

Research Article

Asymptotic Behavior of a Periodic Diffusion System

Songsong Li^{1,2} and Xiaofeng Hui¹

¹ School of Management, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150001, China

² School of Finance and Economics Management, Harbin University, Harbin 150086, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Songsong Li, songsong.li@qq.com

Received 26 June 2010; Accepted 25 August 2010

Academic Editor: P. J. Y. Wong

Copyright © 2010 S. Li and X. Hui. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We study the asymptotic behavior of the nonnegative solutions of a periodic reaction diffusion system. By obtaining a priori upper bound of the nonnegative periodic solutions of the corresponding periodic diffusion system, we establish the existence of the maximum periodic solution and the asymptotic boundedness of the nonnegative solutions of the initial boundary value problem.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the following periodic reaction diffusion system:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta u^{m_1} + b_1 u^{\alpha_1} v^{\beta_1}, \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+, \quad (1.1)$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \Delta u^{m_2} + b_2 u^{\alpha_2} v^{\beta_2}, \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+, \quad (1.2)$$

with initial boundary conditions

$$u(x, t) = v(x, t) = 0, \quad (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+, \quad (1.3)$$

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad v(x, 0) = v_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad (1.4)$$

where $m_1, m_2 > 1$, $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2 \geq 1$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded domain with a smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, $b_1 = b_1(x, t)$ and $b_2 = b_2(x, t)$ are nonnegative continuous functions and of T -periodic ($T > 0$) with respect to t , and u_0 and v_0 are nonnegative bounded smooth functions.

In dynamics of biological groups ([1, 2]), the system (1.1)-(1.2) was used to describe the interaction of two biological groups without self-limiting, where the diffusion terms reflect that the speed of the diffusion is slow. In addition, the system (1.1)-(1.2) can also be used to describe diffusion processes of heat and burning in mixed media with nonlinear conductivity and volume release, where the functions u, v can be treated as temperatures of interacting components in the combustible mixture [3].

For case of $m_1 = m_2 = 1$, we get the classical reaction diffusion system of Fujita type

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta u + u^{\alpha_1} v^{\beta_1}, \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \Delta v + u^{\alpha_2} v^{\beta_2}. \quad (1.5)$$

This type reaction diffusion system (1.5) models such as heat propagations in a two-component combustible mixture [4], chemical processes [5], and interaction of two biological groups without self-limiting [6, 7]. The problem about system (1.5) includes global existence and global existence numbers, blow-up, blow-up rates, blow-up sets, and uniqueness of weak solutions (see [8–10] and references therein).

In this paper, we will work on the diffusion system (1.1)-(1.2); for results about single equation, see [11–16] and so on. In the past two decades, the system (1.1)-(1.2) has been deeply investigated by many authors, and there have been much excellent works on the existence, uniqueness, regularity and some other qualitative properties of the weak solutions of the initial boundary value problem (see [17–22] and references therein). Maddalena [20] especially, established the existence and uniqueness of the solutions of the initial boundary value problem (1.1)–(1.4), and Wang [22] established the existence of the nonnegative nontrivial periodic solutions of the periodic boundary value problem (1.1)–(1.3) when $m_i > 1$, $\alpha_i, \beta_i \geq 1$, and $(\alpha_i/m_1) + (\beta_i/m_2) < 1, i = 1, 2$.

Our work is to consider the existence and attractivity of the maximal periodic solution of the problem (1.1)–(1.3). It should be remarked that our work is not a simple work. The main reason is that the degeneracy of (1.1), (1.2) makes the work of energy estimates more complicated. Since the equations have periodic sources, it is of no meaning to consider the steady state. So, we have to seek a new approach to describe the asymptotic behavior of the nonnegative solutions of the initial boundary value problem. Our idea is to consider all the nonnegative periodic solutions. We first establish some important estimations on the nonnegative periodic solutions. Then by the De Giorgi iteration technique, we provide a priori estimate of the nonnegative periodic solutions from the upper bound according to the maximum norm. These estimates are crucial for the proof of the existence of the maximal periodic solution and the asymptotic boundedness of the nonnegative solutions of the initial boundary value problem.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some necessary preliminaries and the statement of our main results. In Section 3, we give the proof of our main results.

2. Preliminary

In this section, as preliminaries, we present the definition of weak solutions and some useful principles. Since (1.1) and (1.2) are degenerated whenever $u = v = 0$, we focus our main efforts on the discussion of weak solutions.

Definition 2.1. A vector-valued function (u, v) is called to be a weak subsolution to the problem (1.1)–(1.4) in $Q_\tau = \Omega \times (0, \tau)$ with $\tau > 0$ if $|\nabla u^{m_1}|, |\nabla v^{m_2}| \in L^2(Q_\tau)$, and for any nonnegative function $\varphi \in C^1(\overline{Q_\tau})$ with $\varphi|_{\partial\Omega \times [0, \tau]} = 0$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} u(x, \tau)\varphi(x, \tau)dx - \int_{\Omega} u_0(x)\varphi(x, 0)dx - \iint_{Q_\tau} u \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t} dx dt \\ & \quad + \iint_{Q_\tau} \nabla u^{m_1} \nabla \varphi dx dt \geq \iint_{Q_\tau} b_1 u^{\alpha_1} v^{\beta_1} \varphi dx dt, \\ & \int_{\Omega} v(x, \tau)\varphi(x, \tau)dx - \int_{\Omega} v_0(x)\varphi(x, 0)dx - \iint_{Q_\tau} v \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t} dx dt \\ & \quad + \iint_{Q_\tau} \nabla v^{m_2} \nabla \varphi dx dt \geq \iint_{Q_\tau} b_2 u^{\alpha_2} v^{\beta_2} \varphi dx dt, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t) & \geq 0, \quad v(x, t) \geq 0, \quad (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, \tau), \\ u(x, 0) & \geq u_0(x), \quad v(x, 0) \geq v_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing “ \geq ” by “ \leq ” in the above inequalities follows the definition of a weak subsolution. Furthermore, if (u, v) is a weak supersolution as well as a weak subsolution, then we call it a weak solution of the problem (1.1)–(1.4).

Definition 2.2. A vector-valued function (u, v) is said to be a T -periodic solution of the problem (1.1)–(1.3) if it is a solution such that

$$u(\cdot, 0) = u(\cdot, T), \quad v(\cdot, 0) = v(\cdot, T) \quad \text{a.e in } \Omega. \tag{2.2}$$

A vector-valued function (\bar{u}, \bar{v}) is said to be a T -periodic supersolution of the problem (1.1)–(1.3) if it is a supersolution such that

$$\bar{u}(\cdot, 0) \geq \bar{u}(\cdot, T), \quad \bar{v}(\cdot, 0) \geq \bar{v}(\cdot, T) \quad \text{a.e in } \Omega. \tag{2.3}$$

A vector-valued function $(\underline{u}, \underline{v})$ is said to be a T -periodic subsolution of the problem (1.1)–(1.3) if it is a subsolution such that

$$\underline{u}(\cdot, 0) \leq \underline{u}(\cdot, T), \quad \underline{v}(\cdot, 0) \leq \underline{v}(\cdot, T) \quad \text{a.e in } \Omega. \tag{2.4}$$

A pair of supersolution (\bar{u}, \bar{v}) and subsolution $(\underline{u}, \underline{v})$ are called to be ordered if

$$\bar{u} \geq \underline{u}, \quad \bar{v} \geq \underline{v} \quad \text{a.e in } \overline{Q_T} = \overline{\Omega} \times (0, T). \tag{2.5}$$

Several properties of solutions of problem (1.1)–(1.4) are needed in this paper.

Lemma 2.3 (see [17]). *If $\alpha_i \geq 1, \beta_i \geq 1, (\alpha_i/m_1) + (\beta_i/m_2) < 1$ with $|\Omega| < M_0$ and M_0 is a constant depending on $m_i, \alpha_i, \beta_i, i = 1, 2$, then there exist global weak solutions to (1.1)–(1.4).*

Lemma 2.4 (see [20]). Letting $(\underline{u}, \underline{v})$ be a subsolution of the problem (1.1)–(1.4) with the initial value $(\underline{u}_0, \underline{v}_0)$, and letting (\bar{u}, \bar{v}) be a supersolution of the problem (1.1)–(1.4) with the initial value (\bar{u}_0, \bar{v}_0) , then $\underline{u} \leq \bar{u}$, $\underline{v} \leq \bar{v}$ a.e. in Q_T if $\underline{u}_0 \leq \bar{u}_0$, $\underline{v}_0 \leq \bar{v}_0$ a.e. in Ω .

Lemma 2.5 (regularity [23]). Let $u(x, t)$ be a weak solution of

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta u^m + f(x, t), \quad m > 1, \quad (2.6)$$

subject to the homogeneous Dirichlet condition (1.3). If $f \in L^\infty(Q_T)$, then there exist positive constants K and $\beta \in (0, 1)$ depending only upon $\tau \in (0, T)$ and $\|f\|_\infty$ such that for any $(x_1, t_1), (x_2, t_2) \in \bar{\Omega} \times [\tau, T]$, one has

$$|u(x_1, t_1) - u(x_2, t_2)| \leq K \left(|x_1 - x_2|^\beta + |t_1 - t_2|^{\beta/2} \right). \quad (2.7)$$

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 2.6. If $m_i > 1$, $\alpha_i \geq 1$, $\beta_i \geq 1$, and $(\alpha_i/m_1) + (\beta_i/m_2) < 1$ with $|\Omega| < M_0$ and M_0 is a constant depending on m_i, α_i, β_i , $i = 1, 2$, then problem (1.1)–(1.3) has a maximal periodic solution (U, V) which is positive in Ω^+ . Moreover, if (u, v) is the solution of the initial boundary value problem (1.1)–(1.4) with nonnegative initial value (u_0, v_0) , then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists t_1 depending on u_0 and ε , t_2 depending on v_0 and ε , such that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq u &\leq U + \varepsilon, & \text{for } x \in \Omega, t \geq t_1, \\ 0 \leq v &\leq V + \varepsilon, & \text{for } x \in \Omega, t \geq t_2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

3. The Main Results

In this section, we first show some important estimates on the solutions of the periodic problem (1.1)–(1.3). Then, by the De Giorgi iteration technique, we establish the a priori upper bound of periodic solutions of (1.1)–(1.3), which is used to show the existence of the maximal periodic solution of (1.1)–(1.3) and its attractivity with respect to the nonnegative solutions of the initial boundary value problem (1.1)–(1.4).

Lemma 3.1. Let (u, v) be nonnegative solution of (1.1)–(1.3). If $\alpha_i \geq 1$, $\beta_i \geq 1$, $(\alpha_i/m_1) + (\beta_i/m_2) < 1$ with $|\Omega| < M_0$ and M_0 is a constant depending on m_i, α_i, β_i , $i = 1, 2$, then there exists positive constants r and s large enough such that

$$\frac{\alpha_2}{m_2 - \beta_2} < \frac{m_1 + r - 1}{m_2 + s - 1} < \frac{m_1 - \alpha_1}{\beta_1}, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\|u\|_{L^r(Q_T)} \leq C, \quad \|v\|_{L^s(Q_T)} \leq C, \quad (3.2)$$

where $C > 0$ is a positive constant depending on $m_1, m_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2, r, s$, and $|\Omega|$.

Proof. For $r > 1$, multiplying (1.1) by u^{r-1} and integrating over Q_T , by the periodic boundary value condition, we have

$$\frac{4(r-1)m_1}{(m_1+r-1)^2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u^{(m_1+r-1)/2}|^2 dx dt = \iint_{Q_T} b_1(x,t) u^{\alpha_1+r-1} v^{\beta_1} dx dt, \quad (3.3)$$

that is,

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u^{(m_1+r-1)/2}|^2 dx dt \leq \frac{C_b(m_1+r-1)^2}{4(r-1)m_1} \iint_{Q_T} u^{\alpha_1+r-1} v^{\beta_1} dx dt, \quad (3.4)$$

where $C_b = b_1(x,t)$. By the Poincaré inequality, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon}^{m_1+r-1} dx \leq C \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}^{(m_1+r-1)/2}|^2 dx, \quad (3.5)$$

where C is a constant depending only on $|\Omega|$ and N . Notice that $(\alpha_1/m_1) + (\beta_1/m_2) < 1$ implies $\alpha_1 < m_1$. Furthermore, we have $\alpha_1 + r - 1 < m_1 + r - 1$. Then, by Young's inequality, we obtain

$$u^{\alpha_1+r-1} v^{\beta_1} \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{(r-1)m_1}{CC_b} \left(\frac{2}{m_1+r-1} \right)^2 u^{m_1+r-1} + C_1 v^{\beta_1(m_1+r-1)/(m_1-\alpha_1)}, \quad (3.6)$$

where C_1 is the constant of Young's inequality. Then, from (3.4), we have

$$\iint_{Q_T} u^{m_1+r-1} dx dt \leq \frac{1}{2} \iint_{Q_T} u^{m_1+r-1} dx dt + C_1 \iint_{Q_T} v^{\beta_1(m_1+r-1)/(m_1-\alpha_1)} dx dt, \quad (3.7)$$

that is,

$$\iint_{Q_T} u^{m_1+r-1} dx dt \leq C_1 \iint_{Q_T} v^{\beta_1(m_1+r-1)/(m_1-\alpha_1)} dx dt. \quad (3.8)$$

Similarly, we get an estimate for v^s with $s > 1$, that is,

$$\iint_{Q_T} v^{m_2+s-1} dx dt \leq C_2 \iint_{Q_T} u^{\alpha_2(m_2+s-1)/(m_2-\beta_2)} dx dt. \quad (3.9)$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{Q_T} u^{m_1+r-1} dx dt + \iint_{Q_T} v^{m_2+s-1} dx dt \\ & \leq C_1 \iint_{Q_T} v^{\beta_1(m_1+r-1)/(m_1-\alpha_1)} dx dt + C_2 \iint_{Q_T} u^{\alpha_2(m_2+s-1)/(m_2-\beta_2)} dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Notice that, $(\alpha_i/m_1) + (\beta_i/m_2) < 1, i = 1, 2$, implies $\alpha_2\beta_1 < (m_1 - \alpha_1)(m_2 - \beta_2)$. Then there exist $r \geq \max\{2(m_1 + \alpha_1), 2\alpha_2\}$ and $s \geq \max\{2(m_2 + \beta_2), 2\beta_1\}$ such that

$$\frac{\beta_1}{m_1 - \alpha_1} < \frac{m_2 + s - 1}{m_1 + r - 1} < \frac{m_2 - \beta_2}{\alpha_2}. \quad (3.11)$$

By Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{Q_T} u^{\alpha_2(m_2+s-1)/(m_2-\beta_2)} dx dt &\leq \frac{1}{2C_2} \iint_{Q_T} u^{m_1+r-1} dx dt + C|Q_T|, \\ \iint_{Q_T} v^{\beta_1(m_1+r-1)/(m_1-\alpha_1)} dx dt &\leq \frac{1}{2C_1} \iint_{Q_T} v^{m_2+s-1} dx dt + C|Q_T|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Together with (3.10), we obtain

$$\iint_{Q_T} u^{m_1+r-1} dx dt + \iint_{Q_T} v^{m_2+s-1} dx dt \leq C. \quad (3.13)$$

Thus, we prove the inequality (3.2). \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let (u, v) be nonnegative solution of (1.1)–(1.3). If $\alpha_i \geq 1, \beta_i \geq 1, (\alpha_i/m_1) + (\beta_i/m_2) < 1$ with $|\Omega| < M_0$ and M_0 is a constant depending on $m_i, \alpha_i, \beta_i, i = 1, 2$, then one has*

$$\iint_{Q_T} |\nabla u^{m_1}|^2 dx dt \leq C, \quad \iint_{Q_T} |\nabla v^{m_2}|^2 dx dt \leq C, \quad (3.14)$$

where $C > 0$ is a positive constant depending on $m_1, m_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2, r, s$, and $|\Omega|$.

Proof. Multiplying (1.1) by u^{m_1} and integrating over Q_T , by Hölder's equality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{Q_T} |\nabla u^{m_1}|^2 dx dt &\leq \iint_{Q_T} u^{\alpha_1+m_1} v^{\beta_1} dx dt \\ &\leq \left(\iint_{Q_T} u^{2(\alpha_1+m_1)} dx dt \right)^{1/2} \left(\iint_{Q_T} v^{2\beta_1} dx dt \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Taking $r \geq \max\{2(\alpha_1 + m_1), 2\beta_2\}, s \geq \max\{2(\beta_2 + m_2), 2\alpha_1\}$, by Lemma 3.1, we can obtain the first inequality in (3.14). The same is true for the second inequality in (3.14). \square

Before we show the uniform super bound of maximum modulus, we first introduce a lemma as follows (see [24]).

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose that a sequence $y_h, h = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ of nonnegative numbers satisfies the recursion relation*

$$y_{h+1} \leq cb^h y_h^{1+\varepsilon}, \quad h = 0, 1, \dots, \quad (3.16)$$

with some positive constants c, ε and $b \geq 1$. Then,

$$y_h \leq c^{((1+\varepsilon)^h-1)/\varepsilon} b^{((1+\varepsilon)^h-1)/\varepsilon^2-h/\varepsilon} y_0^{(1+\varepsilon)^h}. \quad (3.17)$$

In particular, if

$$y_0 \leq \theta = c^{-1/\varepsilon} b^{-1/\varepsilon^2}, \quad b > 1, \quad (3.18)$$

then,

$$y_h \leq \theta b^{-h/\varepsilon}, \quad (3.19)$$

and consequently $y_h \rightarrow 0$ for $h \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 3.4. Let (u, v) be a solution of (1.1)–(1.3). If $\alpha_i \geq 1$, $\beta_i \geq 1$, $(\alpha_i/m_1) + (\beta_i/m_2) < 1$ with $|\Omega| < M_0$ and M_0 is a constant depending on $m_i, \alpha_i, \beta_i, i = 1, 2$, then there is a positive constant C such that

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)} \leq C, \quad \|v\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)} \leq C. \quad (3.20)$$

Proof. Let k be a positive constant. Multiplying (1.1) by $(u - k)_+^{m_1}$ and integrating over Q_T , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{m_1 + 1} \iint_{Q_T} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (u - k)_+^{m_1+1} dx dt + \iint_{Q_T} |\nabla (u - k)_+^{m_1}|^2 dx dt \\ &= \iint_{Q_T} b_1(x, t) u^{\alpha_1} v^{\beta_1} (u - k)_+^{m_1} dx dt, \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

where $s_+ = \max\{s, 0\}$. Denote that $\mu(k) = \text{mes}\{(x, t) \in Q_T : u(x, t) > k\}$. By Lemma 3.1 (with r and s large enough) and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{m_1 + 1} \iint_{Q_T} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (u - k)_+^{m_1+1} dx dt + \iint_{Q_T} |\nabla (u - k)_+^{m_1}|^2 dx dt \\ & \leq C \left(\iint_{Q_T} (u^{\alpha_1} v^{\beta_1})^{\xi'} dx dt \right)^{\xi'} \left(\iint_{Q_T} (u - k)_+^{m_1 \xi} dx dt \right)^{1/\xi} \\ & \leq C \left(\iint_{Q_T} (u - k)_+^{m_1 \xi \eta} dx dt \right)^{1/\xi \eta} \mu(k)^{(1-1/\eta)(1/\xi)}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

where $\xi, \eta > 1$ are to be determined. Using the Nirenberg-Gagliardo inequality with Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\left(\iint_{Q_r} (u - k)_+^{m_1 \xi \eta} dx dt \right)^{1/\xi \eta} \leq C \left(\iint_{Q_r} |\nabla (u - k)_+^{m_1}|^2 dx dt \right)^{\theta/2}, \quad (3.23)$$

where

$$\theta = \left(1 - \frac{1}{\xi \eta} \right) \left(\frac{1}{N} - \frac{1}{2} + 1 \right)^{-1} \in (0, 1). \quad (3.24)$$

Substituting (3.22) and (3.23) in (3.21), we have

$$\iint_{Q_r} |\nabla (u - k)_+^{m_1}|^2 dx dt \leq C \left(\iint_{Q_r} |\nabla (u - k)_+^{m_1}|^2 dx dt \right)^{\theta/2} \mu(k)^{(1-1/\eta)(1/\xi)}. \quad (3.25)$$

Setting

$$w(k) = \iint_{Q_r} |\nabla (u - k)_+^{m_1}|^2 dx dt, \quad (3.26)$$

from (3.25) we obtain

$$w(k) \leq C \mu(k)^{(2/(2-\theta))(1-1/\eta)(1/\xi)}. \quad (3.27)$$

Take $k_h = M(2 - 2^{-h})$, $h = 0, 1, \dots$, and $M > 0$ is to be determined. Then, we have

$$(k_{h+1} - k_h)^{m_1 \xi \eta} \mu(k_{h+1}) \leq \iint_{Q_r} (u - k_h)_+^{m_1 \xi \eta} dx dt \leq C w(k_h)^{\xi \eta / 2}. \quad (3.28)$$

From (3.26), we have

$$\mu(k_{h+1}) \leq C 2^{hm_1 \xi \eta} \mu(k_h)^{\theta(\eta-1)/(2-\theta)} = C b^h \mu(k_h)^\gamma, \quad (3.29)$$

where $b = 2^{m_1 \xi \eta}$ and $\gamma = (\eta - 1)(\xi \eta - 1)N / (2\xi \eta + N)$. For any constant $\xi > 1$, take η to be a positive constant satisfying

$$\eta > \max \left\{ 2, \frac{2\xi + N}{\xi N} - 1 \right\}, \quad (3.30)$$

then we have $\gamma > 1$. By Lemma 3.1, we can select M large enough such that

$$\mu(k_0) = \mu(M) \leq C^{-1/(\gamma-1)} 4^{-1/(\gamma-1)^2}. \quad (3.31)$$

According to Lemma 3.3, we have $\mu(k_h) \rightarrow 0$, as $h \rightarrow +\infty$, which implies that $u(x, t) \leq 2M$ in Q_T . The uniform estimate for $\|v(x, t)\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)}$ may be obtained by a similar method. The proof is completed. \square

Let μ, φ be the first eigenvalue and its corresponding eigenfunction to the Laplacian operator $-\Delta$ on some domain $\Omega' \supset \Omega$ with respect to homogeneous Dirichlet data. It is clear that $\varphi(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$.

Now we give the proof of the main results of this paper.

Proof of Theorem 2.6. We first establish the existence of the maximal periodic solution $(U(x, t), V(x, t))$ of the problem (1.1)–(1.3). Define the Poincaré mapping

$$T = (T_1, T_2) : C(\bar{\Omega}) \times C(\bar{\Omega}) \longrightarrow C(\bar{\Omega}) \times C(\bar{\Omega}), \tag{3.32}$$

$$T(u_0(x), v_0(x)) = (u(x, T), v(x, T)),$$

where $(u(x, t), v(x, t))$ is the solution of the initial boundary value problem (1.1)–(1.4) with initial value $(u_0(x), v_0(x))$. A similar argument as that in [22] shows that the map T is well defined.

Let $(u_n(x, t), v_n(x, t))$ be the solution of the problem (1.1)–(1.4) with initial value

$$(u_0(x), v_0(x)) = (\bar{u}(x), \bar{v}(x)) = (K_1\varphi_1, K_2\varphi_2), \tag{3.33}$$

where K_1, K_2, φ_1 , and φ_2 are taken as those in [22]. Then, by comparison principle, we have

$$(u_n(x, T), v_n(x, T)) = T^n(\bar{u}(x), \bar{v}(x)), \tag{3.34}$$

$$u_{n+1}(x, t) \leq u_n(x, t) \leq \bar{u}(x), \quad v_{n+1}(x, t) \leq v_n(x, t) \leq \bar{v}(x).$$

A standard argument shows that there exist $(u^*(x), v^*(x)) \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \times C(\bar{\Omega})$ and a subsequence of $\{T^n(\bar{u}(x))\}$, denoted by itself for simplicity, such that

$$(u^*(x), v^*(x)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n(\bar{u}(x), \bar{v}(x)). \tag{3.35}$$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1 in [25], we can prove that $(U(x, t), V(x, t))$, which is the even extension of the solution of the initial boundary value (1.1)–(1.4) with the initial value $(u^*(x), v^*(x))$, is a periodic solution of (1.1)–(1.3). For any nonnegative periodic solution $(u(x, t), v(x, t))$ of (1.1)–(1.3), by Lemma 3.4, we have

$$u(x, t) \leq C_0, \quad v(x, t) \leq C_0 \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in Q_T. \tag{3.36}$$

Taking

$$K_1 \geq \frac{C_0}{\min_{x \in \Omega} \varphi_1^{1/m_1}(x)}, \quad K_2 \geq \frac{C_0}{\min_{x \in \Omega} \varphi_2^{1/m_2}(x)}, \tag{3.37}$$

to be combined with the comparison principle and $u^*(x) \geq u(x, 0), v^*(x) \geq v(x, 0)$, then we obtain $U(x, t) \geq u(x, t), V(x, t) \geq v(x, t)$, which implies that $(U(x, t), V(x, t))$ is the maximal periodic solution of (1.1)–(1.3).

For any given nonnegative initial value $(u_0(x), v_0(x))$, let $(u(x, t), v(x, t))$ be the solution of the initial boundary problem (1.1)–(1.4), and let $(\omega_1(x, t), \omega_2(x, t))$ be the solution of (1.1)–(1.4) with initial value $(\omega_1(x, 0), \omega_2(x, 0)) = (R_1\varphi_1(x), R_2\varphi_2(x))$, where R_1, R_2 satisfy the same conditions as K_1, K_2 and

$$R_1 \geq \frac{\|u_0\|_{L^\infty}}{\min_{x \in \Omega} \varphi_1^{1/m_1}(x)}, \quad R_2 \geq \frac{\|v_0\|_{L^\infty}}{\min_{x \in \Omega} \varphi_2^{1/m_2}(x)}. \quad (3.38)$$

For any $(x, t) \in Q_T, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, we have

$$u(x, t + kT) \leq \omega_1(x, t + kT), \quad v(x, t + kT) \leq \omega_2(x, t + kT). \quad (3.39)$$

A similar argument as that in [25] shows that

$$(\omega_1^*(x, t), \omega_2^*(x, t)) = \left(\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \omega_1(x, t + kT), \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \omega_2(x, t + kT) \right), \quad (3.40)$$

and $(\omega_1^*(x, t), \omega_2^*(x, t))$ is a nontrivial nonnegative periodic solution of (1.1)–(1.3). Therefore, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists k_0 such that

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t + kT) &\leq \omega_1^*(x, t) + \varepsilon \leq U(x, t) + \varepsilon, \\ v(x, t + kT) &\leq \omega_2^*(x, t) + \varepsilon \leq V(x, t) + \varepsilon, \end{aligned} \quad (3.41)$$

for any $k \geq k_0$ and $(x, t) \in \overline{Q_T}$. Taking the periodicity of $\omega_1^*(x, t), \omega_2^*(x, t), U(x, t)$, and $V(x, t)$ into account, the proof of the theorem is completed. \square

References

- [1] H. Meinhardt, *Models of Biological Pattern Formation*, Academic Press, London, UK, 1982.
- [2] Yu. M. Romanovskii, N. V. Stepanova, and D. S. Chernavskii, *Matematicheskaya Biofizika*, Nauka, Moscow, Russia, 1984.
- [3] J. Bebernes and D. Eberly, *Mathematical Problems from Combustion Theory*, vol. 83 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 1989.
- [4] M. Escobedo and M. A. Herrero, "Boundedness and blow up for a semilinear reaction-diffusion system," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 89, no. 1, pp. 176–202, 1991.
- [5] P. Glansdorff and I. Prigogine, *Thermodynamic Theory of Structure, Stability and Fluctuation*, Wiley-Interscience, London, UK, 1971.
- [6] R. C. Cantrell, C. Cosner, and V. Hutson, "Permanence in ecological systems with spatial heterogeneity," *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh. A*, vol. 123, no. 3, pp. 533–559, 1993.
- [7] H. Meinhardt, *Models of Biological Pattern Formation*, Academic Press, London, UK, 1982.
- [8] G. Caristi and E. Mitidieri, "Blow-up estimates of positive solutions of a parabolic system," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 113, no. 2, pp. 265–271, 1994.
- [9] H. W. Chen, "Global existence and blow-up for a nonlinear reaction-diffusion system," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 212, no. 2, pp. 481–492, 1997.

- [10] S. Zheng, "Global existence and global non-existence of solutions to a reaction-diffusion system," *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 327–340, 2000.
- [11] P. Hess, M. A. Pozio, and A. Tesei, "Time periodic solutions for a class of degenerate parabolic problems," *Houston Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 367–394, 1995.
- [12] J. B. Sun, B. Y. Wu, and D. Z. Zhang, "Asymptotic behavior of solutions of a periodic diffusion equation," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2010, Article ID 597569, 12 pages, 2010.
- [13] R. Huang, Y. Wang, and Y. Y. Ke, "Existence of non-trivial nonnegative periodic solutions for a class of degenerate parabolic equations with nonlocal terms," *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems. Series B*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 1005–1014, 2005.
- [14] J. X. Yin and Y. F. Wang, "Asymptotic behaviour of solutions for porous medium equation with periodic absorption," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 35–44, 2001.
- [15] J. Zhou and C. L. Mu, "Time periodic solutions of porous medium equation," *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*.
- [16] Y. F. Wang, J. X. Yin, and Z. Q. Wu, "Periodic solutions of porous medium equations with weakly nonlinear sources," *Northeastern Mathematical Journal*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 475–483, 2000.
- [17] P. D. Lei and S. Zheng, "Global and nonglobal weak solutions to a degenerate parabolic system," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 324, no. 1, pp. 177–198, 2006.
- [18] W. Deng, "Global existence and finite time blow up for a degenerate reaction-diffusion system," *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 60, no. 5, pp. 977–991, 2005.
- [19] V. A. Galaktionov, S. P. Kurdyumov, and A. A. Samarskiĭ, "A parabolic system of quasilinear equations. I," *Differential Equations*, vol. 19, no. 12, pp. 2123–2140, 1983.
- [20] L. Maddalena, "Existence of global solution for reaction-diffusion systems with density dependent diffusion," *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 8, no. 11, pp. 1383–1394, 1984.
- [21] V. A. Galaktionov, S. P. Kurdyumov, and A. A. Samarskiĭ, "A parabolic system of quasilinear equations. II," *Differential Equations*, vol. 21, no. 9, pp. 1049–1062, 1985.
- [22] Y. F. Wang, *Periodic solutions of nonlinear diffusion equations*, Doctor Thesis, Jilin University, 1997.
- [23] E. DiBenedetto, "Continuity of weak solutions to a general porous medium equation," *Indiana University Mathematics Journal*, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 83–118, 1983.
- [24] O. Ladyzenskaja, V. Solonnikov, and N. Ural'tseva, "Linear and quasilinear equations of parabolic type," in *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*, vol. 23, American Mathematical Society, Berlin, Germany, 1968.
- [25] H. Amann, "Periodic solutions of semilinear parabolic equations," in *Nonlinear Analysis (Collection of Papers in Honor of Erich H. Rothe)*, Cesari, Kannan, and Weinberger, Eds., pp. 1–29, Academic Press, New York, NY, USA, 1978.