

Research article

Open Access

Assessment of data quality in a multi-centre cross-sectional study of participation and quality of life of children with cerebral palsy

Heather Dickinson¹, Kathryn Parkinson², Vicki McManus³, Catherine Arnaud⁴, Eva Beckung⁵, Jérôme Fauconnier⁶, Susan I Michelsen⁷, Jackie Parkes⁸, Giorgio Schirripa^{9,12}, Ute Thyen¹⁰ and Allan Colver^{*11}

Address: ¹School of Population and Health Sciences, Newcastle University, 21 Claremont Place, Newcastle, NE2 4AA, UK, ²School of Clinical Medical Sciences, Newcastle University, 4th Floor William Leech Building, Medical School, Framlington Place, Newcastle, NE2 4HH, UK, ³Enable Ireland, Lavanagh Centre, Ballintemple, Cork, Ireland, ⁴Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale, U558 Département de Santé Publique, Faculté de Médecine, 37 allées J Guesde, 31 073 Toulouse, France, ⁵Göteborg University, The Queen Silvia Children's Hospital, S-41685 Göteborg, Sweden, ⁶Université Joseph Fournier, SIIM-Pole Exploitation, CHU de Grenoble BP 217, 38043 Grenoble cedex 9, France, ⁷National Institute of Public Health, Oster Farimagsgade 5, 1399 Copenhagen, Denmark, ⁸School of Nursing & Midwifery, Queen's University Belfast, 21 Stranmillis Road, Belfast, BT9 5AF, Northern Ireland, ⁹Azienda Sanitaria Locale Viterbo, Viale Trento 18 H, 01100 Viterbo, Italy, ¹⁰Klinik für Kinder, Universitätsklinikum Schleswig-Holstein, Ratzeburger Allee 160, 23538 Lubeck, Germany, ¹¹Sir James Spence Institute, Newcastle University, Royal Victoria Infirmary, Newcastle NE1 4LP, UK and ¹²(deceased)

Email: Heather Dickinson - heather.dickinson@ncl.ac.uk; Kathryn Parkinson - kathryn.parkinson@ncl.ac.uk; Vicki McManus - vmcmanus@enableireland.ie; Catherine Arnaud - carnaud@cict.fr; Eva Beckung - eva.beckung@vgregion.se; Jérôme Fauconnier - jerome.fauconnier@imag.fr; Susan I Michelsen - sim@si-folkesundhed.dk; Jackie Parkes - j.parkes@qub.ac.uk; Ute Thyen - thyen@paedia.ukl.mu-luebeck.de; Allan Colver* - allan.colver@ncl.ac.uk

* Corresponding author

Published: 06 November 2006

Received: 08 August 2006

BMC Public Health 2006, 6:273 doi:10.1186/1471-2458-6-273

Accepted: 06 November 2006

This article is available from: <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2458/6/273>

© 2006 Dickinson et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Background: SPARCLE is a cross-sectional survey in nine European regions, examining the relationship of the environment of children with cerebral palsy to their participation and quality of life. The objective of this report is to assess data quality, in particular heterogeneity between regions, family and item non-response and potential for bias.

Methods: 1,174 children aged 8–12 years were selected from eight population-based registers of children with cerebral palsy; one further centre recruited 75 children from multiple sources. Families were visited by trained researchers who administered psychometric questionnaires. Logistic regression was used to assess factors related to family non-response and self-completion of questionnaires by children.

Results: 431/1,174 (37%) families identified from registers did not respond: 146 (12%) were not traced; of the 1,028 traced families, 250 (24%) declined to participate and 35 (3%) were not approached. Families whose disabled children could walk unaided were more likely to decline to participate. 818 children entered the study of which 500 (61%) self-reported their quality of life; children with low IQ, seizures or inability to walk were less likely to self-report. There was substantial heterogeneity between regions in response rates and socio-demographic characteristics of families but not in age or gender of children. Item non-response was 2% for children and ranged from 0.4% to 5% for questionnaires completed by parents.

Conclusion: While the proportion of untraced families was higher than in similar surveys, the refusal rate was comparable. To reduce bias, all analyses should allow for region, walking ability, age and socio-demographic characteristics. The 75 children in the region without a population based register are unlikely to introduce bias.

Background

SPARCLE [1] is a cross-sectional survey in nine European regions which examines the relationship between the physical, social and attitudinal environment of children with cerebral palsy and their participation in everyday activities and quality of life. Its analyses will take account of family stress and child behaviour. Children with cerebral palsy were studied because they often have impairments of learning, hearing, vision, communication and epilepsy in addition to motor impairment and so are more representative of disabled children than a group with for example just epilepsy or movement difficulties. Importantly, there are also population registers of such children, reducing the risk of selecting a biased study population. Children aged 8–12 years were studied because they have been investigated much less than preschool children, are able to report their own Quality of Life and have not entered adolescence where additional factors operate. The study is original in its methods by directly engaging children themselves, where possible, and including those with communication difficulties and severe learning difficulties even if they cannot self-report.

The aim of this paper is to assess data quality and potential for bias; the specific objectives were to assess (i) heterogeneity between the nine regions, in particular whether inclusion of children from one region who were not identified from a population-based register is likely to have introduced bias, (ii) whether family non-response is likely to have led to a biased sample, (iii) how children who self-complete differ from other children, and (iv) the extent of item non-response.

Methods

The study methods have been described in detail elsewhere [1] and are summarised briefly below.

Sample selection

Participants were selected from eight registers of children with cerebral palsy resident in defined regions: North England, West Sweden, Northern Ireland, South East France, South West Ireland, East Denmark, Central Italy, South West France (see Figure 1 : Map of former European Union (between 1/1/1995 and 1/5/2004) showing regions included in SPARCLE study) [2]. A further region in North West Germany joined the study but its sample could not be drawn from a population-based register and was constructed from referrals from multiple sources.

Milder cerebral palsy is more common so, in regions with sufficient registered cases (North England and East Denmark), similar numbers of children were sampled at each level of severity: children were grouped by walking ability and a random sample selected from each stratum as shown in Table 1. West Sweden and Northern Ireland

selected a random sample of children in the highest strata of walking ability and approached all children in the remaining strata. In regions with fewer registered cases, all children were approached.

Children with dates of birth between 31/07/1991 and 01/04/97 inclusive were eligible. Families were interviewed between May 2004 and August 2005 when, if possible, the child was over eight and under thirteen years. The children initially selected comprised the *initial sample*. As several attempts were made to contact eventual non-responders, often over a long period of time, they were assigned a notional interview date of 1st January 2005. Children were excluded if they were born outside the specified dates of birth and they were also over six months outside the specified age range on the interview date, or if they had died, been misdiagnosed, moved out of the area, or their parents had language difficulties. The remaining children comprised the *final sample*.

Interview of children and parents

Researchers were trained together before visiting the selected families at home to administer questionnaires to parents and, if possible, children. Background information on the socio-demographic characteristics of the families and the impairment of the children was recorded. Children's ability to report their own Quality of life was assessed [3,4]. If possible, their self-reported Quality of life was captured using the KIDSCREEN questionnaire [5], a modern instrument with excellent psychometric properties assessing children's quality of life on ten dimensions, whose questions were derived from focus group work with children across Europe. Two additional questions, similar to questions in the Child Health Questionnaire [6], captured children's experience of pain. Parental reports of children's Quality of life, participation, frequency of participation in discretionary activities, environment, general health and behaviour were recorded using the KIDSCREEN [5], Life-H [7], Frequency of Participation [1], European Child Environment [8-10], Child Health [6], and Strengths and Difficulties [11] questionnaires respectively. Additionally, parent reports of their children's pain were recorded if the children were unable to report their own pain. Parental stress was recorded using the Parental Stress Index – Short Form questionnaire [12]. Participation and Quality of life were outcomes; environment was the main exposure; impairment, pain, socio-demographic characteristics, frequency of participation in discretionary activities, the child's behaviour, and parental stress were regarded as potential effect modifiers.



Figure 1

Map of former European Union (between 1/1/1995 and 1/5/2004) showing regions included in SPARCLE study. 1 = North England, 2 = Västra Götaland, West Sweden, 3 = Northern Ireland, 4 = Isere, South East France, 5 = Cork and Kerry, South West Ireland, 6 = Sealand, Fynen and Islands, East Denmark, 7 = Central Italy, 8 = Haute Garonne, South West France, 9 = Schleswig-Holstein, North West Germany. File is called: Colver Figure Map.ppt

Statistical Methods

Heterogeneity between regions: socio-demographic characteristics and family non-response

We assessed heterogeneity of socio-demographic characteristics and non-response between regions. For all regions, we considered: parental educational qualifications; employment; area of domicile; type of school attended by child (these were dichotomised respectively as minimal school-leaving qualification or lower/other; at least one parent working full-time or two working part-time/other; urban/rural; mainstream/special unit in mainstream school or special school). We also considered rates of completion of questionnaires by children. For all regions except North West Germany, where children were

not selected from a population-based register, we considered non-response, both overall and due to: (i) failure to trace the family (*non-traceability*), (ii) traced families declining to participate (*refusal*), and (iii) traced families not being approached by researchers (*non-approach*). The significance of heterogeneity between regions was assessed using chi-squared tests. The regions contributing to any significant heterogeneity were identified using a comparative risk function (CRF) [13], which compares the odds of children in a specific region being in a specific category with the corresponding odds for the entire sample. This method has the advantage of comparing each region with the entire sample and yielding confidence intervals which are independent, whereas logistic regres-

Table 1: Selection and inclusion of participants, by region

	Population-based registers																					
	North England		West Sweden		Northern Ireland		South East France		South West		East Denmark		Central Italy		South West France		All registers	North West Germany		ALL		
	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	No.	(%)		No.	(%)	No.	(%)	
Study population	437		290		284		125		127		396		108		117		1,884		75		1,959	
Walking ability as recorded by the registry:																						
Walks unaided	254		198		187		63		72		246		43		65		1,127					
Walks using aids	86		46		45		19		18		57		28		34		333		-		-	
Unable to walk	97		46		52		43		37		92		35		18		420		-		-	
Unknown	-		-		-		-		-		-		2		-		2		-		-	
Initial sample*	186	(43)	220	(76)	203	(71)	125	(100)	127	(100)	165	(42)	108	(100)	117	(100)	1,251		75	-	1,326	
Walking ability as recorded by the registry:																						
Walks unaided	87		128		106		63		72		80		43		65		644					
Walks using aids	50		46		45		19		18		38		28		34		278		-		-	
Unable to walk	49		46		52		43		37		47		35		18		327		-		-	
Unknown	-		-		-		-		-		-		2		-		2		-		-	
Excluded after sampling**	8	(4)	4	(2)	4	(2)	4	(3)	19	(15)	22	(13)	10	(9)	6	(5)	77	(6)			77	(6)
Dead	0		2		1		1		3		10		3		1		21		-		21	
Misdiagnosed	4		1		3		3		4		2		2		0		19		-		19	
Moved out of area	4		1		0		0		5		7		4		4		25		-		25	
Parental language difficulty	0		0		0		0		0		3		0		1		4		-		4	
Other	0		0		0		0		7		0		1		0		8		-		8	
Final sample**	178	(96)	216	(98)	199	(98)	121	(97)	108	(85)	143	(87)	98	(91)	111	(95)	1,174	(94)	75	(100)	1,249	(94)
Responders†	116	(65)	83	(38)	102	(51)	67	(55)	98	(91)	115	(80)	85	(87)	77	(69)	743	(63)	75	(100)	818	(65)
Non-responders†	62	(35)	133	(62)	97	(49)	54	(45)	10	(9)	28	(20)	13	(13)	34	(31)	431	(37)	-		431	(35)

Percentages are relative to number in: * study population; ** initial sample; † final sample.

a One child was excluded as the walking ability was not recorded.

b Born outwith specified dates of birth and over 6 months outside specified age range on interview date.

sion compares each region with one which is arbitrarily selected as the reference category and yields confidence intervals which are correlated.

Predictors of family non-response

We used logistic regression to assess whether non-traceability and refusal were related to age, gender and *level of impairment* (walking ability, presence of seizures, impairment of vision and hearing) recorded by the register at age 4–5 years. We assessed whether any such associations could explain the differences in response rates between regions [14]; odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) are reported.

For each region, the method of approach to families was classified as direct (initial contact with the family was by the researcher) or indirect (initial contact was by a doctor, social worker or therapist) – see Table 2. Logistic regression was then used to assess whether non-traceability or refusal was related to the method of approach.

We calculated weights which allowed for (i) the sampling strategy and (ii) both the sampling strategy and non-response. Use of weights usually reduces bias but increases the variance of estimates. We estimated the probable loss of efficiency (percentage increase in variance) which would be induced by using weights when they are not necessary to reduce bias [15].

Characteristics of children not recorded by registers and ineligible children

We assigned weights which allowed for the sampling strategy to children selected from population-based registers, so that the weighted observations represented the characteristics of children on the registers who would have been included in the study in the absence of sampling. We then compared the age, gender and level of impairment as assessed at interview, of children in North West Germany with that of children in the weighted sample [15]. Logistic regression was also used to assess whether the few included children who did not meet the inclusion criteria differed from eligible respondents.

Characteristics of self-completing children

Children with learning difficulties were expected to have difficulty in completing the KIDSCREEN questionnaire themselves. We therefore used univariate logistic regression to examine how the proportion of children completing the KIDSCREEN questionnaire varied with the children's IQ. We then assessed whether self-completion depended on age, gender and level of impairment as assessed at interview, after allowing for IQ. Finally, we assessed whether the various aspects of impairment were independent predictors of self-completion by removing

each one in turn from a multivariable logistic regression and referring to the likelihood ratio test statistic.

Item non-response and missing scores

For parents and children who responded, we calculated the proportion of items not completed on each questionnaire. Many of the questionnaires are scored using algorithms which allow calculation of domain scores even if responses to a few items are missing. Therefore we also calculated the proportion of missing scores for each questionnaire.

To mitigate the effects of multiple analyses, a p-value < 0.01 was regarded as significant in all analyses. Statistical analyses were performed using Stata 9 [16].

Consent and Ethics approval

The research was carried out in compliance with the Helsinki Declaration. All parents gave written consent. All children with sufficient cognitive capacity gave written consent or communicated consent if unable to write. Ethics committee approvals were obtained in each country.

Results

The study population, initial sample selected, reasons for exclusion after sampling and final sample are summarised in Table 1. The final sample comprised 1,249 children: 1,174 children selected from the registers, plus 75 children recruited by North West Germany. Due to administrative error, 27 of these children (24 responders and 3 non-responders) were born outside the specified time period and 40 children were interviewed when their age was up to six months outside the specified age range.

Non-response of families selected from population-based registers

Of the 1,174 included families, 431 (37%) did not respond: 146 (12%) could not be traced; of the 1,028 traced families, 250 (24%) declined to participate and 35 (3%) were not approached by researchers – 11 because professionals requested this, 6 because foster parents cared for them, 6 because the children reached 13 years before the family was approached, and 12 for other reasons. There was significant heterogeneity between regions in rates of non-response, non-traceability and refusal ($p < 0.001$). Table 3, section (a), shows that compared to all included families, West Sweden and Northern Ireland had a lower response, largely because families who were approached often declined to participate, whereas South West Ireland, East Denmark and Central Italy had a higher response because of a combination of effective tracing of families and a high level of co-operation among those who were traced. Decisions not to approach traced families also appeared to be influenced by regional factors: 10 of the 11 families which professionals requested should

Table 2: Method of initial approach to families, by region

Region	Method of approach		
North England	Indirect	Telephone	Child's paediatrician phoned; followed by phone call from researcher
West Sweden	Direct	Letter – opt-out	Researcher sent opt-out letter, followed by phone call
Northern Ireland	Indirect	Letter – opt-in	Child's primary notifier to the register sent opt-in letter, follow-up letters and in some cases telephoned some families
South East France	Direct	Letter – opt-out	Researcher sent opt-out letter, followed by phone call
South West Ireland	Direct	Telephone	Researcher phoned, followed by letter
East Denmark	Direct	Letter – opt-out	Researcher sent opt-out letter, followed by phone call
Central Italy	Indirect	Telephone	Doctor, social worker or therapist phoned family, followed by phone call by researcher
South West France	Direct	Telephone	Researcher phoned, followed by letter
North West Germany	Indirect	Face-to-face	Child's primary carer approached family face-to-face

not be approached were in one region and 5 of the 6 children in foster care were in another region.

Rates of tracing families did not vary significantly ($p > 0.01$) with any of the variables considered (see Table 4). Among traced families, those whose children walked using aids or could not walk were significantly less likely to refuse; (OR for refusal compared to children who walked without aids = 0.5, 95%CI: 0.4 to 0.8 and 0.6, 95%CI: 0.4 to 0.9 respectively). The gender, epileptic status, vision and hearing of the disabled child were not significantly associated with refusal. The significant heterogeneity between regions in rates of refusal remained after adjusting for walking ability ($p < 0.001$).

The five regions which used a direct approach had similar rates of non-traceability to the three regions which used an indirect approach (average rates of 13% and 12% respectively), both unadjusted (see Table 4) and after adjusting for age. Likewise, the direct and indirect approaches resulted in similar rates of refusal (24% and 25% respectively), both unadjusted and after adjusting for age and walking ability. As recent events in Sweden (discussed below) [17] may have led to the high refusal rate (52%) there, we repeated these comparisons excluding Sweden and found a lower refusal rate (12%) in the four regions which used a direct approach (OR for refusal comparing indirect with direct approaches = 2.4, 95%CI: 1.7 to 3.5). Findings were similar when overall non-response of traced families (both refusal and non-approach) was considered.

The estimated percentage increases in variance induced by using sampling weights to allow for the sampling strategy and, additionally, non-response, were 22% and 32% respectively.

Socio-demographic characteristics of all included children and families

Among the 818 responders in the final sample, the mean age of the children on date of interview was 10 1/2 years;

59% were boys and 41% girls. The distribution of age and gender was similar across regions. Table 3, section (b), shows there was heterogeneity between regions in terms of educational qualifications and employment status of parents, whether the environment was predominantly urban or rural and type of school attended by the child. Compared to all included families, parents in North England and Northern Ireland tended to have poorer educational qualifications and to be less likely to work full-time, whereas those in East Denmark and West Sweden tended to have better educational qualifications and those in South West France were more likely to work full-time. South East France and South West Ireland had lower proportions of families living in urban environments, whereas North England had a higher proportion. South West Ireland and Central Italy had lower proportions of children in special units in mainstream schools or in special schools, whereas Northern Ireland, South East France and North West Germany had higher proportions.

Characteristics of children not identified from registers and ineligible children

Weighted logistic regression indicated that age, gender and levels of impairment, as assessed at interview, among children recruited in North West Germany were similar to those of eligible children selected from the population-based registers.

24 (3%) of the children of included responders were not strictly eligible as they were born outside the specified time period. Logistic regression, comparing these ineligible children with eligible children, showed no indication that they differed in terms of impairment, as assessed at interview, or gender.

Completion by children

500/818 (61%) children completed the KIDSCREEN questionnaire, with some variation in self-completion rates between regions as shown in Table 3, section (c). Children with IQ less than 70 were much less likely than other children to complete the questionnaires. Comple-

Table 3: Comparative risk functions comparing non-response, demographic characteristics and self-completion by region with entire study population

(a) Non-response												
	Overall non-response			Non-response due to failure to trace families			Non-response of traced families					
	%	CRF	95%CI	%	CRF	95%CI	%	CRF	95%CI			
North England	35	0.9	(0.7 to 1.2)	22	2.0	(1.5 to 2.8)	15	0.6	(0.4 to 0.9)			
West Sweden	62	2.8	(2.2 to 3.5)	16	1.4	(1.0 to 1.9)	52	3.4	(2.6 to 4.3)			
Northern Ireland	49	1.6	(1.3 to 2.1)	5	0.3	(0.2 to 0.6)	41	2.2	(1.7 to 2.8)			
South East France	45	1.4	(1.0 to 1.9)	19	1.7	(1.1 to 2.5)	21	0.8	(0.5 to 1.3)			
South West Ireland	9	0.2	(0.1 to 0.3)	2	0.1	(0.0 to 0.5)	6	0.2	(0.1 to 0.4)			
East Denmark	20	0.4	(0.3 to 0.6)	8	0.6	(0.4 to 1.1)	8	0.3	(0.1 to 0.5)			
Central Italy	13	0.3	(0.1 to 0.5)	8	0.6	(0.3 to 1.3)	6	0.2	(0.1 to 0.4)			
South West France	31	0.8	(0.5 to 1.1)	15	1.3	(0.8 to 2.1)	16	0.6	(0.3 to 1.0)			
North West Germany												
All centres	37	1.0	-	12	1.0	-	24	1.0	-			
<i>p</i> for heterogeneity			<0.001			<0.001			<0.001			
(b) Socio-demographic characteristics												
	Parental educational qualifications above the minimum			At least one parent working full-time (or equivalent)			Family living in urban environment			Child goes to special school or special unit in mainstream school		
	%	CRF	95%CI	%	CRF	95%CI	%	CRF	95%CI	%	CRF	95%CI
North England	61	0.5	(0.4 to 0.7)	57	0.4	(0.3 to 0.5)	88	3.9	(2.3 to 6.8)	41	0.8	(0.6 to 1.1)
West Sweden	91	3.3	(1.5 to 7.0)	78	1.1	(0.7 to 1.8)	70	1.2	(0.8 to 1.9)	52	1.2	(0.8 to 1.8)
Northern Ireland	51	0.3	(0.2 to 0.5)	66	0.6	(0.4 to 0.8)	60	0.8	(0.6 to 1.2)	58	1.5	(1.1 to 2.2)
South East France	73	0.9	(0.5 to 1.4)	84	1.5	(0.8 to 2.8)	52	0.6	(0.4 to 0.9)	67	2.3	(1.4 to 3.7)
South West Ireland	71	0.8	(0.5 to 1.1)	78	1.1	(0.7 to 1.7)	56	0.7	(0.5 to 1.0)	28	0.4	(0.3 to 0.7)
East Denmark	96	6.9	(2.8 to 17)	84	1.6	(1.0 to 2.5)	67	1.1	(0.8 to 1.6)	54	1.3	(0.9 to 1.9)
Central Italy	80	1.2	(0.8 to 2.1)	81	1.3	(0.7 to 2.1)	66	1.0	(0.7 to 1.6)	7	0.1	(0.0 to 0.2)
South West France	84	1.6	(0.9 to 3.0)	92	3.5	(1.5 to 7.9)	61	0.8	(0.5 to 1.3)	45	0.9	(0.6 to 1.4)
North West Germany	84	1.6	(0.9 to 3.0)	85	1.7	(0.9 to 3.2)	55	0.7	(0.4 to 1.0)	80	4.5	(2.6 to 7.8)
All centres	76	1.0	-	77	1.0	-	65	1.0	-	47	1.0	-
<i>p</i> for heterogeneity			<0.001			<0.001			<0.001			<0.001
(c) Completion of KIDSCREEN by child												
	%	CRF	95%CI									
North England	67	1.3	(0.9 to 1.9)									
West Sweden	55	0.8	(0.5 to 1.2)									
Northern Ireland	57	0.8	(0.6 to 1.2)									
South East France	57	0.8	(0.5 to 1.3)									
South West Ireland	68	1.4	(0.9 to 2.1)									
East Denmark	70	1.5	(1.0 to 2.2)									
Central Italy	47	0.6	(0.4 to 0.8)									
South West France	61	1.0	(0.6 to 1.5)									
North West Germany	60	1.0	(0.6 to 1.5)									
All centres	61	1.0	-									
<i>p</i> for heterogeneity			0.02									

CRF = Comparative risk function
 CRF <1 and >1 indicate proportions below and above the mean respectively; proportions significantly below the mean are shown in **bold**; proportions significantly above the mean are shown in **bold and italics**.

Table 4: Rates and univariate odds ratios for non-traceability and refusal and for self-completion of KIDSCREEN questionnaire

	Non-traceability ^a			Refusal ^a			Child completion of KIDSCREEN		
	%	OR	(95% CI)	%	OR	(95% CI)	%	OR	(95% CI)
Child characteristics									
Gender									
Male	13	1.0	-	23	1.0	-	59	1.0	-
Female	12	0.9	(0.6 to 1.3)	26	1.2	(0.9 to 1.5)	64	1.2	(0.9 to 1.6)
Age in years									
7 and 8	7	1.0	-	27	1.0	-	57	1.0	-
9	13	1.9	(1.0 to 3.5)	19	0.6	(0.4 to 1.0)	66	1.5	(1.0 to 2.3)
10	12	1.7	(0.9 to 3.1)	19	0.6	(0.4 to 1.0)	56	1.0	(0.6 to 1.5)
11	13	1.9	(1.0 to 3.4)	26	1.0	(0.6 to 1.5)	66	1.5	(0.9 to 2.3)
12 and 13	17	2.5	(1.4 to 4.5)	30	1.2	(0.8 to 1.8)	61	1.2	(0.8 to 1.8)
Walking ability ^b									
Walks unaided	14	1.0	-	29	1.0	-	80	1.0	-
Walks using aids	11	0.8	(0.5 to 1.3)	18	0.5	(0.4 to 0.8)	67	0.5	(0.3 to 0.8)
Unable to walk	11	0.8	(0.5 to 1.2)	21	0.6	(0.4 to 0.9)	28	0.09	(0.07 to 0.14)
Seizures ^b									
No	12	1.0	-	25	1.0	-	74	1.0	-
Yes	12	1.1	(0.7 to 1.6)	25	1.0	(0.7 to 1.4)	29	0.1	(0.1 to 0.2)
Vision problems ^b									
No	12	1.0	-	24	1.0	-	65	1.0	-
Yes	11	0.8	(0.5 to 1.5)	27	1.2	(0.8 to 1.8)	7	0.03	(0.01 to 0.1)
Hearing problems ^b									
No	13	1.0	-	27	1.0	-	62	1.0	-
Yes	9	0.7	(0.2 to 2.2)	19	0.6	(0.3 to 1.6)	22	0.2	(0.06 to 0.5)
IQ									
>70	-	-	-	-	-	-	94	1.0	-
50 to 70	-	-	-	-	-	-	65	0.1	(0.1 to 0.2)
<50	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	0.003	(0.002 to 0.007)
Centre characteristics									
Method of approach									
Direct	13	1.0	-	24	1.0	-	-	-	-
Indirect	12	0.9	(0.7 to 1.3)	25	1.1	(0.8 to 1.4)	-	-	-

a Excluding North West Germany, where children were not sampled from a population-based register

b For assessment of response, impairment at age 4–5 years was obtained from the register; for assessment of child completion, impairment was obtained from interview.

tion rates did not vary significantly with the children's age or gender. Children who were unable to walk and those with seizures, impaired hearing or vision were less likely to complete the questionnaires (see Table 4); but only inability to walk, seizures and vision remained significant after allowing for IQ and only IQ, inability to walk and seizures were independently significant ($p < 0.01$).

Item non-response

Age and gender were reported for all 818 children included in the final sample. Only eight children (0.4%) had missing data on impairment items. For questionnaires administered to parents, the proportion of items not completed ranged from 0.4% for the impairment questionnaire to 5% for the KIDSCREEN questionnaire. Children failed to complete 2% of items (see Table 5).

Missing scores

The proportion of missing scores on questionnaires completed by parents and children varied from 2% (for Life-H, Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire, Parental Stress Index) to 7% for KIDSCREEN administered to parents. Questions on the KIDSCREEN questionnaire about finance had the poorest response: scores were missing for 11% and 21% of children and parents respectively. Questions on Life-H about community life also had a relatively poor response, with 20% of scores missing.

Discussion

Family non-response

The overall rate of non-response among families was 37%: 12% non-traceability and 24% refusal and 3% non-approach among those traced. These non-response rates should be evaluated by comparison with surveys of a sim-

Table 5: Percentage of items not completed and scores missing in each questionnaire

Questionnaire	Parent		Child	
	% items not completed	% scores missing	% items not completed	% scores missing
Background	2	*		
Impairment	0.4	*		
Life-H	1	2		
Frequency of Participation Questionnaire	1	*		
Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire	2	2		
Parental Stress Index (Short Form)	1	2		
European Child Environment Questionnaire	3	*		
KIDSCREEN	5	7	2	2
Pain	3	*	2	*
Child Health Questionnaire	3	3		

* Not scored

ilar design, which target specific families and conduct face-to-face interviews. A recent British national survey of the mental health of over 12,000 children sampled specific families and conducted face-to-face interviews with parents and children [18]. This survey reported that 5% of families could not be traced and 28% of those traced either opted-out or refused an interview or were not approached. Hence its refusal rate for families was similar to that of SPARCLE, but it traced a higher proportion of families, probably because its sample was drawn from child benefit records, so the families had an incentive to keep their address records up-to-date.

The identification of cases from population-based registers which ascertain cases from multiple sources mitigates against selection bias but cannot reduce non-response bias. Although we wished to compare the level of non-response in our study with that in similar epidemiological studies which identified children from population-based registers and administered face-to-face interviews, we were unable to find reports of any such studies. Hence we are unable to comment on whether our findings are typical of such register-based studies.

Many national surveys of households conducted in the 1990s reported lower non-contact rates (typically 2% to 8%) and lower refusal rates (typically 2% to 29%) than SPARCLE [19,20]. These surveys usually attempted to contact residents at specific addresses whereas SPARCLE attempted to trace specific families. Further, they were often conducted by telephone or mail and some allowed proxy respondents within households. All these factors would probably have led to higher response rates.

Families whose children could walk without aids were less likely to agree to participate. Refusal is influenced by indi-

vidual, household and societal factors: men, people with lower educational qualifications, those from ethnic minorities and those living in urban areas are often less likely to co-operate [19,20]. We were unable to record these factors for non-respondents in SPARCLE.

Rates of non-traceability and refusal varied significantly between regions. This is not surprising as the method of approach varied substantially between regions, reflecting variation not only in national legislation interpreting the European directive on data privacy [21,22], but also in implementation of legislation in different regions. Overall, rates of non-traceability and refusal were similar regions which approached families directly and indirectly. However, the level of refusal in Sweden, where families were approached directly, was twice that in other regions; this was almost certainly due to recent controversial events when a judicial judgement demanded release of patient records [17]. Excluding Sweden, regions which approached families directly had about half the refusal rate of other regions. This finding should be interpreted cautiously, firstly because it follows exclusion of a region after noting its unusual data and, secondly, because it is based on comparison of only seven remaining regions.

Possible bias

Results of analyses could be subject to bias if the sampling strategy is not taken into account, or if non-response or inclusion of unrepresentative children resulted in a biased sample.

It is possible to adjust for the sampling strategy either by using sampling weights or by adjusting for the design variables (region and walking ability) [15]. If it is assumed that non-response depends only on observed variables – and we have shown that it is related to region, age and

walking ability – similar strategies can be used to allow for the effects of non-response. Sampling weights generally reduce bias but increase the variance of estimates. Allowing for the sampling strategy and non-response by using weights would probably increase the variance of estimates by about a third; therefore adjustment for region, walking ability and age may be preferred.

The age, gender and levels of impairment of children in North West Germany, who were identified from referrals from multiple sources, were similar to those of children recorded on population-based registers. Children who were ineligible because their date of birth was outside the specified range were similar to other included children in terms of gender and impairment. Therefore it is unlikely that inclusion of these children has introduced any substantial bias.

The proportion of missing items and scores among respondents was low. The correlates and possible effects of these missing data will be evaluated in reports considering each questionnaire.

Heterogeneity between regions

The socio-demographic characteristics of the families varied substantially between regions. Census data show that, in North East England and Northern Ireland, a high proportion of families with dependent children have no working adults [23] and a high proportion of adults have no educational qualifications [24,25]. Therefore it is not surprising that the SPARCLE samples from North England (where the majority of the population live in the North East part) and Northern Ireland compared unfavourably with other European regions in these respects. The high proportion of families with at least one parent working in South West France is probably due to a combination of factors: this region had the highest proportion of included children with good walking ability (47%) and a high proportion of mothers with good educational qualifications who are more likely to work; it is also very well equipped in terms of institutional care for handicapped children [26]. The higher proportion of parents in Denmark and Sweden who had qualifications above the minimum probably reflects the high level of participation in job-related continuing education in those countries [27]. The type of school attended by the child also varied substantially between regions, partly reflecting variation in national policies [28].

This heterogeneity between regions is a strength of the study, giving greater statistical power to examine the effects of factors which, if improved, would yield the greatest benefit to disabled children and their families. It was not the purpose of the SPARCLE study to draw conclusions about differences between regions or countries

and, indeed, it would be misleading to do so, because some of the apparent differences reported in Table 3 may be chance findings consequent to multiple analyses and some may be due to the effects of differences between regions in socio-demographic characteristics. As other differences between regions, not recorded by the study, are highly likely, it is important to adjust for region in all analyses.

Children's self-report

Although we attempted to use objective criteria [3,4] to indicate whether a child could self-report, interviewers reported that some children who satisfied the criteria did not always understand the questions and their self reports were therefore excluded. Nevertheless, self-completion rates were similar between regions. As expected, children with lower IQ were less likely to self-complete. However, somewhat surprisingly, after allowing for IQ, children who were unable to walk and those with seizures were less likely to self-complete.

Comparison with other studies

While a few regional and national studies evaluate whether non-response is likely to have introduced bias [24,29], and some studies report the extent of non-response without evaluation of its effects [18,30-32], most small studies of disabled children which we identified did neither.

We did not find any evaluations of differences in response rates between surveys which used direct and indirect approaches to potential participants. As ethical requirements concerning recruitment of participants in clinical studies are now influenced by recent European directives on data privacy [21] and good clinical practice [33], surveys may be more frequently required to approach potential participants indirectly. The consequent effect on response rates is of considerable interest.

Conclusion

While refusal rates were comparable to those in similar surveys, non-traceability rates were higher, probably because SPARCLE identified potential participants from population-based case registers which may not have had up-to-date addresses of those who moved after registration. Item non-response was low. The small number of ineligible children and children not selected from population-based registers are unlikely to introduce bias.

To reduce bias due to the sampling strategy, differential non-response, and heterogeneity between regions, all analyses should allow for region as either a fixed or random effect, allow for walking ability and age either by adjusting or by using sampling weights, and evaluate

whether further adjustment for socio-demographic characteristics is advisable.

Research is needed to evaluate whether indirect approaches to families result in lower response rates than direct approaches. Studies should routinely report their method of approach to participants and their response rates, to allow meta-analysis of response rates subgrouped by type of approach.

Surveys rarely assess data quality prior to analysis and they should routinely do so.

Competing interests

The author(s) declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

HD managed the data performed all statistical analyses and wrote the paper. KP managed the data, managed the acquisition of data in her region and revised the manuscript for important intellectual content. VM managed the acquisition of data in her region and collated methods of approaching families in each centre. CA managed the acquisition of data in her region and revised the manuscript for important intellectual content. EB managed the acquisition of data in her region. JF managed the acquisition of data in his region. SM managed the acquisition of data in her region. JP managed the acquisition of data in her region. GS managed the acquisition of data in his region. UT managed the acquisition of data in her region. AC conceived the study, participated in its design, directed the project and revised the manuscript for important intellectual content. All authors read and approved the final version.

Acknowledgements

The study is funded by the European Commission Research Framework 5 Programme – Grant number QLG5-CT-2002-00636.

We thank Dr. Mark Pearce for providing a Stata programme for comparative risk functions and Dr. Seraphim Alvanides (Geography, Newcastle University) for constructing the map.

References

- Colver A: **Study protocol: SPARCLE - a multi-centre European study of the relationship of environment to participation and quality of life of children with cerebral palsy.** *BMC Public Health* 2006, **6**:105.
- Surveillance of Cerebral Palsy in Europe (SCPE): **Surveillance of cerebral palsy in Europe: a collaboration of cerebral palsy surveys and registers.** *Dev Med Child Neurol* 2000, **42**:816-824.
- White-Koning M, Arnaud C, Bourdet-Loubere S, Bazex H, Colver A, Grandjean H: **Subjective quality of life in children with intellectual impairment - how can it be assessed?** *Dev Med Child Neurol* 2005, **47**:281-285.
- Cummins RA, McCabe MP, Romeo Y, Reid S, Waters L: **An initial evaluation of the Comprehensive Quality of Life Scale - Intellectual Disability.** *International Journal of Disability, Development and Education* 1997, **44**(1):7-19.
- Ravens-Sieberer U, Gosch A, Rajmil L, Erhart M, Bruil J, Duer W, Auquier P, Power M, Abel T, Czemy L, Mazur J, Czimbalmos A, Tountas Y, Hagquist C, Kilroe J, Fuerth K, Robitail S, Simeoni MC, Orbicini D, von Rueden U, Dimitrakaki C, Detmar S, Verripps E, Mierzejewska E, Berra S, Tebe C, Bisegger C, Cloetta B, Atherton C: **KID-SCREEN-52 quality-of-life measure for children and adolescents.** *Expert Review of Pharmacoeconomics & Outcomes Research* 2005, **5**(3):353-364.
- Landgraf JM, Maunsell E, Speechley KN, Bullinger M, Campbell S, Abetz L, Ware JE: **Canadian-French, German and UK versions of the child health questionnaire: Methodology and preliminary item scaling results.** *Qual Life Res* 1998, **7**(5):433-445.
- Fougeyrollas P, Noreau L, Bergeron H, Cloutier R, Dion SA, St-Michel G: **Social consequences of long term impairments and disabilities: conceptual approach and assessment of handicap.** *Int J Rehabil Res* 1998, **21**(2):127-141.
- Lawlor K, Mihaylov S, Welsh B, Jarvis S, Colver A: **A qualitative study of the physical, social and attitudinal environments influencing the participation of children with cerebral palsy in northeast England.** *Pediatr Rehabil* 2006, **9**(3):219-228.
- Mihaylov SI, Jarvis S, Colver A, Beresford B: **Identification and description of environmental factors that influence participation of children with cerebral palsy.** *Dev Med Child Neurol* 2004, **46**:299-304.
- McManus V, Michelsen S, Parkinson K, Colver A, Beckung E, Pez O, Caravale B: **Discussion groups with parents of children with cerebral palsy in Europe designed to assist development of a relevant measure of environment.** *Child Care Health Dev* 2006, **32**:185-192.
- Goodman R: **Psychometric properties of the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire.** *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2001, **40**(11):1337-1345.
- Abidin RR, Brunner JF: **Development of a parenting alliance inventory.** *J Clin Child Psychol* 1995, **24**(1):31-40.
- Bithell JF: **Estimation of relative risk functions.** *Stat Med* 1991, **10**(11):1745-1751.
- Hosmer DW, Lemeshow S: **Applied logistic regression.** In *Wiley series in probability and mathematical statistics Applied probability and statistics* 2nd edition. New York, Wiley; 2000.
- Korn EL, Graubard BI: **Analysis of large health surveys: accounting for the sampling design.** *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society A* 1995, **158**(2):263-295.
- StataCorp: **Stata Statistical Software: Release 9.** College Station, TX, StataCorp LP; 2005.
- White C: **Destruction of data prompts calls for Swedish agency to investigate research misconduct.** *Br Med J* 2004, **329**:72.
- Green H, McGinnity A, Meltzer H, Ford T, Goodman R: **Mental Health of Children and Young People in Great Britain 2004.** London, Office for National Statistics; 2005.
- Foster K: **Evaluating non-response on household surveys: report of a study linked to the 1991 Census.** In *Methodology series (Great Britain Government Statistical Service)*; no 8 London, Office for National Statistics; 1998.
- Groves RM, Couper MP: **Nonresponse in household interview surveys.** In *Wiley series in probability and statistics: survey methodology division* New York, Wiley; 1998.
- European Parliament: **Directive 95/46/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 October 1995 on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data.** *Official Journal* 1995, **L 281**:0031-50.
- Rodrigues RJ, Wilson P, Schanz SJ: **The Regulation of Privacy and Data Protection in the Use of Electronic Health Information: An International Perspective and Reference Source on Regulatory and Legal Issues Related to Person-identifiable Health Databases.** Washington DC, World Health Organisation (WHO); 2001.
- Walling A: **Workless households: results from the spring 2004 LFS.** In *Labour Market Trends Volume 1/2*. Issue 11 London, Office for National Statistics; 2004:435-445.
- Parkes J, Kerr C, McDowell BC, Cosgrove AP: **Recruitment bias in a population-based study of children with cerebral palsy.** *Pediatrics* 2006, **118**(4):1616-1622.

25. **Census 2001 Output: Northern Ireland Census 2001 Univariate Tables.** Volume 2006. Issue June 2006 Northern Ireland Statistics and Research Agency .
26. Baillé MF: **Les handicaps de l'enfant en Haute-Garonne : intérêt et aspects méthodologiques de la mise en place d'un registre.** In *Université de Toulouse 2 - Le Mirail, Inserm U558*; Toulouse , Toulouse; 1998.
27. Schleicher A: **The economics of knowledge: why education is the key for Europe's success.** In *Lisbon Council Policy Brief* OECD; 2006.
28. Meijer C, Soriano V, Watkins A: **Special needs education in Europe. Thematic publication.** In.: **European Agency for Development in Special Needs Education.** 2003.
29. Khare M, Mohadjer LK, Ezzati-Rice TM, Waksberg J: **An evaluation of nonresponse bias in NHANES III (1988-1991).** *Proceedings of the survey research methods section of the American Statistical Association* 1994.
30. Seid M, Sobo EJ, Gelhard R, Varni JW: **Parents reports of barriers to care for children with special health care needs: development and validation of the barriers to care questionnaire.** *Ambulatory Pediatrics* 2004, **4(4)**:323--331.
31. Wake M, Hughes EK, Poulakis Z, Collins C, Rickards FW: **Outcomes of children with mild-profound congenital hearing loss at 7 to 8 years: a population study.** *Ear & Hearing* 25(1):1-8, 2004.
32. Wake M, EK H, CM C, Z P: **Parent-reported health-related quality of life in children with congenital hearing loss: A population study.** *Ambulatory Pediatrics* 2004, **4(5)**:411-417.
33. **COMMISSION DIRECTIVE 2005/28/EC of 8 April 2005 laying down principles and detailed guidelines for good clinical practice as regards investigational medicinal products for human use, as well as the requirements for authorisation of the manufacturing or importation of such products.** 2005.

Pre-publication history

The pre-publication history for this paper can be accessed here:

<http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2458/6/273/prepub>

Publish with **BioMed Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."

Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- yours — you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here:
http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing_adv.asp

