Journal for ImmunoTherapy of Cancer

POSTER PRESENTATION

Open Access

T cell activation and anti-tumor efficacy of anti-LAG-3 antibodies is independent of LAG-3 – MHCII blocking capacity

Saso Cemerski[1*], Shuxia Zhao[1], Melissa Chenard[1], Jason Laskey[1], Long Cui[1], Rinkan Shukla[2], Brian Haines[1], Edward Hsieh[2], Maribel Beaumont[2], Jeanine Mattson[2], Wendy Blumenschein[2], Heather Hirsch[1], Laurence Fayadat-Dilman[2], Linda Liang[2], Rene De Waal Malefyt[2]

LAG-3 has been shown to act as an inhibitory molecule involved in the regulation of T cell activation, proliferation and homeostasis. Exhausted T cell populations that evolve in the tumor microenvironment or during chronic viral infections show coordinated expression of LAG-3 and PD-1. LAG-3 is structurally related to CD4 and binds to MHCII. Anti-LAG-3 antibodies have demonstrated preclinical efficacy in several disease models in particular when combined with anti-PD-1 antibodies. Studies have proposed that LAG-3 blockade is efficacious in both CD4^{+} and CD8^{+} T cells despite the lack of significant MHCII levels on CD8^{+} T cell. In the present study, we evaluated if anti-LAG-3 efficacy is dependent on the ability of the antibody to inhibit the binding of LAG-3 to MHCII.

We have compared in a series of *in vitro* assays the biological activity of two distinct anti-mouse LAG-3 antibodies: C9B7W which does not block the LAG-3 – MHCII interaction and an in-house generated antibody 28G10 that strongly blocks the interaction between LAG-3 and MHCII. Biophysical characterization revealed that C9B7W and 28G10 recognize distinct epitopes on LAG-3, therefore explaining the difference in LAG3-MHCII interruption. However, no differences were observed in T cell activation assays between the two antibodies using TCR transgenic CD4^{+} T cells. In addition, their ability to synergize with an anti-PD-1 antibody was also comparable.

To understand if the overall enhancement in CD4^{+} T cell activation by C9B7W and 28G10 was achieved through different mechanisms, we profiled gene expression in T cells stimulated in the presence of anti-LAG-3 antibodies. No significant difference was found between the two antibodies. Consistent with this observation, we did not see an additive effect of C9B7W and 28G10 when used together *in vitro*. Neither antibody demonstrated a significant effect on the activity of TCR transgenic CD8^{+} T cells in *in vitro* functional assays.

Furthermore, the two antibodies demonstrated comparable anti-tumor efficacy in *in vivo* syngeneic tumor models when dosed in combination with anti-PD-1.

In conclusion, our studies demonstrate that the activity of LAG-3-targeting antibodies is not associated with their ability to disrupt LAG-3-MHCII interaction. This would suggest that anti-LAG-3 antibodies should enhance both CD4^{+} and CD8^{+} T cell function. Case in contrast, our *in vitro* data demonstrates that LAG-3 targeting augments the activation of CD4^{+} T cells significantly more than CD8^{+} T cells.

[1]Merck Research Laboratories, Boston, MA, USA
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

BioMed Central