**Regular Article - Theoretical Physics** 



# Late-time tails, entropy aspects, and stability of black holes with anisotropic fluids

B. Cuadros-Melgar<sup>1,a</sup> , R. D. B. Fontana<sup>2,b</sup> , Jeferson de Oliveira<sup>3,c</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Escola de Engenharia de Lorena, Universidade de São Paulo, Estrada Municipal do Campinho S/N, CEP 12602-810 Lorena, SP, Brazil

<sup>2</sup> Universidade Federal da Fronteira Sul, Campus Chapecó, CEP 89802-112 Chapecó, SC, Brazil

<sup>3</sup> Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso, CEP 78060-900 Cuiabá, Brazil

Received: 2 July 2020 / Accepted: 27 August 2020 / Published online: 14 September 2020  $\circledcirc$  The Author(s) 2020

**Abstract** In this work we consider black holes surrounded by anisotropic fluids in four dimensions. We first study the causal structure of these solutions showing some similarities and differences with Reissner–Nordström–de Sitter black holes. In addition, we consider scalar perturbations on this background geometry and compute the corresponding quasinormal modes. Moreover, we discuss the late-time behavior of the perturbations finding an interesting new feature, i.e., the presence of a subdominant power-law tail term. Likewise, we compute the Bekenstein entropy bound and the first semiclassical correction to the black hole entropy using the brick wall method, showing their universality. Finally, we also discuss the thermodynamical stability of the model.

# 1 Introduction

Recently, the LIGO collaboration [1,2] started the age of gravitational wave astronomy through the detection of a gravitational signal coming from the merger of two astrophysical black holes. Such signal was strong enough to permit the observation of the ringdown phase characterized by the socalled quasinormal modes (QNMs), which carry information of the structure of the spacetime itself. In addition, the study of QNMs spectra can bring a better understanding of the stability of a given black hole solution [3–7]. Moreover, this question can be addressed through the scattering of a scalar field in the fixed black hole background [8–13], which can be understood as a probe field to test the (in)stability of the black hole metric.

The QNMs and its spectrum are characterized, under appropriate boundary conditions, by a set of complex frequencies and encode the linear response of the black hole geometry to an external probe field with different spin weights. The time evolution of such probe fields is divided in three main stages: the initial burst in a short interval depending on the initial conditions, followed by the damping oscillation given by the QNMs and, at late-times, a power-law or exponential tails.

Another interesting subject that black holes bring is their thermodynamics. The similarity between classical thermodynamics and the laws governing the mechanics of black holes was well established by Bekenstein and Hawking [14,15] through the identification of black hole surface gravity and event horizon area with the temperature and entropy of a thermodynamical system, respectively. This fact led to the well known Bekenstein–Hawking formula,

$$S_{BH} = \frac{Area}{4},\tag{1}$$

expressed in geometrical units. Based on this novel theory Bekenstein proposed the existence of an upper bound on the entropy of any system of energy E and dimension R given by  $S \leq 2\pi E R$  [16]. This equation is a consequence of the validity of the generalized second law (GSL) of black hole thermodynamics. Furthermore, in an effort to include quantum aspects in the gravitational theory describing a black hole, 't Hooft [17] proposed a semi-classical method to compute the corrections to the classical entropy formula (1). This technique known as the brickwall method consists in considering a thermal bath of scalar fields living outside the event horizon. The quantization of these fields via statistical mechanics partition function leads to quantum corrections to the black hole entropy. By carrying out this calculation on a Schwarzschild black hole 't Hooft showed that the first correction is proportional to the area, as expected, having a coefficient dependent on the proper distance from the horizon to the wall. Later cal-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>e-mail: bertha@usp.br

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>e-mail: rodrigo.fontana@uffs.edu.br

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> e-mail: jeferson@gravitacao.org (corresponding author)

culations in other solutions showed that this first correction is the same in 4-dimensional geometries.

In this work we are interested in a solution of Einstein equations discovered by Kiselev [18], which describes a spherically symmetric black hole surrounded by an anisotropic fluid [19,20]. This constitutes a line-element derived from the solutions studied in [21], the so-called dirty black holes. Studies on its stability [22–26] and some aspects of its thermodynamical behavior have been implemented in the last years [27–31]. However, a detailed description of the causal structure of the spacetime, the late-time behavior of the scalar QNMs, and other aspects related to corrections to the entropy and thermodynamical stability are absent in the literature.

The paper is organized as follows, Sect. 2 presents the metric describing the family of black holes surrounded by anisotropic fluid and its main features. In Sect. 3 we present the causal structure of this spacetime. Also, the perturbative dynamics due to probe scalar field evolution is formulated and the QNMs spectrum and late-time tails are computed. Section 4 brings a study of some aspects of black hole thermodynamics including Bekenstein entropy bound, semiclassical corrections to entropy through t'Hooft brick wall method, and thermodynamical stability tested using specific heat and Hessian matrix criteria. Finally, in Sect. 5 some final comments are given.

## 2 Black hole solutions

We are interested in a kind of dirty black hole whose lineelement can be written as

$$ds^{2} = -f(r) dt^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{f(r)} + r^{2} d\Omega^{2}, \qquad (2)$$

where  $d\Omega^2$  represents the metric of the 2-sphere and f(r) is given by [18]

$$f(r) = 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{Q^2}{r^2} - \frac{c}{r^{3\omega_f + 1}},$$
(3)

being *M* the black hole mass, *Q* its electric charge,  $c = r_q^{3\omega_f+1}$  a constant ( $r_q$  is a dimensional normalization constant), and  $\omega_f$  a parameter that characterizes an anisotropic fluid surrounding the black hole, obeying the equation of state  $p_f = \omega_f \rho_f$ . Concerning this line-element there are four very special cases depending on the value of the state parameter. The value  $\omega_f = -1$  corresponds to a Reissner-Nordström-(Anti)-de Sitter black hole where 3c plays the role of the cosmological constant. When  $\omega_f = -1/3$ , we have a topological Reissner-Nordström black hole. If  $\omega_f = 0$ , the solution describes a Schwarzschild spacetime with shifted mass. And for  $\omega_f = 1/3$  the metric corresponds to a Reissner-Nordström black hole whenever  $c < Q^2$ .

For the line-element (2) with the metric coefficient (3) for all possible values of fluid state parameter a relative pressure anisotropy of the spacetime is defined by [19,20]

$$\Delta = \frac{p_r - p_t}{(p_r + 2p_t)/3} = -\frac{3}{2} \left[ \frac{4Q^2 - c\omega_f (1 + \omega_f) r^{1 - 3\omega_f}}{Q^2 - c\omega_f^2 r^{1 - 3\omega_f}} \right],$$
(4)

where  $p_r$  and  $p_t$  represent the total energy-momentum tensor components  $T_{11}$  and  $T_{22} = T_{33}$ , respectively. This non-zero anisotropy labels a non-quintessential fluid, different from what was stated in the first work which presented such a metric [18].

Furthermore, we can reinterpret the energy-momentum tensor of the solution as a sum of anisotropic fluids with different state parameters instead of considering a black hole surrounded by just one fluid component. By writing

$$g_{tt} = -\sum_{n} \frac{c_n}{r^{f_n}},\tag{5}$$

with  $c_n$  and  $f_n$  being constants, the energy-momentum tensor is linear in each 'charge' n, i.e.,  $T = T^{f_1} + T^{f_2} + T^{f_3} + \cdots$ . In such case by the proper choice of  $c_n$ 's and  $f_n$ 's we can easily have the charged black hole surrounded by a fluid as represented previously, meaning that the traditional components of charge and mass can be seen as fluid charges in the Kiselev picture [18].

Now the null energy condition imposes severe restrictions on the state parameter of the fluid  $\omega_f$ . By taking the condition of validity of the null energy statement [19,20] we have that the density gradient of the fluid is

$$\rho' = \left(\frac{m'}{4\pi r^2}\right)' \le 0,\tag{6}$$

where m' represents the derivative of the position-dependent mass function m(r) defined as [20]

$$2m(r) = \sum_{i=0}^{N} K_i r^{-3\omega_i},$$
(7)

with  $K_i$  and  $\omega_i$  being general coefficients and exponents of a Puiseux series. In our case we obtain

$$\rho' = \frac{1}{8\pi r^4} \left[ -\frac{4Q^2}{r} + \frac{9c\omega_f(\omega_f + 1)}{r^{3\omega_f}} \right].$$
 (8)

Thus, the energy condition is preserved whenever  $-1 \le \omega_f \le 0$ , and violated otherwise. For this reason in this work we will study dynamical and thermodynamical aspects of the geometry within the range of validity of such condition.

In the next section we are going to characterize the causal structure of the family of solutions represented by the lineelement (2) establishing the nature of the singularity and the horizons. In addition, we will check the late-time behavior of scalar QNMs in that geometry.

#### 3 Causal structure and probe scalar field evolution

We are going to describe the causal structure for two different representative black hole solutions of the metric (2). We start by considering the behavior of the Kretschmann invariant given by

$$R_{abcd}R^{abcd} = \frac{48M^2}{r^6} - \frac{96MQ^2}{r^7} + \frac{56Q^4}{r^8} + \frac{c^2p_1}{r^{2(2+\sigma)}} + \frac{8cMp_2}{r^{(5+\sigma)}} - \frac{4cQ^2p_3}{r^{(6+\sigma)}},$$
(9)

where we have defined  $\sigma = 3w_f + 1$ ,  $p_1 = \sigma^4 + 2\sigma^3 + 5\sigma^2 + 4$ ,  $p_2 = \sigma^2 + 3\sigma + 2$  and  $p_3 = 3\sigma^2 + 7\sigma + 2$ . In the cases when  $w_f \leq 0$  we have  $\sigma \leq 1$ , so the Kretschmann invariant always diverges at r = 0 and is well behaved at the horizons and, thus, the line-element (2) has a physical singularity at the origin r = 0. In what follows, we are going to show that for two specific cases  $\omega_f = -1/2$  and  $\omega_f = -2/3$  with M > Q there is a range of parameters that represents a black hole with cosmological-like horizon  $r_c$ , an event horizon  $r_+$ , and Cauchy inner horizon  $r = r_-$  covering the time-like singularity at r = 0. Such causal structure is very similar to the Reissner-Nordström-de Sitter (RNdS) black hole, except in the region beyond the cosmological-like horizon  $r > r_c$ , where the spatial infinity  $(r \to \infty)$  is light-like.

#### 3.1 Black hole solution with $w_f = -1/2$

Considering the line-element (2) with  $w_f = -1/2$  and the redefinition of the radial coordinate  $r = z^2$  we have

$$ds^{2} = -\frac{c}{z^{4}}H(z)dt^{2} + \frac{4z^{6}}{c}H(z)^{-1}dz^{2} + z^{4}d\Omega^{2}, \qquad (10)$$

where the function H(z) is given in terms of three real roots  $z_c > z_+ > z_-$  denoting, respectively, the cosmologicallike, event, and Cauchy horizons, and two real negative roots  $(z_1, z_2)$ . Thus,

$$H(z) = -(z - z_c)(z - z_+)(z - z_-)(z + z_1)(z + z_2), \quad (11)$$

yields a tortoise coordinate given by

$$z_* = -\frac{2}{c}z - \alpha_c \log |z - z_c| + \alpha_+ \log |z - z_+|$$
  
-\alpha\_- \log |z - z\_-| + \alpha\_1 \log |z + z\_1| - \alpha\_2 \log |z + z\_2|(12)

which defines the usual double null system,  $U = t - z_*$  and  $V = t + z_*$ . Here the constants  $(\alpha_c, \alpha_+, \alpha_-, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)$  are all positive definite and are given in terms of the horizons

$$\alpha_i = \frac{2z_i^5}{c} \prod_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{|z_i - z_j|},$$
(13)

where the indices *i* and *j* denote the horizons  $(z_c, z_+, z_-, z_1, z_2)$ .

We perform a detailed examination of the behavior of the black hole solution in the vicinity of each horizon in order to obtain the Kruskal–Szekeres extension to end up with the Penrose–Carter diagram of the entire manifold.

Near the cosmological-like horizon  $z = z_c$ , the Kruskal– Szekeres coordinates  $U_c$  and  $V_c$  obey the following relation

$$U_c V_c = \pm e^{(2/c\alpha_c)z} |z - z_c| \left( \frac{|z - z_-|^{\alpha_-}}{|z - z_+|^{\alpha_+}} \frac{|z + z_2|^{\alpha_2}}{|z + z_1|^{\alpha_1}} \right)^{1/\alpha_c},$$
(14)

where the plus sign denotes the region  $z > z_c$  and the negative sign corresponds to the region  $z < z_c$ . Similarly, near the event horizon  $z_+$  we have

$$U_{+}V_{+} = \mp e^{-(2/c\alpha_{+})z} |z-z_{+}| \left(\frac{1}{|z-z_{c}|^{\alpha_{c}}} \frac{1}{|z-z_{-}|^{\alpha_{-}}} \frac{|z+z_{1}|^{\alpha_{1}}}{|z+z_{2}|^{\alpha_{2}}}\right)^{1/\alpha_{+}},$$
(15)

where the upper sign refers to  $z > z_+$  and the lower sign refers to  $z < z_+$ . Finally, for the region near the Cauchy horizon  $z \approx z_-$ , we have

$$U_{-}V_{-} = \pm e^{2/c\alpha_{-}} |z - z_{-}| \left( \frac{|z - z_{c}|^{\alpha_{c}}}{|z - z_{+}|^{\alpha_{+}}} \frac{|z + z_{2}|^{\alpha_{2}}}{|z + z_{1}|^{\alpha_{1}}} \right)^{1/\alpha_{-}}.$$
(16)

Introducing the Penrose coordinates  $T = \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{U} + \tilde{V})$  and  $R = \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{U} - \tilde{V})$  in each region covered by the relations (14– 16) with  $\tilde{U} = \arctan(U)$  and  $\tilde{V} = \arctan(V)$ , we compactified the coordinates. Furthermore, combining different overlaping coordinate patches it is possible to extend the metric through each horizon, thus, constructing the conformal diagram for the entire spacetime (10) in Fig. (1). Such diagram shows a causal structure very similar to that of a Reissner-Nordström–de Sitter black hole [32,33]. We observe an infinite sequence of structures featuring two outer horizons (event and cosmological-like), an inner Cauchy horizon, and a time-like singularity at the origin z = 0. However, the spatial infinity  $(z \rightarrow \infty)$  in the black hole solution with  $w_f = -1/2$  displays a light-like structure, which is different from the Reissner-Nordström-de Sitter case, where the spatial infinity is space-like (see Fig. 2 in [33]).

For an observer in region I crossing the event horizon and entering region III, we observe that the coordinate z is now time-like and the subsequent motion occurs with z decreasing. However, after the observer crosses the Cauchy horizon, the coordinate z becomes space-like again, so it is possible for this observer to avoid the time-like singularity at z = 0and emerge in another copy of region III.

The maximally extended black hole with  $w_f = -2/3$ , and the conformal diagram is the same as in the case  $w_f = -1/2$ , and can be obtained by performing the same steps as discussed here. The detailed calculation of the extension is given in the Appendix A.

Eur. Phys. J. C (2020) 80:848

**Fig. 1** Penrose–Carter diagram for the four-dimensional black hole with  $w_f = -1/2$  and  $w_f = -2/3$ 



## 3.2 Klein–Gordon equation

For a black hole spacetime as represented in Fig. 1 the physical universe lies in region I, where we choose to integrate a scalar field that do not change the geometry.

In this domain the integration of the Klein–Gordon equation,  $\Box \Phi = 0$ , will be affected by the definition of a tortoise coordinate system,  $dx = f^{-1}dr$ , (now in terms of r) used to fix the field propagation as ingoing plane waves crossing through the boundaries of x. In terms of this system the field equation turns to the usual simple form

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - V(r)\right)\Psi = 0,$$
(17)

where  $\Psi$  represents the radial-temporal part of the Klein–Gordon field written as

$$\Phi = \sum_{l,m} Y_l^m(\theta, \phi) \frac{\Psi(r, t)}{r},$$
(18)

and V(r) plays the role of a potential for the scattered scalar waves given by

$$V(r) = f(r) \left[ \frac{\partial_r f(r)}{r} + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} \right]$$
  
=  $\left( 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{Q^2}{r^2} - \frac{c}{r^{3\omega_f + 1}} \right)$   
 $\times \left[ \frac{2M}{r^3} - \frac{2Q^2}{r^4} + \frac{c(3\omega_f + 1)}{r^{3\omega_f + 3}} + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} \right].$  (19)

In de Sitter spacetimes the tortoise coordinate places the cosmological horizon  $r \rightarrow r_c$  at the point  $x = \infty$  and the event horizon of the black hole  $r \rightarrow r_+$  at  $x = -\infty$ . This is also the case for dirty black holes with an anisotropic fluid as discussed in this paper. As a consequence, when using the above wave equation we will restrict the integration to the region  $-\infty < x < \infty$  in block I of Penrose diagram.

When studying the evolution of fields in fixed geometries, Eq. (17) establishes a master equation and for different fields (or spherical geometries) the proper V(r) must be taken. The sign of V(r) between asymptotic infinites determines the possibility of field instabilities. For V(r) > 0 the field profile is always stable as long as  $Im(\omega) < 0$  [34], while if V(r) is partially negative in those regions, modal stability is not granted and further analysis is necessary.<sup>1</sup> Though the modal stability is not mathematically proved for black holes with anisotropic fluids (specially when l = 0), that is the case for Kerr–de Sitter black hole [36,37] (extendible to RNdS). The asymptotic response of the metric to field excitations is demonstrated to be that of a set of quasinormal modes in such geometries, consequently, stable. Being the massless and chargeless scalar field evolution in RNdS stable, we expect the same stability to exist in the spacetimes we analyzed here since the depth of the potential is always shorter than that of RNdS.

The numerical integration in double null-coordinates for the calculus of the quasinormal modes is a well-establish method, which in general does not depend on the initial conditions. Except for the "initial burst" of evolution, the quasinormal ringing phase that follows and the late-time behavior depend only on the geometry parameters. In terms of the null coordinate system  $u \times v$ ,

$$2dv = dt + dx$$
  
$$2du = dt - dx,$$
 (20)

the Klein-Gordon equation takes the form

$$\left[4\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u\partial v} + V(r)\right]\Psi = 0,$$
(21)

or, written as a discrete equation,

$$\Psi_N = \Psi_W + \Psi_E - \Psi_S - \frac{h^2}{8} V_S \left[ \Psi_W + \Psi_E \right]$$
(22)

The boundary conditions in such system can be put in the form

$$\Psi|_{fixed v} = constant, \Psi|_{fixed u} = Gaussian package,$$
 (23)

although discussions on the preservation of polar and radial symmetry (for the gravitational field) have presented Neumann boundary condition as the appropriate one.

After obtaining the field profile in time domain we can employ the Prony method [38] to acquire the quasinormal frequencies or, in the case of non-oscillatory profiles, linear regression. We will also use the WKB6 method [39–41] as a matter of comparison.

#### 3.3 Late-time behavior and quasinormal modes

The late-time evolution of the probe scalar field brings two distinct behaviors depending on the fluid parameters c and  $\omega_f$ . In Fig. 2 we can see different field profiles evolved from a similar initial burst as defined above. Depending on the fluid charge parameters we have an exponential decay or a power-law tail dominating the final stage of evolution. The fact comes surprisingly as a combination of two distinct behaviors already found in black holes with/without cosmological constant, being such final stage an exponential decay/power-law tail, respectively, for the Reissner–Nordström case.

In the left panel the exponential decay mode comes for the highest frequencies  $|\omega_f|$  and the power-law tail happens when  $\omega_f = -1/2$ . The dominant purely imaginary quasinormal modes (smallest imaginary part) also present in the de Sitter black holes spacetimes [42] are a family of modes connected to the presence of the cosmological constant [43] (or, in our case, to the anisotropic fluid density). For small enough values of the fluid state parameter  $\omega_f$  and density c though, the dominant term between horizons is that of the Schwarzschild potential generating the well-known powerlaw behavior [5,44,45]. Such role is associated to the weak decay of the potential for high values of r and may come as a general result of the integral around the negative imaginary  $\omega$  axis. The same qualitative characteristic can be seen on the right panel of Fig. 2. Whenever c > 0.1, the fluid term is dominant and a purely imaginary quasinormal mode overcomes the power-law tail behavior.

A region of frontier in the parameters happens approximately at  $-0.65 \leq \omega_f \leq -0.5$ , which is represented by an exponential decaying final stage if  $\omega_f < -0.65$  and a power-law tail if  $\omega_f > -0.5$ . Between both values the dominant final stage of the field in late-times alternates between these two profiles, a feature we discuss in what follows.

A second element present in the scalar field evolution of the above figures is the quasinormal modes, damped oscillations that arrive given the presence of a black hole potential barrier such as (19). In Table 1 we list the fundamental modes for different values of fluid density. As expected, the influence of the fluid in the scalar field QNMs is very mild when its density is small (not detectable, e.g. for  $c \sim 10^{-6}$ ), no matter what the state parameter is. As c increases, the differences coming from several state parameters of the fluid increase as well. We can see that the quality factor,  $\mathbb{Q} = \frac{\operatorname{Re}(\omega)}{-\operatorname{Im}(\omega)}$ , decreases as we increase  $|\omega_f|$ . In fact, in a spacetime with an anisotropic fluid the scalar field oscillates better compared to a spacetime with cosmological constant: e. g. when  $M = 2Q = l = 10c/3c_{max} = 1$ , we have  $\mathbb{Q} = 3.30, 3.18, 3.03 \text{ and } 2.88 \text{ for } \omega_f = -1/2, -2/3, -5/6$ and -1, respectively.

The results in the Table 1 were double checked with the WKB6 method [39]. The convergence of both calculations is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In the spacetimes we study we have a partly negative potential only for the angular momentum l = 0. In general, the most unstable situation comes when V(r) is entirely negative between the horizons. In such cases no stable field evolutions can be found in the literature, e. g. [35].



Fig. 2 Late-time behavior of propagating scalar field in dirty black holes, profiles of exponential decay or power-law tail. Left panel: M = 2Ql = 10c = 1; right panel:  $M = 2Q = l = -2\omega_f = 1$ 

<b>Table 1</b> The quasinormal modes of the RN black hole with anisotropic fluid. The parameters of the geometry and scalar field read $M = 2Q = l = 1$	$\omega_f = -1/2$			$\omega_f = -2/3$		$\omega_f = -5/6$	
	$c/c_{max}$	$\operatorname{Re}(\omega)$	$-\operatorname{Im}(\omega)$	$\operatorname{Re}(\omega)$	$-\operatorname{Im}(\omega)$	$Re(\omega)$	$-\operatorname{Im}(\omega)$
	0.000001	0.306577	0.098825	0.306577	0.098825	0.306577	0.098825
	0.001	0.306339	0.098731	0.306381	0.098756	0.306393	0.098774
	0.01	0.304192	0.097883	0.304614	0.098133	0.304735	0.098313
	0.1	0.282652	0.089421	0.286716	0.091821	0.287854	0.093546
	0.2	0.258577	0.080084	0.266302	0.084612	0.268423	0.087876
	0.3	0.234234	0.070970	0.245124	0.077112	0.248194	0.081799
	0.4	0.209281	0.061993	0.223141	0.069385	0.226918	0.075302
	0.5	0.183879	0.052833	0.200051	0.061351	0.204263	0.068156
	0.6	0.157742	0.043015	0.175489	0.052864	0.180136	0.060159
	0.7	0.130354	0.033828	0.148884	0.044002	0.153675	0.050825
	0.8	0.100866	0.024957	0.118713	0.034209	0.122685	0.042393
	0.9	0.067115	0.016471	0.081417	0.024291	0.085918	0.027818
	0.99	0.019522	0.003853	0.020814	0.011965	0.024821	0.012187

as good as 0.1% for  $c/c_{max} \lesssim 0.5$ , where  $c_{max}$  represents the maximum value of fluid density to which 3 horizons arise. Whenever the fluid density is high, higher divergences are found. This comes as no surprise as long as the WKB6 has a poor convergence for near extremal black holes.

For a large range of parameters we investigate the transitional behavior of the scalar field at late-times. Testing for the linear correlation of two different profiles written as

$$\Psi|_{late \ times} \to t^{-a},\tag{24}$$

$$\Psi|_{late times} \to e^{-\alpha t},$$
 (25)

we perform calculations for different state parameters going from  $\omega_f = -0.5$  to  $\omega_f = -1$ . The results are given in Table 2. Observing the high values of linear correlation we state that both behaviors (exponential decay and power-law) are present in the final stage of the field evolution being one of them dominant.

We can see a small variation in the linear coefficients of the power-law series for  $-0.65~\lesssim~\omega_f~\lesssim~-0.5$  and an explosion after that, softening its behavior in the field composition  $\Psi|_{late \ times} \rightarrow C_1 t^{-a} + C_2 e^{-\alpha t}$ . This makes the presence of this term subdominant in relation to the exponential decay series, which is prevalent for  $\omega_f \gtrsim$ -2/3.

This comes as an interesting result not stated until now in the available literature, e. g. for RNdS geometries, the presence of a power-law tail term subdominant to the imaginary quasinormal mode in late-times in such spacetimes.

Table 2 Quasinormal modes and late-time behavior of the RN black hole with anisotropic fluid in a geometry with M = 2Q = 100c = 1. The scalar field angular momentum reads l = 1

$-\omega_f$	а	<i>R</i> <sup>2</sup>	α	<i>R</i> <sup>2</sup>	$\operatorname{Re}(\omega)$	$-\operatorname{Im}(\omega)$
0.52	4.88671	1.00000	0.01551	0.98920	0.29729	0.095191
0.55	2.29142	0.99582	0.00611	0.99981	0.29629	0.094800
0.58	2.87836	0.99906	0.00917	0.99459	0.29518	0.094512
0.61	3.18710	0.99933	0.01014	0.99398	0.29395	0.094148
0.64	3.79916	0.99723	0.01213	0.99741	0.29260	0.093758
0.67	6.07792	0.99753	0.01618	0.99997	0.29108	0.093323
0.7	9.01819	0.99703	0.02402	1.00000	0.28941	0.092925
0.73	12.82665	0.99698	0.03416	1.00000	0.28755	0.092521
0.76	17.40289	0.99697	0.04635	1.00000	0.28550	0.092112
0.79	26.61588	0.99697	0.07089	1.00000	0.28159	0.091429
0.82	28.67909	0.99697	0.07639	1.00000	0.28073	0.091293
0.85	35.26838	0.99697	0.09394	1.00000	0.27795	0.090884
0.88	42.40377	0.99697	0.11294	1.00000	0.27488	0.090478
0.91	50.01264	0.99697	0.13321	1.00000	0.27150	0.090076
0.94	72.31642	0.99753	0.15068	0.99759	0.26776	0.089683

In the last two columns of the Table 2 we can see the quasinormal modes frequencies for a variety of  $\omega_f$ . The frequencies were obtained via Prony method with the same field profiles used in the late-time test. Again they were checked with WKB6 method with very good agreement in the results (maximum deviation of 0.1%).

We should stress that we extensively studied field propagation along a multitude of black hole parameters  $(M, Q, c, \omega_f)$ and found always stable evolutions as those reported here. On the other hand, there is also another kind of instability produced by a more general perturbation, a charged scalar field, whose origin comes from superradiance. This process is associated to the extraction of energy and charge from a black hole by scattering waves [46]. In order to have superradiant scattering it is necessary to have a charged field perturbation trapped somewhere between the event horizon and a reflecting mirror [47,48]. This can be realized by an effective potential with a maximum and a minimum outside the horizon such that the wave is amplified in the valley between these extrema. Alternatively, it has also been proposed that the mirror could be supplied by a plasma surrounding the black hole [49,50]. A case in point is the Reissner–Nordström–de Sitter black hole for which it has been proved that a massless charged scalar field with l = 0 displays an instability at late times whose origin is superradiant [51]. However, it was also demonstrated that superradiance is a necessary but not sufficient condition to trigger instability [52]. Regarding the black hole solution surrounded by an anisotropic fluid studied here, a study using a massive charged perturbation with l = 3 has found no instabilities [53]. Nevertheless, a more careful study with different parameters would be necessary in order to have a definite answer about superradiant instability.

## **4** Thermodynamics

In this section we are going to discuss some thermodynamical aspects of the dirty black holes under consideration.

First of all, we can rewrite the metric coefficient (3) in terms of the event horizon as

$$f(r) = \frac{r - r_{+}}{r} - \frac{Q^{2}}{r^{2}} \frac{(r - r_{+})}{r_{+}} + \frac{c}{r^{3\omega_{f}+1}} \frac{(r^{3\omega_{f}} - r_{+}^{3\omega_{f}})}{r_{+}^{3\omega_{f}}}.$$
(26)

In addition, using the metric (2) we can write the surface gravity of this horizon as

$$\kappa = \frac{1}{2} f'(r)|_{r=r_{+}} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{2M}{r_{+}^{2}} - \frac{2Q^{2}}{r_{+}^{3}} + (3\omega_{f} + 1)\frac{c}{r_{+}^{3\omega_{f}+2}} \right].$$
(27)

Both expressions will be useful in our next calculations.

#### 4.1 Entropy bound

Let us consider a particle in equatorial motion near a black hole. The constants of motion are given by

$$E = \pi_t = g_{tt}t$$
  

$$J = -\pi_\phi = -g_{\phi\phi}\dot{\phi},$$
(28)

corresponding to the energy and angular momentum of the particle, respectively. Since the energy conservation for a particle of mass m implies  $-m^2 = \pi_\mu \pi^\mu$ , using the metric (2) together with the metric coefficient (3) we can obtain a quadratic equation for the conserved energy E of the particle,

$$E^2 - \frac{fJ^2}{r^2} - m^2 f = 0,$$
(29)

whose solution becomes

$$E = \sqrt{m^2 f + \frac{f J^2}{r^2}}.$$
 (30)

As the particle is approaching the black hole gradually, this process must stop when the proper distance from the body's center of mass to the black hole horizon equals the body's radius R,

$$\int_{r_{+}}^{r_{+}+\delta(R)} \sqrt{g_{rr}} \, dr = R, \tag{31}$$

where  $r_++\delta(R)$  represents the point of capture of the particle by the black hole. At this point the energy of the particle (30) can be evaluated and minimized with respect to the angular momentum of the particle. This results in  $J_{min} = 0$ , such that

$$E_{min} = \sqrt{f(r_+ + \delta)} m. \tag{32}$$

In order to perform the integral (31), express  $\delta$  in terms of *R*, and evaluate Eq.(32), we considered 3 cases,  $\omega_f = -1/2$ , -2/3, -5/6. To first order in  $\delta$  the proper distance integral yields,

$$\delta = \begin{cases} \frac{(2r_+^2 r_q - 2Q^2 r_q - 3r_+^2 \sqrt{r_+ r_q})R^2}{8r_+^3 r_q}, \text{ for } \omega_f = -1/2\\ \frac{(r_+^2 r_q - Q^2 r_q - 2r_+^2)R^2}{4r_+^3 r_q}, \text{ for } \omega_f = -2/3\\ \frac{(2r_+^2 r_q^2 - 2Q^2 r_q^2 - 5r_+^3 \sqrt{r_+ r_q})R^2}{8r_+^3 r_q^2}, \text{ for } \omega_f = -5/6 \end{cases}$$
(33)

From the first law of thermodynamics we have that

$$dM = \frac{\kappa}{2} \, dA_r,\tag{34}$$

being  $A_r$  the rationalized event horizon area  $A/4\pi$  and  $dM = E_{min}$ , the change in the black hole mass due to the assimilation of the particle. Using Eqs. (27), (32), and (33) we obtain

$$dA_r = 2mR, (35)$$

in the three cases considered here. Now assuming the validity of the Generalized Second Law (GSL),  $S_{BH}(M + dM) \ge S_{BH}(M) + S$ , we derive an upper bound to the entropy S of an arbitrary system of proper energy E,

$$S \le 2\pi m R. \tag{36}$$

This result is independent of the black hole parameters and perfectly agrees with the universal bound found by Bekenstein [16].

# Springer

#### 4.2 Semiclassical corrections to black hole entropy

Following 't Hooft's brickwall method [17] we consider a thermal bath of scalar fields propagating just outside the horizon of a black hole background given by Eqs. (2) and (3). The minimally coupled scalar field with mass  $\mu$  satisfies Klein–Gordon equation,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\partial_{\mu}(\sqrt{-g}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\nu}\Phi) - \mu^{2}\Phi = 0.$$
(37)

The idea is to quantize this field using the partition function of statistical mechanics, whose leading contribution comes from the classical solutions of the Euclidean action that yield the Bekenstein-Hawking formula. This scalar field will produce quantum corrections to the black hole entropy which can be calculated using the brickwall method. The 't Hooft method consists in introducing an ultraviolet cut-off near the event horizon such that  $\Phi = 0$  for  $r \le r_+ + \epsilon$ . In addition, in order to eliminate infrared divergences another cut-off is introduced at a large distance from the horizon,  $L \gg r_+$ , where  $\Phi = 0$  for  $r \ge L$ . By decomposing the scalar field as

$$\Phi(t, r, \theta, \phi) = e^{-iEt} R(r) Y(\theta, \phi), \qquad (38)$$

the radial part of Eq. (37) turns into

$$R'' + \left(\frac{f'}{f} + \frac{2}{r}\right)R' + \frac{1}{f}\left[\frac{E^2}{f} - \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2} - \mu^2\right]R = 0,$$
(39)

where  $\ell(\ell + 1)$  is the variable separation constant. Then, using a WKB approximation for  $R(r) \sim e^{iS(r)}$  in Eq. (39), where S(r) is a rapidly varying phase, to leading order only the contribution from the first derivative of *S* is important. This contribution represents the radial wave number  $K \equiv S'$ , which can be obtained from the real part of Eq. (39) as

$$K = \frac{1}{\sqrt{f}} \left[ \frac{E^2}{f} - \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2} - \mu^2 \right]^{1/2}.$$
 (40)

In terms of this quantity the number of radial modes  $n_r$  is quantized semiclassically as,

$$\pi n_r = \int_{r_++\epsilon}^L K(r,\ell,E) dr.$$
(41)

Furthermore, the entropy of the system will be calculated from the Helmholtz free energy *F* of the thermal bath of scalar particles with temperature  $\beta^{-1} = \kappa/2\pi$ ,

$$F = \frac{1}{\beta} \int d\ell \left(2\ell + 1\right) \int dn_r \ln(1 - e^{-\beta E})$$
$$= -\int d\ell \left(2\ell + 1\right) \int \frac{n_r}{e^{\beta E} - 1} dE,$$
(42)

where we made an integration by parts in the last step. Using Eqs. (40) and (41) and performing the integral in  $\ell$  we obtain

$$F = -\frac{2}{3\pi} \int \frac{dE}{e^{\beta E} - 1} \int_{r_{+}+\epsilon}^{L} dr \left[ \frac{r^2}{\sqrt{f}} \left( \frac{E^2}{f} - \mu^2 \right)^{3/2} \right].$$
(43)

According to brickwall method we should study the contribution of this integral near the horizon. Thus, using Eq. (26) to write an approximate expression of the metric near the horizon and performing the integral in *E* we get

$$F \approx -\frac{2\pi^3}{45\beta^4} \int_{1+\tilde{\epsilon}}^{\tilde{L}} r_+^3 \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{Q^2}{r_+^2} \right) (y-1) + \frac{c}{r_+^{3\omega_f+1}} (y^{3\omega_f} - 1) \right]^{-2} dy,$$
(44)

where we rescaled some quantities as  $y = r/r_+$ ,  $\bar{L} = L/r_+$ , and  $\bar{\epsilon} = \epsilon/r_+$ . At this point it is convenient to consider different values of  $\omega_f$  separately. We should notice that the divergent contribution of the integral to the Helmholtz energy comes from its lower limit. Thus, the leading divergent term  $F_{\epsilon}$  is given by

$$F_{\epsilon} = \begin{cases} -\frac{8\pi^{3}r_{+}^{4}}{45\beta^{4}\epsilon} \left(2 - \frac{2Q^{2}}{r_{+}^{2}} - 3\sqrt{\frac{r_{+}}{r_{q}}}\right)^{-2}, & \text{for } \omega_{f} = -1/2 \\ -\frac{2\pi^{3}r_{+}^{4}}{45\beta^{4}\epsilon} \left(1 - \frac{Q^{2}}{r_{+}^{2}} - \frac{2r_{+}}{r_{q}}\right)^{-2}, & \text{for } \omega_{f} = -2/3 \quad (45) \\ -\frac{8\pi^{3}r_{+}^{4}}{45\beta^{4}\epsilon} \left[2 - \frac{2Q^{2}}{r_{+}^{2}} - 5\left(\frac{r_{+}}{r_{q}}\right)^{3/2}\right]^{-2}, & \text{for } \omega_{f} = -5/6 \end{cases}$$

The corresponding entropy  $S_{\epsilon} = \beta^2 \frac{\partial F_{\epsilon}}{\partial \beta}$ , then, becomes

$$S_{\epsilon} = \begin{cases} \frac{32\pi^{3}r_{+}^{4}}{45\beta^{3}\epsilon} \left(2 - \frac{2Q^{2}}{r_{+}^{2}} - 3\sqrt{\frac{r_{+}}{r_{q}}}\right)^{-2}, & \text{for } \omega_{f} = -1/2\\ \frac{8\pi^{3}r_{+}^{4}}{45\beta^{3}\epsilon} \left(1 - \frac{Q^{2}}{r_{+}^{2}} - \frac{2r_{+}}{r_{q}}\right)^{-2}, & \text{for } \omega_{f} = -2/3\\ \frac{32\pi^{3}r_{+}^{4}}{45\beta^{3}\epsilon} \left[2 - \frac{2Q^{2}}{r_{+}^{2}} - 5\left(\frac{r_{+}}{r_{q}}\right)^{3/2}\right]^{-2}, & \text{for } \omega_{f} = -5/6 \end{cases}$$
(46)

We can express our results in terms of the proper thickness  $\alpha$  defined as

$$\alpha = \int_{r_+}^{r_++\epsilon} \sqrt{g_{rr}} \, dr. \tag{47}$$

To first order this expression can give us a relation between  $\epsilon$  and  $\alpha$  for the values of  $\omega_f$  considered here,

$$\epsilon \approx \begin{cases} -\frac{\alpha^2}{8r_+^3} \left( 2Q^2 - 2r_+^2 + 3\frac{r_+^{5/2}}{\sqrt{r_q}} \right), & \text{for } \omega_f = -1/2 \\ -\frac{\alpha^2}{4r_+^3 r_q} \left( Q^2 r_q + 2r_+^3 - r_+^2 r_q \right), & \text{for } \omega_f = -2/3 \\ -\frac{\alpha^2}{8r_+^3} \left( 2Q^2 - 2r_+^2 + 5\frac{r_+^{7/2}}{r_q^{3/2}} \right), & \text{for } \omega_f = -5/6 \end{cases}$$

$$\tag{48}$$

Replacing these values and the corresponding expressions for the surface gravity (27) in Eq. (46) we finally obtain in the three cases,

$$S_{\epsilon} = \frac{r_+^2}{90\alpha^2},\tag{49}$$

or in terms of the black hole horizon area  $A = 4\pi r_{+}^2$ ,

$$S_{\epsilon} = \frac{A}{360\pi\alpha^2}.$$
(50)

This expression is the same correction found by 't Hooft and other authors for 4-dimensional black holes, a fact that shows its universality.

### 4.3 Thermodynamical stability

In order to see the influence of the fluid on the stability from a thermodynamical point of view the first step is to analyze the specific heat,

$$C = T\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial T}\right),\tag{51}$$

which in our case becomes

$$C = \frac{2\pi \left(3c \,\omega_f r_+^2 - Q^2 r_+^{3\omega_f + 1} + r_+^{3\omega_f + 3}\right)}{3Q^2 r_+^{3\omega_f - 1} - r_+^{3\omega_f + 1} - 9c \,\omega_f^2 - 6c \,\omega_f}.$$
(52)

The plot of the specific heat for different values of  $\omega_f$ , displayed in the left panel of Fig. 3, shows the rich structure of the geometry already noticed in the literature [27–31]. There are positive (stable) and negative (unstable) regions alternating with each other. These regions are separated by several points that signal first order phase transitions where C = 0 and also second order transitions whenever C becomes infinite. However, the sign of the specific heat is not enough to ensure stability. One additional criterion to verify the existence of critical points comes from the Hessian matrix of the Helmholtz free energy  $\mathcal{F}$  related to the black hole [54]

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}}{\partial T^2} & \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}}{\partial T \partial \mathcal{C}} \\ \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}}{\partial \mathcal{C} \partial T} & \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}}{\partial \mathcal{C}^2} \end{pmatrix},$$
(53)

where C is the conjugate quantity to the "charge" c related to the presence of the anisotropic fluid given by

$$C = \frac{\partial M}{\partial c} = -\frac{r_+^{-3\omega_f}}{2}.$$
(54)

Using the entropy  $S = \pi r_+^2$  and the temperature of the black hole  $T = \kappa/2\pi$  with  $\kappa$  given in Eq. (27) we find that

$$\mathcal{F} = -\int S \, dT = \frac{r_+}{4} + \frac{3Q^2}{4r_+} - \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{4}\omega_f\right) c \, r_+^{-3\omega_f}.$$
(55)

(right)





With all this information we can calculate the determinant of the Hessian matrix. However, this determinant vanishes, what means that one of the eigenvalues of the matrix is zero. The other eigenvalue corresponds to the trace Tr(H) of the Hessian matrix (53). Then, a necessary criterion for the model to be stable is the positivity of this quantity, *i.e.*,  $Tr(H) \ge 0$ . We plotted this trace in the right panel of Fig.3. We observe that, in fact, there are regions where  $Tr(H) \ge 0$  for the values of  $\omega_f$  considered along this work. Moreover, in the left panel of Fig.4 we see that small black holes fulfill the stability criterion independent of the value of c, whose influence is only visible for bigger  $r_+$ . A curious fact is that for  $\omega_f =$ -2/3 the trace of the Hessian matrix does not depend on c. In addition, the effect of the charge on the stability criterion can be seen in the right panel of Fig.4, small charge black holes have shorter regions of instability. Therefore, with this analysis we see that it is possible to have phase transitions for different values of the black hole and anisotropic fluid parameters.

# 5 Final remarks

In this paper we investigate charged black hole spacetimes surrounded by anisotropic fluids. We firstly obtained the conformal structure of the entire manifold showing that its Penrose-Carter diagram is similar to Reissner-NordströmdS spacetime, *i.e.*, there is a cosmological-like horizon, an event horizon, and inner Cauchy horizon. In addition, there is a time-like singularity at the origin that could be avoided by an observer crossing the inner horizon. The novelty in the spacetimes considered in the present work is the lightlike structure beyond the cosmological-like horizon differently from the RN-dS black hole where this region presents a space-like structure.

Having established the causal structure of the black hole spacetime we evolve the scalar field between the event and cosmological-like horizons obtaining two interesting features. The first one is that the late-time behavior is dominated firstly by a power-law term for small state parameter of the fluid  $|\omega_f|$  and, afterwards, by an exponential decay (purely imaginary quasi normal mode) for higher  $|\omega_f|$ . For these geometries the presence of a power-law term in the final stage comes as an interesting new result never reported before even in de Sitter black hole spacetimes where this phenomenon may also be present. The second one concerns the quasinormal modes obtained in Sect. 3. They provide the spectrum of oscillation of the black hole when perturbed by a scalar field. We show that they are very similar for different state parameters when the fluid density is small being hugely

influenced when it becomes large. When varying the state parameter, the oscillations have both imaginary and real part diminished as we increase  $|\omega_f|$ . Furthermore, no instability was found in our analysis with various black hole parameters (M, Q, c,  $\omega_f$ ). Apart from that, it would be insteresting to check if charged perturbations could trigger superradiant modes since this case has been only partially discussed in [53] and no instability was found. However, as already mentioned, superradiant modes can still exist even if they do not produce an instability. Thus, the question of the existence of superradiance in these models, given the natural boundary provided by the cosmological-like horizon associated to the anisotropic fluid, is still open and will be reported elsewhere.

Regarding the thermodynamical calculations, we considered an arbitrary particle of proper energy E in equatorial motion and captured by these black holes surrounded by anisotropic fluids. Our result shows that these geometries yield the universal bound for the entropy of the falling system originally found by Bekenstein [16]. In addition, we also considered a thermal bath of scalar fields propagating outside the event horizon of these black holes in order to find the semiclassical corrections to their entropy. Following 't Hooft's brickwall method we found the same kind of correction corresponding to 4-dimensional black holes showing the universality of this result [17]. Finally, we also analyzed the thermodynamical stability looking at the specific heat of the black hole. As an additional criterion to ensure the presence of critical points, we also calculated the trace of the Hessian matrix of the Helmholtz free energy. In this way we showed that phase transitions of first and second order are possible for different values of the black hole and anisotropic fluid parameters.

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank Matt Visser and Christos Charmousis for useful discussions. This work was partially supported by UFMT (*Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso*) under Grant PROPG 005/2019.

**Data Availability Statement** This manuscript has no associated data or the data will not be deposited. [Authors' comment: This manuscript has no associated data or the data will not be deposited.]

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Funded by SCOAP3.

# Appendix A Maximal extension for the black hole solution with $w_f = -2/3$

The case with  $w_f = -2/3$  has the following line-element

$$ds^{2} = -f(r)dt^{2} + f(r)^{-1}dr^{2} + r^{2}d\Omega_{2}^{2},$$
 (A.1)

with

$$f(r) = 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{Q^2}{r^2} - cr.$$
 (A.2)

In the cases where M > Q it is possible to express the metric components  $g_{tt}$  and  $g_{rr}$  in terms of three distinct positive real roots  $(r_c, r_+, r_-)$  which, as in the case  $w_f = -1/2$ , represents the cosmological-like horizon, event horizon, and Cauchy horizon, respectively. So,

$$f(r) = -\frac{c}{r^2}(r - r_c)(r - r_+)(r - r_-),$$
(A.3)

and the tortoise coordinate  $r_*$  can be written as

$$r_{*} = -\frac{1}{\kappa_{c}} \log |r - r_{c}| + \frac{1}{2\kappa_{+}} \log |r - r_{+}| -\frac{1}{\kappa_{-}} \log |r - r_{-}|,$$
(A.4)

with  $(\kappa_c, \kappa_+, \kappa_-)$  referring to the surface gravity in each horizon. Following the same steps as in the case  $w_f = -1/2$ , we obtain the maximal extension in each horizon. For the cosmological-like horizon  $r = r_c$  we have

$$U_c V_c = \pm |r - r_c| \frac{1}{|r - r_+|^{\kappa_c/\kappa_+}} |r - r_-|^{\kappa_c/\kappa_-}, \qquad (A.5)$$

where the upper sign refers to  $r > r_c$  and the lower sign corresponds to  $r < r_c$ . In the cases of event horizon  $r_+$  and Cauchy horizon  $r_-$ , we have found similar expressions,

$$U_{+}V_{+} = \mp |r - r_{+}| \frac{1}{|r - r_{c}|^{\kappa_{+}/\kappa_{c}}} \frac{1}{|r - r_{-}|^{\kappa_{+}/\kappa_{-}}}, \qquad (A.6)$$

and

$$U_{-}V_{-} = \pm |r - r_{-}||r - r_{c}|^{\kappa_{-}/\kappa_{c}} \frac{1}{|r - r_{+}|^{\kappa_{-}/\kappa_{+}}}.$$
 (A.7)

Thus, introducing the Penrose coordinates  $T = \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{V} + \tilde{U})$ and  $R = \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{V} - \tilde{U})$  in each horizon we obtain the Penrose-Carter diagram as shown in Fig.1.

## References

- B.P. Abbott, R. Abbott, T.D. Abbott, Abernathy (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 061102 (2016)
- B.P. Abbott et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 221101 (2016), [Erratum: Phys. Rev. Lett.121, no.12, 129902(2018)]
- 3. T. Regge, J.A. Wheeler, Phys. Rev. 108, 1063 (1957)

- E. Berti, V. Cardoso, A.O. Starinets, Class. Quant. Grav. 26, 163001 (2009)
- 5. K.D. Kokkotas, B.G. Schmidt, Living Rev. Rel. 2, 2 (1999)
- 6. H.P. Nollert, Class. Quant. Grav. 16, R159 (1999)
- 7. R.A. Konoplya, A. Zhidenko, Phys. Rev. D 78, 104017 (2008)
- E. Abdalla, R.A. Konoplya, C. Molina, Phys. Rev. D 72, 084006 (2005)
- 9. B. Wang, C. Molina, E. Abdalla, Phys. Rev. D 63, 084001 (2001)
- 10. B. Wang, C.Y. Lin, C. Molina, Phys. Rev. D 70, 064025 (2004)
- 11. E. Abdalla, O.P.F. Piedra, J. de Oliveira, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 064001 (2010)
- E. Abdalla, B. Cuadros-Melgar, R.D.B. Fontana, J. de Oliveira, E. Papantonopoulos, A.B. Pavan, Phys. Rev. D 99(10), 104065 (2019)
- B. Cuadros-Melgar, J. de Oliveira, C.E. Pellicer, Phys. Rev. D 85, 024014 (2012)
- 14. J.D. Bekenstein, Phys. Rev. D 7, 949 (1973)
- 15. S.W. Hawking, Commun. Math. Phys. 43, 199 (1975)
- 16. J.D. Bekenstein, Phys. Rev. D 23, 287 (1981)
- 17. G. 't Hooft, Nucl. Phys. B256, 727 (1985)
- 18. V.V. Kiselev, Class. Quant. Grav. 20, 1187 (2003)
- 19. M. Visser, (2019), arXiv:1908.11058 [gr-qc]
- 20. P. Boonserm, T. Ngampitipan, A. Simpson, M. Visser, (2019)
- 21. M. Visser, Phys. Rev. D 46, 2445 (1992)
- 22. J. de Oliveira, R.D.B. Fontana, Phys. Rev. D 98(4), 044005 (2018)
- 23. S.B. Chen, J.L. Jing, Class. Quant. Grav. 22, 4651 (2005)
- 24. G. Guo, Eur. Phys. J. C 73, 2573 (2013)
- 25. Y. Zhang, Y.X. Gui, F. Li, Gen. Rel. Grav. 39, 1003 (2007)
- 26. N. Varghese, V.C. Kuriakose, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 29, 1450113 (2014)
- B.B. Thomas, M. Saleh, T.C. Kofane, Gen. Rel. Grav. 44, 2181– 2189 (2012)
- 28. K. Ghaderi, B. Malakolkalami, Nucl. Phys. B 903, 10-18 (2016)
- K.K.J. Rodrigue, M. Saleh, B.B. Thomas, T.C. Kofane, Gen. Rel. Grav. 50, 52 (2018)
- S. Soroushfar, R. Saffari, S. Upadhyay, Gen. Rel. Grav. 51, 130 (2019)

- J.B. Griffiths, J. Podolsky, Exact Space-Times in Einstein's General Relativity, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009)
- 33. H. Laue, M. Weiss, Phys. Rev. D 16, 3376 (1977)
- G.T. Horowitz, V.E. Hubeny, Phys. Rev. D 62, 024027 (2000). arXiv:hep-th/9909056 [hep-th]
- R.D.B. Fontana, J. de Oliveira, A.B. Pavan, Eur. Phys. J. C 79(4), 338 (2019). arXiv:1808.01044 [gr-qc]
- S. Dyatlov, Commun. Math. Phys. 335(3), 1445–1485 (2015). arXiv:1305.1723 [gr-qc]
- S. Dyatlov, Annales Henri Poincare 13, 1101–1166 (2012). arXiv:1101.1260 [math.AP]
- 38. R.A. Konoplya, A. Zhidenko, Rev. Mod. Phys. 83, 793 (2011)
- 39. R.A. Konoplya, Phys. Rev. D 68, 024018 (2003)
- 40. B.F. Schutz, C.M. Will, Astrophys. J. 291, L33 (1985)
- 41. S. Iyer, C.M. Will, Phys. Rev. D 35, 3621 (1987)
- 42. D.-P. Du, B. Wang, R.-K. Su, Phys. Rev. D 70, 064024 (2004)
- 43. V. Cardoso, J.L. Costa, K. Destounis, P. Hintz, A. Jansen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 031103 (2018)
- 44. C. Gundlach, R.H. Price, J. Pullin, Phys. Rev. D 49, 883 (1994)
- 45. R.H. Price, Phys. Rev. D 5, 2419 (1972)
- 46. W.H. Press, S.A. Teukolsky, Nature 238, 211 (1972)
- 47. S. Hod, Phys. Lett. B 713, 505 (2012)
- 48. J.C. Degollado, C.A.R. Herdeiro, Gen. Rel. Grav. 45, 2483 (2013)
- 49. O. Fierro, N. Grandi, J. Oliva, Class. Quantum Grav. 35, 105007 (2018)
- 50. J.P. Conlon, C.A.R. Herdeiro, Phys. Lett. B 780, 169-173 (2018)
- Z. Zhu, S.J. Zhang, C.E. Pellicer, B. Wang, E. Abdalla, Phys. Rev. D 90, 044042 (2014)
- 52. R. Konoplya, A. Zhidenko, Phys. Rev. D 90, 064048 (2014)
- 53. N. Varghese, V.C. Kuriakose, Gen. Relat. Grav. 41, 1249–1257 (2009)
- S. Upadhyay, B. Pourhassan, H. Farahani, Phys. Rev. D 95, 106014 (2017)

Grav. **50**, 52 (2018) 30. J.M. Toledo, V.B. Bezerra, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **28**, 1950023 (2019) 31. S. Saraughfar, P. Saffari, S. Uradhuru, Can. Pol. Crav. **51**, 120