NANO EXPRESS

Open Access



Polarization Properties in Apertureless-Type Scanning Near-Field Optical Microscopy

Takayuki Ishibashi^{*} and Yongfu Cai

Abstract

Polarization properties of apertureless-type scanning near-field optical microscopy (a-SNOM) were measured experimentally and were also analyzed using a finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) simulation. Our study reveals that the polarization properties in the a-SNOM are maintained and the a-SNOM works as a wave plate expressed by a Jones matrix. The measured signals obtained by the lock-in detection technique could be decomposed into signals scattered from near-field region and background signals reflected by tip and sample. Polarization images measured by a-SNOM with an angle resolution of 1° are shown. FDTD analysis also reveals the polarization properties of light in the area between a tip and a sample are p-polarization in most of cases.

Keywords: a-SNOM; Polarization property; FDTD; Jones matrix

PACS: 07.79.Fc; 42.25.Ja; 02.70.Bf

Background

Recently, nano-optics are attracting great attentions not only for measuring physical properties such as optical responses [1, 2], Raman scattering [3], and magnetic properties but also for reactions of molecules and/or controlling of spins. In those cases, polarization states in nano-optics are very important, because those phenomena are dependent on the polarization of light. For example, spin manipulation with light is attracting attentions because light may have abilities of controlling the direction of magnetization in a time scale of several tenth nanoseconds. Stanciu et al. reported that magnetization direction of GdFeCo is optically switched by a 40 fs circularly polarized light (CPL) pulse [4]. Satoh et al. demonstrated the spin-wave emission and the directional control of propagation using the CPL or linearly polarized light (LPL) [5]. These new phenomena may lead to controlling single spin with light. On the other hand, CPL has been also used to measure circular dichroism (CD) due to the geometric and electromagnetic chiral properties of single molecule [6], biomolecules [7], and singe-wall carbon nanotube [8]. In those cases, obviously, each single molecule can be selectively investigated if nano-sized CPL is available.

* Correspondence: t_bashi@mst.nagaokaut.ac.jp

Department of Materials Science and Technology, Nagaoka University of Technology, 940-2188 Kamitomioka, Nagaoka, Niigta, Japan



Another type of SNOM, apertureless-type SNOM (a-SNOM), has also been developed, in which cantilever tips for atomic force microscopy were used instead of the



© 2015 Ishibashi and Cai. **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.



optical fibers probe with an aperture. In a-SNOM, the scattered light generated at the area where evanescent waves are formed between the tip's extremity and the sample's surface. Considering the principle of a-SNOM, we can expect higher spatial resolution depending on the radius of tips, which is smaller than 10 nm in the commercially available tip, with higher intensity and good polarization properties. In addition, it is considered that a-SNOM is suitable for measurements of opaque materials, since there is no obstacle around the extremity of tips. One of the most successful applications of a-SNOM is tipenhance Raman scattering spectroscopy [23–27]. On the other hand, polarization property of a-SNOM has not

been sufficiently understood yet. In this paper, we report the polarization properties of a-SNOM, including experimental and simulation results.

Methods

a-SNOM setup

The a-SNOM setup is illustrated in Fig. 1. We used a commercial scanning probe microscopy (SPM) from Seiko Instrument Inc., model SPI3800N probe station and SPA300 unit, as a base instrument, and during the experiment process, we selected the dynamic force mode (DFM). The tip is SI-DF3P2 SII NanoTechnology Inc., made of silicon having an extremity's radius of 7 nm and a





resonant frequency Ω of 77 kHz. A laser beam (TC20-4030-4.5/15, NEOARK) with a wavelength of 408 nm propagates through a half-wave plate and a polarizer to ensuring a certain polarization direction, i.e., either *s*- or *p*-polarization direction, later is passing to a prism-type beam splitter and is finally focused at the tip apex by a plate-type lens. An angle between a sample surface and the incident beam is 45°. A scattered beam from the tip and the sample's surface is collected by the same lens, reflected by the beam splitter, and is passing through an imaging lens. Signals were detected using a lock-in detection technique and demodulated at frequencies Ω or 2Ω .

FDTD simulation

The finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) method is a straightforward calculation of Maxwell's equations in both time and space. In our simulation, we used a commercial soft, FullWAVE (RSoft Design Group, Inc.). Figure 2 shows a schematic drawing of a simulation model used in this study. A conical probe made of

silicon (n = 5.476 + i0.310 at the wavelength of 408 nm) with an extremity's radius of 7 nm and a solid angle of 15° was located above the Cr (n = 1.80 + i3.61 at the wavelength of 408 nm) film. A distance between the probe and the Cr was 10 nm. A pulse plane wave with a wavelength of 408 nm and a pulse width of 2.1 fs impinged on the tip and the sample with an incident angle of 45°. 3D monitors were located in front of light source to record the electric field of incident light and in the behind of light source to record the electric field of scattered light. The size of our model was $3 \times 3 \times 3 \ \mu\text{m}^3$, using $3 \times 3 \times 3 \ nm^3$ cell. The probe was set with normal to the Cr surface to study fundamental polarization properties of a-SNOM, although there was an inclination of approximately 10° in the actual experiment [29, 30].

Results and Discussion

We measured a chromium film deposited on a quartz substrate with a checker pattern with a thickness of 20 nm and a period of $2 \times 2 \ \mu m^2$. Figure 3 shows a



not only the reflectivity but also the phase. Therefore, we consider that the contrast obtained in those SNOM im-

ages is due to difference in the complex permittivity of the

materials. In Fig. 3c, a dark area surrounding the chro-

mium patterns is observed. We believe this is due to the

edge darkening effect. Spatial resolution was determined

topographic image and two SNOM images of the chromium pattern measured with the *s*-polarized illumination [28]. Figure 3b, c was measured at demodulation frequencies of Ω and 2Ω , respectively. In those SNOM images, the checker pattern corresponding to the topography in Fig. 3a is clearly observed. We found that the intensity of area of chromium film (higher parts) is higher than that of quartz area (lower parts), and they showed opposite sign of phase ϕ for the chromium and the quartz. This result indicates that the signal depends on

to be 14 nm by measuring a cross section of SNOM images measured at 2Ω .

To analyze polarization properties of the a-SNOM, we measured the intensity of SNOM signal with an analyzer. Figure 4 shows polar plots of the intensities measured at demodulation frequency of 2Ω with linearly polarized incident lights with azimuth angles of 0° to 90° plotted as a function of the analyzer angles. Red solid lines show ideal linearly polarizations of the azimuth angles of the incident lights. In Fig. 4a, j, corresponding to s- and p-polarizations, respectively, the polarizations of measured data coincide with those of the incident light, suggesting the polarization of light was maintained. However, polarization properties of SNOM signals showed different properties except for s- and p-polarizations, as shown in Fig. 4b–i. For example, clover-shaped patterns



were observed in some cases, Fig. 4d, e, g, and h, indicating that measured signals consisted of two or more components with different polarizations as described below.

Since the polarization seems to be maintained in the case of s- and p-polarization illuminations, we measured polarization SNOM images in order to confirm it. Figure 5 shows an AMF image and SNOM images of a Cr pattern on quart substrate measured with s-polarization illumination. Figure 5b was measured with the analyzer angles of 0° , 20° , and 40° as indicated on right side of the figure, where the angle was changed during the measurement. The intensity of the SNOM image was clearly decreased with the analyzer angle was increased, which corresponded to the result shown in Fig. 4a. Furthermore, we measured a SNOM image with the analyzer angles of 45° , 46° , and 47° as shown in Fig. 4c. As a result, the polarization images were successfully measured with the angle resolution better than 1° .

Although polarization images were successfully measured in Fig. 5, we have to mention that the measured signals consist of two or more polarized light. Figure 6 shows the intensity and the phase measured by the lock-in amplifier plotted as a function of the angle of the analyzer. The intensity changed with the angle of the analyzer and had peaks, corresponding to the clover-shaped pattern in Fig. 4. It is found that the phase suddenly changed and the angle difference was 180°, suggesting that there were at least two different signals involved. For example, considering SNOM signal has a maximum intensity when the tip approaches close to a sample surface in DFM mode, the other signal should have a maximum intensity when the tip separates from the sample surface. Therefore, we assume that measured signals consisted of two polarized lights, SNOM signal and background signal, described in an equation,

$$I = |E_{\rm N}|^2 \cos^2(\theta + \psi_{\rm N}) + \beta_{\rm N} + |E_{\rm B}|^2 \cos^2(\theta + \psi_{\rm B}) + \beta_{\rm B}$$
(1)

where *E* is strength of electric field, θ is the angle of the analyzer, ψ is the azimuth angle of the polarized light, β

corresponds to ellipticity, and N and B denote SNOM signal from near-field region and background signal, respectively. In this analysis, we also assume the background signal was a light reflected by a tip made of Si and a sample made of Cr. As a consequence, we can obtain the parameter, $\psi_{\rm B}$ and $\beta_{\rm B}$, using optical constants of Si and Cr. Once the polarization of the background signal was obtained, we can determine the other parameters by fitting of measured data. An example of the fitting is shown in Fig. 7. The measured data shown in Fig. 7a can be decomposed into two polarized light, SNOM signal in blue and background signal in red, as shown in Fig. 7b. In all cases, SNOM signals were successfully deduced by the fitting procedure, and the results summarized in Fig. 8. show azimuth angles of SNOM signals measured for the azimuth angle of the incident light of 60° and demodulation frequencies Ω and 2Ω are plotted together with a result obtained by the FDTD simulation [30]. In the case of Ω , the azimuth angles of SNOM signals gradually increased with the angle of the azimuth of the incident light, which agreed with the







result by FDTD. On the other hand, data for 2Ω agreed with FDTD for the angle below 50°, but it behaved differently for 60°-80°. We can not explain the reason of the difference, but it may be due to the shape of the apex of the tip, because the measurement at a demodulation frequency 2Ω has stronger distance dependence than that at Ω .

The ratios of the SNOM signals to the background signals measured for the azimuth angles of the incident light of 60° were plotted as a function of the analyzer angles in Fig. 9a. It was found that there are peaks at 140° and 320°, which are quite different from the azimuth angles of the incident light of 60°. If this is true, SNOM could be measured with good S/N ratio at those peak positions. In order to prove the validity of our analysis, we measured SNOM images of the Cr pattern with various angles of analyzer, 0°, 50°, 130°, 140°, 150°, and 230°





as shown in Fig. 9b. Clear SNOM image was obtained for 0° and 150° , which is close to the peak position in Fig. 9a. On the contrary, the SNOM images measured for 50° and 230° , close to the azimuth angle of the incident light, suffered from noise due to background signals. From these results, we can conclude that our analysis is valid.

From the FDTD simulation, we also found that the a-SNOM system can be described by Jones matrix as described [30],

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 3.954 & 0\\ 0 & \exp((0.710i) \end{bmatrix}$$
(2)

This result indicates that a-SNOM maintains the polarization of light and works as a wave plate. However, we found that the polarization state in the nearfield region between the tip and the sample is only in p-polarization except for the case that both azimuth angle and ellipticity of the incident light is almost zero. Figure 10 shows strengths of electric filed components, E_x , E_y , and E_z , plotted as a function of the azimuth angles and the ellipticity of the incident light. In most of the cases, including the case of the circular polarization, strength of E_z was the largest, indicating that linear polarization is p-polarization. This result indicates the difficulty of measuring the optical responses due to circular polarization, such as CD and MO effect, by using a-SNOM, which is consistent with the theoretical prediction [31]. To overcome this problem, we need to consider another type of tip that can produce nano-sized circular polarized light [32].

Conclusions

Polarization properties of apertureless-type scanning near-field optical microscope (a-SNOM) were studied. Polarization SNOM images were successfully measured with the spatial resolution of ~14 nm and the angle resolution better than 1°. We found that the polarization properties of optical signals could be decomposed into SNOM signal scattered from near-field region and background signal reflected by a tip and a sample. Signal to noise ratio was improved by choosing the angles of the incident light and the analyzer. We also found that a-SNOM worked as a wave plate described by Jones matrix and the polarization state of the electric field between a tip and a sample was p-polarization.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author' Information

Department of Materials Science and Technology, Nagaoka University of Technology, 940–2188 Kamitomioka, Nagaoka, Niigta, Japan. Email: t_bashi@mst.nagaokaut.ac.jp. Web site: http://mst.nagaokaut.ac.jp/~t_bashi/ ISHIBASHI_LAB/home.html

Authors' Contributions

TI designed the a-SNOM measured all the samples in this articles. YC performed the FDTD simulation and data analysis. All the authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the National Institute of Information and Communications Technology (NICT) and KAKENHI, Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) (23310073).

Received: 25 August 2015 Accepted: 30 August 2015 Published online: 29 September 2015

References

- Pan MY, Lin EH, Wang L, Wei PK. Spectral and mode properties of surface plasmon polariton waveguides studied by near-field excitation and leakagemode radiation measurement. Nanoscale Res Lett. 2014;9:430.
- Zhang P, Li S, Liu C, Wei X, Wu Z, Jiang Y, et al. Near-infrared optical absorption enhanced in black silicon via Ag nanoparticle-induced localized surface plasmon. Nanoscale Res Lett. 2014;9:519.
- Hayazawa N, Saito Y, Kawata S. Detection and characterization of longitudinal field for tip-enhanced Raman spectroscopy. Appl Phys Lett. 2004;85:6239–41.
- Stanciu CD, Hansteen F, Kimel AV, Kirilyuk A, Tsukamoto A, Itoh A, et al. All-optical magnetic recording with circularly polarized light. Phys Rev Lett. 2007;99:047601.
- Satoh T, Terui Y, Moriya R, Ivanov BA, Ando K, Saitoh E, et al. Directional control of spin-wave emission by spatially shaped light. Nat Photonics. 2012;6:662–6.
- Hassey R, Swain EJ, Hammer NI, Venkataraman D, Barnes MD. Probing the chiroptical response of a single molecule. Science. 2006;314:1437–9.
- Hendry E, Carpy T, Johnston J, Popland M, Mikhaylovskiy RV, Lapthorn AJ, et al. Ultrasensitive detection and characterization of biomolecules using superchiral fields. Nat Nanotechnol. 2010;5:783–7.
- Peng X, Komatsu N, Bhattacharya S, Shimawaki T, Aonuma S, Kimura T, et al. Optically active single-walled carbon nanotubes. Nat Nanotechnol. 2007:2:361–5.
- Bezig E, Trautman JK, Harris TD, Weiner JS, Kostelak RL. Breaking the diffraction barrier: optical microscopy on a nanometric scale. Science. 1991;251:1468–70.
- Bezig E, Trautman JK. Near-field optics: microscopy, spectroscopy, and surface modification beyond the diffraction limit. Science. 1992;257:189–95.
- Betzig E, Trautman JK, Wolfe R, Gyorgy EM, Finn PL, Kryder MH, et al. Nearfield magneto-optics and high density data storage. Appl Phys Lett. 1992;61:142–4.
- 12. Betzig E, Trautman JK, Weiner JS, Harris TD, Wolfe R. Polarization contrast in near-field scanning optical microscopy. Appl Opt. 1992;31:4563–8.
- Fumagalli P, Rosenberger A, Eggers G, Münnemann A, Held N, Günterodt G. Quantitative determination of the local Kerr rotation by scanning near-field magneto-optic microscopy. Appl Phys Lett. 1998;72:2803–5.
- Kottler V, Essaidi N, Ronarch N, Chappert C, Chen Y. Dichroic imaging of magnetic domains with a scanning near-field optical microscope. J Magn Magn Mater. 1997;165:398–400.
- Mitsuoka Y, Nakajima K, Honma K, Chiba N, Muramatsu H, Ataka T, et al. Polarization properties of light emitted by a bent optical fiber probe and polarization contrast in scanning near-field optical microscopy. J Appl Phys. 1998;83:3998–4003.
- Nakajima K, Mitsuoka Y, Chiba N, Muramatsu H, Ataka T, Sato K, et al. Polarization effect in scanning near-field optic/atomic-force microscopy (SNOM/AFM). Ultramicroscopy. 1998;71:257–62.
- Ishibashi T, Yoshida T, Iijima A, Sato K, Mitsuoka Y, Nakajima K. Polarization properties of bent-type optical fibre probe for magneto-optical imaging. J Microscopy. 1999;194:374–7.
- Ishibashi T, Yoshida T, Yamamoto J, Sato K, Mitsuoka Y, Nakajima K. Magneto-optical imaging by scanning near-field optical microscope using polarization modulation technique. J Magn Soc Jpn. 1999;23:712–4.
- Yoshida T, Yamamoto J, lijima A, Ishibashi T, Sato K, Nakajima K, et al. Polarization properties of bent optical fiber probes and magneto-optical imaging in scanning near-field optical microscopy with the polarization modulation technique. J Magn Soc Jpn. 1999;23:1960–4.
- Schoenmaker J, Dantos AD, Souche Y, Seabra AC, Sampaio LC. Imaging of domain wall motion in small magnetic particles through near-field microscopy. Appl Phys Lett. 2006;88:062506.
- Schoenmaker J, Lancarotte MS, Seabra AC, Souche Y, Santos AD. Magnetic characterization of microscopic particles by MO-SNOM. J Microscopy. 2004;214:22–6.
- 22. Hecht B, Bielefeldt H, Inouye Y, Pohl DW, Nowotny L. Facts and artifacts in near-field optical microscopy. J Appl Phys. 1997;81:2492–8.
- Hartschuh A, Qian H, Georgi C, Böhmler M, Novotny L. Tip-enhanced nearfield optical microscopy of carbon nanotubes. Anal Bioanal Chem. 2009;394:1787–95.
- Hartschuh A, Sánchez EJ, Xie XS, Novotny L. High-resolution near-field Raman microscopy of single-walled carbon nanotubes. Phys Rev Lett. 2003;90:095503.

- Hartschuh A, Anderson N, Novotny L. Near-field Raman spectroscopy using a sharp metal tip. J Microscopy. 2003;210:234–40.
- Hayazawa N, Yano T, Watanabe H, Inouye Y, Kawata K. Detection of an individual single-wall carbon nanotube by tip-enhanced near-field Raman spectroscopy. Chem Phys Lett. 2003;376:174–80.
- 27. Zhang M, Wang J. Plasmonic lens focused longitudinal field excitation for tip-enhanced Raman spectroscopy. Nanoscale Res Lett. 2015;10:189.
- Aoyagi M, Niratisairak S, Sioda T, Ishibashi T. Extinction ratio in apertureless reflection-mode magneto-optical scanning near-field optical microscopy. IEEE Trans Magn. 2012;48:3670–2.
- Cai Y, Aoyagi M, Emoto A, Shioda T, Ishibashi T. Polarization state of scattered light in apertureless reflection-mode scanning near-field optical microscopy. J Magnetics. 2013;18:317–20.
- Cai Y, Meng Q, Emoto A, Shioda T, Ono H, Ishibashi T. FDTD analysis of polarization properties and backscattering coefficients in aperture-less SNOM. J Mag Soc Jpn. 2014;38:127–30.
- Walford JN, Porto JA, Carminati R, Greffet JJ. Theory of near-field magnetooptical imaging. J Opt Soc Am A. 2002;19:572–83.
- Cai Y, Ikeda S, Nakagawa K, Kikuchi H, Shimidzu N, Ishibashi T. Strong enhancement of nano-sized circularly polarized light using an aperture antenna with V-groove structures. Opt Lett. 2015;40:1298–301.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com