



Whom actually do multipole moments belong to?

J. L. Hernández–Pastora^a

Departamento de Matemática Aplicada, Facultad de Ciencias and Instituto Universitario de Física Fundamental y Matemáticas, Universidad de Salamanca, Salamanca, Spain

Received: 27 January 2022 / Accepted: 7 March 2022 / Published online: 14 March 2022
© The Author(s) 2022

Abstract Using an integral definition given in Hernández–Pastora et al. (Class Quantum Gravity 33:225009, 2016) to calculate the relativistic multipole moments (RMM), and the ensuing generalized relativistic Gauss theorem, we prove that the evaluation of that volume integral in Erez–Rosen coordinates, leads to a specific link between the RMM and the source of the exterior space–time, provided we have a global static axisymmetric metric in that coordinate system for any Weyl exterior field. This result allows to establish a relationship between the RMM and certain volume integral expressions involving the material content of the source from its energy–momentum tensor as well as the interior metric. In particular the relativistic quadrupole moment for the Erez–Rosen space–time is obtained.

1 Introduction

Starting from an interior metric of a known relativistic source, the gravitational field of that source is unique, and is described by a solution of the vacuum Einstein equations, which matches satisfactorily on the boundary that delimits the compact gravitational object. By studying such outer metric it is possible to obtain information about the source, and this is something to which many research papers have been devoted. In particular, one technique that has proved very useful is to use the RMM to describe the field or its gravitational effects on test particles in the presence of such fields. Thus, for example, we were able to distinguish sources by studying gyroscopic precession [1–3], geodesics [4], as well as the study of circular orbits and ISCOs [5,6], or gravitational radiation [7] or collapse processes [8], or even to obtain vacuum solutions with a prescribed set of RMM [9].

On the contrary, if one has at the beginning a known vacuum solution and tries to determine the source, one finds that there are an infinite number of possible interior metrics and

distributions of different matter matching with that exterior. What has been attempted in many lines of research is to try to relate the RMM, which were so useful in describing the external gravitational field, to the source [10]. In this line of work a recent result was obtained in [11,12] where interior metrics are computed and properly matched for any of the external Weyl metrics (vacuum solutions with static and axial symmetries) [13–16] as well as stationary axial (in particular Kerr). The relevance of the result is enhanced by the fact that the inner line element is constructed in terms of the external metric functions evaluated on the boundary, so that we relate the interior of the source (as well as its momentum energy tensor by means of the Einstein equations) to the gravitational field.

The question arising here is: whom actually do the RMM belong to? Do they belong to the exterior or interior metric?. The answer to that question is clear: RMM are quantities belonging to the global solution at the whole space–time, in such a way that every interior solution appropriately matched with a vacuum solution automatically assume those RMM. Arguments and specific calculations to prove this assert will be done in the next sections. The fact is that the RMM have been defined in the literature [17–20], by means of the exterior metric (Geroch, Hansen, Fodor–Hoenselaers–Perjes method, Thorne...) as well as the result obtained in [21]. A relationship between those quantities and the material content of the source would provide the RMM with interesting physical meaning.

In a recent paper a relativistic generalized Gauss theorem (RGGT) [21] was presented which allows to calculate the RMM defined by Geroch [17] and Thorne [18], through a specific integral definition trying to generalize the newtonian scenario for that quantities in terms of the source. Such a calculation is possible if we know the expression in harmonic coordinates of the metric at infinity, and then the volume integral defining the RMM can be calculated, using the RGGT, as an integral over the surface at infinity.

^a e-mail: jlhp@usal.es (corresponding author)

The aim of this paper is to use that volume integral, which can be explicitly calculated once we know the interior metric matching the vacuum Weyl family of solutions, to link the RMM to the source of the exterior field (to the energy–momentum components as well as the interior metric). Doing so we will be able to connect the RMM that characterize the space–time with physical properties of the source by means of specific integral expressions inside the boundary of the compact object. Although very different in its presentation, this program is somehow similar to the one presented in [22].

To achieve our goal we shall extensively use a general method to construct global static axially symmetric solutions to Einstein equations deployed in [11]. A very brief review of this method is presented in the next section, all the details may be found in that reference.

Section 3 is devoted to explicitly calculate the integral definition of RMM both in its volume or surface integral version, providing so a prove of the relativistic Gauss theorem. The result obtained previously is use in Sect. 4 to establish a relationship between RMM and the source, and some examples are outlined in Sect. 5.

2 The global static and axisymmetric metric in Erez–Rosen coordinates

We shall write the global static and axisymmetric line element in Erez–Rosen coordinates [23] as:

$$ds^2 = -e^{2\sigma} dt^2 + e^{2\nu} dr^2 + e^{2\eta} r^2 d\theta^2 + e^{-2\mu} r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2, \tag{1}$$

where the metric functions depend on r and θ , and are defined as follows

$$e^{2\sigma} = \begin{cases} e^{2\hat{a}} Z^2, & r \leq r_\Sigma \\ e^{2\psi}, & r \geq r_\Sigma \end{cases}, \quad e^{2\nu} = \begin{cases} \frac{e^{2\hat{g}-2\hat{a}}}{r^3}, & r \leq r_\Sigma \\ e^{-2\hat{\psi}+2\hat{\gamma}}, & r \geq r_\Sigma \end{cases}$$

$$e^{2\eta} = \begin{cases} e^{2\hat{g}-2\hat{a}}, & r \leq r_\Sigma \\ e^{-2\hat{\psi}+2\hat{\gamma}}, & r \geq r_\Sigma \end{cases}, \quad e^{-2\mu} = \begin{cases} e^{-2\hat{a}}, & r \leq r_\Sigma \\ e^{-2\hat{\psi}}, & r \geq r_\Sigma \end{cases}$$

where the boundary surface of the source is defined by a constant value r_Σ of the radial coordinate, $r = r_\Sigma$. $A \equiv 1 - \frac{2Mr^2}{r_\Sigma^3}$, and $Z = \frac{3}{2}A(r_\Sigma) - \frac{1}{2}A$, M being the mass. $\hat{\gamma} \equiv \gamma - \gamma_s$, $\hat{\psi} \equiv \psi - \psi_s$, where ψ, γ are any metric functions of the Weyl family of vacuum solutions, γ_s, ψ_s being the corresponding metric functions of the Schwarzschild solution. \hat{a}, \hat{g} are functions in the variables (r, θ) suitable constructed in [11] in order to guarantee a good physical behaviour of the energy–momentum tensor and the matching (Darmois) conditions.

That function $\hat{a} = a - a_s$ is constructed in such a way that $a(r_\Sigma) = \psi(r_\Sigma)$, $a_s(r_\Sigma) = \psi_s(r_\Sigma)$, also $\hat{g} = g - g_s$ is such that $g(r_\Sigma) = \gamma(r_\Sigma)$, $g_s(r_\Sigma) = \gamma_s(r_\Sigma)$.

The general solution for the exterior metric function is (Weyl family) [13], [16]

$$\psi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} q_n Q_n(x) P_n(y), \tag{2}$$

where $P_n(\cos \theta)$ are Legendre Polynomials, $Q_n(x)$ (with $x \equiv (r - M)/M$) are Legendre functions of second kind and q_n a set of arbitrary constants. The relationship between the canonical Weyl coordinates $\{R, \omega = \cos \Theta\}$ and the Erez–Rosen $\{r, y = \cos \theta\}$ system of coordinates is as follows

$$R = \sqrt{(r - M)^2 - M^2(1 - y^2)},$$

$$\omega = y \frac{(r - M)}{R}. \tag{3}$$

In addition, to assure a good behaviour of the physical variables at the center of the inner distribution we shall demand (the field equations have been used): $\hat{a}'_0 = \hat{a}_{,\theta 0} = \hat{a}_{,\theta\theta 0} = \hat{a}'_{,\theta 0 0} = \hat{a}''_{,\theta 0 0} = 0$, $\hat{g}'_0 = \hat{g}_{,\theta 0} = \hat{g}_{,\theta\theta 0} = \hat{g}'_{,\theta 0} = \hat{g}''_{,\theta 0} = 0$, $\hat{g}''_0 = \hat{g}''_{,\theta 0} = 0$, where prime denotes derivative with respect to r , and the subscript θ denotes derivative with respect to θ , and the subscript 0 indicates that the quantity is evaluated at the center of the distribution. With all these considerations we get for the metric functions the following expressions [11]:

$$\hat{a}(r, \theta) = \hat{\psi}_\Sigma s^2(3 - 2s) + r_\Sigma \hat{\psi}'_\Sigma s^2(s - 1) + \mathbb{F},$$

$$\hat{g}(r, \theta) = \hat{\Gamma}_\Sigma s^3(4 - 3s) + r_\Sigma \hat{\Gamma}'_\Sigma s^3(s - 1) + \mathbb{G}. \tag{4}$$

with $s \equiv r/r_\Sigma \in [0, 1]$ and $\mathbb{F} \equiv (r - r_\Sigma)^2 F(r, \theta)$, $\mathbb{G} \equiv (r - r_\Sigma)^2 G(r, \theta)$ arbitrary functions with the following constraints: $F(0, \theta) = F'(0, \theta) = 0$, $G(0, \theta) = G'(0, \theta) = G''(0, \theta) = 0$.

These metric functions, satisfy the junction conditions and produce physical variables which are regular within the fluid distribution. Furthermore the vanishing of \hat{g} on the axis of symmetry, as required by the regularity conditions, necessary to ensure elementary flatness in the vicinity of the axis of symmetry, and in particular at the center, is assured by the fact that $\hat{\Gamma}_\Sigma$ and $\hat{\Gamma}'_\Sigma$ vanish on the axis of symmetry.

Even more, at this level of generality we can assure that the junction conditions imply the vanishing of the radial pressure $(P_{rr} \equiv g_{rr} T^1_1)_\Sigma = 0$ at the boundary, and it can be shown that T^2_1 vanishes on the boundary surface as well [11].

When $\hat{a} = \hat{g} = 0$ we recover the spherical case of a perfect fluid with isotropic pressures:

$$ds^2_I = -Z(r)^2 dt^2 + \frac{1}{A(r)} dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2),$$

$$ds^2_E = -\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{1 - \frac{2M}{r}} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2). \tag{5}$$

Thus, the global line element (1) describes in the vacuum any solution of the Weyl family (ψ, γ) and a good behaved interior solution with an isotropic perfect fluid limit when matching with Schwarzschild space–time.

In [11] the case $F = G = 0$ was studied for some examples, in particular the resulting sources for the exterior field of the MQ¹ [9] and Zipoy–Vorhees [24, 25] solutions.

Now, the point is that, for any exterior gravitational field an infinite number of sources exist. Accordingly the obvious question arises: how can we relate the possible sources of a given exterior solution belonging to the Weyl family with the multipole structure of the latter? In what follows we shall see how to answer to the above question by using the RGGT and the definition of RMM given in [21].

3 The integral definition of RMM

A definition of multipole moments was introduced in [21] for axially symmetric space–times by means of the following integral

$$I_n = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_V \left[H_n \hat{\Delta} \xi - \xi \hat{\Delta} (H_n) \right] \sqrt{\hat{g}} d^3 \mathbf{x}, \tag{6}$$

where the volume of integration must be extended to the whole space, and the following notation is used:

$$H_n \equiv \frac{(2n - 1)!!}{n!} x^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} e_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}, \quad \xi \equiv \sqrt{-g_{00}}, \tag{7}$$

where $e^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} \equiv (e^{i_1} e^{i_2} \dots e^{i_n})^{TF}$ with e^k being the unit vector along the positive direction of the symmetry axis, and TF denoting its trace free part. The Laplacian operator is denoted by $\hat{\Delta} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{\hat{g}}} \partial_k \left(\sqrt{\hat{g}} \hat{g}^{kj} \partial_j \right)$, and \hat{g} is the determinant of the three-dimensional metric.

Now, the crucial point here is that the integrand in (6) is a divergence (see [21] for details)

$$\left[H_n \hat{\Delta} \xi - \xi \hat{\Delta} (H_n) \right] \sqrt{\hat{g}} = \partial_k \left[\sqrt{\hat{g}} \left(H_n \hat{g}^{kj} \partial_j \xi - \xi \hat{g}^{kj} \partial_j H_n \right) \right], \tag{8}$$

and accordingly, that integral can be evaluated either as a volume integral (6), or as a surface integral:

$$I_n = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\partial V} \left[H_n \hat{g}^{kj} \partial_j \xi - \xi \hat{g}^{kj} \partial_j H_n \right] d\sigma_k, \tag{9}$$

∂V being the boundary and $d\sigma_k$ its corresponding surface element.

Two comments are in order at this point:

- In the weak field limit $\hat{\Delta} \sim \Delta_f$ (where Δ_f denotes the Laplacian in flat space–time) and $H_n =$

$R^n P_n(\omega)$, and hence the second term in (6) vanishes since $\Delta_f [R^n P_n(\omega)] = 0$. Furthermore, in the same weak field limit $e^\Psi \sim 1 + \Phi$, Φ being the Newtonian potential which verifies the Poisson equation $\Delta_f \Phi = \rho$, and therefore Eq. (6) turns out to be the classical newtonian moments

$$I_n = M_n^N = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_V R^n P_n \rho dV. \tag{10}$$

- As it was shown in [21] these integrals calculated in harmonic coordinates through the surface integral (9) recover the RMM defined by Geroch (up to a known specific factor).

3.1 Calculation of the surface integral

We shall now proceed to evaluate the integral expression (6) by means of the surface integral (9). First we need to calculate H_n , and we obtain:

$$H_n = (r - M)^{2k} P_{2k}(y) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (r - M)^{2i} \left[-M^2(1 - y^2) \right]^{k-i} Q_{2i}^{(k)}(y), \tag{11}$$

$$Q_{2i}^{(k)}(y) = \sum_{j=0}^i L_{2k, 2j} \binom{k-j}{k-j-i} y^{2j}, \tag{12}$$

where $n = 2k$ (only even order index has been taken since the Weyl solutions used to be considered posses equatorial symmetry), and $L_{2k, 2j}$ denotes the coefficient of the Legendre polynomial $P_{2k}(x)$ corresponding to the monomial x^{2j} , i.e. $P_{2k}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^k L_{2k, 2j} x^{2j}$.

Similar expression for the odd index can be obtained. In fact, we have that H_{2n} becomes the product $R^{2n} P_{2n}(\omega)$ written in Erez–Rosen coordinates, which according to (3) is $H_{2n} = ((r - M)^2 - M^2(1 - y^2))^n P_{2n} \left(\frac{(r - M)y}{R} \right)$.

It is easy to see that the surface integral (9) leads to the following flux evaluated at the infinity surface $F_n^\infty(\psi')$ since the integration is done over all the space,

$$F_n(\psi') = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 r(r - 2M) (\psi' H_n(r) - H'_n(r)) dy, \tag{13}$$

which may be reduced to

$$F_n(\psi') = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 r(r - 2M) \psi' H_n(r) dy, \tag{14}$$

since the following integration in the variable y vanishes for any value of the radial coordinate:

$$\int_{-1}^1 H'_n(r) dy = 0. \tag{15}$$

This integral (14) can be explicitly calculated by taking into account that the exterior metric function ψ (with equatorial symmetry) looks like (see equation (2)) a series in the Erez–Rosen family of solutions [14, 15] and then $\hat{\psi}' = -\frac{1}{M} \sum_{k>0}^{\infty} q_{2k} \partial_x Q_{2k}(x) P_{2k}(y)$, and the following relations hold (where $x = \frac{r}{m} - 1$ is used):

$$\int_{-1}^1 H_{2n} P_{2k}(y) dy = \begin{cases} 2N_{2n,2k} P_{2k}(x) & , k \leq n \\ 0 & , k > n, \end{cases}$$

with $N_{2n,2k} = \frac{(2n)!M^{2n}}{((2n+2k+1)!(2n-2k)!)}$.

Hence, equation (14) evaluated at infinity $F_n^\infty(\psi')$ becomes

$$F_n^\infty(\psi') = -a_n^s - \frac{1}{M} \left[r(r-2M) \sum_{k>1}^n q_{2k} N_{2n,2k} \partial_x Q_{2k}(x) P_{2k}(x) \right]_{r_\infty} \tag{16}$$

The limit at the radial infinity of the above equation for such term in the index k leads to a factor $-\frac{2k+1}{4k+1}$, and so we finally obtain

$$F_n^\infty(\psi') = -a_n^s + \sum_{k>1}^n q_{2k} N_{2k}(2n) \frac{2k+1}{4k+1} \tag{17}$$

Hence we conclude that the definition of RMM (6) is coordinate dependent since the use of harmonic coordinates for the calculation of that integral in [21] leads to the RMM of Geroch–Hansen, instead of certain combination of Weyl moments (a_n or the corresponding q_n of the Erez–Rosen representation) that we obtain when the calculation of equation (6) is performed in Erez–Rosen coordinates.

Nevertheless, this result allows us to relate the RMM with volume integrals over the source involving the matter distribution and the interior metric as well, by means of the Gauss theorem and the knowledge of the coefficients a_n and q_n in terms of the RMM [9]. We address this aim to the Sect. 4, and now calculate for completeness the volume integral (6).

3.2 Calculation of the volume integral

In order to show that the Gauss theorem is perfectly satisfied, we proceed now to evaluate the volume integral (6) with the global metric (1).

The integral (6) for the volume extended from the boundary to the infinity, I_n^E , is

$$I_n^E = \int_{r_\Sigma}^\infty \frac{r^2}{2} dr \int_{-1}^1 dy \left\{ \frac{\psi_{,\theta} H_{n,\theta}}{r^2} - \frac{H_{n,\theta} \cos \theta}{r^2 \sin \theta} - \frac{H_{n,\theta\theta}}{r^2} - \left(\frac{r-2M}{r} \right) \left[\left(-\psi' + \frac{2(r-M)}{r(r-2M)} \right) H_n' + H_n'' \right] \right\}$$

$$+ H_n \left[\left(\psi'' + \frac{2(r-M)\psi'}{r(r-2M)} \right) \frac{r-2M}{r} + \frac{\psi_{,\theta\theta}}{r^2} + \frac{\psi_{,\theta} \cos \theta}{r^2 \sin \theta} \right] \tag{18}$$

whereas for the interior volume, I_n^I , we have

$$I_n^I = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{r_\Sigma} r^2 dr \int_{-1}^1 dy \left\{ H_n \left[\frac{3M}{r_\Sigma^3} - \frac{A'\hat{a}'}{4} + Z \left(\frac{2\hat{a}'(1-\frac{3Mr^2}{r_\Sigma^3})}{r\sqrt{A}} + \hat{a}''\sqrt{A} \frac{\hat{a}_{,\theta\theta}}{r^2\sqrt{A}} + \hat{a}_{,\theta} \frac{\cos \theta}{r^2\sqrt{A}} \right) \right] - Z \left[\left(-\hat{a}'\sqrt{A} + \frac{2(1-\frac{3Mr^2}{r_\Sigma^3})}{r\sqrt{A}} \right) H_n' + \sqrt{A} H_n'' + \frac{H_{n,\theta\theta} + H_{n,\theta} \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin \theta}}{r^2\sqrt{A}} - \frac{\hat{a}_{,\theta} H_{n,\theta}}{r^2\sqrt{A}} \right] \right\}, \tag{19}$$

where A and Z are the previously defined functions only depending on the radial coordinate which are involved in the interior line element (1).

Since ψ is solution of the vacuum field equations, we may write

$$\Delta \psi \equiv \left[\psi'' + \frac{2(r-M)\psi'}{r(r-2M)} \right] \frac{r-2M}{r} + \frac{\psi_{,\theta\theta}}{r^2} + \frac{\psi_{,\theta} \cos \theta}{r^2 \sin \theta} = 0, \tag{20}$$

also $H_{n,\theta\theta} + H_{n,\theta} \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin \theta} = (1-y^2)\partial_y H_n - 2y\partial_y H_n = \partial_y [(1-y^2)\partial_y H_n]$, and

$$\int_{-1}^1 H_n' dy = \int_{-1}^1 H_n'' dy = 0. \tag{21}$$

Using the above expressions, the integrals I_n^I and I_n^E may be simplified further as follows

$$I_n^E = \frac{1}{2} \int_{r_\Sigma}^\infty r^2 dr \int_{-1}^1 dy \left[\hat{\psi}_{,\theta} \frac{H_{n,\theta}}{r^2} + \left(\frac{r-2M}{r} \right) \hat{\psi}' H_n' \right], \tag{22}$$

and

$$I_n^I = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{r_\Sigma} r^2 dr \int_{-1}^1 dy H_n \left[\frac{3M}{r_\Sigma^3} - \frac{A'\hat{a}'}{4} \right] + \int_0^{r_\Sigma} \frac{r^2}{2} dr \frac{Z}{\sqrt{A}} \int_{-1}^1 dy \left\{ H_n \left[\hat{a}'' A + \hat{a}' \left(\frac{2}{r} - \frac{6Mr}{r_\Sigma^3} \right) \right] + \hat{a}' A H_n' \right\} + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{r_\Sigma} dr \frac{Z}{\sqrt{A}} \int_{-1}^1 dy \left\{ H_n \partial_y [(1-y^2)\partial_y \hat{a}] + (1-y^2)\partial_y \hat{a} \partial_y H_n \right\}. \tag{23}$$

Next, notice that the last term of Eq. (23) vanishes after integration in the variable y , since

$$\int_{-1}^1 dy \left[H_n \partial_y \left[(1 - y^2) \partial_y \hat{a} \right] + (1 - y^2) \partial_y \hat{a} \partial_y H_n \right] = H_n (1 - y^2) \partial_y \hat{a} \Big|_{-1}^1 = 0. \tag{24}$$

The second term of Eq. (23) can be integrated with respect to the radial coordinate. The integration for those terms with a factor H_n produces

$$\int_0^{r_\Sigma} dr (\hat{a}' C_1 + \hat{a}'' C_2) = (\hat{a}' C_2) \Big|_0^{r_\Sigma} - \int_0^{r_\Sigma} \hat{a}' \alpha dr = \hat{\psi}'_\Sigma C_2(r_\Sigma) - \int_0^{r_\Sigma} \hat{a}' \alpha dr, \tag{25}$$

where $C_1 \equiv \frac{Z}{\sqrt{A}} r^2 H_n \left(\frac{2}{r} - \frac{6Mr}{r_\Sigma^3} \right)$, $\alpha \equiv -C_1 + C'_2$ and $C_2 \equiv \frac{Z}{\sqrt{A}} r^2 H_n \left(1 - \frac{2Mr^2}{r_\Sigma^3} \right)$

In the above the matching conditions $\hat{a}(r_\Sigma) = \hat{\psi}(r_\Sigma)$, $\hat{a}'(r_\Sigma) = \hat{\psi}'(r_\Sigma)$, as well as the assumed behaviour at the center $\hat{a}_0 = \hat{a}'_0 = 0$, have been taken into account. Also, the evaluation of α produces $\alpha = H_n M \frac{r^3}{r_\Sigma^3} + H'_n \frac{Z}{\sqrt{A}} r^2 A$.

Using all these expressions, the integral for the interior volume finally reduces to

$$I_n^I = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{r_\Sigma} r^2 dr \int_{-1}^1 dy H_n \left[\frac{3M}{r_\Sigma^3} \right] + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 dy \hat{\psi}'_\Sigma C_2(r_\Sigma). \tag{26}$$

By comparing Eq. (26) with the flux (14) evaluated at the boundary surface $F_n^\Sigma(\psi')$ we conclude that they are equal since $C_2(r_\Sigma) = r_\Sigma(r_\Sigma - 2M)H_n(r_\Sigma)$ and the first term in Eq. (26) is

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{r_\Sigma} r^2 dr \int_{-1}^1 dy H_n \left[\frac{3M}{r_\Sigma^3} \right] = \frac{M^{n+1}}{n+1} = -a_n^s, \tag{27}$$

where a_n^s are the Weyl coefficients of Schwarzschild, and $\psi'_\Sigma = \psi'^s_\Sigma + \hat{\psi}'_\Sigma = \frac{M}{r_\Sigma(r_\Sigma - 2M)} + \hat{\psi}'_\Sigma$ and hence the Eq. (14) evaluated at the boundary surface is equivalent to

$$F_n^\Sigma(\psi') = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 r_\Sigma(r_\Sigma - 2M) \psi'^s_\Sigma H_n(r_\Sigma) dy = -a_n^s + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 r_\Sigma(r_\Sigma - 2M) \hat{\psi}'_\Sigma H_n(r_\Sigma) dy, \tag{28}$$

that is to say, the integral extended to the interior volume I_n^I recovers the flux through the boundary $F_n^\Sigma(\psi')$. Thus we have verified (as expected) that the volume integral extended to the interior volume delimited by the boundary equals the flux integral through that surface.

Next, let us calculate the volume integral at the exterior of the source I_n^E . To do so, the second term of Eq. (22) can be integrated in the radial variable leading to

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 [BH_n]_{r_\infty} dy - \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 r_\Sigma^2 A_\Sigma \hat{\psi}'_\Sigma H_n(\Sigma) dy - \frac{1}{2} \int_{r_\Sigma}^\infty dr B' \int_{-1}^1 H_n dy, \tag{29}$$

with $B \equiv r(r - 2M)\hat{\psi}'$, and $[BH_n]_{r_\infty}$ denoting the value of that function over the surface at infinity, whereas the first term of Eq. (22) can be integrated in the angular variable producing

$$-\frac{1}{2} \int_{r_\Sigma}^\infty dr \int_{-1}^1 H_n \partial_y [(1 - y^2) \hat{\psi}_y] dy, \tag{30}$$

Hence, the sum of Eqs. (29) and (30) leads to the following expression for the exterior volume integration

$$I_n^E = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 [BH_n]_{r_\infty} dy - \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 r_\Sigma^2 A_\Sigma \hat{\psi}'_\Sigma H_n(\Sigma) dy \equiv F_n^\infty(\psi') - F_n^\Sigma(\psi'), \tag{31}$$

since $\hat{\psi}$ being a solution of the exterior field equations, verifies $\Delta \hat{\psi} = 0$, and the contribution of the Schwarzschild term ψ'^s in the flux is a_n^s whatever the surface of integration is considered.

Therefore, the definition of RMM (6), evaluated as a volume integral, corresponding to the sum of the quantities I_n^I and I_n^E leads to the surface integral $F_n^\infty(\psi')$ at the spatial infinity, which is the result obtained if one performs the definition (6) as a surface integral.

The following remarks are in order at this point:

- This result is true for any interior metric function \hat{a} (with the only constraints derived from the matching conditions and the well-behaviour at the center). Hence the matching conditions arise as the necessary and sufficient condition to hold the equivalence between both kinds of integrals (Gauss theorem).
- For any source whose interior metric matches appropriately with a specific Weyl solution at the exterior, the RMM are the same in all the cases, and they are the ones corresponding to that Weyl solution. Whatever the matter distribution of the source be, the RMM are the same ones, since they are determined by the exterior metric to which it is matched.
- It seems to be that the RMM are exclusively related to the gravitational exterior field, but in fact that is a result due to the intrinsic characteristic of the own definition (6) and its equivalence between volume or surface integral (8), (9).

– Therefore, the definition cannot be used to constrain the interior solution (the source), since this volume integral (6) used to calculate the RMM apparently exclude from the integration the source of the gravitational field. However the flux through the boundary surface contains information both from the source and the gravitational field whose RMM are known. This fact will allows us to link the RMM and the source.

As it is known in Newtonian gravity (NG) we can calculate the NMM of a source from its matter distribution by means of a volume integral (10) and the gravitational exterior field is characterized by those NMM which are fully determined by the physics of the source. Whereas that identification in NG is forthright is not the case for GR, but nevertheless it is possible to connect the RMM with some volume integrals involving the matter distribution and the interior metric, as we shall see in what follows:

4 The relationship between RMM and the source

In an attempt to relate the matter distribution of the source with the RMM, we keep in mind that the Einstein equations connect the metric with the energy–momentum tensor, and we have linked the interior metric functions with the exterior ones as clearly exhibited in (4). Therefore, we can recalculate the integral definition used [21] at the same time that we introduce the matter distribution of the source into those integrals by means of the so-called Tolman density

$$\rho_T \equiv \sqrt{-g_{00}} \left(-T_0^0 + T_i^i \right), \tag{32}$$

since we know [11,21] that $\hat{\Delta}\sqrt{-g_{00}} = 4\pi\rho_T$.

With this consideration, Eq. (6) becomes

$$I_n = \int_V H_n \rho_T \sqrt{\hat{g}} d^3\mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_V \xi \partial_k \left(\sqrt{\hat{g}} \hat{g}^{kj} \partial_j H_n \right) d^3\mathbf{x} \equiv T_n + S_n, \tag{33}$$

where $T_n = \int_V H_n \rho_T \sqrt{\hat{g}} d^3\mathbf{x}$ denotes the part of the integral involving the Tolman density (content material of the distribution), and $S_n = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_V \xi \partial_k \left(\sqrt{\hat{g}} \hat{g}^{kj} \partial_j H_n \right) d^3\mathbf{x}$ holds with the other part of the integral.

This expression (33) used to define the RMM generalizes the definition of the Tolman mass [26] (Monopole M_0), or Komar [27] moments, since $H_0 = 1$ and the second term S_n vanishes for that case. The volume integrals in (33) must be calculated, as we said above, extended to the whole space, but in the first term of this expression T_n we can limit ourselves to the interior of the source since the energy momentum tensor in vacuum vanishes (assuming vanishing electromagnetic field). Equivalently this point is in agreement with the

fact that $\int_V H_n \hat{\Delta}\xi \sqrt{\hat{g}} d^3\mathbf{x} = 0$ at the outside of the source from Eqs. (18) and (20).

As we prove above (31)

$$I_n^E \equiv T_n^E + S_n^E = S_n^E = F_n^\infty(\psi') - F_n^\Sigma(\psi') \tag{34}$$

where superscript E denotes exterior volume. Since the evaluation of the integral I_n over the whole space leads to the flux at infinity $F_n^\infty(\psi')$, then

$$F_n^\infty(\psi') = I_n^I + F_n^\infty(\psi') - F_n^\Sigma(\psi'), \tag{35}$$

where the superscript I denotes interior volume. Consequently we obtain the following relation

$$T_n^I = T_n = -S_n^I + F_n^\Sigma(\psi') \tag{36}$$

which is just the conclusion obtained from Eqs. (26)–(28).

The flux over the boundary surface $F_n^\Sigma(\psi')$ can be obtained from (16) as follows

$$F_n^\Sigma(\psi') = -a_n^s \left[\sum_{k>1}^n q_{2k} N_{2n,2k} \partial_x Q_{2k}(x) P_{2k}(x) \right]_{x=r_\Sigma-1}. \tag{37}$$

$\tau \equiv \frac{r_\Sigma}{M}$ being the compactness factor of the source. Therefore, the flux provides over the surface r_Σ information about the RMM since we know the coefficients q_{2k} in terms of the Weyl coefficients a_n [9], and these ones in terms of the RMM [28]:

$$q_0 = 1, \quad q_2 = \frac{15}{2} \frac{M_2}{M^3}, \quad q_4 = \frac{45}{4} \frac{M_2}{M^3} + \frac{315}{8} \frac{M_4}{M^5}, \dots \tag{38}$$

Hence the Eq. (36) allows us to write each RMM in terms of two kind of volume integrals one of them T_n involving the matter distribution by means of the Tolman density and the other one S_n^I bringing in the interior metric to the evaluation. The first RMM can be obtained as follows:

$$M_0 = T_0 + S_0^I$$

$$M_2 = \frac{-1}{\tau(\tau-2)\beta_2(\tau)} \left[-\frac{M^3}{3} + T_2 + S_2^I \right]$$

$$M_4 = \frac{2M^2 \left(1 + 12 \frac{\beta_2(\tau)}{\beta_4(\tau)} \right)}{7\tau(\tau-2)\beta_2(\tau)} \left[-\frac{M^3}{3} + T_2 + S_2^I \right] - \frac{4}{\tau(\tau-2)\beta_4(\tau)} \left[-\frac{M^5}{5} + T_4 + S_4^I \right] \tag{39}$$

where the following notation has been used:

$$\beta_n(\tau) \equiv [P_n(x)\partial_x Q_n(x)]_{x=\tau-1}, \tag{40}$$

$P_n(x)$ and $Q_n(x)$ being the Legendre polynomials and the Legendre polynomials of second kind respectively.

In the classical gravitational analogy the multipole momentum is obtained only as an integral over the source because we do not have in newtonian gravity an interior metric. As already seen in [11] the integral T_0 gives the mass for any source properly attached to a Weyl exterior, while S_0^I is identically zero since $H_0 = 1$.

These expressions can be understood in two alternative ways: a qualitative one in which the formulas (39) should be read in the sense of explaining in what way the source participates in the definition of each RMM, or which characteristics of the source (its density, anisotropic pressure, and the interior metric itself) contribute to the construction of the RMM. We assume that such moments are already known from any of the historically defined calculation methods by means of the exterior metric.

Alternatively, the explicit knowledge of the inner metric allows to calculate the RMM in terms of the physical characteristics of the source. Evidently the energy–momentum tensor is related through the Einstein equations to the inner metric so that this distinction between the two types of integrals T_n and S_n^I is formal, although significant as we will see in the next section. This quantitative point of view of the formulae (39) provide us with an explicit calculation of the RMM using the source itself rather than the exterior metric.

5 Some examples

5.1 The global model for any Weyl solution

The Eq. (39) is still general for any source appropriately matched to any Weyl exterior solution, since the flux which generates the combinations of RMM was calculated with the vacuum solution. Now we compute the volume integral expressions T_n and S_n^I with a global model metric including all the admissible sources for any axially symmetric vacuum gravitational field in the Weyl gauge [11]. It is easy to see from (23) that the integrals S_n^I result to be (V_I denotes the volume limited by the boundary surface of the source)

$$\begin{aligned} S_n^I &\equiv -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{V_I} \xi \partial_k (\sqrt{\hat{g}} \hat{g}^{kj} \partial_j H_n) d^3\mathbf{x} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{r_\Sigma} r^2 dr \int_{-1}^1 dy \frac{Z}{\sqrt{A}} \left[\hat{a}' A H_n' + \frac{(1-y^2)}{r^2} \partial_y \hat{a} \partial_y H_n \right] \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

With respect to the volume integral T_n involving the Tolman density ρ_T we have that

$$\begin{aligned} T_n &\equiv \int_V H_n \rho_T \sqrt{\hat{g}} d^3\mathbf{x} \\ &= 2\pi \int_0^{r_\Sigma} r^2 dr \int_{-1}^1 dy \frac{Z}{\sqrt{A}} H_n \left[(\mu + 3P) - \frac{E}{8\pi} \right] \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

where we have used the following notation for the energy momentum tensor (see [11] for details¹):

$$\begin{aligned} -T_0^0 &= \kappa (8\pi \mu + \hat{p}_{zz} - E), \\ T_1^1 &= \kappa (8\pi P - \hat{p}_{xx}), \\ T_2^2 &= \kappa (8\pi P + \hat{p}_{xx}), \\ T_3^3 &= \kappa (8\pi P - \hat{p}_{zz}), \\ T_1^2 &= -\frac{\kappa}{r^2} \hat{p}_{xy}, \quad \kappa \equiv \frac{e^{2\hat{a}-2\hat{g}}}{8\pi} \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} E &= -2\Delta\hat{a} + (1-A) \left[2\frac{\hat{a}'}{r} \frac{9\sqrt{A_\Sigma} - 4\sqrt{A}}{3\sqrt{A_\Sigma} - \sqrt{A}} + 2\hat{a}'' \right], \\ \Delta\hat{a} &= \hat{a}'' + 2\frac{\hat{a}'}{r} + \frac{\hat{a}_{,\theta\theta}}{r^2} + \frac{\hat{a}_{,\theta} \cos\theta}{r^2 \sin\theta}, \\ \hat{p}_{xx} &= -\frac{\hat{a}_{,\theta}^2}{r^2} - \frac{\hat{g}'}{r} + \hat{a}'^2 + \frac{\hat{g}_{,\theta} \cos\theta}{r^2 \sin\theta} \\ &\quad + (1-A) \left[2\frac{\hat{a}'}{r} \frac{\sqrt{A}}{3\sqrt{A_\Sigma} - \sqrt{A}} - \hat{a}'^2 + \frac{\hat{g}'}{r} \frac{3\sqrt{A_\Sigma} - 2\sqrt{A}}{3\sqrt{A_\Sigma} - \sqrt{A}} \right], \\ \hat{p}_{zz} &= -\frac{\hat{a}_{,\theta}^2}{r^2} - \frac{\hat{g}'}{r} - \hat{a}'^2 - \frac{\hat{g}_{,\theta\theta}}{r^2} - \hat{g}'' \\ &\quad + (1-A) \left[-2\frac{\hat{a}'}{r} \frac{\sqrt{A}}{3\sqrt{A_\Sigma} - \sqrt{A}} + \hat{a}'^2 + 2\frac{\hat{g}'}{r} + \hat{g}'' \right], \\ \hat{p}_{xy} &= 2\hat{a}_{,\theta} \hat{a}' - \hat{g}' \frac{\cos(\theta)}{\sin(\theta)} - \frac{\hat{g}_{,\theta}}{r} + \frac{(1-A)(2\hat{a}_{,\theta} - \hat{g}_{,\theta})}{r\sqrt{A}(3\sqrt{A_\Sigma} - \sqrt{A})} \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

where μ and P are the homogeneous and isotropic density and pressure respectively:

$$P = \mu \left(\frac{\sqrt{A} - \sqrt{A_\Sigma}}{3\sqrt{A_\Sigma} - \sqrt{A}} \right) \tag{45}$$

If we rewrite the above expression (42) in terms of the physical parameters of the source we have that

$$T_n = \frac{M^{n+1}}{n+1} - \frac{1}{4} \int_0^{r_\Sigma} r^2 dr \int_{-1}^1 dy \frac{\mu}{\mu + 3P} H_n E \tag{46}$$

¹ Please take into account a missprint in that paper for the expression of E and \hat{p}_{zz} , as well as in the formula (24) in that paper derived from the previous mistaken formulae: the second derivative of the function \hat{g} with respect to the variable s must contain a forgotten factor A . Same missprints are reproduced in [12]. The calculations and conclusions derived in both papers are still appropriated and right, since it is a matter of a missprint in the edition of the latex version.

where

$$E = -2 \left(1 - \frac{8}{3} \pi r^2 \mu \right) \hat{a}'' - \frac{4a'}{r} \left(1 - \frac{2}{3} \pi r^2 (5\mu - 3P) \right) - \frac{2}{r^2} \partial_y \left[(1 - y^2) \partial_y \hat{a} \right] \tag{47}$$

In [10] (see for details therein) the physical characteristic of the source arising from the energy–momentum tensor are expressed in terms of the following pressures and anisotropies $T_m \equiv \frac{T_1^1 + T_2^2}{2}$, Π_{31} , Π_{23} , $\Pi_{xy} = \frac{-T_m}{8\pi P r^2} \hat{p}_{xy}$ with the notation $\Pi_{ij} \equiv T_i^i - T_j^j$ and the interior metric functions are related as follows $\hat{g} = \hat{a} - \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{T_m}{P} \right)$

Hence, the contributions of the integrals T_n to the RMM are due to the inhomogeneity of the density along with the pressure T_m .

5.2 Sources of Schwarzschild space–time

When the particular spherical case is regarded, both the isotropic or anisotropic spherical sources of Schwarzschild vacuum solution lead to the following metric function

$$\hat{a} = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{T_m}{P} \right) \tag{48}$$

since $\hat{g} = 0$, $\Pi_{xy} = 0$, and the unique non vanishing anisotropic pressure is $\Pi_{31} = \frac{T_m}{4\pi P} \hat{p}_{xx}$ since $\hat{p}_{xx} = -\hat{p}_{zz}$.

(A) Now, on the one hand the isotropic perfect fluid sub-case requires $\hat{p}_{xx} = 0$ leading to $T_1^1 = T_2^2 = T_3^3$ The only possible solution satisfying at the same time the junction conditions, is $\hat{a} = 0$ and therefore we get the vanishing of the anisotropy $\Pi_{31} = 0$ as well as the isotropic perfect fluid limit $T_m = P$.

In this particular case (41) vanish for any value of the index, i.e. $S_n^I = 0$ and (46) $T_n = \frac{M^{n+1}}{n+1}$ and hence the unique multipole moment is the mass $M_0 = M$ from the Eq. (39).

(B) Indeed, the same result must be obtained, on the other hand for anisotropic sources, since the exterior solution is also Schwarzschild space–time. In fact the spherical case considered $\hat{a} = \hat{a}(r)$ and the equation (22) lead to $S_n^I = 0$ (41) as well. With respect to the integrals T_n (46) the independence of the physical parameters in the angular variable leads to the following expression

$$T_n = \frac{M^{n+1}}{n+1} - \frac{M^n}{2(n+1)} \int_0^{r_\Sigma} r^2 dr \frac{\mu}{\mu + 3P} \tag{49}$$

and hence the following constraint arises from (39) since all RMM higher than M_0 must vanish:

$$0 = \int_0^{r_\Sigma} r^2 dr \frac{\mu}{\mu + 3P} \left[\left(1 - \frac{8}{3} \pi r^2 \mu \right) \hat{a}'' + \frac{2\hat{a}'}{r} \left(1 - \frac{2}{3} \pi r^2 (5\mu - 3P) \right) \right] \tag{50}$$

where the expression for E (47) has been considered, and the derivatives of \hat{a} are obtained from (48):

$$\hat{a}' = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{T_m'}{T_m} - \frac{P'}{P} \right), \hat{a}'' = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{T_m''}{T_m} - \frac{T_m'^2}{T_m^2} - \frac{P''}{P} + \frac{P'^2}{P^2} \right) \tag{51}$$

Thus the above Eq. (50) implies a condition over the pressure T_m or equivalently the anitropy of the source.

Nevertheless that condition is fulfilled and the Eq. (50) is just an identity, since the integral results to be

$$\left[\frac{\mu(\mu + 3P)}{(\mu + P)^2} \hat{a}' r^2 A_\Sigma \right]_0^{r_\Sigma} = A_\Sigma r_\Sigma^2 \hat{a}'_\Sigma = A_\Sigma \frac{r_\Sigma^2}{2} \left(\frac{T_m'}{T_m} - \frac{P'}{P} \right)_{r_\Sigma} \tag{52}$$

that is null because the pressure T_m behaves at the boundary equal than P and $P(r_\Sigma) = 0$ [10]. Equivalently we can argue that \hat{a}'_Σ vanishes since the boundary condition for that interior metric function establishes that $\hat{a}'_\Sigma = \hat{\psi}'_\Sigma$ and it is null because the exterior metric function is the corresponding to Schwarzschild.

5.3 Sources of non-spherical vacuum space–time

In this case the integrals S_n^I no longer vanish (in general) because of the angular dependence of the metric functions, as well as the integrals T_n from (46), (47) incorporate those contribution missing in the spherical case. The simplest interior metric functions are [11] those of Eq. (4) with $F = G = 0$.

As a matter of illustration let us calculate the contributions to the quadrupole moment of both kind of volume integrals over the source for the Erez–Rosen space–time [23] which the exterior metric function $\psi = \psi^s - q_2 Q_2(x) P_2(y)$ with arbitrary Weyl coefficient (of the Erez–Rosen representation) q_2 , and the prolate spheroidal coordinate $x \equiv \frac{r}{m} - 1$.

From Eq. (39) we obtain the following quadrupole moment M_2 :

$$M_2 = \frac{2q_2}{5\tau(\tau - 2)\beta_2(\tau)} \times \left[\int_0^{r_\Sigma} \frac{r^2 M^2 \mu}{3(\mu + 3P)} P_2(x) [c_1(r) + c_2(r)\mu + c_3(r)P] dr + \int_0^{r_\Sigma} r^2 \frac{Z}{\sqrt{A}} \left[2M^2 P_2(x) c_4(r) + A c_5(r) r(r - M) \right] dr \right] \tag{53}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_1(r) &= -2s a(\tau) + 6s b(\tau) \\
 c_2(r) &= -4\pi r^2 \left[2(7 - 9s)a(\tau) + \left(9s - \frac{14}{3} \right) b(\tau) \right] \\
 c_3(r) &= 4\pi r^2 [6(1 - s)a(\tau) + (3s - 2)b(\tau)] \\
 c_4(r) &= (3 - 2s)a(\tau) + (s - 1)b(\tau) \\
 c_5(r) &= 6(1 - s)a(\tau) + (3s - 2)b(\tau)
 \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

where $s \equiv r/r_\Sigma$ and the notation $a(\tau) \equiv \frac{Q_2(\tau-1)}{r_\Sigma^2}$, $b(\tau) \equiv \frac{\partial_x Q_2(x)_{(\tau-1)}}{r_\Sigma M}$ has been used for the Legendre polynomial of second kind $Q_2(x)$ and its derivative, both of them evaluated in the boundary $x_\Sigma = \tau - 1$.

6 Conclusions

We have shown that we are able to relate the sources of Weyl solutions with their RMM. The procedures for the explicit calculation of the RMM of any space–time are circumscribed to the metric that describe the gravitational field. The definitions of Geroch–Hansen and Thorne involve vacuum solutions as well as the method of Fodor–Hoenselaers–Perjes (FHP), or [29] and others developing Thorne’s definition by using harmonic coordinates manage the exterior gravitational field of the compact object.

In this work a definition of RMM [21] extended to whole space–time is developed explicitly for a global metric. Due to the own characteristics of that definition it was shown in [21] that the RMM can be calculated by means of a flux integral at the infinity just by using the exterior metric out there. But that flux integral is equivalent to a volume integral through a generalized Gauss theorem. Nevertheless neither the interior metric nor the source itself were used in that work since harmonic coordinates were used to implement the flux whereas the interior metric in that system of coordinates is unknown.

Now an space–time described by a global metric is used to implement the definition from [21] in such a way that relevant expressions for the RMM are obtained in terms of the material content of the source and the interior metric. Hence, those integral expressions constrained to the volume of the source allows us to calculate The RMM from the physical characteristics of the source.

This result is providing a relevant generalization of the procedure commonly developed in newtonian gravity to define the multipole moments by means of the density of the compact object. And, at the same time a generalization of the definition of Tolman mass [26] and Komar moments [27] is derived.

In this work it is proved that the RMM can actually be considered as physical characteristics both of the gravita-

tional field as well as the source conveniently matched to that exterior metric. Besides, it is the proper matching condition the guarantee to derive the volume integral expressions or the flux integrals version that lead to the relationship linking those expressions involving the source with the RMM known in the literature associated to the gravitational field.

Consequently, from now onwards it is not necessary to know the RMM of the gravitational field matched to a determined source but it is sufficient the energy–momentum tensor and the interior metric to calculate those RMM. Up to now the RMM gave relevant physical information about the source (flattening, shape, symmetry, ...) and they could be connected to the orbital movement of test particles (see for instance a recent paper [30] on relativistic celestial mechanics discussing the Post-Newtonian dynamics of an isolated gravitating system consisting of N extended bodies moving on a curved space–time and the relevance of multipole moments for accurate prediction of orbital dynamics of extended bodies in inspiraling binary systems or construction of templates of gravitational waves at the merger stage when the strong gravitational interaction between the higher-order multipoles of the bodies play a dominant role). Nevertheless, if this was the case before, and it still works so satisfactorily, from now on it may be otherwise, since the result presented here allows us to link directly the RMM with the physics of the source.

Acknowledgements This work was partially supported by the Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades. Subdirección General de Proyectos de Investigación, under Research Project No. PGC2018-096038-B-I00 (MINECO/FEDER), as well as the Consejería de Educación of the Junta de Castilla y León under the Research Project Grupo de Excelencia GR234 Ref.:SA096P20 (Fondos Feder y en línea con objetivos RIS3).

Data Availability Statement This manuscript has no associated data or the data will not be deposited. [Authors’ comment: Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.]

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.
Funded by SCOAP³.

References

1. L. Herrera, *Found. Phys. Lett.* **18**, 21 (2005)
2. L. Herrera, J. Carot, N. Bolivar, E. Lazo, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **48**, 3537 (2009)
3. L. Herrera, J.L. Hernández-Pastora, *J. Math. Phys.* **41**, 7544–7555 (2000)
4. J.L. Hernández-Pastora, J. Ospino, *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 104001 (2013)
5. J.L. Hernández-Pastora, L. Herrera, J. Ospino, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 064041 (2013)
6. J.D. Sanabria-Gómez, J.L. Hernández-Pastora, F.L. Dubeibe, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 124014 (2010)
7. L. Herrera, J.L. Hernández-Pastora, *Class. Quantum Gravity* **17**, 3617–3625 (2000)
8. L. Herrera, A. Di Prisco, J.L. Hernández-Pastora, N.O. Santos, *Phys. Lett. A* **237**, 113 (1998)
9. J.L. Hernández-Pastora, J. Martín, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **26**, 877 (1994)
10. J.L. Hernández-Pastora, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **27**(80), 372 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-7970-2>
11. J.L. Hernández-Pastora, L. Herrera, J. Martín, *Class. Quantum Gravity* **33**, 235005 (2016)
12. J.L. Hernández-Pastora, L. Herrera, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 024003 (2017)
13. H. Weyl, *Ann. Phys. (Leipz.)* **54**, 117 (1917)
14. H. Quevedo, *Fortschr. Phys.* **38**, 733 (1990)
15. H. Quevedo, *Phys. Rev.* **33**, 334 (1986)
16. J.L. Hernández-Pastora, Ph.D. Relativistic gravitational fields close to Schwarzschild solution. Universidad de Salamanca. (1996). <https://www.academia.edu/3133354> <https://dialnet.unirioja.es/servlet/tesis>. Accessed 26 Mar 1996
17. R. Geroch, *J. Math. Phys.* **11**, 1955 (1970) [*J. Math. Phys.* **11**, (1970) 2580]
18. K.S. Thorne, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **52**, 299 (1980)
19. R.O. Hansen, *J. Math. Phys.* **15**, 46 (1974)
20. G. Fodor, C. Hoenselaers, Z. Perjés, *J. Math. Phys.* **30**, 2252 (1989)
21. J.L. Hernández-Pastora, J. Martín, E. Ruiz, *Class. Quantum Gravity* **33**, 225009 (2016)
22. N. Gürlebeck, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 024041 (2014)
23. G. Erez, N. Rosen, *Bull. Res. Council. Isr.* **8F**, 47 (1959)
24. D.M. Zipoy, *J. Math. Phys.* **7**, 1137 (1966)
25. B.H. Voorhees, *Phys. Rev. D* **2**, 2119 (1970)
26. R.C. Tolman, *Phys. Rev.* **35**, 875 (1930)
27. A. Komar, *Phys. Rev.* **113**, 934 (1959)
28. J.L. Hernández-Pastora, *Class. Quantum Gravity* **27**, 2045006 (2010)
29. T. Bäckdahl, M. Herberthson, *Class. Quantum Gravity* **22**, 1607–1621 (2005)
30. S.M. Kopeikin, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **134**, 68 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjp/i2019-12435-3>