Relativistic compact stars with dark matter density profile

Nayan Sarkar^{1,a}, Susmita Sarkar^{1,b}, Ksh. Newton Singh^{1,2,c}, Farook Rahaman^{1,d}

¹ Department of Mathematics, Jadavpur University, Kolkata, West Bengal 700032, India

² Department of Physics, National Defence Academy, Khadakwasla, Pune, Maharashtra 411023, India

Received: 16 November 2019 / Accepted: 3 March 2020 / Published online: 19 March 2020

© The Author(s) 2020

Abstract In this article, we are proposing a model of anisotropic compact star possessing density profile of pseudo-isothermal dark matter satisfying a linear equation of state (EoS). The stellar system supported by this density profile is physically possible and in equilibrium fulfilling all the energy conditions including the TOV-equation. The solution also satisfies the causality condition, static stability criterion, Abreu's stability and Bondi's criteria. The fulfillment of Rhoades–Ruffini criterion makes our solution more physically viable as well. The M – R curve is plotted for $b = 12.55$ km and $B_g = 60$ MeV/fm³.

1 Introduction

Since the detection of invisible matter known as “Dark Matter (DM)” from the rotational curve of spiral galaxies [1–3], many researchers are attracted towards the nature of DM theoretically and experimentally. Bertone et al. [4] presented a piece of promising evidence that the dominating matter in the Universe is also DM. Many suggestions have been made by several authors that the constituent particles of DM and WIMPs may be the beyond-the-standard model of particles such as supersymmetric particle neutralinos [5,6]. It is always possible that DM from galactic halo can be accreted onto compact stars like neutron stars (NS) [7,8] and white dwarfs [9,10]. The accretion of DM influence the late cooling rate of NS longer than 10^7 years [7,12]. The structure of NS was first discussed by Oppenheimer and Volkoff [11] by assuming an equation of state of the cold degenerate neutrons and determined the maximum mass of about $0.75 M_\odot$, however, the exact composition is yet to determine. Although, a variety of composition in NS have been made by Lattimer

and Prakash [13]. Nowadays, it is well known in the literature that there are several compositions of NS like super-fluid neutrons, super-conducting protons, condensation of pions and kaons, skermions, hyperons, quark-gluon plasma etc. Witten [14] proposed that strange quark matter (SQM) such as u , d and s quarks is the ground state of hadronic matters. The mechanism of transformation from NS to SQM is possible via leptonic weak interaction [15–17]. Another mechanism was also suggested by Perez-Garcia et al. [18] where WIMPs may trigger a conversion of hadronic NS matter to SQM through an external seeding mechanism. Narain et al. [19] construct a compact star model composed of fermionic DM (FDM). They have shown that the maximum mass of compact star made of FDM strongly depends on the interaction parameter. On the other hand, Bertolami and Paramos [20] considered a generalized Chaplygin gas (GCG) equation of state and predicted the condition for formation to Chaplygin dark star. It is found that the formation is possible if the sound velocity doesn't exceed the expansion velocity.

Lopes et al. [21] have enumerated the profiles of mass-to-radius for dark matter admixed strange quark stars in the Starobinsky model of modified gravity. The structures of NSs, which are influenced by the spin polarized self-interacting DM have investigated by using the polytropic equation of state, the equation of state of spin polarized self-interacting DM and the equation of state from the rotational curves of galaxies [22,23]. The gravitational effects of condensed DM on compact stellar objects have studied in [24,25]. Ciarcelluti et al. [26] have focused on the existence of DM core within the NSs and very recent it is shown that the DM core of NS effects on its maximum mass, mass-radius relation and tidal deformability parameter [27]. A general class of exact interior solutions describing mixed relativistic stars containing both ordinary and dark energy (DE) in different proportions are derived by using the phantom scalar description of DE [28]. The equilibrium structure of the stellar compact objects with DM cores and formed by the admixture of generated DM and the normal nuclear matter

^a e-mail: nayan.mathju@gmail.com

^b e-mail: susmita.mathju@gmail.com

^c e-mail: ntnphy@gmail.com

^d e-mail: rahaman@associates.iucaa.in (corresponding author)

has studied in [29,30], respectively. Hadjimichef et al. [31] have studied a DM compact star in the framework of the pseudo-complex general relativity.

In relativistic astrophysics, the presence of a mixture of fluids, rotational motion, superfluid, superconductor, magnetic field, phase transition are the causes of anisotropic nature of the fluid configuration. Herrera and Santos [32] studied the physical consequences in celestial configuration due to anisotropy in details. Itoh [33] suggested the possible existence of stable quarks stars due to the high density of compact stars. Moreover, Bodmer [34] shown that the quarks matter with u , d and s quarks is more stable than the nuclear matter. In the literature, there is a renowned MIT Bag Model, where quarks can be considered as free particles trapped inside the impenetrable hadronic sphere according to the quantum chromodynamics. The MIT Bag Model commands a simple linear equation of state $p_r(r) = \frac{1}{3}\{\rho(r) - 4B_g\}$, where B_g is known as the *bag* constant. The physical properties of compact stars have been studied by using the MIT Bag model equation of state in [35–38].

In this article, we have considered a generalized form of the pseudo-isothermal density profile of DM and MIT-bag model type linear equation of state (EoS) to study the structural characteristics of anisotropic fluid configurations, the anisotropy nature is widely accepted in the research community because of its more realistic nature. We are presenting theoretical investigations about astrophysical stellar systems namely, neutron stars or quark stars. The presented solution is compatible with the observed masses and radii of actual compact stars such as EXO 1785-248, Vela X-1, Cen X-3, LMC X-4 and 4U 1538-52. Very recently, after the observation of the event horizon, black hole / singularity is also one of the hot spots for researchers. As a part of our paper, the solution can also represent regular singularity for the certain value of γ . This is, in fact, a very interesting solution because solutions representing both singularity and non-singular compact star solution are very rare / few.

The content of the article has been designed as follows: we have set up the Einstein field equations for the spherically symmetric matter distribution in Sect. 2. In Sect. 3, we have determined the exact expressions of mass $m(r)$, compactness parameter $u(r)$, radial pressure $p_r(r)$, transverse pressure $p_t(r)$, anisotropic factor $\Delta(r)$ and surface red-shift z_s with the help of a generalized form of the pseudo-isothermal density profile and linear equation of state (EoS). The Sect. 4 contains the central values of the physical parameters and restriction of an assumed constant β . We have estimated the values of assumed constants and *bag* constant using boundary conditions in Sect. 5. The energy and equilibrium conditions are analyzed in Sects. 6 and 7, respectively. The stability analysis is made in Sect. 8 via three subsections: (8.1) Velocity of sound along with Causality and Stability conditions, (8.2) Stability condition with respect to the adiabatic

index and (8.3) Static stability condition with the help of Harrison–Zeldovich–Novikov criterion. The Sect. 9 is about the moment of inertia and equation of state. Finally, the discussion and conclusion of our work have been made in Sect. 10 into two subsections: (10.1) Graphical aspect and (10.2) Numerical aspect.

2 Einstein's field equations

We consider the line element to describe the interior of a static and spherically symmetric stellar configuration in *Schwarzschild* coordinate system as:

$$ds^2 = -e^{\nu(r)} dt^2 + e^{\lambda(r)} dr^2 + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2), \quad (1)$$

where $e^{\nu(r)}$, $e^{\lambda(r)}$ are called metric coefficients, functions of the radial coordinate r only.

In gravitational unit ($G = c = 1$), the Einstein field equations can be written as:

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \left\{ \mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{R} g_{\mu\nu} \right\}, \quad (2)$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}$, $g_{\mu\nu}$, $\mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ are the Ricci tensor, metric tensor, stress energy tensor and Ricci scalar, respectively.

For an anisotropic DM matter distribution the energy momentum tensor can be written as:

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu} = (\rho + p_t) U_\mu U_\nu - p_t g_{\mu\nu} + (p_r - p_t) \chi_\mu \chi_\nu, \quad (3)$$

where $\rho = \rho(r)$, $p_r = p_r(r)$ and $p_t = p_t(r)$ are stand for the energy density, radial pressure and transverse pressure of fluid sphere, respectively and $U^\mu U_\mu = -\chi^\mu \chi_\mu = 1$, $U^\mu \chi_\mu = 0$.

For the metric (1) and energy momentum tensor (3), the Einstein field Eq. (2) takes the following form:

$$\rho(r) = \frac{1}{8\pi} \left\{ \frac{1 - e^{-\lambda}}{r^2} + \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda'}{r} \right\}, \quad (4)$$

$$p_r(r) = \frac{1}{8\pi} \left\{ \frac{e^{-\lambda} - 1}{r^2} + \frac{e^{-\lambda} \nu'}{r} \right\}, \quad (5)$$

$$p_t(r) = \frac{e^{-\lambda}}{8\pi} \left\{ \frac{\nu''}{2} + \frac{\nu'^2}{4} - \frac{\nu' \lambda'}{4} + \frac{\nu' - \lambda'}{2r} \right\}. \quad (6)$$

The anisotropic factor is defined as $\Delta(r) = p_t(r) - p_r(r)$. The radial and transverse equation of parameters are defined as $\omega_r(r) = p_r(r)/\rho(r)$ and $\omega_t(r) = p_t(r)/\rho(r)$, these two are most important tools in the study of anisotropic matter configuration and they satisfy the condition $0 < \omega_r(r), \omega_t(r) < 1$ for physical matter distribution [39].

The scalar curvature (or the Ricci scalar) for the line element(1) is given by

$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{2}{r^2} - \frac{e^{-\lambda(r)}}{2r^2} \left[4 + 4rv'(r) + r^2(v'(r))^2 + 2r^2v''(r) - r\lambda'(r)(4 + rv'(r)) \right]. \quad (7)$$

3 Anisotropic solutions with pseudo-isothermal density profile

We consider a couple of generalized form of the pseudo-isothermal density profile of dark matter (DM) and linear equation of state (EoS) to study the physical properties of anisotropic compact stars formed by physical DM:

$$\rho(r) = a \left[1 + \left(\frac{r}{b} \right)^2 \right]^{-\gamma}, \quad (8)$$

$$p_r(r) = \alpha\rho(r) - \beta, \quad (9)$$

where a (km^{-2}), b (km), α , β (km^{-2}) and γ are non-zero positive constants.

In the year 1986, Kent [40] proposed the density profile (8) for $\gamma = 1$ and later Spano et al. [41] used this density profile for $\gamma = 1.5$. In our study, we shall consider γ as a generalized parameter along with the values corresponding to the Kent density profiles. The similar form of Eq. (9) to the MIT bag model equation of state (EoS) yields the bag constant for our model is $B_g = \frac{3}{4}\beta$.

Motivation The anisotropic compact stars are highly dense objects, which have huge curiosity to know the exact details of its internal compositions including its density profile. Therefore, we were motivated to investigate the properties of compact stars with the density profile of pseudo-isothermal DM and seek for new results. The density profile (8) is finite and monotonically decreasing in nature for positive values of γ within the stellar interior.

Now, the mass of stellar configuration can be obtained as:

$$m(r) = 4\pi \int_0^r r'^2 \rho(r') dr' = \frac{4}{3} \pi a r^3 H(r), \quad (10)$$

where $H(r) = {}_2F_1 \left[\frac{3}{2}, \gamma, \frac{5}{2}, -\left(\frac{r}{b} \right)^2 \right]$ and ${}_2F_1$ is the usual hypergeometric function, defined as

$${}_2F_1(j, k; l; w) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(j)_i (k)_i}{(l)_i} \frac{w^i}{i!}, \quad (11)$$

Here $(x)_n$ is the Pochhammer symbol, which is defined as

$$(x)_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } i = 0 \\ x(x+1) \dots (x+i-1) & \text{for } i > 0. \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

Fig. 1 $e^{v(r)}$ and $e^{-\lambda(r)}$ are plotted with respect to the radial coordinate r for the compact star EXO 1785-248 corresponding to values of constants given in Table 2

Therefore, the compactness parameter is obtained as

$$u(r) = \frac{2m(r)}{r} = \frac{8}{3} \pi a r^2 H(r). \quad (13)$$

In the *Schwarzschild* coordinate, we can define a metric coefficient function

$$e^{-\lambda(r)} = 1 - \frac{2m(r)}{r} = 1 - \frac{8}{3} \pi a r^2 H(r), \quad (14)$$

On using Eqs. (8)–(9), we get the expression of radial pressure

$$p_r(r) = a\alpha \left[1 + \left(\frac{r}{b} \right)^2 \right]^{-\gamma} - \beta. \quad (15)$$

On imposing Eqs. (14)–(15) in Eq. (5) we get

$$v'(r) = \frac{8\pi r \left[3a\alpha \left(1 + \frac{r^2}{b^2} \right)^{-\gamma} - 3\beta + aH(r) \right]}{3 - 8\pi a r^2 H(r)}. \quad (16)$$

One can see that the above expression for $v'(r)$ is very complicated due to the presence of a hypergeometric function $H(r)$ and therefore, finding the exact solution is impractical. For this reason, we have solved Eq. (16) numerically and provide a graphical representation for $e^{v(r)}$ in Fig. 1. From Fig. 1, we can see that e^v and $e^{-\lambda}$ meet at the surface showing the boundary is matched.

The expressions for transverse pressure and anisotropic factor are obtained in the following forms:

$$p_t(r) = \left(1 + \frac{r^2}{b^2} \right)^{-2\gamma} \left[3a\chi_1 - 3\beta(r^2 + b^2) \times (2\pi\beta r^2 - 1) - 2a\pi r^2 H(r)\chi_2 - 6\pi\chi_3 \right] \times \left[(r^2 + b^2)(8\pi a r^2 H(r) - 3) \right]^{-1}, \quad (17)$$

$$\Delta(r) = \left(1 + \frac{r^2}{b^2} \right)^{-2\gamma} \left[3a\chi_1 - 3\beta(r^2 + b^2) \right]$$

Fig. 2 The energy density is plotted with respect to the radial coordinate r for the compact star EXO 1785-248 corresponding to values of constants given in Table 2

Fig. 3 The radial and transverse pressures are plotted with respect to the radial coordinate r for the compact star EXO 1785-248 corresponding to values of constants given in Table 2

$$\begin{aligned} & \times (2\pi\beta r^2 - 1) - 2a\pi r^2 H(r)\chi_2 - 6\pi\chi_3 \Big] \\ & \times \left[(r^2 + b^2)(8\pi a r^2 H(r) - 3) \right]^{-1} + \beta \\ & - a\alpha \left[1 + \left(\frac{r}{b} \right)^2 \right]^{-\gamma}, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

whereas

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_1 &= \left(1 + \frac{r^2}{b^2} \right)^\gamma \left[(r^2 + b^2) \{ 2\pi\beta r^2 (1 + 2\alpha) - \alpha \} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \alpha\gamma r^2 \right], \\ \chi_2 &= \left(1 + \frac{r^2}{b^2} \right)^\gamma \left[4a\alpha\gamma r^2 + a(1 - 3\alpha)(r^2 + b^2) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 3\beta(r^2 + b^2) \left(1 + \frac{r^2}{b^2} \right)^\gamma \right], \\ \chi_3 &= \alpha(1 + \alpha)a^2 r^2 (r^2 + b^2). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

The exact behaviors of density, radial and transverse pressures, equation of state parameters and anisotropy are shown in Figs. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 corresponding to $\gamma = 1, 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4$ respectively. We have used these values of γ for all graphical representations of our solutions. The density is positive,

Fig. 4 The equation of state parameters are plotted with respect to the radial coordinate r for the compact star EXO 1785-248 corresponding to values of constants given in Table 2

Fig. 5 The anisotropic factor is plotted with respect to the radial coordinate r for the compact star EXO 1785-248 corresponding to values of constants given in Table 2

maximum at the centre and decreasing towards the surface of the fluid sphere (see Fig. 2). The radial pressure and transverse pressure both are positive and maximum at the center. Moreover, the radial pressure is decreasing towards the surface of compact star and vanishes at the surface, clear from Fig. 3. Figure 5 indicates that the anisotropic factor is positive for our solutions. Both the equations of state parameters are within the required region $0 < \omega_r(r), \omega_t(r) < 1$, Fig. 4. Also, the behaviors of mass function and compactness parameter are shown in Fig. 6. The parameter $\eta(r) = \frac{p_r(r)}{p_t(r)}$ is an important parameter and with respect to $\eta(r)$ we can analyze the effects of the presence of anisotropy in equilibrium configurations as similar of Newtonian treatment. We have shown the variation of $\eta(r)$ in Fig. 7, which represents that the anisotropic force is positive throughout the fluid sphere i.e. outward directed as similar to the result in Fig. 5. The graphical representation of scalar curvature for our solution is shown in Fig. 8 and it shows that the scalar curvature as required is positive and monotonically decreasing in nature against the radial coordinate r . We, therefore, can say that all the physical parameters involved in our solutions are physically well-behaved.

Fig. 6 The mass and compactness parameter are plotted with respect to the radial coordinate r for the compact star EXO 1785-248 corresponding to values of constants given in Table 2

Fig. 7 The parameter $\eta(r)$ is plotted with respect to the radial coordinate r for the compact star EXO 1785-248 corresponding to values of constants given in Table 2

Fig. 8 The scalar curvature is plotted with respect to the radial coordinate r for the compact star EXO 1785-248 corresponding to values of constants given in Table 2

The expression for surface red-shift is given as

$$z_s = e^{\frac{\lambda(R)}{2}} - 1 = \left\{ 1 - \frac{8}{3} \pi a R^2 H(R) \right\}^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 1. \quad (20)$$

The numerical value of surface red-shift is given in Table 3, which is constant for each considered compact star i.e. independent of γ .

4 Values of physical parameters at the center

The central values of energy density and pressures must be positively finite for ensuring the physical acceptability of the solutions. For our solutions, the central values of density and pressures are obtained as:

$$\rho_c = a > 0, \quad (21)$$

$$p_{rc} = p_{tc} = a\alpha - \beta > 0. \quad (22)$$

For satisfying the Zeldovich's criterion by any physical fluid [42], we can get $p_{rc}/\rho_c \leq 1$, which implies

$$\beta \geq a(\alpha - 1), \quad (23)$$

Therefore, Eqs. (22) and (23) simultaneously yield a boundary representing constraint on β in the following form:

$$a(\alpha - 1) \leq \beta < a\alpha. \quad (24)$$

5 Determination of constants using boundary conditions

For obtain the values of important assumed constants, we match our interior solutions with the exterior *Schwarzschild* solutions at the surface $r = R$ of the fluid sphere. The exterior *Schwarzschild* solutions given by

$$ds^2 = - \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} \right) dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} \right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2), \quad (25)$$

where the surface radius R must be greater than $2M$ to avoid the singularity.

Therefore, the continuous behavior of metric coefficient at the surface $r = R$ of fluid sphere yields the following equation:

$$e^{-\lambda(R)} = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{R} \right), \quad (26)$$

Further, the radial pressure vanishes at the surface, which implies

$$a\alpha \left[1 + \left(\frac{R}{b} \right)^2 \right]^{-\gamma} - \beta = 0, \quad (27)$$

On using the boundary conditions (26)–(27), we get the values of a and α in terms of β , b , γ and the radius R and mass M of compact star as:

$$a = \frac{3M}{4\pi R^3 H(R)}, \quad (28)$$

$$\alpha = \frac{\beta}{a} \left(1 + \frac{R^2}{b^2} \right)^\gamma \quad (29)$$

and we know that $\beta = 4B_g/3$. To design a realistic EoS, we chose the widely acceptable value of B_g as 60 MeV/fm³ and b as per physical validity.

6 Energy conditions

The physical mass distribution must satisfy all the energy conditions within its interior accordingly. The energy conditions are (i) Null energy condition (NEC), (ii) Weak energy condition (WEC) and (iii) Strong energy condition (SEC). All these energy conditions can be presented by following inequalities [43,44]:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{NEC}_r: \rho(r) - p_r(r) &\geq 0, \\ \text{NEC}_t: \rho(r) - p_t(r) &\geq 0, \\ \text{WEC}_r: \rho(r) \geq 0, \quad \rho(r) - p_r(r) &\geq 0, \\ \text{WEC}_t: \rho(r) \geq 0, \quad \rho(r) - p_t(r) &\geq 0, \\ \text{SEC}: \rho(r) - p_r(r) - 2p_t(r) &\geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

The graphical representations of L.H.Ss of all the above inequalities are shown in Fig. 9. From Fig. 9 along with Fig. 2 we can see that our solutions satisfy all the mentioned energy conditions within the stellar interior. Consequently, our solutions represent the DM configuration, which is also physical in nature.

7 Equilibrium analysis

Here, we are going to verify the equilibrium condition of the matter configuration represented by our solutions. Any anisotropic celestial fluid distribution is in equilibrium position under the action of three different forces, which are gravitational force, hydrostatics force and anisotropic force. The equilibrium position of any fluid configuration can be analyzed by satisfying the generalized Tolman–Oppenheimer–Volkoff (TOV) equation. The generalized TOV equation is of the following form:

$$-\frac{M_g(r)(\rho + p_r)}{r} e^{\{v(r)-\lambda(r)\}/2} - \frac{dp_r}{dr} + \frac{2}{r}(p_t - p_r) = 0, \quad (31)$$

where $M_g(r)$ stands for the gravitational mass of the fluid sphere of radius r . The exact form of $M_g(r)$ can be derived with the help of the Tolman–Whittaker formula and the Einstein field equations and it is defined as

$$M_g(r) = 4\pi \int_0^r r^2 e^{\frac{v+\lambda}{2}} (T_t^t - T_r^r - T_\theta^\theta - T_\phi^\phi) dr, \quad (32)$$

Fig. 9 The energy conditions are plotted with respect to the radial coordinate r for the compact star EXO 1785-248 corresponding to values of constants given in Table 2

On using Eqs. (4)–(6), the expression for gravitational mass $M_g(r)$ becomes in the following form:

$$M_g(r) = \frac{1}{2} r e^{(\lambda-v)/2} v'. \quad (33)$$

Plugging the value of $M_g(r)$ in Eq. (31), the TOV equation reduces as

$$-\frac{v'}{2}(\rho + p_r) - \frac{dp_r}{dr} + \frac{2}{r}(p_t - p_r) = 0. \quad (34)$$

The above equation can be written as

$$F_g(r) + F_h(r) + F_a(r) = 0, \quad (35)$$

where

$$F_g(r) = -\frac{v'}{2}(\rho + p_r), \text{ is called gravitational force,}$$

$$F_h(r) = -\frac{dp_r}{dr}, \text{ is called hydrostatics force,}$$

$$F_a(r) = \frac{2\Delta}{r}, \text{ is called anisotropic force.}$$

For our solutions, the closed forms of these three forces are obtained as:

$$\begin{aligned} F_g(r) &= 4\pi r \left(1 + \frac{r^2}{b^2}\right)^{-2\gamma} (a + a\alpha - \beta) \left[3a\alpha \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(1 + \frac{r^2}{b^2}\right)^\gamma (aH(r) - 3\beta) \right] \\ &\quad \times [8\pi a r^2 H(r) - 3]^{-1}, \\ F_h(r) &= \frac{2a\alpha\gamma r}{b^2} \left(1 + \frac{r^2}{b^2}\right)^{-1-\gamma}, \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_a(r) &= 2r \left(1 + \frac{r^2}{b^2}\right)^{-2\gamma} \left[6\pi a^2 \alpha (1 + \alpha) (r^2 + b^2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 6\pi F_1 - 3aF_2 + 2H(r)F_3 \right] \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Fig. 10 The acting forces are plotted with respect to the radial coordinate r for the compact star EXO 1785-248 corresponding to values of constants given in Table 2

$$\times \left[(r^2 + b^2)(3 - 8\pi a r^2 H(r)) \right]^{-1}. \quad (38)$$

whereas

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &= \beta^2 (r^2 + b^2) \left(1 + \frac{r^2}{b^2} \right)^{2\gamma}, \\ F_2 &= \left(1 + \frac{r^2}{b^2} \right)^{\gamma} \{ 2\pi (1 + 2\alpha) \beta (r^2 + b^2) + \alpha \gamma \}, \\ F_3 &= a\pi \left(1 + \frac{r^2}{b^2} \right)^{\gamma} \left[a(1 + \alpha)(r^2 + b^2) + 4a\alpha\gamma r^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \beta(r^2 + b^2) \left(1 + \frac{r^2}{b^2} \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

Figure 10 shows that the mass distribution represented by our solutions is in equilibrium state by satisfying the TOV equation.

8 Stability analysis

Here we shall focus on the stability analysis for our model via stability factor, adiabatic index and Harrison–Zeldovich–Novikov’s static stability condition.

8.1 Velocity of sound

• **The causality condition:** The causality condition states that whenever sound passes through within a physical compact star then its radial and transverse velocities must be less than the velocity of light, the maximum velocity in GTR. Otherwise, matter configuration will not be physical. The square of radial velocity $\{v_r(r)\}^2$ and transverse velocity $\{v_t(r)\}^2$ of sound can be determined by using the following formulae:

$$v_r^2 = \frac{dp_r(r)}{d\rho(r)} = \alpha, \quad v_t^2 = \frac{dp_t(r)}{d\rho(r)}. \quad (40)$$

Fig. 11 The sound speeds are plotted with respect to the radial coordinate r for the compact star EXO 1785-248 corresponding to values of constants given in Table 2

Fig. 12 The stability factor is plotted with respect to the radial coordinate r for the compact star EXO 1785-248 corresponding to values of constants given in Table 2

Therefore, the causality condition can be describe as $0 \leq v_r(r) = \sqrt{\frac{dp_r(r)}{d\rho(r)}} < 1$ and $0 \leq v_t(r) = \sqrt{\frac{dp_t(r)}{d\rho(r)}} < 1$ for physical matter distribution.

Our solutions satisfy the causality condition (see Fig. 11) i.e. our model is of physical matter distribution.

• **The stability condition:** To study the stability of an anisotropic stellar fluid L. Herrera [45] proposed proposed the *cracking* method under the radial perturbations and using the cracking concept Abreu et al. [46] provided the conditions with respect to the stability factor $[\{v_t(r)\}^2 - \{v_r(r)\}^2]$ for an anisotropic fluid model. The stability condition described in the following manner:

The region is potentially stable if $-1 < \{v_t(r)\}^2 - \{v_r(r)\}^2 < 0$ and the region is potentially unstable if $0 < \{v_t(r)\}^2 - \{v_r(r)\}^2 < 1$. The bounded region of mass distribution represented by our solutions is potentially stable, clear from Fig. 12.

8.2 Adiabatic index

The relativistic adiabatic indices are defined as the ratio of two specific heats. The adiabatic indices are also important parameters that affect the stability of any stellar object. The

Fig. 13 The adiabatic indexes are plotted with respect to the radial coordinate r for the compact star EXO 1785-248 corresponding to values of constants given in Table 2

relativistic adiabatic indices are defined as [47]:

$$\Gamma_r(r) = \frac{\rho(r) + p_r(r)}{p_r(r)} \frac{dp_r(r)}{d\rho(r)}, \quad (41)$$

$$\Gamma_t(r) = \frac{\rho(r) + p_t(r)}{p_t(r)} \frac{dp_t(r)}{d\rho(r)}. \quad (42)$$

For a stable Newtonian sphere $\Gamma_r(r) > 4/3$ and $\Gamma_r(r) = 4/3$ is the condition for a neutral equilibrium proposed by Bondi [48]. This condition changes for a relativistic isotropic sphere due to the regenerative effect of pressure, which renders the sphere more unstable.

The value of adiabatic index $\Gamma_r(r)$ is more than $4/3$ for our solutions, shown in Fig. 13 and hence stable.

8.3 Harrison–Zeldovich–Novikov’s static stability condition

Harrison et al. [49] and Zeldovich–Novikov [42] proposed that any fluid configuration is stable if the mass and surface radius of star are increasing with respect to the central density i.e. $\frac{\partial M(\rho_c)}{\partial \rho_c} > 0$ and $\frac{\partial R(\rho_c)}{\partial \rho_c} > 0$, whereas the mass and surface radius of star are decreasing with respect to the central density i.e. $\frac{\partial M(\rho_c)}{\partial \rho_c} < 0$ and $\frac{\partial R(\rho_c)}{\partial \rho_c} < 0$ for unstable fluid configuration.

For our model, the mass and surface radius are obtained as the function of central density in following forms

$$M(\rho_c) = \frac{4}{3}\pi\rho_c R^3 H(R), \quad (43)$$

$$R(\rho_c) = b \left[\left(\frac{\alpha\rho_c}{\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} - 1 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (44)$$

Therefore

$$\frac{\partial M(\rho_c)}{\partial \rho_c} = \frac{4}{3}\pi R^3 H(R) > 0, \quad (45)$$

Fig. 14 The mass function is plotted with respect to the central density ρ_c for the compact star EXO 1785-248 corresponding to values of constants given in Table 2

Fig. 15 The surface radius is plotted with respect to the central density ρ_c for the compact star EXO 1785-248 corresponding to values of constants given in Table 2

$$\frac{\partial R(\rho_c)}{\partial \rho_c} = \frac{b}{2\gamma\rho_c} \left(\frac{\alpha\rho_c}{\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \left[\left(\frac{\alpha\rho_c}{\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} - 1 \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} > 0. \quad (46)$$

The mass $M(\rho_c)$ and radius $R(\rho_c)$ are increasing with respect to the central density ρ_c (see Figs. 14, 15) i.e. $\frac{\partial M(\rho_c)}{\partial \rho_c} > 0$ and $\frac{\partial R(\rho_c)}{\partial \rho_c} > 0$, which ensure that our presented stellar configuration is stable under the static stability criterion.

9 Moment of inertia and equation of state

For a uniformly rotating star with angular velocity Ω , the moment of inertia is given by Lattimer and Prakash [13]

$$I = \frac{8\pi}{3} \int_0^R r^4 (\rho + p_r) e^{(\lambda-\nu)/2} \frac{\bar{\omega}}{\Omega} dr \quad (47)$$

where, the rotational drag $\bar{\omega}$ satisfy the Hartle’s equation [50]

$$\frac{d}{dr} \left(r^4 j \frac{d\bar{\omega}}{dr} \right) = -4r^3 \bar{\omega} \frac{dj}{dr}. \quad (48)$$

Fig. 16 The moment of inertia is plotted with respect to the mass M for $b = 12.55$ km and $B_g = 60$ MeV/fm³. The red dot represents (M, I_{max}) and black dot (M_{max}, I)

with $j = e^{-(\lambda+\nu)/2}$ which has boundary value $j(R) = 1$. The approximate solution of moment of inertia I up to the maximum mass M_{max} was given by Bejger and Haensel [51] as

$$I = \frac{2}{5}(1+x)MR^2, \quad (49)$$

where parameter $x = (M/R) \cdot \text{km}/M_\odot$. For the solutions we have plotted mass vs I in Fig. 16, that shows as γ increases, the mass also increase and the moment of inertia increases till up to certain value of mass and then decreases. Comparing Figs. 16 and 17, the mass corresponding to I_{max} is not equal to M_{max} from $M-R$ diagram. In fact the mass corresponding to I_{max} is lower by few percent from the M_{max} . This happens to the EoSs without any strong high-density softening due to hyperonization or phase transition to an exotic state [52]. Using this graph we can estimate the maximum moment of inertia for a particular compact star or by matching the observed I with the I_{max} we can determine the validity of a model.

A rotating compact star can hold higher M_{max} than non-rotating one. The mass relationship between static and rotating is given by (in the unit $G = C = 1$) [53]

$$M_{rot} = M_{stat} + \frac{1}{2}I\Omega^2. \quad (50)$$

Due to centrifugal force, the radius at the equator increases as some factor as compare to the static one. Cheng and Harko [54] find out the approximate radius formulas for static and rotating stars as $R_{rot}/R_{stat} \approx 1.626$. Assuming the compact star is rotating in Kepler frequency $\Omega_K = (GM_{stat}/R_{stat}^3)^{1/2}$ and on using the Cheng-Harko formula we have plotted the $M-R$ for rotating and non-rotating (Fig. 18).

Fig. 17 The mass is potted with respect to the surface radius R for the compact star EXO 1785-248 corresponding to values of constants given in Table 2

Fig. 18 The static mass and rotating mass are plotted with respect to the surface radius R for the compact star EXO 1785-248 corresponding to $b = 12.55$ km and $B_g = 60$ MeV/fm³

10 Discussions and conclusion

In this article, we have presented a unique anisotropic dark matter (DM) compact stars model. The interior solutions are determined by solving Einstein's field equations using the general form of the pseudo-isothermal density profile of DM and linear equation of state (EoS). To analyze our obtained solutions with respect to graphical representations we have considered the compact star EXO 1785-248 and throughout the paper, all the plots are drawn for that compact star. Moreover, we have demonstrated the numerical values of constants and all the physical parameters for the compact star EXO 1785-248 as well as other four well-know compact stars, namely Vela X-1, Cen X-3, LMC X-4 and 4U 1538-52 in tabular forms to compact our solutions.

Some of the key features of our solutions regarding the anisotropic stellar configuration formed by DM are as follows:

Table 1 Numerical values of masses M , radii R and average density ρ_{av} for five well-known compact stars

Compact stars	R (km)	M ($M_{\odot}$)	Ref.	M (km)	$\rho_{av} = \frac{3M}{4\pi R^3}$ (g/cm ³)
EXO 1785-248	8.80	1.30	Özel et al. (2009)	1.91958	6.134×10^{14}
Vela X-1	9.56	1.77	Rawls et al. (2011)	2.61358	6.515×10^{14}
Cen X-3	9.17	1.49	Rawls et al. (2011)	2.20013	6.214×10^{14}
LMC X-4	8.30	1.04	Rawls et al. (2011)	1.53566	5.849×10^{14}
4U 1538-52	7.87	0.87	Rawls et al. (2011)	1.28464	5.740×10^{14}

Table 2 Numerical values of arbitrary assumed constants corresponding to the masses and radii of five well-known compact stars given in Table 1 with $B_g = 60$ MeV/fm³ and $\gamma = 1, 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4$ respectively

Compact stars	$\beta(10^{-5})$ (km ⁻²)	b (km)	$\frac{\gamma=1}{a(10^{-4})} \alpha$ (km ⁻²)	$\frac{\gamma=1.1}{a(10^{-4})} \alpha$ (km ⁻²)	$\frac{\gamma=1.2}{a(10^{-4})} \alpha$ (km ⁻²)	$\frac{\gamma=1.3}{a(10^{-4})} \alpha$ (km ⁻²)	$\frac{\gamma=1.4}{a(10^{-4})} \alpha$ (km ⁻²)
EXO 1785-248	7.9404	12.55	8.6185 0.1374	8.8298 0.1396	9.0453 0.1418	9.2651 0.1442	9.4891 0.1465
Vela X-1	7.9404	12.55	9.4987 0.1321	9.7660 0.1345	10.0395 0.1370	10.3191 0.1395	10.6051 0.1421
Cen X-3	7.9404	12.55	8.8879 0.1370	9.1213 0.1394	9.3598 0.1418	9.6033 0.1442	9.8518 0.1467
LMC X-4	7.9404	12.55	8.0250 0.1422	8.2032 0.1443	8.3845 0.1464	8.5691 0.1485	8.7570 0.1507
4U 1538-52	7.9404	12.55	7.7203 0.1433	7.8767 0.1452	8.0356 0.1471	8.1972 0.1491	8.3613 0.1511

10.1 Graphical aspect

- The energy density is positively finite within the star, monotonically decreasing in nature and free from the singularity, shown in Fig. 2. The value of scalar curvature is finite i.e. the solution is non-singular and as expected it is monotonic decreasing in nature (Fig. 8).
- From the profiles of radial and transverse pressures in Fig. 3 we have found that the pressures are positive, finite within the star. The radial pressure is decreasing in nature against the radial coordinate r and vanishes at the surface. Moreover, $p_r(r) = p_t(r)$ at the centre of the stellar object whereas $p_t(r) - p_r(r) > 0$ i.e. the anisotropic factor is positive and increasing in $0 < r \leq R$ (see Fig. 5) and hence the force $\frac{2\Delta(r)}{r}$ due to anisotropy is pointing outward (see Fig. 10) i.e. able to construct more compact object. Also, the Fig. 4 indicates that the equation of state parameters are in $0 \leq \omega_r(r)$, $\omega_t(r) \leq 1$, which suggests that our solutions representing matter distribution is physical.
- The profiles of mass function (Fig. 6) suggest that it is regular, positive and monotonically increasing in nature. The compactness parameter is positive and increasing in nature (see Fig. 6). Also, the compactness parameter for our presented solutions satisfied the Buchdahl condition, (See Table 2).
- All the energy conditions are satisfied by our solutions (see Fig. 9) i.e. our solutions represent the physically possible matter configuration.
- The final state of any anisotropic compact star always is in equilibrium state by satisfying the general Tolman–

Oppenheimer–Volkoff (TOV) equation. The obtained solutions satisfied the TOV equation, clear from Fig. 10 where hydrostatic, anisotropic forces act in outward direction and gravitational force acts in inward direction. Therefore, our solutions represent equilibrium matter distribution.

- We have analyzed the stability situation through the stability factor, adiabatic index and Harrison–Zeldovich–Novikov’s static stability condition : (a) For our model, we have $-1 < \{v_t(r)\}^2 - \{v_r(r)\}^2 < 0$ (see Fig. 12) i.e. the model is potentially stable also the causality condition hold good (see Fig. 11) (b) The obtained adiabatic index $\Gamma_r(r) > \frac{4}{3}$ (see Fig. 13), which indicates the stable configuration. (c) The mass and surface radius of the stellar object increases with increase of the central density and hence the static stability condition hold good and also the mass of stellar configuration is proportional to its central density, obvious from Figs. 14 and 15.
- The maximum masses resulting from the solutions with respect to the parameter γ are also demonstrated in Fig. 17 showing that the maximum mass increases with decrease in the value of γ . For $\gamma = 1$, one can’t determine the maximum mass and maximum moment of inertia as there is no saturation in mass.
- The comparative $M-R$ graph for static and rotating configuration (see Fig. 18) signifies that the M_{rot} is slightly higher than M_{stat} , however, both the maximum mass lie within $3.2M_{\odot}$ i.e. Rhoades–Ruffini limit [55].

Table 3 Numerical values of central density ρ_c , surface density ρ_s , central pressure p_c , surface redshift z_s and surface compactness parameter u_s for five well-known compact stars corresponding to $B_g = 60$ MeV/fm³ and $\gamma = 1, 1.1, 1.2, 1.3$ and 1.4 , respectively (**From above to below**)

$\gamma = 1$						
Compact stars	ρ_c (10^{15}) (g/cm ³)	ρ_s (10^{14}) (g/cm ³)	p_c (10^{34}) (g cm ²)	z_s	$u_s = \frac{2M}{R}$	Buchdahl limit
EXO 1785-248	1.161	7.783	4.7264	0.3319	0.4363	$< \frac{8}{9}$
Vela X-1	1.280	8.0968	5.5781	0.4854	0.5468	$< \frac{8}{9}$
Cen X-3	1.197	7.805	5.1323	0.3866	0.4798	$< \frac{8}{9}$
LMC X-4	1.081	7.5206	4.2046	0.2599	0.3700	$< \frac{8}{9}$
4U 1538-52	1.039	7.464	3.7802	0.2185	0.3265	$< \frac{8}{9}$
$\gamma = 1.1$						
Compact stars	ρ_c (10^{15}) (g/cm ³)	ρ_s (10^{14}) (dyne/cm ²)	p_c (10^{34}) (g cm ²)	z_s	$u_s = \frac{2M}{R}$	Buchdahl limit
EXO 1785-248	1.189	7.661	5.3115	0.3319	0.4363	$< \frac{8}{9}$
Vela X-1	1.316	7.952	6.2894	0.4854	0.5468	$< \frac{8}{9}$
Cen X-3	1.229	7.675	5.7767	0.3866	0.4798	$< \frac{8}{9}$
LMC X-4	1.105	7.414	4.7152	0.2599	0.3700	$< \frac{8}{9}$
4U 1538-52	1.061	7.367	4.2318	0.2185	0.3265	$< \frac{8}{9}$
$\gamma = 1.2$						
Compact stars	ρ_c (10^{15}) (g/cm ³)	ρ_s (10^{14}) (dyne/cm ²)	p_c (10^{34}) (g cm ²)	z_s	$u_s = \frac{2M}{R}$	Buchdahl limit
EXO 1785-248	1.218	7.540	5.9204	0.3319	0.4363	$< \frac{8}{9}$
Vela X-1	1.352	7.809	7.0340	0.4854	0.5468	$< \frac{8}{9}$
Cen X-3	1.261	7.546	6.4494	0.3866	0.4798	$< \frac{8}{9}$
LMC X-4	1.294	7.307	5.2445	0.2599	0.3700	$< \frac{8}{9}$
4U 1538-52	1.082	7.271	4.6986	0.2185	0.3265	$< \frac{8}{9}$
$\gamma = 1.3$						
Compact stars	ρ_c (10^{15}) (g/cm ³)	ρ_s (10^{14}) (g/cm ³)	p_c (10^{34}) (g cm ²)	z_s	$u_s = \frac{2M}{R}$	Buchdahl limit
EXO 1785-248	1.248	7.421	6.5542	0.3319	0.4363	$< \frac{8}{9}$
Vela X-1	1.390	7.668	7.8134	0.4854	0.5468	$< \frac{8}{9}$
Cen X-3	1.294	7.418	7.1515	0.3866	0.4798	$< \frac{8}{9}$
LMC X-4	1.154	7.202	5.7935	0.2599	0.3700	$< \frac{8}{9}$
4U 1538-52	1.104	7.175	5.1813	0.2185	0.3265	$< \frac{8}{9}$
$\gamma = 1.4$						
Compact stars	ρ_c (10^{15}) (g/cm ³)	ρ_s (10^{14}) (g/cm ³)	p_c (10^{34}) (g cm ²)	z_s	$u_s = \frac{2M}{R}$	Buchdahl limit
EXO 1785-248	1.278	7.302	7.2138	0.3319	0.4363	$< \frac{8}{9}$
Vela X-1	1.428	7.528	8.6294	0.4854	0.5468	$< \frac{8}{9}$
Cen X-3	1.327	7.291	7.8842	0.3866	0.4798	$< \frac{8}{9}$
LMC X-4	1.180	7.100	6.3628	0.2599	0.3700	$< \frac{8}{9}$
4U 1538-52	1.126	7.080	5.6801	0.2185	0.3265	$< \frac{8}{9}$

10.2 Numerical aspect

We have also calculated the numerical values of central density, surface density, central pressure, compactness parameter and surface redshift in Table 3 corresponding to the numerical values of constants in Table 2 for the five well-known compact stars given in Table 1. Table 3 shows that the central and surface densities both are of order 10^{14} g/cm³ for all five compact stars. Also, these values are well-fitted with the average density given in Table 1. The numerical values of central pressure are of order 10^{34} dyne/cm² for all five compact stars corresponding to $\gamma = 1, 1.1, 1.2, 1.4$ and 1.4 (see Table 3). The values of surface redshift $z_s = z(r = R)$ is independent of γ as it depends only on M and R . Further, all the values of z_s are within the range suggested by the Ref. [56]. The surface values of compactness parameter $u_s = u(r = R) = \frac{2M}{R}$ are also not dependent on γ for each fluid configuration. Moreover, all the values of $u_s < \frac{8}{9}$, the Buchdahl limit [57], follows from Table 3. For $\gamma = 1$ the M – I behavior has no saturation points and therefore can't determine the I_{max} .

Finally, all these results prevail that our proposed model can be used to describe the interior of anisotropic stellar fluid sphere.

Acknowledgements Farook Rahaman would like to thank the authorities of the Inter-University Centre for Astronomy and Astrophysics, Pune, India for providing the research facilities. Nayan Sarkar and Susmita Sarkar are grateful to CSIR (Grand No.-09/096(0863)/2016-EMR-I.) and UGC (Grant No.: 1162/(sc)(CSIR-UGC NET, DEC 2016)), Govt. of India for financial support respectively. FR is also thankful to DST-SERB, Govt. of India and RUSA 2.0, Jadavpur University for financial support.

Data Availability Statement This manuscript has no associated data or the data will not be deposited. [Authors' comment: We have not used any data in this paper. The graphs content in the article was generated analytically and numerically using Mathematica.]

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. Funded by SCOAP³.

References

1. S.M. Faber, J.S. Gallagher, *ARA & A* **17**, 135 (1979)
2. V.C. Rubin, W.K. Ford Jr., N. Thonnard, *Astrophys. J.* **238**, 471 (1980)
3. A. Bosma, *Astrophys. J.* **86**, 1825 (1981)
4. G. Bertone, D. Hooper, J. Silk, *Phys. Rep.* **405**, 279 (2005)
5. V. Barger, F. Halzen, D. Hooper, C. Kao, *Phys. Rev. D* **65**, 075022 (2002)
6. J.L. Feng, *Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* **48**, 495 (2010)
7. C. Kouvaris, *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 023006 (2008)
8. I. Goldman, S. Nussinov, *Phys. Rev. D* **40**, 3221 (1989)
9. G. Bertone, M. Fairbairn, *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 043515 (2008)
10. M. McCullough, M. Fairbairn, *Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 083520 (2010)
11. J.R. Oppenheimer, G.M. Volkoff, *Phys. Rev.* **55**, 374 (1939)
12. A. de Lavallaz, M. Fairbairn, *Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 123521 (2010)
13. J.M. Lattimer, M. Prakash, *Phys. Rep.* **442**, 109 (2007)
14. E. Witten, *Phys. Rev. D* **30**, 272 (1984)
15. A.V. Olinto, *Phys. Lett. B* **192**, 71 (1987)
16. M.L. Olesen, J. Madsen, *Phys. Rev. D* **49**, 2698 (1994)
17. A. Bhattacharyya et al., *Phys. Rev. C* **74**, 065804 (2006)
18. M.A. Perez-Garcia, J. Silk, J.R. Stone, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 141101 (2010)
19. G. Narain, J.S. Bielich, I.N. Mishustin, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 063003 (2006)
20. O. Bertolami, J. Paramos, *Phys. Rev. D* **72**, 123512 (2005)
21. I. Lopes, G. Panotopoulos, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 024030 (2018)
22. Z. Rezaei, *Astropart. Phys.* **101**, 1 (2018)
23. Z. Rezaei, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **16**, 1950002 (2018)
24. G. Panotopoulos, I. Lopes, *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, 023002 (2017)
25. X.Y. Li, F.Y. Wang, K.S. Cheng, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. A* **031** (2012)
26. P. Ciarcelluti, F. Sandin, *Phys. Lett. B* **695**, 19 (2011)
27. J. Ellis, G. Hutsi, K. Kannike, L. Marzola, M. Raidal, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 123007 (2011)
28. S.S. Yazadjiev, *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 127501 (2011)
29. S.C. Leung, M.C. Chu, L.M. Lin, *Phys. Rev. D* **84**, 107301 (2011)
30. S.C. Leung, M.C. Chu, L.M. Lin, K.W. Wong, *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 123506 (2013)
31. D. Hadjimichef et al., *Astron. Nachr.* **338**, 1079 (2017)
32. L. Herrera, N.O. Santos, *Phys. Rep.* **286**, 53 (1997)
33. N. Itoh, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **44**, 291 (1970)
34. A.R. Bodmer, *Phys. Rev. D* **4**, 1601 (1971)
35. P. Bhar, M.H. Murad, N. Pant, *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **359**, 13 (2015)
36. A. Das, F. Rahaman, B.K. Guha, S. Ray, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76**, 654 (2016)
37. D. Deb, F. Rahaman, S. Ray, B.K. Guha, *J. Cosmo. Astropart. Phys.* **03**, 044 (2018)
38. D. Deb, B.K. Guha, F. Rahaman, S. Ray, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 084026 (2018)
39. F. Rahaman, S. Ray, A.K. Jafry, K. Chakraborty, *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 104055 (2010)
40. S.M. Kent, *Astrophys. J.* **91**, 1301 (1986)
41. M. Spano, M. Marcelin, P. Amram, C. Carignan, B. Epinat, O. Hernandez, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **383**, 297 (2008)
42. Zeldovich, Ya.B., Novikov, I.D.: *Relativistic Astrophysics*, vol. 1: Stars and Relativity. University of Chicago Press, Chicago (1971)
43. S.W. Hawking, G.F.R. Ellis, *The Large Scale Structure of Space-Time* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1973)
44. R.M. Wald, *General Relativity* (University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1984)
45. L. Herrera, *Phys. Lett. A* **165**, 206 (1992)
46. H. Abreu, H. Hernandez, L.A. Nunez, *Class. Quantum Gravity* **24**, 4631 (2007)
47. R. Chan, L. Herrera, N.O. Santos, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **265**, 533 (1993)
48. H. Bondi, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* **281**, 39 (1964)

49. B.K. Harrison, K.S. Thorne, M. Wakano, J.A. Wheeler, *Gravitational Theory and Gravitational Collapse* (University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1965)
50. J.B. Hartle, *Astrophys. J.* **150**, 1005 (1967)
51. M. Bejger, P. Haensel, *A & A* **396**, 917 (2002)
52. M. Bejger, T. Bulik, P. Haensel, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **364**, 635 (2005)
53. P. Ghosh, *Rotation and Accretion Powered Pulsars* (World Scientific, Singapore, 2007), p. 201
54. K.S. Cheng, T. Harko, *Phys. Rev. D* **62**, 083001 (2000)
55. C.E. Rhoades, R. Ruffini, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **32**, 324 (1972)
56. B.V. Ivanov, *Phys. Rev. D* **65**, 104011 (2002)
57. H.A. Buchdahl, *Phys. Rev.* **116**, 1027 (1959)