

RESEARCH

Open Access

The global solution of a diffusion equation with nonlinear gradient term

Huashui Zhan*

*Correspondence:
huashuizhan@163.com;
2012111007@xmut.edu.cn
School of Applied Mathematics,
Xiamen University of Technology,
Xiamen, 361024, P.R. China
School of Sciences, Jimei University,
Xiamen, 361021, P.R. China

Abstract

Consider the viscosity solution to the initial boundary value problem of the diffusion equation

$$u_t = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u^m|^{p-2} \nabla u^m) - u^{q_1 m} |\nabla u^m|^{p_1},$$

with $p > 1$, $m > 0$, $p_1 \leq 2$, $p > 2p_1$, its initial value $u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \in L^{q-1+\frac{1}{m}}(\Omega)$, $3 > q > 1$ and its boundary value $u(x, t) = 0$, $(x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty)$. If $p > 1 + \frac{1}{m}$, by considering the regularized problem and using Moser's iteration technique, we get the locally uniformly bounded property of the solution and the locally bounded property of the L^p -norm of the gradient. By the compactness theorem, the existence of the viscosity solution of the equation is obtained provided that

$$\frac{mNq_1}{Nm(p-1) - N + mq} + \frac{p_1(m(p-1) + m - 2)}{m(p-1) - 1} < 1.$$

If $2 < p < 1 + \frac{1}{m}$, the existence of solution is obtained in a similar way, and the extinction of the solution is proved in this case.

MSC: 35K55; 35K65; 35B40

Keywords: diffusion equation; Moser iteration; viscosity solution; extinction

1 Introduction

The objective of the paper is to study the nonnegative weak solution of the following nonlinear parabolic equation:

$$u_t = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u^m|^{p-2} \nabla u^m) - u^{mq_1} |\nabla u^m|^{p_1} \quad \text{in } S = \Omega \times (0, \infty), \quad (1.1)$$

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad (1.2)$$

$$u(x, t) = 0, \quad (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty), \quad (1.3)$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded open domain, $p > 1$, $m > 0$, $p_1 \leq 2$, $p > 2p_1$, $N \geq 1$, $0 \leq u_0(x) \in L^{q-1+\frac{1}{m}}(\Omega)$, $3 > q > 1$, and ∇ is the spatial gradient operator.

The equation of the form (1.1) was suggested as a mathematical model for a variety of problems in mechanics, physics and biology, which can be seen in [1–4] *etc.* It has been widely researched, whether it is linear (*i.e.*, $m = 1$, $p = 2$, $p_1 = 0$, $mq_1 = 1$) or nonlinear, fast diffusion ($m(p-1) < 1$) or slow diffusion ($m(p-1) > 1$). For example, the existence of

a nonnegative solution of (1.1)-(1.3) without the damping term $-u^{mq_1} |\nabla u^m|^{p_1}$, defined in some weak sense, is well established (see [5, 6]). For other examples, Bertsh *et al.* [7] and Zhou *et al.* [8] discussed the existence and properties of viscosity solution for the equation

$$u_t = u\Delta u - \gamma |\nabla u|^2, \tag{1.4}$$

where γ is a positive constant. Zhang *et al.* [9] discussed the existence and properties of the viscosity solution for the equation

$$u_t = \Delta u - a(x)|u|^{q-1} |\nabla u|^2, \tag{1.5}$$

where $a(x)$ is a known function.

The most important characteristic of equation (1.4) or (1.5) is in that, generally, the uniqueness of the solutions is not true; one can refer to [8–12]. Thus, for the equation of the type (1.1), we should mainly consider the existence of the viscosity solution (see Definition 1.2 below) and the related properties such as large time behaviors; one can refer to [13–16] *etc.* for some progress on this problem.

Now, we quote the following definition.

Definition 1.1 A nonnegative function $u(x, t)$ is called a weak solution of (1.1)-(1.3) if u satisfies

(i)

$$u \in L_{loc}^\infty(0, \infty; L^\infty(\Omega)), \tag{1.6}$$

$$u_t \in L_{loc}^2(0, \infty; L^2(\Omega)), \quad u^m \in L_{loc}^\infty(0, \infty; W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)); \tag{1.7}$$

(ii)

$$\iint_S [u\varphi_t - |\nabla u^m|^{p-2} \nabla u^m \cdot \nabla \varphi - u^{mq_1} |\nabla u^m|^{p_1} \varphi] dx dt = 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^1(S); \tag{1.8}$$

(iii)

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_\Omega |u(x, t) - u_0(x)| dx = 0. \tag{1.9}$$

We will get the solution of (1.1)-(1.3) by considering the regularized problem

$$u_t = \operatorname{div} \left(\left(|\nabla u^m|^2 + \frac{1}{k} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u^m \right) - u^{mq_1} |\nabla u^m|^{p_1}, \tag{1.10}$$

with the initial value (1.2) and the homogeneous boundary value (1.3). The solutions of the regularized equation (1.10) are denoted by u_k .

Definition 1.2 If u_k is a solution of the initial boundary value problem of (1.10)-(1.2)-(1.3), $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u_k = u$, a.e. in S , such that u is a weak solution of (1.1)-(1.3), then u is said to be a viscosity solution.

The main aim of the paper is to show how the damping term $-u^{mq_1}|\nabla u^{mp_1}|$ affects the equation, including how the damping term affects the existence of the solution and how the damping term affects the properties such as the extinction of the solution. By considering the solution u_k of the regularized problem (1.10) and using Moser’s iteration technique, we get u_k ’s local bounded properties and the local bounded properties of the L^p -norm of the gradient ∇u_k . By the compactness theorem, we get the existence of the viscosity solution of the diffusion equation itself. Apart from the general process of the proof such as in [3–5, 7, 9] *etc.*, in which the main difficulty is how to prove that

$$|\nabla u_k^m|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_k^m \rightharpoonup (*)\chi = |\nabla u^m|^{p-2} \nabla u^m, \quad \text{weakly star in } L_{loc}^\infty(0, \infty; L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\Omega)),$$

in our paper, in addition to overcoming the above difficulty, we have to solve another difficulty lying in how to prove that

$$-u_k^{mq_1}|\nabla u_k^m|^{p_1} \rightharpoonup (*)v = -u^{mq_1}|\nabla u^m|^{p_1}, \quad \text{weakly star in } L_{loc}^\infty(0, \infty; L^{\frac{p}{p_1}}(\Omega)).$$

Also, we need to overcome the difficulty which comes from the damping term $-u^{mq_1}|\nabla u^{mp_1}|$ when we prove the uniqueness of the viscosity solutions of (1.1)-(1.3).

In order to get the desired results, some important relationships among the exponents p_1, q_1, q, p, m, N are imposed. We also need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1.3 [17] (Gagliardo-Nirenberg) *If $1 \leq l < N, 1 + \beta \leq q, 1 \leq r \leq q \leq (1 + \beta)Nl/(N - l)$, suppose that $u^{1+\beta} \in W^{1,l}(\Omega)$, then*

$$\|u\|_q \leq c^{1/(1+\beta)} \|u\|_r^{1-\theta} \|u^{1+\beta}\|_{1,l}^{\theta/(1+\beta)}, \tag{1.11}$$

where $\theta = (\beta + 1)(r^{-1} - q^{-1})/(N^{-1} - l^{-1} + (\beta + 1)r^{-1})$.

Lemma 1.4 [18] *Let $y(t)$ be a nonnegative function on $(0, T]$. If it satisfies*

$$y'(t) + At^{\lambda\theta-1}y^{1+\theta}(t) \leq Bt^{-k}y(t) + Ct^{-\delta}, \quad 0 < t \leq T, \tag{1.12}$$

where $A, \theta > 0, \lambda\theta \geq 1, B, C \geq 0, k \leq 1$, then

$$y(t) \leq A^{-\frac{1}{\theta}}(2\lambda + 2BT^{1-k})^{\frac{1}{\theta}} t^{-\lambda} + 2C(\lambda + BT^{1-k})^{-1} t^{1-\delta}, \quad 0 < t \leq T. \tag{1.13}$$

We will prove the following theorems. As usual, the constants c in what follows may be different from one to another.

Theorem 1.5 *If $0 \leq u_0(x)$ and*

$$p > 1 + \frac{1}{m}, \tag{1.14}$$

$$u_0(x) \in L^{q-1+\frac{1}{m}}(\Omega), \tag{1.15}$$

$$p_1 \leq 2, \quad 2p_1 < p, \quad 3 > q > 1, \tag{1.16}$$

$$\frac{mNq_1}{Nm(p-1) - N + mq} + \frac{p_1(m(p-1) + m - 2)}{m(p-1) - 1} < 1, \tag{1.17}$$

then (1.1)-(1.3) has a weak viscosity solution which satisfies

$$u^m \in L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(0, \infty; L^{q+1-\frac{1}{m}}(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(0, \infty; W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)) \tag{1.18}$$

and

$$\|u^m(t)\|_\infty \leq c(1+t^{-\lambda})(1+t)^{-1/(p-1-\frac{1}{m})}, \quad t > 0, \tag{1.19}$$

where $\lambda = N(pq + (p-1-\frac{1}{m})N)^{-1}$. Moreover, if $p > 2$, then

$$\|\nabla u^m\|_p \leq c(1+t^{-\mu})(1+t)^{-\sigma}, \quad t > 0, \tag{1.20}$$

where $\mu = 1 + \frac{m-1}{m(p-1)-1}$, $\sigma = \frac{p(m(2q_1+1)-1)+mp_1}{(m(p-1)-1)(p-p_1)}$.

The condition (1.17) is only used to prove (1.9); if $p_1 = 0 = q_1$, this is a natural condition. We conjecture that this condition can be weakened.

Theorem 1.6 *Let u be a weak solution of (1.1)-(1.3). If $p > 1 + \frac{1}{m}$, $p_1 + q_1 > p - 1$, then*

$$\text{supp } u(\cdot, s) \subset \text{supp } u(\cdot, t) \tag{1.21}$$

for all s, t with $0 < s < t$.

Theorem 1.7 *If $2 < p < 1 + \frac{1}{m} < p + q$,*

$$0 \leq u_0 \in L^{q-1+\frac{1}{m}}(\Omega), \quad 3 > q > 1, \tag{1.22}$$

then (1.1)-(1.3) has a weak solution which satisfies (1.18), and there exists a positive $T > 0$ such that

$$u(x, t) \equiv 0, \quad \forall (x, t) \in (x, t) \in \overline{\Omega} \times (T, \infty). \tag{1.23}$$

If the damping term disappears in (1.1), say, if (1.1) without $-u^{mq_1}|\nabla u^{mp_1}|$ by [19], then we know that the extinction of the solution as Theorem 1.7 is true. For other related works on equation (1.1), one can refer to the references [20–31] etc. We use some ideas in [19] and [30].

2 The L^∞ estimate of the solution

Consider the regularized problem

$$u_t = \text{div} \left(\left(|\nabla u^m|^2 + \frac{1}{k} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u^m \right) - u^{mq_1} |\nabla u^m|^{p_1}, \tag{2.1}$$

$$u(x, 0) = u_{0k}(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \tag{2.2}$$

$$u(x, t) = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, t \geq 0, \tag{2.3}$$

where $0 \leq u_{0k}(x)$ is a suitably smooth function such that

$$u_0(x) \in L^\infty(\Omega), \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|u_{0k}\|_{q-1+\frac{1}{m}} = \|u_0\|_{q-1+\frac{1}{m}}.$$

Clearly,

$$|-u^{mq_1} |\nabla u^m|^{p_1}| = m^{p_1} |u^{mq_1+p_1(m-1)} |\nabla u|^{p_1}|,$$

if let

$$b(x, t, z, p) = -m^{p_1} |z^{mq_1+p_1(m-1)} |p|^{p_1}|.$$

Then, if $|z| \leq M$, since $p_1 \leq 2$,

$$|b| \leq c|p|^2,$$

by Chapter 8 of [32], viewing (2.1) as a divergent form of a quasilinear parabolic equation, we know that (2.1)-(2.3) has a unique nonnegative classical solution u_k . In what follows, in the proof of the related lemmas, we only denote u_k as u for simplicity.

Lemma 2.1 *If $p > 1 + \frac{1}{m}$, u_k is the solution of (2.1)-(2.3), then $u_k^m \in L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(0, \infty; L^{q-1+\frac{1}{m}}(\Omega))$ and*

$$\|u_k^m\|_{q-1+\frac{1}{m}} \leq c(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{p-1-\frac{1}{m}}}, \quad t \geq 0, \tag{2.4}$$

where $3 > q > 1$.

Proof Let $A_n = (q-2)n^{3-q}$, $B_n = (3-q)n^{2-q}$ and

$$f_n(s) = \begin{cases} s^{q-1} & \text{if } s \geq \frac{1}{n}, \\ A_n s^2 + B_n s & \text{if } 0 \leq s < \frac{1}{n}. \end{cases}$$

The condition $3 > q > 1$ assures that $f(u^m)$ defined above is nonnegative. If we multiply (2.1) by $f_n(u^m)$ and integral on Ω , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} f_n(u^m) \operatorname{div} \left(\left(|\nabla u^m|^2 + \frac{1}{k} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u^m \right) dx \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u^m|^2 + \frac{1}{k} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\nabla u^m|^2 f'_n(u^m) dx \\ &\leq - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u^m|^p f'_n(u^m) dx = - \int_{\Omega} \left| \nabla \int_0^{u^m} (f'_n(s))^{\frac{1}{p}} ds \right|^p dx, \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

$$- \int_{\Omega} f_n(u^m) u^{mq_1} |\nabla u^m|^{p_1} dx \leq 0. \tag{2.6}$$

From the above calculation, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} f_n(u^m) u_t dx + \int_{\Omega} \left| \nabla \int_0^{u^m} (f'_n(s))^{\frac{1}{p}} ds \right|^p dx \leq 0.$$

By the Poincare inequality, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} f_n(u^m)u_t \, dx + c \int_{\Omega} \left| \int_0^{u^m} (f'_n(s))^{\frac{1}{p}} \, ds \right|^p \, dx \leq 0. \tag{2.7}$$

Let $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.7). We can deduce that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} u^{m(q-1)+1} \, dx + c \int_{\Omega} u^{m[q-1+\frac{1}{m}+p-1-\frac{1}{m}]} \, dx \leq 0. \tag{2.8}$$

By the Jessen inequality, from (2.8) we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u^m\|_{q-1+\frac{1}{m}}^{q-1+\frac{1}{m}} + c \|u^m\|_{q-1+\frac{1}{m}}^{q-1+\frac{1}{m}+p-1-\frac{1}{m}} \leq 0,$$

then

$$\|u^m\|_{q+1-\frac{1}{m}} \leq c(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{p-1-\frac{1}{m}}}.$$

We get the desired result. □

Lemma 2.2 *If $p > 1 + \frac{1}{m}$, u_k is the solution of (2.1)-(2.3), then*

$$\|u_k^m\|_{\infty} \leq ct^{-\lambda}, \quad 0 < t \leq 1, \tag{2.9}$$

$$\|u_k^m\|_{\infty} \leq c(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{p-1-\frac{1}{m}}}, \quad t \geq 1, \tag{2.10}$$

where $\lambda = \frac{N}{(p-1-\frac{1}{m})N+q}$.

Proof Multiply (2.1) by $u^{m(l-1)}$ and integral on Ω , then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} u^{m(l-1)}u_t \, dx &= \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div} \left(\left(|\nabla u^m| + \frac{1}{k} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u^m \right) u^{m(l-1)} \, dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} u^{mq_1} |\nabla u^m|^{p_1} u^{m(l-1)} \, dx \\ &= -(l-1) \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u^m| + \frac{1}{k} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\nabla u^m|^2 u^{m(l-2)} \, dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} u^{mq_1} |\nabla u^m|^{p_1} u^{m(l-1)} \, dx \\ &\leq -(l-1) \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u^m| + \frac{1}{k} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\nabla u^m|^2 u^{m(l-2)} \, dx, \end{aligned}$$

which deduces that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u^m\|_{l-1+\frac{1}{m}}^{l-1+\frac{1}{m}} + c \left(l-1 + \frac{1}{m} \right)^{2-p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u^m|^{\frac{p(l-1+\frac{1}{m}-1-\frac{1}{m})}{p}} \, dx \leq 0.$$

Set $L = l - 1 + \frac{1}{m}$. Then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u^m\|_L^L + cL^{2-p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u^{m \frac{L+p-1-\frac{1}{m}}{p}}|^p dx \leq 0, \tag{2.11}$$

where c is a constant independent of l .

Now, if we choose $L_1 = q - 1 - \frac{1}{m}$, $L_n = rL_{n-1} - (p - 1 - \frac{1}{m})$, $\theta_n = rN(1 - L_{n-1}L_n^{-1})(p + N(r - 1))^{-1}$, $\mu_n = (L_n + p - 1 - \frac{1}{m})\theta_n^{-1} - L_n$, $r > 1 + (p - 1 - \frac{1}{m})q^{-1}$, $n = 2, 3, \dots$, by Lemma 1.3, we have

$$\|u^m\|_{L_n} \leq c^{p/(L_n+p-1-\frac{1}{m})} \|u^m\|_{L_{n-1}}^{1-\theta_n} \|\nabla u^{m(L_n+p-1-\frac{1}{m})/p}\|_p^{p\theta_n/(p-1-\frac{1}{m}+L_n)}. \tag{2.12}$$

If we choose $L = L_n$ in (2.11), by (2.12) we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u^m\|_{L_n}^{L_n} + c^{-p/\theta_n} L_n^{2-p} \|u^m\|_{L_n}^{L_n+\mu_n} \|u^m\|_{L_{n-1}}^{p-1-\frac{1}{m}-\mu_n} \leq 0, \quad 0 < t \leq 1. \tag{2.13}$$

We will prove that there exist two bounded sequences $\{\xi_n\}$, $\{\lambda_n\}$ such that

$$\|u^m\|_{L_n} \leq \xi_n t^{-\lambda_n}, \quad 0 < t \leq 1. \tag{2.14}$$

If $n = 1$, by Lemma 2.1, $\lambda_1 = 0$, $\xi_1 = \sup_{t \geq 0} \|u^m(t)\|_{q-1-\frac{1}{m}}$ makes (2.14) sure. If (2.14) is true for $n - 1$, then from (2.13),

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u^m\|_{L_n}^{L_n} + c^{-p/\theta_n} L_n^{2-p} \|u^m\|_{L_n}^{L_n+\mu_n} \xi_{n-1}^{p-1-\frac{1}{m}-\mu_n} t^{-(p-1-\frac{1}{m}-\mu_n)\lambda_{n-1}} \leq 0, \quad 0 < t \leq 1. \tag{2.15}$$

We can choose

$$\lambda_n = \left(\lambda_{n-1} \left(\mu_n - p + 1 + \frac{1}{m} \right) + 1 \right) \mu_n^{-1}, \quad \xi_n = \xi_{n-1} (c^{p/\theta_n} L_n^{p-1} \lambda_n)^{1/\mu_n}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots,$$

by Lemma 1.4 and (2.15), (2.14) is true.

Moreover, by Lemma 1.4, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda = \frac{N}{(p-1-\frac{1}{m})N+q}$. It is easy to see that $\{\xi_n\}$ is bounded. Thus (2.9) is true.

To prove (2.10), we set $\tau = \log(1 + t)$, $t \geq 1$, $w(\tau) = (1 + t)^{\frac{1}{p-1-\frac{1}{m}}} u^m(t)$. By (2.11), we have

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} \|w(\tau)\|_L^L + cL^{2-p} \|\nabla w^{\frac{L+p-1-\frac{1}{m}}{p}}\|_p^p \leq \frac{L}{p-1-\frac{1}{m}} \|w(\tau)\|_L^L, \quad \tau \geq \log 2. \tag{2.16}$$

By Lemma 3.1 in [31], we can get (2.10); we omit details here. □

3 The L^∞ estimation of the gradient

Lemma 3.1 *If $p > \max\{2, 1 + \frac{1}{m}\}$, u_k is the solution of (2.1)-(2.3), then*

$$\|\nabla u_k^m\|_p \leq ct^{-(1+\frac{m-1}{m(p-1)-1})}, \quad 0 < t \leq 1, \tag{3.1}$$

$$\|\nabla u_k^m\|_p \leq c(1+t)^{-\frac{p(m(2q_1+1)-1)+mp_1}{(m(p-1)-1)(p-p_1)}}, \quad t \geq 1. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof If we multiply (3.1) by u_t^m and integral on Ω , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & m \int_{\Omega} u^{m-1} (u_t)^2 dx \\
 &= \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div} \left(\left(|\nabla u^m|^2 + \frac{1}{k} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u^m \right) u_t^m dx - \int_{\Omega} u^{mq_1} |\nabla u^m|^{p_1} u_t^m dx, \tag{3.3}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div} \left(\left(|\nabla u^m|^2 + \frac{1}{k} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u^m \right) u_t^m dx \\
 &= - \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u^m|^2 + \frac{1}{k} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u^m \nabla u_t^m dx = - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u^m|^2 + \frac{1}{k} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\nabla u^m|_t^2 dx \\
 &= - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^{|\nabla u^m|^2} \left(s + \frac{1}{k} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} ds dx = - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \Gamma_k (|\nabla u^m|^2), \tag{3.4}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\left| - \int_{\Omega} u^{mq_1} |\nabla u^m|^{p_1} u_t^m dx \right| \leq \frac{m}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{m-1} (u_t)^2 dx + c \int_{\Omega} |u^m|^{2q_1 + \frac{m-1}{m}} |\nabla u^m|^{2p_1} dx. \tag{3.5}$$

By (3.3)-(3.5), we have

$$\int_{\Omega} u^{m-1} (u_t)^2 dx + \frac{1}{m} \frac{d}{dt} \Gamma_k (|\nabla u^m|^2) \leq c \int_{\Omega} |u^m|^{2p_1 + \frac{m-1}{m}} |\nabla u^m|^{2p_1} dx. \tag{3.6}$$

If we multiply (3.1) by u^m and integral on Ω , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{m+1} \int_{\Omega} \frac{d}{dt} u^{m+1} dx &= \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div} \left(\left(|\nabla u^m|^2 + \frac{1}{k} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u^m \right) u^m dx - \int_{\Omega} u^{mq_1} |\nabla u^m|^{p_1} u^m dx \\
 &= - \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u^m|^2 + \frac{1}{k} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\nabla u^m|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} u^{mq_1} |\nabla u^m|^{p_1} u^m dx
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Gamma_k (|\nabla u^m|^2) &\leq \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u^m|^2 + \frac{1}{k} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\nabla u^m|^2 dx \\
 &= - \frac{1}{m+1} \int_{\Omega} \frac{d}{dt} u^{m+1} dx - \int_{\Omega} u^{mq_1} |\nabla u^m|^{p_1} u^m dx \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{m+1} \|u^{\frac{m+1}{2}}\|_2 \|u^{\frac{m-1}{2}} u_t\|_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{m} \frac{d}{dt} \Gamma_k (|\nabla u^m|^2) + (m+1)^2 \|u^{\frac{m+1}{2}}\|_2^{-2} \Gamma_k^2 (|\nabla u^m|^2) \\
 &\leq c \int_{\Omega} |u^m|^{2q_1 + \frac{m-1}{m}} |\nabla u^m|^{2p_1} dx. \tag{3.7}
 \end{aligned}$$

Setting $2\gamma = 2q_1 + 1 - \frac{1}{m}$, for $\forall a \in [0, 2\gamma]$, if we notice that $p > 2p_1$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |u^m|^{2a} |\nabla u^m|^{2p_1} dx \leq \|u^m(t)\|_{\infty}^a \left(\int_{\Omega} |u^m|^{\frac{(2\gamma-a)p}{p-2p_1}} dx \right)^{\frac{p-2p_1}{p}} \| \nabla u^m \|_p^{2p_1}. \tag{3.8}$$

If $2\gamma \geq (p - 2p_1)(N + 1)/N$, let $a = (2\gamma - (p - 2p_1)(1 + \frac{q}{N}))^+$. By Lemma 1.3,

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |u^m|^{\frac{(2\gamma-a)p}{p-2p_1}} dx \right)^{\frac{p-2p_1}{p}} \leq c \|u^m(t)\|_s^{(2\gamma-a)(1-\theta)} \|\nabla u^m\|_p^{p-2p_1}, \tag{3.9}$$

where $\theta = (s^{-1} - (1 - \frac{2p_1}{p})(2\gamma - a)^{-1})/(N^{-1} - p^{-1} + s^{-1})$, and $s = (2\gamma - p + 2p_1 - a)N/(p - 2p_1)$ when $2\gamma \geq (p - 2p_1)(1 + q/N)$, $s = q$, when $(p - 2p_1)(1 + N^{-1}) \leq 2\gamma \leq (p - 2p_1)(1 + q/N)$. By Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, from (3.8), we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |u^m|^{2a} |\nabla u^m|^{2p_1} dx \leq ct^{-\lambda a} \|\nabla u^m\|_p^p \leq ct^{-\lambda a} \Gamma_k(|\nabla u^m|^2), \quad 0 < t \leq 1. \tag{3.10}$$

At the same time, if we choose $q = 2$ in Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\|u^m\|_{1+\frac{1}{m}} = \left(\int_{\Omega} u^{m+1} dx \right)^{\frac{m}{m+1}} \leq ct^{-(p-1-\frac{m}{m+1})^{-1}}$$

and

$$\|u^{\frac{m+1}{2}}\|_2^2 = \int_{\Omega} u^{m+1} dx \leq ct^{-\frac{m+1}{m(p-1)-1}}. \tag{3.11}$$

By (3.7), we have

$$\Gamma'_k(t) + ct^{\frac{m+1}{m(p-1)-1}} \Gamma_k^2(t) \leq ct^{-\lambda a} \Gamma_k(t), \quad 0 < t \leq 1. \tag{3.12}$$

If $2\gamma < (p - 2p_1)(N + 1)/N$ and $p - 2p_1 \leq 2a \leq 2\gamma$, then

$$\int_{\Omega} |u^m|^{2a} |\nabla u^m|^{2p_1} dx \leq c \|\nabla u^m\|_1^{2a(1-\theta)} \|\nabla u^m\|_p^{2a\theta+2p_1} \leq c \|\nabla u^m\|_p^p \leq c \Gamma_k(|\nabla u^m|^2), \tag{3.13}$$

$$0 < t \leq 1.$$

If $2\gamma < (p - 2p_1)(N + 1)/N$ and $p - 2 \geq 2a \geq 0$, then

$$\int_{\Omega} |u^m|^{2a} |\nabla u^m|^2 dx \leq c(1 + \|\nabla u^m\|_p^p) \leq c(1 + \Gamma_k(|\nabla u^m|^2)), \tag{3.14}$$

$$0 < t \leq 1.$$

The inequalities (3.13) and (3.14) mean that the inequality (3.12) is still true when $2\gamma < (p - 2p_1)(N + 1)/N$. Using Lemma 1.4, we get

$$\Gamma_k(t) \leq ct^{-(1+\frac{m-1}{m(p-1)-1})}, \quad 0 < t \leq 1,$$

which means (3.1) is true. Now, we will prove (3.2). For $t \geq 1$, by (2.10) we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} |u^m|^{2a} |\nabla u^m|^{2p_1} dx \leq c \|\nabla u^m\|_p^2 \|u^m(t)\|_{2\gamma p/p-2p_1}^{2\gamma} \tag{3.15}$$

$$\leq c(1+t)^{-2\gamma/(p-1-\frac{1}{m})} \|\nabla u^m\|_p^{2p_1},$$

$$\Gamma_k(|\nabla u^m|^2) = \int_0^{|\nabla u^m|^2} \left(s^2 + \frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} ds \leq c \|\nabla u^m\|_p^p = c(\|\nabla u^m\|_p^{2p_1})^{\frac{p}{2p_1}}, \tag{3.16}$$

$$\|u^{\frac{m+1}{2}}\|_2^2 = \left(\int_{\Omega} u^{m+1} dx\right)^2 \leq c(1+t)^{-(p-1-\frac{1}{m})^{-1}}. \tag{3.17}$$

By (3.7), using (3.15)-(3.17) yields

$$\Gamma'_k(t) + c(1+t)^{-(p-1-\frac{1}{m})^{-1}} \Gamma_k^2(t) \leq c(1+t)^{2\gamma/(p-1-\frac{1}{m})} (\Gamma_k(t))^{\frac{2p_1}{p}},$$

and using the Young inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma'_k(t) + c(1+t)^{-(p-1-\frac{1}{m})^{-1}} \Gamma_k^2(t) &\leq c(1+t)^{\frac{-m(2\gamma p+p_1)}{(m(p-1)-1)(p-p_1)}} \\ &= c(1+t)^{-\frac{p(m(2q_1+1)-1)+mp_1}{(m(p-1)-1)(p-p_1)}}, \end{aligned}$$

which means (3.2) is true. □

Lemma 3.2 *If $p > 1 + \frac{1}{m}$, u_k is the solution of (2.1)-(2.3), then*

$$\int_t^T \int_{\Omega} u_k^{m-1} (u_{kt})^2 dx ds \leq ct^{-(1+\frac{m-1}{m(p-1)-1})} + ct^{-(\lambda\gamma + \frac{m-1}{m(p-1)-1})}, \quad 0 < t \leq T. \tag{3.18}$$

Proof From (2.9), (3.1) and (3.7), (3.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_t^T \int_{\Omega} u^{m-1} (u_t)^2 dx ds &\leq \Gamma_k(t) + c \int_t^T \int_{\Omega} |u^m|^{2q_1 + \frac{m-1}{m}} |\nabla u^m|^{2p_1} dx ds \\ &\leq \Gamma_k(t) + c \int_t^T s^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}(2q_1 + \frac{m-1}{m})} \Gamma_k(s) ds \\ &\leq ct^{-(1+\frac{m-1}{m(p-1)-1})} + ct^{-(\lambda\gamma + \frac{m-1}{m(p-1)-1})}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

□

4 The proof of Theorem 1.5

The proof of Theorem 1.5 From Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.2, Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, using the compactness theory (cf. [17]), there is a sequence (still denoted as $\{u_k\}$) of $\{u_k\}$ such that when $k \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$u_k \rightharpoonup (*)u, \quad \text{weakly star in } L_{loc}^{\infty}(0, \infty; L^{m(q-1)+1}(\Omega)), \tag{4.1}$$

$$u_{kt} \rightharpoonup u_t, \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(0, \infty; L^2(\Omega)), \tag{4.2}$$

$$\nabla u_k^m \rightharpoonup u^m, \quad \text{weakly in } L_{loc}^p(0, \infty; L^p(\Omega)),$$

$$|\nabla u_k^m|^{p-2} \nabla u_{kx_i}^m \rightharpoonup (*)\chi_i, \quad \text{weakly star in } L_{loc}^{\infty}(0, \infty; L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\Omega)), \tag{4.3}$$

$$u_k^{mq_1} |\nabla u_k^m|^{p_1} \rightharpoonup (*)v, \quad \text{weakly in } L_{loc}^{\infty}(0, \infty; L^{\frac{p}{p_1}}(\Omega)), \tag{4.4}$$

where $\chi = \{\chi_i : 1 \leq i \leq N\}$ and every χ_i is a function in $L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(0, T; L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\Omega))$, $v \in L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(0, \infty; L^{\frac{p}{p_1}}(\Omega))$. (4.1) and (4.2) are clearly true. In what follows, we only need to prove that

$$\chi = |\nabla u^m|^{p-2} \nabla u^m \quad \text{in } L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(0, \infty; L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\Omega)) \tag{4.5}$$

and

$$v = u^{mq_1} |\nabla u^m|^{p_1} \quad \text{in } L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(0, \infty; L^{\frac{p}{p_1}}(\Omega)). \tag{4.6}$$

It is easy to know that

$$\iint_S (u\varphi_t - \chi \cdot \nabla \varphi - v\varphi) dx dt = 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(S). \tag{4.7}$$

So, if we can prove that

$$\iint_S |\nabla u^m|^{p-2} \nabla u^m \cdot \nabla \varphi dx dt = \iint_S \chi \cdot \nabla \varphi dx dt, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(S), \tag{4.8}$$

$$\iint_S u_k^{mq_1} |\nabla u_k^m|^{p_1} \varphi dx dt = \iint_S v\varphi dx dt, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(S), \tag{4.9}$$

then (4.5), (4.6) and (1.8) are true.

First, for any $\psi \in C_0^\infty(S)$, $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$, $v^m \in L^p_{\text{loc}}(0, T; W_0^{1,p}(\Omega))$, we have

$$\iint_S \psi (|\nabla u_k^m|^{p-2} \nabla u_k^m - |\nabla v^m|^{p-2} \nabla v^m) \cdot \nabla (u_k^m - v^m) dx dt \geq 0. \tag{4.10}$$

If we multiply by $u_k^m \psi$ the two sides of (2.1), then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_S \psi \left(|\nabla u_k^m|^2 + \frac{1}{k} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\nabla u_k^m|^2 dx dt \\ &= \frac{1}{m+1} \iint_S \psi_t u_k^{m+1} dx dt - \iint_S u_k^m \left(|\nabla u_k^m|^2 + \frac{1}{k} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_k^m \cdot \nabla \psi dx dt \\ & \quad - \iint_S u_k^{m(q_1+1)} |\nabla u_k^m|^{p_1} \psi dx dt. \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

Noticing that when $1 < p < 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla u_k^m|^2 &\geq \left(|\nabla u_k^m|^2 + \frac{1}{k} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} - \left(\frac{1}{k} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}}, \\ \left(|\nabla u_k^m|^2 + \frac{1}{k} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\nabla u_k^m| &\leq \left(|\nabla u_k^m|^2 + \frac{1}{k} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

and when $p \geq 2$, we get

$$\left(|\nabla u_k^m|^2 + \frac{1}{k} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\nabla u_k^m|^2 \geq |\nabla u_k^m|^p, \quad \left(|\nabla u_k^m|^2 + \frac{1}{k} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\nabla u_k^m| \leq (|\nabla u_k^m|^{p-1} + 1).$$

By (4.10), (4.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{m+1} \iint_S \psi_t u_k^{m+1} dx dt - \iint_S u_k^m \left(|\nabla u_k^m|^2 + \frac{1}{k} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_k^m \cdot \nabla \psi dx dt \\ & - \iint_S u_k^{m(q_1+1)} |\nabla u_k^m|^{p_1} \psi dx dt + \left(\frac{1}{k} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \text{mes } \Omega \\ & - \iint_S \psi |\nabla u_k^m|^{p-2} \nabla u_k^m \cdot \nabla v^m dx dt \\ & - \iint_S \psi |\nabla v^m|^{p-2} \nabla v^m \cdot \nabla (u_k^m - v^m) dx dt \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Since

$$\left(|\nabla u_k^m|^2 + \frac{1}{k} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_k^m = |\nabla u_k^m|^{p-2} \nabla u_k^m + \frac{p-2}{2k} \int_0^1 \left(|\nabla u_k^m|^2 + \frac{s}{k} \right)^{\frac{p-4}{2}} ds \nabla u_k^m$$

and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p-2}{2k} \iint_S \int_0^1 \left(|\nabla u_k^m|^2 + \frac{s}{k} \right)^{\frac{p-4}{2}} ds \nabla u_k^m \cdot \nabla \psi u_k^m dx dt = 0,$$

if we let $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{m+1} \iint_S \psi_t u^{m+1} dx dt - \iint_S v \psi dx dt \\ & - \iint_S \psi \nabla \xi \cdot \nabla v^m dx dt - \iint_S \psi |\nabla v^m|^{p-2} \nabla v^m \cdot \nabla (u^m - v^m) dx dt \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

Now, we choose $\varphi = \psi u^m$ in (4.7),

$$\frac{1}{m+1} \iint_S \psi_t u^{m+1} dx dt - \iint_S v \psi dx dt - \iint_S \psi \chi \cdot \nabla \psi u^m dx dt = \iint_S \psi \nabla \xi \cdot \nabla u^m dx dt.$$

From this formula and (4.13), we have

$$\iint_S \psi (\chi - |\nabla v^m|^{p-2} \nabla v^m) \cdot \nabla (u^m - v^m) dx dt \geq 0. \tag{4.14}$$

Let $v^m = u^m - \lambda \varphi$, $\lambda \geq 0$, $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(S)$. Then

$$\iint_S \psi (\chi_i - |\nabla (u^m - \lambda \varphi)|^{p-2} (u^m - \lambda \varphi)_{x_i}) \varphi_{x_i} dx dt \geq 0.$$

Let $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. We obtain

$$\iint_S \psi (\chi_i - |\nabla u^m|^{p-2} u_{x_i}^m) \varphi_{x_i} dx dt \geq 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(S). \tag{4.15}$$

Moreover, if we choose $\lambda \leq 0$, we are able to get

$$\iint_S \psi (\chi_i - |\nabla u^m|^{p-2} u_{x_i}^m) \varphi_{x_i} dx dt \leq 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(S). \tag{4.16}$$

Now, if we choose ψ such that $\text{supp } \varphi \subset \text{supp } \psi$, and on $\text{supp } \varphi$, $\psi = 1$, then from (4.15)-(4.16), we can get (4.8). By (4.7) and (4.8), we have

$$\iint_S (u\varphi_t - |\nabla u^m|^{p-2} \nabla u^m \cdot \nabla \varphi - v\varphi) \, dx \, dt = 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(S),$$

which means (4.9) is true, and so (1.8) is true.

Secondly, we are to prove (1.9).

For small $r > 0$, denote $\Omega_r = \{x \in \Omega : \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) \leq r\}$. For any $\eta > 0$, let

$$\text{sgn}_\eta(s) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s > \eta, \\ \frac{s}{\eta} & \text{if } |s| \leq \eta, \\ -1 & \text{if } s < -\eta. \end{cases}$$

For any given small $r > 0$ and large enough k, l , we declare that

$$\int_{\Omega_{2r}} |u_k(x, t) - u_l(x, t)| \, dx \leq \int_{\Omega_r} |u_k(x, 0) - u_l(x, 0)| \, dx + c_r(t), \tag{4.17}$$

where $c_r(t)$ is independent of k, l , and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} c_r(t) = 0$. By (2.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_r} \varphi(u_{kt} - u_{lt}) \, dx \, d\tau \\ & + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_r} \nabla \varphi \left[\left(|\nabla u_k^m|^2 + \frac{1}{k} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_k^m - \left(|\nabla u_l^m|^2 + \frac{1}{l} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_l^m \right] \, dx \, d\tau \\ & + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_r} (u_k^{mq_1} |\nabla u_k^m|^{p_1} - u_l^{mq_1} |\nabla u_l^m|^{p_1}) \varphi \, dx \, d\tau = 0, \\ & \forall \varphi \in L^p(0, T; W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)). \end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

Suppose that $\xi(x) \in C_0^1(\Omega_r)$ such that

$$0 \leq \xi \leq 1; \quad \xi|_{\Omega_{2r}} = 1,$$

and choose $\varphi = \xi \text{sgn}_\eta(u_k^m - u_l^m)$ in (4.18), then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_r} \xi \text{sgn}_\eta(u_k^m - u_l^m)(u_{kt} - u_{lt}) \, dx \, d\tau \\ & + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_r} \left[\left(|\nabla u_k^m|^2 + \frac{1}{k} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_k^m - \left(|\nabla u_l^m|^2 + \frac{1}{l} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_l^m \right] \\ & \times \nabla \xi \text{sgn}_\eta(u_k^m - u_l^m) \, dx \, d\tau \\ & + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_r} \left[\left(|\nabla u_k^m|^2 + \frac{1}{k} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_k^m - \left(|\nabla u_l^m|^2 + \frac{1}{l} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_l^m \right] \\ & \times \nabla (u_k^m - u_l^m) \xi \text{sgn}'_\eta(u_k^m - u_l^m) \, dx \, d\tau \\ & + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_r} (u_k^{mq_1} |\nabla u_k^m|^{p_1} - u_l^{mq_1} |\nabla u_l^m|^{p_1}) \xi \text{sgn}_\eta(u_k^m - u_l^m) \, dx \, d\tau = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

If we notice that the third term on the left-hand side of (4.19) tends to zero when $\eta \rightarrow 0$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_r} \xi \operatorname{sgn}_\eta(u_k^m - u_l^m)(u_{kt} - u_{lt}) \, dx \, d\tau \\ & + \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_r} \left[\left(|\nabla u_k^m|^2 + \frac{1}{k} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_k^m - \left(|\nabla u_l^m|^2 + \frac{1}{l} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_l^m \right] \\ & \times \nabla \xi \operatorname{sgn}_\eta(u_k^m - u_l^m) \, dx \, d\tau \\ & + \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_r} (u_k^{mq_1} |\nabla u_k^m|^{p_1} - u_l^{mq_1} |\nabla u_l^m|^{p_1}) \xi \operatorname{sgn}_\eta(u_k^m - u_l^m) \, dx \, d\tau = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

At the same time,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_r} \xi \operatorname{sgn}_\eta(u_k^m - u_l^m)(u_{kt} - u_{lt}) \, dx \, d\tau \\ & = \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_r} \xi \operatorname{sgn}(u_k^m - u_l^m)(u_{kt} - u_{lt}) \, dx \, d\tau \\ & = \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_r} \xi \operatorname{sgn}(u_k - u_l)(u_{kt} - u_{lt}) \, dx \, d\tau \\ & = \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_r} \xi \operatorname{sgn}_\eta(u_k - u_l)(u_{kt} - u_{lt}) \, dx \, d\tau \\ & = \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_r} \xi \left(\int_0^{u_k - u_l} \operatorname{sgn}_\eta(s) \, ds \right) \, dx \, d\tau \\ & = \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_r} \xi \int_0^{u_k - u_l} \operatorname{sgn}_\eta(s) \, ds \Big|_0^t \, dx \\ & = \int_{\Omega_r} \xi |u_k - u_l| \, dx - \int_{\Omega_r} \xi |u_{0k} - u_{0l}| \, dx. \end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

By (4.20) and (4.21), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega_{2r}} \xi |u_k - u_l| \, dx \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega_r} |u_{0k} - u_{0l}| \, dx + c \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_r} \left[\left(|\nabla u_k^m|^2 + \frac{1}{k} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} + \left(|\nabla u_l^m|^2 + \frac{1}{l} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \right] \, dx \, d\tau \\ & + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_r} |u_k^{mq_1} |\nabla u_k^m|^{p_1} - u_l^{mq_1} |\nabla u_l^m|^{p_1}| \, dx \, d\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

By Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 3.1, if $0 < t \leq 1$,

$$\int_0^t \int_{\Omega_r} |u_k^{mq_1} |\nabla u_k^m|^{p_1} - u_l^{mq_1} |\nabla u_l^m|^{p_1}| \, dx \, d\tau \leq c \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_r} t^{-\epsilon} \, dx \, d\tau,$$

where

$$\epsilon = \frac{mNq_1}{Nm(p-1) - N + mq} + \frac{p_1(m(p-1) + m - 2)}{m(p-1) - 1} < 1,$$

which means (4.17) is true.

Now, for any given small r , if k, l are large enough, by (4.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_{2r}} |u(x, t) - u_0(x)| \, dx &\leq \int_{\Omega_r} |u(x, t) - u_k(x, t)| \, dx + \int_{\Omega_{2r}} |u_{0k}(x) - u_{0l}(x)| \, dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega_{2r}} |u_l(x, t) - u_{0l}(x)| \, dx + \int_{\Omega_{2r}} |u_{0l}(x) - u_0(x)| \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $t \rightarrow 0$, we get (1.9). □

5 The uniqueness of the viscosity solution

As we have said in the introduction, the uniqueness of the solutions of (1.1)-(1.3) is not true generally. But we are able to prove the uniqueness of the viscosity solution.

Theorem 5.1 *If $u_0(x) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, in addition, $|\nabla u| < c$, $2 \geq p_1 \geq 1$, then the viscosity solution of (1.1)-(1.3) is unique.*

Proof Let u, v be two viscosity solutions of (1.1)-(1.3). Then there are two sequences $\{u_k\}$ and $\{v_l\}$, which are the solutions of (1.10)-(1.2)-(1.3), such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u_k = u, \quad \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} v_l = v, \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega. \tag{5.1}$$

Clearly, since $u_0(x) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$,

$$\|u_k\|_\infty \leq c, \quad \|v_l\|_\infty \leq c. \tag{5.2}$$

Let

$$w = u_k - v_l, \quad w_1 = u_k^m - v_l^m.$$

Then

$$w_t = (a_{il}(x, t)w_{1x_i})_{x_i} + b(x, t, w, \nabla w), \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, \infty), \tag{5.3}$$

$$w(x, 0) = u_{0k}(x) - v_{0l}(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \tag{5.4}$$

$$w(x, t) = 0, \quad (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty), \tag{5.5}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_{il}(x, t) &= \int_0^1 |s\nabla u^m + (1-s)\nabla v^m|^{p-2} \, ds \cdot \delta_{il} \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 (p-2) |s\nabla u^m + (1-s)\nabla v^m|^{p-4} (su_{x_i}^m + (1-s)v_{x_i}^m)(su_{x_l}^m + (1-s)v_{x_l}^m) \, ds, \end{aligned}$$

and since $p_1 \geq 1$, using the convexity of the function s^{p_1} , by (5.2), we have

$$|b(x, t, w, \nabla w)| = |u^{mq_1} |\nabla u^m|^{p_1} - v^{mq_1} |\nabla v^m|^{p_1}| \leq c |\nabla(u^m - v^m)|^{p_1} \leq c |\nabla w|^{p_1} \leq c |\nabla w|^2.$$

By Chapter 8 of [32], we know that

$$\|u_k(x, t) - v_l(x, t)\|_\infty \leq c \|u_{0k} - v_{0l}\|.$$

Let $k, l \rightarrow \infty$, we know that the uniqueness of the viscosity solution (1.1)-(1.3) is true. \square

Suppose that the viscosity solution of (1.1)-(1.3) is unique in what follows. Then, by considering the regularized problem (1.10) with (1.2)-(1.3), we easily get the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2 *Let u be a weak solution of (1.1)-(1.3). If v satisfies*

$$v_t \geq \operatorname{div}(|\nabla v^m|^{p-2} \nabla v^m) - v^{mq_1} |\nabla v^m|^{p_1} \quad \text{in } S = \Omega \times (0, \infty), \tag{5.6}$$

$$v(x, 0) \geq u_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \tag{5.7}$$

$$v(x, t) = 0, \quad (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty), \tag{5.8}$$

then

$$u(x, t) \geq v(x, t), \quad \forall (x, t) \in S. \tag{5.9}$$

Now, we will prove Theorem 1.6. Let

$$v(x, t) = u_{kr}(x, t) = ru_k(x, r^{m(p-1)-1}t), \quad r \in (0, 1).$$

Then

$$v_t(x, t) = \operatorname{div}(|Dv^m|^{p-2} Dv^m) - r^{m(p-1-q_1-p_1)} v^{mq_1} |Dv^m|^{p_1}, \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, \infty), \tag{5.10}$$

$$v(x, 0) = ru_k(x, 0), \quad x \in \Omega, \tag{5.11}$$

$$v(x, t) = 0, \quad (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty). \tag{5.12}$$

Noticing that we supposed

$$p_1 + q_1 > p - 1, \quad 0 < r < 1,$$

which implies that

$$r^{m(p-1-q_1-p_1)} > 1,$$

and using the argument similar to that in the proof Lemma 3.5 of [5], we can prove

$$u_k \geq u_{kr}.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{u_k(x, r^{m(p-1)-1}t) - u_k(x, t)}{(r^{m(p-1)-1} - 1)t} \geq \frac{r - 1}{(1 - r^{m(p-1)-1})t} u_k(x, r^{m(p-1)-1}t).$$

Letting $r \rightarrow 1$, we get

$$u_{kt} \geq -\frac{u_k}{(m(p-1)-1)t}. \tag{5.13}$$

Hence, we have proved Theorem 1.6.

6 The proof of Theorem 1.7

If $1 < p < 1 + \frac{1}{m}$, from the process of the proof of Lemma 2.1, we also have (2.8), *i.e.*,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} u_k^{m(q-1)+1} dx + c \int_{\Omega} u_k^{m[q-1+\frac{1}{m}+p-1-\frac{1}{m}]} dx \leq 0. \tag{6.1}$$

But, since $1 < p < 1 + \frac{1}{m}$, the Jessen inequality is invalid now, and (2.4) may not be true. However, in this case, (6.1) implies that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} u_k^{m(q-1)+1} dx \leq 0, \tag{6.2}$$

which gives the information of $u^m \in L_{loc}^{\infty}(0, \infty; L^{q-1+\frac{1}{m}}(\Omega))$ provided that $u_0 \in L^{q-1+\frac{1}{m}}(\Omega)$.

Lemma 6.1 *Suppose that $p < 1 + \frac{1}{m}$ and*

$$q + p > 1 + \frac{1}{m}. \tag{6.3}$$

If u_k is the solution of (2.1)-(2.3), then

$$\|u_k^m\|_{\infty} \leq ct^{-\lambda}, \quad 0 < t \leq 1, \tag{6.4}$$

$$\|u_k^m\|_L \leq c(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{L\theta_1}}, \quad t \geq 1, \tag{6.5}$$

where $L = 2 - m(p-1) + \frac{1}{m}$, $\theta_1 = \frac{(2-\theta)[m(L+p-1)-1]}{mL\theta} - 1$ and $0 < \theta < 1$.

Proof Similarly as in the proof of Lemma 2.2, we multiply (2.1) by $u^{m(L-1)}$ and integral on Ω , and then we get the following inequality (6.6), which is just the same as (2.11).

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u^m\|_L^L + cL^{2-p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u^{m\frac{L+p-1-\frac{1}{m}}{p}}|^p dx \leq 0. \tag{6.6}$$

Let $\{L_n\}$, $\{\lambda_n\}$ be two sequences just the same as those in the proof of Lemma 2.2. Since (6.3) implies that $L_n + p - 1 - \frac{1}{m} > 0$ and $\lambda_n > 0$, we can deduce the conclusions (6.4) similarly as in Lemma 2.2.

To prove (6.5), we also set $\tau = \log(1+t)$, $t \geq 1$, $w(\tau) = (1+t)^{\frac{1}{p-1-\frac{1}{m}}} u^m(t)$. By (6.6), we have

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} \|w(\tau)\|_L^L - \frac{L}{p-1-\frac{1}{m}} \|w(\tau)\|_L^L + cL^{2-p} \|\nabla w^{\frac{L+p-1-\frac{1}{m}}{p}}\|_p^p \leq 0, \quad \tau \geq \log 2,$$

which implies

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} \|w(\tau)\|_L^L + cL^{2-p}(1+t)^{-\frac{m(L+p-1)-1}{p(1-m(p-1))+1+\frac{m}{1-m(p-1)}}} \|\nabla w^{\frac{L+p-1-\frac{1}{m}}{p}}\|_p^p \leq 0, \quad \tau \geq \log 2. \tag{6.7}$$

By Gagliardo-Nirenberg Lemma 1.3, let $1 + \beta = \frac{L+p-1-\frac{1}{m}}{p}$. Then

$$\|\nabla w^{1+\beta}\|_p^p \geq (c^{-\frac{1}{1+\beta}} \|w\|_q \|w\|_r^{1-\theta})^{\frac{(1+\beta)p}{\theta}}.$$

If we choose $r = q = L$, then from the above inequality, we have

$$\|\nabla w^{\frac{L+p-1-\frac{1}{m}}{p}}\|_p^p \geq c^{\frac{p}{\theta}} \|w\|_L^{(2-\theta)\frac{L+p-1-\frac{1}{m}}{\theta}}. \tag{6.8}$$

By (6.7), (6.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\tau} \|w(\tau)\|_L^L + c^{-\frac{p}{\theta}} L^{2-p} (1+t)^{-\frac{m(L+p-1)-1}{p(1-m(p-1))} + 1 + \frac{m}{1-m(p-1)}} \|w\|_L^{(2-\theta)\frac{L+p-1-\frac{1}{m}}{\theta}} \leq 0, \\ \tau \geq \log 2. \end{aligned} \tag{6.9}$$

Now, we choose the constant $l = 3 - m(p - 1)$, i.e.,

$$L = l - 1 + \frac{1}{m} = 2 - m(p - 1) + \frac{1}{m},$$

then

$$-\frac{m(L+p-1)-1}{p(1-m(p-1))} + 1 + \frac{m}{1-m(p-1)} = 0.$$

By (6.9), we have

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} \|w(\tau)\|_L^L + c^{-\frac{p}{\theta}} L^{2-p} \|w\|_L^{\frac{(2-\theta)[m(L+p-1)-1]}{mL\theta}} \leq 0, \quad \tau \geq \log 2. \tag{6.10}$$

Let

$$\theta_1 = \frac{(2-\theta)[m(L+p-1)-1]}{mL\theta} - 1.$$

Since $0 < \theta < 1$, $\theta_1 > 0$, by Lemma 1.4, we have

$$\|w(\tau)\|_L \leq c\tau^{-\frac{1}{L\theta_1}},$$

which implies that

$$\|u^m(t)\|_L \leq c(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{L\theta_1}}.$$

If $2 < p \leq 1 + \frac{1}{m}$, which implies that $m > 1$, then we can get the conclusions of Lemma 3.1 in a similar way. As in the proof of Theorem 1.5, we get the existence of the solution for the system (1.1)-(1.3) in this case. \square

Proposition 6.2 *Let u be a weak solution of (1.1)-(1.3). If $p < 1 + \frac{1}{m}$, then there exists a finite time T such that*

$$u(x, t) \equiv 0 \tag{6.11}$$

for all $(x, t) \in \overline{\Omega} \times (T, \infty)$.

To prove this proposition, we use the idea of the proof of Theorem 1.1 in [19], in which the extinction of the solution for the equation

$$u_t = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u^m|^{p-2} \nabla u^m)$$

was studied. In detail, we define an auxiliary function

$$v(x, t) = (k(T - t)_+^{\frac{1}{1+1/m-p}} \log(l + x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_N))^{\frac{1}{m}}, \tag{6.12}$$

where

$$k = \left\{ \frac{(p-1)(m+1-mp)N^{\frac{p}{2}}}{(2l)^p (\log(2l))^{1/m}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{1+1/m-p}},$$

$$T = \left(\frac{\max |u_0|}{k \log 2} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+1/m-p}},$$

$$l = \sup_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) \in \Omega} \{ |x_1|, |x_2|, \dots, |x_N| \} + 2.$$

Then we have

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \geq \operatorname{div}(|\nabla v^m|^{p-2} \nabla v^m) \geq \operatorname{div}(|\nabla v^m|^{p-2} \nabla v^m) - u^{mq_1} |\nabla u^m|^{p_1},$$

on account of the non-positivity of the damping term $-u^{mq_1} |\nabla u^m|^{p_1}$.

If we notice that

$$v(x, 0) \geq u_0(x), \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \quad v(x, t) \geq 0, \quad \forall (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty), \tag{6.13}$$

applying Lemma 6.1, by (6.12)-(6.13), we have

$$u(x, t) \leq v(x, t),$$

for all $(x, t) \in S$. By the definition of $v(x, t)$, we have

$$u(x, t) \leq v(x, t) = 0, \quad \forall (x, t) \in \Omega \times (T, \infty).$$

The proof of the proposition is complete.

Theorem 1.7 is a direct corollary of the proposition.

Competing interests

The author declares that they have no competing interests.

Acknowledgements

The paper is supported by NSF (no. 2012J01011) of Fujian Province, supported by SF of Xiamen University of Technology, China.

References

1. Esteban, JR, Vázquez, JL: Homogeneous diffusion in R with power-like nonlinear diffusivity. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **103**, 39-88 (1988)
2. Ladyženskaja, OA: New equations for the description of incompressible fluids and solvability in the large boundary value problem for them. *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* **102**, 95-118 (1976)
3. Di Benedetto, E: *Degenerate Parabolic Equations*. Springer, Berlin (1993)
4. Esteban, JR, Vázquez, JL: Homogeneous diffusion in R with power-like nonlinear diffusivity. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **103**, 39-88 (1988)
5. Zhao, J, Yuan, H: The Cauchy problem of some doubly nonlinear degenerate parabolic equations. *Chin. Ann. Math., Ser. A* **16**, 179-194 (1995) (in Chinese)
6. Chen, C, Wang, R: Global existence and L^∞ estimates of solution for doubly degenerate parabolic equation. *Acta Math. Sin.* **44**, 1089-1098 (2001)
7. Bertsch, M, Dal Passo, R, Ughi, M: Discontinuous viscosity solutions of a degenerate parabolic equation. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **320**, 779-798 (1990)
8. Zhou, W, Cai, S: The continuity of the viscosity of the Cauchy problem of a degenerate parabolic equation not in divergence form. *Jilin Daxue Xuebao* **42**, 341-345 (2004)
9. Zhang, Q, Shi, P: Global solutions and self-similar solutions of semilinear parabolic equations with nonlinear gradient terms. *Nonlinear Anal. TMA* **72**, 2744-2752 (2010)
10. Dal Passo, R, Luckhaus, S: A degenerate diffusion problem not in divergence form. *J. Differ. Equ.* **69**, 1-14 (1987)
11. Ughi, M: A degenerate parabolic equation modelling the spread of an epidemic. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **143**, 385-400 (1986)
12. Bertsch, M, Dal Passo, R, Ughi, M: Nonuniqueness of solutions of a degenerate parabolic equation. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **161**, 57-81 (1992)
13. Dall'Aglio, A: Global existence for some slightly super-linear parabolic equations with measure data. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **345**, 892-902 (2008)
14. Lei, P, Li, Y, Lin, P: Null controllability for a semilinear parabolic equation with gradient quadratic growth. *Nonlinear Anal. TMA* **68**, 73-82 (2008)
15. Zhan, H: The self-similar solutions for a quasilinear doubly degenerate parabolic equation. *Gongcheng Shuxue Xuebao* **27**, 1030-1034 (2010) (in Chinese)
16. Zhan, H: The asymptotic behavior of solutions for a class of doubly nonlinear parabolic equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **370**, 1-10 (2010)
17. Lions, JL: *Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires*. Dunod/Gauthier-Villars, Paris (1969)
18. Ohara, Y: L^∞ estimates of solutions of some nonlinear degenerate parabolic equations. *Nonlinear Anal. TMA* **18**, 413-426 (1992)
19. Yuan, J, Lian, Z, Cao, L, Gao, J, Xu, J: Extinction and positivity for a doubly nonlinear degenerate parabolic equation. *Acta Math. Sin. Engl. Ser.* **23**, 1751-1756 (2007)
20. Ladyžanskaja, OA, Solonilov, VA, Ural'tseva, NN: *Linear and Quasilinear Equations of Parabolic Type*. Trans. Math. Monographs, vol. 23. Am. Math. Soc., Providence (1968)
21. Ivanov, AV: Hölder estimates for quasilinear parabolic equations. *J. Sov. Math.* **56**, 2320-2347 (1991)
22. Kamin, S, Vázquez, JL: Fundamental solutions and asymptotic behavior for the p -Laplacian equation. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* **4**, 339-354 (1988)
23. Winkler, M: Large time behavior of solutions to degenerate parabolic equations with absorption. *NoDEA Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl.* **8**, 343-361 (2001)
24. Manfredi, J, Vespri, V: Large time behavior of solutions to a class of doubly nonlinear parabolic equations. *Electron. J. Differ. Equ.* **1994**(2), 1-16 (1994)
25. Yang, J, Zhao, J: The asymptotic behavior of solutions of some degenerate nonlinear parabolic equations. *Northeast. Math. J.* **11**, 241-252 (1995)
26. Lee, K, Petrosyan, A, Vázquez, JL: Large time geometric properties of solutions of the evolution p -Laplacian equation. *J. Differ. Equ.* **229**, 389-411 (2006)
27. Lee, K, Vázquez, JL: Geometrical properties of solutions of the porous medium equation for large times. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **52**, 991-1016 (2003)
28. Pierre, M: Uniqueness of solution of $u_t - \Delta \varphi(u) = 0$ with initial datum a measure. *Nonlinear Anal. TMA* **6**, 175-187 (1982)
29. Wu, Z, Zhao, J, Yin, J, Li, H: *Nonlinear Diffusion Equations*. World Scientific, Singapore (2001)
30. Chen, S, Wang, Y: Global existence and L^∞ estimates of solution for doubly degenerate parabolic equation. *Acta Math. Sin.* **44**, 1089-1098 (2001) (in Chinese)
31. Nakao, M: L^p estimates of solutions of some nonlinear degenerate diffusion equation. *J. Math. Soc. Jpn.* **37**, 41-63 (1985)
32. Gu, L: *Second Order Parabolic Partial Differential Equations*. The Publishing Company of Xiamen University, Xiamen (2002)

doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2013-125

Cite this article as: Zhan: The global solution of a diffusion equation with nonlinear gradient term. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2013 **2013**:125.