Cosmological hyperfluids, torsion and non-metricity

Damianos Iosifidis^a

Department of Physics, Institute of Theoretical Physics, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, 54124 Thessaloniki, Greece

Received: 6 July 2020 / Accepted: 31 October 2020 / Published online: 10 November 2020
 © The Author(s) 2020

Abstract We develop a novel model for cosmological hyperfluids, that is fluids with intrinsic hypermomentum that induce spacetime torsion and non-metricity. Imposing the cosmological principle to metric-affine spaces, we present the most general covariant form of the hypermomentum tensor in an FLRW Universe along with its conservation laws and therefore construct a novel hyperfluid model for cosmological purposes. Extending the previous model of the unconstrained hyperfluid in a cosmological setting we establish the conservation laws for energy–momentum and hypermomentum and therefore provide the complete cosmological setup to study non-Riemannian effects in Cosmology. With the help of this we find the forms of torsion and non-metricity that were earlier reported in the literature and also obtain the most general form of the Friedmann equations with torsion and non-metricity. We also discuss some applications of our model, make contact with the known results in the literature and point to future directions.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Non-Riemannian geometry	2
3	Hypermomentum, canonical and metrical energy momentum tensors	3
4	Unconstrained hyperfluid	4
5	Cosmology with torsion and non-metricity	5
6	Application of the unconstrained hyperfluid model to cosmology	6
7	Novel model for cosmological perfect hyperfluid	7
7.1	Conservation laws	8
7.2	Conservation laws in FLRW Universes	10
7.3	Fluid motion	11
8	Friedmann equations with torsion and non-metricity	11
8.1	The case of pure torsion	11

8.2	The case of pure non-metricity	12
8.2.1	Weyl non-metricity	12
8.2.2	General non-metricity	13
9	Friedmann equations with both torsion and non-metricity	14
10	Hypermomentum matter types	14
11	Conclusions	16
	Appendix A: Diffeomorphisms	17
	Appendix B: Divergence of palatini tensor	18
	Appendix C: Scalar field coupled to the connection	18
	References	19

1 Introduction

General relativity is undoubtedly one of the most well established, mathematically beautiful and properly formulated Theories of Physics. Its nice geometrical interpretation along with its solid predictions give enough reasons to call the latter a successful Theory. However, despite its great success general relativity falls short in explaining the current cosmological data. More specifically, it fails to properly explain the cosmological evolution at early times and cannot predict a late time accelerated expansion. As a result many theories of modified gravity have been proposed [1]. Among the many possibilities, one most intriguing, well motivated and in the spirit of geometrization of Gravity is to generalize the affine connection and relax the torsion-free and metric-compatibility conditions. Then one is dealing with a non-Riemannian geometry [2,3], where curvature torsion and non-metricity are the intrinsic characteristics of space. Restricting the aforementioned non-Riemannian geometry in a certain way one obtains different formulations of gravity. For instance, imposing vanishing torsion and non-metricity we arrive at the well known metric theories, of which GR is a special case. On the other hand, demanding vanishing curvature and non-metricity we have the standard teleparallel formulation [4] while if we allow only for non-metricity and set curvature and torsion to zero we get the symmetric

^a e-mail: diosifid@auth.gr (corresponding author)

teleparallel scheme [5, 6]. Yet, it is also possible to impose only vanishing curvature and arrive at a generalized teleparallel formulation exhibiting both torsion and non-metricity [7]. Leaving the geometrical objects of the space free of constraints, we have the most general gravitational theory supporting a non-Riemannian geometry, which is known as Metric-affine gravity (MAG) [8–10].

In this article we will focus on the latter. MAG is unique in its own right, it is known to be a Gauge theory of gravity [8, 11], it takes into account the microscopic characteristics of matter (see discussion below) and also the modifications it introduces are of purely geometrical nature. Indeed as we mentioned, the geometric arena of MAG is a generalized non-Riemannian geometry where apart from curvature, the space also possesses torsion and non-metricity. That is, the extra degrees of freedom (compared to GR) are due to the torsion and non-metricity of spacetime which are linked to the microstructure of matter. From the above discussion becomes clear that fluids that carry hypermomentum are very interesting especially with regards to their cosmological applications. In this direction, there are some very interesting models of matter that carries hypermomentum, these include the ideal hyperfluid [12] and the unconstrained hyperfluid [13]. The first model [12] is actually a generalization of the so-called Weyssenhoff fluid [14] for spinning particles where now the fluid has also non-metric degrees of freedom but it continues to satisfy the Frenkel-type condition $J_{\mu\nu}u^\mu = 0 = J_{\mu\nu}u^\nu$, where $J_{\mu\nu}$ is the hypermomentum density. That is why this model is dubbed the constrained hyperfluid. Then, it was suggested in [15] that the hyperfluid should be free from the Frenkel constraint and in [13] Obukhov presented the very interesting model of the unconstrained hyperfluid.¹ We will briefly review the latter in the subsequent chapters and also discuss how it can be used to obtain some (but not all) of the non-Riemannian degrees of freedom in cosmology. We will then propose an extension which, as we will show, produces all the torsional and non-metric degrees of freedom that are present in cosmology. Our proposal for the perfect cosmological hyperfluid follows directly by applying the cosmological principle to the hypermomentum tensor and the conservation laws associated with it are obtained, as usual, by the diffeomorphism invariance of the matter sector of the action.

The article is organized as follows. Firstly, we make a brief introduction in non-Riemannian geometry and introduce our conventions etc. We then turn our attention on the hypermomentum and energy momentum (canonical and metrical) tensors and also briefly review the model of the unconstrained hyperfluid. Continuing we discuss the non-Riemannian degrees of freedom in an FLRW cosmology and

explain how the above hyperfluid model can produce but few of the aforementioned degrees of freedom. We should note that up to section V the results are by no means new, we simply setup the formalism and offer a mini review on the subject of MAG. The reader familiar with these concepts may skip them and head directly to section VI where the new results of this article are presented. There we present our novel model for the perfect (or ideal) cosmological hyperfluid. By extending the cosmological principle to the hypermomentum, we impose a vanishing Lie derivative for the latter and obtain its most general covariant form in a homogeneous Universe, which is spanned by 5 degrees of freedom. Then decomposing the hypermomentum, we show how these 5 degrees of freedom nicely split into $2 + 3$, two for torsion and three for non-metricity respectively. We then, equip our model with the conservation laws for the energy momentum and hypermomentum which follow by the diffeomorphism invariance of the matter action and are essentially the same with the case of the unconstrained hyperfluid. Having obtained the complete cosmological setup for the perfect cosmological hyperfluid, we consider a MAG model consisting of the usual Einstein–Hilbert action and consider the matter sector to be that of the perfect cosmological hyperfluid. First, considering a vanishing non-metricity and then a vanishing torsion, we obtain the most straightforward modifications of the Friedmann equations in the presence of solely torsion and non-metricity respectively. Then allowing for both we derive the modified Friedmann equations in a general non-Riemannian geometry (i.e. both torsion and non-metricity non-vanishing) and also discuss some subtleties associated with the projective invariance of the gravitational action and the constraints it imposes on the hyperfluid. We wrap up our results and discuss applications of the proposed hyperfluid model.

2 Non-Riemannian geometry

In this section we briefly review the basic aspects of a non-Riemannian geometry and set up the definitions for the various geometrical objects we are going to be using throughout.

The structure of a non-Riemannian space is determined by the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$ which measures distances, defines dot products and raises and lowers indices along with an affine connection $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda$ (or just ∇) which defines parallel transfer of tensor fields through covariant differentiation. In our conventions the covariant derivative of, say, a $(1, 1)$ type tensor reads

$$\nabla_\alpha T^\mu_\nu = \partial_\alpha T^\mu_\nu - \Gamma_{\nu\alpha}^\lambda T^\mu_\lambda + \Gamma_{\lambda\alpha}^\mu T^\lambda_\nu \quad (1)$$

The antisymmetric part of the affine connection defines the torsion tensor

$$S_{\mu\nu}^\lambda := \Gamma_{[\mu\nu]}^\lambda \quad (2)$$

¹ An alternative and also interesting model for perfect hyperfluid was developed in [16]. Yet another interesting model of a fluid possessing both spin and twist was constructed in [17].

which naturally arises by acting the antisymmetrized covariant derivative on a scalar

$$\nabla_{[\mu} \nabla_{\nu]} \phi = S_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} \nabla_{\lambda} \phi \quad (3)$$

Given a torsion tensor contracting it in its last two indices we may define the torsion vector

$$S_{\mu} := S_{\mu\lambda}^{\lambda} \quad (4)$$

which is obtained without the use of any metric and exists for arbitrary space dimension- n . For $n = 4$ in particular there also exists the torsion pseudo-vector

$$\hat{S}_{\mu} := \epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} S^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \quad (5)$$

where $\epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma}$ is the d -dimensional totally antisymmetric Levi-Civita tensor. Considering again the antisymmetrized covariant derivative, but now acting it on a vector field u^{μ} it follows that

$$[\nabla_{\alpha}, \nabla_{\beta}] u^{\mu} = 2\nabla_{[\alpha} \nabla_{\beta]} u^{\mu} = R_{\nu\alpha\beta}^{\mu} u^{\nu} + 2S_{\alpha\beta}^{\nu} \nabla_{\nu} u^{\mu} \quad (6)$$

where

$$R_{\nu\alpha\beta}^{\mu} := 2\partial_{[\alpha} \Gamma_{\nu|\beta]}^{\mu} + 2\Gamma_{\rho[\alpha}^{\mu} \Gamma_{\nu|\beta]}^{\rho} \quad (7)$$

is the so-called Riemann or curvature tensor and the horizontal bars around an index denote that this index is left out of the (anti)-symmetrization. In non-Riemannian geometries the only symmetry the latter possesses is antisymmetry in its last two indices as it is obvious by its definition above. Without the use of any metric, we can construct the two independent contractions for the Riemann tensor²

$$R_{\nu\beta} := R_{\nu\mu\beta}^{\mu}, \quad \hat{R}_{\alpha\beta} := R_{\mu\alpha\beta}^{\mu} \quad (8)$$

The former defines as usual the Ricci tensor while the latter is the tensor of homothetic curvature and is of purely non-Riemannian origin. Once a metric is given we can form yet another contraction

$$\check{R}^{\lambda}_{\kappa} := R^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu\kappa} g^{\mu\nu} \quad (9)$$

However, the Ricci scalar is still uniquely defined since

$$R := R_{\mu\nu} g^{\mu\nu} = -\check{R}_{\mu\nu} g^{\mu\nu}, \quad \hat{R}_{\mu\nu} g^{\mu\nu} = 0 \quad (10)$$

Now, in a generic non-Riemannian space the metric need not be covariantly conserved, and we say that the connection is not metric-compatible. Exactly this deviation from compatibility, defines the non-metricity tensor

$$Q_{\alpha\mu\nu} = -\nabla_{\alpha} g_{\mu\nu} \quad (11)$$

The above can be contracted in two independent ways, giving us the two non-metricity vectors

$$Q_{\alpha} := Q_{\alpha\mu\nu} g^{\mu\nu}, \quad \hat{Q}_{\nu} = Q_{\alpha\mu\nu} g^{\alpha\mu} \quad (12)$$

The former is commonly referred to as the Weyl vector. With the above definitions it is trivial to show (see for instance [3, 10]) that the general affine-connection can be decomposed according to

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} = \tilde{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} + N_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} \quad (13)$$

where

$$N_{\alpha\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}(Q_{\mu\nu\alpha} + Q_{\nu\alpha\mu} - Q_{\alpha\mu\nu}) - (S_{\alpha\mu\nu} + S_{\alpha\nu\mu} - S_{\mu\nu\alpha}) \quad (14)$$

is called the distortion tensor and $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda}$ is the usual Levi-Civita connection given by

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} := \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\lambda} (\partial_{\mu} g_{\nu\alpha} + \partial_{\nu} g_{\alpha\mu} - \partial_{\alpha} g_{\mu\nu}) \quad (15)$$

Objects appearing with a tilde will always denote Riemannian parts unless otherwise stated. With the above connection decomposition we can express any geometrical object into its Riemannian piece plus non-Riemannian contributions coming from torsion and non-metricity. For instance, substituting the decomposition (13) into the definition of the Riemann tensor we deduce

$$R_{\nu\alpha\beta}^{\mu} = \tilde{R}_{\nu\alpha\beta}^{\mu} + 2\tilde{\nabla}_{[\alpha} N_{\nu|\beta]}^{\mu} + 2N_{\lambda[\alpha}^{\mu} N_{\nu|\beta]}^{\lambda} \quad (16)$$

and by contracting the latter we also get the decompositions for the Ricci tensor, Ricci scalar etc. Having developed the minimum geometrical setup needed for the rest of our analysis will now turn our attention to metric-affine gravity (MAG).

3 Hypermomentum, canonical and metrical energy momentum tensors

As it is known in the general metric-affine gravity (MAG) framework the action is a functional of the metric, the independent affine connection and the matter fields, namely³

$$S[g, \Gamma, \phi] = S_G[g, \Gamma] + S_M[g, \Gamma, \phi] \quad (17)$$

³ Of course the action will also depend on the derivatives of the metric and the connection. We are suppressing this dependence on the grounds of convenience.

² See [18] for a nice discussion on these two contractions of the Riemann tensor.

where

$$S_G[g, \Gamma] = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int d^n x \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}_G(g, \Gamma) \quad (18)$$

$$S_M[g, \Gamma, \phi] = \int d^n x \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}_M(g, \Gamma, \phi) \quad (19)$$

represent the gravitational and matter sectors respectively and ϕ collectively denotes the matter fields. Now, given a matter action, we define as usual the metrical (symmetric) energy–momentum tensor (MEMT) by

$$T_{\alpha\beta} := -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S_M}{\delta g^{\alpha\beta}} = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta(\sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}_M)}{\delta g^{\alpha\beta}} \quad (20)$$

In MAG, the connection naturally couples to matter so we now also have the variation

$$\Delta_{\lambda}^{\mu\nu} := -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S_M}{\delta \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda}} = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta(\sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}_M)}{\delta \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda}} \quad (21)$$

which is the so-hypermomentum tensor (HMT) [19] and encompasses the microscopic characteristics of matter such as spin, dilation and shear [8]. Note that if one works in the equivalent formalism based on the vielbeins e_{μ}^{c4} and the spin connection $\omega_{\mu ab}$ then we have the definition of the canonical energy–momentum tensor (CEMT) given by

$$t_c^{\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S_M}{\delta e_{\mu}^c} \quad (22)$$

which is not symmetric in general. We should stress out that the latter is not independent from the above defined MEMT and HMT.⁵ Indeed, from its very definition, the use of the chain rule and converting all tangent space indices to holonomic ones we find

$$t_{\lambda}^{\mu} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S_M}{\delta e_{\mu}^c} e_{\lambda}^c = T_{\lambda}^{\mu} - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-g}} \hat{\nabla}_{\nu} (\sqrt{-g} \Delta_{\lambda}^{\mu\nu}) \quad (23)$$

where

$$\hat{\nabla}_{\nu} = 2S_{\nu} - \nabla_{\nu} \quad (24)$$

is the modified covariant derivative and we have also made use of the identity

$$\nabla_{\nu} e_{\mu}^a = 0 = \partial_{\nu} e_{\mu}^a - \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\rho} e_{\rho}^a + \omega_{\nu}^a{}_b e_{\mu}^b \quad (25)$$

⁴ Here Latin indices $a, b, c \dots$ denote Lorentz indices, that is tangent space indices. The connection between the metric and the vielbeins is, as usual, $g_{\mu\nu} = e_{\mu}^a e_{\nu}^b \eta_{ab}$ where η_{ab} is the tangent space (flat) Minkowski metric tensor.

⁵ This is also apparent if one regards, e_{μ}^c , $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda}$ as independent variables and start with an action $S[e, g, \Gamma]$. It can be shown then, that the field equations for the metric tensor are not independent from the set coming from the e and Γ variations [8].

that connects the two formalisms and is oftentimes called the vielbein postulate (even though it is not!). Of course, this connection was known in the literature a long time ago (see for instance [20, 21]). Equation (23) will be our reference point in constructing the cosmological Hyperfluid Model. In fact, as we will demonstrate this very equation would stem as the starting point for the first conservation law of the cosmological Hyperfluid. Note now that bringing all indices down in (23) and antisymmetrizing one arrives at the conservation law for total angular momentum (orbital + spin) [22]

$$2t_{[\mu\nu]} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \hat{\nabla}_{\alpha} (\sqrt{-g} \tau_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha}) - Q_{\alpha\beta[\mu} \Delta_{\nu]}^{\beta\alpha} \quad (26)$$

$$\tau_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha} := \Delta_{[\mu\nu]}^{\alpha} \quad (27)$$

It is worth mentioning that the conservation law for angular momentum receives, in general, contributions from non-metricity as is obvious from the above exposure. It is also evident from (23) that for matter with no microstructure ($\Delta_{\alpha\mu\nu} \equiv 0$) the canonical and the metrical energy–momentum tensors coincide. In addition contracting (23) in $\mu = \lambda$ we get the trace relation

$$t = T + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-g}} \partial_{\nu} (\sqrt{-g} \Delta^{\nu}) \quad (28)$$

where

$$t := t_{\mu}^{\mu}, \quad T := T_{\mu}^{\mu}, \quad \Delta^{\nu} := \Delta_{\lambda}^{\lambda\nu} \quad (29)$$

and we have used the trivial identity $\hat{\nabla}_{\nu} \mathcal{D}^{\nu} = -\partial_{\nu} \mathcal{D}^{\nu}$ which holds for arbitrary vector densities of weight $w = 1$. From the last equation we see that for specific matter types, the following relations hold true

$$T = 0 \Leftrightarrow 2t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_{\nu} (\sqrt{-g} \Delta^{\nu}) \quad (30)$$

$$t = 0 \Leftrightarrow 2T = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_{\nu} (\sqrt{-g} \Delta^{\nu}) \quad (31)$$

$$t = T \Leftrightarrow \partial_{\nu} (\sqrt{-g} \Delta^{\nu}) = 0 \quad (32)$$

corresponding to the cases of a conformally invariant, a frame rescaling invariant and special projective transformation invariant Theories respectively (see also [23]).

4 Unconstrained hyperfluid

As we have discussed, MAG takes into account the microscopic characteristics of matter such as spin, dilation and shear [8]. These properties are encoded in the hypermomentum tensor and result in the creation of spacetime torsion and non-metricity. Perhaps the most known example of a fluid with micro-structure is the Weyssenhoff [14] spinning fluid

which produces a non-vanishing spacetime torsion and fits nicely into the scheme of Einstein–Cartan Theory. However, such a fluid is not compatible with the cosmological Principle, as shown by Tsamparlis [24], and therefore this kind of torsion cannot exist in a homogeneous FLRW Universe. There are however two torsional degrees of freedom that are allowed in a homogeneous 4-*dim* Cosmology, one of which was analyzed in [25] (see also [26] for the general case). The task would now be to develop a fluid model that encompasses the non-metric degrees of freedom as well. Such models do exist and are the ideal (constrained) hyperfluid [12] and the revised unconstrained hyperfluid [13], the latter being a refined version of the former where the Frenkel-type condition is relaxed. However, as we will demonstrate by extending the cosmological Principle, this model can produce only two of the five known non-Riemannian degrees of freedom in an FLRW Universe and, in addition, cannot account for torsional degrees of freedom. By extending it in a certain way so as to respect the cosmological Principle we will present the most general covariant form of the latter that produces the expected non-Riemannian cosmological degrees of freedom. Before doing so, let us first briefly review the unconstrained hyperfluid model which is closer to the cosmological Hyperfluid we are proposing here and will give us an insight on how to proceed. First, we note that for the unconstrained hyperfluid, the metric stress–energy and the canonical energy momentum tensor coincide [13] and the conservation laws for energy–momentum and hypermomentum read

$$-\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}}\hat{\nabla}_\mu(\sqrt{-g}T^\mu_\nu) = \Delta^{\alpha\beta\gamma}R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Q_{\nu\alpha\beta}T^{\alpha\beta} - 2S_{\nu\alpha\beta}T^{\alpha\beta} \quad (33)$$

$$\hat{\nabla}_\nu(\sqrt{-g}\Delta^{\mu\nu}_\lambda) = 0 \quad (34)$$

which, as usual, are obtained from the diffeomorphism invariance of the matter action. In addition, in this case the forms of $T_{\mu\nu}$ and $\Delta_{\alpha\mu\nu}$ decouple and are given by

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \rho u_\mu u_\nu + p h_{\mu\nu} \quad (35)$$

$$\Delta^{\mu\nu}_\lambda = J^\mu_\lambda u^\nu \quad (36)$$

respectively [13], in contrast to the (constrained) hyperfluid where their forms mix-up [12]. In the above, $T_{\mu\nu}$ is the usual energy–momentum of the perfect fluid and $J_{\mu\nu}$ is the hypermomentum density of the hyperfluid. In the first hyperfluid model, the latter obeyed the Frenkel-type condition

$$J_{\mu\nu}u^\mu = 0 = J_{\mu\nu}u^\nu \quad (37)$$

which is a direct generalization of the Frenkel condition on spin density $s_{\mu\nu}u^\mu = 0$ ($s_{\mu\nu} = -s_{\nu\mu}$ being the spin density). Note that when non-metricity is switched-off the

hypermomentum density coincides with the spin density (i.e. $J_{\mu\nu} = s_{\mu\nu}$). In the general case, the spin density is given by the antisymmetric part of $J_{\mu\nu}$ which contains a symmetric part as well. More information regarding the constrained and the unconstrained ideal hyperfluid may be found in the original and most interesting papers of Obukov [12, 13]. We shall now discuss how the above model is applied to Cosmology and also propose a slight generalization in order to establish what we shall call a Perfect (or Ideal) cosmological Hyperfluid. Before doing so we will briefly discuss the role of torsion and non-metricity in cosmology.

5 Cosmology with torsion and non-metricity

To begin with let us note that in a cosmological setting, torsion has one or two degrees of freedom depending on the spatial dimensionality. Indeed, as shown by Tsamparlis [24] if we consider a $1 + (n - 1) = 1 + m$ spacetime split⁶ where m is the number of spatial dimensions, and apply the cosmological Principle to torsion⁷ then for $m \neq 3$ the only non-vanishing components of torsion read [24]

$$S^1_{01} = S^2_{02} = S^3_{03} = \dots = S^m_{0m} \quad (\text{no sum}) \quad (38)$$

which are essentially described by one degree of freedom. For $m = 3$, there also exists the possibility for a completely antisymmetric torsion part and the only non-vanishing components are

$$S^1_{01} = S^2_{02} = S^3_{03} \quad (39)$$

$$S_{ijk} \propto \epsilon_{ijk} \quad (40)$$

and therefore, in a 4-*dimensional* FLRW Universe, torsion contributes two degrees of freedom. Focusing on non-metricity now, it was shown in [27] that in this case, the non-vanishing components of the latter in a 4-*dim* FLRW Universe are

$$Q_{000} \quad (41)$$

$$Q_{011} = Q_{022} = Q_{033} \quad (42)$$

$$Q_{110} = Q_{220} = Q_{330} \quad (43)$$

and we see that the non-metricity is essentially described by three independent degrees of freedom. We should note that each of the five components of torsion and non-metricity can depend only on time as any other coordinate dependence

⁶ In his proof [24] Tsamparlis presented a more general split of the form $m + (n - m)$. However, for our purposes the classical $1 + (n - 1)$ split will do, since this is relevant to cosmology.

⁷ This means to impose a vanishing Lie derivative on the torsion tensor, i.e. $\mathcal{L}_\xi S^\lambda_{\mu\nu} = 0$.

would break isotropy and therefore it is not allowed in such a spacetime. Now, ideally, one would like to have a hypermomentum model that naturally produces the 5 aforementioned degrees of freedom for torsion and non-metricity in an FLRW cosmology. This is the main purpose of this paper, and as we will show, the hypermomentum model we propose consists of exactly 5 degrees of freedom which naturally split into 2 for torsion and 3 for non-metricity. In addition, in order to maintain generality we also present the model for arbitrary spacetime dimensions and discuss the differences that arise compared to the special $n = 4$ case. Of course writing down the general ansatz for the hypermomentum in an FLRW Universe is, by itself, somewhat trivial. To construct a valid model we should also equip it with a set of conservation laws that specify the evolution of these non-Riemannian degrees of freedom. Therefore our task would be to first write down the most general form for hypermomentum in a homogeneous cosmology and then impose the proper conservation laws governing the evolution of it.

6 Application of the unconstrained hyperfluid model to cosmology

Let us see now how the aforementioned unconstrained hyperfluid model fits into a cosmological setting and also discuss the modifications and extensions one should consider in order to obtain a cosmological Hyperfluid that produces all the degrees of freedom of torsion and non-metricity in cosmology. Recall that in this model the hypermomentum tensor has the form

$$\Delta_{\alpha\mu\nu} = J_{\alpha\mu}u_\nu \quad (44)$$

Let us now consider a homogeneous cosmological setup. As usual, considering an observer with a normalized n-velocity field ($u_\mu u^\mu = -1$) we define the projection operator and temporal derivative

$$h_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} + u_\mu u_\nu \quad (45)$$

$$\cdot = u^\alpha \nabla_\alpha \quad (46)$$

which constitute an $1 + (n - 1)$ spacetime split.⁸ Then, the most general form of $J_{\alpha\mu}$ in such a setting reads

$$J_{\alpha\mu} = \phi h_{\alpha\mu} + \omega u_\alpha u_\mu \quad (47)$$

⁸ In [28], in deriving the generalized Raychaudhuri equation with torsion and non-metricity we considered a more general split when the velocity field was not assumed to be normalized. Then the projection also changes accordingly [28].

where $\phi(t)$ and $\omega(t)$ are functions of time only. It is obvious then that in this case the hypermomentum, being of the form

$$\Delta_{\alpha\mu\nu} = \phi h_{\alpha\mu}u_\nu + \omega u_\alpha u_\mu u_\nu \quad (48)$$

can reproduce only two out of the five (or four) non-Riemannian degrees of freedom. In addition, in this case

$$\Delta_{[\alpha\mu]\nu} = 0 \quad (49)$$

and therefore it is difficult to excite torsional degrees of freedom, at least in standard models. Indeed, let us consider the torsional model studied in [25]. In this theory we start with the usual Einstein–Hilbert action, assume vanishing non-metricity and a fluid that produces torsion of the form

$$S_{\alpha\mu\nu} = 2u_{[\alpha}h_{\nu]\alpha}\Phi(t) \quad (50)$$

The connection field equations of the Theory read

$$2(g^{\mu\nu}S_\lambda - S^\mu\delta_\lambda^\nu + g^{\mu\sigma}S_{\sigma\lambda}^\nu) = \kappa\Delta_\lambda^{\mu\nu} \quad (51)$$

with this we can compute the form of hypermomentum that produces such kind of torsion

$$\kappa\Delta_{\alpha\mu\nu} = 4\Phi(u_\alpha g_{\mu\nu} - u_\mu g_{\alpha\nu}) = \kappa\Delta_{[\alpha\mu]\nu} \quad (52)$$

Comparing the latter with (49) we see that the unconstrained hyperfluid is incapable of producing the torsion form (50). It is also evident that (48) cannot reproduce the pseudoscalar form (40) either. In addition, the hypermomentum (48) in an FLRW Universe is either purely dilatonic (for $\omega = -\phi$) or is disformally related to matter of dilatonic type. This is readily seen by writing

$$\Delta_{\alpha\mu\nu} = \hat{g}_{\alpha\mu}\Delta_\nu, \quad \Delta_\nu := \Delta_{\alpha\mu\nu}g^{\alpha\mu} \quad (53)$$

where

$$\hat{g}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{(n-1)\phi - \omega}[\phi g_{\mu\nu} + (\phi + \omega)u_\mu u_\nu] \quad (54)$$

is the deformed metric. This kind of matter (dilatonic) produces non-metricity that in a standard cosmological setting always accelerates gravitational collapse. We demonstrate this in greater detail in [29]. This behaviour had also reported earlier in the work [30]. However, one would expect to have more possibilities and also have contributions coming from shear as well. In this direction, we shall now generalize the form of the hypermomentum so as to encompass not only dilatonic but also torsional and shear contributions.

7 Novel model for cosmological perfect hyperfluid

To construct our cosmological hyperfluid model, firstly we must restrict the form of the hypermomentum in order to satisfy the cosmological principle and secondly we must independently equip the model with a set of conservation laws for the energy–momentum and hypermomentum tensors. Let us start by deriving the allowed form of hypermomentum in an FLRW Universe.

Our starting point is to use the high symmetry of the FLRW spacetime and constrain the form of the hypermomentum in such a space. This can be achieved by demanding a vanishing Lie derivative of all objects living in the host spacetime. As we already mentioned, this procedure was followed in [24] in order to obtain the allowed forms of torsion in an FLRW Universe and in [27] for the allowed non-metricity. Applying this to the hypermomentum tensor we have

$$\mathcal{L}_\xi \Delta_\lambda^{\mu\nu} = 0 \quad (55)$$

or equivalently

$$\mathcal{L}_\xi \Delta_{\alpha\mu\nu} = 0 \quad (56)$$

where we have used the fact that $\mathcal{L}_\xi g_{\alpha\lambda} = 0$. The above demand implies that⁹

$$\Delta_{i00} = \Delta_{0i0} = \Delta_{00i} = 0 \quad (57)$$

This can be easily understood, since any of the above terms given that it was not vanishing would create a preferred spatial direction and therefore destroy the isotropy of the model. In addition we have

$$\Delta_{ijk} = 0 \quad (58)$$

for $m \neq 3$ and

$$\Delta_{ijk} \propto \epsilon_{ijk} \quad (59)$$

for $m = 3$ where $m = n - 1$ denotes spatial space dimensionality. With the above results and given the fact that the only building blocks of an FRW model are the metric (or the projector $h_{\mu\nu}$) and the four velocity u^μ , we state the most general covariant form of the hypermomentum to be

$$\Delta_{\alpha\mu\nu} = \phi g_{\mu\alpha} u_\nu + \chi g_{\nu\alpha} u_\mu + \psi u_\alpha g_{\mu\nu} + \tilde{\omega} u_\alpha u_\mu u_\nu + \epsilon_{\alpha\mu\nu\kappa} u^\kappa \zeta \quad (60)$$

for $m = 3$ and

$$\Delta_{\alpha\mu\nu} = \phi g_{\mu\alpha} u_\nu + \chi g_{\nu\alpha} u_\mu + \psi u_\alpha g_{\mu\nu} + \tilde{\omega} u_\alpha u_\mu u_\nu \quad (61)$$

for $m \neq 3$, where $\phi, \chi, \psi, \tilde{\omega}$ and ζ are functions of time. The above can equivalently be expressed as

$$\Delta_{\alpha\mu\nu} = \phi h_{\mu\alpha} u_\nu + \chi h_{\nu\alpha} u_\mu + \psi u_\alpha h_{\mu\nu} + \omega u_\alpha u_\mu u_\nu + \epsilon_{\alpha\mu\nu\kappa} u^\kappa \zeta, \quad m = 3 \quad (62)$$

$$\Delta_{\alpha\mu\nu} = \phi h_{\mu\alpha} u_\nu + \chi h_{\nu\alpha} u_\mu + \psi u_\alpha h_{\mu\nu} + \omega u_\alpha u_\mu u_\nu, \quad m \neq 3 \quad (63)$$

$$\omega = \tilde{\omega} - \phi - \psi - \chi \quad (64)$$

which turns out to be much more convenient for the calculations. We may combine the above into the single expression

$$\Delta_{\alpha\mu\nu}^{(n)} = \phi h_{\mu\alpha} u_\nu + \chi h_{\nu\alpha} u_\mu + \psi u_\alpha h_{\mu\nu} + \omega u_\alpha u_\mu u_\nu + \delta_{n,4} \epsilon_{\alpha\mu\nu\kappa} u^\kappa \zeta \quad (65)$$

where $\delta_{n,4}$ is Kronecker's delta. This is the most general covariant form of the hypermomentum tensor that is in accordance with the Copernican Principle and thus the most general form of hypermomentum for FRW Universes and (to the best of our knowledge) it is presented here for the first time. Any cosmological fluid carrying torsion and non-metricity would be a subcase of the latter. Note that the evolution of hypermomentum is governed by 5 (4 for $m \neq 3$) functions of time. This is in accordance with the fact that the symmetry of FRW allows only for 2 (1 for $m \neq 3$) torsional and 3 non-metric components. Our result is in perfect agreement with these facts since the above 5 (4 for $m \neq 3$) degrees of freedom for hypermomentum beautifully split into the 2 + 3 (1 + 3 for $m \neq 3$) components for torsion and non-metricity respectively. This is easily seen by decomposing hypermomentum into its antisymmetric, dilatonic, and proper shear parts according to

$$\Delta_{[\alpha\mu]\nu} = (\psi - \chi) u_{[\alpha} h_{\mu]\nu} + \delta_{n,4} \epsilon_{\alpha\mu\nu\kappa} u^\kappa \zeta \quad (66)$$

$$\Delta_\nu := \Delta_{\alpha\mu\nu} g^{\alpha\mu} = \left[(n-1)\phi - \omega \right] u_\nu \quad (67)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\Delta}_{\alpha\mu\nu} &= \Delta_{(\alpha\mu)\nu} - \frac{1}{n} g_{\alpha\mu} \Delta_\nu \\ &= \frac{(\phi + \omega)}{n} \left[h_{\alpha\mu} + (n-1) u_\alpha u_\mu \right] u_\nu \\ &\quad + (\psi + \chi) u_{(\mu} h_{\alpha)\nu} \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

respectively. The antisymmetric part is known to be related with the spin part of torsion [8] while the dilation and proper shear (symmetric traceless part) are most often related to non-metricity. In particular, from the above three currents the shear seems to be the most elusive one but there is an interesting possibility of connecting it to the hadronic properties of matter [31–33]. From the above decompositions we see that two degrees of freedom are related to torsion (or one

⁹ The proof is direct a generalization of the proof of outlined in [24] in order to obtain the possible forms of torsion in cosmology, the only difference being that we now apply it to a general type-(0, 3) tensor without any symmetry. For more details on this proof (in the case of torsion), the reader is referred to [24].

for $m \neq 3$) and the remaining three are reserved for non-metricity. To be more specific, from the above we see that two degrees of freedom (or one dof for $m = 3$) are given to the antisymmetric (in the first two indices) and completely antisymmetric part of hypermomentum, another degree of freedom is given to the dilation (trace) and two degrees of freedom govern the evolution of shear (symmetric traceless part of hypermomentum). Of course the above results for the covariant form of the hypermomentum can also be applied to the distortion tensor and one finds

$$N_{\alpha\mu\nu}^{(n)} = X(t)u_\alpha h_{\mu\nu} + Y(t)u_\mu h_{\alpha\nu} + Z(t)u_\nu h_{\alpha\mu} + V(t)u_\alpha u_\mu u_\nu + \epsilon_{\alpha\mu\nu\lambda} u^\lambda W(t)\delta_{n,4} \quad (69)$$

representing the most general form of distortion tensor in an FLRW Universe. Again $W(t)$ is a pseudoscalar that exists only for $m = 3$. In addition, we may express the covariant forms for torsion and non-metricity as¹⁰

$$Q_{\alpha\mu\nu} = A(t)u_\alpha h_{\mu\nu} + B(t)h_{\alpha(\mu}u_{\nu)} + C(t)u_\alpha u_\mu u_\nu \quad (71)$$

$$S_{\mu\nu\alpha}^{(n)} = 2u_{[\mu}h_{\nu]\alpha}\Phi(t) + \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\rho}u^\rho P(t)\delta_{n,4} \quad (72)$$

Note that the functions determining the distortion are linearly related with the functions of torsion and non-metricity! This is easily shown by using the relations

$$Q_{\nu\alpha\mu} = 2N_{(\alpha\mu)\nu}, \quad S_{\mu\nu\alpha} = N_{\alpha[\mu\nu]} \quad (73)$$

which result in

$$\begin{aligned} 2(X + Y) &= B, \quad 2Z = A, \quad 2V = C, \\ 2\Phi &= Y - Z, \quad P = W \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

or inverting them

$$W = P, \quad V = C/2, \quad Z = A/2 \quad (75)$$

$$Y = 2\Phi + \frac{A}{2}, \quad X = \frac{B}{2} - 2\Phi - \frac{A}{2} \quad (76)$$

Given that the matter Lagrangian is linear in the connection and the Gravitational sector is linear in the curvature and mostly quadratic in $S_{\alpha\mu\nu}$ and $Q_{\alpha\mu\nu}$, the above functions spanning torsion and non-metricity would be algebraically related (and produced by) with the functions that parametrize the hypermomentum.

¹⁰ For highly symmetric cases, like spherical symmetry, it is also very convenient to use the irreducible decompositions of torsion and non-metricity [8, 34]. For instance, non-metricity consists of the following fields [35]

$$Q_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \sim \text{spin } 3 \oplus \text{spin } 2 \oplus 2 \times \text{spin } 1, \quad (70)$$

while torsion's maximal spin content is 2 (see [8]).

7.1 Conservation laws

In order to derive the conservation laws for our hyperfluid model we will, as usual, employ the diffeomorphism invariance of the matter action. These conservation laws for general MAG theories have been obtained in [22, 36].¹¹ In our notations they read

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\hat{\nabla}_\mu(\sqrt{-g}t^\mu_\alpha) = \frac{1}{2}\Delta^{\lambda\mu\nu}R_{\lambda\mu\nu\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}Q_{\alpha\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu} + 2S_{\alpha\mu\nu}t^{\mu\nu} \quad (77)$$

$$\begin{aligned} t^\mu_\lambda &= T^\mu_\lambda - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-g}}\hat{\nabla}_\nu(\sqrt{-g}\Delta^\mu_{\lambda\nu}), \\ \hat{\nabla}_\nu &:= 2S_\nu - \nabla_\nu \end{aligned} \quad (78)$$

Note that the second of the above is exactly the relation we obtained in the previous section connecting the three energy related tensors. In addition, as seen from the first one, the metrical energy momentum naturally couples to non-metricity while the canonical couples to torsion. With the help of the above we will construct the conservation laws for our model. Our basic assumption is that the metrical coincides with the canonical energy-momentum tensor, that is

$$t^{\mu\nu} = T^{\mu\nu} \quad (79)$$

Note that this was also a consequence of the unconstrained hyperfluid of [12]. One could argue that (79) could not be so since the CEMT is asymmetric in general while the MEMT is symmetric by construction. However we should recall that our model is tailored for homogeneous cosmologies, in which any antisymmetric two index object vanishes identically. This means that $t_{[\mu\nu]} \equiv 0$ in a homogeneous cosmological setup and therefore there is only the symmetric part $t_{\mu\nu} = t_{(\mu\nu)}$ which we assume to be equal to $T_{\mu\nu}$. Having clarified this, the conservation laws for our model take their final form

$$\tilde{\nabla}_\mu T^\mu_\nu = \frac{1}{2}\Delta^{\alpha\beta\gamma}R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\nu} \quad (80)$$

$$\hat{\nabla}_\nu(\sqrt{-g}\Delta^{\mu\nu}_\lambda) = 0 \quad (81)$$

where in arriving at the above we have also expanded $\nabla_\mu T^\mu_\nu$ into its Levi-Civita part plus non-Riemannian contributions.

It is also worth stressing out that the dilatonic part Δ^ν always scales as $\frac{1}{a^{n-1}}$ (a being the scale factor) regardless of the Gravitational action.¹² This is easily proved by contract-

¹¹ We also derive a mixing of these conservation laws in the Appendix. Note also that their form when matter decouples from the connection (Palatini) and the subsequent conservation of the energy-momentum tensor was given in [37].

¹² Of course the form of $a(t)$ will depend upon the gravitational action, but the relation between the dilation and the scale factor will always be the same as we prove.

ing the conservation law (81) of hypermomentum in μ, λ to obtain

$$\hat{\nabla}_\nu(\sqrt{-g}\Delta^\nu) = 0 \quad (82)$$

or equivalently

$$\partial_\nu(\sqrt{-g}\Delta^\nu) = 0 \quad (83)$$

that is

$$\Delta^\nu = \frac{c_0 \mu^\nu}{a^{n-1}} \quad (84)$$

where c_0 is some integration constant. In addition, comparing the latter with (67) we get the constraint

$$(n-1)\phi - \omega = \frac{c_0}{a^{n-1}} \quad (85)$$

The above equation is kinematical in the sense that its form is independent of the gravitational action that we consider, namely it is valid for any Theory. It is also worth pointing out that if the gravitational part of the action depends explicitly only on the curvature tensor (and the metric of course) then Eq. (83) holds true identically not only for our hyperfluid model but for any Theory. Indeed, any gravitational action of the form

$$S_G = S[g_{\mu\nu}, R^\lambda_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(\Gamma, \partial\Gamma)] \quad (86)$$

is invariant under special projective transformations, the so-called λ -transformations

$$\Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu} \longrightarrow \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu} + \delta^\lambda_\mu \partial_\nu \lambda \quad (87)$$

since, as can be trivially checked, the Riemann tensor remains invariant ($R^\lambda_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \rightarrow R^\lambda_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$) under the above connection transformation. Then implementing this to the matter action, up to surface terms we obtain the constraint

$$\partial_\mu(\sqrt{-g}\Delta^\mu) = 0 \quad (88)$$

which has the form of a conservation law (see also [23, 38]) and is valid for any model, not just for our cosmological hyperfluid. Let us now try to see the conservation law from another perspective and ask the following. What restrictions does the conservation law (81) imposes on the underlying geometry and under what circumstances does it hold as an identity without affecting the geometry? Phrasing it differently we may ask, what kind of invariance could impose the conservation law (81) identically? Giving it a little though we

come up with such a transformation. Consider the connection transformation¹³

$$\Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu} \longrightarrow \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu} + \nabla_\nu \xi^\lambda_\mu \quad (89)$$

where ξ^λ_μ is an arbitrary type (1, 1) tensor field. Then, if the Gravitational part is invariant under the above transformation, this invariance is carried over to the matter part and one has

$$\delta S_M = 0 = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^n x \sqrt{-g} \Delta^\mu_\lambda \nabla_\nu \xi^\lambda_\mu \quad (90)$$

Now, partially integrating, disregarding surface terms and using the fact that ξ^λ_μ is arbitrary, the above invariance gives us the desired conservation law

$$\hat{\nabla}_\nu(\sqrt{-g}\Delta^\mu_\lambda) = 0 \quad (91)$$

Note that if the action is not invariant under (89) additional constraints must be imposed on the geometry so as to obtain (91). To see this let us consider a MAG Theory consisting of the usual Einstein Hilbert term and some matter fields that carry hypermomentum. Then, considering the transformation (89) where ξ^λ_μ is an infinitesimal, the Riemann tensor changes according to

$$R^\mu_{\nu\alpha\beta} \longrightarrow R^\mu_{\nu\alpha\beta} + R^\mu_{\lambda\alpha\beta} \xi^\lambda_\nu - R^\lambda_{\nu\alpha\beta} \xi^\mu_\lambda \quad (92)$$

where in arriving at the above we have used the Bianchi identity for $\nabla_{[\alpha} \nabla_{\beta]} \xi^\lambda_\mu$ and have kept only linear terms in ξ^λ_μ . With this we can see that the Ricci scalar transforms as

$$R \longrightarrow R + (R_{\mu\nu} + \check{R}_{\nu\mu}) \xi^{\mu\nu} \quad (93)$$

From this it is apparent that for the geometries for which

$$R_{\mu\nu} = -\check{R}_{\nu\mu} \quad (94)$$

the Ricci scalar remains invariant under (89). When the Gravitational sector respects this invariance this is also applied to the matter sector of the Theory and we get the conservation law (91) for hypermomentum. Put another way, if the Theory at hand is invariant under (89) then the conservation law (120) follows as a consequence of this invariance. If it is not invariant, additional constraints must be imposed on the underlying geometry to make it so. In fact for our above

¹³ Note also that the class of special projective transformations is included in (89). Indeed, for $\xi^\lambda_\mu = \delta^\lambda_\mu \lambda$ where λ is an arbitrary scalar, the above connection transformations becomes a special projective. In this sense, a Theory that is invariant under (89) is also invariant under special projective transformations. Of course, it is evident that the converse is not true.

example we can check this statement explicitly. Consider the Theory

$$S[g, \Gamma, \phi] = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int d^n x \sqrt{-g} R + S_M[g, \Gamma, \phi] \quad (95)$$

where the first term is the usual Einstein–Hilbert action, S_M denotes the matter sector and ϕ the matter fields that produce spacetime torsion non-metricity. Variation of the latter with respect to the metric and the independent connection, yields the set of field equations

$$R_{(\mu\nu)} - \frac{1}{2} R g_{\mu\nu} = \kappa T_{\mu\nu} \quad (96)$$

$$P_{\lambda}^{\mu\nu} := -\frac{\nabla_{\lambda}(\sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\nu})}{\sqrt{-g}} + \frac{\nabla_{\sigma}(\sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\sigma}) \delta_{\lambda}^{\nu}}{\sqrt{-g}} + 2(S_{\lambda} g^{\mu\nu} - S^{\mu} \delta_{\lambda}^{\nu} + g^{\mu\sigma} S_{\sigma\lambda}^{\nu}) = \kappa \Delta_{\lambda}^{\mu\nu} \quad (97)$$

After some lengthy but rather straightforward calculations we finally arrive at (see Appendix)

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\nabla}_{\nu} \left(-\nabla_{\lambda}(\sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\nu}) + \nabla_{\sigma}(\sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\sigma}) \delta_{\lambda}^{\nu} \right. \\ \left. + \sqrt{-g} (S_{\lambda} g^{\mu\nu} - S^{\mu} \delta_{\lambda}^{\nu} + g^{\mu\sigma} S_{\sigma\lambda}^{\nu}) \right) \\ = -\sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\nu} (\check{R}_{\nu\lambda} + R_{\lambda\nu}) \end{aligned} \quad (98)$$

or employing the connection field equation

$$\kappa \hat{\nabla}_{\nu} (\sqrt{-g} \Delta_{\lambda}^{\mu\nu}) = -\sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\nu} (\check{R}_{\nu\lambda} + R_{\lambda\nu}) \quad (99)$$

From which we conclude that for the class of geometries for which (94) holds true, the law (91) follows. We should note that for an FLRW Universe and for vanishing non-metricity the right hand side of (99) vanishes independently making the left hand side of the latter equation an identity. We will see the implications of this in the sequel. Moreover, it is also worth mentioning that the above restriction on geometries is also imposed on the unconstrained hyperfluid model [13] since the conservation law (91) we impose is essentially the same and only the covariant form of the hypermomentum differs between the two models. This change however, is very crucial and predicts a totally different dynamics as we explicitly show in what follows.

7.2 Conservation laws in FLRW Universes

Notice that in deriving the conservation laws of the previous section we made no assumption about the symmetries of the space. In order to obtain their form for homogeneous cosmologies we combine Eqs. (35) and (65) with (80) and (81) to arrive at

$$[\dot{\rho} + (n-1)H(\rho + p)]u_{\nu} + (\rho + p)u^{\mu} \tilde{\nabla}_{\mu} u_{\nu}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{2} u^{\mu} (\phi \hat{R}_{\mu\nu} + \chi R_{\mu\nu} + \psi \check{R}_{\mu\nu}) \\ &\quad - \delta_{\lambda}^{\mu} \frac{\partial_{\nu}(\sqrt{-g} \phi u^{\nu})}{\sqrt{-g}} - u^{\mu} u_{\lambda} \frac{\partial_{\nu}(\sqrt{-g}(\phi + \chi + \psi + \omega)u^{\nu})}{\sqrt{-g}} \\ &\quad + \left[\left(2S_{\lambda} + \frac{Q_{\lambda}}{2} \right) u^{\mu} - \nabla_{\lambda} u^{\mu} \right] \chi \\ &\quad + \left[\left(2S^{\mu} + \frac{Q^{\mu}}{2} - \tilde{Q}^{\mu} \right) u_{\lambda} - g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_{\nu} u_{\lambda} \right] \psi \\ &\quad + u^{\mu} u_{\lambda} (\dot{\chi} + \dot{\psi}) - (\phi + \chi + \psi \\ &\quad + \omega)(\dot{u}^{\mu} u_{\lambda} + u^{\mu} \dot{u}_{\lambda}) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (100)$$

The dynamics of the perfect cosmological hyperfluid is contained in the above two equations. We can simplify (100) even further by first noticing that the homothetic curvature (being the field strength of Q_{μ}) identically vanishes for homogeneous cosmologies $\hat{R}_{\mu\nu} \equiv 0$ and also that $u^{\nu} u^{\alpha} \tilde{\nabla}_{\alpha} u_{\nu} = 0$ since the Levi-Civita connection is metric compatible. Then, contracting (80) with u^{ν} we get

$$\dot{\rho} + (n-1)H(\rho + p) = -\frac{1}{2} u^{\mu} u^{\nu} (\chi R_{\mu\nu} + \psi \check{R}_{\mu\nu}) \quad (102)$$

Some comments are now in order. Firstly, from Eq. (81) it is clear that the evolution of the non-Riemannian degrees of freedom does not interfere with the perfect fluid elements (ρ, p) but on the other hand, the perfect fluid continuity equation receives additional contributions coming from hypermomentum as seen from (144) (see also Eq. (80)). This was not so for the unconstrained hyperfluid model where one can easily see that for the hypermomentum of the form $\Delta_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = J_{\alpha\beta} u_{\gamma}$ the contribution $\Delta^{\alpha\beta\gamma} R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\nu}$ vanishes identically for FLRW spacetimes and one arrives at the classic continuity equation $\tilde{\nabla}_{\mu} T^{\mu}_{\nu} = 0$. Note that the additional contribution we get on the right-hand side is in agreement (when contacted with u^{ν}) with [25] for the purely torsion case. Secondly, we see that the pseudoscalar torsional degree of freedom ζ contains no direct dynamics since it is totally absent from (101).

Another point worth mentioning is that due to the high symmetry of the FLRW spacetime, the conservation law (101) or equivalently (81) contains only two independent evolution equations for the 5 (or 4) fields describing how hypermomentum develops with the passing of time. In order to get a complete description for all degrees of freedom one must provide three equations of state relating the fields ϕ , χ , ψ , ω and ζ with one another. Note that this is really no different from the classical perfect fluid description of GR (without hypermomentum) where the conservation law for the energy momentum tensor $\tilde{\nabla}_{\mu} T^{\mu\nu} = 0$ really contains only one equation (the continuity equation) in an FLRW spacetime. Recall that in this case this is the continuity equation which gives the evolution of fluid's density ρ and one must also impose an equation of state of the form $p = w\rho$ in order

to close the system of equations and obtain the evolution for the fluid's pressure p as well. Similarly, in the case of the perfect cosmological hyperfluid, one must provide 3 (or 2 for $m \neq 3$) equations of state relating the hypermomentum components, which when combined with (101) will give the complete description of the hyperfluid. The exact relation between these fields will depend on the hydrodynamic properties of the fluid under investigation much like the case of the classical perfect fluid. In the appendix we demonstrate how one can obtain such equations of state by considering a concrete example of a scalar field coupled to the connection. Let us now recap our proposed cosmological hyperfluid model with the following proposition.

Proposition 1 *There exists a perfect cosmological hyperfluid for which the canonical and the metrical energy-momentum tensors coincide, and is therefore subject to the following conservation laws*

$$\tilde{\nabla}_\mu T^\mu_\nu = \frac{1}{2} \Delta^{\alpha\beta\gamma} R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\nu} \quad (103)$$

$$\hat{\nabla}_\nu (\sqrt{-g} \Delta^{\mu\nu}_\lambda) = 0 \quad (104)$$

for the energy-momentum and hypermomentum currents respectively. The former has the classic perfect fluid form

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \rho u_\mu u_\nu + p h_{\mu\nu} \quad (105)$$

while the latter is given by

$$\Delta^{(n)}_{\alpha\mu\nu} = \phi h_{\mu\alpha} u_\nu + \chi h_{\nu\alpha} u_\mu + \psi u_\alpha h_{\mu\nu} + \omega u_\alpha u_\mu u_\nu + \delta_{n,4} \epsilon_{\alpha\mu\nu\kappa} u^\kappa \zeta \quad (106)$$

which is the most general covariant form for the hypermomentum that is compatible with the cosmological principle. The above doublet of conservation laws along with the proper set of equations of state for the various fields, endowed with the field equations of the Theory under consideration, provide a complete guide for the description of non-Riemannian effects (torsion and non-metricity) in cosmology.

7.3 Fluid motion

Let us now discuss the motion of the elements of the hyperfluid. As usual the fluid motion is obtained by the conservation laws. In our case as we have seen previously, it holds that

$$\tilde{\nabla}_\mu T^\mu_\nu = \frac{1}{2} \Delta^{\alpha\beta\gamma} R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\nu} \quad (107)$$

Now, expanding the left hand side and using (144) the latter is brought to the form

$$\frac{d^2 x^\lambda}{d\lambda^2} + \tilde{\Gamma}^\lambda_{\mu\nu} \frac{dx^\mu}{d\lambda} \frac{dx^\nu}{d\lambda} = \frac{1}{2(\rho + p)} \Delta^{\alpha\beta\gamma} R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\rho} h^{\rho\lambda} \quad (108)$$

where λ is an affine parameter and we have also developed $u^\mu \tilde{\nabla}_\mu u_\nu$ and have raised the free index. Note now that in a Cosmological setting, $\Delta^{\alpha\beta\gamma} R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\rho}$ having one free space-time index can only be proportional to the four-velocity u_ρ which, on the other hand, is orthogonal to the projector $h^{\rho\nu}$. Therefore, the right hand side of the above vanishes leaving us with the ordinary geodesic equation

$$\frac{d^2 x^\lambda}{d\lambda^2} + \tilde{\Gamma}^\lambda_{\mu\nu} \frac{dx^\mu}{d\lambda} \frac{dx^\nu}{d\lambda} = 0 \quad (109)$$

We therefore conclude that the elements of the hyperfluid continue to follow the Riemannian geodesics. Of course this result came as a consequence of the high symmetry of the FLRW Universes and we do not expect it to hold true for more general spacetimes, as is apparent from (107). We now move on to discuss specific applications of the model.

8 Friedmann equations with torsion and non-metricity

Given that we are in the MAG framework, the most straightforward way to generalize the classic Friedmann equations, is to consider the Einstein Hilbert action along with the presence of a hyperfluid which we shall take to be the perfect cosmological hyperfluid. In the following discussion we shall consider a flat ($K = 0$) FLRW Universe with the usual Robertson-Walker line element

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t) \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j \quad (110)$$

Let us start our discussion with the case of vanishing non-metricity.

8.1 The case of pure torsion

If we start with the Einstein-Hilbert action, considering vanishing non-metricity and allowing hyperfluid induced torsion we have the following field equations

$$R_{(\mu\nu)} - \frac{1}{2} R g_{\mu\nu} = \kappa T_{\mu\nu} \quad (111)$$

$$P^\mu_\lambda = \kappa \Delta^\mu_\lambda \quad (112)$$

Now, since non-metricity is zero, the Palatini tensor reads

$$P^\mu_\lambda = 2 \left(g^{\mu\nu} S_\lambda - S^\mu \delta^\nu_\lambda + g^{\mu\sigma} S^\nu_{\sigma\lambda} \right) \quad (113)$$

In addition, as we have seen in an FLRW Universe one of the two allowed¹⁴ forms for torsion is

$$S_{\mu\nu\alpha} = 2\phi u_{[\mu}g_{\nu]\alpha} \quad (114)$$

From the above we find the form of hypermomentum that induces this kind of torsion

$$\kappa \Delta_{\alpha\mu\nu} = 2(n-2)\phi(u_{\alpha}g_{\mu\nu} - u_{\mu}g_{\alpha\nu}) \quad (115)$$

and thus for $n = 4$

$$\kappa \Delta_{\alpha\mu\nu} = \kappa \Delta_{[\alpha\mu]v} = 4\phi(u_{\alpha}g_{\mu\nu} - u_{\mu}g_{\alpha\nu}) \quad (116)$$

Then, considering the post Riemannian expansions of the Ricci tensor and scalar, and taking the 00 and ij components of the metric field equations we easily obtain the modified Friedmann equations in the presence of torsion (see also [25])

$$H^2 = \frac{\kappa}{3}\rho + 4H\phi - 4\phi^2 \quad (117)$$

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{\kappa}{6}(\rho + 3p) + 2\dot{\phi} + 2H\phi \quad (118)$$

Let us now recall our conservation laws for the perfect cosmological hyperfluid. They read

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{\mu}T^{\mu}_{\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\Delta^{\alpha\beta\gamma}R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\nu} \quad (119)$$

$$\hat{\nabla}_{\nu}(\sqrt{-g}\Delta^{\mu\nu}_{\lambda}) = 0 \quad (120)$$

where, as usual

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \rho u_{\mu}u_{\nu} + ph_{\mu\nu} \quad (121)$$

and for the given case $\Delta_{\mu\nu\alpha}$ is given by (115). Now, we readily compute

$$\Delta^{\alpha\beta\gamma}R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\nu} = 4\phi[(n-3)\rho + (n-1)p]u_{\nu} \quad (122)$$

Then, expanding (119) and contracting with u^{ν} we finally obtain

$$\dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + p) = 2\phi(\rho + 3p) \quad (123)$$

which is in perfect agreement with the corresponding continuity equation used in [25].¹⁵ This justifies the self-consistency of our proposed model. Note that the aforementioned conservation law for the density was derived in [25] by using the Bianchi identities. Here we obtained it

¹⁴ Recall that there is also the pseudoscalar degree of freedom which we shall ignore here for the purposes of this example.

¹⁵ Note the different definitions used there. In particular our ϕ 's are related through $\phi \rightarrow -\phi$.

by employing the diffeomorphism invariance of the matter action. The two procedures yield, as expected, the same result with the only difference being that the second method leads us straightforwardly to the conservation law. As far as the evolution of ϕ is concerned in this case the conservation law for the hypermomentum trivializes in an FLRW background because the latter is antisymmetric in its first two indices as is clear from (116). This feature of was already pointed out in [26] but no explanation was given there. Here we have already explained why this is so. The reason behind the arbitrariness of ϕ traces back to Eq. (99). As we have mentioned the right-hand side of that equation vanishes identically in an FLRW spacetime if the non-metricity is zero and therefore the left-hand side of the same equation vanishes identically as well without imposing any constraint on the sources. We shall now switch off torsion and focus on the effect of non-metricity.

8.2 The case of pure non-metricity

Turning now our attention to non-metricity, we shall start with the simplest case which is the Weyl non-metricity and then derive the equations for the general case. Considering again a Theory consisting of the Einstein–Hilbert action and a non-metric hyperfluid, the field equations read

$$R_{(\mu\nu)} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{\mu\nu} = \kappa T_{\mu\nu} \quad (124)$$

$$P_{\lambda}^{(\mu\nu)} = \kappa \Delta_{\lambda}^{(\mu\nu)} \quad (125)$$

where $P_{\lambda}^{(\mu\nu)}$ is the torsion-free symmetrized Palatini tensor, given by

$$P_{\lambda}^{(\mu\nu)} = \frac{1}{2}Q_{\lambda}g^{\mu\nu} - Q_{\lambda}^{\mu\nu} + \left(\tilde{Q}^{(\mu} - \frac{1}{2}Q^{(\mu}\right)\delta_{\lambda}^{\nu)} \quad (126)$$

Note the symmetrization in μ, ν that occurs now due to the fact that we started with a symmetric connection right from the beginning (i.e. we imposed vanishing torsion).

8.2.1 Weyl non-metricity

We now assume that the non-metric hyperfluid is such that it produces a Weyl non-metricity of the usual type

$$Q_{\alpha\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{n}Q_{\alpha}g_{\mu\nu} = A(t)u_{\alpha}g_{\mu\nu} \quad (127)$$

where $A(t)$ is the function that monitors the effect of non-metricity. This follows as a special case of our Perfect Cosmological Hyperfluid, for which the symmetrized hypermo-

momentum takes the form¹⁶

$$\kappa \Delta_{\lambda}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{(n-2)}{2n} \left[Q_{\lambda} g^{\mu\nu} - \delta_{\lambda}^{(\mu} Q^{\mu)} \right] \quad (128)$$

or

$$\kappa \Delta_{\alpha\mu\nu} = \frac{(n-2)}{2} A(t) \left[u_{\alpha} g_{\mu\nu} - g_{\alpha(\mu} u_{\nu)} \right] \quad (129)$$

Following an identical procedure to the one we outlined previously, after some calculations we finally find the modified Friedmann equations in the presence of Weyl non-metricity

$$H^2 = \frac{\kappa}{3} \rho + H A - \frac{A^2}{4} \quad (130)$$

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{\kappa}{6}(\rho + 3p) + \frac{\dot{A}}{2} + \frac{H A}{2} \quad (131)$$

Note that the torsional Friedmann equations of the previous section are mapped to the ones here and vice versa upon the duality exchange

$$A \leftrightarrow 4\phi \quad (132)$$

which holds between vectorial torsion and Weyl non-metricity as we have reported in our previous works [28, 39] (see also [40]). Now, the conservation laws for the above case read

$$\dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + p) = \frac{A}{2}(\rho + 3p) \quad (133)$$

while the conservation law for hypermomentum trivializes. This again has to do with the restricted geometry we imposed in this simple example. Indeed, as can be straightforwardly checked, for vanishing torsion and for Weyl non-metricity it holds that $\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} + R_{\nu\mu} = 0$ in an FLRW spacetime. The latter condition results in the trivialization of the conservation law for hypermomentum just like the pure torsion case we discussed earlier. We should note that such trivializations occur only because we have greatly restricted the underlying geometry. Allowing for a richer geometrical structure we get a complete set of equations as we show with the following example.

¹⁶ A cautionary remark is in order now. It should be strongly emphasized that one must first make an ansatz for the hypermomentum and subsequently derive the desired form for non-metricity (or torsion) and not the other way around since hypermomentum is the source. Considering an ansatz for non-metricity (or torsion) and then computing the hypermomentum, one runs the risk of losing degrees of freedom that are originally contained in hypermomentum and subsequently arrive at inconsistent conservation laws.

8.2.2 General non-metricity

Let us now allow all three degrees of freedom for non-metricity and present the most straightforward generalization of Friedmann equations in the presence of non-metricity.¹⁷ In the most general case where the non-metricity tensor is given by (71) after some laborious calculations, from the metric field equations we finally extract [29]

$$H^2 = \frac{\kappa}{3} \rho - \frac{1}{2} H \left(\frac{3}{2} B - A + C \right) - \frac{1}{4} \dot{B} + \frac{1}{8} B(A - C) + \frac{1}{4} AC \quad (134)$$

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{\kappa}{6}(\rho + 3p) + H \left(A + \frac{C}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \dot{A} - \frac{1}{4} A(A + C) \quad (135)$$

These above constitute the most straightforward (minimal) modification of Friedmann equations in the presence of non-metricity. More details on the derivation and also on the analysis of the latter we will present elsewhere [29]. The above equations are supplemented with the conservation laws (80) and (81). Taking the various components of the aforementioned laws, we find the evolution of ρ , p and the hypermomentum. Then, the functions spanning the hypermomentum are algebraically related to the three non-metricity functions as can be easily shown by using the relation between the distortion and hypermomentum [41]. Again, the complete study of this goes beyond the purposes of this paper and will be studied separately [29]. As a note we should point out that as can be easily seen from the second Friedmann equation above, the non-metric degrees of freedom could account for accelerated expansion. To clearly see this let us consider the simple special case for which $\dot{A} > 0$ and $C = -2A$, then the acceleration equation becomes

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{\kappa}{6}(\rho + 3p) + \frac{1}{2} \dot{A} + \frac{1}{4} A^2 \quad (136)$$

From which it is evident that this kind of non-metricity accelerates the Cosmological expansion. Of course in the general case where all three functions of non-metricity are present, the effect on acceleration equation is not quite totally clear and deserves further investigation. We shall now discuss the generalized case where both torsion and non-metricity are allowed.

¹⁷ To be more accurate, in the presence of a hyperfluid induced non-metricity.

9 Friedmann equations with both torsion and non-metricity

Starting again with the Einstein–Hilbert action and the presence of the Cosmological Hyperfluid in the matter sector, leaving the connection general, varying with respect to the metric and the connection, we obtain the field equations

$$R_{(\mu\nu)} - \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{2} R = \kappa T_{\mu\nu} \quad (137)$$

$$P_{\lambda}^{\mu\nu} = \kappa \Delta_{\lambda}^{\mu\nu} \quad (138)$$

where

$$P_{\lambda}^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{\nabla_{\lambda}(\sqrt{-g}g^{\mu\nu})}{\sqrt{-g}} + \frac{\nabla_{\sigma}(\sqrt{-g}g^{\mu\sigma})\delta_{\lambda}^{\nu}}{\sqrt{-g}} + 2(S_{\lambda}g^{\mu\nu} - S^{\mu}\delta_{\lambda}^{\nu} + g^{\mu\sigma}S_{\sigma\lambda}^{\nu}) \quad (139)$$

is the full Palatini tensor. After some rather heavy calculations and enough patience we finally find the most general form of the Friedmann equations with both torsion and non-metricity induced by the presence of the perfect cosmological hyperfluid [42]

$$H^2 = -\frac{2}{(n-2)}H\left[\frac{(n-1)}{2}X - \frac{(n-3)}{2}Y + Z + V\right] - \frac{1}{(n-2)}(\dot{X} + \dot{Y}) - \frac{1}{(n-2)}(X - Y)(Z + V) + XY + \frac{2}{(n-2)}W^2\delta_{n,4} + \frac{2\kappa}{(n-1)(n-2)}\rho \quad (140)$$

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{\kappa}{(n-1)(n-2)}[(n-3)\rho + (n-1)p] + \dot{Y} + H(Y + Z + V) - Y(V + Z) \quad (141)$$

where we have expressed the additional contributions in terms of the distortion functions due to computational convenience. Of course these can be written entirely in terms of torsion and non-metricity functions by using the conversion relations of the previous section

$$W = P, \quad V = C/2, \quad Z = A/2 \quad (142)$$

$$Y = 2\Phi + \frac{A}{2}, \quad X = \frac{B}{2} - 2\Phi - \frac{A}{2} \quad (143)$$

which in turn can be expressed solely in terms of the hypermomentum functions by using the relation between distortion and hypermomentum [41]. The exact derivation of the above generalized Friedmann equations, with all immediate steps, along with solutions will be presented elsewhere [42]. The generalized Friedmann equations are supplemented with the conservation laws of the perfect cosmological hyperfluid

$$\dot{\rho} + (n-1)H(\rho + p) = -\frac{1}{2}u^{\mu}u^{\nu}(\chi R_{\mu\nu} + \psi \check{R}_{\mu\nu}) \quad (144)$$

$$-\delta_{\lambda}^{\mu}\frac{\partial_{\nu}(\sqrt{-g}\phi u^{\nu})}{\sqrt{-g}} - u^{\mu}u_{\lambda}\frac{\partial_{\nu}(\sqrt{-g}(\phi + \chi + \psi + \omega)u^{\nu})}{\sqrt{-g}} + \left[\left(2S_{\lambda} + \frac{Q_{\lambda}}{2}\right)u^{\mu} - \nabla_{\lambda}u^{\mu}\right]\chi$$

$$+ \left[\left(2S^{\mu} + \frac{Q^{\mu}}{2} - \tilde{Q}^{\mu}\right)u_{\lambda} - g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_{\nu}u_{\lambda}\right]\psi + u^{\mu}u_{\lambda}(\dot{\chi} + \dot{\psi}) - (\phi + \chi + \psi + \omega)(\dot{u}^{\mu}u_{\lambda} + u^{\mu}\dot{u}_{\lambda}) = 0 \quad (145)$$

where the former is the modified continuity equation of the perfect fluid components and the latter expresses conservation of the hypermomentum sector of the hyperfluid. We should mention that there is a subtle difference between this case and the cases of vanishing torsion or non-metricity we discussed earlier. When one starts with a general (unconstrained) affine connection, as it is well known, the Ricci scalar enjoys the projective symmetry

$$R \longrightarrow R \quad (146)$$

under projective transformations of the connection

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} \longrightarrow \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} + \delta_{\mu}^{\lambda}\xi_{\nu} \quad (147)$$

where ξ_{ν} is an arbitrary one form. The above invariance, when transferred to the matter sector, demands a vanishing dilation current, namely

$$\Delta^{\nu} = \Delta_{\lambda}^{\lambda\nu} = [(n-1)\phi - \omega]u^{\nu} = 0 \quad (148)$$

and therefore restricts the form of the hyperfluid. However, it should be noted that constraints like the latter have also a pleasing consequence since they provide 'equations of state' between the hypermomentum components¹⁸ which, as mentioned, are appropriate in order to determine the complete Cosmological evolution. Anyway, the case of projective invariance and its relevance to physics is an open issue with some recent studies indicating that keeping the invariance is needed in order to avoid ghosts [43,44] (see also [45]). In our case, we see that the projective invariance supplies the model with a desired equation of state among the hyperfluid variables. Below we expand a little more on the role of invariances and the constraints they put on hypermomentum.

10 Hypermomentum matter types

As we noted above, a Gravitational action that is invariant under projective transformations will only allow for matter fields with vanishing dilation current (i.e. $\Delta^{\nu} = 0$). In fact, one can consider a more general class of unconstrained vectorial transformations of the form

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} \longrightarrow \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} + \delta_{\mu}^{\lambda}\xi_{\nu} + \delta_{\nu}^{\lambda}\zeta_{\mu} + \chi^{\lambda}g_{\mu\nu} \quad (149)$$

where ξ_{μ} , ζ_{μ} , and χ_{μ} are in general independent one forms. Some subclasses of the above transformations include

¹⁸ In this case we get the equation of state $\omega = (n-1)\phi$ relating two of the hypermomentum variables.

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} \longrightarrow \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} + \lambda_1 \delta_{\mu}^{\lambda} v_{\nu} + \lambda_2 \delta_{\nu}^{\lambda} v_{\mu} + \lambda_3 v^{\lambda} g_{\mu\nu} \quad (150)$$

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} \longrightarrow \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} + \delta_{\mu}^{\lambda} \xi_{\nu} \quad (151)$$

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} \longrightarrow \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} + \delta_{\nu}^{\lambda} \zeta_{\mu} \quad (152)$$

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} \longrightarrow \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} + \chi^{\lambda} g_{\mu\nu} \quad (153)$$

where the former is called a constrained vectorial transformation and the latter three are transformations of the 1st, 2nd and 3rd kind respectively [46]. In [46] we obtained classes of actions that are invariant under the above connection transformations and also derived the constraints imposed on the hypermomentum by such invariances. Combining the above connection transformations with our cosmological model here we can attribute a clear physical meaning to transformations of the form (149). In a cosmological setting, if the gravitational action is invariant under transformations of the form (149) (or a subset thereof) then this invariance provides equations of state between the various components of the hyperfluid. To be more specific, by using the results of [46] we can state specific equations of state or conservation laws for our Hyperfluid model. We start by reviewing the three propositions we obtained in the aforementioned study and then apply them to the cosmological hyperfluid model presented here. Consider the theory

$$S[g, \Gamma, \phi] = \frac{1}{2\kappa} S_G[g, \Gamma] + S_M[g, \Gamma, \phi] \quad (154)$$

where S_G denotes the gravitational sector (geometry) and S_M represents the matter fields. Set

$$\Delta_{(1)}^{\nu} := \Delta_{\lambda}^{\lambda\nu}, \quad \Delta_{(2)}^{\mu} := \Delta_{\lambda}^{\mu\lambda}, \quad \Delta_{(3)\lambda} := \Delta_{\lambda}^{\mu\nu} g_{\mu\nu} \quad (155)$$

Then, we get the following three immediate propositions [46]

Proposition 2 *If the gravity sector of (154) is invariant under general vectorial transformations of the connection of the form (149), then the hypermomentum tensor has all of its contractions vanishing, namely*

$$\Delta_{(i)}^{\mu} = 0, \quad \forall i = 1, 2, 3 \quad (156)$$

Proposition 3 *If the gravity sector of (154) is invariant under constrained vectorial transformations of the form (150), then the traces of the hypermomentum of the Theory satisfy the constraint*

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_i \Delta_{(i)}^{\mu} = 0 \quad (157)$$

That is, the three traces of the hypermomentum are linearly dependent.

Proposition 4 *If the gravity sector of (154) is invariant under connection transformations of the i th kind ($i =$*

1, 2, 3) then this invariance demands a vanishing i th contraction of the hypermomentum

$$\Delta_{(i)}^{\mu} = 0 \quad (158)$$

In the case of the perfect cosmological hyperfluid that we present here, we have

$$\Delta_{(1)}^{\nu} := \Delta_{\lambda}^{\lambda\nu} = \left[(n-1)\phi - \omega \right] u^{\nu} \quad (159)$$

$$\Delta_{(2)}^{\mu} := \Delta_{\lambda}^{\mu\lambda} = \left[(n-1)\chi - \omega \right] u^{\mu} \quad (160)$$

$$\Delta_{(3)\lambda} := \Delta_{\lambda}^{\mu\nu} g_{\mu\nu} = \left[(n-1)\psi - \omega \right] u_{\lambda} \quad (161)$$

Combining the above results we may classify some special cases of the perfect cosmological hyperfluid. For instance if the gravitational sector of a given MAG theory is invariant under general vectorial transformations of the connection (149), then the associated cosmological hyperfluid satisfies the three ‘equations of state’

$$\phi = \chi = \psi = \frac{\omega}{(n-1)} \quad (162)$$

We shall call such a fluid, a completely traceless hyperfluid. On the other hand, if the Gravitational action is invariant under only one of (151), (152) or (153) then one gets only one hypermomentum equation of state for each case as seen from the above. It is worth mentioning that if the connection transformations are of the special kind, that is the one forms appearing in (150) are all exact, then the corresponding constraints for the hypermomentum turn into conservation laws among its constituents. For instance, a Theory that is invariant under the action of special linear transformations of the form

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} \longrightarrow \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} + \delta_{\mu}^{\lambda} \partial_{\nu} X + \delta_{\nu}^{\lambda} \partial_{\mu} Y + g_{\mu\nu} \partial^{\lambda} Z \quad (163)$$

where X , Y and Z are arbitrary independent scalars, will have an associated Cosmological Hyperfluid obeying the conservation laws

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\mu} \left[\sqrt{-g} \left((n-1)\phi - \omega \right) u^{\mu} \right] &= 0, \\ \partial_{\mu} \left[\sqrt{-g} \left((n-1)\chi - \omega \right) u^{\mu} \right] &= 0, \\ \partial_{\mu} \left[\sqrt{-g} \left((n-1)\psi - \omega \right) u^{\mu} \right] &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (164)$$

Of course the list could go on and on by considering different types of transformations for the connection and deriving the corresponding constraints on the matter. Our intention here was to illustrate the procedure that one has to follow in order to obtain the constraints of the matter sector. The aforementioned types of matter along with their extensions certainly

deserve further investigation so as to unveil their true physical content in Cosmological situations. As a final note let us mention that another interesting case is that of a frame rescaling invariant fluid. In this case the density and pressure of the fluid are related with the hypermomentum variables through (recall Eq. (31))

$$2(\rho - 3p) = 3HF + \dot{F}, \quad F := 3\phi - \omega \quad (165)$$

We should mention that this type of fluid is not contained in our Cosmological Hyperfluid description since its canonical and metrical energy–momentum tensors do not coincide. However, it would also be very interesting to study the characteristics of such a fluid especially with regards to its Cosmological effects. All the above aspects certainly deserve further and careful investigation.

11 Conclusions

We have developed a novel model for a Cosmological hyperfluid, namely a fluid that carries hypermomentum and subsequently induces torsional and non-metric degrees of freedom. In constructing the model we essentially imposed the same conservation laws with the unconstrained hyperfluid [13] but we have considered a different ansatz for the covariant form of the hypermomentum that is compatible with the Cosmological principle. Therefore even though the conservation laws have the same covariant form, they predict different dynamics owed to the different form of the hypermomentum. In our approach the hyperfluid is described by the usual energy–momentum tensor of a perfect fluid which is characterized by the energy density ρ and pressure p of the fluid's elements, as well as by a hypermomentum tensor containing the microscopic (can include macroscopic as well) properties of the matter. The latter is spanned by 5 or 4 degrees of freedom depending on the dimensionality of the spatial space. Given the ansatz for the Robertson–Walker metric, the Cosmological evolution of the Perfect Cosmological Hyperfluid in 4-*dim* is described by $1 + 2 + 5 = 8$ time functions corresponding to the scale factor (1) and the degrees of freedom coming from the energy–momentum (2), and the hypermomentum (5). Therefore, the complete Cosmological description in the presence of torsion and non-metricity is achieved by finding the form of the 8 functions $a(t)$, $\rho(t)$, $p(t)$, $\phi(t)$, $\dots$ etc.

As an immediate application of our model we considered a Theory consisting of the usual Einstein–Hilbert action and the presence of our Perfect Cosmological Hyperfluid. Consequently we derived the (most straightforward) generalized Friedmann equations in the presence of torsion and non-metricity. The generalized Friedmann equations we obtained, supplemented with the conservation laws (80) and (81), pro-

vide then a complete and minimal tool to study the impact of torsion and non-metricity in Cosmology. We should note that it is possible to generalize our model in a straightforward way. Indeed, the two assumptions for the perfect cosmological hyperfluid are (1) that the cosmological principle holds true, and (2) that the canonical and metrical energy momentum tensors coincide. Given that we would like to stay in a cosmological context, we should keep the former assumption but nothing prevents us to relax the latter. Then, our model is readily generalized by relaxing the assumption that the CEMT and MEMT coincide and leave them independent a priori or relate them in a different way. In the last section we discussed the example of the frame rescaling invariant fluid which falls into that category. It would also be interesting to explore the consequences of such a generalized models.

As closing remark let us mention that the establishment of the perfect cosmological hyperfluid model opens up a whole plethora of possibilities to study non-Riemannian effects in cosmology. The presence of such a fluid is expected to change the evolution of the Universe at early stages and therefore provide alternative well motivated inflationary scenarios. In addition for non-standard gravitational actions (going beyond the Einstein–Hilbert) the cosmological hyperfluid could just as well be used in order to formulate late-time cosmological effects. Metric-affine $f(R)$ Theories in the presence of perfect cosmological hyperfluids would be typical representatives of such possibilities. The above points will be addressed in future works.

Acknowledgements I would like to thank very much Prof. Yuri N. Obukhov for extremely useful explanations and constructive email discussions on the concept of the hyperfluid. I would also like to thank Michael Tsamparlis, Tomi S. Koivisto, Christos Tsagas, Anastasios Petkou, Jose Beltran Jimenez, for useful discussions and comments. This research is co-financed by Greece and the European Union (European Social Fund- ESF) through the Operational Programme 'Human Resources Development, Education and Lifelong Learning' in the context of the project "Reinforcement of Postdoctoral Researchers—2 nd Cycle" (MIS-5033021), implemented by the State Scholarships Foundation (IKY).

Data Availability Statement This manuscript has no associated data or the data will not be deposited. [Authors' comment: This is a Theoretical study and no experimental data has been listed.]

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Funded by SCOAP³.

Appendix A: Diffeomorphisms

Let us consider the (active) diffeomorphism

$$x'^{\mu} = x^{\mu} + \xi^{\mu}(x) \quad (\text{A1})$$

which corresponds to a general(active) infinitesimal coordinate transformation. From the transformation law of a scalar

$$\phi'(x') = \phi(x) \quad (\text{A2})$$

by expanding x' about x we find

$$\phi'(x') = \phi'(x + \xi) \approx \phi'(x) + \xi^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \phi \quad (\text{A3})$$

Then by definition, the scalar field changes according to¹⁹

$$\delta \phi := \phi'(x) - \phi(x) = -\xi^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \phi \quad (\text{A4})$$

Considering now the transformation law of the connection under diffeomorphisms

$$\Gamma'^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial x'^{\lambda}}{\partial x^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial x^{\beta}}{\partial x'^{\mu}} \frac{\partial x^{\gamma}}{\partial x'^{\nu}} \Gamma'_{\beta\gamma}{}^{\alpha} + \frac{\partial x'^{\lambda}}{\partial x^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial^2 x^{\alpha}}{\partial x'^{\mu} \partial x'^{\nu}} \quad (\text{A5})$$

and expanding in the same manner as before, we finally arrive at

$$\delta_{\xi} \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} = -\xi^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} - \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\alpha\nu} \partial_{\mu} \xi^{\alpha} - \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu\alpha} \partial_{\nu} \xi^{\alpha} + \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu} \partial_{\alpha} \xi^{\lambda} - \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} \xi^{\lambda} \quad (\text{A6})$$

Now, notice that this is in fact a tensor. To see this, we use the definitions, of covariant derivative, torsion and curvature to re-express the above in the equivalent form²⁰

$$\delta_{\xi} \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} = \xi^{\alpha} R^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu\alpha} - \nabla_{\nu} \nabla_{\mu} \xi^{\lambda} - 2 \nabla_{\nu} (S^{\lambda}_{\mu\alpha} \xi^{\alpha}) \quad (\text{A7})$$

which is manifestly covariant and therefore reveals the tensorial character of $\delta_{\xi} \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}$. Similarly, one can show that the under diffeomorphisms (A1) the metric tensor transforms as

$$\delta_{\xi} g_{\mu\nu} = -\xi^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} g_{\mu\nu} - 2g_{\alpha(\mu} \partial_{\nu)} \xi^{\alpha} \quad (\text{A8})$$

or equivalently

$$\delta_{\xi} g_{\mu\nu} = -\xi^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} g_{\mu\nu} - \partial_{\nu} \xi_{\mu} + \xi^{\alpha} \partial_{\nu} g_{\mu\alpha} - \partial_{\mu} \xi_{\nu} + \xi^{\alpha} \partial_{\mu} g_{\alpha\nu} \quad (\text{A9})$$

¹⁹ The symbol δ stands here for functional variations, that is measures the difference of the field ϕ computed at two different points but in the same coordinate system $\{x\}$, see also [47].

²⁰ The proof is trivial but somewhat lengthy. It is a matter of sticking to the definitions and rearranging the various terms.

Furthermore, using the definition of the covariant derivative, the above can be expressed as

$$\delta_{\xi} g_{\mu\nu} = -2\tilde{\nabla}_{(\mu} \xi_{\nu)} \quad (\text{A10})$$

or

$$\delta_{\xi} g_{\mu\nu} = -2\nabla_{(\mu} \xi_{\nu)} - 2N^{\lambda}_{(\mu\nu)} \xi_{\lambda} \quad (\text{A11})$$

where ∇_{μ} and $\tilde{\nabla}_{\mu}$ represent the covariant derivative of the general affine connection and the Levi-Civita connection respectively and $N^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} = \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} - \tilde{\Gamma}^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}$ is the distortion. It will be more useful to use the expression with the Levi-Civita connection Let us consider now a matter action

$$S_M[g, \Gamma, \phi] = \int d^n x \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}_M \quad (\text{A12})$$

Then, considering a diffeomorphism, we have

$$\delta_{\xi} S_M = \int d^n x \left[\frac{\delta(\sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}_M)}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}} \delta_{\xi} g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\delta(\sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}_M)}{\delta \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}} \delta_{\xi} \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\delta(\sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}_M)}{\delta \phi} \delta_{\xi} \phi \right] \quad (\text{A13})$$

Now, given that the matter fields (ϕ) satisfy their field equations, the last term on the right hand side of the above vanishes. Then, using (A7), (A10) after some partial integrations and assuming that ξ^{α} and its derivatives vanish at the boundary, we arrive at

$$\delta_{\xi} S_M = \int d^n x \xi^{\alpha} \left[\sqrt{-g} (2\tilde{\nabla}_{\mu} T^{\mu}_{\alpha} - \Delta^{\lambda\mu\nu} R_{\lambda\mu\nu\alpha}) + \hat{\nabla}_{\mu} \hat{\nabla}_{\nu} (\sqrt{-g} \Delta^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha}) + 2S^{\lambda}_{\mu\alpha} \hat{\nabla}_{\nu} (\sqrt{-g} \Delta^{\mu\nu}_{\lambda}) \right] \quad (\text{A14})$$

So, since the matter action is invariant under diffeomorphisms we have the following conservation law

$$\sqrt{-g} (2\tilde{\nabla}_{\mu} T^{\mu}_{\alpha} - \Delta^{\lambda\mu\nu} R_{\lambda\mu\nu\alpha}) + \hat{\nabla}_{\mu} \hat{\nabla}_{\nu} (\sqrt{-g} \Delta^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha}) + 2S^{\lambda}_{\mu\alpha} \hat{\nabla}_{\nu} (\sqrt{-g} \Delta^{\mu\nu}_{\lambda}) = 0 \quad (\text{A15})$$

where we have defined as usual the energy–momentum tensor

$$T^{\mu\nu} := + \frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta(\sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}_M)}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}} \quad (\text{A16})$$

The hypermomentum

$$\Delta^{\mu\nu}_{\lambda} := - \frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta(\sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}_M)}{\delta \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}} \quad (\text{A17})$$

and the modified covariant derivative

$$\hat{\nabla}_{\mu} := 2S_{\mu} - \nabla_{\mu} \quad (\text{A18})$$

Then, solving for $\hat{\nabla}_v(\sqrt{-g}\Delta_\lambda^{\mu\nu})$ from

$$t_\lambda^\mu = T_\lambda^\mu - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-g}}\hat{\nabla}_v(\sqrt{-g}\Delta_\lambda^{\mu\nu}) \quad (\text{A19})$$

and substituting it back to (A15) we recover the second conservation law

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\hat{\nabla}_\mu(\sqrt{-g}t_\alpha^\mu) = \frac{1}{2}\Delta^{\lambda\mu\nu}R_{\lambda\mu\nu\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}Q_{\alpha\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu} + 2S_{\alpha\mu\nu}t^{\mu\nu} \quad (\text{A20})$$

showing that the sets (A15), (A19) and (A19), (A20) are indeed equivalent.

Appendix B: Divergence of palatini tensor

We shall now prove the identity we used for the divergence of the Palatini tensor

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\nabla}_v \left(-\nabla_\lambda(\sqrt{-g}g^{\mu\nu}) + \nabla_\sigma(\sqrt{-g}g^{\mu\sigma})\delta_\lambda^\nu \right. \\ \left. + \sqrt{-g}(S_\lambda g^{\mu\nu} - S^\mu \delta_\lambda^\nu + g^{\mu\sigma}S_{\sigma\lambda}^\nu) \right) \\ = -\sqrt{-g}g^{\mu\nu}(\check{R}_{v\lambda} + R_{\lambda v}) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B1})$$

or equivalently

$$\hat{\nabla}_v(\sqrt{-g}P_\lambda^{\mu\nu}) = -\sqrt{-g}g^{\mu\nu}(\check{R}_{v\lambda} + R_{\lambda v}) \quad (\text{B2})$$

Proof Our starting point is two identities that hold in a non-Riemannian space (see [10] for their derivation)

$$R_{(\alpha\beta)\kappa\lambda} = \nabla_{[\kappa}Q_{\lambda]\alpha\beta} - S_{\kappa\lambda}^\rho Q_{\rho\alpha\beta} \quad (\text{B3})$$

$$R_{[\lambda\kappa]} = \frac{1}{2}\hat{R}_{\lambda\kappa} + 2\nabla_{[\lambda}S_{\kappa]} + \nabla_\nu S_{\lambda\kappa}^\nu - 2S_{\lambda\kappa\alpha}S^\alpha \quad (\text{B4})$$

where $\hat{R}_{\mu\nu} := g^{\alpha\beta}R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ is the homothetic curvature. Now contracting the former with $g^{\kappa\beta}g^{\mu\alpha}$ and the latter with $g^{\kappa\mu}$ we obtain the divergences of non-metricity and torsion respectively

$$\nabla_\nu Q_\lambda^{\mu\nu} = \nabla_\lambda \check{Q}^\mu - 2S_{\lambda\alpha\beta}Q^{\beta\alpha\mu} + (R_\lambda^\mu + \check{R}_\lambda^\mu) \quad (\text{B5})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\nu S_\lambda^{\mu\nu} = S_{\lambda\alpha\beta}Q^{\beta\alpha\mu} + (Q_\lambda^{\mu\nu} + 2S_\lambda^{\mu\nu})S_\nu \\ - \nabla_\lambda S^\mu + g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\nu S_\lambda + g^{\mu\nu}\left(R_{[\lambda\nu]} - \frac{1}{2}\hat{R}_{\lambda\nu}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B6})$$

Considering now the above definition of the Palatini tensor and using the definitions of torsion and non-metricity it can be brought to the form [10,41]

$$\begin{aligned} P_\lambda^{\mu\nu} = \delta_\lambda^\nu \left(\check{Q}^\mu - \frac{1}{2}Q^\mu - 2S^\mu \right) + g^{\mu\nu} \left(\frac{1}{2}Q_\lambda + 2S_\lambda \right) \\ - (Q_\lambda^{\mu\nu} + 2S_\lambda^{\mu\nu}) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B7})$$

Now, multiplying the latter with $\sqrt{-g}$, acting on it with the operator $\nabla_\nu - 2S_\nu$ and using the divergence relations (B5) and (B6) various cancellations take place and we readily arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}(\nabla_\nu - 2S_\nu)(\sqrt{-g}P_\lambda^{\mu\nu}) = g^{\mu\nu}\hat{R}_{v\lambda} - g^{\mu\nu}(\check{R}_{v\lambda} + R_{v\lambda}) \\ + g^{\mu\nu}(-2R_{[\lambda\nu]} + \hat{R}_{\lambda\nu}) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B8})$$

and expanding the terms on the right hand side of the above, we complete the proof

$$\hat{\nabla}_v(\sqrt{-g}P_\lambda^{\mu\nu}) = -\sqrt{-g}g^{\mu\nu}(\check{R}_{v\lambda} + R_{\lambda v}) \quad (\text{B9})$$

where $\hat{\nabla}_v = 2S_v - \nabla_v$ is the modified covariant derivative operator. $\square$

Appendix C: Scalar field coupled to the connection

As a trivial example of an effective Perfect Cosmological Hyperfluid, endowed with specific equations of state among its variables, we will consider a scalar field φ that is coupled to the connection. The most straightforward couplings would be between the derivatives of the scalar field and torsion and non-metricity vectors, such that the total matter action would read²¹

$$\begin{aligned} S_M[g, \Gamma, \varphi] = \int d^n x \sqrt{-g} \left[-\frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\varphi\partial_\nu\varphi - V(\varphi) \right. \\ \left. + (a_1 S_\mu + a_2 Q_\mu + a_3 \check{Q}_\mu)\partial^\mu\varphi \right] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C1})$$

where a_i with $i = 1, 2, 3$ are coupling constants. The associated hypermomentum can be trivially computed

$$\Delta_\lambda^{\mu\nu} = -(a_1 + 2a_3)\delta_\lambda^\nu\partial^\mu\varphi + (a_1 - 4a_2)\delta_\lambda^\mu\partial^\nu\varphi - 2a_3g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\lambda\varphi \quad (\text{C2})$$

Then, lowering all indices and considering an FLRW space-time so that $\partial_\mu\varphi = \delta_\mu^0\dot{\varphi} = -\dot{\varphi}u_\mu$ holds true, the above recasts to

$$\Delta_{\alpha\mu\nu} = (a_1 + 2a_3)g_{\alpha\nu}u_\mu\dot{\varphi} + (4a_2 - a_1)g_{\alpha\mu}u_\nu\dot{\varphi} + 2a_3g_{\mu\nu}u_\alpha\dot{\varphi} \quad (\text{C3})$$

In addition, using the definition of the projection operator and placing the above equation against (65) we find the relations

²¹ A similar action was considered in [48] in order to study inflation-like scenarios in MAG.

$$\begin{aligned}\phi &= (4a_2 - a_1)\dot{\phi}, \chi = (a_1 + 2a_3)\dot{\phi}, \\ \psi &= 2a_3\dot{\phi}\omega = -4(a_2 + a_3)\dot{\phi}, \zeta = 0\end{aligned}\quad (C4)$$

which serve as effective equations of state for the hyperfluid variables. This can be seen clearly by considering one of the above fields as a reference field. Indeed, if we take for instance ϕ as our reference field, the rest of the hypermomentum variables are expressed as

$$\chi = w_1\phi, \quad \psi = w_2\phi, \quad \omega = w_3\phi, \quad \zeta = 0 \quad (C5)$$

where

$$(w_1, w_2, w_3) = \frac{1}{(4a_2 - a_1)}(a_1 + 2a_3, 2a_3, -4a_2 - 4a_3) \quad (C6)$$

are the 'barotropic' indices of the hyperfluid in close analogy with the barotropic index w in the case of the perfect fluid (recall the relation $p = w\rho$). Note the similarity with the perfect fluid inflaton field, where in the slow roll regime ($\dot{\phi}^2 \approx 0$) it holds that

$$\rho \approx V(\phi), \quad p \approx -V(\phi) \quad (C7)$$

and one gets the equation of state $p \approx -\rho$.

References

1. T. Clifton, P.G. Ferreira, A. Padilla, C. Skordis, Modified gravity and cosmology. *Phys. Rep.* **513**(1–3), 1–189 (2012)
2. L.P. Eisenhart, *Non-Riemannian Geometry* (Courier Corporation, Chelmsford, 2012)
3. J.A. Schouten, *Ricci-calculus: An Introduction to Tensor Analysis and its Geometrical Applications*, vol. 10 (Springer Science & Business Media, Berlin, 2013)
4. R. Aldrovandi, J.G. Pereira, *Teleparallel Gravity: An Introduction*, vol. 173 (Springer Science & Business Media, Berlin, 2012)
5. J.M. Nester, H.-J. Yo, Symmetric teleparallel general relativity (1998). arXiv preprint [arXiv:gr-qc/9809049](https://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9809049)
6. J.B. Jiménez, L. Heisenberg, T.S. Koivisto, Teleparallel palatini theories. *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **2018**(08), 039 (2018)
7. J.B. Jiménez, L. Heisenberg, D. Iosifidis, A. Jiménez-Cano, T.S. Koivisto, General teleparallel quadratic gravity (2019). arXiv preprint [arXiv:1909.09045](https://arxiv.org/abs/1909.09045)
8. F.W. Hehl, J.D. McCrea, E.W. Mielke, Y. Ne'eman, Metric-affine gauge theory of gravity: field equations, noether identities, world spinors, and breaking of dilation invariance. *Phys. Rep.* **258**(1–2), 1–171 (1995)
9. F.W. Hehl, A. Macias, Metric-affine gauge theory of gravity II. Exact solutions (1999). arXiv preprint [arXiv:gr-qc/9902076](https://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9902076)
10. D. Iosifidis, Metric-affine gravity and cosmology/aspects of torsion and non-metricity in gravity theories (2019). arXiv preprint [arXiv:1902.09643](https://arxiv.org/abs/1902.09643)
11. S.L. Cacciatori, M.M. Caldarelli, A. Giacomini, D. Klemm, D.S. Mansi, Chern–Simons formulation of three-dimensional gravity with torsion and nonmetricity. *J. Geom. Phys.* **56**(12), 2523–2543 (2006)
12. Y.N. Obukhov, R. Tresguerres, Hyperfluid—a model of classical matter with hypermomentum. *Phys. Lett. A* **184**(1), 17–22 (1993)
13. Y.N. Obukhov, On a model of an unconstrained hyperfluid. *Phys. Lett. A* **210**(3), 163–167 (1996)
14. J. Weyssenhoff, A. Raabe, Relativistic dynamics of spin-fluids and spin-particles. *Acta Phys. Pol.* **9**, 7–18 (1947)
15. O.V. Babourova, B.N. Frolov, The variational theory of perfect fluid with intrinsic hypermomentum in space-time with nonmetricity (1995). arXiv preprint [arXiv:gr-qc/9509013](https://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9509013)
16. O.V. Babourova, B.N. Frolov, Perfect hypermomentum fluid: variational theory and equations of motion. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **13**(31), 5391–5407 (1998)
17. L.L. Smalley, J.P. Krisch, Fluids with spin and twist. *J. Math. Phys.* **36**(2), 778–795 (1995)
18. E. Schrödinger, *Space-time Structure* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985)
19. F.W. Hehl, G.D. Kerlick, P. Heyde, On hypermomentum in general relativity I. The notion of hypermomentum. *Zeitschrift fuer Naturforschung A* **31**(2), 111–114 (1976)
20. O.V. Babourova, B.N. Frolov, M.Y. Koroliov, Peculiarities of matter motion in metric-affine gravitational theory (1995). arXiv preprint [arXiv:gr-qc/9502012](https://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9502012)
21. D. Puetzfeld, Y.N. Obukhov, Probing non-riemannian spacetime geometry. *Phys. Lett. A* **372**(45), 6711–6716 (2008)
22. Y.N. Obukhov, D. Puetzfeld, Conservation laws in gravity: a unified framework. *Phys. Rev. D* **90**(2), 024004 (2014)
23. D. Iosifidis, T. Koivisto, Scale transformations in metric-affine geometry. *Universe* **5**(3), 82 (2019)
24. M. Tsamparlis, Cosmological principle and torsion. *Phys. Lett. A* **75**(1–2), 27–28 (1979)
25. D. Kranas, C.G. Tsagas, J.D. Barrow, D. Iosifidis, Friedmann-like universes with torsion. *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79**(4), 341 (2019)
26. M. Tsamparlis, Methods for deriving solutions in generalized theories of gravitation: the Einstein–Cartan theory. *Phys. Rev. D* **24**(6), 1451 (1981)
27. A.V. Minkevich, A.S. Garkun, Isotropic cosmology in metric-affine gauge theory of gravity (1998). arXiv preprint [arXiv:gr-qc/9805007](https://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9805007)
28. D. Iosifidis, C.G. Tsagas, A.C. Petkou, Raychaudhuri equation in spacetimes with torsion and nonmetricity. *Phys. Rev. D* **98**(10), 104037 (2018)
29. D. Iosifidis, C. Tsagas, Friedmann-like universes with non-metricity (2020) (**To be published**)
30. Y.N. Obukhov, E.J. Vlachynsky, W. Esser, F.W. Hehl, Irreducible decompositions in metric-affine gravity models (1997). arXiv preprint [arXiv:gr-qc/9705039](https://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9705039)
31. F.W. Hehl, E.A. Lord, Y. Ne'eman, Hypermomentum in hadron dynamics and in gravitation. *Phys. Rev. D* **17**(2), 428 (1978)
32. F.W. Hehl, Y.N. Obukhov, Is ahadronic'shear current one of the sources in metric-affine gravity? (1997) arXiv preprint [arXiv:gr-qc/9712089](https://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9712089)
33. Y. Ne'eman, F.W. Hehl, Test matter in a spacetime with nonmetricity. *Class. Quantum Gravity* **14**(1A), A251 (1997)
34. J.D. McCrea, Irreducible decompositions of nonmetricity, torsion, curvature and bianchi identities in metric-affine spacetimes. *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **9**(2), 553 (1992)
35. P. Baekler, N. Boulanger, F.W. Hehl, Linear connections with a propagating spin-3 field in gravity. *Phys. Rev. D* **74**(12), 125009 (2006)
36. Y.N. Obukhov, D. Puetzfeld, Conservation laws in gravitational theories with general nonminimal coupling. *Phys. Rev. D* **87**(8), 081502 (2013)
37. T. Koivisto, A note on covariant conservation of energy–momentum in modified gravities. *Class. Quantum Gravity* **23**(12), 4289 (2006)

38. V.N. Ponomarev, J. Obukhov, The generalized Einstein–Maxwell theory of gravitation. *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **14**(4), 309–330 (1982)
39. D. Iosifidis, A.C. Petkou, C.G. Tsagas, Torsion/nonmetricity duality in $f(R)$ gravity. *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **51**(5), 66 (2019)
40. D.S. Klemm, L. Ravera, Einstein manifolds with torsion and non-metricity. *Phys. Rev. D* **101**(4), 044011 (2020)
41. D. Iosifidis, Exactly solvable connections in metric-affine gravity. *Class. Quantum Gravity* **36**(8), 085001 (2019)
42. D. Iosifidis. Friedmann equations with torsion and non-metricity (2020) (**To be published**)
43. J.B. Jiménez, Ghosts in metric-affine higher order curvature gravity. *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79**(8), 656 (2019)
44. K. Aoki, K. Shimada, Scalar-metric-affine theories: can we get ghost-free theories from symmetry? *Phys. Rev. D* **100**(4), 044037 (2019)
45. R. Percacci, E. Sezgin, A new class of ghost and tachyon free metric affine gravities (2019). arXiv preprint [arXiv:1912.01023](https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.01023)
46. D. Iosifidis, Linear transformations on affine-connections. *Class. Quantum Gravity* (2020)
47. T. Ortín, *Gravity and Strings* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004)
48. K. Shimada, K. Aoki, K. Maeda, Metric-affine gravity and inflation. *Phys. Rev. D* **99**(10), 104020 (2019)