



Strong and electromagnetic decays of the radially excited D_s and B_s mesons in light cone QCD sum rules

S. Bilmis^{1,2,a}

¹ Department of Physics, Middle East Technical University, 06800 Ankara, Turkey

² TUBITAK ULAKBIM, 06510 Ankara, Turkey

Received: 3 September 2021 / Accepted: 24 September 2021 / Published online: 10 October 2021

© The Author(s) 2021

Abstract Recently, LHCb Collaboration announced the discovery of radial excitations of D_s and B_s mesons. In present work, we calculate the most promising strong and electromagnetic decay widths of radially excited $D_s(2S)$ and $B_s(2S)$ mesons within the light cone QCD sum rules method.

1 Introduction

The constituent quark model has successfully described the spectroscopy of hadrons [1–3]. Even though most of the hadrons have already been observed, which are predicted by the quark model, many states are still waiting to be discovered. Hence, hadron-spectroscopy experiments are crucial for testing the theoretical models as well as understanding the inner structure of the hadrons.

The anomalies observed in the experiments of charm and beauty mesons spectroscopy have received significant attention recently. After the discoveries of $D_{s0}^*(2317)$ and $D_{s1}(2460)^+$ resonances [4,5] with the mass values smaller than the prediction of the potential model for cs mesons [6], interest in this subject increased considerably. For instance, the tetraquark [7,8] and D^*K molecular pictures [9] have been proposed. Future experimental results on the spectroscopy of cs mesons are expected to shed light on the inner structure.

Significant achievements were also obtained on the experimental side for the mesons with b -quark. The $B_{s1}(5830)^0$ and $B_{s2}^*(5840)^0$ with masses higher than the ground states B_s^0 and B_s^{*0} mesons have been discovered [10–14]. Recently, LHCb collaboration announced the observation of a new excited D_s meson with mass $m = 2591 \pm 6 \pm 7$ MeV with quantum numbers $J^P = 0^-$ in $B^0 \rightarrow D^+ D^- K^+ \pi^-$ decay [15]. Moreover, in the analysis of the $B^+ K^-$ spectrum, excited

B_s states with masses $m_1 = 6063.5 \pm 1.2 \pm 0.8$ MeV and $m_2 = 6114 \pm 3 \pm 5$ MeV [12] were observed.

From the theoretical point of view, these systems have huge potential in obtaining information about the non-perturbative and perturbative aspects of QCD. To determine the possible quantum numbers of these newly observed states, the measurement of the mass is not enough. Strong and electromagnetic decays of these mesons play a crucial role in understanding the structure of these mesons and establishing the possible quantum numbers. Hence, to identify the structure of these mesons, the strong coupling constants of $D_s(2S) \rightarrow D^*K$, $B_s(2S) \rightarrow B^*K$ decays as well as the decay constants of radiative $D_s(2S) \rightarrow D_s^*\gamma$ and $B_s(2S) \rightarrow B_s^*\gamma$ decays within light cone sum rules (LCSR) are calculated by assuming that $D_s(2S)$ and $B_s(2S)$ are the first radial excitation of D_s and B_s mesons. Using the obtained results for these coupling constants, we estimated the decay widths of the corresponding transitions.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, the light cone sum rules for the relevant decay constants of the corresponding transitions are derived. The numerical analysis of the obtained results for the coupling constants is presented in Sect. 3. Moreover, we also presented the values of the corresponding decay widths in this section. The final section contains our conclusion.

2 The light cone sum rules for the electromagnetic and strong decays of $D_s(2S)$ and $B_s(2S)$ mesons

First, let us focus on the calculation of the strong coupling constant for $D_s(nS)(B_s(nS)) \rightarrow D^*(B^*)K$ transitions where $n = 1(2)$ corresponds to the ground (first radial excited) state. The $D_s(nS)D^*K$ coupling is defined by the matrix element

$$\langle D^*(p)K(q) | D_s(nS)(p+q) \rangle = g_n(q\epsilon), \quad (1)$$

^a e-mail: sbilmis@metu.edu.tr (corresponding author)

where ϵ is the polarization vector of the D^* meson and momentum of the corresponding particles is presented in brackets. The corresponding coupling for $B_s(nS)$ is obtained by replacing $c \rightarrow b, D^* \rightarrow B^*$ and $D_s(nS) \rightarrow B_s(nS)$. Note that, even though $D_s(1S) \rightarrow D^*K$ is kinematically forbidden, this interaction still contributes to the sum rule, hence, needs to be taken into account for the calculation of the amplitude.

The coupling constant in the framework of QCD sum rules is obtained by matching the representations of the corresponding correlation function in terms of the hadrons and quark-gluons. For this purpose, we consider the following correlation function

$$\Pi_\mu(p, q) = i \int d^4x e^{ipx} \langle K(q) | T \{ \bar{d} \gamma_\mu c(x) \bar{c}(0) i \gamma_5 s(0) \} | 0 \rangle. \quad (2)$$

The representation of the correlation function in terms of the hadrons is obtained by inserting the complete set of hadrons carrying the same quantum numbers as the interpolating current and isolating the contributions of $D_s(nS)$ and D^* states. Hence, we get the following expression for the correlation function from hadronic side

$$\Pi_\mu(p, q) = \sum_{n=1}^2 \frac{\langle 0 | \bar{q} \gamma_\mu c(x) | D^*(p) \rangle \langle D^*(p) | K(q) | D_s(nS)(p+q) \rangle \langle D_s(nS) | \bar{c}(0) i \gamma_5 s(0) | 0 \rangle}{(m_{D^*}^2 - p^2)(m_{D_s(nS)}^2 - (p+q)^2)}. \quad (3)$$

The matrix elements entering to Eq. (3) are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0 | \bar{d}(x) \gamma_\mu c(x) | D^*(p) \rangle &= f_{D^*} m_{D^*} \epsilon_\mu, \\ \langle D_s(nS) | \bar{c}(0) i \gamma_5 s(0) | 0 \rangle &= \frac{m_{D_s(nS)}^2 f_{D_s(nS)}}{m_c + m_s}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Inserting Eqs. (1) and (4) into Eq. (3) and performing summation of D^* meson polarizations, we get the following expression from the hadronic part

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_\mu(p, q) &= \sum_{n=1}^2 \frac{f_{D^*} m_{D^*} f_{D_s(nS)} m_{D_s(nS)}^2}{m_c + m_s} \\ &\times \frac{g_n}{(m_{D^*}^2 - p^2)(m_{D_s(nS)}^2 - (p+q)^2)} \\ &\times \left\{ q_\mu - \frac{p_\mu}{2m_{D^*}^2} (m_{D_s(nS)}^2 - m_{D^*}^2 - m_K^2) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Note that, to determine the strong coupling constants, g_n , for $D_s(nS) \rightarrow D^*K$ transition, we choose the structure q_μ . The calculation of the correlation function in terms of the quark-gluon degrees of freedom is calculated in deep Euclidean region where both virtualities p^2 and $(p+q)^2$ are negative and large, hence c -quark is far off-shell.

After applying Wick theorem for the theoretical part of the correlation function, we get

$$\Pi_\mu(p, q) = i \int d^4x \langle K(q) | \bar{d}^a(x) S^{ab}(x) i \gamma_5 s^b(0) | 0 \rangle. \quad (6)$$

In the presence of external background field, the heavy quark propagator in x-representation is given as

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\alpha\beta}^{aa'}(x) &= \frac{m_Q^2}{4\pi^2} \left\{ \frac{K_1(m_Q \sqrt{-x^2})}{(\sqrt{-x^2})^2} + \frac{i \not{x} K_2(m_Q \sqrt{-x^2})}{(\sqrt{-x^2})^2} \right\}_{\alpha\beta} \delta^{aa'} \\ &- \frac{g_s m_Q}{16\pi^2} \int_0^1 du \left[\frac{i K_1(m_Q \sqrt{-x^2})}{\sqrt{-x^2}} (u \not{x} \sigma_{\alpha\beta} + \bar{u} \sigma_{\alpha\beta} \not{x}) \right. \\ &\left. + K_0(m_Q \sqrt{-x^2}) \sigma_{\lambda\tau} \right]_{\alpha\beta} G^{(l)\lambda\tau} \left(\frac{\lambda^l}{2} \right)^{aa'} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where $G_{\lambda\tau}^{(l)}$ is the gluon field strength tensor, the λ^l are the Gell-Mann matrices and $K_i(m_Q \sqrt{-x^2})$ are the modified Bessel functions of the second kind.

From Eq. (6), it follows that the calculation of the theoretical part of the correlation function reduces to the determination of the matrix elements $\langle K(q) | \bar{d} \Gamma_i s | 0 \rangle$ and $\langle K(q) | \bar{d} \Gamma_i G_{\lambda\tau}^{(l)} s | 0 \rangle$ after using the following Fierz identities

$$\begin{aligned} q_\alpha^a \bar{q}_\beta^{a'} &= -\frac{1}{12} (\Gamma_i)_{\alpha\beta} \delta^{aa'} \bar{q} \Gamma_i q, \\ q_\alpha^b \bar{q}_\beta^{b'} G_{\lambda\tau}^{(l)} &= -\frac{1}{16} \left(\frac{\lambda^l}{2} \right)^{bb'} (\Gamma_i)_{\alpha\beta} \bar{q} (\Gamma_i) q G_{\lambda\tau}^{(l)}, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

in which Γ_i is the full set of Dirac matrices, $\Gamma_i = \{I, \gamma_5, \gamma_\mu, i \gamma_\mu \gamma_5, \frac{\sigma_{\mu\nu}}{\sqrt{2}}\}$. These matrix elements are the main non-perturbative ingredients of the light cone sum rules. The matrix elements $\langle K(q) | \bar{q} \Gamma_i s | 0 \rangle$ and $\langle K(q) | \bar{q} \Gamma_i G_{\lambda\tau}^{(l)} s | 0 \rangle$ are parameterized in terms of K-meson distribution amplitudes(DA) of different twists. These expressions are given in [16–20] and we present these DA's in Appendix A for completeness.

Inserting Eqs. (7) and (8) into Eq.(6), and performing Fourier transformation first, and double Borel transformation over $-p^2$ and $-(p+q)^2$ after in both representations of the correlating function and matching the coefficients of the structure q_μ for $D_s(nS) \rightarrow D^*K$ coupling constant, we get the following sum rule

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi^{theor.} &= g_1 \frac{f_{D^*} m_{D^*} f_{D_s(1S)} m_{D_s(1S)}^2}{m_c + m_s} e^{-(m_{D_s(1S)}^2/M_1^2 + m_{D^*}^2/M_2^2)} \\ &+ g_2 \frac{f_{D^*} m_{D^*} f_{D_s(2S)} m_{D_s(2S)}^2}{m_c + m_s} e^{-(m_{D_s(2S)}^2/M_1^2 + m_{D^*}^2/M_2^2)}, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where $\Pi^{theor.}$ is presented in Appendix B and M_1^2, M_2^2 represent the Borel mass parameters for the initial and final state channels, respectively.

Since the masses of the initial and final state mesons are nearly the same, we can use $M_1^2 = M_2^2 = 2M^2$. In result, we have one equation but two unknowns, g_1 and g_2 . To obtain the second equation, we get the derivative of both sides of the Eq. (9) with respect to $-1/M^2$. In the following discussions, since we focus on the strong decay of the radially excited meson to the $D_s(2S) \rightarrow D^*K$, we just deal with the coupling constant g_2 . By solving the two equations, we obtain g_2 as

$$g_2 = -\frac{(m_c + m_s)e^{\frac{m_{D_s(2S)}^2 + m_{D^*}^2}{2M^2}} \left((m_{D_{s1}^2} + m_{D^*}^2) \Pi - 2\Pi' \right)}{f_{D_s(2S)} f_{D^*} m_{D_s(2S)}^2 m_{D^*} \left(m_{D_s(2S)}^2 - m_{D_s(1S)}^2 \right)}, \tag{10}$$

where Π' denotes the derivation with respect to $-\frac{1}{M^2}$ of Π .

The results obtained here can be improved by taking $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ corrections. Moreover, once we use $m_K^2 \rightarrow 0$ and by setting $g_2 = 0$ and $m_s \rightarrow 0$ from our results, we can obtain the results for $g_{D^*D\pi}$ coupling which are calculated with and without $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ contributions in [16, 17], respectively.

Now, let us turn our attention to the calculation of the coupling constant f_n for the $D_s(nS) \rightarrow D_s^*\gamma$ ($B_s(nS) \rightarrow B_s^*\gamma$) transition. The transition matrix element between $D_s(nS)$ and D_s^* states due to the electromagnetic current is defined as

$$\langle D_s^*(p) | j_{el}^\mu | D_s(nS)(p+q) \rangle = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} p_\nu \epsilon_\alpha q_\beta f_n(q^2), \tag{11}$$

where f_n is the transition amplitude and ϵ is the four-vector polarization of the D_s^* meson. Since the emitted photon is real in this decay, then we need the value of $f_n(q^2)$ only at $q^2 = 0$ point. The $D_s(nS)(B_s(nS)) \rightarrow D_s^*(B_s^*)\gamma$ decay is described by the following correlation function

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi^{\mu\nu}(p, q) &= i^2 \int d^4x d^4y e^{ipx+iqy} \\ &\times \langle 0 | T \{ j_{D_s^*(B_s^*)}^\mu(x) j_{el}^\nu(y) j_{D_s(nS)(B_s(nS))}(0) \} | 0 \rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where $j_{el}^\nu = e_q \bar{q} \gamma^\nu q + e_Q \bar{Q} \gamma^\nu Q$ and $q(Q)$ is the light (heavy) quarks and $e_q(e_Q)$ denotes its charge. The interpolating currents of $D_s^*(B_s^*)$ and $D_s(nS)(B_s(nS))$ mesons are

$$\begin{aligned} j_{D_s^*(B_s^*)}^\mu &= \bar{s}^a(x) \gamma^\mu Q^a(x) \\ j_{D_s(nS)(B_s(nS))} &= \bar{s}^b(x) i \gamma^5 Q^b(x) \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where $Q^a = c(b)$ for $D_s(B_s)$ case and a and b are the color indices. Hence, we obtain the phenomenological part of the correlation function as

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi^{\mu\nu} &= \sum_{n=1}^2 f_n \frac{f_{D_s^*} f_{D_s(nS)} m_{D_s^*}}{m_c + m_s} \\ &\times \frac{m_{D_s(nS)}^2}{(p^2 - m_{D_s^*}^2) ((p+q)^2 - m_{D_s(nS)}^2)} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\beta} p_\rho q_\beta + \dots \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Here dots describe the contributions of excited states and continuum. To derive the Eq. (14), we used the standard definitions given by Eq. (4).

By introducing the electromagnetic background, the correlation function can be rewritten in the following form

$$\Pi^{\mu\nu} \eta_\nu = i \int d^4x e^{ipx} \langle 0 | T \{ j_{D_s^*}^\mu(x) j_{D_s(nS)}(0) \} | 0 \rangle_F, \tag{15}$$

where subscript F denotes that the vacuum expectation values are evaluated in the presence of the background field

$$F_{\mu\nu} = i(\eta_\mu q_\nu - \eta_\nu q_\mu) e^{iqx}, \tag{16}$$

in which η_μ is the photon polarization four vector.

On the other hand, at hadronic level, the expression for the correlation function can be obtained from Eq. (14) by multiplying it with η_ν

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi^{\mu\nu} \eta_\nu &= \sum_{n=1}^2 f_n \frac{f_{D_s^*} f_{D_s(nS)} m_{D_s^*} m_{D_s(nS)}^2}{m_c + m_s} \\ &\times \frac{1}{(p^2 - m_{D_s^*}^2) ((p+q)^2 - m_{D_s(nS)}^2)} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\beta} \eta_\nu p_\rho q_\beta. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

As we noted earlier, to construct the sum rules for the relevant quantity, calculation of the correlation function in the deep Euclidean domain is needed. This can be performed by inserting the explicit expressions of the interpolating currents into the Eq. (15)

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi^{\mu\nu}(p, q) \eta_\nu &= i \int d^4x e^{ipx} \langle 0 | T \{ \bar{s}^a(x) \gamma^\mu Q^a(x) \bar{Q}(0) i \gamma^5 s^b(0) \} | 0 \rangle_F \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Moreover, the expressions of the heavy and light quark propagators in the presence of the background (gluonic and electromagnetic) fields are needed to calculate the perturbative part of the correlation function. While the heavy quark propagator is given by Eq. (7) the light quark propagator is

$$\begin{aligned} S_q(x) &= \frac{i \not{x}}{2\pi^2 x^4} - \frac{i g_s}{16\pi^2 x^2} \\ &\times \int_0^1 du \{ \bar{u} \not{x} \sigma_{\alpha\beta} + u \sigma_{\alpha\beta} \not{x} \} G^{\alpha\beta}(ux) + \dots \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

There are perturbative and non-perturbative contributions in this calculation. While the perturbative one is obtained when photon is radiated from light or heavy quark propagators, the

non-perturbative contribution is due to the photon radiated from long distance. These contributions are obtained in the following way.

After applying the Fierz identities given in Eq. (8), the following matrix elements describing the non-perturbative interaction of photon with quarks appear.

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \gamma(q, \eta) | \bar{q} \Gamma_i q | 0 \rangle \\ &\langle \gamma(q, \eta) | \bar{q} \Gamma_i G_{\lambda\tau}^{(l)} q | 0 \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Here $\Gamma_i = \{I, \gamma_5, \gamma_\alpha, i\gamma_\alpha\gamma_5, \sigma_{\alpha\beta}/\sqrt{2}\}$. These matrix elements are parameterized in terms of the photon distribution amplitudes (DA's) with definite twists and are obtained in [21]. The theoretical part of the correlation function within the light cone sum rules is also calculated in [22–25] and for completeness presented in ‘‘Appendix C’’.

Similar to the sum rules for the strong coupling constant g_2 , the sum rules for the coupling constants f_2 are obtained by matching the two representations of the correlation function and performing double Borel transformation over the variables $-p^2$ and $-(p+q)^2$ which suppress the excited states and continuum subtraction. This is achieved by using the quark-hadron duality.

Finally, we get the following sum rule for the coupling constant f_2

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi = &-\frac{3}{4\pi^2} \int_{(m_c+m_s)^2}^{s_0} ds e^{-s/M^2} \\ &\times \left[-(e_c - e_s)(m_c - m_s)\lambda(1, a, b) \right. \\ &+ e_c m_c \ln\left(\frac{1+a-b+\lambda}{1+a-b-\lambda}\right) + e_s m_s \ln\left(\frac{1-a+b+\lambda}{1-a+b-\lambda}\right) \Big] \\ &+ (e^{-m_c^2/M^2} - e^{-s_0/M^2}) \left[e_s \frac{f_{3\gamma} m_c \psi^a(u)}{2} \right. \\ &+ e_s M^2 \chi(\bar{s}s) \varphi_\gamma(u) - e_s \frac{\mathbb{A}(u)}{4} \langle \bar{s}s \rangle \left(1 + \frac{m_c^2}{M^2}\right) \Big] \\ &+ I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

To derive this equation, we used $M_1^2 = M_2^2 = 2M^2$. Here, χ is the magnetic susceptibility, s_0 is the continuum threshold, $a = m_c^2/s$, $b = m_s^2/s$, $\lambda(1, a, b) = (1 + a^2 + b^2 - 2ab - 2a - 2b)^{1/2}$ and the explicit form of the functions I_1 and I_2 can be found in [22]. Note that the results for $B_s(2S) \rightarrow B_s^* \gamma$ transition is obtained with the help of the replacements $D_s(nS) \rightarrow B_s(nS)$, $D_s^* \rightarrow B_s^*$, $m_c \rightarrow m_b$, and $e_c \rightarrow e_b$.

We also would like to state the difference between our work with the ones in the literature [22, 23, 25].

- In [23, 25] the three particle distribution amplitudes for a photon which are presented in [22] are not taken into account.
- In [22, 25] the strange quark is neglected.

Table 1 The values of the input parameters used in our calculations

Parameters	Value
$\bar{m}_s(2\text{GeV})$	$0.095 \pm 0.010 \text{ GeV}$ [26]
$\bar{m}_c(\bar{m}_c)$	$1.275 \pm 0.025 \text{ GeV}$ [26]
$\bar{m}_b(\bar{m}_b)$	4.18 ± 0.03 [26]
m_K	0.497 GeV [26]
$\langle \bar{q}q \rangle$	$-(0.245)^3 \text{ GeV}^3$ [26]
$\langle \bar{s}s \rangle$	$(0.8 \pm 0.2) \langle \bar{q}q \rangle \text{ GeV}^3$ [24]
$f_{D_{s_2}}$	$0.143_{-0.031}^{+0.019} \text{ GeV}$ [24]
$f_{D_{s_1}}$	$0.279_{-0.012}^{+0.021} \text{ GeV}$ [24]
$f_{D_s^*}$	$0.293_{-0.014}^{+0.019} \text{ GeV}$ [24]
f_{D^*}	$0.235_{-0.012}^{+0.025} \text{ GeV}$ [24]
$f_{B_{s_2}}$	$0.174_{-0.019}^{+0.019} \text{ GeV}$ [24]
$f_{B_{s_1}}$	$0.244_{-0.026}^{+0.013} \text{ GeV}$ [24]
$f_{B_s^*}$	$0.251_{-0.016}^{+0.014} \text{ GeV}$ [24]
f_{B^*}	$0.208_{-0.021}^{+0.012} \text{ GeV}$ [24]
$f_{3\gamma}$	$-(4 \pm 2) \times 10^{-3} \text{ GeV}^2$ [22]
$\chi(\mu = 1 \text{ GeV})$	$3.15 \pm 0.3 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ [22]

3 Numerical analysis

Here, we present the numerical analysis to determine the strong coupling g_2 and electromagnetic coupling f_2 obtained in the previous section. LCSR method contains numerous input parameters such as the light and heavy quark masses, the masses of the corresponding mesons, decay constants of $D_s(nS)$ ($B_s(nS)$) and $D^*(B^*)$, $D_s^*(B_s^*)$ mesons, and the value of quark condensates. These values are collected in Table 1. We used the $\bar{M}\bar{S}$ values of the heavy quarks in our numerical analysis. Another sets of the input parameters are the DA's of K-meson and photon. These DA's are presented in [18, 20].

In addition to these input parameters, the sum rules contain two auxiliary parameters, namely the Borel mass parameter, M^2 , and continuum threshold s_0 . Hence, we need to find the regions, so-called working regions, of these parameters where the couplings demonstrate weak dependency on the variation of these parameters. The lowest value of M^2 is obtained by requiring the condition that the higher twists contributions should considerably be smaller than the lowest twists terms and constitute maximum 15% of the contribution. The upper bound on M^2 is determined by demanding that the continuum contribution be less than 30% of the pole contribution. Considering these requirements, we find the following working regions of M^2

$$\begin{aligned} 6 \text{ GeV}^2 \leq M^2 \leq 10 \text{ GeV}^2 \\ \text{for } D_s(2S) \rightarrow D^* K \text{ and } D_s(2S) \rightarrow D_s^* \gamma, \\ 20 \text{ GeV}^2 \leq M^2 \leq 30 \text{ GeV}^2 \end{aligned}$$




Fig. 1 The dependency of g on M^2 at the fixed values of s_0 for $D_s(2S) \rightarrow D^*K$ decay




Fig. 3 The dependency of $f_2(GeV^{-1})$ on M^2 at fixed values of s_0 for $D_s(2S) \rightarrow D_s^*\gamma$




Fig. 2 Same as in Fig. 1 but for $B_s(2S) \rightarrow B^*K$ transition




Fig. 4 Same as in Fig.3 but for $B_s(2S) \rightarrow B_s^*\gamma$ transition

$$\text{for } B_s(2S) \rightarrow B^*K \text{ and } B_s(2S) \rightarrow B_s^*\gamma. \quad (22)$$

The working region of the continuum threshold s_0 is determined by requiring that the two-point sum rules reproduce a 10% accuracy of the mass of the radially excited states. With this restriction, we obtain the following optimum values:

$$\begin{aligned} 9.5 \text{ GeV}^2 \leq s_0 \leq 10.5 \text{ GeV}^2 \\ \text{for } D_s(2S) \rightarrow D^*K \text{ and } D_s(2S) \rightarrow D_s^*\gamma, \\ 42 \text{ GeV}^2 \leq s_0 \leq 44 \text{ GeV}^2 \\ \text{for } B_s(2S) \rightarrow B^*K \text{ and } B_s(2S) \rightarrow B_s^*\gamma. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

In Figs. 1 and 2, we present the dependencies of g_2 on M^2 at the fixed values of s_0 for $D_s(2S) \rightarrow D^*K$ and $B_s(2S) \rightarrow B^*K$, respectively. From these figures it follows that the coupling constant shows good stability for the regions $6 \text{ GeV}^2 \leq M^2 \leq 10 \text{ GeV}^2$ and $22 \text{ GeV}^2 \leq M^2 \leq 30 \text{ GeV}^2$, respectively. Taking into account all the uncertainties in the values of the input parameters we get:

$$\begin{aligned} g_2 = (7.5 \pm 1.6) \text{ for } D_s(2S) \rightarrow D^*K, \\ g_2 = (4.6 \pm 1.3) \text{ for } B_s(2S) \rightarrow B^*K. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

In Figs. 3 and 4 we depict the dependency of the coupling constant f_2 on M^2 at fixed values of s_0 for $D_s(2S) \rightarrow D_s^*\gamma$ and $B_s(2S) \rightarrow B_s^*\gamma$, respectively. Once we take into account the uncertainties in the input parameters, we obtain the following values for f_2 :

$$\begin{aligned} f_2 = (0.08 \pm 0.02) \text{ GeV}^{-1} \text{ for } D_s(2S) \rightarrow D_s^*\gamma \\ f_2 = (0.58 \pm 0.22) \text{ GeV}^{-1} \text{ for } B_s(2S) \rightarrow B_s^*\gamma \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

The obtained values of g_2 and f_2 allowed us to predict the corresponding decay widths via following formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(D_s(2S) \rightarrow D_s^*K) \\ = \frac{g_2^2}{64\pi} \frac{((m_{D_s(2S)} - m_{D^*})^2 - m_K^2)^{3/2} ((m_{D_s(2S)} + m_{D^*})^2 - m_K^2)^{3/2}}{m_{D_s(2S)}^5}, \\ \Gamma(D_s(2S) \rightarrow D_s^*\gamma) \\ = \frac{f_2^2}{32\pi} \frac{(m_{D_s(2S)}^2 - m_{D_s^*}^2)^3}{m_{D_s(2S)}^3}, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Using the values of g_2 and f_2 , we obtain the following values for the corresponding decay widths

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(D_s(2S) \rightarrow D^*K) &= (6.14 \pm 2.62) \text{ MeV} \\ \Gamma(B_s(2S) \rightarrow B^*K) &= (3.11 \pm 1.77) \text{ MeV} \\ \Gamma(D_s(2S) \rightarrow D_s^*\gamma) &= (42 \pm 21) \text{ keV} \\ \Gamma(B_s(2S) \rightarrow B_s^*\gamma) &= (6.23 \pm 4.62) \text{ MeV}\end{aligned}\quad (27)$$

All the errors from different sources are taken into account quadratically in this study.

We see from these results that the strong decay widths of the radially excited D_s and B_s mesons are quite large and can be potentially observed at LHCb. In addition, the radiative decay widths are also larger than $D_s^*(1S) \rightarrow D_s(1S)\gamma$ and $B_s^*(1S) \rightarrow B_s(1S)\gamma$ can be observed in future experiments.

At the end of this section, we would like to make the following remarks. The $g_{D^*D\pi}$ and $g_{B^*B\pi}$ couplings without and with NLO corrections are calculated in [16, 17], respectively. It is obtained that the NLO corrections increase the results by around 12% and 4% in D and B mesons sectors, respectively. We expect that the NLO corrections would alter the results in the same order.

4 Conclusion

The discovery of new radially excited $D_s(2S)$ and $B_s(2S)$ mesons at LHCb stimulated theoretical and experimental studies for a deeper understanding of the properties of these mesons and the radial excitation mesons in general. We estimated these mesons' most promising decay channels within the light cone QCD sum rules method in the present work. From the obtained results, one can conclude that these decays would have a chance to be observed in future experiments.

Acknowledgements The author thanks T.M.Aliev and M.Savci for valuable discussions.

Data Availability Statement This manuscript has no associated data or the data will not be deposited. [Authors' comment: This study is theoretical and does not have associated data].

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Funded by SCOAP³.

Appendix A

The matrix elements of the nonlocal operators between vacuum and one-particle light pseudoscalar meson states are needed. The matrix elements $\langle \mathcal{P}(q) | \bar{q}(x) \Gamma_i q'(0) | 0 \rangle$ are parametrized in terms of the DA's [18–20] and they are determined as,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \mathcal{P}(p) | \bar{q}_1(x) \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 q_1(0) | 0 \rangle &= -if_{\mathcal{P}} q_\mu \int_0^1 du e^{i\bar{u}qx} \left(\varphi_{\mathcal{P}}(u) + \frac{1}{16} m_{\mathcal{P}}^2 x^2 \mathbb{A}(u) \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{i}{2} f_{\mathcal{P}} m_{\mathcal{P}}^2 \frac{x_\mu}{qx} \int_0^1 du e^{i\bar{u}qx} \mathbb{B}(u), \\ \langle \mathcal{P}(p) | \bar{q}_1(x) i \gamma_5 q_2(0) | 0 \rangle &= \mu_{\mathcal{P}} \int_0^1 du e^{i\bar{u}qx} \phi_{\mathcal{P}}(u), \\ \langle \mathcal{P}(p) | \bar{q}_1(x) \sigma_{\alpha\beta} \gamma_5 q_2(0) | 0 \rangle &= \frac{i}{6} \mu_{\mathcal{P}} \left(1 - \tilde{\mu}_{\mathcal{P}}^2 \right) (q_\alpha x_\beta - q_\beta x_\alpha) \int_0^1 du e^{i\bar{u}qx} \phi_\sigma(u), \\ \langle \mathcal{P}(p) | \bar{q}_1(x) \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 g_s G_{\alpha\beta}(vx) q_2(0) | 0 \rangle &= i\mu_{\mathcal{P}} \left[q_\alpha q_\mu \left(g_{\nu\beta} - \frac{1}{qx} (q_\nu x_\beta + q_\beta x_\nu) \right) \right. \\ &\quad - q_\alpha q_\nu \left(g_{\mu\beta} - \frac{1}{qx} (q_\mu x_\beta + q_\beta x_\mu) \right) \\ &\quad - q_\beta q_\mu \left(g_{\nu\alpha} - \frac{1}{qx} (q_\nu x_\alpha + q_\alpha x_\nu) \right) \\ &\quad \left. + q_\beta q_\nu \left(g_{\mu\alpha} - \frac{1}{qx} (q_\mu x_\alpha + q_\alpha x_\mu) \right) \right] \\ &\quad \times \int \mathcal{D}\alpha e^{i(\alpha_{\bar{q}} + v\alpha_g)qx} \mathcal{T}(\alpha_i), \\ \langle \mathcal{P}(p) | \bar{q}_1(x) \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 g_s G_{\alpha\beta}(vx) q_2(0) | 0 \rangle &= q_\mu (q_\alpha x_\beta - q_\beta x_\alpha) \frac{1}{qx} f_{\mathcal{P}} m_{\mathcal{P}}^2 \int \mathcal{D}\alpha e^{i(\alpha_{\bar{q}} + v\alpha_g)qx} \mathcal{A}_{\parallel}(\alpha_i) \\ &\quad + \left[q_\beta \left(g_{\mu\alpha} - \frac{1}{qx} (q_\mu x_\alpha + q_\alpha x_\mu) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - q_\alpha \left(g_{\mu\beta} - \frac{1}{qx} (q_\mu x_\beta + q_\beta x_\mu) \right) \right] f_{\mathcal{P}} m_{\mathcal{P}}^2 \\ &\quad \times \int \mathcal{D}\alpha e^{i(\alpha_{\bar{q}} + v\alpha_g)qx} \mathcal{A}_{\perp}(\alpha_i), \\ \langle \mathcal{P}(p) | \bar{q}_1(x) \gamma_\mu i g_s G_{\alpha\beta}(vx) q_2(0) | 0 \rangle &= q_\mu (q_\alpha x_\beta - q_\beta x_\alpha) \frac{1}{qx} f_{\mathcal{P}} m_{\mathcal{P}}^2 \int \mathcal{D}\alpha e^{i(\alpha_{\bar{q}} + v\alpha_g)qx} \mathcal{V}_{\parallel}(\alpha_i) \\ &\quad + \left[q_\beta \left(g_{\mu\alpha} - \frac{1}{qx} (q_\mu x_\alpha + q_\alpha x_\mu) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - q_\alpha \left(g_{\mu\beta} - \frac{1}{qx} (q_\mu x_\beta + q_\beta x_\mu) \right) \right] f_{\mathcal{P}} m_{\mathcal{P}}^2 \\ &\quad \times \int \mathcal{D}\alpha e^{i(\alpha_{\bar{q}} + v\alpha_g)qx} \mathcal{V}_{\perp}(\alpha_i),\end{aligned}\quad (1)$$

where

$$\mu_{\mathcal{P}} = f_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{m_{\mathcal{P}}^2}{m_{q_1} + m_{q_2}}, \quad \tilde{\mu}_{\mathcal{P}} = \frac{m_{q_1} + m_{q_2}}{m_{\mathcal{P}}},$$

and q_1 and q_2 are the quarks in the meson \mathcal{P} , $\mathcal{D}\alpha = d\alpha_{\bar{q}}d\alpha_qd\alpha_g\delta(1 - \alpha_{\bar{q}} - \alpha_q - \alpha_g)$. Here $\varphi_{\mathcal{P}}(u)$ is the leading twist-two, $\phi_{\mathcal{P}}(u)$, $\phi_{\sigma}(u)$, $\mathcal{T}(\alpha_i)$ are the twist-three, and $\mathbb{A}(u)$, $\mathbb{B}(u)$, $\mathcal{A}_{\perp}(\alpha_i)$, $\mathcal{A}_{\parallel}(\alpha_i)$, $\mathcal{V}_{\perp}(\alpha_i)$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\parallel}(\alpha_i)$ are the twist-four DAs, respectively.

The main input parameters of the light cone QCD sum rules are the distribution amplitudes, whose expressions are given below [18–20],

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\mathcal{P}}(u) &= 6u\bar{u} \left[1 + a_1^{\mathcal{P}} C_1(2u - 1) + a_2^{\mathcal{P}} C_2^{3/2}(2u - 1) \right], \\ \mathcal{T}(\alpha_i) &= 360\eta_3\alpha_q\alpha_{\bar{q}}\alpha_g^2 \left[1 + w_3 \frac{1}{2}(7\alpha_g - 3) \right], \\ \phi_{\mathcal{P}}(u) &= 1 + \left[30\eta_3 - \frac{5}{2} \frac{1}{\mu_{\mathcal{P}}^2} \right] C_2^{1/2}(2u - 1), \\ &\quad + \left(-3\eta_3 w_3 - \frac{27}{20} \frac{1}{\mu_{\mathcal{P}}^2} - \frac{81}{10} \frac{1}{\mu_{\mathcal{P}}^2} a_2^{\mathcal{P}} \right) \\ &\quad \times C_4^{1/2}(2u - 1), \\ \phi_{\sigma}(u) &= 6u\bar{u} \left[1 + \left(5\eta_3 - \frac{1}{2}\eta_3 w_3 \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \frac{7}{20}\mu_{\mathcal{P}}^2 - \frac{3}{5}\mu_{\mathcal{P}}^2 a_2^{\mathcal{P}} \right) C_2^{3/2}(2u - 1) \right], \\ \mathcal{V}_{\parallel}(\alpha_i) &= 120\alpha_q\alpha_{\bar{q}}\alpha_g (v_{00} + v_{10}(3\alpha_g - 1)), \\ \mathcal{A}_{\parallel}(\alpha_i) &= 120\alpha_q\alpha_{\bar{q}}\alpha_g (0 + a_{10}(\alpha_q - \alpha_{\bar{q}})), \\ \mathcal{V}_{\perp}(\alpha_i) &= -30\alpha_g^2 \left[h_{00}(1 - \alpha_g) \right. \\ &\quad + h_{01}(\alpha_g(1 - \alpha_g) - 6\alpha_q\alpha_{\bar{q}}) \\ &\quad \left. + h_{10} \left(\alpha_g(1 - \alpha_g) - \frac{3}{2} (\alpha_{\bar{q}}^2 + \alpha_q^2) \right) \right], \\ \mathcal{A}_{\perp}(\alpha_i) &= 30\alpha_g^2(\alpha_{\bar{q}} - \alpha_q) \left[h_{00} + h_{01}\alpha_g + \frac{1}{2}h_{10}(5\alpha_g - 3) \right], \\ B(u) &= g_{\mathcal{P}}(u) - \varphi_{\mathcal{P}}(u), \\ g_{\mathcal{P}}(u) &= g_0 C_0^{1/2}(2u - 1) + g_2 C_2^{1/2}(2u - 1) \\ &\quad + g_4 C_4^{1/2}(2u - 1), \\ \mathbb{A}(u) &= 6u\bar{u} \left[\frac{16}{15} + \frac{24}{35} a_2^{\mathcal{P}} + 20\eta_3 + \frac{20}{9}\eta_4 \right. \\ &\quad + \left(-\frac{1}{15} + \frac{1}{16} - \frac{7}{27}\eta_3 w_3 - \frac{10}{27}\eta_4 \right) \\ &\quad \times C_2^{3/2}(2u - 1) \\ &\quad + \left(-\frac{11}{210} a_2^{\mathcal{P}} - \frac{4}{135}\eta_3 w_3 \right) C_4^{3/2}(2u - 1) \Big], \\ &\quad + \left(-\frac{18}{5} a_2^{\mathcal{P}} + 21\eta_4 w_4 \right) \\ &\quad \times [2u^3(10 - 15u + 6u^2) \ln u \\ &\quad + 2\bar{u}^3(10 - 15\bar{u} + 6\bar{u}^2) \ln \bar{u} + u\bar{u}(2 + 13u\bar{u})], \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

Table 2 Parameters of the wave function calculated at the renormalization scale $\mu = 1 \text{ GeV}$

	π	K
$a_1^{\mathcal{P}}$	0	0.050
$a_2^{\mathcal{P}}$ (set-1)	0.11	0.15
$a_2^{\mathcal{P}}$ (set-2)	0.25	0.27
η_3	0.015	0.015
η_4	10	0.6
w_3	-3	-3
w_4	0.2	0.2

where $C_n^k(x)$ are the Gegenbauer polynomials, and

$$\begin{aligned} h_{00} &= v_{00} = -\frac{1}{3}\eta_4, \\ a_{10} &= \frac{21}{8}\eta_4 w_4 - \frac{9}{20} a_2^{\mathcal{P}}, \\ v_{10} &= \frac{21}{8}\eta_4 w_4, \\ h_{01} &= \frac{7}{4}\eta_4 w_4 - \frac{3}{20} a_2^{\mathcal{P}}, \\ h_{10} &= \frac{7}{4}\eta_4 w_4 + \frac{3}{20} a_2^{\mathcal{P}}, \\ g_0 &= 1, \\ g_2 &= 1 + \frac{18}{7} a_2^{\mathcal{P}} + 60\eta_3 + \frac{20}{3}\eta_4, \\ g_4 &= -\frac{9}{28} a_2^{\mathcal{P}} - 6\eta_3 w_3. \quad (3) \end{aligned}$$

The values of the parameters $a_1^{\mathcal{P}}$, $a_2^{\mathcal{P}}$, η_3 , η_4 , w_3 , and w_4 entering Eq. (3) are listed in Table 2 for the pseudoscalar π , K and η mesons.

Appendix B

Coefficient of the q_{μ} structure for $D_s(2S) \rightarrow D^* K$ decay

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi &= \frac{1}{12} M^2 \left\{ 24\mu_{\mathcal{P}} i_3(\mathcal{T}, v) + 12f_{\mathcal{P}} m_Q \varphi_{\mathcal{P}}(\bar{u}_0) + 6\mu_{\mathcal{P}} \phi_{\mathcal{P}}(\bar{u}_0) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \mu_{\mathcal{P}}(1 - \tilde{\mu}_{\mathcal{P}}^2) [4\phi_{\sigma}(\bar{u}_0) - \phi'_{\sigma}(\bar{u}_0)] \right\} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{24M^2} \left\{ f_{\mathcal{P}} m_Q (-6m_{\mathcal{P}}^2 m_Q^2 \mathbb{A}(\bar{u}_0) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 24m_{\mathcal{P}}^4 [i_1(\mathcal{A}_{\parallel}, 1) + i_1(\mathcal{A}_{\perp}, 1) - i_1(\mathcal{V}_{\parallel}, 1) - i_1(\mathcal{V}_{\perp}, 1)] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (g_s^2 G G) \varphi_{\mathcal{P}}(\bar{u}_0) \right\} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{864M^4} \left\{ m_Q (g_s^2 G G) [-18f_{\mathcal{P}} m_{\mathcal{P}}^2 \mathbb{A}(\bar{u}_0) + 18f_{\mathcal{P}} m_{\mathcal{P}}^2 \tilde{j}_1(B) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + m_Q (12f_{\mathcal{P}} m_Q \varphi_{\mathcal{P}}(\bar{u}_0) + 6\mu_{\mathcal{P}} \phi_{\mathcal{P}}(\bar{u}_0) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \mu_{\mathcal{P}}(1 - \tilde{\mu}_{\mathcal{P}}^2) \{8\phi_{\sigma}(\bar{u}_0) + \phi'_{\sigma}(\bar{u}_0)\}] \right\} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{432M^6} \left\{ m_Q^2 (g_s^2 G G) [3f_{\mathcal{P}} m_{\mathcal{P}}^2 m_Q (3\mathbb{A}(\bar{u}_0) - \tilde{j}_1(B)) \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - (m_{\mathcal{P}}^2 - 2m_Q^2)\mu_{\mathcal{P}}(1 - \tilde{\mu}_{\mathcal{P}}^2)\phi_{\sigma}(\bar{u}_0) \Big] \Big\} \\
 & + \frac{1}{288M^8} \left[f_{\mathcal{P}}m_{\mathcal{P}}^2m_Q^5 \langle g_s^2 GG \rangle \mathbb{A}(\bar{u}_0) \right] \\
 & - \frac{1}{2} \left[f_{\mathcal{P}}m_{\mathcal{P}}^2m_Q \tilde{j}_1(B) \right] \\
 & - \frac{1}{6} \left\{ 3m_{\mathcal{P}}^2 \left[3\mu_{\mathcal{P}}i_2(\mathcal{T}, 1) + 2f_{\mathcal{P}}m_Q \left(i_2(\mathcal{A}_{\parallel}, 1) - 2i_2(\mathcal{A}_{\perp}, 1) \right. \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. - i_2(\mathcal{V}_{\parallel}, 1) + 2i_2(\mathcal{V}_{\perp}, 1) \right) \right. \\
 & \left. \left. - 10\mu_{\mathcal{P}}i_2(\mathcal{T}, v) \right] + (m_{\mathcal{P}}^2 - 2m_Q^2)\mu_{\mathcal{P}}(1 - \tilde{\mu}_{\mathcal{P}}^2)\phi_{\sigma}(\bar{u}_0) \right\}. \tag{1}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mu_{\mathcal{P}} = \frac{f_{\mathcal{P}}m_{\mathcal{P}}^2}{m_{q_1} + m_{q_2}}, \quad \tilde{\mu}_{\mathcal{P}} = \frac{m_{q_1} + m_{q_2}}{m_{\mathcal{P}}}, \tag{2}$$

The functions i_n ($n = 1, 2$), and $\tilde{j}_1(f(u))$ are defined as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 i_1(\phi, f(v)) &= \int \mathcal{D}\alpha_i \int_0^1 dv \phi(\alpha_{\bar{q}}, \alpha_q, \alpha_g) f(v) \delta(k - u_0), \\
 i_2(\phi, f(v)) &= \int \mathcal{D}\alpha_i \int_0^1 dv \phi(\alpha_{\bar{q}}, \alpha_q, \alpha_g) f(v) \delta'(k - u_0), \\
 \tilde{j}_1(f(u)) &= \int_{u_0}^1 du f(u), \\
 \mathcal{I}_n &= \int_{m_Q^2}^{\infty} ds \frac{e^{-s/M^2}}{s^n}, \\
 \mathcal{I}_n^{\ell n} &= \int_{m_Q^2}^{\infty} ds \frac{e^{-s/M^2}}{s^n} \ln \frac{M^2(s - m_Q^2)}{\Lambda^2 s}, \tag{3}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 k &= \alpha_q + \alpha_g(1 - v), \quad \tilde{k} = \alpha_{\bar{q}} + \alpha_g v, \\
 u_0 &= \frac{M_1^2}{M_1^2 + M_2^2}, \quad \bar{u}_0 = 1 - u_0, \quad M^2 = \frac{M_1^2 M_2^2}{M_1^2 + M_2^2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Appendix C

For completeness, in this Appendix we present the matrix elements $\langle \gamma(q) | \bar{q} \Gamma_i q | 0 \rangle$ and $\langle \gamma(q) | \bar{q} \Gamma_i G_{\mu\nu} q | 0 \rangle$ which are calculated in terms of the photon DA's [21].

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle \gamma(q) | \bar{q}(x) \sigma_{\mu\nu} q(0) | 0 \rangle \\
 &= -i e_q \bar{q} q (\varepsilon_{\mu} q_{\nu} - \varepsilon_{\nu} q_{\mu}) \\
 & \times \int_0^1 du e^{i\bar{u}qx} \left(\chi \varphi_{\gamma}(u) + \frac{x^2}{16} \mathbb{A}(u) \right) - \frac{i}{2(qx)} e_q \langle \bar{q} q \rangle \\
 & \times \left[x_{\nu} \left(\varepsilon_{\mu} - q_{\mu} \frac{\varepsilon x}{qx} \right) - x_{\mu} \left(\varepsilon_{\nu} - q_{\nu} \frac{\varepsilon x}{qx} \right) \right] \\
 & \times \int_0^1 du e^{i\bar{u}qx} h_{\gamma}(u) \\
 & \langle \gamma(q) | \bar{q}(x) \gamma_{\mu} q(0) | 0 \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= e_q f_{3\gamma} \left(\varepsilon_{\mu} - q_{\mu} \frac{\varepsilon x}{qx} \right) \int_0^1 du e^{i\bar{u}qx} \psi^v(u) \\
 & \langle \gamma(q) | \bar{q}(x) \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_5 q(0) | 0 \rangle \\
 &= -\frac{1}{4} e_q f_{3\gamma} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \varepsilon^{\nu} q^{\alpha} x^{\beta} \int_0^1 du e^{i\bar{u}qx} \psi^a(u) \\
 & \langle \gamma(q) | \bar{q}(x) g_s G_{\mu\nu}(vx) q(0) | 0 \rangle \\
 &= -i e_q \langle \bar{q} q \rangle (\varepsilon_{\mu} q_{\nu} - \varepsilon_{\nu} q_{\mu}) \int \mathcal{D}\alpha_i e^{i(\alpha_{\bar{q}} + v\alpha_g)qx} \mathcal{S}(\alpha_i) \\
 & \langle \gamma(q) | \bar{q}(x) g_s \tilde{G}_{\mu\nu} i \gamma_5(vx) q(0) | 0 \rangle \\
 &= -i e_q \langle \bar{q} q \rangle (\varepsilon_{\mu} q_{\nu} - \varepsilon_{\nu} q_{\mu}) \int \mathcal{D}\alpha_i e^{i(\alpha_{\bar{q}} + v\alpha_g)qx} \tilde{\mathcal{S}}(\alpha_i) \\
 & \langle \gamma(q) | \bar{q}(x) g_s \tilde{G}_{\mu\nu}(vx) \gamma_{\alpha} \gamma_5 q(0) | 0 \rangle \\
 &= e_q f_{3\gamma} q_{\alpha} (\varepsilon_{\mu} q_{\nu} - \varepsilon_{\nu} q_{\mu}) \int \mathcal{D}\alpha_i e^{i(\alpha_{\bar{q}} + v\alpha_g)qx} \mathcal{A}(\alpha_i) \\
 & \langle \gamma(q) | \bar{q}(x) g_s G_{\mu\nu}(vx) i \gamma_{\alpha} q(0) | 0 \rangle \\
 &= e_q f_{3\gamma} q_{\alpha} (\varepsilon_{\mu} q_{\nu} - \varepsilon_{\nu} q_{\mu}) \int \mathcal{D}\alpha_i e^{i(\alpha_{\bar{q}} + v\alpha_g)qx} \mathcal{V}(\alpha_i) \\
 & \langle \gamma(q) | \bar{q}(x) \sigma_{\alpha\beta} g_s G_{\mu\nu}(vx) q(0) | 0 \rangle \\
 &= e_q \langle \bar{q} q \rangle \left\{ \left[\left(\varepsilon_{\mu} - q_{\mu} \frac{\varepsilon x}{qx} \right) \left(g_{\alpha\nu} - \frac{1}{qx} (q_{\alpha} x_{\nu} + q_{\nu} x_{\alpha}) \right) q_{\beta} \right. \right. \\
 & \quad - \left(\varepsilon_{\mu} - q_{\mu} \frac{\varepsilon x}{qx} \right) \left(g_{\beta\nu} - \frac{1}{qx} (q_{\beta} x_{\nu} + q_{\nu} x_{\beta}) \right) q_{\alpha} \\
 & \quad - \left(\varepsilon_{\nu} - q_{\nu} \frac{\varepsilon x}{qx} \right) \left(g_{\alpha\mu} - \frac{1}{qx} (q_{\alpha} x_{\mu} + q_{\mu} x_{\alpha}) \right) q_{\beta} \\
 & \quad \left. \left. + \left(\varepsilon_{\nu} - q_{\nu} \frac{\varepsilon x}{qx} \right) \left(g_{\beta\mu} - \frac{1}{qx} (q_{\beta} x_{\mu} + q_{\mu} x_{\beta}) \right) q_{\alpha} \right] \right. \\
 & \quad \times \int \mathcal{D}\alpha_i e^{i(\alpha_{\bar{q}} + v\alpha_g)qx} \mathcal{T}_1(\alpha_i) \\
 & \quad + \left[\left(\varepsilon_{\alpha} - q_{\alpha} \frac{\varepsilon x}{qx} \right) \left(g_{\mu\beta} - \frac{1}{qx} (q_{\mu} x_{\beta} + q_{\beta} x_{\mu}) \right) q_{\nu} \right. \\
 & \quad - \left(\varepsilon_{\alpha} - q_{\alpha} \frac{\varepsilon x}{qx} \right) \left(g_{\nu\beta} - \frac{1}{qx} (q_{\nu} x_{\beta} + q_{\beta} x_{\nu}) \right) q_{\mu} \\
 & \quad - \left(\varepsilon_{\beta} - q_{\beta} \frac{\varepsilon x}{qx} \right) \left(g_{\mu\alpha} - \frac{1}{qx} (q_{\mu} x_{\alpha} + q_{\alpha} x_{\mu}) \right) q_{\nu} \\
 & \quad \left. \left. + \left(\varepsilon_{\beta} - q_{\beta} \frac{\varepsilon x}{qx} \right) \left(g_{\nu\alpha} - \frac{1}{qx} (q_{\nu} x_{\alpha} + q_{\alpha} x_{\nu}) \right) q_{\mu} \right] \right. \\
 & \quad \times \int \mathcal{D}\alpha_i e^{i(\alpha_{\bar{q}} + v\alpha_g)qx} \mathcal{T}_2(\alpha_i) \\
 & \quad + \frac{1}{qx} (q_{\mu} x_{\nu} - q_{\nu} x_{\mu}) (\varepsilon_{\alpha} q_{\beta} - \varepsilon_{\beta} q_{\alpha}) \\
 & \quad \times \int \mathcal{D}\alpha_i e^{i(\alpha_{\bar{q}} + v\alpha_g)qx} \mathcal{T}_3(\alpha_i) \\
 & \quad + \frac{1}{qx} (q_{\alpha} x_{\beta} - q_{\beta} x_{\alpha}) (\varepsilon_{\mu} q_{\nu} - \varepsilon_{\nu} q_{\mu}) \\
 & \quad \left. \left. \times \int \mathcal{D}\alpha_i e^{i(\alpha_{\bar{q}} + v\alpha_g)qx} \mathcal{T}_4(\alpha_i) \right\},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi_{\gamma}(u)$ is the leading twist 2, $\psi^v(u)$, $\psi^a(u)$, \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{V} are the twist 3 and $h_{\gamma}(u)$, \mathbb{A} , \mathcal{T}_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) are the twist 4 photon DAs, respectively and χ is the magnetic susceptibility of the quarks. The measure $\mathcal{D}\alpha_i$ is defined as

$$\int \mathcal{D}\alpha_i = \int_0^1 d\alpha_{\bar{q}} \int_0^1 d\alpha_q \int_0^1 d\alpha_g \delta(1 - \alpha_{\bar{q}} - \alpha_q - \alpha_g).$$

Explicit form of the photon DAs entering into above matrix elements.

$$\varphi_\gamma(u) = 6u\bar{u} \left(1 + \varphi_2(\mu) C_2^{\frac{3}{2}}(u - \bar{u}) \right),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^v(u) &= 3 \left(3(2u - 1)^2 - 1 \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{64} \left(15w_\gamma^V - 5w_\gamma^A \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(3 - 30(2u - 1)^2 + 35(2u - 1)^4 \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^a(u) &= \left(1 - (2u - 1)^2 \right) \left(5(2u - 1)^2 - 1 \right) \\ &\quad \times \frac{5}{2} \left(1 + \frac{9}{16} w_\gamma^V - \frac{3}{16} w_\gamma^A \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$A(\alpha_i) = 360\alpha_q\alpha_{\bar{q}}\alpha_g^2 \left(1 + w_\gamma^A \frac{1}{2}(7\alpha_g - 3) \right),$$

$$\mathcal{V}(\alpha_i) = 540w_\gamma^V(\alpha_q - \alpha_{\bar{q}})\alpha_q\alpha_{\bar{q}}\alpha_g^2,$$

$$h_\gamma(u) = -10 \left(1 + 2\kappa^+ \right) C_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(u - \bar{u}),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{A}(u) &= 40u^2\bar{u}^2 \left(3\kappa - \kappa^+ + 1 \right) \\ &\quad + 8(\zeta_2^+ - 3\zeta_2) [u\bar{u}(2 + 13u\bar{u}) \\ &\quad + 2u^3(10 - 15u + 6u^2) \ln(u) \\ &\quad + 2\bar{u}^3(10 - 15\bar{u} + 6\bar{u}^2) \ln(\bar{u})], \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{T}_1(\alpha_i) = -120(3\zeta_2 + \zeta_2^+)(\alpha_{\bar{q}} - \alpha_q)\alpha_{\bar{q}}\alpha_q\alpha_g,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_2(\alpha_i) &= 30\alpha_g^2(\alpha_{\bar{q}} - \alpha_q) \left((\kappa - \kappa^+) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (\zeta_1 - \zeta_1^+)(1 - 2\alpha_g) + \zeta_2(3 - 4\alpha_g) \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{T}_3(\alpha_i) = -120(3\zeta_2 - \zeta_2^+)(\alpha_{\bar{q}} - \alpha_q)\alpha_{\bar{q}}\alpha_q\alpha_g,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_4(\alpha_i) &= 30\alpha_g^2(\alpha_{\bar{q}} - \alpha_q) \left((\kappa + \kappa^+) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (\zeta_1 + \zeta_1^+)(1 - 2\alpha_g) + \zeta_2(3 - 4\alpha_g) \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}(\alpha_i) &= 30\alpha_g^2\{(\kappa + \kappa^+)(1 - \alpha_g) \\ &\quad + (\zeta_1 + \zeta_1^+)(1 - \alpha_g)(1 - 2\alpha_g) \\ &\quad + \zeta_2[3(\alpha_{\bar{q}} - \alpha_q)^2 - \alpha_g(1 - \alpha_g)]\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{S}}(\alpha_i) &= -30\alpha_g^2\{(\kappa - \kappa^+)(1 - \alpha_g) \\ &\quad + (\zeta_1 - \zeta_1^+)(1 - \alpha_g)(1 - 2\alpha_g) \\ &\quad + \zeta_2[3(\alpha_{\bar{q}} - \alpha_q)^2 - \alpha_g(1 - \alpha_g)]\}. \end{aligned}$$

The constants entering the above DAs are obtained as [21] $\varphi_2(1 \text{ GeV}) = 0, w_\gamma^V = 3.8 \pm 1.8, w_\gamma^A = -2.1 \pm 1.0, \kappa = 0.2, \kappa^+ = 0, \zeta_1 = 0.4, \zeta_2 = 0.3, \zeta_1^+ = 0$ and $\zeta_2^+ = 0$.

References

1. M. Gell-Mann, Phys. Lett. **8**, 214 (1964). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9163\(64\)92001-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9163(64)92001-3)
2. G. Zweig, CERN-TH-401 (1964)
3. G. Zweig, in *Developments in the quark theory of hadrons. vol. 1. 1964–1978*, ed. by D. Lichtenberg, S.P. Rosen (1964), pp. 22–101
4. B. Aubert et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 242001 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.242001>
5. D. Besson et al., Phys. Rev. D **68**, 032002 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.68.032002>, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.75.119908> [Erratum: Phys. Rev. D **75**, 119908(2007)]
6. S. Godfrey, K. Moats, Phys. Rev. D **93**(3), 034035 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.034035>
7. L. Maiani, F. Piccinini, A.D. Polosa, V. Riquer, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 114010 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.114010>
8. T.E. Browder, S. Pakvasa, A.A. Petrov, Phys. Lett. B **578**, 365 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2003.10.067>
9. E. van Beveren, G. Rupp, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 012003 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.012003>
10. T. Aaltonen et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 082001 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.082001>
11. V.M. Abazov et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 082002 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.082002>
12. R. Aaij et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**(15), 151803 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.151803>
13. T.A. Aaltonen et al., Phys. Rev. D **90**(1), 012013 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.012013>
14. A.M. Sirunyan et al., Eur. Phys. J. C **78**(11), 939 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-6390-z>
15. R. Aaij et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **126**(12), 122002 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.122002>
16. V.M. Belyaev, V.M. Braun, A. Khodjamirian, R. Rückl, Phys. Rev. D **51**, 6177 (1995). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.51.6177>
17. A. Khodjamirian, B. Melić, Y.M. Wang, Y.B. Wei, JHEP **03**, 016 (2021). [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03\(2021\)016](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2021)016)
18. P. Ball, V.M. Braun, A. Lenz, JHEP **05**, 004 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2006/05/004>
19. P. Ball, R. Zwicky, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 014015 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.71.014015>
20. P. Ball, V.M. Braun, Y. Koike, K. Tanaka, Nucl. Phys. B **529**, 323 (1998). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213\(98\)00356-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(98)00356-3)
21. P. Ball, V.M. Braun, N. Kivel, Nucl. Phys. B **649**, 263 (2003). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213\(02\)01017-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(02)01017-9)
22. J. Rohrwild, JHEP **09**, 073 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/09/073>
23. Z.H. Li, X.Y. Wu, T. Huang, J. Phys. G **28**, 2583 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/28/10/305>
24. P. Gelhausen, A. Khodjamirian, A.A. Pivovarov, D. Rosenthal, Eur. Phys. J. C **74**(8), 2979 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-014-2979-z>
25. T.M. Aliev, D.A. Demir, E. Iltan, N.K. Pak, Phys. Rev. D **54**(1), 857 (1996). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.54.857>
26. M. Tanabashi et al., Phys. Rev. D **98**, 030001 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.030001>