

**NANO EXPRESS**

**Open Access**

# Thiol-functionalized magnetite/graphene oxide hybrid as a reusable adsorbent for Hg<sup>2+</sup> removal

Jian Bao<sup>1\*</sup>, You Fu<sup>2</sup> and Zhihao Bao<sup>2\*</sup>

## Abstract

A thiol-functionalized magnetite/graphene oxide (MGO) hybrid as an adsorbent of Hg<sup>2+</sup> was successfully synthesized by a two-step reaction. It exhibited a higher adsorption capacity compared to the bare graphene oxide and MGO due to the combined adsorption of thiol groups and magnetite nanocrystals. Its capacity reached 289.9 mg g<sup>-1</sup> in a solution with an initial Hg<sup>2+</sup> concentration of 100 mg l<sup>-1</sup>. After being exchanged with H<sup>+</sup>, the adsorbent could be reused. The adsorption of Hg<sup>2+</sup> by the thiol-functionalized MGO fits well with the Freundlich isotherm model and followed pseudo-second-order kinetics.

**Keywords:** Mercury ion; Magnetite; Adsorption capacity; Graphene oxide; Hybrid

## Background

Due to the development and expansion of industry, pollution of heavy metals in water supplies increases in the recent years. The pollution is seriously threatening the ecological systems as well as human health. Among them, mercury is one of the most hazardous elements due to its toxicological and biogeochemical behavior [1,2]. A lot of adsorbents have been employed to extract Hg<sup>2+</sup> from the industrial wastewaters. For example, thiol-functionalized adsorbents exhibited a specific binding capability toward highly toxic heavy metal ions including Hg<sup>2+</sup> due to the existence of the thiol groups [3-6]. While for iron oxides, their adsorption mechanism was attributed to the complexation of Hg<sup>2+</sup> and surface hydroxyl group at the iron oxide/water interface [7-9]. Iron oxide nanocrystals can further enhance the adsorption capacities because of their high specific surface area [6,10]. Another advantage of using iron oxide-based adsorbents is that they can be easily extracted from wastewater by applying an external magnetic force. However, few research works have reported on adsorbents with both adsorption effects. The emergence of graphene oxide makes such combination possible due to its abundant functional moieties (hydroxyl and carboxyl groups)

[11,12], which enable possible metal oxide deposition and functional organic group grafting on its surface [13-15]. In this work, we deposited Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles on graphene oxide and then grafted thiol groups on the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/graphene oxide (MGO). The thiol-functionalized MGO exhibited relatively high Hg<sup>2+</sup> adsorption capacity. The adsorbent could be separated from the water solutions easily and reused after it was exchanged with H<sup>+</sup>.

## Methods

### Chemicals and materials

Natural graphite (500 mesh), 98 wt.% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, 5 wt.% HCl aqueous solution, 30 wt.% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> aqueous solution, acetone, and Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). 1-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP), ferric acetylacetonate (Fe(acac)<sub>3</sub>), potassium permanganate (KMnO<sub>4</sub>), NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC), and 2-mercaptoethylamine (MEA) were purchased from Aladdin Reagent Company (Shanghai, China). Other reagents used were of analytical grades without further purification. Deionized water was used in all the processes of aqueous solution preparations.

### Preparation of MGO

Graphene oxide (GO, 100 mg) was dispersed in 30 ml of NMP by ultrasonication at room temperature, and the mixture was heated to 190°C under an argon atmosphere. Fe(acac)<sub>3</sub> (1.413 g, 4 mmol) was dissolved in

\* Correspondence: jbaonj@gmail.com; zbao@tongji.edu.cn

<sup>1</sup>Jiangsu Provincial Academy of Environmental Science, 241 Fenghuang West Street, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210036, China

<sup>2</sup>Shanghai Key Laboratory of Special Artificial Microstructure Materials and Technology, Tongji University, 1239 Siping Road, Shanghai 200092, China

20 ml of NMP and added dropwise in about 1 h to the GO/NMP solution under vigorous stirring. The stirring was continued for another 4 h after the dropping was finished. After being cooled to room temperature, the mixture was washed three times using acetone and water alternatively. The precipitate was collected by magnetic separation and was then dispersed in water by ultrasonication. The resulting black powder was collected by freeze-drying.

### Synthesis of thiol-functionalized MGO

MGO (10 mg) was dispersed in 10 ml of deionized water by ultrasonication in an ice bath. EDC of 50 ml and a  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3\text{-NaHCO}_3$  (1:9) buffer solution were added to adjust the pH of the system to approximately 9. After carboxyl groups on MGO were activated in 1 h, a solution containing 100 mg of MEA was added dropwise to the system. With the protection of argon, the reaction lasted for 24 h. The precipitate was collected by magnetic separation and was then dispersed in water by ultrasonication. The resulting black powder was collected by freeze-drying.

### Adsorption experiment


The effects of the initial concentration of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  and adsorption time on the final adsorption capacity were tested to obtain the saturated adsorption capacity and dynamic adsorption curve. Thiol-functionalized MGO powder was added to 25 ml of water solution with different concentrations of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ . NaOH was used to adjust the pH of the solution. While the temperature was kept stable by using a water bath, the samples were placed on a standard rocker and oscillated for given hours. The supernate was collected by magnetic separation for reproducibility test. After washing with diluted HCl (0.25 N), the thiol-functionalized MGO was re-immersed in the solution with an initial  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  concentration of  $100 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$  and oscillated for 48 h.

### Characterization


The X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern was taken on a D/MAX-RB diffractometer using  $\text{Cu K}\alpha$  radiation. Investigation of the microstructure was performed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEOL JEM-2010 F, JEOL Ltd., Akishima, Tokyo, Japan). Water bath sonication was performed with a JYD 1800 L sonicator (100 to 2,000 W, ZhiXin Instrument Co., Ltd, Shanghai, China).  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  concentration was determined by using a DMA-80 direct mercury analyzer (Milestone S.r.l., Sorisole, Italy).

### Results and discussion

GO was prepared from natural graphite using modified Hummer's method [16,17].  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  nanoparticles were deposited on graphene oxide by decomposition of  $\text{Fe}(\text{acac})_3$  in NMP solution (Figure 1, step A) at  $190^\circ\text{C}$  [18]. Figure 2a shows the XRD pattern of the product. The peaks at  $30.2^\circ$ ,  $35.5^\circ$ ,  $43.1^\circ$ ,  $53.5^\circ$ ,  $57.0^\circ$ ,  $62.4^\circ$  in the pattern could be ascribed to diffraction of (220), (311), (400), (422), (511), and (440) crystal planes of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  (magnetite, JCPDS no. 75-0033). Based on the Scherrer analysis of the pattern, the crystallite size of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  was estimated to be 13.0 nm. The appearance of the magnetite phase was consistent with the electron diffraction pattern (inset in Figure 2b). The TEM image (Figure 2b) of the product showed that GO was decorated with magnetite aggregates with a size of several tens of nanometers. In the synthesis process, carbon monoxide was generated at a relatively high temperature and partially reduced  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  to  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ . Then, the magnetite nanocrystals nucleated and grew at the oxygen-containing defects sites such as carboxyl, hydroxyl, and epoxy groups [14]. Finally, MGO was obtained. Thiol functional groups were grafted on the MGO by the reaction between MEA and carboxyl groups on GO activated by EDC (Figure 1, step B). Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDAX) analysis (Figure 2c) indicated the appearance of the sulfur element, indicating that the thiol groups were successfully grafted on MGO. Thus, the thiol-functionalized MGO was obtained after the reaction.



**Figure 1** Schematic of synthesis of thiol-functionalized MGO from graphene oxide.




**Figure 2** XRD pattern, TEM image, and EDAX analysis. (a) XRD pattern of MGO, (b) TEM image of MGO (inset, the electron diffraction pattern of MGO), and (c) EDAX analysis of thiol-functionalized MGO.


The magnetic properties of the thiol-functionalized MGO were investigated using a superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) magnetometer. Figure 3 shows the hysteresis loop of the thiol-functionalized MGO hybrids at room temperature (300 K). The saturation magnetization was  $22.0 \text{ emu g}^{-1}$ , which was much smaller than  $92.0 \text{ emu g}^{-1}$ , the saturation magnetization of bulk  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  [19]. The reduction in the value of saturation magnetization could be attributed to the rather small size of magnetite and GO in the hybrids [20,21]. The remnant magnetization and coercivity for thiol-functionalized

MGO were  $0.74 \text{ emu g}^{-1}$  and  $11.89 \text{ Oe}$ , respectively, which were ascribed to the superparamagnetic state of magnetite nanocrystals due to the size effect. Such superparamagnetic state of the adsorbent with small remnant magnetization and coercivity at room temperature could enable the adsorbent to be readily attracted and separated by even a small external magnetic field [22]. In fact, the thiol-functionalized MGO dispersed in water solution was easily extracted from water with a magnet (Figure 3b).

The adsorption kinetics of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  by the thiol-functionalized MGO is shown Figure 4a. The initial  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  concentration



**Figure 3** Hysteresis loop and extraction of the thiol-functionalized MGO. (a) Hysteresis curve of thiol-functionalized MGO (inset, close view of hysteresis loops) and (b) the water solution dispersed with thiol-functionalized MGO and magnetic separation.



**Figure 4 Adsorption kinetics.** (a) Hg<sup>2+</sup> adsorption kinetics of GO, MGO, and thiol-functionalized MGO, respectively. (b) The adsorption kinetics of thiol-functionalized MGO fits with the pseudo-second-order kinetics (initial concentration, 10 mg l<sup>-1</sup>).

was 10 mg l<sup>-1</sup>. The adsorbed capacity ( $Q$ ) of Hg<sup>2+</sup> per unit mass was calculated using the following equation:

$$Q = \frac{(C_0 - C_t) \times V}{W}$$

where,  $Q$  (mg g<sup>-1</sup>) is the amount of Hg<sup>2+</sup> adsorbed per unit of adsorbent (mg g<sup>-1</sup>);  $C_0$  (mg l<sup>-1</sup>) and  $C_t$  (mg l<sup>-1</sup>) refer to the initial concentration of Hg<sup>2+</sup> and the concentration of Hg<sup>2+</sup> after the adsorption, respectively;  $W$  (g) is the weight of thiol-functionalized MGO;  $V$  (ml) is the volume of the whole solution system. After a 48-h adsorption, the solution reached a state of equilibrium. Even GO alone had a certain adsorption capacity of Hg<sup>2+</sup>, which was due to the formation of exchanged metal carboxylates on the surface of GO [23], while the adsorption capacity of thiol-functionalized MGO was higher than those of GO and MGO. The improved adsorption capacity of thiol-functionalized MGO could be attributed to the combined affinity of Hg<sup>2+</sup> by magnetite nanocrystals and thiol groups. To determine the mechanism of Hg<sup>2+</sup> adsorption from an aqueous solution by thiol-functionalized MGO, the pseudo-first-order and pseudo-second-order kinetic models were


applied to interpret the adsorption data. The pseudo-second-order kinetics was presented as [24]

$$\frac{t}{Q_t} = \frac{1}{K_2 Q_e^2} + \frac{t}{Q_e}$$

where  $K_2$  is the pseudo-second-order rate constant (g mg<sup>-1</sup>) and  $Q_e$  is the amount of Hg<sup>2+</sup> adsorbed per unit of adsorbent (mg g<sup>-1</sup>) at time  $t$ . The  $t/Q_t$  versus  $t$  plot shown in Figure 4b indicated that the adsorption of Hg<sup>2+</sup> by thiol-functionalized MGO followed the pseudo-second-order kinetic model, but not the pseudo-first-order kinetic model (Additional file 1: Figure S1a).  $K_2$  and  $Q_e$  were calculated to be 6.49E-4 g mg<sup>-1</sup> min<sup>-1</sup> and 30.94 mg g<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. To understand how Hg<sup>2+</sup> interacted with thiol-functionalized MGO, different adsorption isotherm models were used to fit the adsorption data. The data of Hg<sup>2+</sup> adsorption were fit with the Freundlich isotherm model, which can be expressed as [25]

$$\log Q_e = \log K + \frac{1}{n} \log C_e$$

where  $K$  and  $n$  are the Freundlich adsorption isotherm constants, which are related to the relative adsorption



**Figure 5 Adsorption isotherms and adsorption capacity.** (a) Adsorption isotherms fitted with the Freundlich model (red line) for adsorption of Hg<sup>2+</sup> on thiol-functionalized MGO and (b) adsorption capacity versus the cycling number with the initial concentration of 100 mg l<sup>-1</sup> Hg<sup>2+</sup>.

capacity of the adsorbent and the degree of nonlinearity between solution concentration and adsorption, respectively.  $K$  and  $1/n$  values can be calculated from the intercept and slope of the linear plot between  $\log C_e$  and  $\log Q_e$ . Based on the plot shown in Figure 5a,  $n$  and  $K$  were calculated to be 1.02 and 10.54, respectively. However, the data did not fit the Langmuir isotherm model very well (Additional file 1: Figure S1b), indicating that the adsorption of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  by the adsorbent was not restricted to monolayer formations [26]. To test the reproducibility of the adsorbents, they were immersed in an aqueous solution with an initial  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  concentration of  $100 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$  for 48 h with oscillation. The adsorption capacity for the first-time immersion was calculated to be  $289.9 \text{ mg g}^{-1}$ . After being washed with diluted HCl, thiol-functionalized MGO was applied to repeat the exact same adsorption test. The obtained adsorption capacities were 282.4, 276.8, and  $258.1 \text{ mg g}^{-1}$  for the second-, third-, and fourth-time immersion, respectively, which were corresponding to 97.4%, 95.5%, and 89.0% of initial adsorption capacity. It indicated that the adsorbents could be reused.

## Conclusion

Thiol-functionalized MGO with magnetite nanoparticles was successfully synthesized using a two-step reaction. Thiol-functionalized MGO exhibited higher adsorption capacity compared to the bare graphene oxide and MGO. Its capacity reached  $289.9 \text{ mg g}^{-1}$  in the solution with an initial  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  concentration of  $100 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$ . The improved adsorption capacity could be attributed to the combined affinity of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  by magnetite nanocrystals and thiol groups. After being exchanged with  $\text{H}^+$ , the adsorbent could be recycled. The adsorption of  $\text{Hg}^{2+}$  by thiol-functionalized MGO fits well with the Freundlich isotherm model and followed pseudo-second-order kinetics. The scheme reported here enables rational design of the surface properties of graphene oxide and can be used to synthesize other functionalized composites for environmental applications.

## Additional file

**Additional file 1: Figure S1.** (a) Adsorption kinetics fits with the pseudo-first-order model (red line) and (b) adsorption isotherm fits with the Langmuir isotherm model (red line).

## Abbreviations

$\text{Fe}(\text{acac})_3$ : Ferric acetylacetonate; GO: Graphene oxide;  $\text{KMnO}_4$ : Potassium permanganate; MEA: 2-mercaptoethylamine; MGO: Magnetite/graphene oxide; NMP: 1-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone; SQUID: Superconducting quantum interference device; XRD: X-ray diffraction.

## Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## Authors' contributions

JB and ZB designed the experiments. JB and YF performed the experiments. JB and ZB analyzed the data. JB and ZB wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the Jiangsu Environmental Protection Project (no. 2012005).

Received: 25 October 2013 Accepted: 12 November 2013

Published: 19 November 2013

## References

1. Kelly C, Rudd JW, Holoka M: Effect of pH on mercury uptake by an aquatic bacterium: implications for Hg cycling. *Environ Sci Technol* 2003, **37**:2941–2946.
2. World Health Organization: *IPCS Environmental Health Criteria 101: Methylmercury. International Programme of Chemical Safety*. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1990.
3. Vieira FSE, de Simoni JA, Airolidi C: Interaction of cations with SH-modified silica gel: thermochemical study through calorimetric titration and direct extent of reaction determination. *J Mater Chem* 1997, **7**:2249–2252.
4. Feng X, Fryxell G, Wang L-Q, Kim AY, Liu J, Kemner K: Functionalized monolayers on ordered mesoporous supports. *Science* 1997, **276**:923–926.
5. Bibby A, Mercier L: Mercury (II) ion adsorption behavior in thiol-functionalized mesoporous silica microspheres. *Chem Mater* 2002, **14**:1591–1597.
6. Yavuz CT, Mayo J, William WY, Prakash A, Falkner JC, Yean S, Cong L, Shipley HJ, Kan A, Tomson M: Low-field magnetic separation of monodisperse  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  nanocrystals. *Science* 2006, **314**:964–967.
7. Kinniburgh D, Jackson M: Adsorption of mercury (II) by iron hydrous oxide gel. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 1978, **42**:45–47.
8. Tiffreau C, Lützenkirchen J, Behra P: Modeling the adsorption of mercury (II) on (hydr) oxides I. Amorphous iron oxide and  $\alpha$ -quartz. *J Colloid Interface Sci* 1995, **172**:82–93.
9. Kim CS, Rytuba JJ, Brown GE Jr: EXAFS study of mercury (II) sorption to Fe- and Al-(hydr) oxides: I Effects of pH. *J Colloid Interface Sci* 2004, **271**:1–15.
10. Chandra V, Park J, Chun Y, Lee JW, Hwang I-C, Kim KS: Water-dispersible magnetite-reduced graphene oxide composites for arsenic removal. *ACS Nano* 2010, **4**:3979–3986.
11. He H, Klinowski J, Forster M, Lerf A: A new structural model for graphite oxide. *Chemical Physics Letters* 1998, **287**:53–56.
12. Hontoria-Lucas C, Lopez-Peinado A, López-González JD, Rojas-Cervantes M, Martín-Aranda R: Study of oxygen-containing groups in a series of graphite oxides: physical and chemical characterization. *Carbon* 1995, **33**:1585–1592.
13. Dreyer DR, Park S, Bielawski CW, Ruoff RS: The chemistry of graphene oxide. *Chem Soc Rev* 2010, **39**:228–240.
14. Wang H, Robinson JT, Diankov G, Dai H: Nanocrystal growth on graphene with various degrees of oxidation. *J Am Chem Soc* 2010, **132**:3270–3271.
15. Wang X, Tabakman SM, Dai H: Atomic layer deposition of metal oxides on pristine and functionalized graphene. *J Am Chem Soc* 2008, **130**:8152–8153.
16. Moon IK, Lee J, Ruoff RS, Lee H: Reduced graphene oxide by chemical graphitization. *Nat Commun* 2010, **1**:73.
17. Hummers WS Jr, Offeman RE: Preparation of graphitic oxide. *J Am Chem Soc* 1958, **80**:1339.
18. Li Z, Sun Q, Gao M: Preparation of water-soluble magnetite nanocrystals from hydrated ferric salts in 2-pyrrolidone: mechanism leading to  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ . *Angew Chem Int Ed* 2005, **44**:123–126.
19. Zaitsev VS, Filimonov DS, Presnyakov IA, Gambino RJ, Chu B: Physical and chemical properties of magnetite and magnetite-polymer nanoparticles and their colloidal dispersions. *J Colloid Interface Sci* 1999, **212**:49–57.
20. Berkowitz AE, Schuele WJ, Flanders PJ: Influence of crystallite size on the magnetic properties of acicular  $\gamma\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  particles. *J Appl Phys* 1968, **39**:1261–1263.
21. Chen J, Sorensen C, Klabunde K, Hadjipanayis G, Devlin E, Kostikas A: Size-dependent magnetic properties of  $\text{MnFe}_2\text{O}_4$  fine particles synthesized by coprecipitation. *Physical Review B* 1996, **54**:9288.

22. Wang X, Yang D-P, Huang G, Huang P, Shen G, Guo S, Mei Y, Cui D: **Rolling up graphene oxide sheets into micro/nanoscrolls by nanoparticle aggregation.** *J Mater Chem* 2012, **22**:17441–17444.
23. Karabulut S, Karabakan A, Denizli A, Yürüm Y: **Batch removal of copper (II) and zinc (II) from aqueous solutions with low-rank Turkish coals.** *Sep Purif Technol* 2000, **18**:177–184.
24. Ho Y-S, McKay G: **Pseudo-second order model for sorption processes.** *Process Biochem* 1999, **34**:451–465.
25. Freundlich H: **Über die adsorption in lasugen.** *Z Phys Chem* 1906, **57**:385–470.
26. Langmuir I: **The adsorption of gases on plane surfaces of glass, mica and platinum.** *J Am Chem Soc* 1918, **40**:1361–1403.

doi:10.1186/1556-276X-8-486

**Cite this article as:** Bao et al.: Thiol-functionalized magnetite/graphene oxide hybrid as a reusable adsorbent for Hg<sup>2+</sup> removal. *Nanoscale Research Letters* 2013 **8**:486.

**Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:**

- ▶ Convenient online submission
- ▶ Rigorous peer review
- ▶ Immediate publication on acceptance
- ▶ Open access: articles freely available online
- ▶ High visibility within the field
- ▶ Retaining the copyright to your article

---

Submit your next manuscript at ▶ [springeropen.com](http://springeropen.com)

---