Innermost stable circular orbit and shadow of the $4D$ Einstein–Gauss–Bonnet black hole

Minyong Guo^{1,a}, Peng-Cheng Li^{1,2,b}

¹ Center for High Energy Physics, Peking University, No. 5 Yiheyuan Rd, Beijing 100871, People's Republic of China

² Department of Physics and State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, No. 5 Yiheyuan Rd, Beijing 100871, People's Republic of China

Received: 20 May 2020 / Accepted: 15 June 2020 / Published online: 29 June 2020
© The Author(s) 2020

Abstract Recently, a novel $4D$ Einstein–Gauss–Bonnet gravity was formulated by Glavan and Lin (Phys Rev Lett 124(8):081301, 2020). Although whether the theory is well defined is currently debatable, the spherically symmetric black hole solution is still meaningful and worthy of study. In this paper, we study the geodesic motions in the spacetime of the spherically symmetric black hole solution. First of all, we find that a negative GB coupling constant is allowable, as in which case the singular behavior of the black hole can be hidden inside the event horizon. Then we calculate the innermost stable circular orbits for massive particles, which turn out to be monotonic decreasing functions of the GB coupling constant. Furthermore, we study the unstable photon sphere and shadow of the black hole. It is interesting to find that the proposed universal bounds on black hole size in Lu and Lyu (Phys Rev D 101(4):044059, 2020) recently can be broken when the GB coupling constant takes a negative value.

1 Introduction

In classical general relativity, singularity is one of the most fundamental questions. The first version of singularity theorem is proposed by Penrose [1], which states that the formation of singularities in spacetime is inevitable assuming the weak energy condition and global hyperbolicity. The singularity theorem that people often refer to is the version presented and proved by Hawking and Penrose [2], which says a spacetime $\mathcal{M}$ cannot satisfy causal geodesic completeness provided that Einstein's equations and some assumptions hold. In contrast to the other singularity theorems, the conditions required by the Hawking–Penrose singularity theorem are the easiest to implement physically and cover a wide

range of areas. Up to now, many attempts have been made to eliminate the singularity, which includes and is not limited to considering quantum corrections [3–5] and alternative gravities [6]. Recently, a novel $4D$ Einstein–Gauss–Bonnet (EGB) gravity was formulated by D. Glavan and Lin [7]. By focusing on the positive GB coupling constant, they discovered a static and spherically symmetric black hole solution, which is practically free from the singularity problem. It's interesting to note that the same solution was already found before, initially in the gravity with a conformal anomaly [8] and then in gravity with quantum corrections [3, 4]. In contrast, in [7] the GB action should be considered as a classical modified gravity theory, so the theory is on an equal footing with general relativity.

However, since the publication of the paper [7], there have appeared several works [9–15] debating that the procedure of taking $D \rightarrow 4$ limit in [7] may not be consistent. For example, in [14] by studying tree-level graviton scattering amplitudes it was shown that in four dimensions there are no new scattering amplitudes than those of the general gravity. On the other hand, some proposals have been raised to circumvent the issues of the novel $4D$ EGB gravity. These proposals can be divided into two classes. One is adding an extra degree of freedom to the theory. For example, [16, 17] considered using the Kaluza–Klein approach of the $D \rightarrow 4$ limit to obtain a well-defined theory that belongs to the Horndeski class [18]. The same theory can also be deduced by introducing a counter term into the action [19, 20]. The other proposal is to keep the two dynamical degrees of freedom unchanged at the price of breaking the temporal diffeomorphism invariance [21]. In summary, the novel $4D$ EGB gravity formulated in [7] may run into trouble at the level of action or equations of motion. Nevertheless, the spherically symmetric black hole solution derived in [7] and in early literatures [3, 4, 8] can be successfully reproduced in those consistent theories of $4D$ EGB gravity, which is a little bit surprise. Therefore, the spheri-

^a e-mail: minyongguo@pku.edu.cn

^b e-mail: lipch2019@pku.edu.cn (corresponding author)

cally symmetric black hole solution itself is meaningful and worthy of study.

It can be expected that due to the publication of [7], lots of works concerning every aspect of the spherically symmetric 4D EGB black hole solution will emerge, including theoretical study and the viability of the solution in the real world. In astronomical survey, the singularities of black holes cannot be directly observed, since they are always inside the event horizons of the black holes. In fact, the event horizon cannot be directly observed by astronomical telescopes. However, the emergence of black hole photograph shows, the black hole shadow and the orbit of the light emitter around the black hole can be seen by the Event Horizon Telescope (EHT), and thus the parameters of a black hole can be identified based on the black hole model [22,23]. On the other hand, the first detection of gravitational waves from a binary black hole merger by the LIGO/Virgo Collaborations [24] opened a new window to probe gravity in the strong field regime, which then enables us to test gravity theories alternative to general relativity [25]. The progress in both areas may help us to distinguish Schwarzschild black hole from other black hole models, including the 4D EGB black hole, in the near future.

Based on these, we would like to investigate the geodesic motions of both timelike and null particles in the background of the 4D EGB black hole, by focusing on the innermost stable circular orbit (ISCO) of the timelike particle, the unstable photon sphere and the associated shadow of the black hole. The ISCO plays an important role in the study of realistic astrophysics and gravitational wave physics. For example, in the Novikov–Thorne accretion disk model [26], the inner edge of the disk is at the ISCO. Moreover, according to the Buonanno–Kidder–Lehner approach [27], one can estimate the final black hole spin of a binary black hole coalescence with arbitrary initial masses and spins. The key point is that one may approximate the merger process as a test timelike particle orbiting at the ISCO around a Kerr black hole. On the other hand, for the motion of the null particles, besides the observable black hole shadow, the photon sphere (or the light ring) provides information on the quasinormal modes of the final black hole in the ringdown phase of the black hole merger [28] (see however [29]). From the theoretical point of view, a sequence of inequalities were proposed recently in [30], which involve the radii of the event horizon, the photon sphere and the shadow. It would be interesting to verify the conjecture for the 4D EGB black hole.

Before we get started, we note in [7] the black hole solution is constrained to the positive GB coupling constant case, i.e. $\alpha > 0$ and leaves a gap for the negative GB coupling constant. Thus, we firstly give a very careful analysis and show the black hole can exist when $\alpha < 0$. More precisely, we find that when $-8 < \alpha \leq 1$ there always exists a black hole. In this case the singular behavior of the solution is hidden

behind the horizon and outside the horizon the solution is well defined. For the solution, according to the analysis of [8], we would like to stress that the black hole entropy has the logarithmic behavior. Then, for the first time, we calculate the radius of the ISCO and give a numerical result for the full range of α ¹. Also, we obtain an approximate analytical expression when α is very small around 0. We find the radius of the ISCO in the novel solution can be bigger or smaller than the one in Schwarzschild black hole depending on the value of α . For the photon sphere and the shadow, we find the exact expressions not only for $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ but also for $-8 < \alpha < 0$. Comparing the result to that of the Schwarzschild black hole, we find the 4D EGB black hole contains more features and information which deserves further study.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we revisit the spherically symmetric 4D EGB black hole solution and determine the full range of α when the spacetime contains a black hole. In Sect. 3, we move to the innermost stable circular orbit of the timelike particle. Next, we turn our attention to the photon sphere and shadow in Sect. 4. Finally, in Sect. 5, we summarize the results. In this work, we have set the fundamental constants c and G to unity, and we will work in the convention $(-, +, +, +)$.

2 Revisit the 4D EGB black hole solution

The Einstein-Hilbert action supplemented by a GB term in D dimensions has the form

$$I = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int \sqrt{-g} d^D x \left[R + \alpha \left(R_{\mu\nu\lambda\delta} R^{\mu\nu\lambda\delta} - 4R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} + R^2 \right) \right], \quad (2.1)$$

where α is the GB coupling constant. The static and spherically symmetric black hole solution in this theory was already found in $D \geq 5$ [34]. But in $D = 4$, the GB term is a total derivative, and hence does not contribute to the gravitational dynamics, unless an extra scalar field is introduced to be coupled with the GB term, which is known as Einstein-dilaton–Gauss–Bonnet theory [35,36]. However, recently Glavan and Lin [7] found that by rescaling the coupling constant,

$$\alpha \rightarrow \frac{\alpha}{D-4}, \quad (2.2)$$

¹ More recently, the authors in [31] investigated the stability of the 4D EGB black hole via the quasinormal mode. They found that to avoid the eikonal instability [32] of the gravitational perturbations [33], the absolute value of the GB coupling has to be relatively small and then they calculated the radius of the shadow in this case. In our work, we will not take this stability issue of the black hole into account for the time being, and let the GB coupling constant be constrained only by the regularity of the metric itself.

Fig. 1 The graph of the metric function $f(r)$ with respect to r for two typical values of α

of the GB term, and then consider the limit $D \rightarrow 4$, the Lovelock's theorem can be bypassed and there exists a spherically symmetric black hole solution in this case. The solution is found to be

$$ds^2 = -f(r)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{f(r)} + r^2 d\Omega^2, \quad (2.3)$$

with

$$f(r) = 1 + \frac{r^2}{2\alpha} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{8\alpha M}{r^3}} \right), \quad (2.4)$$

where M is the mass of the black hole. As we mentioned in the introduction, the above limiting procedure has been called into question by subsequent works [9–15]. Whereas the above solution (2.3) can be exempted from being meaningless, as which is reproduced from those consistent 4D EGB gravity theories [16, 17, 19–21]. In the following all our discussion will be based on the black hole solution (2.3), which can be independent of the original gravity theory [7].

For the black hole solution, [7] argued that there is no real solution at short radial distance $r^3 < -8\alpha M$ if $\alpha < 0$, and so only the positive α case was considered. However, we will discuss the range of α in detail and claim that the solution always behaves well outside the (outer) event horizon for $-8 < \alpha \leq 1$. In other words, the singular point $r = -2(\alpha M)^{1/3}$ is always hidden inside the horizon, and thus the metric function $f(r)$ is always positive outside the horizon. Despite that in this case there is no resolution for the singularity problem occurring at $r = 0$.

For simplicity and without loss of generality, we set $M = 1$ in the rest of this paper. Let's start with the property of the function $f(r)$. From $f'(r) = 0$, we find $f(r)$ only has one extreme point at $r = \alpha^{1/3}$. In addition, for $\alpha > 0$, we have

$$f(\infty) = 1 = f(0^+). \quad (2.5)$$

Thus, $f(\alpha^{1/3}) = 1 - \alpha^{-1/3}$ is the minimum of the function. In order to ensure the existence of horizon we need the condition

$f(\alpha^{1/3}) \leq 0$ hold which implies $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, see an example in Fig. 1, where we take $\alpha = 1/2$ for $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $\alpha = -1/2$ for $\alpha < 0$. In this case, the radii of the horizons read

$$r_{\pm} = 1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \alpha}. \quad (2.6)$$

While for $\alpha < 0$, since $r = \alpha^{1/3} < 0$, we have to confine $f(-2(\alpha)^{1/3}) = 1 + 2\alpha^{-1/3} < 0$ to make sure the existence of the only horizon, which gives us $-8 < \alpha < 0$. For this situation, we find the single horizon is at

$$r = 1 + \sqrt{1 - \alpha}. \quad (2.7)$$

Hereto, we have shown the 4D EGB black hole exists when $-8 < \alpha < 0$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. And we would like to stress that one shouldn't ignore the branch $-8 < \alpha < 0$ when discussing the whole property of the 4D EGB black hole.

Here we use a few words to talk about the thermodynamic properties of the black hole solution. As we mentioned in the introduction, the solution (2.3) was initially found in gravity with conformal anomaly [8]. Therefore, as was studied in that paper, there exists a logarithmic correction to the well-known Bekenstein-Hawking area entropy. In this case the Wald's entropy formula [37] cannot be applied. Instead one can turn to the first law of black hole thermodynamics for help, i.e. $dM = TdS$, with the temperature being

$$T = \frac{r_h^2 - \alpha}{4\pi r_h(r_h^2 + 2\alpha)}, \quad (2.8)$$

where r_h denotes the radius of the event horizon. The positivity of the temperature requires that the GB coupling constant satisfies the bound which is exactly the same as the one we derived above, i.e. $-8 < \alpha \leq 1$. The entropy is then given by

$$S = \frac{A}{4} + 2\pi\alpha \log \frac{A}{A_0}, \quad (2.9)$$

where $A = 4\pi r_h^2$ is the horizon area and A_0 is a constant with dimension of area. For more details on the discussions of the logarithmic behavior of the entropy one can refer to [8]. More recently, it was demonstrated that if the black hole solution (2.3) stems from the consistent theory of the 4D EGB gravity which belongs to a class of Horndeski theory, then the black hole entropy can be computed by applying the Wald formula [16].

3 The innermost stable circular orbit of the 4D EGB black hole

In this section, we will calculate the radius of the innermost stable circular orbit for a time particle in the background of the 4D EGB black hole. The geodesic motion of a particle is governed by the Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} p^\mu p^\nu = -\frac{1}{2} m^2, \quad (3.1)$$

where m is the mass of the particle. $m = 0$ describes the null particle and non-zero m corresponds to the timelike particle. Since the 4D EGB black hole is a static and spherically symmetric solution, one can always restrict the particle in the equatorial plane, thus the 4-velocity of a timelike particle takes in this form

$$\dot{x}^\mu = (\dot{t}, \dot{r}, 0, \dot{\phi}), \quad (3.2)$$

where $\cdot$ represents the derivation of the function with respect to the proper time. Combined with the two conserved quantities for such geodesic, i.e.

$$E = -p_t, \quad L = p_\phi, \quad (3.3)$$

then we obtain the orbit equation,

$$\left(\frac{dr}{d\phi}\right)^2 = V_{eff}, \quad (3.4)$$

with the effective potential given by

$$V_{eff} = r^4 \left(\frac{E^2}{L^2} - \frac{f(r)}{r^2} - \frac{f(r)m^2}{L^2} \right). \quad (3.5)$$

Circular orbits correspond to $V_{eff} = 0$ and $V'_{eff}(r) = 0$, where $\prime$ denotes the derivative with respect to the radius r . Using these two equations, we have

$$e^2 = \frac{2f(r)^2}{2f(r) - rf'(r)} = \frac{\left(r^2 + 2\alpha - r^2 \sqrt{\frac{r^3 + 8\alpha}{r^3}}\right) \left(-r^3 - 8\alpha + r^3 \sqrt{\frac{r^3 + 8\alpha}{r^3}} + 2r\alpha \sqrt{\frac{r^3 + 8\alpha}{r^3}}\right)}{4\alpha^2 \mathcal{R}}, \quad (3.6)$$

$$j^2 = \frac{r^3 f'(r)}{2f(r) - rf'(r)} = \frac{r^2 \left(-r^3 - 2\alpha + r^3 \sqrt{\frac{r^3 + 8\alpha}{r^3}}\right)}{2\alpha \mathcal{R}}, \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}(r) = \left(-3 + r \sqrt{\frac{r^3 + 8\alpha}{r^3}}\right), \quad (3.8)$$

and we have defined

$$e \equiv \frac{E}{m}, \quad j \equiv \frac{L}{m}, \quad (3.9)$$

to represent the energy per unit mass and angular momentum per unit mass, respectively.

Circular orbits do not exist for all values of r , as the right hand of Eqs. (3.6) and (3.7) must be non-negative. Since these expressions are very complex, we prefer to leave it to check in the following discussions. Besides, we observe that the function $\mathcal{R}(r)$ appears in the denominator of Eqs. (3.6) and (3.7), then the limiting case of the equality of the two equations gives an orbit with vanishing rest mass, i.e., a photon orbit. As we will see in the next section, the photon sphere is the innermost boundary of the circular orbits for null particles and it occurs at the root of $\mathcal{R}(r) = 0$. In this section, our attention is focused on the ISCO for massive particles, so we leave the discussion of photon sphere and black hole shadow to the next section.

The circular orbits are not all stable. Stability requires that $V''_{eff} \leq 0$ and the equality gives us the location of ISCO. Formally, r_{ISCO} can be calculated from

$$r_{ISCO} = -\frac{3f(r_{ISCO})f'(r_{ISCO})}{f(r_{ISCO})f''(r_{ISCO}) - 2f'(r_{ISCO})^2}, \quad (3.10)$$

which works for the general spherically symmetric metric of the form (2.3). Since the exact expression is not very illuminating, we only give the numerical result of the radius of the ISCO, see the upper left panel of Fig. 2. To keep our result self-consistent, we substitute the radius of the ISCO in the right hand of Eqs. (3.6) and (3.7), after some non-trivial algebraic manipulations, it can be shown that when $r \geq r_{ISCO}$, e^2 and j^2 are always positive.

We find that the radius of the ISCO is a decreasing function of α , and so when $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, the ISCO is smaller than the one of the Schwarzschild black hole, i.e. $r_{ISCO} < 6$, while $r_{ISCO} > 6$ for $-8 \leq \alpha < 0$. The corresponding angular momentum per unit mass j and energy per unit mass e decrease with α as well. In addition, we introduce a new parameter

$$\epsilon = \frac{r_{ISCO} - r_h}{r_h}, \quad (3.11)$$

to characterize the extent to which the ISCO radius deviates from the radius of the event horizon. We find that ϵ is increasing with α , as shown in the top right panel of Fig. 2. Moreover, when α is very small around 0, we obtain an approximate analytic result of the ISCO, that is

Fig. 2 The upper left panel shows the dependence of the ISCO radius on the GB coupling constant α . The upper right panel shows the deviation of ISCO from the event horizon with respect to α . The lower panel

is the comparison of numerical result of ISCO radius with the approximate one (3.12), where the orange line denotes the approximate result and the blue one denotes the numerical result

$$r_{ISCO} = 6 - \frac{11}{18}\alpha + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^2), \quad (3.12)$$

with $e = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3} - \frac{\alpha}{162\sqrt{2}} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^2)$ and $j = 2\sqrt{3} - \frac{\alpha}{6\sqrt{3}} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^2)$. In Fig. 2, we also show the comparison between the numerical result with our approximate result. As expected, we find they match very well for a small α . This approximate analytical result may be helpful if an astronomical black hole has a very small deviation from the Schwarzschild black hole. Another notable case is $\alpha = 1$, which corresponds to the extremal EGB black hole. In this case, we find $e = 0.94$, $j = 3.35$ and $r_{ISCO} = 5.24$.

The effects of the GB coupling constant on the ISCO radius may be reflected in some astronomical phenomena. For example, since a positive α leads to a smaller ISCO and smaller event horizon, which means the merger time of the coalescence of the black hole binary is later than that of the Schwarzschild black holes. As a consequence, the chirp mass and the total mass of the system might be underestimated when matching with the gravitational wave template based on general relativity. Similar situation occurs for the coalescence of two charged black holes when their charges are of the same kind [38, 39].

4 Photon sphere and shadow of the 4D EGB black hole

In this section, we will discuss the photon sphere and shadow of the 4D EGB black hole. In the geometric optics limit, the motion of a photon is treated as a null geodesic. In the background of the 4D EGB black hole, the orbit equation for the null geodesics is just Eq.(3.4) with $m = 0$. By evaluating the equations $V_{eff} = 0$ and $V'_{eff} = 0$, we obtain the circular null geodesic occurring at

$$r_{ph} = 2\sqrt{3} \cos \left[\frac{1}{3} \cos^{-1} \left(-\frac{4\alpha}{3\sqrt{3}} \right) \right]. \quad (4.1)$$

Due to the spherical symmetry, the photons will fill all the circular orbits to form a so-called photon sphere. One can easily show that the radius of the photon sphere is a decreasing function of the GB coupling constant α . The corresponding constant of motion L^2/E^2 for this photon sphere is given by

$$b_c^2 = \frac{r_{ph}^2}{f(r_{ph})} = \left(\frac{1}{r_{ph}^2} - \frac{\sqrt{\frac{8\alpha}{r_{ph}^3} + 1} - 1}{2\alpha} \right)^{-1}, \quad (4.2)$$

where b_c is sometimes called the critical impact parameter. One can show that this is a monotonic increasing function of α and when α is small one obtains $b_c = 3\sqrt{3} - \frac{2\alpha}{3\sqrt{3}} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^2)$.

Fig. 3 the dependence of $\frac{r_{ph}}{3r_h/2}$ and $\frac{r_{ph}}{3M}$ on the GB coupling constant α

Moreover, one can check that for arbitrary values taken in the interval $1 \geq \alpha > -8$, one always has $V''_{eff} > 0$ with $r = r_{ph}$ and $L/E = b_c$, which means the photon sphere in the background of the 4D EGB black hole is unstable.

One interesting property of the 4D EGB black hole is that the bounds on the photon sphere proposed by [30] can be broken when α is allowed to be negative. In [30]², the authors made a conjecture for a sequence of inequalities involving several parameters characterizing the black hole size, viz.,

$$\frac{3}{2}r_h \leq r_{ph} \leq \frac{r_{sh}}{\sqrt{3}} \leq 3M, \quad (4.3)$$

where r_{sh} denotes the radius of the shadow. In what follows we will show that these relations can be violated for the 4D EGB black hole. We first focus on the photon sphere and later on turn to the shadow. From Fig. 3, we can see that when $\alpha \geq 0$ the above inequalities works, but when $\alpha < 0$, r_{ph} can be less than $3r_h/2$ and r_{ph} can be larger than $3M$. Therefore, in the case $\alpha \leq 0$ the inequalities involving r_{ph} modifies as

$$\frac{3}{2}r_h \geq r_{ph} \geq 3M. \quad (4.4)$$

The existence of unstable photon sphere means the appearance of the observable of the black hole, the black hole shadow. We consider all null geodesics that go from the position of the static observer at $(t_O, r_O, \theta = \pi/2, \phi_O = 0)$ into the past. Those critical null geodesics that orbit around the black hole on the photon sphere will leave the observer at an angle θ with respect to the radial line that satisfies

$$\tan \theta = \left. \frac{rd\phi}{g_{rr}dr} \right|_{r=r_O}. \quad (4.5)$$

² During the subsequent developments, the study was generalized to the rotating black holes [40] and charged EGB black hole in $D \geq 5$ dimensions [41], and the conjecture for static black holes in Einstein gravity was proven in [42].

This angle describes the angular size of the shadow of the black hole. From the orbit equation (3.4) we then find

$$\tan^2 \theta = \frac{f(r_O)}{r_O^2 \left(\frac{f(r_{ph})}{r_{ph}^2} - \frac{f(r_O)}{r_O^2} \right)}. \quad (4.6)$$

For a static observer at large distance, i.e., $r_O \gg r_h$, this expression can be further simplified as

$$\tan \theta \simeq \frac{r_{ph}}{r_O \sqrt{f(r_{ph})}}. \quad (4.7)$$

Therefore the linear radius of the shadow is simply given by

$$r_{sh} = r_O \sin \theta \simeq \frac{r_{ph}}{\sqrt{f(r_{ph})}}, \quad (4.8)$$

where r_{ph} is obtained in (4.1). Clearly, the radius of the shadow is equal to the critical impact parameter b_c (4.2). In fact, one can find that this is a universal result as long as the metric has the form (2.3) and the spacetime is asymptotically flat. Since the explicit expression is not very illuminating, so we will not present it here. The same as b_c , r_{sh} is a decreasing function of α and up to linear order in α , $r_{sh} = 3\sqrt{3} - 2\alpha/3\sqrt{3} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^2)$.³ Therefore, if the shadow size is measured larger or smaller than the prediction from Schwarzschild black hole, this may be attributed to the result of EGB black hole with a negative GB coupling or positive GB coupling. However, the observational result in the real world in general depends on many parameters describing the environment. So one cannot simply connect the result with the EGB black hole.

So far, we find that all the four parameters that characterizing the size of a black hole, including the event horizon, ISCO, photon and shadow, are decreasing functions of the GB coupling constant α . Let's now return to the inequalities involving the shadow radius. As is shown in Fig. 4, the relations involving r_{sh} obey the inequalities (4.3) for a positive

³ Note that this looks different from the result in [31], as in their convention the event horizon is set to unity.

Fig. 4 the dependence of $\frac{r_{sh}}{\sqrt{3} r_{ph}}$ and $\frac{r_{sh}}{\sqrt{3} M}$ on the GB coupling constant α

α , however, for a negative α , the relations are reversed, that is,

$$r_{ph} \geq \frac{r_{sh}}{\sqrt{3}} \geq 3M. \quad (4.9)$$

Combined above inequalities with (4.4), we find that for $\alpha \leq 0$, the inequalities (4.3) should be totally reversed. Actually, one can check that for higher dimensional EGB black holes [41], the negative GB coupling constant will lead to the broken of the higher dimensional version of the bounds (4.3) as well. The physics behind the broken of the inequalities (4.3) is as follows. According to the analysis in [42] and [41], a necessary condition for the validity of the inequalities (4.3) is that the weak energy condition has to be obeyed. From the view of Einstein gravity, if the GB term is regarded as matter field, the weak energy condition holds for a positive GB coupling but is violated for a negative one.

5 Summary

In this paper, we studied the geodesic motions of timelike and null particles in the spacetime of the spherically symmetric 4D EGB black hole. We carefully analyzed the metric and found that the GB coupling constant could be negative, because even in this case the singular behavior of the black hole only occurs behind the event horizon. With this extension, we calculated the radius of the innermost stable circular orbit (ISCO) for the timelike particle and found that this radius is a decreasing function of the GB coupling constant. In addition, we calculated the radius of the photon sphere and the angular radius of the shadow of the 4D EGB black hole. Besides the ISCO radius, all the other three parameters characterizing the size of the black hole, namely the event horizon, the photon sphere and the shadow, are decreasing functions of the GB coupling constant, when the mass of the black hole is fixed as unity. As a consequence, the universal bounds on the size of a spherically symmetric black hole

proposed in [30] can be broken for a negative GB coupling constant.

Acknowledgements We would like to thank Rong-Gen Cai for drawing our attention to the Ref. [8] and the useful discussion with Aimeric Colléaux. The work is in part supported by NSFC Grant no. 11335012, no. 11325522, no. 11735001 and no. 11847241. MG and PCL are also supported by NSFC Grant no. 11947210. And MG is also funded by China National Postdoctoral Innovation Program 2019M660278.

Data Availability Statement This manuscript has no associated data or the data will not be deposited. [Authors' comment: This is because our study is almost analytically performed and all the related formulas have been presented in the manuscript. So there is no associated data in this manuscript.]

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Funded by SCOAP³.

References

1. R. Penrose, Gravitational collapse and space-time singularities. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **14**, 57 (1965). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.14.57>
2. S.W. Hawking, R. Penrose, The Singularities of gravitational collapse and cosmology. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* **314**, 529 (1970). <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1970.0021>
3. Y. Tomozawa, Quantum corrections to gravity. [arXiv:1107.1424](https://arxiv.org/abs/1107.1424) [gr-qc]
4. G. Cognola, R. Myrzakulov, L. Sebastiani, S. Zerbini, Einstein gravity with Gauss-Bonnet entropic corrections. *Phys. Rev. D* **88**(2), 024006 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.024006>. [arXiv:1304.1878](https://arxiv.org/abs/1304.1878) [gr-qc]

5. C. Zhang, J. Lewandowski, H. Li, Y. Ma, Bouncing evolution in a model of loop quantum gravity. *Phys. Rev. D* **99**(12), 124012 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.124012>. [arXiv:1904.07046](https://arxiv.org/abs/1904.07046) [gr-qc]
6. S. Ansoldi, Spherical black holes with regular center: A Review of existing models including a recent realization with Gaussian sources. [arXiv:0802.0330](https://arxiv.org/abs/0802.0330) [gr-qc]
7. D. Glavan, C. Lin, Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet gravity in 4-dimensional space-time. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**(8), 081301 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.081301>. [arXiv:1905.03601](https://arxiv.org/abs/1905.03601) [gr-qc]
8. R. G. Cai, L. M. Cao, N. Ohta, Black holes in gravity with conformal anomaly and logarithmic term in black hole entropy. *JHEP* **1004**, 082 (2010). [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04\(2010\)082](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2010)082). [arXiv:0911.4379](https://arxiv.org/abs/0911.4379) [hep-th]
9. W. Y. Ai, A note on the novel 4D Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet gravity. [arXiv:2004.02858](https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.02858) [gr-qc]
10. M. Gurses, T. C. Sisman, B. Tekin, Is there a novel Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet theory in four dimensions? [arXiv:2004.03390](https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.03390) [gr-qc]
11. F. W. Shu, Vacua in novel 4D Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet Gravity: pathology and instability? [arXiv:2004.09339](https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.09339) [gr-qc]
12. S. Mahapatra, A note on the total action of 4D Gauss-Bonnet theory. [arXiv:2004.09214](https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.09214) [gr-qc]
13. S. X. Tian, Z. H. Zhu, Comment on Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet gravity in four-dimensional spacetime. [arXiv:2004.09954](https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.09954) [gr-qc]
14. J. Bonifacio, K. Hinterbichler, L. A. Johnson, Amplitudes and 4D Gauss-Bonnet Theory, [arXiv:2004.10716](https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.10716) [hep-th]
15. J. Arrechea, A. Delhom, A. Jiménez-Cano, Yet another comment on four-dimensional Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet gravity. [arXiv:2004.12998](https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.12998) [gr-qc]
16. H. Lu, Y. Pang, Horndeski Gravity as $D \rightarrow 4$ Limit of Gauss-Bonnet. [arXiv:2003.11552](https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.11552) [gr-qc]
17. T. Kobayashi, Effective scalar-tensor description of regularized Lovelock gravity in four dimensions. [arXiv:2003.12771](https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.12771) [gr-qc]
18. G.W. Horndeski, Second-order scalar-tensor field equations in a four-dimensional space. *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **10**, 363 (1974). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01807638>
19. P. G. S. Fernandes, P. Carrilho, T. Clifton, D. J. Mulryne, Derivation of Regularized Field Equations for the Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet Theory in Four Dimensions, [arXiv:2004.08362](https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.08362) [gr-qc]
20. R. A. Hennigar, D. Kubiznak, R. B. Mann, C. Pollack, On taking the $D \rightarrow 4$ limit of Gauss-Bonnet gravity: theory and solutions. [arXiv:2004.09472](https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.09472) [gr-qc]
21. K. Aoki, M. A. Gorji, S. Mukohyama, A consistent theory of $D \rightarrow 4$ Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet gravity. [arXiv:2005.03859](https://arxiv.org/abs/2005.03859) [gr-qc]
22. K. Akiyama *et al.* [Event Horizon Telescope Collaboration], First M87 Event Horizon Telescope Results. I. The Shadow of the Supermassive Black Hole, *Astrophys. J.* **875**, no. 1, L1 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ab0ec7>. [arXiv:1906.11238](https://arxiv.org/abs/1906.11238) [astro-ph.GA]
23. K. Akiyama *et al.* [Event Horizon Telescope Collaboration], First M87 Event Horizon Telescope Results. VI. The Shadow and Mass of the Central Black Hole, *Astrophys. J.* **875**, no. 1, L6 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ab1141>. [arXiv:1906.11243](https://arxiv.org/abs/1906.11243) [astro-ph.GA]
24. B. P. Abbott *et al.* [LIGO Scientific and Virgo Collaborations], Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Merger, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, no. 6, 061102 (2016) <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.061102> [[arXiv:1602.03837](https://arxiv.org/abs/1602.03837)] [gr-qc]
25. B. P. Abbott *et al.* [LIGO Scientific and Virgo Collaborations], Tests of General Relativity with the Binary Black Hole Signals from the LIGO-Virgo Catalog GWTC-1, *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, no. 10, 104036 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.100.104036>. [arXiv:1903.04467](https://arxiv.org/abs/1903.04467) [gr-qc]
26. D. N. Page, K. S. Thorne, Disk-Accretion onto a Black Hole. Time-Averaged Structure of Accretion Disk. *Astrophys. J.* **191**, 499 (1974). <https://doi.org/10.1086/152990>
27. A. Buonanno, L. E. Kidder, L. Lehner, Estimating the final spin of a binary black hole coalescence. *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 026004 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.026004>. [arXiv:0709.3839](https://arxiv.org/abs/0709.3839) [astro-ph]
28. V. Cardoso, A. S. Miranda, E. Berti, H. Witek, V. T. Zanchin, Geodesic stability, Lyapunov exponents and quasinormal modes. *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 064016 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.064016>. [arXiv:0812.1806](https://arxiv.org/abs/0812.1806) [hep-th]
29. R. A. Konoplya, Z. Stuchlik, Are eikonal quasinormal modes linked to the unstable circular null geodesics? *Phys. Lett. B* **771**, 597 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2017.06.015>. [arXiv:1705.05928](https://arxiv.org/abs/1705.05928) [gr-qc]
30. H. Lu, H. D. Lyu, On the Size of a Black Hole: The Schwarzschild is the Biggest. *Phys. Rev. D* **101**(4), 044059 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.044059>. [arXiv:1911.02019](https://arxiv.org/abs/1911.02019) [gr-qc]
31. R. A. Konoplya, A. F. Zinhailo, Quasinormal modes, stability and shadows of a black hole in the novel 4D Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet gravity. [arXiv:2003.01188](https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.01188) [gr-qc]
32. R. A. Konoplya, A. Zhidenko, Eikonal instability of Gauss-Bonnet-(anti)-de Sitter black holes. *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 104005–10 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.104005>. [arXiv:1701.01652](https://arxiv.org/abs/1701.01652) [hep-th]
33. T. Takahashi, J. Soda, Master Equations for Gravitational Perturbations of Static Lovelock Black Holes in Higher Dimensions. *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **124**, 911 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1143/PTP.124.911>. [arXiv:1008.1385](https://arxiv.org/abs/1008.1385) [gr-qc]
34. D. G. Boulware, S. Deser, String generated gravity models. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **55**, 2656 (1985). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.55.2656>
35. P. Kanti, N. E. Mavromatos, J. Rizos, K. Tamvakis, E. Winstanley, Dilatonic black holes in higher curvature string gravity. *Phys. Rev. D* **54**, 5049 (1996). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.54.5049>
36. P. Kanti, N. E. Mavromatos, J. Rizos, K. Tamvakis, E. Winstanley, Dilatonic black holes in higher curvature string gravity. 2: Linear stability. *Phys. Rev. D* **57**, 6255 (1998). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.57.6255>. [arXiv:hep-th/9703192](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9703192)
37. R. M. Wald, Black hole entropy is the Noether charge. *Phys. Rev. D* **48**(8), R3427 (1993). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.48.R3427>. [arXiv:hep-gr-qc/9307038](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-gr-qc/9307038)
38. Ø. Christiansen, J. B. Jiménez, D. F. Mota, Charged Black Hole Mergers: Orbit Circularisation and Chirp Mass Bias. [arXiv:2003.11452](https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.11452) [gr-qc]
39. H. T. Wang, P. C. Li, J. L. Jiang, Y. M. Hu, Y. Z. Fan, Post-Newtonian waveform for charged binary black hole inspirals and analysis with GWTC-1 events. [arXiv:2004.12421](https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.12421) [gr-qc]
40. X. H. Feng, H. Lu, On the Size of Rotating Black Holes. [arXiv:1911.12368](https://arxiv.org/abs/1911.12368) [gr-qc]
41. L. Ma, H. Lu, Bounds on Photon Spheres and Shadows of Charged Black Holes in Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet-Maxwell Gravity. [arXiv:1912.05569](https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.05569) [gr-qc]
42. R. Q. Yang, H. Lu, Universal bounds on the size of a black hole. [arXiv:2001.00027](https://arxiv.org/abs/2001.00027) [gr-qc]