# RESEARCH

# **Open Access**

# Oscillation deduced from the Neimark-Sacker bifurcation in a discrete dual Internet congestion control algorithm

Yingguo Li\*

\*Correspondence: yguoli@fjnu.edu.cn School of Mathematics and Computer Science, Fujian Normal University, Fuzhou, Fujian, 350007, P.R. China

## Abstract

In this paper, we consider a dual Internet congestion control algorithm applied to a nonstandard finite-difference scheme, which responds to congestion signals from the network. By choosing delay as a bifurcation parameter, the local asymptotic stability of the positive equilibrium and the existence of Neimark-Sacker bifurcations are analyzed. Then the explicit algorithm for determining the direction of Neimark-Sacker bifurcation, we give specific examples to illustrate the phenomenon that coincides with our theoretical results.

MSC: 34K18; 34K20

**Keywords:** dual congestion control; Neimark-Sacker bifurcation; stability; time delay; discrete dynamic system

## 1 Introduction

Congestion control algorithms and active queue management (AQM) for Internet have been the focus of intense research since the seminal work of Kelly *et al.* [1]. Congestion control schemes can be divided into three classes: primal algorithms, dual algorithms and primal-dual algorithms [2]. In primal algorithms, the users adapt the source rates dynamically based on the route prices (the congestion signal generated by the link), and the links select a static law to determine the link prices directly from the arrival rates at the links. However, in dual algorithms, the links adapt the link prices dynamically based on the link rates, and the users select a static law to determine the source rates directly from the route prices and the source parameters. Primal-dual algorithms combine these two schemes and dynamically compute both user rates and link prices. In [3], a stability condition was provided for a single proportionally fair congestion controller with delayed feedback. Since then, this result was extended to networks in [4, 5]. In [4], the author studied the case of a network with users having arbitrary propagation delays to and from any links in the network. In [5], the authors studied a more general network with different roundtrip delays amongst different TCP connections.

In this paper, we consider a dual congestion control algorithm, which can be formulated as a congestion control system with feedback delay. The model is described by [7, 8]

$$\dot{p}(t) = kp(t) \left[ f\left( p(t-\tau) \right) - c \right], \tag{1.1}$$

© 2013 Li; licensee Springer. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



where  $f(\cdot)$  is a non-negative continuous, strictly decreasing demand function and has at least third-order continuous derivatives. The scalar *c* is the capacity of the bottleneck link and the variable *p* is the price at the link. *k* is a positive gain parameter,  $\tau$  is the sum of forward and return delays. A great deal of research has been devoted to the global stability, periodic solutions and other properties of this model, for which we refer to [7, 8].

Considering the need of scientific computation and real-time simulation, our interest is focused on the behaviors of a discrete dynamics system corresponding to (1.1). In this paper, we use a nonstandard finite-difference scheme [11, 12] to make the discretization for system (1.1). Firstly, we consider the autonomous delay differential equations

$$\dot{u}(t)=f\bigl(u(t),u(t-1)\bigr).$$

Then we get the following:

$$u_{n+1} - u_n = \Phi(h) f(u_n, u_{n-m}), \tag{1.2}$$

with the function  $\Phi$  such that

$$\Phi(h) = h + O(h^2),$$

where  $h = \frac{1}{m}$  ( $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ) stands for stepsize, and  $u_k$  denotes the approximate value to u(kh). This method can be seen as a modified forward Euler method.

The Neimark-Sacker bifurcation is the discrete analogue of the Hopf bifurcation that occurs in continuous systems. The Hopf bifurcation is extremely important in the continuous dual congestion control algorithm [7, 8]. Similarly, the Neimark-Sacker bifurcation is also highly relevant to the discrete dual congestion control algorithm. The purpose of this paper is to discuss this version as a discrete dynamical system by using Neimark-Sacker bifurcation theory of discrete systems.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we analyze the distribution of the characteristic equation associated with the discrete model and obtain the existence of the local Neimark-Sacker bifurcation. In Section 3, the direction and stability of a closed invariant curve from the Neimark-Sacker bifurcation of the discrete delay model are determined by using the theories of discrete systems in [13]. In Section 4, some computer simulations are performed to illustrate the theoretical results. Conclusions are given in the final section.

## 2 Neimark-Sacker bifurcation analysis

Let  $u(t) = p(\tau t)$ . Then (1.1) can be rewritten as

$$\dot{u}(t) = \tau k u(t) [f(u(t-1)) - c].$$
(2.1)

Assume Eq. (2.1) has a positive equilibrium point  $u^*$ . Then  $u^*$  satisfies

 $f(u^*) = c.$ 

If we employ the nonstandard finite-difference scheme (1.2) to Eq. (2.1) and choose the function  $\Phi$  as

$$\Phi(h)=\frac{1-e^{-\tau kh}}{\tau k},$$

it yields the delay difference equation

$$u_{n+1} = u_n + (1 - e^{-\tau kh}) u_n [f(u_{n-m}) - c].$$
(2.2)

Note that  $u^*$  also is the unique positive equilibrium of (2.2). Set  $y_n = u_n - u^*$ , then it follows that

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + (1 - e^{-\tau kh})(y_n + u^*)[f(y_{n-m} + u^*) - c].$$
(2.3)

By introducing a new variable  $Y_n = (y_n, y_{n-1}, \dots, y_{n-m})^T$ , we can rewrite (2.3) in the form

$$Y_{n+1} = F(Y_n, \tau),$$
 (2.4)

where  $F = (F_0, F_1, ..., F_m)^T$ , and

$$F_{j} = \begin{cases} y_{n} + (1 - e^{-\tau kh})(y_{n} + u^{*})[f(y_{n-m} + u^{*}) - c], & j = 0\\ y_{n-j+1}, & 1 \le j \le m. \end{cases}$$
(2.5)

Clearly the origin is an equilibrium of (2.4), and the linear part of (2.4) is

$$Y_{n+1} = AY_n,$$

where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & (1 - e^{-\tau kh})u^* f'(u^*) \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \vdots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The characteristic equation of A is given by

$$a(\lambda) := \lambda^{m+1} - \lambda^m - (1 - e^{-\tau kh}) u^* f'(u^*) = 0.$$
(2.6)

It is well known that the stability of the zero equilibrium solution of (2.4) depends on the distribution of zeros of the roots of (2.6). In this paper, we employ the results from Zhang *et al.* [9] and He *et al.* [10] to analyze the distribution of zeros of characteristic Eq. (2.6). In order to prove the existence of the local Neimark-Sacker bifurcation at equilibrium, we need some lemmas as follows.

**Lemma 2.1** There exists a  $\overline{\tau} > 0$  such that for  $0 < \tau < \overline{\tau}$  all roots of (2.6) have modulus less than one.

*Proof* When  $\tau$  = 0, (2.6) becomes

$$\lambda^{m+1} - \lambda^m = 0.$$

The equation has, at  $\tau = 0$ , an m-fold root  $\lambda = 0$  and a simple root  $\lambda = 1$ .

Consider the root  $\lambda(\tau)$  such that  $\lambda(0) = 1$ . This root depends continuously on  $\tau$  and is a differential function of  $\tau$ . From (2.6), we have

$$\frac{d\lambda}{d\tau} = \frac{khe^{-\tau kh}u^*f'(u^*)}{(m+1)\lambda^m - m\lambda^{m-1}}$$
(2.7)

and

$$\frac{d\bar{\lambda}}{d\tau} = \frac{khe^{-\tau kh}u^*f'(u^*)}{(m+1)\bar{\lambda}^m - m\bar{\lambda}^{m-1}}.$$
(2.8)

Noticing  $f(\cdot)$  is a non-negative continuous, strictly decreasing function, we have

$$\frac{d|\lambda|^2}{d\tau}\bigg|_{\tau=0,\lambda=1} = \left[\lambda\frac{d\bar{\lambda}}{d\tau} + \bar{\lambda}\frac{d\lambda}{d\tau}\right]\bigg|_{\tau=0,\lambda=1} = 2khu^*f'(u^*) < 0.$$

So, with the increase of  $\tau > 0$ ,  $\lambda$  cannot cross  $\lambda = 1$ . Consequently, all roots of Eq. (2.6) lie in the unit circle for sufficiently small positive  $\tau > 0$ , and the existence of the  $\overline{\tau}$  follows.  $\Box$ 

A Neimark-Sacker bifurcation occurs when a complex conjugate pair of eigenvalues of *A* cross the unit circle as  $\tau$  varies. We have to find values of  $\tau$  such that there are roots on the unit circle. Denote the roots on the unit circle by  $e^{i\omega^*}$ ,  $\omega^* \in (-\pi, \pi)$ . Since we are dealing with complex roots of a real polynomial, we only need to look for  $\omega^* \in (0, \pi)$ .

**Lemma 2.2** There exists an increasing sequence of values of the time delay parameter  $\tau = \tau_j, j = 0, 1, 2, ..., [\frac{m-1}{2}]$  satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \cos \omega_{j} = 1 - \frac{1}{2} [(1 - e^{-\tau_{j} k h}) u^{*} f'(u^{*})]^{2}, \\ \tau_{j} = -\frac{1}{k h} \ln(1 + \frac{\sin \omega_{j}}{u^{*} f'(u^{*}) \sin m \omega_{j}}), \\ h = \frac{1}{m}, \end{cases}$$
(2.9)

where  $\omega_j \in (\frac{2j\pi}{m}, \frac{(2j+1)\pi}{m}), j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, [\frac{m-1}{2}].$ 

*Proof* Denote the roots of Eq. (2.6) on the unit circle by  $e^{i\omega^*}$ ,  $\omega^* \in (0, \pi)$ . Then

$$e^{i\omega^*} - 1 - (1 - e^{-\tau_j kh}) u^* f'(u^*) e^{-im\omega^*} = 0.$$
(2.10)

Separating the real part and the imaginary part from Eq. (2.10), there are

$$\cos\omega^{*} - (1 - e^{-\tau^{*}kh})u^{*}f'(u^{*})\cos m\omega^{*} = 1$$
(2.11)

and

$$\sin \omega^* + (1 - e^{-\tau^* kh}) u^* f'(u^*) \sin m \omega^* = 0.$$
(2.12)

So,

$$\cos\omega^* = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( 1 - e^{-\tau^* kh} \right) u^* f'(u^*) \right]^2.$$
(2.13)

Then the roots  $e^{i\omega^*}$  of (2.6) satisfy Eqs. (2.10)-(2.13). From (2.12) we get

$$\tau^{*} = -\frac{1}{kh} \ln \left( 1 + \frac{\sin \omega^{*}}{u^{*} f'(u^{*}) \sin m \omega^{*}} \right).$$

$$(2.14)$$

Substituting (2.14) into (2.11), we have

$$\sin(m+1)\omega^* = \sin m\omega^*. \tag{2.15}$$

Then Eq. (2.15) has a unique solution  $\omega^*$  in every interval  $(\frac{2j\pi}{m}, \frac{(2j+1)\pi}{m}), j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, [\frac{m-1}{2}]$ , we set

$$\omega_j := \omega^* \in \left(\frac{2j\pi}{m}, \frac{(2j+1)\pi}{m}\right), \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \left[\frac{m-1}{2}\right].$$
(2.16)

From (2.14), we have

$$\tau_j = -\frac{1}{kh} \ln \left( 1 + \frac{\sin \omega_j}{u^* f'(u^*) \sin m \omega_j} \right).$$
(2.17)

This completes the proof.

**Lemma 2.3** Let  $\lambda_j(\tau) = r_j(\tau)e^{i\omega_j(\tau)}$  be a root of (2.6) near  $\tau = \tau_j$  satisfying  $r_j(\tau_j) = 1$  and  $\omega_j(\tau_j) = \omega_j$ . Then

$$\left.\frac{dr_j^2(\tau)}{d\tau}\right|_{\tau=\tau_j,\omega=\omega_j}>0.$$

*Proof* From (2.11) and (2.12), we obtain that

$$\cos m\omega_{j} = \frac{\cos \omega_{j} - 1}{(1 - e^{-\tau_{j}kh})u^{*}f'(u^{*})},$$
(2.18)

$$\sin m\omega_{j} = \frac{-\sin\omega^{*}}{(1 - e^{-\tau_{j}kh})u^{*}f'(u^{*})}.$$
(2.19)

It is easy to see that

$$\cos(m+1)\omega_j = \cos m\omega_j \cos \omega_j - \sin m\omega_j \sin \omega_j = \frac{1 - \cos \omega_j}{(1 - e^{-\tau_j kh})u^* f'(u^*)}.$$
(2.20)

From (2.7), (2.8) and using (2.18)-(2.20), we have

$$\begin{split} \left. \frac{dr_j^2}{d\tau} \right|_{\tau=\tau_j,\omega=\omega_j} &= \left. \frac{d|\lambda_j|^2}{d\tau} \right|_{\tau=\tau_j,\omega=\omega_j} = \left[ \lambda \frac{d\bar{\lambda}}{d\tau} + \bar{\lambda} \frac{d\lambda}{d\tau} \right] \right|_{\tau=\tau_j,\omega=\omega_j} \\ &= \frac{2khe^{-\tau_jkh}(2m+1)(1-\cos\omega_j)}{(1-e^{-\tau_jkh})|(m+1)e^{im\omega_j} - me^{i(m-1)\omega_j}|^2} > 0. \end{split}$$

This completes the proof.

Lemmas 2.1-2.3 immediately lead to the stability of the zero equilibrium of Eq. (2.3), and equivalently, of the positive equilibrium  $u^{*}$  of Eq. (2.2). So, we have the following results on stability and bifurcation in system (2.2).

**Theorem 2.1** There exists a sequence of values of the time-delay parameter  $0 < \tau_0 < \tau_1 < \cdots < \tau_{\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \rfloor}$  such that the positive equilibrium  $u^*$  of Eq. (2.2) is asymptotically stable for  $\tau \in [0, \tau_0)$  and unstable for  $\tau > \tau_0$ . Equation (2.2) undergoes a Neimark-Sacker bifurcation at the positive equilibrium  $u^*$  when  $\tau = \tau_j$ ,  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \rfloor$ , where  $\tau_j$  satisfies (2.13).

## 3 Direction and stability of the Neimark-Sacker bifurcation

In the previous section, we have obtained the conditions under which a family of invariant closed curves bifurcates from the steady state at the critical value  $\tau = \tau_j$ ,  $j = 0, 1, 2, ..., [\frac{m-1}{2}]$ . Without loss of generality, denote the critical value  $\tau = \tau_j$  by  $\tau^*$ . In this section, following the idea of Hassard *et al.* [14], we study the direction and stability of the invariant closed curve when  $\tau = \tau_0$  in the discrete Internet congestion control model. The method we use is based on the theories of a discrete system by Kuznetsov [13].

Rewrite Eq. (2.3) as

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + (1 - e^{-\tau kh}) u^* f'(u^*) y_{n-m} + \frac{1}{2} (1 - e^{-\tau kh}) [2f'(u^*) y_n y_{n-m} + u^* f''(u^*) y_{n-m}^2] + \frac{1}{6} (1 - e^{-\tau kh}) [3f''(u^*) y_n y_{n-m}^2 + u^* f'''(u^*) y_{n-m}^3] + O(|y_n^2 + y_{n-m}^2|^2).$$
(3.1)

So, system (2.3) is turned into

$$Y_{n+1} = AY_n + \frac{1}{2}B(Y_n, Y_n) + \frac{1}{6}C(Y_n, Y_n, Y_n) + O(||Y_n||^4),$$
(3.2)

where

$$B(Y_n, Y_n) = (b_0(Y_n, Y_n), 0, \dots, 0), \qquad C(Y_n, Y_n, Y_n) = (c_0(Y_n, Y_n, Y_n), 0, \dots, 0),$$

and

$$b_{0}(\phi,\psi) = (1 - e^{-\tau kh}) [f'(u^{*})\phi_{0}\psi_{m} + f'(u^{*})\phi_{m}\psi_{0} + u^{*}f''(u^{*})\phi_{m}\psi_{m}], \qquad (3.3)$$
$$c_{0}(\phi,\psi,\eta) = (1 - e^{-\tau kh}) [f''(u^{*})\phi_{0}\psi_{m}\eta_{m} + f''(u^{*})\phi_{m}\psi_{0}\eta_{m} + f''(u^{*})\phi_{m}\psi_{m}\eta_{0} + u^{*}f'''(u^{*})\phi_{m}\psi_{m}\eta_{m}].$$

Let  $q = q(\tau_0) \in \mathbb{C}^{m+1}$  be an eigenvector of A corresponding to  $e^{i\omega_0}$ , then

$$Aq = e^{i\omega_0}q, \qquad A\bar{q} = e^{-i\omega_0}\bar{q}.$$

We also introduce an adjoint eigenvector  $q^* = q^*(\tau) \in \mathbb{C}^{m+1}$  having the properties

$$A^{T}q^{*} = e^{-i\omega_{0}}q^{*}, \qquad A^{T}\bar{q}^{*} = e^{i\omega_{0}}\bar{q}^{*},$$

and satisfying the normalization  $\langle q^*, q \rangle = 1$ , where  $\langle q^*, q \rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \bar{q}_i^* q_i$ .

**Lemma 3.1** (See [15]) *Define a vector-valued function*  $q : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^{m+1}$  *by* 

$$p(\xi) = \left(\xi^{m}, \xi^{m-1}, \dots, 1\right)^{T}.$$
(3.4)

If  $\xi$  is an eigenvalue of A, then  $Ap(\xi) = \xi p(\xi)$ .

In view of Lemma 3.1, we have

$$q = p(e^{i\omega_0}) = (e^{im\omega_0}, e^{i(m-1)\omega_0}, \dots, e^{i\omega_0}, 1)^T.$$
(3.5)

**Lemma 3.2** Suppose  $q^* = (q_0^*, q_1^*, \dots, q_m^*)^T$  is the eigenvector of  $A^T$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $e^{-i\omega_0}$ , and  $\langle q^*, q \rangle = 1$ . Then

$$q^* = \bar{D} \left( 1, \alpha e^{i m \omega_0}, \alpha e^{i (m-1)\omega_0}, \dots, \alpha e^{i 2\omega_0}, \alpha e^{i \omega_0} \right)^T,$$
(3.6)

where  $\alpha = (1 - e^{-\tau kh})u^*f'(u^*)$  and

$$D = \left(e^{im\omega_0} + m\alpha e^{-i\omega_0}\right)^{-1}.$$
(3.7)

*Proof* Assign  $q^*$  satisfies  $A^T q^* = \bar{z}q^*$  with  $\bar{z} = e^{-i\omega_0}$ , then the following identities hold:

$$\begin{cases} q_k^* = e^{-i\omega_0} q_{k-1}^*, & k = 2, \dots, m, \\ \alpha q_0^* = e^{-i\omega_0} q_m^*. \end{cases}$$
(3.8)

Let  $q_m^* = \alpha e^{i\omega_0} \overline{D}$ , then

$$q^* = \overline{D}(1, \alpha e^{im\omega_0}, \alpha e^{i(m-1)\omega_0}, \dots, \alpha e^{i2\omega_0}, \alpha e^{i\omega_0})^T.$$

From normalization  $\langle q^*, q \rangle = 1$  and computation, Eq. (3.7) holds.

Let  $a(\lambda)$  be a characteristic polynomial of A and  $\lambda_0 = e^{i\omega_0}$ . Following the algorithms in [13] and using a computation process similar to that in [15], we can compute an expression for the critical coefficient  $c_1(\tau_0)$ ,

$$c_1(\tau_0) = \frac{g_{20}g_{11}(1-2\lambda_0)}{2(\lambda_0^2-\lambda_0)} + \frac{|g_{11}|^2}{1-\bar{\lambda}_0} + \frac{|g_{02}|^2}{2(\lambda_0^2-\bar{\lambda}_0)} + \frac{g_{21}}{2},$$
(3.9)

where

$$g_{20} = \langle q^{*}, B(q,q) \rangle, \qquad g_{11} = \langle q^{*}, B(q,\bar{q}) \rangle, \qquad g_{02} = \langle q^{*}, B(\bar{q},\bar{q}) \rangle,$$
$$g_{21} = \langle q^{*}, B(\bar{q},\omega_{20}) \rangle + 2 \langle q^{*}, B(q,\omega_{11}) \rangle + \langle q^{*}, C(q,q,\bar{q}) \rangle,$$

$$\begin{split} \omega_{20} &= \frac{b_0(q,q)}{a(\lambda_0^2)} p(\lambda_0^2) - \frac{\langle q^*, B(q,q) \rangle}{\lambda_0^2 - \lambda_0} q - \frac{\langle \bar{q}^*, B(q,q) \rangle}{\lambda_0^2 - \bar{\lambda}_0} \bar{q}, \\ \omega_{11} &= \frac{b_0(q,\bar{q})}{a(1)} p(1) - \frac{\langle q^*, B(q,\bar{q}) \rangle}{1 - \lambda_0} q - \frac{\langle \bar{q}^*, B(q,\bar{q}) \rangle}{1 - \bar{\lambda}_0} \bar{q}. \end{split}$$

By (3.3), (3.5) and Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\begin{cases} b_{0}(\bar{q}, p(e^{i2\omega_{0}})) = (1 - e^{-\tau_{0}kh})[f'(u^{*})e^{-im\omega_{0}} + f'(u^{*})e^{i2m\omega_{0}} + u^{*}f'''(u^{*})], \\ b_{0}(q, q) = (1 - e^{-\tau_{0}kh})[2f'(u^{*})e^{im\omega_{0}} + u^{*}f''(u^{*})], \\ b_{0}(q, \bar{q}) = (1 - e^{-\tau_{0}kh})[f'(u^{*})e^{im\omega_{0}} + f'(u^{*})e^{-im\omega_{0}} + u^{*}f'''(u^{*})], \\ b_{0}(\bar{q}, \bar{q}) = (1 - e^{-\tau_{0}kh})[2f'(u^{*})e^{-im\omega_{0}} + u^{*}f''(u^{*})], \\ b_{0}(q, p(1)) = (1 - e^{-\tau_{0}kh})[f'(u^{*})e^{im\omega_{0}} + f''(u^{*}) + u^{*}f'''(u^{*})], \\ c_{0}(q, q, \bar{q}) = (1 - e^{-\tau_{0}kh})[2f''(u^{*})e^{im\omega_{0}} + f''(u^{*})e^{-im\omega_{0}} + u^{*}f'''(u^{*})], \\ a(e^{i2\omega_{0}}) = e^{i2(m+1)\omega_{0}} - e^{i2m\omega_{0}} - (1 - e^{-\tau_{0}kh})u^{*}f'(u^{*}), \\ a(1) = -(1 - e^{-\tau_{0}kh})u^{*}f'(u^{*}). \end{cases}$$
(3.10)

Substituting these into (3.9), we can obtain  $c_1(\tau_0)$ .

Lemma 3.3 (See [6]) Given the map (2.4), assume

- (1)  $\lambda(\tau) = r(\tau)e^{i\omega(\tau)}$ , where  $r(\tau^*) = 1$ ,  $r'(\tau^*) \neq 0$  and  $\omega(\tau^*) = \omega^*$ ;
- (2)  $e^{ik\omega^*} \neq 1$  for k = 1, 2, 3, 4;
- (3)  $\operatorname{Re}[e^{-i\omega^*}c_1(\tau^*)] \neq 0.$

Then an invariant closed curve, topologically equivalent to a circle, for map (2.4) exists for  $\tau$  in a one side neighborhood of  $\tau^{\circ}$ . The radius of the invariant curve grows like  $O(\sqrt{|\tau - \tau^{\circ}|})$ . One of the four cases below applies.

- (1)  $r'(\tau^*) > 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Re}[e^{-i\omega^*}c_1(\tau^*)] < 0$ . The origin is asymptotically stable for  $\tau < \tau^*$  and unstable for  $\tau > \tau^*$ . An attracting invariant closed curve exists for  $\tau > \tau^*$ .
- (2)  $r'(\tau^*) > 0$ , Re $[e^{-i\omega}c_1(\tau^*)] > 0$ . The origin is asymptotically stable for  $\tau < \tau^*$  and unstable for  $\tau > \tau^*$ . A repelling invariant closed curve exists for  $\tau < \tau^*$ .
- (3)  $r'(\tau^*) < 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Re}[e^{-i\omega^*}c_1(\tau^*)] < 0$ . The origin is asymptotically stable for  $\tau > \tau^*$  and unstable for  $\tau < \tau^*$ . An attracting invariant closed curve exists for  $\tau < \tau^*$ .
- (4)  $r'(\tau^*) < 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Re}[e^{-i\omega^*}c_1(\tau^*)] > 0$ . The origin is asymptotically stable for  $\tau > \tau^*$  and unstable for  $\tau < \tau^*$ . A repelling invariant closed curve exists for  $\tau > \tau^*$ .

From the discussion in Section 2, we know that  $r'(\tau^*) > 0$ ; therefore, by Lemma 3.3, we have the following result.

**Theorem 3.1** For Eq. (2.2), the positive equilibrium  $u^*$  is asymptotically stable for  $\tau \in [0, \tau_0)$  and unstable for  $\tau > \tau_0$ . An attracting (repelling) invariant closed curve exists for  $\tau > \tau_0$  if  $\operatorname{Re}[e^{-i\omega_0}c_1(\tau_0)] < 0$  (> 0).

## **4** Computer simulation

In this section, we confirm our theoretical analysis by numerical simulation. We choose  $f(u) = \frac{1}{u}$  as in [8] and set k = 0.01, m = 20, c = 50. Then  $\tau_0 = 3.0$  is the Neimark-Sacker bifurcation value.

and





Figures 1-4 are about delay difference Eq. (2.2) when step size h = 0.05.

In Figures 1 and 2, we show the waveform plot and phase plot for (2.2) with initial values  $u_j = 0.05$  (j = 0, 1, ..., 20) for  $\tau = 2.9 < \tau_0 = 3.0$ . The equilibrium  $u^* = 0.02$  of Eq. (2.2) is asymptotically stable. In Figures 3 and 4 we show the waveform plot and phase plot for (2.2) with initial values  $u_j = 0.05$  (j = 0, 1, ..., 20). The equilibrium  $u^* = 0.02$  of (2.2) is unstable for  $\tau = 3.1 > \tau_0 = 3.0$ . When  $\tau$  varies and passes through  $\tau_0 = 3.0$ , the equilibrium





loses its stability and an invariant closed curve bifurcates from the equilibrium for  $\tau = 3.1 > \tau_0 = 3.0$ . That is the delay difference Eq. (2.2) which has a Neimark-Sacker bifurcation at  $\tau_0$ .

# 5 Conclusion

In this paper, we focus our study on the Neimark-Sacker bifurcation in a fair dual algorithm of an Internet congestion control system. By using communication delay as a bifurcation parameter, we have shown that when the communication delay of the system passes through a critical value, a family of periodic orbits bifurcates from the equilibrium point. Furthermore, we have analyzed the stability and direction of the bifurcating periodic solutions. The results of numerical simulations agree with the theoretical results very well and verify the theoretical analysis.

#### **Competing interests**

The author declares that he has no competing interests.

#### Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to anonymous referees for their excellent suggestions, which greatly improved the paper. Also, this work was supported by the Foundation of Fujian Education Bureau (JB12046).

#### Received: 14 February 2013 Accepted: 24 April 2013 Published: 13 May 2013

#### References

- 1. Kelly, FP, Maulloo, A, Tan, DK: Rate control in communication networks: shadow prices, proportional fairness, and stability. J. Oper. Res. Soc. 49, 237-252 (1998)
- 2. Liu, S, Basar, T, Srikant, R: Exponential-RED: a stabilizing AQM scheme for low-and high-speed TCP protocols. IEEE/ACM Trans. Netw. 13(5), 1068-1081 (2005)
- 3. Kelly, FP: Models for a self-managed Internet. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A 358, 2335-2348 (2000)
- 4. Massoulie, L: Stability of distributed congestion control with heterogeneous feedback delays. IEEE Trans. Autom. Control **47**, 895-902 (2002)
- 5. Wang, XF, Chen, G, Ko, KT: A stability theorem for Internet congestion control. Syst. Control Lett. 45, 81-85 (2002)
- 6. Wiggins, S: Introduction to Applied Nonlinear Dynamical System and Chaos. Springer, New York (1990)
- 7. Raina, G: Local bifurcation analysis of some dual congestion control algorithms. IEEE Trans. Autom. Control 50(8), 1135-1146 (2005)
- 8. Ding, D, Zhu, J, Luo, X, Liu, Y: Delay induced Hopf bifurcation in a dual model of Internet congestion control algorithm. Nonlinear Anal., Real World Appl. **10**, 2873-2883 (2009)
- 9. Zhang, C, Zu, Y, Zheng, B: Stability and bifurcation of a discrete red blood cell survival model. Chaos Solitons Fractals 28, 386-394 (2006)
- 10. He, Z, Lai, X, Hou, A: Stability and Neimark-Sacker bifurcation of numerical discretization of delay differential equations. Chaos Solitons Fractals **41**, 2010-2017 (2009)
- 11. Mickens, RE: A nonstandard finite-difference scheme for the Lotka-Volterra system. Appl. Numer. Math. 45, 309-314 (2003)
- Su, H, Ding, X: Dynamics of a nonstandard finite-difference scheme for Mackey-Glass system. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 344, 932-941 (2008)
- 13. Kuznetsov, YA: Elements of Applied Bifurcation Theory. Springer, New York (1995)
- 14. Hassard, BD, Kazarinoff, ND, Wa, YH: Theory and Applications of Hopf Bifurcation. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1981)
- 15. Wulf, V: Numerical Analysis of Delay Differential Equations Undergoing a Hopf Bifurcation. University of Liverpool, Liverpool (1999)

### doi:10.1186/1687-1847-2013-136

**Cite this article as:** Li: Oscillation deduced from the Neimark-Sacker bifurcation in a discrete dual Internet congestion control algorithm. *Advances in Difference Equations* 2013 **2013**:136.

# Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com