

# TRICHOTOMY, STABILITY, AND OSCILLATION OF A FUZZY DIFFERENCE EQUATION

G. STEFANIDOU AND G. PAPASCHINOPOULOS

*Received 10 November 2003*

We study the trichotomy character, the stability, and the oscillatory behavior of the positive solutions of a fuzzy difference equation.

## 1. Introduction

Difference equations have already been successfully applied in a number of sciences (for a detailed study of the theory of difference equations and their applications, see [1, 2, 7, 8, 11]).

The problem of identifying, modeling, and solving a nonlinear difference equation concerning a real-world phenomenon from experimental input-output data, which is uncertain, incomplete, imprecise, or vague, has been attracting increasing attention in recent years. In addition, nowadays, there is an increasing recognition that for understanding vagueness, a fuzzy approach is required. The effect is the introduction and the study of the fuzzy difference equations (see [3, 4, 13, 14, 15]).

In this paper, we study the trichotomy character, the stability, and the oscillatory behavior of the positive solutions of the fuzzy difference equation

$$x_{n+1} = A + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k c_i x_{n-p_i}}{\sum_{j=1}^m d_j x_{n-q_j}}, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $k, m \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ ,  $A, c_i, d_j$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ ,  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ , are positive fuzzy numbers,  $p_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ ,  $q_j$ ,  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ , are positive integers such that  $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_k$ ,  $q_1 < q_2 < \dots < q_m$ , and the initial values  $x_i$ ,  $i \in \{-\pi, -\pi + 1, \dots, 0\}$ , where

$$\pi = \max\{p_k, q_m\}, \quad (1.2)$$

are positive fuzzy numbers.

Studying a fuzzy difference equation results concerning the behavior of a related family of systems of parametric ordinary difference equations is required. Some necessary results

concerning the corresponding family of systems of ordinary difference equations of (1.1) have been proved in [16] and others are given in this paper.

**2. Preliminaries**

We need the following definitions.

For a set  $B$ , we denote by  $\bar{B}$  the closure of  $B$ . We say that a fuzzy set  $A$ , from  $\mathbb{R}^+ = (0, \infty)$  into the interval  $[0, 1]$ , is a fuzzy number, if  $A$  is normal, convex, upper semicontinuous (see [14]), and the support  $\text{supp } A = \overline{\bigcup_{a \in (0,1)} [A]_a} = \{x : A(x) > 0\}$  is compact. Then from [12, Theorems 3.1.5 and 3.1.8], the  $a$ -cuts of the fuzzy number  $A$ ,  $[A]_a = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^+ : A(x) \geq a\}$ , are closed intervals.

We say that a fuzzy number  $A$  is positive if  $\text{supp } A \subset (0, \infty)$ .

It is obvious that if  $A$  is a positive real number, then  $A$  is a positive fuzzy number and  $[A]_a = [A, A]$ ,  $a \in (0, 1]$ . In this case, we say that  $A$  is a trivial fuzzy number.

We say that  $x_n$  is a positive solution of (1.1) if  $x_n$  is a sequence of positive fuzzy numbers which satisfies (1.1).

A positive fuzzy number  $x$  is a positive equilibrium for (1.1) if

$$x = A + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k c_i x}{\sum_{j=1}^m d_j x}. \tag{2.1}$$

Let  $E, H$  be fuzzy numbers with

$$[E]_a = [E_{l,a}, E_{r,a}], \quad [H]_a = [H_{l,a}, H_{r,a}], \quad a \in (0, 1]. \tag{2.2}$$

According to [10] and [13, Lemma 2.3], we have that  $\text{MIN}\{E, H\} = E$  if

$$E_{l,a} \leq H_{l,a}, \quad E_{r,a} \leq H_{r,a}, \quad a \in (0, 1]. \tag{2.3}$$

Moreover, let  $c_i, f_i, d_j, g_j$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , be positive fuzzy numbers such that for  $a \in (0, 1]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} [c_i]_a &= [c_{i,l,a}, c_{i,r,a}], & [f_i]_a &= [f_{i,l,a}, f_{i,r,a}], \\ [d_j]_a &= [d_{j,l,a}, d_{j,r,a}], & [g_j]_a &= [g_{j,l,a}, g_{j,r,a}], \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

$$E = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k c_i}{\sum_{j=1}^m d_j}, \quad H = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k f_i}{\sum_{j=1}^m g_j}. \tag{2.5}$$

We will say that  $E$  is less than  $H$  and we will write

$$E < H \tag{2.6}$$

if

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^k \sup_{a \in (0,1)} c_{i,r,a}}{\sum_{j=1}^m \inf_{a \in (0,1)} d_{j,l,a}} < \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k \inf_{a \in (0,1)} f_{i,l,a}}{\sum_{j=1}^m \sup_{a \in (0,1)} g_{j,r,a}}. \tag{2.7}$$

In addition, we will say that  $E$  is equal to  $H$  and we will write

$$E \doteq H \quad \text{if } E < H, H < E, \tag{2.8}$$

which means that for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k, j = 1, 2, \dots, m,$  and  $a \in (0, 1],$

$$c_{i,l,a} = c_{i,r,a}, \quad f_{i,l,a} = f_{i,r,a}, \quad d_{j,l,a} = d_{j,r,a}, \quad g_{j,l,a} = g_{j,r,a}, \tag{2.9}$$

and so

$$E_{l,a} = E_{r,a} = H_{l,a} = H_{r,a}, \quad a \in (0, 1], \tag{2.10}$$

which implies that  $E, H$  are equal real numbers.

For the fuzzy numbers  $E, H,$  we give the metric (see [9, 17, 18])

$$D(E, H) = \sup \max \{ |E_{l,a} - H_{l,a}|, |E_{r,a} - H_{r,a}| \}, \tag{2.11}$$

where  $\sup$  is taken for all  $a \in (0, 1].$

The fuzzy analog of boundedness and persistence (see [5, 6]) is given as follows: we say that a sequence of positive fuzzy numbers  $x_n$  persists (resp., is bounded) if there exists a positive number  $M$  (resp.,  $N$ ) such that

$$\text{supp } x_n \subset [M, \infty) \quad (\text{resp., } \text{supp } x_n \subset (0, N]), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \tag{2.12}$$

In addition, we say that  $x_n$  is bounded and persists if there exist numbers  $M, N \in (0, \infty)$  such that

$$\text{supp } x_n \subset [M, N], \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \tag{2.13}$$

Let  $x_n$  be a sequence of positive fuzzy numbers such that

$$[x_n]_a = [L_{n,a}, R_{n,a}], \quad a \in (0, 1], \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, \tag{2.14}$$

and let  $x$  be a positive fuzzy number such that

$$[x]_a = [L_a, R_a], \quad a \in (0, 1]. \tag{2.15}$$

We say that  $x_n$  nearly converges to  $x$  with respect to  $D$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  if for every  $\delta > 0,$  there exists a measurable set  $T, T \subset (0, 1],$  of measure less than  $\delta$  such that

$$\lim D_T(x_n, x) = 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \tag{2.16}$$

where

$$D_T(x_n, x) = \sup_{a \in (0, 1] - T} \{ \max \{ |L_{n,a} - L_a|, |R_{n,a} - R_a| \} \}. \tag{2.17}$$

If  $T = \emptyset,$  we say that  $x_n$  converges to  $x$  with respect to  $D$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty.$

Let  $X$  be the set of positive fuzzy numbers. Let  $E, H \in X$ . From [18, Theorem 2.1], we have that  $E_{l,a}, H_{l,a}$  (resp.,  $E_{r,a}, H_{r,a}$ ) are increasing (resp., decreasing) functions on  $(0, 1]$ . Therefore, using the definition of the fuzzy numbers, there exist the Lebesgue integrals

$$\int_J |E_{l,a} - H_{l,a}| da, \quad \int_J |E_{r,a} - H_{r,a}| da, \tag{2.18}$$

where  $J = (0, 1]$ . We define the function  $D_1 : X \times X \rightarrow R^+$  such that

$$D_1(E, H) = \max \left\{ \int_J |E_{l,a} - H_{l,a}| da, \int_J |E_{r,a} - H_{r,a}| da \right\}. \tag{2.19}$$

If  $D_1(E, H) = 0$ , we have that there exists a measurable set  $T$  of measure zero such that

$$E_{l,a} = H_{l,a}, \quad E_{r,a} = H_{r,a} \quad \forall a \in (0, 1] - T. \tag{2.20}$$

We consider, however, two fuzzy numbers  $E, H$  to be equivalent if there exists a measurable set  $T$  of measure zero such that (2.20) hold and if we do not distinguish between equivalence of fuzzy numbers, then  $X$  becomes a metric space with metric  $D_1$ .

We say that a sequence of positive fuzzy numbers  $x_n$  converges to a positive fuzzy number  $x$  with respect to  $D_1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  if

$$\lim D_1(x_n, x) = 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{2.21}$$

We define the fuzzy analog for periodicity (see [11]) as follows.

A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of positive fuzzy numbers  $x_n$  is said to be periodic of period  $p$  if

$$D(x_{n+p}, x_n) = 0, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots \tag{2.22}$$

Suppose that (1.1) has a unique positive equilibrium  $x$ . We say that the positive equilibrium  $x$  of (1.1) is stable if for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $\delta = \delta(\epsilon)$  such that for every positive solution  $x_n$  of (1.1) which satisfies  $D(x_{-i}, x) \leq \delta, i = 0, 1, \dots, \pi$ , we have  $D(x_n, x) \leq \epsilon$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .

Moreover, we say that the positive equilibrium  $x$  of (1.1) is nearly asymptotically stable if it is stable and every positive solution of (1.1) nearly tends to the positive equilibrium of (1.1) with respect to  $D$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Finally, we give the fuzzy analog of the concept of oscillation (see [11]). Let  $x_n$  be a sequence of positive fuzzy numbers and let  $x$  be a positive fuzzy number. We say that  $x_n$  oscillates about  $x$  if for every  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exist  $s, m \in \mathbb{N}, s, m \geq n_0$ , such that

$$\text{MIN} \{x_m, x\} = x_m, \quad \text{MIN} \{x_s, x\} = x \tag{2.23}$$

or

$$\text{MIN} \{x_m, x\} = x, \quad \text{MIN} \{x_s, x\} = x_s. \tag{2.24}$$

### 3. Main results

Arguing as in [13, 14, 15], we can easily prove the following proposition which concerns the existence and the uniqueness of the positive solutions of (1.1).

PROPOSITION 3.1. Consider (1.1), where  $k, m \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ ,  $A, c_i, d_j, i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ , are positive fuzzy numbers, and  $p_i, q_j, i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ , are positive integers. Then for any positive fuzzy numbers  $x_{-\pi}, x_{-\pi+1}, \dots, x_0$ , there exists a unique positive solution  $x_n$  of (1.1) with initial values  $x_{-\pi}, x_{-\pi+1}, \dots, x_0$ .

Now, we present conditions so that (1.1) has unbounded solutions.

PROPOSITION 3.2. Consider (1.1), where  $k, m \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ ,  $A, c_i, d_j, i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ , are positive fuzzy numbers, and  $p_i, i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}, q_j, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ , are positive integers. If

$$A < G, \quad G = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k c_i}{\sum_{j=1}^m d_j}, \tag{3.1}$$

then (1.1) has unbounded solutions.

Proof. Let

$$[A]_a = [A_{l,a}, A_{r,a}], \quad a \in (0, 1]. \tag{3.2}$$

From (2.4) and (3.2) and since  $A, c_i, d_j, i = 1, 2, \dots, k, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , are positive fuzzy numbers, there exist positive real numbers  $B, C, a_i, e_i, h_j, b_j, i = 1, 2, \dots, k, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \inf_{a \in (0,1]} A_{l,a}, & C &= \sup_{a \in (0,1]} A_{r,a}, & a_i &= \inf_{a \in (0,1]} c_{i,l,a}, \\ e_i &= \sup_{a \in (0,1]} c_{i,r,a}, & h_j &= \inf_{a \in (0,1]} d_{j,l,a}, & b_j &= \sup_{a \in (0,1]} d_{j,r,a}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Let  $x_n$  be a positive solution of (1.1) such that (2.14) hold and the initial values  $x_i, i = -\pi, -\pi + 1, \dots, 0$ , are positive fuzzy numbers which satisfy

$$[x_i]_a = [L_{i,a}, R_{i,a}], \quad i = -\pi, -\pi + 1, \dots, 0, a \in (0, 1] \tag{3.4}$$

and for a fixed  $\bar{a} \in (0, 1]$ , the relations

$$R_{i,\bar{a}} > \frac{Z^2}{W - C}, \quad L_{i,\bar{a}} < W, \quad i = -\pi, -\pi + 1, \dots, 0, \tag{3.5}$$

are satisfied, where

$$Z = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k e_i}{\sum_{j=1}^m h_j}, \quad W = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k a_i}{\sum_{j=1}^m b_j}. \tag{3.6}$$

Using [15, Lemma 1], we can easily prove that  $L_{n,a}, R_{n,a}$  satisfy the family of systems of parametric ordinary difference equations

$$\begin{aligned} L_{n+1,a} &= A_{l,a} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k c_{i,l,a} L_{n-p_i,a}}{\sum_{j=1}^m d_{j,r,a} R_{n-q_j,a}}, \\ R_{n+1,a} &= A_{r,a} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k c_{i,r,a} R_{n-p_i,a}}{\sum_{j=1}^m d_{j,l,a} L_{n-q_j,a}}, \end{aligned} \quad n = 0, 1, \dots \tag{3.7}$$

Since (3.1) holds, it is obvious that

$$A_{l,\bar{a}} < \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k c_{i,r,\bar{a}}}{\sum_{j=1}^m d_{j,l,\bar{a}}}. \tag{3.8}$$

Using (3.8) and applying [16, Proposition 1] to the system (3.7) for  $a = \bar{a}$ , we have that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L_{n,\bar{a}=A_{l,\bar{a}}}, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_{n,\bar{a}} = \infty. \tag{3.9}$$

Therefore, from (3.9), the solution  $x_n$  of (1.1) which satisfies (3.4) and (3.5) is unbounded. □

*Remark 3.3.* From the proof of Proposition 3.2, it is obvious that (1.1) has unbounded solutions if there exists at least one  $a \in (0, 1]$  such that (3.8) holds.

In the following proposition, we study the boundedness and persistence of the positive solutions of (1.1).

**PROPOSITION 3.4.** *Consider (1.1), where  $k, m \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ ,  $A, c_i, d_j$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ ,  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ , are positive fuzzy numbers, and  $p_i, i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ ,  $q_j, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ , are positive integers. If either*

$$A \doteq G \tag{3.10}$$

or

$$G < A \tag{3.11}$$

*holds, then every positive solution of (1.1) is bounded and persists.*

*Proof.* Firstly, suppose that (3.10) is satisfied; then  $A, c_i, d_j, i = 1, 2, \dots, k, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , are positive real numbers. Hence, for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , we get

$$A = A_{l,a} = A_{r,a}, \quad c_i = c_{i,l,a} = c_{i,r,a}, \quad d_j = d_{j,l,a} = d_{j,r,a}, \quad a \in (0, 1], \tag{3.12}$$

$$A = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k c_i}{\sum_{j=1}^m d_j}. \tag{3.13}$$

Let  $x_n$  be a positive solution of (1.1) such that (2.14) hold and let  $x_i, i = -\pi, -\pi + 1, \dots, 0$ , be the positive initial values of  $x_n$  such that (3.4) hold. Then there exist positive numbers  $T_i, S_i, i = -\pi, -\pi + 1, \dots, 0$ , such that

$$T_i \leq L_{i,a}, R_{i,a} \leq S_i, \quad i = -\pi, -\pi + 1, \dots, 0. \tag{3.14}$$

Let  $(y_n, z_n)$  be the positive solution of the system of ordinary difference equations

$$y_{n+1} = A + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k c_i y_{n-p_i}}{\sum_{j=1}^m d_j z_{n-q_j}}, \quad z_{n+1} = A + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k c_i z_{n-p_i}}{\sum_{j=1}^m d_j y_{n-q_j}}, \tag{3.15}$$

with initial values  $(y_i, z_i), i = -\pi, -\pi + 1, \dots, 0$ , such that  $y_i = T_i, z_i = S_i, i = -\pi, -\pi + 1, \dots, 0$ . Then from (3.14) and (3.15), we can easily prove that

$$y_1 \leq L_{1,a}, \quad R_{1,a} \leq z_1, \quad a \in (0, 1], \tag{3.16}$$

and working inductively, we take

$$y_n \leq L_{n,a}, \quad R_{n,a} \leq z_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, a \in (0, 1]. \tag{3.17}$$

Since from (3.13) and [16, Proposition 3],  $(y_n, z_n)$  is bounded and persists, from (3.17), it is obvious that  $x_n$  is also bounded and persists.

Now, suppose that (3.11) holds; then

$$B > Z, \quad C > W. \tag{3.18}$$

We consider the system of ordinary difference equations

$$y_{n+1} = B + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k a_i y_{n-p_i}}{\sum_{j=1}^m b_j z_{n-q_j}}, \quad z_{n+1} = C + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k e_i z_{n-p_i}}{\sum_{j=1}^m h_j y_{n-q_j}}, \tag{3.19}$$

where  $B, C, a_i, e_i, b_j, h_j, i = 1, 2, \dots, k, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , are defined in (3.3).

Let  $(y_n, z_n)$  be a solution of (3.19) with initial values  $(y_i, z_i), i = -\pi, -\pi + 1, \dots, 0$ , such that  $y_i = T_i, z_i = S_i, i = -\pi, -\pi + 1, \dots, 0$ , where  $T_i, S_i, i = -\pi, -\pi + 1, \dots, 0$ , are defined in (3.14). Arguing as above, we can prove that (3.17) holds. Since from (3.18) and [16, Proposition 3],  $(y_n, z_n)$  is bounded and persists, then from (3.17), it is obvious that,  $x_n$  is also bounded and persists. This completes the proof of the proposition.  $\square$

In what follows, we need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 3.5. Let  $r_i, s_j, i = 1, 2, \dots, k, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , be positive integers such that

$$(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m) = 1, \tag{3.20}$$

where  $(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m)$  is the greatest common divisor of the integers  $r_i, s_j, i = 1, 2, \dots, k, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . Then the following statements are true.

(I) *There exists an even positive integer  $w_1$  such that for any nonnegative integer  $p$ , there exist nonnegative integers  $\alpha_{ip}, \beta_{jp}, i = 1, 2, \dots, k, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_{ip} r_i + \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_{jp} s_j = w_1 + 2p, \quad p = 0, 1, \dots, \tag{3.21}$$

where  $\sum_{j=1}^m \beta_{jp}$  is an even integer.

(II) *Suppose that all  $r_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , are not even and all  $s_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , are not odd integers. Then there exists an odd positive integer  $w_2$  such that for any nonnegative integer  $p$ , there exist nonnegative integers  $\gamma_{ip}, \delta_{jp}, i = 1, 2, \dots, k, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \gamma_{ip} r_i + \sum_{j=1}^m \delta_{jp} s_j = w_2 + 2p, \quad p = 0, 1, \dots, \tag{3.22}$$

where  $\sum_{j=1}^m \delta_{jp}$  is an even integer.

(III) *Suppose that all  $r_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , are not even and all  $s_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , are not odd integers. Then there exists an even positive integer  $w_3$  such that for any nonnegative integer  $p$ , there exist nonnegative integers  $\epsilon_{ip}, \xi_{jp}, i = 1, 2, \dots, k, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \epsilon_{ip} r_i + \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{jp} s_j = w_3 + 2p, \quad p = 0, 1, \dots, \tag{3.23}$$

where  $\sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{jp}$  is an odd integer.

(IV) *There exists an odd positive integer  $w_4$  such that for any nonnegative integer  $p$ , there exist nonnegative integers  $\lambda_{ip}, \mu_{jp}, i = 1, 2, \dots, k, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_{ip} r_i + \sum_{j=1}^m \mu_{jp} s_j = w_4 + 2p, \quad p = 0, 1, \dots, \tag{3.24}$$

where  $\sum_{j=1}^m \mu_{jp}$  is an odd integer.

*Proof.* (I) Since (3.20) holds, there exist integers  $\eta_i, \iota_j, i = 1, 2, \dots, k, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \eta_i r_i + \sum_{j=1}^m \iota_j s_j = 1. \tag{3.25}$$

If for any real number  $a$ , we denote by  $[a]$  the integral part of  $a$ , we set for  $i = 2, 3, \dots, k, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{1p} &= 2p\eta_1 + 2 \sum_{i=2}^k r_i + 2 \sum_{j=1}^m s_j - 2 \sum_{i=2}^k g_{ip} r_i - 2 \sum_{j=1}^m h_{jp} s_j, \\ \alpha_{ip} &= 2p\eta_i + 2g_{ip} r_i, \quad \beta_{jp} = 2p\iota_j + 2h_{jp} r_i, \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$



where

$$g_{ip} = \left\lceil \frac{-p\eta_i}{r_1} \right\rceil + 1, \quad h_{jp} = \left\lceil \frac{-p\iota_j}{r_1} \right\rceil + 1, \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, k, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m. \quad (3.27)$$

Therefore, from (3.25) and (3.26), we can easily prove that  $\alpha_{ip}, \beta_{jp}, i = 1, 2, \dots, k, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , which are defined in (3.26), are positive integers satisfying (3.21) for

$$w_1 = 2r_1 \left( \sum_{i=2}^k r_i + \sum_{j=1}^m s_j \right) \quad (3.28)$$

and  $\sum_{j=1}^m \beta_{jp}$  is an even number.

(II) Firstly, suppose that one of  $r_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , is an odd positive integer and without loss of generality, let  $r_1$  be an odd positive integer. Relation (3.22) follows immediately if we set for  $i = 2, \dots, k$  and for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ,

$$\gamma_{1p} = \alpha_{1p} + 1, \quad \gamma_{ip} = \alpha_{ip}, \quad \delta_{jp} = \beta_{jp}, \quad w_2 = w_1 + r_1. \quad (3.29)$$

Now, suppose that  $r_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , are even positive integers; then from (3.20), one of  $s_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , is an odd positive integer and from the hypothesis, one of  $s_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , is an even positive integer. Without loss of generality, let  $s_1$  be an odd positive integer and  $s_2$  be an even positive integer. Relation (3.22) follows immediately if we set for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$  and for  $j = 3, \dots, m$ ,

$$\gamma_{ip} = \alpha_{ip}, \quad \delta_{1p} = \beta_{1p} + 1, \quad \delta_{2p} = \beta_{2p} + 1, \quad \delta_{jp} = \beta_{jp}, \quad w_2 = w_1 + s_1 + s_2. \quad (3.30)$$

(III) Firstly, suppose that one of  $s_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , is an even positive integer and without loss of generality, let  $s_1$  be an even positive integer. Relation (3.23) follows immediately if we set for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$  and  $j = 2, \dots, m$ ,

$$\epsilon_{ip} = \alpha_{ip}, \quad \xi_{1p} = \beta_{1p} + 1, \quad \xi_{jp} = \beta_{jp}, \quad w_3 = w_1 + s_1. \quad (3.31)$$

Now, suppose that  $s_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , are odd positive integers; then from the hypothesis, at least one of  $r_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , is an odd positive integer, and without loss of generality, let  $r_1$  be an odd integer. Relation (3.23) follows immediately if we set for  $i = 2, \dots, k, j = 2, 3, \dots, m$ ,

$$\epsilon_{1p} = \alpha_{1p} + 1, \quad \epsilon_{ip} = \alpha_{ip}, \quad \delta_{1p} = \beta_{1p} + 1, \quad \delta_{jp} = \beta_{jp}, \quad w_3 = w_1 + s_1 + r_1. \quad (3.32)$$

(IV) Firstly, suppose that at least one of  $s_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , is an odd positive integer and without loss of generality, let  $s_1$  be an odd positive integer. Relation (3.24) follows immediately if we set for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k, j = 2, 3, \dots, m$ ,

$$\lambda_{ip} = \alpha_{ip}, \quad \mu_{1p} = \beta_{1p} + 1, \quad \mu_{jp} = \beta_{jp}, \quad w_4 = w_1 + s_1. \quad (3.33)$$

Now, suppose that  $s_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , are even positive integers; then from (3.20), at least one of  $r_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , is an odd positive integer, and without loss of generality, let  $r_1$  be an odd positive integer. Relation (3.24) follows immediately if we set for  $i = 2, 3, \dots, k, j = 2, 3, \dots, m$ ,

$$\lambda_{1p} = \alpha_{1p} + 1, \quad \lambda_{ip} = \alpha_{ip}, \quad \mu_{1p} = \beta_{1p} + r_1, \quad \mu_{jp} = \beta_{jp}, \quad w_4 = w_1 + r_1(s_1 + 1). \tag{3.34}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. □

LEMMA 3.6. Consider system (3.19), where  $B, C$  are positive constants such that

$$B = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k e_i}{\sum_{j=1}^m h_j}, \quad C = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k a_i}{\sum_{j=1}^m b_j}. \tag{3.35}$$

Then the following statements are true.

(I) Let  $r$  be a common divisor of the integers  $p_i + 1, q_j + 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, k, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , such that

$$p_i + 1 = rr_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k, \quad q_j + 1 = rs_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m; \tag{3.36}$$

then system (3.19) has periodic solutions of prime period  $r$ . Moreover, if all  $r_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , (resp.,  $s_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ) are even (resp., odd) positive integers, then system (3.19) has periodic solutions of prime period  $2r$ .

(II) Let  $r$  be the greatest common divisor of the integers  $p_i + 1, q_j + 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, k, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , such that (3.36) hold; then if all  $r_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , (resp.,  $s_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ) are even (resp., odd) positive integers, every positive solution of (3.19) tends to a periodic solution of period  $2r$ ; otherwise, every positive solution of (3.19) tends to a periodic solution of period  $r$ .

*Proof.* (I) From relations (3.35), (3.36), and [16, Proposition 2], system (3.19) has periodic solutions of prime period  $r$ .

Now, we prove that system (3.19) has periodic solutions of prime period  $2r$ , if all  $r_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , (resp.,  $s_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ) are even (resp., odd) positive integers.

Suppose first that  $p_k < q_m$ . Let  $(y_n, z_n)$  be a positive solution of (3.19) with initial values satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} y_{-rs_m+2r\lambda+\zeta} &= y_{-r+\zeta}, & z_{-rs_m+2r\lambda+\zeta} &= z_{-r+\zeta}, \\ y_{-rs_m+2r\nu+r+\zeta} &= y_{-2r+\zeta}, & z_{-rs_m+2r\nu+r+\zeta} &= z_{-2r+\zeta}, \\ \lambda &= 0, 1, \dots, \frac{s_m-1}{2}, & \nu &= 0, 1, \dots, \frac{s_m-3}{2}, & \zeta &= 1, 2, \dots, r, \end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

and, in addition, for  $\zeta = 1, 2, \dots, r$ ,

$$y_{-2r+\zeta} > B, \quad y_{-r+\zeta} > B, \quad z_{-r+\zeta} = \frac{Cy_{-2r+\zeta}}{y_{-2r+\zeta} - B}, \quad z_{-2r+\zeta} = \frac{Cy_{-r+\zeta}}{y_{-r+\zeta} - B}. \tag{3.38}$$

From (3.19), (3.35), (3.36), (3.37), and (3.38), we get for  $\zeta = 1, 2, \dots, r$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} y_\zeta &= B + C \frac{y_{-2r+\zeta}}{z_{-r+\zeta}} = y_{-2r+\zeta}, & z_\zeta &= C + B \frac{z_{-2r+\zeta}}{y_{-r+\zeta}} = z_{-2r+\zeta}, \\ y_{r+\zeta} &= B + C \frac{y_{-r+\zeta}}{z_{-2r+\zeta}} = y_{-r+\zeta}, & z_{r+\zeta} &= C + B \frac{z_{-r+\zeta}}{y_{-2r+\zeta}} = z_{-r+\zeta}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.39}$$

Let a  $v \in \{2, 3, \dots\}$ . Suppose that for all  $u = 1, 2, \dots, v - 1$  and  $\zeta = 1, 2, \dots, r$ , we have

$$y_{2ur+\zeta} = y_{-2r+\zeta}, \quad z_{2ur+\zeta} = z_{-2r+\zeta}, \quad y_{2ur+r+\zeta} = y_{-r+\zeta}, \quad z_{2ur+r+\zeta} = z_{-r+\zeta}. \tag{3.40}$$

Then from (3.19), (3.35)–(3.40), we get for  $\zeta = 1, 2, \dots, r$ ,

$$y_{2vr+\zeta} = B + C \frac{y_{-2r+\zeta}}{z_{-r+\zeta}} = y_{-2r+\zeta}. \tag{3.41}$$

Similarly, we can prove that for  $\zeta = 1, 2, \dots, r$ ,

$$z_{2vr+\zeta} = z_{-2r+\zeta}, \quad y_{2vr+r+\zeta} = y_{-r+\zeta}, \quad z_{2vr+r+\zeta} = z_{-r+\zeta}. \tag{3.42}$$

Therefore, from (3.39)–(3.42), we have that system (3.19) has periodic solutions of period  $2r$ .

Now, suppose that  $q_m < p_k$ . Let  $(y_n, z_n)$  be a positive solution of (3.19) such that the initial values satisfy relations (3.38) and for  $\omega = 0, 1, \dots, r_k/2 - 1$ ,  $\theta = 1, 2, \dots, 2r$ ,

$$y_{-rr_k+2r\omega+\theta} = y_{-2r+\theta}, \quad z_{-rr_k+2r\omega+\theta} = z_{-2r+\theta}. \tag{3.43}$$

Then arguing as above, we can easily prove that  $(y_n, z_n)$  is a periodic solution of period  $2r$ . This completes the proof of statement (I).

(II) Now, we prove that every positive solution of system (3.19) tends to a periodic solution of period  $\kappa r$ , where

$$\kappa = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } r_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k, \text{ are even, } s_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, m, \text{ are odd,} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3.44}$$

Let  $(y_n, z_n)$  be an arbitrary positive solution of (3.19). We prove that there exist the

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{\kappa nr+i} = \epsilon_i, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, \kappa r - 1. \tag{3.45}$$

We fix a  $\tau \in \{0, 1, \dots, \kappa r - 1\}$ . Since from [16, Proposition 3], the solution  $(y_n, z_n)$  is bounded and persists, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{\kappa nr+\tau} &= l_\tau \geq B, & \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_{\kappa nr+\tau} &= m_\tau \geq C, \\ \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{\kappa nr+\tau} &= L_\tau < \infty, & \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_{\kappa nr+\tau} &= M_\tau < \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{3.46}$$

Therefore, from relations (3.19), (3.35), and (3.46), we take

$$m_\tau = \frac{CL_\tau}{L_\tau - B}, \quad l_\tau = \frac{BM_\tau}{M_\tau - C}. \tag{3.47}$$

We prove that (3.45) is true for  $i = \tau$ . Suppose on the contrary that  $l_\tau < L_\tau$ . Then from (3.46), there exists an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that

$$L_\tau > l_\tau + \epsilon > B + \epsilon. \tag{3.48}$$

In view of (3.46), there exists a sequence  $n_\mu, \mu = 1, 2, \dots$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} y_{\kappa r n_\mu + \tau} &= L_\tau, & \lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} y_{r(\kappa n_\mu - r_i) + \tau} &= T_{r_i, \tau} \leq L_\tau, \\ \lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} z_{r(\kappa n_\mu - s_j) + \tau} &= S_{s_j, \tau} \geq m_\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{3.49}$$

In view of (3.19), (3.35), (3.46), (3.47), and (3.49), we take

$$L_\tau = B + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k a_i T_{r_i, \tau}}{\sum_{j=1}^m b_j S_{s_j, \tau}} \leq B + \frac{CL_\tau}{m_\tau} = L_\tau \tag{3.50}$$

and obviously, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} T_{r_i, \tau} &= L_\tau, & i &= 1, 2, \dots, k, \\ S_{s_j, \tau} &= m_\tau, & j &= 1, 2, \dots, m. \end{aligned} \tag{3.51}$$

In addition, using (3.19), (3.35), (3.46), (3.47), and (3.51), for  $\kappa = 2$ , from statements (I) and (IV) of Lemma 3.5 and arguing as above, we take for  $\gamma = 0, 1, \dots$ ,

$$\lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} y_{r(2n_\mu - w_1 - 2\gamma) + \tau} = L_\tau, \quad \lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} z_{r(2n_\mu - w_1 - s_1 - 2\gamma) + \tau} = m_\tau, \tag{3.52}$$

and for  $\kappa = 1$  and from all the statements of Lemma 3.5,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} y_{r(n_\mu - w_1 - 2\gamma) + \tau} &= L_\tau, & \lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} y_{r(n_\mu - w_2 - 2\gamma) + \tau} &= L_\tau, \\ \lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} z_{r(n_\mu - w_3 - 2\gamma) + \tau} &= m_\tau, & \lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} z_{r(n_\mu - w_4 - 2\gamma) + \tau} &= m_\tau, \end{aligned} \tag{3.53}$$

$w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4$  are defined in Lemma 3.5.

Let a  $\sigma_\kappa \in \{0, 1, \dots, (3 - \kappa)\phi\}$ ,  $\phi = \max\{r_k, s_m\}$ . Suppose first that  $\kappa = 2$ . Then in view of (3.19), there exist positive integers  $p, q$  and a continuous function  $F_{\sigma_2} : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$y_{r(2n_\mu + 2\sigma_2) + \tau} = B + F_{\sigma_2}(\zeta_{n_\mu, 0}, \dots, \zeta_{n_\mu, p}, \xi_{n_\mu, 0}, \dots, \xi_{n_\mu, q}), \tag{3.54}$$

where for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, p, j = 0, 1, \dots, q$ ,

$$\zeta_{n_\mu, i} = y_{r(2n_\mu - w_1 - 2i) + \tau}, \quad \xi_{n_\mu, j} = z_{r(2n_\mu - w_1 - s_1 - 2j) + \tau}. \tag{3.55}$$

If  $\kappa = 1$ , there exist positive integers  $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4$  and a continuous function  $G_{\sigma_1} : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$y_{r(n_\mu + \sigma_1) + \tau} = B + G_{\sigma_1}(\check{\zeta}_{n_\mu, 0}, \dots, \check{\zeta}_{n_\mu, v_1}, \bar{\zeta}_{n_\mu, 0}, \dots, \bar{\zeta}_{n_\mu, v_2}, \check{\xi}_{n_\mu, 0}, \dots, \check{\xi}_{n_\mu, v_3}, \bar{\xi}_{n_\mu, 0}, \dots, \bar{\xi}_{n_\mu, v_4}), \tag{3.56}$$

where for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, v_1, \bar{i} = 0, 1, \dots, v_2, j = 0, 1, \dots, v_3, \text{ and } \bar{j} = 0, 1, \dots, v_4,$

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{n_\mu, i} &= y_{r(n_\mu - w_1 - 2i) + \tau}, & \bar{\zeta}_{n_\mu, \bar{i}} &= y_{r(n_\mu - w_2 - 2\bar{i}) + \tau}, \\ \xi_{n_\mu, j} &= z_{r(n_\mu - w_3 - 2j) + \tau}, & \bar{\xi}_{n_\mu, \bar{j}} &= z_{r(n_\mu - w_4 - 2\bar{j}) + \tau}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.57}$$

Therefore, from (3.47), (3.52), (3.53), (3.54), and (3.56), it follows that

$$\lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} y_{r(\kappa n_\mu + \kappa \sigma_\kappa) + \tau} = B + \frac{CL_\tau}{m_\tau} = L_\tau. \tag{3.58}$$

Using the same argument to prove (3.58) and using (3.19), we can easily prove that for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k, j = 1, 2, \dots, m,$

$$\lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} y_{r(\kappa n_\mu + \kappa \sigma_\kappa - r_i) + \tau} = L_\tau, \quad \lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} z_{r(\kappa n_\mu + \kappa \sigma_\kappa - s_j) + \tau} = m_\tau. \tag{3.59}$$

Therefore, if  $\delta = \epsilon(m_\tau - C)/(L_\tau - \epsilon - B),$  then in view of (3.19), (3.47), (3.58), and (3.59), there exists a  $\mu_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$  such that for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m,$

$$z_{r(\kappa n_{\mu_0} + 2\phi + \kappa - s_j) + \tau} \leq C + \frac{B(m_\tau + \delta)}{L_\tau - \epsilon} = m_\tau + \delta \tag{3.60}$$

and so from (3.19), (3.47), (3.48), (3.58), (3.59), and (3.60), we get

$$y_{r(\kappa n_{\mu_0} + 2\phi + \kappa) + \tau} \geq B + \frac{C(L_\tau - \epsilon)}{m_\tau + \delta} = L_\tau - \epsilon > l_\tau. \tag{3.61}$$

Using (3.19), (3.47), (3.48), (3.58), (3.59), and (3.61) and working inductively, we can easily prove that

$$y_{r(\kappa n_{\mu_0} + 2\phi + \kappa \omega) + \tau} \geq L_\tau - \epsilon > l_\tau, \quad \omega = 2, 3, \dots, \tag{3.62}$$

which is a contradiction since  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{\kappa r n + \tau} = l_\tau.$  Therefore, since  $\tau$  is an arbitrary number such that  $\tau \in \{0, 1, \dots, \kappa r - 1\},$  relations (3.45) are satisfied.

Moreover, from (3.19) and (3.47), we have that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_{\kappa n r + i} = \xi_i, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, \kappa r - 1. \tag{3.63}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. □

In the next proposition, we study the periodicity of the positive solutions of (1.1).

**PROPOSITION 3.7.** *Consider (1.1), where  $k, m \in \{1, 2, \dots\}, A, c_i, d_j, i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\},$  are positive fuzzy numbers, and  $p_i, i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}, q_j, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\},$  are positive integers. If (3.10) holds and  $r$  is a common divisor of the integers  $p_i + 1, q_j + 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, k, j = 1, 2, \dots, m,$  then (1.1) has periodic solutions of prime period  $r.$  Moreover, if  $r_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k,$  (resp.,  $s_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ )— $r_i, s_j$  are defined in (3.36)—are even (resp., odd) integers, then (1.1) has periodic solutions of prime period  $2r.$*

*Proof.* From (3.10), we have that  $A, c_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k, d_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , are positive real numbers such that (3.12) and (3.13) hold. We consider functions  $L_{i,a}, R_{i,a}, i = -\pi, -\pi + 1, \dots, 0$ , such that for  $\lambda = 0, 1, \dots, \phi - 1, \theta = 1, 2, \dots, r$ , and  $a \in (0, 1]$ ,

$$L_{-r\phi+r\lambda+\theta,a} = L_{-r+\theta,a}, \quad R_{-r\phi+r\lambda+\theta,a} = R_{-r+\theta,a}, \tag{3.64}$$

the functions  $L_{w,a}, w = -r + 1, -r + 2, \dots, 0$ , are increasing, left continuous, and for all  $w = -r + 1, -r + 2, \dots, 0$ , we have

$$A + \epsilon < L_{w,a} < 2A, \quad R_{w,a} = \frac{AL_{w,a}}{L_{w,a} - A}, \tag{3.65}$$

where  $\epsilon$  is a positive number such that  $\epsilon < A$ . Using (3.65) and since the functions  $L_{w,a}, w = -r + 1, -r + 2, \dots, 0$ , are increasing, if  $a_1, a_2 \in (0, 1], a_1 \leq a_2$ , we get

$$AL_{w,a_1}L_{w,a_2} - A^2L_{w,a_1} \geq AL_{w,a_1}L_{w,a_2} - A^2L_{w,a_2} \tag{3.66}$$

which implies that  $R_{w,a}, w = -r + 1, -r + 2, \dots, 0$ , are decreasing functions. Moreover, from (3.65), we get

$$L_{w,a} \leq R_{w,a}, \quad A + \epsilon \leq L_{w,a}, R_{w,a} \leq \frac{2A^2}{\epsilon}, \tag{3.67}$$

and so from [18, Theorem 2.1],  $(L_{w,a}, R_{w,a}), w = -r + 1, -r + 2, \dots, 0$ , determine the fuzzy numbers  $x_w, w = -r + 1, -r + 2, \dots, 0$ , such that  $[x_w]_a = [L_{w,a}, R_{w,a}]$ ,  $w = -r + 1, -r + 2, \dots, 0$ . Let  $x_n$  be a positive solution of (1.1) which satisfies (2.14) and let the initial values be positive fuzzy numbers such that (3.4) hold and the functions  $L_{i,a}, R_{i,a}, i = -\pi, -\pi + 1, \dots, 0, a \in (0, 1]$ , are defined in (3.64), (3.65);  $L_{i,a}, i = -\pi, -\pi + 1, \dots, 0, a \in (0, 1]$ , are increasing and left continuous. Then from [16, Proposition 2], we have that for any  $a \in (0, 1]$ , the system given by (3.7), (3.12), and (3.13) has periodic solutions of prime period  $r$ , which means that there exists solution  $(L_{n,a}, R_{n,a}), a \in (0, 1]$ , of the system such that

$$L_{n+r,a} = L_{n,a}, \quad R_{n+r,a} = R_{n,a}, \quad a \in (0, 1]. \tag{3.68}$$

Therefore, from (2.22) and (3.68), we have that (1.1) has periodic solutions of prime period  $r$ .

Now, suppose that  $r_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , (resp.,  $s_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ) are even (resp., odd) integers. We consider the functions  $L_{i,a}, R_{i,a}, i = -\pi, -\pi + 1, \dots, 0$ , such that analogous relations (3.37), (3.38), and (3.43) hold,  $L_{w,a}, w = -r + 1, \dots, 0$ , are increasing, left continuous functions, and the first relation of (3.65) holds. Arguing as above, the solution  $x_n$  of (1.1) with initial values  $x_i, i = -\pi, -\pi + 1, \dots, 0$ , satisfying (3.4), where  $L_{i,a}, R_{i,a}, i = -\pi, -\pi + 1, \dots, 0$ , are defined above, is a periodic solution of prime period  $2r$ .  $\square$

In the following proposition, we study the convergence of the positive solutions of (1.1).

PROPOSITION 3.8. Consider (1.1), where  $k, m \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ ,  $A, c_i, d_j$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ ,  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ , are positive fuzzy numbers, and  $p_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ ,  $q_j$ ,  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ , are positive integers. Then the following statements are true.

(i) If (3.11), holds, then (1.1) has a unique positive equilibrium  $x$  and every positive solution of (1.1) nearly converges to the unique positive equilibrium  $x$  with respect to  $D$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and converges to  $x$  with respect to  $D_1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

(ii) If (3.10) is satisfied and  $r$  is the greatest common divisor of the integers  $p_i + 1$ ,  $q_j + 1$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , such that (3.36) holds, then every positive solution of (1.1) nearly converges to a period  $\kappa r$  solution of (1.1) with respect to  $D$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and converges to a period  $\kappa r$  solution of (1.1) with respect to  $D_1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ;  $\kappa$  is defined in (3.44).

Proof. (i) Let  $x_n$  be a positive solution of (1.1) which satisfies (2.14). Since (3.7) and (3.11) hold, we can apply [16, Proposition 4] and we have that for any  $a \in (0, 1]$ , there exist the  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L_{n,a}$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_{n,a}$ , and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L_{n,a} = L_a, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_{n,a} = R_a, \quad a \in (0, 1], \tag{3.69}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_a &= \frac{A_{l,a}A_{r,a} - C_aD_a}{A_{r,a} - C_a}, & R_a &= \frac{A_{l,a}A_{r,a} - C_aD_a}{A_{l,a} - D_a}, \\ C_a &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k c_{i,l,a}}{\sum_{j=1}^m d_{j,r,a}}, & D_a &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k c_{i,r,a}}{\sum_{j=1}^m d_{j,l,a}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.70}$$

In addition, from (3.3) and (3.70), we get

$$L_a \geq \frac{B^2 - Z^2}{C - W} = \lambda, \quad R_a \leq \frac{C^2 - W^2}{B - Z} = \mu, \tag{3.71}$$

where  $B, C$  (resp.,  $Z, W$ ) are defined in (3.3) (resp., (3.5)). Then from (3.69), (3.71), and arguing as in [13, 14, 15], we can easily prove that  $L_a, R_a$  determine a fuzzy number  $x$  such that  $[x]_a = [L_a, R_a]$ . Finally, using (3.70), we take that  $x$  is the unique positive equilibrium of (1.1). Using relations (3.11), (3.69), and arguing as in [15, Proposition 2], we can prove that every positive solution of (1.1) nearly converges to the unique positive equilibrium  $x$  with respect to  $D$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and converges to  $x$  with respect to  $D_1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

(ii) Suppose that (3.10) holds. Let  $x_n$  be a positive solution of (1.1) such that (2.14) holds. Since  $(L_{n,a}, R_{n,a})$  is a positive solution of the system which is defined by (3.7), (3.12), and (3.13), from Lemma 3.6, we have that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L_{\kappa nr+l,a} = \epsilon_{l,a}, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_{\kappa nr+l,a} = \xi_{l,a}, \quad a \in (0, 1], \quad l = 0, 1, \dots, \kappa r - 1, \tag{3.72}$$

where  $\kappa$  is defined in (3.44). Using (3.72) and arguing as in [15, Proposition 2], we can prove that every positive solution of (1.1) nearly converges to a period  $\kappa r$  solution of (1.1) with respect to  $D$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and converges to a period  $\kappa r$  solution of (1.1) with respect to  $D_1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus, the proof of the proposition is completed.  $\square$

From Propositions 3.2–3.8, it is obvious that (1.1) exhibits the trichotomy character described concentratively by the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 3.9. Consider the fuzzy difference equation (1.1), where  $k, m \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ , and  $A, c_i, d_j, i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ , are positive fuzzy numbers. Then (1.1) possesses the following trichotomy.

- (i) If relation (3.1) is satisfied, then (1.1) has unbounded solutions.
- (ii) If (3.10) holds and  $r$  is the greatest common divisor of the integers  $p_i + 1, q_j + 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, k, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , such that (3.36) holds, then every positive solution of (1.1) nearly converges to a period  $kr$  solution of (1.1) with respect to  $D$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and converges to a period  $kr$  solution of (1.1) with respect to  $D_1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .
- (iii) If (3.11) holds, then every positive solution of (1.1) nearly converges to the unique positive equilibrium  $x$  with respect to  $D$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and converges to  $x$  with respect to  $D_1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

In the next proposition, we study the asymptotic stability of the unique positive equilibrium of (1.1).

PROPOSITION 3.10. Consider the fuzzy difference equation (1.1), where  $k, m \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ ,  $A, c_i, d_j, i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ , are positive fuzzy numbers, and  $p_i, i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}, q_j, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ , are positive integers such that (3.11) holds. Suppose that there exists a positive number  $\theta$  such that

$$\theta < B, \quad Z < \frac{2B + C - \theta - \sqrt{(C - \theta)^2 + 4BC}}{2}, \tag{3.73}$$

where  $B, C$  are defined in (3.3) and  $Z$  is defined in (3.5). Then the unique positive equilibrium  $x$  of (1.1) is nearly asymptotically stable.

*Proof.* Since (3.11) holds, from Proposition 3.8, equation (1.1) has a unique positive equilibrium  $x$  which satisfies (2.15).

Let  $\epsilon$  be a positive real number. Since (3.18) holds, we can define the positive real number  $\delta$  as follows:

$$\delta < \min\{\epsilon, \lambda, \theta, B - Z\}. \tag{3.74}$$

Let  $x_n$  be a positive solution of (1.1) such that

$$D(x_{-i}, x) \leq \delta \leq \epsilon, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, \pi. \tag{3.75}$$

From (3.75), we have

$$|L_{-i,a} - L_a| \leq \delta, \quad |R_{-i,a} - R_a| \leq \delta, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, \pi, \quad a \in (0, 1]. \tag{3.76}$$

In addition, from (3.3), (3.7), (3.74), and (3.76) and since  $(L_a, R_a)$  satisfies (3.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} L_{1,a} - L_a &= A_{l,a} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k c_{i,l,a} L_{-p_i,a}}{\sum_{j=1}^m d_{j,r,a} R_{-q_j,a}} - L_a \leq A_{l,a} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k c_{i,l,a} (L_a + \delta)}{\sum_{j=1}^m d_{j,r,a} (R_a - \delta)} - L_a \\ &= \delta \frac{C_a - A_{l,a} + L_a}{R_a - \delta} \leq \delta \frac{R_a - (B - Z)}{R_a - \delta}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.77}$$



From (3.74) and (3.77), it is obvious that

$$|L_{1,a} - L_a| < \delta < \epsilon. \tag{3.78}$$

Moreover, arguing as above, we can easily prove that

$$R_{1,a} - R_a \leq \delta \frac{D_a - A_{r,a} + R_a}{L_a - \delta}. \tag{3.79}$$

We claim that

$$\theta < L_a - R_a + A_{r,a} - D_a, \quad a \in (0, 1]. \tag{3.80}$$

We fix an  $a \in (0, 1]$  and we consider the function

$$g(h) = \frac{A_{l,a}A_{r,a} - D_a h}{A_{r,a} - h} - \frac{A_{l,a}A_{r,a} - D_a h}{A_{l,a} - D_a} + A_{r,a} - D_a, \tag{3.81}$$

where  $h$  is a nonnegative real variable. Moreover, we consider the function

$$f(x, y, z) = \frac{x^2 - (2x + y)z + z^2}{x - z} - \theta, \tag{3.82}$$

where  $B \leq x \leq y \leq C$  and  $W \leq z \leq Z$ ,  $B, C$  (resp.,  $W, Z$ ) are defined in (3.3) (resp., (3.5)). Using (3.82), we can easily prove that the function  $f$  is increasing (resp., decreasing) (resp., decreasing) with respect to  $x$  (resp.,  $y$ ) (resp.,  $z$ ) for all  $y, z$  (resp.,  $x, z$ ) (resp.,  $x, y$ ) and so from (3.73),

$$f(x, y, z) > f(B, C, Z) = \frac{B^2 - (2B + C)Z + Z^2}{B - Z} - \theta > 0. \tag{3.83}$$

Therefore, from (3.3), (3.81), (3.82), and (3.83), we have

$$g(0) = f(A_{l,a}, A_{r,a}, D_a) + \theta > 0. \tag{3.84}$$

In addition, from (3.81), we can prove that  $g$  is an increasing function with respect to  $h$  and so we have  $g(0) < g(C_a)$ ,  $a \in (0, 1]$ . Therefore, from (3.70), (3.81), and (3.84), relation (3.80) is true. Hence, from (3.74), (3.79), and (3.80), we get

$$|R_{1,a} - R_a| < \delta < \epsilon. \tag{3.85}$$

From (3.7), (3.76), (3.78), and (3.85) and working inductively, we can easily prove that

$$|L_{n,a} - L_a| \leq \epsilon, \quad |R_{n,a} - R_a| \leq \epsilon, \quad a \in (0, 1], \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, \tag{3.86}$$

and so

$$D(x_n, x) \leq \epsilon, \quad n \geq 0. \tag{3.87}$$

Therefore, the positive equilibrium  $x$  is stable. Moreover, from Proposition 3.8, we have that every positive solution of (1.1) nearly tends to  $x$  with respect to  $D$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . So,  $x$  is nearly asymptotically stable. So, the proof of the proposition is completed.  $\square$

Finally, we study the oscillatory behavior of the positive solutions of the fuzzy difference equation

$$x_{n+1} = A + \frac{\sum_{s=0}^k c_{2s+1} x_{n-2s-1}}{\sum_{s=0}^k d_{2s+2} x_{n-2s}}, \tag{3.88}$$

where  $k$  is a positive integer, and  $A, c_{2s+1}, d_{2s+2}, s \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$ , are positive fuzzy numbers. Obviously, (3.88) is a special case of (1.1).

In what follows, we need to study the oscillatory behavior of the positive solutions of the system of ordinary difference equations

$$\begin{aligned} y_{n+1} &= B + \frac{\sum_{s=0}^k a_{2s+1} y_{n-2s-1}}{\sum_{s=0}^k b_{2s+2} z_{n-2s}}, \\ z_{n+1} &= C + \frac{\sum_{s=0}^k e_{2s+1} z_{n-2s-1}}{\sum_{s=0}^k h_{2s+2} y_{n-2s}}, \end{aligned} \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, \tag{3.89}$$

where  $k$  is a positive integer,  $B, C, a_{2s+1}, b_{2s+2}, e_{2s+1}, h_{2s+2}, s \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$ , are positive real constants, and the initial values  $y_j, z_j, j = -2k - 1, -2k, \dots, 0$ , are positive real numbers.

Let  $(y_n, z_n)$  be a positive solution of (3.89). We say that the solution  $(y_n, z_n)$  oscillates about  $(y, z), y, z \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , if for every  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exist  $s, m \in \mathbb{N}, s, m \geq n_0$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} (y_s - y)(y_m - y) &\leq 0, & (z_s - z)(z_m - z) &\leq 0, \\ (y_s - y)(z_s - z) &\geq 0, & (y_m - y)(z_m - z) &\geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.90}$$

LEMMA 3.11. Consider system (3.89), where  $k$  is a positive integer,  $B, C, a_{2s+1}, b_{2s+2}, e_{2s+1}, h_{2s+2}, s \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$ , are positive real constants, and the initial values  $y_j, z_j, j = -2k - 1, -2k, \dots, 0$ , are positive real numbers. A positive solution  $(y_n, z_n)$  of system (3.89) oscillates about the unique positive equilibrium  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  of system (3.89) if either the relations

$$\Lambda \geq \max \{ \Lambda_{1,s}, \Lambda_{2,s} \}, \quad \Delta \geq \max \{ \Delta_{1,s}, \Delta_{2,s} \}, \quad s = 0, 1, \dots, k, \tag{3.91}$$

or the relations

$$\Lambda \leq \min \{ \Lambda_{1,s}, \Lambda_{2,s} \}, \quad \Delta \leq \min \{ \Delta_{1,s}, \Delta_{2,s} \}, \quad s = 0, 1, \dots, k, \tag{3.92}$$

hold, where for  $s = 0, 1, \dots, k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda &= \frac{\sum_{s=0}^k e_{2s+1} z_{-2s-1}}{\sum_{s=0}^k h_{2s+2} y_{-2s}}, & \Delta &= \frac{\sum_{s=0}^k a_{2s+1} y_{-2s-1}}{\sum_{s=0}^k b_{2s+2} z_{-2s}}, \\ \Delta_{1,s} &= \frac{1}{a_{2s+1}} \left[ \mu \frac{\bar{y}}{\bar{z}} \left( \sum_{j=0}^s b_{2j+2} \bar{z} + \sum_{j=s+1}^k b_{2j+2} z_{-2j+2+2s} \right) - \left( \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} a_{2j+1} \bar{y} + \sum_{j=s+1}^k a_{2j+1} y_{-2j+1+2s} \right) \right] - B, \\ \Delta_{2,s} &= \frac{1}{h_{2s+2}} \left[ \frac{\bar{y}}{\lambda \bar{z}} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} e_{2j+1} \bar{z} + \sum_{j=s}^k e_{2j+1} z_{-2j+2s} \right) - \left( \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} h_{2j+2} \bar{y} + \sum_{j=s+1}^k h_{2j+2} y_{-2j+1+2s} \right) \right] - B, \\ \Lambda_{1,s} &= \frac{1}{e_{2s+1}} \left[ \lambda \frac{\bar{z}}{\bar{y}} \left( \sum_{j=0}^s h_{2j+2} \bar{y} + \sum_{j=s+1}^k h_{2j+2} y_{-2j+2+2s} \right) - \left( \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} e_{2j+1} \bar{z} + \sum_{j=s+1}^k e_{2j+1} z_{-2j+1+2s} \right) \right] - C, \\ \Lambda_{2,s} &= \frac{1}{b_{2s+2}} \left[ \frac{\bar{z}}{\mu \bar{y}} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} a_{2j+1} \bar{y} + \sum_{j=s}^k a_{2j+1} y_{-2j+2s} \right) - \left( \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} b_{2j+2} \bar{z} + \sum_{j=s+1}^k b_{2j+2} z_{-2j+1+2s} \right) \right] - C, \\ \lambda &= \frac{\sum_{s=0}^k e_{2s+1}}{\sum_{s=0}^k h_{2s+2}}, & \mu &= \frac{\sum_{s=0}^k a_{2s+1}}{\sum_{s=0}^k b_{2s+2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.93}$$

*Proof.* Suppose that (3.91) hold. We prove that for  $\rho = 0, 1, \dots, k$ ,

$$y_{2\rho+1} \geq \bar{y}, \quad z_{2\rho+1} \geq \bar{z}, \quad y_{2\rho+2} \leq \bar{y}, \quad z_{2\rho+2} \leq \bar{z}. \tag{3.94}$$

From (3.89) and (3.91), we have

$$\begin{aligned} y_1 &= B + \frac{\sum_{s=0}^k a_{2s+1} y_{-2s-1}}{\sum_{s=0}^k b_{2s+2} z_{-2s}} = B + \Delta \geq B + \Delta_{1,k} = \bar{y}, \\ z_1 &= C + \Lambda \geq C + \Lambda_{1,k} = \bar{z}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.95}$$

Since from (3.91),  $\Lambda \geq \Lambda_{2,0}$  and  $\Delta \geq \Delta_{2,0}$ , then from (3.89), we have

$$\begin{aligned} y_2 &= B + \frac{\sum_{s=0}^k a_{2s+1} y_{-2s}}{b_2 z_1 + \sum_{s=1}^k b_{2s+2} z_{1-2s}} \leq B + \frac{(C + \Lambda) b_2 + \sum_{s=1}^k b_{2s+2} z_{1-2s}}{b_2 z_1 + \sum_{s=1}^k b_{2s+2} z_{1-2s}} \frac{\mu \bar{y}}{\bar{z}} = B + \frac{\mu \bar{y}}{\bar{z}} = \bar{y}, \\ z_2 &\leq C + \frac{\lambda \bar{z}}{\bar{y}} = \bar{z}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.96}$$

Using (3.89), (3.91), (3.95), and (3.96), relations  $\Delta \geq \Delta_{1,\rho-1}$ ,  $\Lambda \geq \Lambda_{1,\rho-1}$  (resp.,  $\Delta \geq \Delta_{2,\rho}$ ,  $\Lambda \geq \Lambda_{2,\rho}$ ),  $\rho = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , and working inductively, we can easily prove (3.94) for  $\rho = 1, 2, \dots, k$ :

$$y_{2\rho+1} \geq \bar{y}, \quad z_{2\rho+1} \geq \bar{z} \quad (\text{resp., } y_{2\rho+2} \leq \bar{y}, z_{2\rho+2} \leq \bar{z}). \tag{3.97}$$

Therefore, (3.94) hold for  $\rho = 0, 1, \dots, k$ . Then since (3.94) hold for  $\rho = 0, 1, \dots, k$ , using (3.89) and working inductively, we can easily prove that (3.94) hold for any  $\rho = k + 1, k + 2, \dots$ , and so if (3.91) hold, the proof of the lemma is completed.  $\square$

Similarly, if (3.92) are satisfied, then we can easily prove that

$$y_{2\rho+1} \leq \bar{y}, \quad z_{2\rho+1} \leq \bar{z}, \quad y_{2\rho+2} \geq \bar{y}, \quad z_{2\rho+2} \geq \bar{z}, \quad \rho = 0, 1, \dots \quad (3.98)$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.

Using Lemma 3.11 and arguing as in [13, Proposition 2.4], we can easily prove the following proposition which concerns the oscillatory behavior of the positive solutions of the fuzzy difference equation (3.88).

**PROPOSITION 3.12.** *Consider (3.88), where  $k$  is a positive integer, and  $A, c_{2s+1}, d_{2s+2}, s \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$ , are positive fuzzy numbers. Then a positive solution  $x_n$  of (3.88) satisfying (2.14) oscillates about the positive equilibrium  $x$ , which satisfies (2.15) if, for any  $s = 0, 1, \dots, k$  and  $a \in (0, 1]$ , either the relations*

$$\bar{\Lambda}_a \geq \max \{ \bar{\Lambda}_{1,s,a}, \bar{\Lambda}_{2,s,a} \}, \quad \bar{\Delta}_a \geq \max \{ \bar{\Delta}_{1,s,a}, \bar{\Delta}_{2,s,a} \} \quad (3.99)$$

or the relations

$$\bar{\Lambda}_a \leq \min \{ \bar{\Lambda}_{1,s,a}, \bar{\Lambda}_{2,s,a} \}, \quad \bar{\Delta}_a \leq \min \{ \bar{\Delta}_{1,s,a}, \bar{\Delta}_{2,s,a} \} \quad (3.100)$$

hold, where  $\bar{\Lambda}_a, \bar{\Delta}_a, \bar{\Lambda}_{1,s,a}, \bar{\Lambda}_{2,s,a}, \bar{\Delta}_{1,s,a}, \bar{\Delta}_{2,s,a}$  are defined for the analogous system (3.7) in the same way as  $\Lambda, \Delta, \Lambda_{1,s}, \Lambda_{2,s}, \Delta_{1,s}, \Delta_{2,s}$  were defined in Lemma 3.11 for system (3.89).

Using Proposition 3.12, we take the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 3.13.** *Consider (3.88), where  $k$  is a positive integer, and  $A, c_{2s+1}, d_{2s+2}, s \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$ , are positive fuzzy numbers. Then a positive solution  $x_n$  of (3.88) satisfying (2.14) oscillates about the positive equilibrium  $x$ , which satisfies (2.15) if, for any  $p = 0, 1, \dots, k$  and  $a \in (0, 1]$ , either the relations*

$$\begin{aligned} L_{-2k-1+2p,a} &\geq L_a, & R_{-2k-1+2p,a} &\geq R_a, \\ L_{-2k+2p,a} &\leq L_a, & R_{-2k+2p,a} &\leq R_a \end{aligned} \quad (3.101)$$

or the relations

$$\begin{aligned} L_{-2k-1+2p,a} &\leq L_a, & R_{-2k-1+2p,a} &\leq R_a, \\ L_{-2k+2p,a} &\geq L_a, & R_{-2k+2p,a} &\geq R_a \end{aligned} \quad (3.102)$$

hold.

### Acknowledgment

This work is a part of the first author Doctoral thesis.

## References

- [1] R. P. Agarwal, *Difference Equations and Inequalities*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 155, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1992.
- [2] D. Benest and C. Froeschle, *Analysis and Modelling of Discrete Dynamical Systems*, Advances in Discrete Mathematics and Applications, vol. 1, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Amsterdam, 1998.
- [3] E. Y. Deeba and A. De Korvin, *Analysis by fuzzy difference equations of a model of CO<sub>2</sub> level in the blood*, Appl. Math. Lett. **12** (1999), no. 3, 33–40.
- [4] E. Y. Deeba, A. De Korvin, and E. L. Koh, *A fuzzy difference equation with an application*, J. Differ. Equations Appl. **2** (1996), no. 4, 365–374.
- [5] R. DeVault, G. Ladas, and S. W. Schultz, *On the recursive sequence  $x_{n+1} = A/x_n^p + B/x_{n-1}^q$* , Advances in Difference Equations (Veszprém, 1995), Gordon and Breach, Amsterdam, 1997, pp. 125–136.
- [6] ———, *On the recursive sequence  $x_{n+1} = A/x_n + 1/x_{n-2}$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **126** (1998), no. 11, 3257–3261.
- [7] L. Edelstein-Keshet, *Mathematical Models in Biology*, The Random House/Birkhäuser Mathematics Series, Random House, New York, 1988.
- [8] S. N. Elaydi, *An Introduction to Difference Equations*, Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.
- [9] S. Heilpern, *Fuzzy mappings and fixed point theorem*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **83** (1981), no. 2, 566–569.
- [10] G. J. Klir and B. Yuan, *Fuzzy Sets and Fuzzy Logic: Theory and Applications*, Prentice Hall PTR, New Jersey, 1995.
- [11] V. L. Kocić and G. Ladas, *Global Behavior of Nonlinear Difference Equations of Higher Order with Applications*, Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 256, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1993.
- [12] H. T. Nguyen and E. A. Walker, *A First Course in Fuzzy Logic*, CRC Press, Florida, 1997.
- [13] G. Papaschinopoulos and B. K. Papadopoulos, *On the fuzzy difference equation  $x_{n+1} = A + B/x_n$* , Soft Comput. **6** (2002), 436–440.
- [14] ———, *On the fuzzy difference equation  $x_{n+1} = A + x_n/x_{n-m}$* , Fuzzy Sets and Systems **129** (2002), no. 1, 73–81.
- [15] G. Papaschinopoulos and G. Stefanidou, *Boundedness and asymptotic behavior of the solutions of a fuzzy difference equation*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **140** (2003), no. 3, 523–539.
- [16] ———, *Trichotomy of a system of two difference equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **289** (2004), no. 1, 216–230.
- [17] M. L. Puri and D. A. Ralescu, *Differentials of fuzzy functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **91** (1983), no. 2, 552–558.
- [18] C. Wu and B. Zhang, *Embedding problem of noncompact fuzzy number space  $E^-$  (I)*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **105** (1999), no. 1, 165–169.

G. Stefanidou: Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Democritus University of Thrace, 67100 Xanthi, Greece

*E-mail address:* tfele@yahoo.gr

G. Papaschinopoulos: Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Democritus University of Thrace, 67100 Xanthi, Greece

*E-mail address:* gpapas@ee.duth.gr