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#### Abstract

The paper is devoted to the existence of oscillatory and non-oscillatory quasi-periodic, in some sense, solutions to a higher-order Emden-Fowler type differential equation.
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## 1 Introduction

The paper is devoted to the existence of oscillatory and non-oscillatory quasi-periodic, in some sense, solutions to the higher-order Emden-Fowler type differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(n)}+p_{0}|y|^{k} \operatorname{sgn} y=0, \quad n>2, k \in \mathbb{R}, k>1, p_{0} \neq 0 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fact of the existence of such solutions answers the two questions posed by IT Kiguradze:

Question 1 Can we describe more precisely qualitative properties of oscillatory solutions to (1)?

Question 2 Do all blow-up solutions to this equation (and similarly all Kneser solutions) have the power asymptotic behavior?

A lot of results on the asymptotic behavior of solutions to (1) are described in detail in [1]. In particular (see Ch. IV, §15), the existence of oscillatory solutions to a generalization of this equation was proved (see also [2] Ch. I, §6.1). In [3] a result was formulated on non-extensibility of oscillatory solutions to (1) with odd $n$ and $p_{0}>0$. In the cases $n=3$ and $n=4$ the asymptotic behavior of all oscillatory solutions is described in [4-6]. Some results on the existence of blow-up solutions are in [1] (Ch. IV, §16), [2] (Ch. I, §5), [7, 8]. Some results on the existence of some special solutions to this equation are in $[2,4,5,7$, $9-13]$.

## 2 On existence of quasi-periodic oscillatory solutions

In this section some results will be obtained on the existence of special oscillatory solutions. The main results of this section were formulated in [14].
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Figure 1 A quasi-periodic solution for the equation $y^{\prime \prime \prime}+y^{3}=0$.

Theorem 1 For any integer $n>2$ and real $k>1$ there exists a periodic oscillatory function $h$ such that for any $p_{0}>0$ and $x^{*} \in \mathbb{R}$ the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(x)=p_{0}^{\frac{1}{k-1}}\left(x^{*}-x\right)^{-\alpha} h\left(\log \left(x^{*}-x\right)\right), \quad-\infty<x<x^{*} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\alpha=\frac{n}{k-1}$ is a solution to (1). (See Figure 1.)

Proof For any $q=\left(q_{0}, \ldots, q_{n-1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ let $y_{q}(x)$ be the maximally extended solution to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(n)}(x)+|y(x)|^{k}=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying the initial conditions $y^{(j)}(0)=q_{j}$ with $j=0, \ldots, n-1$.
For $0 \leq j<n$ put $B_{j}=\frac{n k}{n+j(k-1)}>1$ and $\beta_{j}=\frac{1}{B_{j}}$.
Consider the function $N: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(q_{0}, \ldots, q_{n-1}\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left|q_{j}\right|^{B_{j}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the mapping $\tilde{N}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$ defined by the formula

$$
\tilde{N}(q)_{j}=N(q)^{-\beta_{j}} q_{j}, \quad j=0, \ldots, n-1
$$

and satisfying the equality $N(\tilde{N}(q))=1$ for all $q \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$.
Next, consider the subset $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ consisting of all $q=\left(q_{0}, \ldots, q_{n-1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ satisfying the following conditions:
(1) $q_{0}=0$,
(2) $q_{j} \geq 0$ for all $j \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$,
(3) $N(q)=1$.

The restriction of the projection $\left(q_{0}, \ldots, q_{n-1}\right) \mapsto\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n-2}\right)$ to the set $Q$ is a homeomorphism of $Q$ onto the convex compact subset

$$
\left\{\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n-2}\right): \sum_{j=1}^{n-2}\left|q_{j}\right|^{B_{j}} \leq 1 \text { and } q_{j} \geq 0, j=1, \ldots, n-2\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-2}
$$

Lemma 1 For any $q \in Q$ there exists $a_{q}>0$ such that $y_{q}\left(a_{q}\right)=0$ and $y_{q}^{(j)}\left(a_{q}\right)<0$ for all $j \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$.

Proof Put $J=\max \left\{j \in \mathbb{Z}: 0 \leq j<n, q_{j}>0\right\}$. This $J$ exists and is positive due to the definition of $Q$. On some interval $(0 ; \varepsilon)$ all derivatives $y_{q}^{(j)}(x)$ with $0 \leq j \leq J$ are positive. Those with $J<j \leq n$, due to (3), are negative on the same interval.

While keeping this sign combination, the function $y_{q}$ and its derivatives are bounded, which provides extensibility of $y_{q}(x)$ as the solution to (3) outside the interval $(0 ; \varepsilon)$.

On the other hand, this sign combination cannot take place up to $+\infty$. Indeed, in that case $y_{q}(x)$ would increase providing $y_{q}^{(n)}(x)<-y_{q}(\varepsilon)^{k}<0$ for all $x>\varepsilon$, which is impossible for any positive function on the unbounded interval $(0 ;+\infty)$.

So, $y_{q}(x)$ must change the sign combination of its derivatives. The only possible combination to be the next one corresponds to the positive derivatives $y_{q}^{(j)}(x)$ with $0 \leq j \leq J-1$ and the negative ones with $J \leq j \leq n$.

The same arguments show that the new sign combination must also change and finally, after $J$ changes, we arrive at the case with $y_{q}(x)>0$ and $y_{q}^{(j)}(x)<0$ with $1 \leq j \leq n$. Now, contrary to the previous cases, the function $y_{q}(x)$ does not increase, but its first derivative is negative and decreases (recall that $n>2$ ). Hence this sign combination also cannot take place on an unbounded interval and therefore it must change to the case with all negative $y_{q}^{(j)}(x), 0 \leq j \leq n$. By the way, the function $y_{q}(x)$ must vanish at some point $a_{q}>0$, which completes the proof of Lemma 1.

Note that $a_{q}$ is not only the first positive zero of $y_{q}(x)$, but the only positive one. Indeed, all $y_{q}^{(j)}(x)$ with $0<j<n$ are negative at $a_{q}$, whence, according to (3), all $y_{q}^{(j)}(x)$ with $0 \leq j<n$ decrease and are negative for all $x>a_{q}$ in the domain of $y_{q}(x)$.
To continue the proof of Theorem 1, consider the function $\xi: q \mapsto a_{q}$ taking each $q \in Q$ to the first positive zero of the function $y_{q}$. To prove its continuity, we apply the implicit function theorem. The function $\xi(q)$ can be considered as a local solution $X(q)$ to the equation $S_{0}(q, X)=0$, where

$$
S:(q, x) \mapsto\left(S_{0}(q, x), S_{1}(q, x), \ldots, S_{n-1}(q, x)\right)=\left(y_{q}(x), y_{q}^{\prime}(x), \ldots, y_{q}^{(n-1)}(x)\right)
$$

is the $C^{1}$ 'solution' mapping defined on a domain including $\mathbb{R}^{n} \times\{0\}$. The necessary for the implicit function theorem condition $\frac{\partial S_{0}}{\partial X}\left(q_{0}, \ldots, q_{n-1}, a_{q}\right) \neq 0$ is satisfied since the lefthand side of the last inequality is equal to $y_{q}^{\prime}\left(a_{q}\right)<0$. Besides, any function $X(q)$ implicitly defined near a point $\left(q_{0}, a_{q_{0}}\right)$ must be positive in some its neighborhood. Hence locally $X(q)$ must be equal to $\xi(q)$, but neither to a non-positive zero of $y_{q}(x)$ nor to a non-first positive one, which does not exist. Hence the function $\xi(q)$ is continuous as well as $X(q)$.
Now we can consider the mapping $\tilde{S}: q \mapsto \tilde{N}(-S(q, \xi(q)))$, which maps $Q$ into itself. Since $\tilde{S}$ is continuous and $Q$ is homeomorphic to a convex compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n-2}$, the Brouwer fixed-point theorem can be applied. Thus, there exists $\hat{q} \in Q$ such that $\tilde{S}(\hat{q})=\hat{q}$.

According to the definitions of the functions $\tilde{N}, S$, and $\xi$, this yields the result that there exists a non-negative solution $\hat{y}(x)=y_{\hat{q}}(x)$ to (3) defined on a segment $\left[0 ; a_{1}\right]$ with $a_{1}=a_{\hat{q}}$, positive on the open interval ( $0 ; a_{1}$ ), and such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{-\beta_{j}} \hat{y}^{(j)}\left(a_{1}\right)=-\hat{y}^{(j)}(0), \quad j=0, \ldots, n-1 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=N(S(\hat{q}, \xi(\hat{q})))=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left|\hat{y}^{(j)}\left(a_{1}\right)\right|^{B_{j}}>0 . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\hat{y}(x)$ is non-negative, it is also a solution to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(n)}(x)+|y(x)|^{k} \operatorname{sgn} y(x)=0 . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that for any solution $y_{1}(x)$ to (7) the function $y_{2}(x)=-b^{\alpha} y_{1}(b x+c)$ with arbitrary constants $b>0$ and $c$ is also a solution to (7). Indeed, we have $\alpha+n=k \alpha$ and $y_{2}^{(j)}(x)=$ $-b^{\alpha+j} y_{1}^{(j)}(b x+c)$ for all $j=0, \ldots, n$, whence

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{2}^{(n)} & (x)+\left|y_{2}(x)\right|^{k} \operatorname{sgn} y_{2}(x) \\
& =-b^{\alpha+n} y_{1}^{(n)}(b x+c)-b^{k \alpha}\left|y_{1}(b x+c)\right|^{k} \operatorname{sgn} y_{1}(b x+c) \\
& =-b^{k \alpha}\left(y_{1}^{(n)}(b x+c)+\left|y_{1}(b x+c)\right|^{k} \operatorname{sgn} y_{1}(b x+c)\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, the function $z(x)=-b^{\alpha} \hat{y}\left(b x-a_{1} b\right)$ is a solution to (7) and is defined on the segment $\left[a_{1} ; a_{2}\right]$ with $a_{2}=a_{1}+\frac{a_{1}}{b}$.

Put $b=\lambda^{\frac{k-1}{n k}}$ with $\lambda$ defined by (6). Then

$$
b^{\alpha+j}=\lambda^{\frac{k-1}{n k} \cdot\left(\frac{n}{k-1}+j\right)}=\lambda^{\frac{n+(k-1) j}{n k}}=\lambda^{\beta_{j}},
$$

whence, taking into account (5), we obtain $z^{(j)}\left(a_{1}\right)=-\lambda^{\beta_{j}} \hat{y}^{(j)}(0)=\hat{y}^{(j)}\left(a_{1}\right)$. Thus, $z(x)$ can be used to extend the solution $\hat{y}(x)$ on $\left[0 ; a_{2}\right]$. Since $z(x)$ satisfies the conditions similar to (5), namely,

$$
\lambda^{-\beta_{j}} z^{(j)}\left(a_{2}\right)=-\lambda^{-\beta_{j}} b^{\alpha+j} \hat{y}^{(j)}\left(a_{1}\right)=-z^{(j)}\left(a_{1}\right),
$$

the procedure of extension can be repeated on $\left[0 ; a_{3}\right],\left[0 ; a_{4}\right]$, and so on with $a_{s+1}=a_{s}+$ $\frac{a_{s}-a_{s-1}}{b}$. In the same way the solution $\hat{y}(x)$ can be extended to the left. Its restrictions to the neighboring segments satisfy the following equality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{y}(x)=-b^{\alpha} \hat{y}\left(b\left(x-a_{s}\right)+a_{s-1}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x \in\left[a_{s} ; a_{s+1}\right]$ and hence $b\left(x-a_{s}\right)+a_{s-1} \in\left[a_{s-1} ; a_{s}\right]$.
Now we will investigate whether $b$ is greater or less than 1.
Let $a_{j, s}$ be the zero of the derivative $\hat{y}^{(j)}(x)$ belonging to the interval $\left(a_{s-1} ; a_{s}\right)$. Note that according to the above consideration on changing the sign combinations, we have

$$
a_{j+1, s}<a_{j, s}<\cdots<a_{0, s}=a_{s}<a_{n-1, s+1}<a_{n-2, s+1}<\cdots .
$$

Lemma 2 In the above notation the solution $y(x)=\hat{y}(x)$ satisfies the following inequalities:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|y\left(a_{1, s}\right)\right|<\left|y\left(a_{n-1, s+1}\right)\right|,  \tag{9}\\
& \left|y\left(a_{j+1, s}\right)\right|<\left|y\left(a_{j, s}\right)\right|, \quad 0<j<n-1 . \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof Indeed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{k+1}\left(\left|y\left(a_{n-1, s+1}\right)\right|^{k+1}-\left|y\left(a_{1, s}\right)\right|^{k+1}\right) \\
& \quad=\int_{a_{1, s}}^{a_{n-1, s+1}} y^{\prime}(x)|y(x)|^{k} \operatorname{sgn} y(x) d x=-\int_{a_{1, s}}^{a_{n-1, s+1}} y^{\prime}(x) y^{(n)}(x) d x \\
& \quad=-\left.y^{\prime}(x) y^{(n-1)}(x)\right|_{a_{1, s}} ^{a_{n-1, s+1}}+\int_{a_{1, s}}^{a_{n-1, s+1}} y^{\prime \prime}(x) y^{(n-1)}(x) d x>0
\end{aligned}
$$

since $y^{\prime}\left(a_{1, s}\right)=y^{(n-1)}\left(a_{n-1, s+1}\right)=0$ and $y^{\prime \prime}(x) y^{(n-1)}(x)>0$ on the interval $\left(a_{1, s} ; a_{n-1, s+1}\right)$, where only $y(x)$ itself changes its sign, while all other $y^{(j)}(x)$ with $0<j<n$ keep the same one. Recall that $n>2$, which makes $y^{\prime \prime}(x)$ to be one of these others. Inequality (9) is proved.
Inequality (10) follows from $y(x) y^{\prime}(x)>0$ on the interval $\left(a_{j+1, s}, a_{j, s}\right)$, where the derivatives $y^{(j)}(x)$ and $y^{(j+1)}(x)$ with $0<j<n-1$ keep different signs, while all lower-order derivatives keep the same sign as $y^{(j)}(x)$.

From the lemma proved it follows that $\left|\hat{y}\left(a_{1, s}\right)\right|<\left|\hat{y}\left(a_{1, s+1}\right)\right|=b^{\alpha}\left|\hat{y}\left(a_{1, s}\right)\right|$, whence it follows that $b>1$ and $a_{s}-a_{s-1}=b\left(a_{s+1}-a_{s}\right)>a_{s+1}-a_{s}$.
Now we see that

$$
\sum_{s=-\infty}^{0}\left(a_{s+1}-a_{s}\right)=a_{1} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} b^{s}=\infty \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{s=0}^{\infty}\left(a_{s+1}-a_{s}\right)=a_{1} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} b^{-s}=a^{*}<\infty .
$$

So, the solution $\hat{y}(x)$ is extended on the half-bounded interval $\left(-\infty ; a^{*}\right)$ and cannot be extended outside it since

$$
\limsup _{x \rightarrow a^{*}}|\hat{y}(x)|=\lim _{s \rightarrow+\infty}\left|\hat{y}\left(a_{1, s}\right)\right|=\left|\hat{y}\left(a_{1,0}\right)\right| \lim _{s \rightarrow+\infty} b^{s \alpha}=+\infty
$$

Now consider the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(t)=e^{t \alpha} \hat{y}\left(a^{*}-e^{t}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is periodic. Indeed, if $a_{*}-e^{t} \in\left[a_{s} ; a_{s+1}\right]$ for some $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$
h(t+\log b)=e^{t \alpha} b^{\alpha} \hat{y}\left(a^{*}-b e^{t}\right)
$$

and, according to (8),

$$
h(t)=e^{\alpha t} \hat{y}\left(a^{*}-e^{t}\right)=-e^{\alpha t} b^{\alpha} \hat{y}\left(b a^{*}-b e^{t}-b a_{s}+a_{s-1}\right) .
$$

The expression in the last parentheses is equal to

$$
b\left(a^{*}-a_{s}\right)-b e^{t}+a_{s-1}=b \cdot \frac{a_{s+1}-a_{s}}{1-b^{-1}}-b e^{t}+a_{s-1}=\frac{a_{s}-a_{s-1}}{1-b^{-1}}+a_{s-1}-b e^{t}=a^{*}-b e^{t} .
$$

So, $h(t+\log b)=-h(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and hence the function $h(t)$ is periodic with period $2 \log b$.

Now, according to (11), we can express the solution $\hat{y}(x)$ to (7) just as $\hat{y}(x)=\left(a^{*}-\right.$ $x)^{-\alpha} h\left(\log \left(a^{*}-x\right)\right)$. Multiplying it by $p_{0}^{\frac{1}{k-1}}$ we obtain a solution to (3) having the form needed. It still will be a solution to (3) after replacing $a^{*}$ by arbitrary $x^{*} \in \mathbb{R}$.

The substitution $x \mapsto-x$ produces the following.

Corollary 1 For any integer $n>2$ and real $k>1$ there exists a periodic oscillatory function $h$ such that for any $p_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $(-1)^{n} p_{0}>0$ and any $x^{*} \in \mathbb{R}$ the function

$$
y(x)=\left|p_{0}\right|^{\frac{1}{k-1}}\left(x-x^{*}\right)^{-\alpha} h\left(\log \left(x-x^{*}\right)\right), \quad x^{*}<x<\infty,
$$

is a solution to (1).

Note that the following theorem was earlier proved in [4, 5].

Theorem 2 For $n=3$, there exists a constant $B \in(0,1)$ such that any oscillatory solution $y(x)$ to (1) with $p_{0}<0$ satisfies the conditions
(1) $\frac{x_{i+1}-x_{i}}{x_{i}-x_{i-1}}=B^{-1}, \quad i=2,3, \ldots$,
(2) $\frac{y\left(x_{i+1}^{\prime}\right)}{y\left(x_{i}^{\prime}\right)}=-B^{\alpha}, \quad i=1,2,3, \ldots$,
(3) $\frac{y^{\prime}\left(x_{i+1}\right)}{y^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)}=-B^{\alpha+1}, \quad i=1,2,3, \ldots$,
(4) $\quad\left|y\left(x_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right|=M\left(x_{i}^{\prime}-x_{*}\right)^{-\alpha}, \quad i=1,2,3, \ldots$,
for some $M>0$ and $x_{*}$, where $x_{1}<x_{2}<\cdots<x_{i}<\cdots$ and $x_{1}^{\prime}<x_{2}^{\prime}<\cdots<x_{i}^{\prime}<\cdots$ are sequences satisfying $y\left(x_{j}\right)=0, y^{\prime}\left(x_{j}^{\prime}\right)=0, y(x) \neq 0$ if $x \in\left(x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right), y^{\prime}(x) \neq 0$ if $x \in\left(x_{i}^{\prime}, x_{i+1}^{\prime}\right)$.

With the help of this theorem, another one can be proved, namely the following.

Theorem 3 For $n=3$ and any real $k>1$ there exists a periodic oscillatory function $h$ such that the functions $y(x)=p_{0}^{\frac{1}{k-1}}\left|x-x_{*}\right|^{-\alpha} h\left(\log \left|x-x_{*}\right|\right)$ with $\alpha=\frac{n}{k-1}$ and arbitrary $x_{*}$ are solutions, respectively, to (1) with $p_{0}<0$ if defined on $\left(-\infty ; x_{*}\right)$ and to (1) with $p_{0}>0$ if defined on $\left(x_{*} ;+\infty\right)$.

## 3 On existence of positive solutions with non-power asymptotic behavior

For (1) with $p_{0}=-1$ it was proved [11] that for any $N$ and $K>1$ there exist an integer $n>N$ and $k \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $1<k<K$ and (1) has a solution of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\left(x^{*}-x\right)^{-\alpha} h\left(\log \left(x^{*}-x\right)\right), \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha=\frac{n}{k-1}$ and $h$ is a positive periodic non-constant function on $\mathbf{R}$.

A similar result was also proved [11] about Kneser solutions, i.e. those satisfying $y(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ and $(-1)^{j} y^{(j)}(x)>0$ for $0 \leq j<n$. Namely, if $p_{0}=(-1)^{n-1}$, then for any $N$ and $K>1$ there exist an integer $n>N$ and $k \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $1<k<K$ and (1) has a solution of the form

$$
y(x)=\left(x-x_{*}\right)^{-\alpha} h\left(\log \left(x-x_{*}\right)\right),
$$

where $h$ is a positive periodic non-constant function on $\mathbf{R}$.
Still it was not clear how large $n$ should be for the existence of that type of positive solutions.

Theorem 4 [13] If $12 \leq n \leq 14$, then there exists $k>1$ such that (1) with $p_{0}=-1$ has a solution $y(x)$ such that

$$
y^{(j)}(x)=\left(x^{*}-x\right)^{-\alpha-j} h_{j}\left(\log \left(x^{*}-x\right)\right), \quad j=0,1, \ldots, n-1,
$$

where $\alpha=\frac{n}{k-1}$ and $h_{j}$ are periodic positive non-constant functions on $\mathbf{R}$.

Remark Computer calculations give approximate values of $\alpha$. They are, with the corresponding values of $k$, as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { if } n=12 \text {, then } \alpha \approx 0.56, k \approx 22.4 ; \\
& \text { if } n=13 \text {, then } \alpha \approx 1.44, k \approx 10.0 \\
& \text { if } n=14 \text {, then } \alpha \approx 2.37, k \approx 6.9
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 2 If $12 \leq n \leq 14$, then there exists $k>1$ such that (1) with $p_{0}=(-1)^{n-1}$ has a Kneser solution $y(x)$ satisfying

$$
y^{(j)}(x)=\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{-\alpha-j} h_{j}\left(\log \left(x-x_{0}\right)\right), \quad j=0,1, \ldots, n-1,
$$

with periodic positive non-constant functions $h_{j}$ on $\mathbf{R}$.

## 4 Conclusions, concluding remarks, and open problems

1. So, we give the negative answer to Question 1 and prove the existence of oscillatory solutions with special qualitative properties for Question 2.
2. It would be interesting to know if positive solutions like (12) exist for $n \geq 15$ and for $5 \leq n \leq 11$.
3. If a positive solution like (12) exists for some $k_{0}>1$, does it follow, for the same $n$, that such solutions exist for all $k>k_{0}$ ?
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