RESEARCH ARTICLE



Open Access

Physical activity and renal cell carcinoma among black and white Americans: a case-control study

Qian Xiao^{1*}, Linda Liao¹, Charles E Matthews¹, Wong-Ho Chow², Faith Davis³, Kendra Schwartz⁴, Mark Purdue¹, Jonathan N Hofmann¹ and Joanne Colt¹

Abstract

Background: Renal cell carcinoma (RCC) has a higher incidence in blacks than in whites. Physical activity may influence the risk of renal cell cancer, but the evidence is inconsistent. No previous study has investigated this relationship in the black population.

Methods: We examined the association between self-reported physical activity at different ages and risk of RCC in a population based case-control study of 1217 cases (361 black, 856 white) and 1235 controls (523 black, 712 white) frequency-matched on age, race, and gender. Multivariate-adjusted odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were estimated using unconditional logistic regression.

Results: Among whites, increased risks of RCC were observed among participants reporting low levels of transportation-related activity in their 20's (OR _{<1 hr/wk vs >7 hr/wk} (95% Cl): 1.42 (1.10, 1.83)) and leisure time activity in their 50's (OR _{<1 hr/wk vs >7 hr/wk} (95% Cl): 1.49 (1.00, 2.20)). We found no association between physical activity and RCC risk among blacks.

Conclusion: Our results suggest that physical activity may be inversely associated with RCC risk in whites, but there was no evidence of such an association in blacks. As this is the first study evaluating the effect of physical activity on RCC risk among blacks, further investigations are needed to clarify the relationship in this population.

Keywords: Renal cell carcinoma, Physical activities, Racial differences, Case-control study

Background

Kidney Cancer, the deadliest form of urologic cancer, is estimated to have been diagnosed among 40,430 men and 24,720 women in the United States in 2013 [1]. The incidence of renal cell carcinoma (RCC), the major subtype that accounts for ~90% of all kidney cancers, has been increasing rapidly in U.S. over the past three decades [2]. Established modifiable risk factors for RCC include smoking, hypertension, and obesity [3].

It has been postulated that physical activity may protect against RCC by reducing obesity, lowering blood pressure and improving insulin sensitivity [4]. Some studies found an inverse relationship between some aspect of physical activity and RCC risk [5-12], yet others found null associations [13-22]. A recent meta-analysis summarized the findings of previous studies and concluded that a high level of physical activity is associated with a modest reduction in RCC risk (RR (95% CI): 0.88 (0.79-0.97)) [4]. Several studies also examined physical activity of different types or at different ages, but their findings were not consistent [5,6,10,11,16,17].

Racial disparities in RCC have been observed. Black Americans have experienced a more rapid increase in incidence in recent decades compared to white Americans, and the incidence is currently 10-15% higher among blacks than among whites [3]. Black RCC patients also have a poorer 5-year survival vs. white patients (73% vs. 68%) [23]. Besides two Asian studies [12,22], all previous investigations of physical activity and RCC risk were conducted in predominantly Caucasian populations, and we are not aware of any study that reported race-specific results in black populations. The Kidney Cancer Study, one of the largest epidemiologic studies of RCC in the United States, is the first to enroll a sizable number of



© 2014 Xiao et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

^{*} Correspondence: qian.xiao@nih.gov

¹Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, Department of Health and Human Services, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

black Americans, enabling studies of risk factors in this racial group. Here, we investigate race-specific associations of different types of physical activity, at different ages, with RCC risk.

Methods

Study population

The Kidney Cancer Study is a population-based casecontrol study conducted between 2002 and 2007 in Detroit, Michigan (Wayne, Oakland and Macomb Counties) and Chicago, Illinois (Cook County). Study procedures were approved by Institutional Review Boards at National Cancer Institute, University of Illinois at Chicago, Wayne State University, and Westat, Inc. Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects. Details of the study have been described before [24]. Briefly, blacks and whites between 20-79 years of age with an incident, histologically-confirmed diagnosis of RCC (RCC) (ICD-O-3 C64.9) during the enrollment period were eligible to participate. Controls were selected from the general population and frequency matched to cases on sex, age (5-year intervals) and race. In order to recruit a sufficient number of African Americans, we devised a sampling strategy aimed at enrolling all eligible black cases, but only a subsample of white cases. In addition, the control-to-case ratio was targeted at 2:1 for blacks and 1:1 for whites [24]. Histologic subtypes were determined by expert renal pathologist review or based on information from the original diagnostic pathology reports.

Details on recruitment and exclusion have been reported before [24]. Briefly, of 1,918 eligible cases identified, 347 were not contacted due to death, lack of current location or physician refusal to give permission. Among the remaining 1,571 cases, 221 declined participation and 133 were not interviewed due to serious illness, impairment, or nonresponse after multiple contact attempts. Of 2,718 eligible controls, 449 were not contacted due to death or lack of current location. Among the remaining 2,269 controls, 677 declined participation and 357 were not interviewed due to serious illness, impairment, or lack of response to multiple contact attempts. In total, 1,217 cases and 1,235 controls eventually participated.

Assessment of physical activity

Computer-assisted personal interviews were conducted in the participants' homes by trained interviewers. Participants were asked to report, for the years they were in their 20's and 50's, the amount of time (<1 hr/wk, 1-7 hr/wk, >7 hr/wk, don't know) spent on physically active transportation (walking or bicycling) or moderateto-strenuous leisure time activities. Participants who had a full-time or part-time job in their 20's or 50's were asked to report the time (<1 hr/wk, 1-10 hr/wk, 1120 hr/wk, >20 hr/wk and don't know) spent "doing work that involved moderate to strenuous activity, such as brisk walking, heavy lifting, digging or heavy construction", at these ages. Participants younger than 23 were skipped from the section on physical activity in their 20's and participants younger than 53 did not answer questions about activities in their 50's. Participants who were 23-31 or 53-61 years old at interview were asked to exclude the two years preceding the interview when answering these questions. In total 2,443 and 1,759 participants answered at least one of the physical activity questions for their 20's and 50's, respectively.

We created an index of total physical activity at different ages. We assigned a numeric value to each duration category of physical activity. For both transportation and leisure-time activity, we assigned the value of 1, 2, and 3 to the three categories, from the lowest to the highest. For the four categories of work activity, 1, 2, 3, and 4 were assigned. The physical activity scores from all questions at the two ages were calculated by summing up the three different types of activity.

We also collected information on demographic characteristics, BMI at 5 years before recruitment (henceforth referred to as usual BMI) and BMI at age 21, diet, smoking, alcohol drinking, and medical history.

Statistical analysis

Odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated using unconditional logistic regression using STATA 12.0 (StataCorp LP, TX). As described before [24], sample weights were created to reduce the potential for bias caused by differential sampling rates for controls and cases, survey nonresponse, and deficiencies in coverage of the population. In the weighted multivariate regressions, we adjusted for study center (Detroit or Chicago), age (20-44, 45-54, 55-64, 65-74, 75+ years), sex, education (<12 years, high school, some college, 4+ years of college), smoking status (never, occasional, former, current), and history of cancer among first-degree relatives (none, cancer other than kidney cancer, kidney cancer). Further adjustment for alcohol drinking led to minimal changes in the results and therefore we excluded alcohol from the models. BMI and hypertension were considered as potential mediators, and additional adjustment for these factors had minimal impact on the results; therefore they were not included in the models. We performed subgroup analyses by sex, BMI and hypertension. We also conducted sensitivity analysis by excluding cases that were not clear cell subtype. To test for trend, we modeled categorical variables as continuous and evaluated the coefficient using the Wald test. Statistical significance for interactions between two factors was tested using the likelihood ratio test comparing a model with the crossproduct term to one without.

Results

Selected characteristics of participants by race and casecontrol status are presented in Table 1. In both blacks and whites, when compared to controls, cases were more likely to have <12 years of education, to be obese (BMI \geq 30), to have a history of hypertension, and to be current smokers (all p-values for chi-sq test were <0.05 except for black smokers).

In Table 2 we summarize the association of RCC with transportation, leisure time, work and total physical activities in blacks and whites. In whites, low levels of transportation-related activity in their 20's and leisure

Table 1 Selected	characteristics of stu	dy participants	by race and	case-control status
------------------	------------------------	-----------------	-------------	---------------------

	Blac	ck	White			
Variable, N (weighted %)	Controls (N = 523)	Cases (N = 361)	Controls (N = 712)	Cases (N = 856)		
Study Site						
Chicago	96 (21)	81 (21)	101 (16)	118 (15)		
Detroit	427 (79)	280 (79)	611 (84)	738 (85)		
Age, years						
20-44	86 (12)	41 (12)	93 (10)	106 (10)		
45-54	125 (26)	102 (26)	145 (20)	185 (20)		
55-64	145 (30)	117 (30)	205 (29)	255 (26)		
65-74	133 (24)	82 (24)	196 (28)	221 (28)		
75+	34 (8)	19 (8)	73 (13)	89 (13)		
Sex						
Male	250 (61)	225 (61)	439 (62)	495 (62)		
Female	273 (39)	136 (39)	273 (38)	361 (38)		
Education						
<12 years	100 (19)	97 (28)	65 (9)	103 (13)		
High school graduate	176 (34)	104 (29)	214 (31)	315 (37)		
Some college	172 (32)	113 (30)	184 (26)	215 (25)		
4+ years college	75 (15)	47 (13)	249 (35)	223 (26)		
Body mass index, kg/m2						
<25	150 (28)	68 (19)	216 (29)	172 (19)		
25- < 30	199 (40)	126 (36)	294 (42)	310 (37)		
30- < 35	95 (19)	88 (24)	126 (18)	210 (25)		
35+	73 (13)	74 (20)	74 (10)	156 (17)		
Missing	6 (1)	5 (1)	2 (<1)	8 (1)		
Smoking satus						
Never	184 (34)	123 (34)	287 (40)	309 (36)		
Occasional	30 (5)	21 (6)	25 (3)	34 (4)		
Former	169 (34)	106 (29)	276 (39)	304 (37)		
Current	140 (26)	111 (31)	124 (17)	209 (23)		
Family history of cancer						
None	288 (54)	183 (51)	278 (38)	334 (38)		
Cancer other than kidney	220 (44)	152 (42)	413 (59)	484 (57)		
Kidney cancer	9 (1)	19 (5)	15 (2)	33 (4)		
Missing	7 (2)	6 (1)	6 (1)	5 (1)		
Hypertension						
Yes	246 (48)	256 (71)	262 (38)	445 (54)		
No	273 (51)	102 (29)	445 (61)	398 (44)		
Missing	4 (1)	3 (<1)	5 (1)	13 (2)		

		Black		y at age 10 5 and	White		p for
	Controls, no. (%) ^a	Cases, no. (%) ^a	Odds ratio (95% CI) ^b	Controls, no. (%) ^a	Cases, no. (%) ^a	Odds ratio (95% CI) ^b	interaction
Physica	l activity during age 2	20's					
Walking	or biking for transpo	ortation, hr/wk					0.17
<1	122 (24)	89 (25)	1.06 (0.73, 1.53)	232 (32)	335 (39)	1.42 (1.10, 1.83)	
1-7	150 (29)	102 (28)	1.00 (0.72, 1.38)	273 (40)	307 (36)	1.06 (0.80, 1.39)	
>7	243 (47)	165 (46)	ref	198 (28)	212 (25)	ref	
p trend			0.77			0.01	
Modera	te to strenuous leisur	e time activity, hr/v	vk				0.57
<1	94 (16)	65 (18)	1.16 (0.79, 1.71)	100 (14)	134 (16)	1.10 (0.81, 1.48)	
1-7	193 (35)	131 (37)	1.10 (0.79, 1.53)	352 (50)	412 (48)	0.98 (0.78, 1.25)	
>7	230 (48)	161 (44)	ref	155 (36)	307 (36)	ref	
p trend			0.41			0.65	
Modera	te to strenuous work	activity, hr/wk					0.68
<1	119 (19)	65 (19)	1.07 (0.68, 1.67)	232 (34)	283 (32)	1.05 (0.81, 1.36)	
1-10	95 (18)	79 (21)	1.18 (0.82, 1.70)	134 (19)	159 (19)	1.05 (0.74, 1.48)	
11-20	53 (11)	29 (9)	0.75 (0.44, 1.30)	88 (12)	73 (9)	0.71 (0.50, 1.02)	
>20	202 (43)	155 (43)	ref	208 (29)	271 (32)	ref	
p trend			0.96			0.45	
Total ac	tivity score ^c						0.07
2-4	80 (13)	42 (12)	1.14 (0.68, 1.92)	118 (17)	168 (19)	1.35 (0.91, 2.01)	
5-6	135 (24)	99 (28)	1.37 (0.94, 2.00)	243 (35)	297 (35)	1.16 (0.84, 1.63)	
7-8	141 (31)	113 (32)	1.24 (0.84, 1.82)	236 (34)	266 (32)	0.97 (0.71, 1.33)	
9-10	159 (32)	99 (28)	ref	103 (14)	119 (15)	ref	
p trend			0.30			0.06	
Physica	l activity during age 5	50's					
Walking	or biking for transpo	ortation, hr/wk					0.17
<1	134 (38)	95 (36)	0.78 (0.50, 1.22)	249 (47)	305 (48)	0.98 (0.67, 1.45)	
1-7	133 (39)	96 (37)	0.79 (0.51, 1.24)	212 (41)	235 (38)	0.90 (0.60, 1.34)	
>7	78 (22)	68 (27)	ref	66 (13)	80 (13)	ref	
p trend			0.31			0.83	
Modera	te to strenuous leisur	e time activity, hr/v	vk				0.21
<1	80 (24)	61 (23)	0.84 (0.50, 1.43)	72 (13)	120 (19)	1.49 (1.00, 2.20)	
1-7	159 (43)	113 (44)	1.06 (0.68, 1.65)	295 (55)	316 (50)	0.95 (0.72, 1.25)	
>7	107 (32)	85 (32)	ref	158 (32)	185 (30)	Ref	
p trend			0.58			0.09	
Modera	te to strenuous work	activity, hr/wk					0.71
<1	98 (27)	60 (23)	0.86 (0.56, 1.32)	197 (37)	226 (36)	0.98 (0.73, 1.31)	
1-10	67 (19)	55 (21)	1.19 (0.70, 2.01)	122 (23)	111 (18)	0.72 (0.50, 1.04)	
11-20	36 (12)	17 (6)	0.53 (0.28, 1.01)	51 (10)	53 (8)	0.79 (0.50, 1.23)	
>20	115 (34)	86 (34)	ref	121 (22)	159 (26)	ref	
p trend			0.89			0.88	
Total ac	tivity score ^c						0.14
2-4	82 (22)	63 (24)	1.03 (0.58, 1.84)	133 (24)	180 (28)	1.50 (0.95, 2.41)	
5-6	104 (31)	53 (33)	1.01 (0.57, 1.77)	220 (40)	222 (36)	1.21 (0.76, 1.92)	

Table 2 Renal cell carcinoma in relation to physical activity at age 20's and 50's, by race

7-8	102 (30)	66 (26)	0.93 (0.55, 1.57)	142 (27)	171 (29)	1.29 (0.80, 2.10)	
9-10	55 (17)	44 (17)	ref	48 (9)	45 (8)	ref	
p trend			0.79			0.12	

Table 2 Renal cell carcinoma in relation to physical activity at age 20's and 50's, by race (Continued)

^aPercentages are weighted.

^bAdjusted for study center (Detroit or Chicago), age (20-44, 45-54, 55-64, 65-74, 75+ years), sex (male, female), education (<12 years, high school, some college,

4+ years of college), smoking status (never, occasional, former, current), and history of cancer among first-degree relatives (none, cancer other than kidney cancer, kidney cancer, unknown).

^cNumeric scores were assigned to each category of physical activities (Transportation and leisure activities: 1, 2, and 3 for <1, 1-7 and >7 hr/wk, respectively; work activity: 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4 for none, <1, 1-10, 11-20 and >20 hr/wk, respectively). Total scores were calculated by combining all the activity scores of each person at different age periods.

In these analysis, we excluded people with missing information on specific types of physical activity (transportation: N = 15 for age 20's and N = 8 for age 50's; leisure-time: N = 9 for age 20's and N = 8 for age 50's; work: N = 7 for age 20's and N = 4 for age 50's). Additionally, participants who did not work either full-time or part time were also excluded from the analysis of work activity (N = 191 for age 20's and 181 for age 50's).

time activity in their 50's were associated with increased odds of RCC. Compared to the reference group (>7 hr/ week of activities), whites who reported engaging in these activities for <1 hr/week were >40% more likely to be diagnosed with RCC [OR (95%): 1.42 (1.10, 1.83) for transportation activity in their 20's and 1.49 (1.00, 2.20) for leisure time activity in their 50's]. No association with renal cancer was found for work activity at either age. Additionally, there was a suggestive inverse, albeit statistically nonsignificant, association between total activity score both in their 20's and 50's and renal cancer in whites. In blacks, neither the individual types of activity nor the total activity score at any age was associated with renal cancer. There were no statistically significant interactions between race and any of the physical activity measures. After restricting our analysis to clear-cell subtype, the results remained largely similar (Additional file 1: Table S1).

In subgroup analysis, we did not detect any significant interaction between activity and sex, usual BMI or hypertension status. Among blacks, the association between physical activity and RCC was largely null across subgroups. For physical activity occurring in the 20's, we also performed subgroup analyses by BMI at age 21, with similar findings (data not shown).

Discussion

In this large population-based case-control study, we found a suggestive inverse association between physical activity and RCC among whites, but no evidence of an association among blacks. The observed effects were driven mainly by physical activity done outside of the work place, such as walking or biking for transportation and leisure time activities.

The inverse association between physical activity and RCC among whites was consistent with previous studies. A recent meta-analysis [4] summarized 19 studies of predominantly white populations and found a 12% reduction in relative risk of RCC for high total physical activity compared to low physical activity. When they performed stratified analysis by physical activity

domains, they found that the RR comparing high vs low recreational activity was 0.88 (95% CI, 0.77-1.00). However, the summary RR for occupational activity from 14 effect estimates was nonsignificant (0.91 (0.79, 1.04)). We also did not find an association between work activity and RCC. The null findings for work-related physical activity may be due to residual confounding, as people who held labor-intensive jobs are more likely to be of low SES, and may have other health risk factors that influence RCC risk.

To our knowledge, this is the first study of physical activity and RCC among blacks. We did not find a statistically significant association between physical activity and RCC among blacks, overall or in subgroup analyses by potential effect modifiers with different prevalence across the two racial groups, such as BMI and hypertension. Few epidemiologic studies have examined risk factors for RCC in the black population [25]. Some risk factors, such as hypertension [24], chronic renal failure [26] and family history of cancer [27], have been found to be positively associated with RCC in both blacks and whites, while others, such as BMI at early age [28], smoking [29] and reproductive factors in women [30], appear to have weaker effects in blacks than whites. Our finding of a lack of association between physical activity and RCC in blacks deserves further exploration.

This study has several limitations. First, our selfreported estimates of past physical activity will have been subject to measurement error, the effects of which may have affected our results. Moreover, the middle categories of transportation and leisure time activity were quite broad and included people ranging from fairly inactive (1 hr/wk) to active (7 hr/wk), making it hard to interpret the effect estimates. Also we lacked of information on physical activities between age 20's to 50's, and were not able to examine its relationship with renal cancer. Although we adjusted for potential confounders, we could not rule out the possibility of residual confounding. If the level of residual confounding differs by race, it would make direct comparison between blacks and whites problematic. We have performed multiple comparisons and the findings of inverse association among whites can be due to chance alone. Lastly, we had a fairly low response rate among controls compared to cases. The sample weights used in our analysis are designed to account for differential nonresponse across subgroups defined by factors such as age, sex, and county of residence, and can partially reduce bias. However, it is still possible that the nonresponse rate may differ by physical activity level, which can lead to biased estimates.

A notable strength of our study is that by oversampling African Americans, we were able to assess the relationship between physical activity and RCC in blacks and make comparisons between the two races. We also had a sufficient sample size to conduct subgroup analysis by several potential effect modifiers such as sex, BMI and hypertension.

Conclusions

In summary, our findings suggest that low levels of physical activity may increase the risk of RCC in whites. In contrast, higher levels of physical activity did not appear to offer similar protective effect in blacks.

Additional file

Additional file 1: Table S1. Clear Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma in Relation to Physical Activity at Age 20's and 50's, by Race.

Abbreviations

BMI: Body mass index; CI: Confidence interval; OR: Odds ratio; RCC: Renal cell carcinoma.

Competing interests

The authors declared that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

QX, LL, JH and JC initiated and designed the study. QX performed the statistical analysis and drafted the manuscript. QX, LL, CM, JH and JC interpreted the data. LL, CM, WC, FD, KS, MP, JN, and JC provided critical review of the manuscript and important intellectual content. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

Supported by the Intramural Research Program of the National Institutes of Health and the National Cancer Institute with contracts N02-CP-10128 (Westat, Inc.), N02-CP-11004 (Wayne State University), and N02-CP-11161 (University of Illinois at Chicago).

Author details

¹Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, Department of Health and Human Services, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA. ²Department of Epidemiology, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas, USA. ³School of Public Health, University of Alberta, Alberta, Canada. ⁴Karmanos Cancer Institute and Department of Family Medicine & Public Health Sciences, Wayne State University, Detroit, MI, USA.

Received: 29 January 2014 Accepted: 16 September 2014 Published: 24 September 2014

References

- Siegel R, Naishadham D, Jemal A: Cancer statistics. CA Cancer J Clin 2013, 63(1):11–30.
- Chow WH, Devesa SS, Warren JL, Fraumeni JF Jr: Rising incidence of renal cell cancer in the United States. JAMA 1999, 281(17):1628–1631.
- Chow WH, Dong LM, Devesa SS: Epidemiology and risk factors for kidney cancer. Nat Rev Urol 2010, 7(5):245–257.
- Behrens G, Leitzmann MF: The association between physical activity and renal cancer: systematic review and meta-analysis. Br J Cancer 2013, 108(4):798–811.
- Lindblad P, Wolk A, Bergstrom R, Persson I, Adami HO: The role of obesity and weight fluctuations in the etiology of renal cell cancer: a population-based case-control study. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 1994, 3(8):631–639.
- Menezes RJ, Tomlinson G, Kreiger N: Physical activity and risk of renal cell carcinoma. Int J Cancer 2003, 107(4):642–646.
- Bergstrom A, Moradi T, Lindblad P, Nyren O, Adami HO, Wolk A: Occupational physical activity and renal cell cancer: a nationwide cohort study in Sweden. Int J Cancer 1999, 83(2):186–191.
- Chiu BC, Gapstur SM, Chow WH, Kirby KA, Lynch CF, Cantor KP: Body mass index, physical activity, and risk of renal cell carcinoma. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2006, 30(6):940–947.
- Setiawan VW, Stram DO, Nomura AM, Kolonel LN, Henderson BE: Risk factors for renal cell cancer: the multiethnic cohort. Am J Epidemiol 2007, 166(8):932–940.
- Tavani A, Zucchetto A, Dal Maso L, Montella M, Ramazzotti V, Talamini R, Franceschi S, La Vecchia C: Lifetime physical activity and the risk of renal cell cancer. Int J Cancer 2007, 120(9):1977–1980.
- Moore SC, Chow WH, Schatzkin A, Adams KF, Park Y, Ballard-Barbash R, Hollenbeck A, Leitzmann MF: Physical activity during adulthood and adolescence in relation to renal cell cancer. Am J Epidemiol 2008, 168(2):149–157.
- Washio M, Mori M, Sakauchi F, Watanabe Y, Ozasa K, Hayashi K, Miki T, Nakao M, Mikami K, Ito Y, Wakai K, Tamakoshi A, JACC Study Group: Risk factors for kidney cancer in a Japanese population: findings from the JACC Study. J Epidemiol 2005, 15(Suppl 2):S203–S211.
- Paffenbarger RS Jr, Hyde RT, Wing AL: Physical activity and incidence of cancer in diverse populations: a preliminary report. Am J Clin Nutr 1987, 45(1 Suppl):312–317.
- Goodman MT, Morgenstern H, Wynder EL: A case-control study of factors affecting the development of renal cell cancer. Am J Epidemiol 1986, 124(6):926–941.
- Mellemgaard A, Engholm G, McLaughlin JK, Olsen JH: Risk factors for renal-cell carcinoma in Denmark. III. Role of weight, physical activity and reproductive factors. Int J Cancer 1994, 56(1):66–71.
- Mellemgaard A, Lindblad P, Schlehofer B, Bergstrom R, Mandel JS, McCredie M, McLaughlin JK, Niwa S, Odaka N, Pommer W, Olsen JH: International renal-cell cancer study. III. Role of weight, height, physical activity, and use of amphetamines. Int J Cancer 1995, 60(3):350–354.
- Bergstrom A, Terry P, Lindblad P, Lichtenstein P, Ahlbom A, Feychting M, Wolk A: Physical activity and risk of renal cell cancer. Int J Cancer 2001, 92(1):155–157.
- Nicodemus KK, Sweeney C, Folsom AR: Evaluation of dietary, medical and lifestyle risk factors for incident kidney cancer in postmenopausal women. *Int J Cancer* 2004, **108**(1):115–121.
- Mahabir S, Leitzmann MF, Pietinen P, Albanes D, Virtamo J, Taylor PR: Physical activity and renal cell cancer risk in a cohort of male smokers. Int J Cancer 2004, 108(4):600–605.
- Pan SY, DesMeules M, Morrison H, Wen SW: Obesity, high energy intake, lack of physical activity, and the risk of kidney cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2006, 15(12):2453–2460.
- Parent ME, Rousseau MC, El-Zein M, Latreille B, Desy M, Siemiatycki J: Occupational and recreational physical activity during adult life and the risk of cancer among men. *Cancer Epidemiol* 2011, 35(2):151–159.
- 22. Yun YH, Lim MK, Won YJ, Park SM, Chang YJ, Oh SW, Shin SA: Dietary preference, physical activity, and cancer risk in men: national health insurance corporation study. *BMC Cancer* 2008, **8**:366.
- Chow WH, Shuch B, Linehan WM, Devesa SS: Racial disparity in renal cell carcinoma patient survival according to demographic and clinical characteristics. *Cancer* 2013, 119(2):388–394.
- 24. Colt JS, Schwartz K, Graubard BJ, Davis F, Ruterbusch J, DiGaetano R, Purdue M, Rothman N, Wacholder S, Chow WH: **Hypertension and risk of renal**

cell carcinoma among white and black Americans. *Epidemiology* 2011, **22**(6):797–804.

- Lipworth L, Tarone RE, McLaughlin JK: Renal cell cancer among African Americans: an epidemiologic review. BMC Cancer 2011, 11:133.
- Hofmann JN, Schwartz K, Chow WH, Ruterbusch JJ, Shuch BM, Karami S, Rothman N, Wacholder S, Graubard BI, Colt JS, Purdue MP: The association between chronic renal failure and renal cell carcinoma may differ between black and white Americans. *Cancer Causes Control* 2013, 24(1):167–174.
- Karami S, Schwartz K, Purdue MP, Davis FG, Ruterbusch JJ, Munuo SS, Wacholder S, Graubard BI, Colt JS, Chow WH: Family history of cancer and renal cell cancer risk in Caucasians and African Americans. *Br J Cancer* 2010, 102(11):1676–1680.
- Beebe-Dimmer JL, Colt JS, Ruterbusch JJ, Keele GR, Purdue MP, Wacholder S, Graubard BI, Davis F, Chow WH, Schwartz KL: Body mass index and renal cell cancer: the influence of race and sex. *Epidemiology* 2012, 23(6):821–828.
- Cote ML, Colt JS, Schwartz KL, Wacholder S, Ruterbusch JJ, Davis F, Purdue M, Graubard BJ, Chow WH: Cigarette smoking and renal cell carcinoma risk among black and white Americans: effect modification by hypertension and obesity. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2012, 21(5):770–779.
- Purdue MP, Colt JS, Graubard B, Davis F, Ruterbusch JJ, Digaetano R, Karami S, Wacholder S, Schwartz K, Chow WH: A case-control study of reproductive factors and renal cell carcinoma among black and white women in the United States. *Cancer Causes Control* 2011, 22(11):1537–1544.

doi:10.1186/1471-2407-14-707

Cite this article as: Xiao *et al.*: Physical activity and renal cell carcinoma among black and white Americans: a case-control study. *BMC Cancer* 2014 14:707.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

) BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit