size, and spatial distribution.

Thus, under implanting copper (Cu⁺), silver (Ag⁺), or gold (Au⁺) ions in *hard* dielectric matrices of vitreous oxides like silica SiO₂ [10], the *metal-metal* chemical

* Correspondence: shpotyukmy@yahoo.com

¹Lviv Polytechnic National University, Bandera str., 12, Lviv 79013, Ukraine Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

bonding occurs to be more favorable in terms of the Gibbs free energy in respect to competitive *metal-matrix* interaction, resulting in spatially restricted 3–10 nm MNP aggregates [7–9]. However, this is not a case of structurally homogeneous *soft* semiconductor matrices proper to stoichiometric chalcogenides like $As_2S(Se)_3$ or $GeS(Se)_2$ [10], where such tiny agglomerates of MNP cannot be stabilized chemically [11].

At the same time, it is well known that highly inhomogeneous chalcohalide $56\text{GeS}_2-24\text{Ga}_2\text{S}_3-20\text{KBr}$ glass due to characteristic inner phase diversity can be well stabilizing media for relatively coarse agglomerates of Ag MNP reaching few hundred nanometers under ion implantation with $10^{16}-2\cdot10^{17}$ ions/cm² doses [12, 13]. Noteworthy, in contrast to vitreous oxides, the chalcogenides allow substantial variation in their glass-forming ability without changing in network interlinking in a wide compositional range, possessing spatially homogeneous non-stoichiometric glasses in addition to stoichiometric ones [14, 15]. This feature can be a reason for essentially modified chemical interaction in *metal-matrix* nanocomposites, tuning the conditions for destructive MNP clustering in non-stoichiometric chalcogenide glasses.



© 2016 Shpotyuk et al. **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

In this work, we shall try to parameterize numerically this difference between the expected nanoclustering effects resulting from destructively embedded MNP (Cu, Ag, and Au) in typical oxide SiO₂-type and chalcogenide As(Ge)-S(Se)-type glass-forming matrices (including compositional non-stoichiometry effects in the latter) from a viewpoint of covalent chemical bond (CCB) approach [16–19].

Methods

The CCB approach is one of the most efficient semiempirical tools in the parameterization of chemical interaction in glass-forming systems, which was convincingly exemplified with Bicerano's and Ovshinsky's analytical inspection on structures of ovonic-type chalcogenide glasses with reversing electrical-switching properties yet in 1985 [18]. In its basis, this method was justified in the earliest 1970s, the time of fundamental Kastner's analysis on compositional effects in amorphous semiconductors [17] and pioneering Phillips's research on chemical bond ionicity in solids [16]. Later, this method gained a wide popularity in the prediction of glass-forming trends in many multicomponent chemical systems, as it was clearly resumed by Feltz in the monograph [14].

Let us consider the specificity of chemical bonding in typical chalcogenide and oxide glass-formers affected by embedded metal dopants from a point of the CCB approach.

The chemical bond distribution in binary (K-X) glassforming systems, where K denotes cation-type atoms (K=Si, As or Ge) and X stands for anion-type atoms (X=O, S or Se), is governed by thermochemical disproportionality between heteronuclear and homonuclear bonds forming in respect to simple reaction below [14]:

$$2(K-X) \leftrightarrow (K-K) + (X-X). \tag{1}$$

where notes in brackets define mean molar energy of corresponding bond.

To parameterize different bond-changing structural configurations, we can use mean molar bond energies estimated from standard atomization enthalpies of relevant binary compounds gathered in Table 1 (these energies were determined with ± 10 kJ/mol error) [14].

 Table 1
 Mean molar energies E (kJ/mol) of chemical bonds in chalcogenide and oxide glasses [14]

Bond	E, kJ/mol	Bond	E, kJ/mol	Bond	E, kJ/mol			
As–S	260	As–As	200	Si-O	465			
As–Se	230	S–S	280	Si–Si	225			
Ge–S	265	Se–Se	225	0–0	250			
Ge–Se	225	Ge-Ge	225					

Thus, the energetic balance ΔE of the above disproportionality reaction Eq. (1) is strongly left-shifted in oxide glass-formers such as vitreous silica SiO₂, approaching 455 kJ/mol. This tendency plays a decisive role in network-forming ability of these glasses, determining full preference of tetrahedral SiO_{4/2} building blocks in their structure. The glass-forming oxides (including also glassy combinations of silica, alkaline and alkaline earth oxides, transition metal oxides and oxides of main group elements like Al₂O₃, GeO₂, B₂O₃, P₂O₅, TeO₂, and others) possess high melting and glass transition temperatures, and wide band-gaps proper rather to dielectrics, making them optically transparent and colorless for visible light [14, 15].

In contrast, in chalcogenide glass-formers such as binary As-S(Se) or Ge-S(Se) alloys, the energetic balance ΔE of thermochemical disproportionality Eq. (1) is much depressed reaching 40-60 kJ/mol only [14]. So, homonuclear bonding occurs to be competitive in respect to heteronuclear bonding in these vitreous systems, this effect being dependent on both glass composition and preparation technology. Appearance of homonuclear bonds was detected even in stoichiometric As₂S₃ glasses rapidly quenched from high temperatures more than 800 °C (above boiling point of this compound) [20-23], or, alternatively, cooled from relatively low 450-500 °C temperatures, allowing insufficient mutual solubility of components (As and S) in a melt [24]. These glasses are transparent in IR spectral region up to $15-25 \mu$, but they possess narrower band-gaps (not exceeding 3 eV, a character limit of semiconductors) [14, 15]. In contrast to vitreous oxides, the chalcogenide glasses possess lower melting and glass transition temperatures. This difference is convincingly evidenced by the fact that vitreous silica ampoules are most suitable crucible materials to prepare chalcogenide glass within melt quenching route [14]. That is why semiconductor vitreous chalcogenides are usually termed as soft glasses, to be in contrast with dielectric vitreous oxides termed as hard glasses [10]. The deep reason of such specificity is hidden in an anomalous electronegativity proper to oxygen (O) as compared with relatively mediates values in chalcogenides, thus resulting in different chemistry of their glass-forming networks [15].

Under destructive incorporation of metal M atoms in a glass network (M=Cu, Ag, Au), the existing bond balance can be essentially disturbed owing to chemical interaction with unfettered cation-type K and anion-type X atoms. By analogy with Eq. (1), the corresponding M-bond disproportionality under interaction with X environment solely can be determined as

$$2(M-X) \leftrightarrow (M-M) + (X-X).$$
⁽²⁾

If interaction with K environment is more decisive, this reaction attains other form:

$$2(M-K) \leftrightarrow (M-M) + (K-K). \tag{3}$$

In general, if both types of chemical interaction with X and K environments are equally important for embedded M atoms, the bond disproportionality in (K-X) glass is ruled out by summarizing above Eqs. (2) and (3):

$$2[(M-X) + (M-K)] \leftrightarrow 2(M-M) + (X-X) + (K-K) \leftrightarrow 2(M-M) + 2(K-X).$$
(4)

The left-side part of this Eq. (4) reflects chemical interaction between M and unfettered atoms of destructed glass matrix, while the right-side part describes agglomeration of M atoms in (K-X) matrix renovated after destruction. Therefore, in most generalized case of all interactions possible in M-glass system, the simple difference in molar bond energies

$$\chi^{gen} = [(M-X) + (M-K)] - [(M-M) + (K-X)] \tag{5}$$

can be accepted as a numerical measure describing MNP clustering in a glassy network (clustering is possible under increased negative χ^{gen} values).

In realistic *host* systems of glassy oxides and chalcogenides, the unfettered X-type environment of oxygen and chalcogen atoms is undoubtedly most plausible for interaction with *guest* M atoms. So we can ignore (M-K) interactions in the disproportionality balance Eq. (4), resulting with respect to Eqs. (1) and (2) in sequent bond transformation:

$$2(K-X) + (M-M) \leftrightarrow (K-K) + (X-X) + (M-M) \leftrightarrow (K-K) + 2(M-X).$$
(6)

If energetic barrier ΔE of this reaction occurs to be positive (the right-shifted equilibrium), the implanted M atoms destroy existing bond distribution in a *host* glass due to heteronuclear (M–X) bonds formed at cost of homonuclear (K–K) ones. Otherwise, the MNP agglomeration occurs owing to the preference of (M–M) interaction and renovation of destructed (K–X) bonds (the left-shifted equilibrium).

Thereby, we can introduce the energetic χ -criterion to justify clustering of *guest* MNP embedded destructively in *host* stoichiometric (K–X) glassy matrix:

$$\begin{split} \chi^{\text{st}} &= 2(\text{M}-\text{X}) + (\text{K}-\text{K}) - 2(\text{K}-\text{X}) - (\text{M}-\text{M}) \\ &= 2[(\text{M}-\text{X}) - (\text{K}-\text{X})] + [(\text{K}-\text{K}) - (\text{M}-\text{M})]. \end{split} \tag{7}$$

Mean molar energies of (M-X) bonds for different metal atoms M (M=Cu, Ag or Au) in oxide and chalcogenide environment are given in Table 2 [25]. Under a comparison with data of Table 1, it is evident that these bond energies are strongly reduced as those of Si–O bonds, but they are comparable and even greater in respect to the

 Table 2 Mean molar bond energies E (kJ/mol) for different

 metallic atoms in oxide and chalcogenide environment [25]

Bond	E, kJ/mol	Bond	E, kJ/mol	Bond	E, kJ/mol
Cu–Cu	200	Ag–Ag	165	Au–Au	225
Cu–O	290	Ag–O	220	Au–O	225
Cu–S	275	Ag–S	220	Au–S	255
Cu–Se	255	Ag–Se	210	Au–Se	250

corresponding energies of heteronuclear bonds in chalcogenide glasses. It means that under M ion implantation, the destructed heteronuclear Si–O bonds in SiO₂ glass are renewed, facilitating agglomeration of "pure" MNP in *host* bulk glass (provided ion implantation dose is sufficient to ensure MNP excess above solubility limit [6–9]). Hence, the energetic barrier χ st defined by Eq. (7) is negative for oxide glasses. But this situation is changed in case of chalcogenide environment, where χ st value is positive for embedded Cu atoms and one-order smaller as in vitreous oxides. For Ag and Au atoms in As–S or Ge–S environment, this barrier becomes negative, but further not exceeding a few tens of kJ/mol.

In case of non-stoichiometric chalcogenide glass-forming systems, the above Eq. (6) should be considered separately for intermetallic (M–M) bonding in heteronuclear (K–X) and homonuclear (K–K) and (X–X) environments, the corresponding bond disproportionality reactions being as follows:

$$2(K-X) + (M-M) \leftrightarrow 2(M-X) + (K-K), \tag{8}$$

$$2(X-X) + (M-M) \leftrightarrow 2(M-X) + (X-X), \tag{9}$$

$$2(K-K) + (M-M) \leftrightarrow 2(M-K) + (K-K).$$

$$(10)$$

The energetic preference of resulting bond balance in a glass ΔE can be estimated by accepting weighting coefficients η of different bonds possible under a given structural model:

$$\begin{split} \eta_{K-X} \times & [2(K-X) + (M-M)] + \eta_{X-X} \times [2(X-X) \\ & + (M-M)] + \eta_{K-K} \times [2(K-K) \\ & + (M-M)] \leftrightarrow \eta_{K-X} \times [2(M-X) + (K-K)] \\ & + \eta_{X-X} \times [2(M-X) + (X-X)] + \eta_{K-K} \times [2(M-K) \\ & + (K-K)]. \end{split}$$
(11)

where the left side reflects energetic balance of agglomerated MNP within renewed *host* matrix, and the right side corresponds to M atoms interacting with unfettered atoms of destructed glass.

In realistic non-stoichiometric chalcogenide glassy media, the chemical interaction between embedded metallic M and cation-type K atoms can be ignored [14], thus resulting in importance of only two first components in both the left and right sides of the above Eq. (11) to calculate the energetic χ -criterion in non-stoichiometric chalcogenide glass matrices:

$$\begin{split} \chi^{\text{nst}} &= \eta_{\text{K}-\text{X}} \times [2(\text{M}-\text{X}) + (\text{K}-\text{K})] + \eta_{\text{X}-\text{X}} \times [2(\text{M}-\text{X}) \\ &+ (\text{X}-\text{X}) - \eta_{\text{K}-\text{X}} \times [2(\text{K}-\text{X}) + (\text{M}-\text{M})] - \eta_{\text{X}-\text{X}} \\ &\times [2(\text{X}-\text{X}) + (\text{M}-\text{M})] == \eta_{\text{K}-\text{X}} \times [2(\text{M}-\text{X}) \\ &- 2(\text{K}-\text{X}) + (\text{K}-\text{K}) - (\text{M}-\text{M})] + \eta_{\text{X}-\text{X}} \times [2(\text{M}-\text{X}) \\ &- (\text{X}-\text{X}) - (\text{M}-\text{M})]. \end{split}$$

$$(12)$$

Results and Discussion

Let us apply the above energetic parameterization route to describe the MNP clustering in non-stoichiometric and defective chalcogenide matrices. By accepting mean molar bond energies gathered in Tables 1 and 2 for $As(Ge)_x S(Se)_{100-x}$ glasses and weighting coefficients η determined within chemically ordered covalent network model preferring heteronuclear bonding [14, 26, 27], the energetic χ -criterion for MNP clustering in respect to Eq. (12) can be parameterized as shown in Fig. 1. It is obvious that non-stoichiometry has no significant effect on χ ^{nst} value in these glasses, the over-stoichiometric chalcogen atoms only enhancing interaction with metallic M atoms significantly preventing MNP clustering. This non-clustering tendency is better revealed in case of Cu atoms embedded in selenide glasse environment, while Ag atoms in sulfide glasses mainly assist in an inverse trend.



Noteworthy, the χ -criterion describing MNP clustering in dielectric oxide environment of vitreous silica SiO₂ essentially differs as compared with those proper to semiconductor vitreous chalcogenides like As(Ge)_xS(Se)_{100-x} (see Fig. 1), respectively, approaching -325, -430, and -480 kJ/mol for Cu, Ag, and Au atoms. So, Au is the most appropriated candidate to be agglomerated in the destructed environment of heteronuclear Si–O chemical bonds, while Cu demonstrates relatively weaker tendency. This remarkable ability of embedded M atoms predicted via large negative values of χ -criterion is in excellent harmony with known results in successful fabrication of compactly aggregated MNP with character 3–10 nm sizes in transparent oxide glass matrices exploring low-energy ion implantation technique [7–9].

At the other hand, from position of the developed approach, it is simply evident misleading the character of recent speculations by some authors [28] ascribing MNP clustering ability equally to typical chalcogenide and oxide glasses. They claimed, in part, that spherical agglomerates of pure Cu MNP having only 5-10 nm in sizes can be fabricated by low-energy (40 keV) Cu⁺-ion implantation in As₂S₃ glass, like it occurs in vitreous silica SiO₂. However, from a standpoint of scientific authenticity, none of the arguments given in [28] testify in a favor of this conclusion. Thus, (1) non-linear Z-scan pattering was performed only for ion-implanted glass without any reference to its initial state, (2) characteristic plasmon resonance band expected from Cu MNP in high-refractive environment $(n \approx 2.5 \text{ for } \text{As}_2\text{S}_3 \text{ glass } [1, 14, 15])$ was positioned at ~580– 590 nm, e.g., at the same wavelengths as in low-refractive (n < 2.0) oxide glass environment, (3) optical transmission spectrum of 1-mm thick As₂S₃ glass was surprisingly not affected by implantation even at such high ion-beam doses as 10¹⁷ cm⁻² (excepting 580–590 nm range), but unrealistically shifted towards shorter wavelengths (nearly ~500 nm) and sharply suppressed in transmittance (not character for $n \approx 2.5$). These circumstances apparently testify that results of Kavetskyy et al. [28] are clearly meaningless. The unreasonably announced effect of small-sized Cu MNP ionsynthesized identically in chalcogenide and oxide glasses was obviously falsified in [28], despite further attempts of these authors to wimple this by comparison with wellapprobated non-linear optical effects in polarizing oxide glasses with silver nanorods [29].

One of the most effective ways to overcome the above restrictions of bond-redistribution schemes activated in *host-guest* systems is to explore nanostructurization under *non-disturbed bond balance* in a *host* glassy matrix. This resolution can be well illustrated by glass deposition in MNP initially formed at a surface of dielectric substrate, when upper film plays only a rope of covering layer ensuring necessary difference in optical refractive index *n* with these MNPs [11, 30–32]. M-glass interaction in such

system is essentially suppressed because of the absence of unfettered atoms supplied from covering glass layer.

Other example concerns the case, when regular chemical interaction in *host-quest* system is inhibited due to inhomogeneous structure of some glass targets. This resolution can be well exemplified by multicomponent chalcohalide glasses and ceramics, where structural polyhedrons of typical chalcogenide networks are composed with ionic halide groups [12, 13]. Thus, in $56\text{GeS}_2-24\text{Ga}_2\text{S}_3-20\text{KBr}$ glass, the Ag MNP embedded under ion implantation with varied doses from 10^{16} to $2 \cdot 10^{17}$ ions/cm² can be gathered presumably in the inner spaces of lower atomic densities, which allows appearance of relatively large MNP agglomerates (few hundred of nm). The enhanced third-order optical non-linearities in these nanostructured chalcohalide glasses correlate well with ion implantation doses and sizes of MNP clusters.

Conclusions

Different origin of high-order optical non-linearity associated with MNP embedded destructively in oxide- and chalcogenide glassy environment is evidenced from CCB approach, describing this effect in terms of mean molar bond energies character for inner chemical interaction between unfettered components of host glassy network and guest metal atoms (Cu, Ag, Au). The energetic barrier in the disproportionality balance of all possible chemical interactions in metal-glass system can be accepted as numerical χ -criterion parameterizing this difference. Agglomeration of MNP is proper to nanocomposites based on oxide glasses like vitreous silica with strong negative χ -criterion (-300 ÷ -500 kJ/mol). In contrast, the MNP clustering is inhibited in chalcogenide glasses by an opposite trend in preferential interaction between embedded metal and destructed glass components in respect to much smaller χ -criterion (tens of kJ/mol). Chemical non-stoichiometry has no significant effect on χ -criterion in these glasses, since over-stoichiometric chalcogen only enhances interaction with embedded metal atoms significantly preventing their clustering. These findings are in an excellent agreement with numerous evidences exploring destructive routines of metal-glass nanostructurization, but contradict to speculations with unproved embedding schemes for MNP in vitreous chalcogenides like glassy As_2S_3 .

Abbreviations

K: cation-type atom; M: metal atom; MNP: metal nanoparticles; X: anion-type atom.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' Contributions

All authors (MSh, OSh, JC, and SK) developed the energetic χ -criterion to parameterize difference in the origin of high-order optical non-linearity

associated with metallic atoms embedded destructively in oxide- and chalcogenide glasses. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge discussions with Prof. N. Dmitruk, I. Blonskyy, and V. Kadan. The helpful comments from Prof. I. Kityk are also highly acknowledged.

Author details

¹Lviv Polytechnic National University, Bandera str., 12, Lviv 79013, Ukraine. ²Jan Dlugosz University of Czestochowa, al. Armii Krajowej, 13/15, Czestochowa 42200, Poland. ³Vlokh Institute of Physical Optics, Dragomanov str., 23, Lviv 79005, Ukraine. ⁴Institute of Materials of SRC "Carat", Stryjska str., 202, Lviv 79031, Ukraine. ⁵Centre for Innovation and Transfer of Natural Sciences and Engineering Knowledge, Prof. Stanislawa Pigonia str., 1, Rzeszow 35310, Poland. ⁶N.S. Kurnakov Institute of General and Inorganic Chemistry, 31 Leninsky pr., Moscow 199991, Russia.

Received: 23 November 2015 Accepted: 12 January 2016 Published online: 19 January 2016

References

- 1. Zakery A, Elliott SR (2007) Optical non-linearities in chalcogenide glasses and their applications. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg
- Zakery A, Shafmirzaee H (2007) Modeling of enhancement of nonlinearity in oxide and chalcogenide glasses by introduction of nanometals. Phys Lett A 361:484–7
- Tanaka K (2005) Optical nonlinearity in photonic glasses. J Mater Sci: Mater Electron 16:633–43
- Tao H, Zhao X, Liu Q (2013) Optical non-linearity in nano- and microcrystallized glasses. J Non-Cryst Solids 377:146–50
- Anker JN, Hall WP, Lyandres O, Chan NC, Zhao J, Van Duyne RP (2006) Biosensing with plasmonic nanosensors. Nat Mater 7:442–53
- Stepanov AL (2011) Ion-synthesis of metal nanoparticles and their optical properties. NOVA Sci. Publ. Inc., New York
- Stepanov AL (2011) Nonlinear optical properties of implanted metal nanoparticles in various transparent matrixes: a review. Rev Adv Mater Sci 27:115–45
- 8. Ganeev RA, Ryasnyansky AI, Stepanov AL, Usmanov T (2004) Saturated absorption and reverse saturated absorption of Cu:SiO₂ at λ = 532 nm. Phys Stat Sol B 241:R1–R4
- Stepanov AL, Khaibullin IB (2005) Fabrication of metal nanoparticles in sapphire by low-energy ion implantation. Rev Adv Mater Sci 9:109–29
- Musgraves JD, Richardson K, Jain H (2011) Laser-induced structural modification, its mechanisms, and applications in glassy optical materials. Opt Meter Exp 1:921–35
- Burunkova J, Csarnovics I, Denisyuk I, Daroczi L, Kokenyesi S (2014) Enhancement of laser recording in gold/amorphous chalcogenide and gold/acrylate nanocomposite layers. J Non-Cryst Solids 402:200–3
- 12. Liu Q, He X, Zhao X, Ren F, Xiao X, Jiang C, Zhou H, Zhao X, Lu L, Qian S (2011) Third-order nonlinearity in Ag-nanoparticles embedded $56GeS_2-24Ga_2S_3-20KBr$ chalcohalide glass. J Non-Cryst Solids 357:2320-3
- Liu Q, He X, Zhao X, Ren F, Xiao X, Jiang C, Zhou X, Lu L, Zhou H, Qian S, Poumellec B, Lancry M (2011) Enhancement of third-order nonlinearity in Ag-nanoparticles-contained chalcohalide glass. J Nanopart Res 13:3693–7
- 14. Feltz A (1993) Amorphous inorganic materials and glasses. VCH Publ, New York
- 15. Zallen R (1998) The physics of amorphous solids. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York-Chichester-Weinheim-Brisbane-Singapore-Toronto
- 16. Phillips JC (1970) Ionicity of the chemical bond in crystals. Rev Mod Phys 42:317–56
- 17. Kastner M (1973) Compositional trends in the optical properties of amorphous lone-pair semiconductors. Phys Rev B 7:5237–52
- Bicerano J, Ovshinsky SR (1985) Chemical bond approach to the structures of chalcogenide glasses with reversible switching properties. J Non-Cryst Solids 74:75–84
- 19. Tichy L, Ticha H (1995) Covalent bond approach to the glass-transition temperature of chalcogenide glasses. J Non-Cryst Solids 189:141–6
- Tanaka K (1987) Chemical and medium-range orders in As₂S₃ glass. Phys Rev B 36:9746–52
- Tanaka K (1985) Interrelations between optical absorption edges and structural order in glassy As₂S₃. Solid State Commun 56:899–903

- Kuznetsov SL, Mikhailov MD, Pecheritsyn IM, Turkina EY (1997) Structural chemical processes at the synthesis of chalcogenide glasses. J Non-Cryst Solids 213-214:68–71
- 23. Mamedov S, Kisliuk A, Quitmann D (1998) Effect of preparation conditions on the low frequency Raman spectrum of glassy As₂S₃. J Mater Sci 33:41–3
- Shpotyuk O, Kovalskiy A, Trimble J, Vlček M, Shpotyuk YA, Kozyukhin S (2015) Intrinsic phase separation in low-temperature quenched arsenic trisulfide glass. J Non-Cryst Solids 430:16–20
- Luo Y-R (2007) Comprehensive handbook of chemical bond energies. CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group, Boca Raton
- Lucovsky G, Hayes TM (1979) Short range in amorphous semiconductors. In: Brodsky MH (ed) Amorphous semiconductors, topics in applied physics, vol 36. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York
- 27. Lucovsky G, Galeener FL, Keezer RC, Geils RH, Six SA (1974) Structural interpretation of the infrared and Raman spectra of glasses in the alloy system $Ge_{1-x}S_x$. Phys Rev B 10:5134–46
- Kavetskyy TS, Valeev VF, Nuzhdin VI, Tsmots VM, Stepanov AL (2013) Optical properties of chalcogenide glasses with ion-synthesized copper nanoparticles. Techn Phys Lett 39:1–4
- Kavetskyy T, Stepanov AL, Bazarov VV, Tsmots V, Ren J, Chen G, Zhao X (2013) Comparative study of optical properties of polarizing oxide glasses with silver nanorods and chalcogenide glasses with copper nanoparticles. Phys Procedia 48:191–5
- Charnovych S, Kokenyesi S, Glodán G, Csik A (2011) Enhancement of photoinduced transformations in amorphous chalcogenide film via surface plasmon resonances. Thin Solid Films 519:4309–12
- Charnovych S, Dmitruk N, Voynarovych I, Yurkovich N, Kokenyesi S (2012) Plasmon-assisted transformations in metal-amorphous chalcogenide light-sensitive nanostructures. Plasmonics 7:341–5
- Charnovych S, Dmitruk N, Yurkovich N, Shiplyak M, Kokenyesi S (2013) Photo-induced changes in a-As₂S₃/gold nanoparticle composite layer structures. Thin Solid Films 548:419–24

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com