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#### Abstract

Let $A(G)$ denote the automorphism group of a group $G$. A polynomial automorphism of $G$ is an automorphism of the form $x \mapsto\left(v_{1}^{-1} x^{\varepsilon_{1}} v_{1}\right) \ldots\left(v_{m}^{-1} x^{\varepsilon_{m}} v_{m}\right)$. We shall write $P(G)=\left\langle P_{0}(G)\right\rangle$ such that $P_{0}(G)$ is the set of polynomial automorphisms of $G$. In this paper, we will prove that $P_{0}\left(D_{8}\right) \cong V_{4}$ and $P(\mathbb{Q})=A(\mathbb{Q})$, where $\mathbb{Q}$ is the additive group.
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## Introduction

Let $G$ be a group. We shall write $A(G)$ for the automorphism group of $G$. According to Schweigert [1], we say that an element $f \in A(G)$ is a polynomial automorphism of $G$ if there exist integers $\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{m} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and elements $u_{0}, \ldots, u_{m} \in G$ such that

$$
f(x)=u_{0} x^{\varepsilon_{1}} u_{1} \ldots u_{m-1} x^{\varepsilon m} u_{m},
$$

for all $x \in G$. Since $f(1)=1$, it is easy to see that $f(x)$ can be expressed as a product of inner automorphisms, that is,

$$
f(x)=\left(v_{1}^{-1} x^{\varepsilon_{1}} v_{1}\right) \ldots\left(v_{m}^{-1} x^{\varepsilon_{m}} v_{m}\right)
$$

We shall write $P_{0}(G)$ for the set of polynomial automorphisms of G. Actually, Schweigert defined a polynomial automorphism in the context of finite groups. In particular, in this context, the set $P_{0}(G)$ is clearly a subgroup of $A(G)$. On the other hand, this is not necessarily the case when $G$ is infinite.
In this paper, we shall consider the subgroup $P(G)=$ $\left\langle P_{0}(G)\right\rangle$ of $A(G)$, generated by all polynomial automorphisms of $G$. Hence, $P_{0}(G)=P(G)$, when $G$ is finite. For instance, we will prove that $P_{0}\left(D_{8}\right) \cong V_{4}$. Instead, $P(G)$ is distinct from $P_{0}(G)$ when $G$ is the additive group of a rational number; in this case, we will prove that $P(\mathbb{Q})=$ $A(\mathbb{Q})$, and the set of polynomial automorphisms forms a monoid with respect to the operation of functional

[^0]composition, which is isomorphic to the multiplicative monoid $\mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$.
It is easy to verify that $P_{0}(G)$ is a normal subset of $A(G)$. Thus, $P(G)$ is a normal subgroup of $A(G)$. In addition, we have
$$
I(G) \unlhd P(G) \unlhd A(G),
$$
where $I(G)$ is the group of inner automorphisms of $G$.

## Preliminaries

If $G$ is abelian, each polynomial automorphism is of the form $x \mapsto x^{\varepsilon}$, and so $P(G)$ is abelian. We show here that if $G$ is a nilpotent group of class $k=2$, then $P(G)$ is abelian.

Lemma 1. Let $f, g$ be two functions over a group G, respectively defined by the relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x)=\left(v_{1}^{-1} x^{\varepsilon_{1}} v_{1}\right) \ldots\left(v_{m}^{-1} x^{\varepsilon_{m}} v_{m}\right), \\
& g(x)=\left(w_{1}^{-1} x^{\eta_{1}} w_{1}\right) \ldots\left(w_{n}^{-1} x^{\eta_{n}} w_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(we do not suppose that $f$ and $g$ are automorphisms). Let $t$ be an element of $G$ such that any two conjugates of $t$ commute. Then, we have the relation

$$
f(g(t))=\prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{n} t^{\varepsilon_{i} \eta_{j}}\left[t^{\varepsilon_{i} \eta_{j}}, v_{i}\right]\left[t^{\varepsilon_{i} \eta_{j}}, w_{j}\right]\left[t^{\varepsilon_{i} \eta_{j}}, w_{j}, v_{i}\right]
$$

(notice that in this product, the order of the factors is of no consequence).

Proof. Using the fact that any two conjugates of $t$ commute, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(g(t)) & =\prod_{i=1}^{m} v_{i}^{-1}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{m} w_{j}^{-1} t^{\eta_{j}} w_{j}\right)^{\varepsilon_{i}} v_{i} \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{n} v_{i}^{-1} w_{j}^{-1} t^{\varepsilon_{i} \eta_{j}} w_{j} v_{i} \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{n} t^{\varepsilon_{i} \eta_{j}}\left[t^{\varepsilon_{i} \eta_{j}}, w_{j}, v_{i}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

We conclude thanks to the relation $[x, y z]=[x, z]$ $[x, y][x, y, z]$.

When $G$ is a finite nilpotent group of class $\leq 2$, it is proved in [2], that $P(G)$ is abelian. In a nilpotent group $G$ of class $\leq 2$, two conjugates of any element $t \in G$ commute. Therefore, as an immediate consequence of Lemma 1, we observe that any two polynomial automorphisms of $G$ commute. Since these automorphisms generate $P(G)$, we obtain:

Proposition 1. If $G$ is a nilpotent group of class $\leq 2$, then $P(G)$ is abelian.

Proof. It is enough to show that all generators of $P(G)$ commute. Let $G$ be a nilpotent group of class 1 . Then, by [3], we have

$$
P_{0}(G)=\left\{f \mid f(x)=x^{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}\right\} .
$$

Now, we consider $f(x)=x^{\varepsilon}, g(x)=x^{\delta}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(g(x))=f\left(x^{\delta}\right)=x^{\delta \varepsilon}, \\
& g(f(x))=g\left(x^{\varepsilon}\right)=x^{\varepsilon \delta},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\delta, \varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$. Hence, $f(g(x))=g(f(x))$.
Let $G$ be a nilpotent group of class 2 and let $f, g$ be two elements of $P_{0}(G)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x)=\left(v_{1}^{-1} x^{\varepsilon_{1}} v_{1}\right) \ldots\left(v_{m}^{-1} x^{\varepsilon_{m}} v_{m}\right) \\
& g(x)=\left(w_{1}^{-1} x^{\eta_{1}} w_{1}\right) \ldots\left(w_{n}^{-1} x^{\eta_{n}} w_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by Lemma 1 , for all $t \in G$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(g(t))=\prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{n} t^{\varepsilon \eta_{j}}\left[t^{\varepsilon \eta_{j}}, v_{i}\right]\left[t^{\varepsilon} \eta_{j}, w_{j}\right]\left[t^{\varepsilon_{i} \eta_{j}}, w_{j}, v_{i}\right], \\
& g(f(t))=\prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{n} t^{\varepsilon i \eta_{j}}\left[t^{\varepsilon i \eta_{j}}, w_{j}\right]\left[t^{\varepsilon i \eta_{j}}, v_{i}\right]\left[t^{\varepsilon i \eta_{j}}, v_{i}, w_{j}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $G$ is a nilpotent group of class $2, \gamma_{3}(G)=1$. So,

$$
\left[t^{\varepsilon_{i} \eta_{j}}, w_{j}, v_{i}\right]=\left[t^{\varepsilon_{i} \eta_{j}}, v_{i}, w_{j}\right]=1
$$

Therefore, $f(g(t))=g(f(t))$ and the proof is complete.

## Main results

In this section, we suppose that $D_{8}$ is the dihedral group of order $8, V_{4}$ is the Klein 4 -group, and $\mathbb{Q}$ is the additive group of a rational number [4,5]. First, in Theorem 1, we will show that $P_{0}\left(D_{8}\right) \cong V_{4}$, and then in Theorem 2, we will prove that $P(\mathbb{Q})=A(\mathbb{Q})$.

Theorem 1. Let $D_{8}$ be the dihedral group of order 8, and let $V_{4}$ be the Klein 4-group. Then, $P_{0}\left(D_{8}\right) \cong V_{4}$.

Proof. Since $D_{8}=\left\langle t, s \mid t^{2}=s^{4}=1,(t s)^{2}=1\right\rangle$, so the eight elements of $D_{8}$ are

$$
D_{8}=\left\{1, s, s^{2}, s^{3}, t, t s, t s^{2}, t s^{3}\right\} .
$$

It is straightforward to verify that $\operatorname{Aut}\left(D_{8}\right) \cong D_{8}$. On the other hand, we have $D_{8} / Z\left(D_{8}\right) \cong \operatorname{Inn}\left(D_{8}\right)$. Since $Z\left(D_{8}\right)=$ $\left\langle s^{2}\right\rangle$, we have $\left|\operatorname{Inn}\left(D_{8}\right)\right|=4$. The order of each non-trivial element of $D_{8} / Z\left(D_{8}\right)$ is 2 , so $D_{8} / Z\left(D_{8}\right) \cong V_{4}$; hence, $\operatorname{Inn}\left(D_{8}\right) \cong V_{4}$. Therefore,

$$
V_{4} \unlhd P_{0}\left(D_{8}\right) \unlhd D_{8}
$$

Since $\left|D_{8}: V_{4}\right|=2$, so $P_{0}\left(D_{8}\right) \cong D_{8}$ or $V_{4}$. However, $D_{8}$ is the nilpotent group of order 2; according to the Proposition $1, P_{0}\left(D_{8}\right)$ is abelian group. The result now follows.

Theorem 2. Let $\mathbb{Q}$ be the additive group of rational numbers. Then, the set of polynomial automorphisms forms a monoid with respect to the operation of functional composition, which is isomorphic to the multiplicative monoid $\mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$. Further, we have $P(\mathbb{Q})=A(\mathbb{Q}) \cong(\mathbb{Q} \backslash$ $\{0\}, \cdot)$.

Proof. Since $\mathbb{Q}$ is the additive group, so each element of $P_{0}(\mathbb{Q})$ is of the form $f(x)=k x$ for every $x \in \mathbb{Q}$, where $k \in$ $\mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$. Now, since $\mathbb{Q}$ is a torsion-free group, so $f(x)=k x$ is the element of $P_{0}(\mathbb{Q})$ for every $k \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$. Hence,

$$
P_{0}(\mathbb{Q})=\{f: \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \mid f(x)=k x, k \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}\} .
$$

It can be easily verified that $P_{0}(\mathbb{Q})$ has only two commutative elements. We consider the mapping $\varphi: P_{0}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$ defined like this: for any $f \in P_{0}(\mathbb{Q}), \varphi(f(x))=k$, where $k \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$ and $x \in \mathbb{Q}$. It is easy to see that $P_{0}(\mathbb{Q}) \cong(\mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}, \cdot)$.
It is straightforward to verify that every element of $\operatorname{End}(\mathbb{Q})$, for every $x \in \mathbb{Q}$, is the form $f_{t}(x)=t x$, where $t=f_{t}(1)$ is the arbitrary element of $\mathbb{Q}$. The mapping $\psi$ : $\operatorname{End}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ of the form

$$
g_{t} \mapsto g_{t}(1) \quad\left(g_{t} \in \operatorname{End}(\mathbb{Q})\right)
$$

is an isomorphism.
Since $A(\mathbb{Q}) \leq \operatorname{End}(\mathbb{Q})$ and $A(\mathbb{Q})$ is the group of invertible elements of $\operatorname{End}(\mathbb{Q})$, so we have $A(\mathbb{Q}) \cong(\mathbb{Q} \backslash\{0\}, \cdot)$.

Further, we have

$$
A(\mathbb{Q})=\{f: \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \mid f(x)=t x, t \in \mathbb{Q} \backslash\{0\}\}
$$

It is clear that $P(\mathbb{Q}) \leq A(\mathbb{Q})$. Let $f \in A(\mathbb{Q})$. Then, there exist $m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$ such that

$$
f(x)=\frac{m}{n} x
$$

for every $x \in \mathbb{Q}$.
Let $f_{1}, f_{2}$ be two elements of $P_{0}(\mathbb{Q})$, respectively defined by the relations

$$
f_{1}(x)=m x, \quad f_{2}(x)=n x
$$

Then, $f_{1} o f_{2}^{-1}(x)=\frac{m}{n} x=f(x)$. Now, since $f_{1} o f_{2}^{-1}$ is the element of $P(\mathbb{Q})$, so $f(x)$ is the element of $P(\mathbb{Q})$. This complete the proof of Theorem 2.
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