



# A general interior anisotropic solution for a BTZ vacuum in the context of the minimal geometric deformation decoupling approach

Ernesto Contreras<sup>1,a</sup>, Ángel Rincón<sup>2,b</sup>, Pedro Bargueño<sup>3,c</sup>

<sup>1</sup> School of Physical Sciences & Nanotechnology, Yachay Tech University, 100119 Urcuquí, Ecuador

<sup>2</sup> Instituto de Física, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Av. Vicuña Mackenna 4860, Santiago, Chile

<sup>3</sup> Departamento de Física, Universidad de los Andes, Apartado Aéreo 4976, Bogotá, Distrito Capital, Colombia

Received: 8 February 2019 / Accepted: 1 March 2019 / Published online: 9 March 2019  
© The Author(s) 2019

**Abstract** In this work we implement the Minimal Geometric Deformation decoupling method to obtain general static interior solutions for a BTZ vacuum from the most general isotropic solution in  $2 + 1$  dimensions including the cosmological constant  $\Lambda$ . We obtain that the general solution can be generated only by the energy density of the original isotropic sector, so that this quantity plays the role of a generating function. Although as a particular example we study the static star with constant density, the method here developed can be easily applied to more complex situations described by other energy density profiles.

## 1 Introduction

Obtaining new exact and interesting solutions of Einstein's field equations is a difficult task. In most of the cases, the modification of certain assumptions of well known solutions, such as spherical or circularly symmetry, staticity or isotropy, in order to deal with more realistic situations, leads to technical difficulties to solve the equations and the use of numerical methods is usually mandatory. However, the minimal geometric deformation (MGD) method [1–32, 36] has become in an economic and powerful tool to extend well known solutions of the Einstein field equations [16, 20, 22–26, 29, 32–35, 37]. For example, the method has allowed to induce local anisotropies in spherically symmetric systems leading to both more realistic interior solutions of compact objects [24, 25] and hairy black holes [23]. In all of these anisotropic exten-

sions, the matching conditions play an important role. To be more precise, depending on the nature of the exterior geometry surrounding the compact object, the matching conditions lead to particular constraints on the density or the pressure for the induced anisotropic solution.

Up to now, several contributions have been made in the context of anisotropic relativistic stars, for instance, the pioneering work of Bowers and Liang [39] who analysed the hydrostatic equilibrium for the case of local anisotropy obtaining a generalized equation. Since their work, anisotropic models have been extensively investigated studying the effect that local anisotropies have on the bulk properties of spherically symmetric (and static) general relativistic compact stars. Particular attention should be dedicated to the seminal works of Herrera et. al. about anisotropy in relativistic astrophysics. These works opened a new window in the study of anisotropic relativistic stars (see [40] and [41] and references therein). A more realistic description of the underlying physics requires to take into account a lot of non-trivial ingredients. One of the main uncertainties in the description of inner solutions relies on the choice of certain equation of state, but still in case we know the adequate form for it, given that the Einstein field equations are highly non-linear, obtaining the corresponding physical solution could demand a lot of effort. A simple way to bypass some technical issues is to solve the problem at lower dimensions reason why, in this work, we are interested in the study of the anisotropization of any perfect fluid solution in  $2 + 1$  dimensions with negative cosmological constant embedded in a BTZ vacuum.

This work is organized as follows. In the next section we briefly review the MGD-decoupling method in  $2 + 1$  dimensions with cosmological term. In Sect. 3 we study suitable matching conditions considering BTZ as the vacuum exte-

E. Contreras: On leave from Universidad Central de Venezuela.

<sup>a</sup> e-mail: econtreras@yachaytech.edu.ec

<sup>b</sup> e-mail: arrincon@uc.cl

<sup>c</sup> e-mail: p.bargueno@uniandes.edu.co

rior solution. Section 4 is devoted to obtain the anisotropic extension of a static perfect fluid with constant density which is finally matched to the BTZ vacuum. Some final comments and conclusions are left to the last section.

### 2 Einstein Equations in 2 + 1 space–time dimension with cosmological constant

In a recent work [37] we have considered the MGD-method in 2 + 1 dimensions with cosmological constant. In this section we briefly review the main results obtained in [37] but considering a negative cosmological constant  $\Lambda = -\ell^{-2}$ . Finally, we shall study the matching conditions of these solutions embedded in a BTZ vacuum.

Let us start by considering the Einstein field equations

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{\mu\nu} + \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{\ell^2} = \kappa^2 T_{\mu\nu}^{tot}, \tag{1}$$

assuming that the total energy-momentum tensor can be written as

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{(tot)} = T_{\mu\nu}^{(m)} + \theta_{\mu\nu}. \tag{2}$$

In the above expression,  $T_{\nu}^{\mu(m)} = \text{diag}(-\rho, p, p)$  stands for the energy–momentum tensor of a perfect fluid and  $\theta_{\nu}^{\mu} = \text{diag}(-\rho^{\theta}, p_r^{\theta}, p_{\perp}^{\theta})$  contain the information of the decoupler matter.

In this work we are interested in spherically symmetric space–times so that the line element is parametrized as

$$ds^2 = -e^{\nu} dt^2 + e^{\lambda} dr^2 + r^2 d\phi^2, \tag{3}$$

where  $\nu$  and  $\lambda$  are functions of the radial coordinate  $r$  only.

Replacing Eq. (3) in (1), we obtain

$$\kappa^2 \tilde{\rho} = \frac{1}{\ell^2} + \frac{e^{-\lambda}\lambda'}{2r} \tag{4}$$

$$\kappa^2 \tilde{p}_r = -\frac{1}{\ell^2} + \frac{e^{-\lambda}\nu'}{2r} \tag{5}$$

$$\kappa^2 \tilde{p}_{\perp} = -\frac{1}{\ell^2} + \frac{1}{4}e^{-\lambda}(-\lambda'\nu' + 2\nu'' + \nu'^2) \tag{6}$$

where the prime denotes derivation with respect to the radial coordinate and we have defined

$$\tilde{\rho} = \rho + \alpha\rho^{\theta} \tag{7}$$

$$\tilde{p}_r = p + \alpha p_r^{\theta} \tag{8}$$

$$\tilde{p}_{\perp} = p + \alpha p_{\perp}^{\theta}. \tag{9}$$

Following the MGD protocol, we introduce the minimal deformation

$$e^{-\lambda} = \mu + \alpha f, \tag{10}$$

in Eqs. (4), (5) and (6) to obtain two sets of differential equations: one set describing an isotropic system sourced by the

conserved energy–momentum tensor of a perfect fluid  $T_{\nu}^{\mu(m)}$

$$\kappa^2 \rho = \frac{1}{\ell^2} - \frac{\mu'}{2r} \tag{11}$$

$$\kappa^2 p = -\frac{1}{\ell^2} + \frac{\mu\nu'}{2r} \tag{12}$$

$$\kappa^2 p = -\frac{1}{\ell^2} + \frac{\mu'\nu' + \mu(2\nu'' + \nu'^2)}{4}, \tag{13}$$

and the other set corresponding to Einstein field equations sourced by  $\theta_{\mu\nu}$  given by<sup>1</sup>

$$\kappa^2 \rho^{\theta} = -\frac{f'}{2r} \tag{14}$$

$$\kappa^2 p_r^{\theta} = \frac{f\nu'}{2r} \tag{15}$$

$$\kappa^2 p_{\perp}^{\theta} = \frac{f'\nu' + f(2\nu'' + \nu'^2)}{4}, \tag{16}$$

In this work, our main goal is to extend a well known isotropic interior solution satisfying Eqs. (11), (12) and (13) to anisotropic domains using expressions (14), (15) and (16) and the minimal deformation given by Eq. (10). In order to do so, applying appropriate matching conditions is mandatory. The next section is devoted to the study of matching conditions assuming that the perfect fluid is embedded in a BTZ vacuum.

### 3 Matching condition

In the context of compact objects, the junction conditions play a crucial role because they reveal information about the underlying physics of the object. In particular, in 2 + 1 dimensional space–times, the boundary is a circumference and such an interface, *i. e.*  $r = R$ , limits both the inner and outer solutions. Thus, the complete solution is divided in two parts: i) the inner solution, which is obtained using the MGD approach, and ii) the outer solution, which is obtained from the Einstein field equations taking as the source the geometrical deformation,  $\theta_{\mu}^{\nu}$ . The inner solution is parametrized by the metric:

$$ds^2 = -e^{\nu^-} dt^2 + e^{\lambda^-} dr^2 + r^2 d\phi^2 \tag{17}$$

where the deformation is introduced as follows:

$$e^{\lambda^-} = \left(-\tilde{m}(r) + \left(\frac{r}{\ell}\right)^2\right)^{-1} \tag{18}$$

producing an effective mass according to

$$\tilde{m}(r) = m(r) - \alpha f(r). \tag{19}$$

<sup>1</sup> In what follows we shall assume  $\kappa^2 = 8\pi$ .

It is notable that  $m(r)$  is the usual inner mass and  $f(r)$  is found via the MGD approach. Therefore, we note that the inner mass suffers a deformation after introducing the MGD formalism. The outer solution is written as

$$ds^2 = -e^{v^+} dt^2 + e^{\lambda^+} dr^2 + r^2 d\phi^2 \tag{20}$$

and the corresponding outer functions are obtained solving the effective Einstein field equations when  $G_{\mu\nu} \equiv \theta_{\mu\nu}$ . In addition, the anisotropies  $\theta_{\mu\nu}$  are written in terms of the classical metric functions,  $\nu(r)$  and  $\lambda(r)$ , these functions corresponding to that of the BTZ black hole solution. Now, in order to connect both solutions, we use the continuity of the so-called first and second fundamental forms. On one hand, the first one, evaluated on the surface, is written as

$$\left[ ds^2 \right]_{\Sigma} = 0 \tag{21}$$

which produces two junction conditions:

$$e^{v^-} - e^{v^+} \Big|_{\Sigma} = 0, \tag{22}$$

$$e^{-\lambda^-} - e^{-\lambda^+} \Big|_{\Sigma} = 0. \tag{23}$$

Taking advantage of (23) we can define the black hole mass via the relation

$$-M + \left( \frac{R}{\ell} \right)^2 + \alpha f(R) = e^{\lambda^+(R)} \tag{24}$$

with  $\tilde{m}(R) \equiv M$  and  $f(R)$  the deformation at the star surface. On the other hand, the second fundamental form is:

$$\left[ G_{\mu\nu} r^\nu \right]_{\Sigma} = 0 \tag{25}$$

where  $r_\mu$  is a radial vector. The aforementioned restriction gives

$$\tilde{p}_r^- - \tilde{p}_r^+ \Big|_{\Sigma} = 0. \tag{26}$$

Finally, by using the definition of  $\theta_1^1$ , we obtain

$$p_R = \alpha \left[ -\frac{f_R}{8\pi} \frac{v'_R}{2R} + \frac{g_R}{8\pi} \frac{1}{\ell^2} \left( -\tilde{m} + \left( \frac{R}{\ell} \right)^2 \right)^{-1} \right] \tag{27}$$

where we have used the definitions

$$p_R \equiv p(R)^-, \quad v'_R \equiv v'(R)^-. \tag{28}$$

In addition, it should be noticed that  $g(r)$  is the geometric deformation for the outer BTZ solution given by the

anisotropic contribution  $\theta_{\mu\nu}$ , where the metric has the same structure of Eq. (18) under the replacement

$$e^\lambda = \left( -\tilde{m} + \left( \frac{r}{\ell} \right)^2 + \alpha g(r) \right)^{-1}. \tag{29}$$

Equations (22), (23) and (27) are the necessary and sufficient conditions for the matching of the interior MGD metric with the outer vacuum described by the deformed BTZ metric. It is important to point out that the 2+1 dimensional case has a common feature with the four dimensional counterpart: if the outer region is given by a not deformed BTZ solution (which implies  $g(r) = 0$ ) we obtain the simplest case

$$\tilde{p}_R \equiv p_R + \alpha \left( \frac{f_R}{8\pi} \frac{v'_R}{2R} \right) = 0. \tag{30}$$

Note that by Eq. (15),

$$\frac{f_R}{8\pi} \frac{v'_R}{2R} = p_r^\theta(R)^-. \tag{31}$$

In this sense, a sufficient condition to fulfill (30) is to consider the so called mimetic constrain of the pressure, namely

$$p = p_r^\theta. \tag{32}$$

In what follows we shall implement the mimetic constraint to extend the isotropic static star with constant density in a BTZ vacuum.

### 4 Static perfect fluid solutions with $\Lambda$

The line element of a static circularly symmetric 2+1 dimensional space-time parametrized as

$$ds^2 = -N^2 dt^2 + \frac{1}{G^2} dr^2 + r^2 d\phi^2 \tag{33}$$

corresponds to a perfect fluid solution of the Einstein field equations whenever [38]

$$G^2 = C - \Lambda r^2 - 16\pi \int^r r \rho(r) dr \tag{34}$$

$$N = n_0 + n_1 \int^r \frac{r}{G(r)} dr \tag{35}$$

where  $C$ ,  $n_0$  and  $n_1$  are integration constants and  $\rho$  stands for the energy density. From the Einstein's equations, the pressure can be written as

$$p = \frac{1}{8\pi N} (n_1 G + \Lambda N). \tag{36}$$

Note that Eqs. (33), (34), (35) and (36) correspond to the more general isotropic, static and circularly symmetric solution in 2+1 dimensions. It is worth mentioning that, after imposing

suitable matching conditions, the above solution could serve as an interior solution for a BTZ vacuum.

Our goal is to extend the above isotropic solution to anisotropic domains by implementing the MGD decoupling. In order to do so, we identify

$$v = 2 \log N = 2 \log \left( n_1 \int \frac{r}{G} dr + n_0 \right) \tag{37}$$

$$\mu = G^2 \tag{38}$$

where the pressure in Eq. (36) can be written as

$$p = -\frac{1}{8\pi\ell^2} + \frac{n_1}{8\pi(n_1 \int \frac{r}{G} dr + n_0)}. \tag{39}$$

In the previous expressions, the constants  $C$ ,  $n_0$  and  $n_1$  must be constrained in such a manner that the pressure vanishes at the surface of the star, namely,  $p(R) = 0$ . From now on, we shall focus our attention in the implementation of the MGD method. From Eq. (15), the mimetic constraint,  $p_r^\theta = p$  leads to

$$f = \frac{G}{\ell^2 n_1} \left( \ell^2 n_1 G - n_1 \int \frac{r}{G} dr - n_0 \right) \tag{40}$$

The decoupler condition,  $e^{-\lambda} = \mu + \alpha f$ , leads to

$$e^\lambda = \frac{\ell^2 n_1}{G \left( (\alpha + 1)\ell^2 n_1 G - \alpha \left( n_1 \int \frac{r}{G(r)} dr + n_0 \right) \right)}. \tag{41}$$

Now, replacing Eq. (51) in Eqs. (4), (5) and (6) we obtain

$$\tilde{\rho} = \frac{\alpha G' \left( n_1 \int \frac{r}{G} dr + n_0 \right) - 2(\alpha + 1)\ell^2 n_1 G' G}{16\pi\ell^2 n_1 r} + \frac{(\alpha + 2)r}{16\pi\ell^2 r} \tag{42}$$

$$\tilde{p}_r = \frac{(\alpha + 1)}{8\pi} \left( -\frac{1}{\ell^2} + \frac{n_1 G}{n_1 \int \frac{r}{G} dr + n_0} \right) \tag{43}$$

$$\tilde{p}_\perp = -\frac{(\alpha + 1)(-L^2 n_1 G + n_1 \int \frac{r}{G} dr + n_0)}{8\pi L^2 \left( n_1 \int \frac{r}{G} dr + n_0 \right)} + \frac{\alpha r G'}{16\pi L^2 G} - \frac{\alpha n_1 r^2}{16\pi L^2 G \left( n_1 \int \frac{r}{G} dr + n_0 \right)} \tag{44}$$

It is worth noticing that, on one hand, the system described by Eqs. (37), (41) and the matter content given by Eqs. (42), (43) and (44) corresponds to a general anisotropic solution in 2+1 dimensions with cosmological term. On the other hand, given the dependence of  $G$  with the density (see Eq. (34)), the solution has only one generating function  $\rho$  in the sense that, given any suitable anisotropic solution, the corresponding anisotropic system can be generated by Eqs. (34), (37), (41), (42), (43) and (44).

As a particular example we shall consider a static star with constant density  $\rho_0$  [38] which corresponds to

$$e^v = \left( n_0 - \frac{n_1 \sqrt{c_1 + r^2 \left( \frac{1}{\ell^2} - 8\pi\rho_0 \right)}}{8\pi\rho_0 - \frac{1}{\ell^2}} \right)^2 \tag{45}$$

$$\mu = c_1 + r^2 \left( \frac{1}{\ell^2} - 8\pi\rho_0 \right), \tag{46}$$

with the pressure given by

$$p = \frac{8\pi\ell^4 n_1 \rho_0 \sqrt{c_1 + r^2 \left( \frac{1}{\ell^2} - 8\pi\rho_0 \right)} + n_0 (1 - 8\pi\ell^2 \rho_0)}{8\pi\ell^2 n_0 (8\pi\ell^2 \rho_0 - 1) - 8\pi\ell^4 n_1 \sqrt{c_1 + r^2 \left( \frac{1}{\ell^2} - 8\pi\rho_0 \right)}}. \tag{47}$$

As previously stated, the constants  $c_1$ ,  $n_0$  and  $n_1$  must be constrained such that  $p(R) = 0$ . Thus, re-writing  $n_0$  in terms of the other parameters we finally have:

$$n_0 = -\frac{8\pi\rho_0}{\Lambda(\Lambda + 8\pi\rho_0)} n_1 \sqrt{c_1 - (\Lambda + 8\pi\rho_0)R^2} \tag{48}$$

or, equivalently,

$$n_0 = \frac{8\pi\rho_0\ell^4}{8\pi\rho_0\ell^2 - 1} n_1 \sqrt{c_1 + \left( \frac{1}{\ell^2} - 8\pi\rho_0 \right) R^2}. \tag{49}$$

From Eq. (40), the decoupling function reads

$$f = -8\pi\rho_0 r^2 + c_1 \left( \frac{1}{8\pi\ell^2 \rho_0 - 1} + 1 \right) - \frac{n_0 \sqrt{c_1 + r^2 \left( \frac{1}{\ell^2} - 8\pi\rho_0 \right)}}{\ell^2 n_1} \tag{50}$$

Now, using (41) we obtain

$$\lambda = \log \left( \frac{\ell^2 n_1 (1 - 8\pi\ell^2 \rho_0)}{D_\lambda} \right) \tag{51}$$

where

$$D_\lambda = -n_1 c_1 \ell^2 + \alpha n_0 \left( 8\pi\ell^2 \rho_0 - 1 \right) \sqrt{c_1 + r^2 \left( \frac{1}{\ell^2} - 8\pi\rho_0 \right)} + n_1 \left( 8\pi(\alpha + 1)\ell^2 \rho_0 - 1 \right) \left( r^2 \left( 8\pi\ell^2 \rho_0 - 1 \right) \right) \tag{52}$$

Finally, replacing Eq. (51) in Eqs. (42), (43) and (44) we obtain

$$\tilde{\rho} = (\alpha + 1)\rho_0 + \frac{n_0(\alpha - 8\pi\alpha\ell^2\rho_0)}{16\pi\ell^4n_1\sqrt{c_1 + r^2\left(\frac{1}{\ell^2} - 8\pi\rho_0\right)}} \quad (53)$$

$$\tilde{p}_r = \frac{8\pi(\alpha + 1)\ell^4n_1\rho_0\sqrt{c_1 + r^2\left(\frac{1}{\ell^2} - 8\pi\rho_0\right)}}{D_{pr}} + \frac{(\alpha + 1)n_0(1 - 8\pi\ell^2\rho_0)}{D_{pr}} \quad (54)$$

$$\tilde{p}_\perp = -\frac{(\alpha + 1)\ell^2n_1\rho_0\sqrt{c_1 + r^2\left(\frac{1}{\ell^2} - 8\pi\rho_0\right)}}{\ell^2n_1\sqrt{c_1 + r^2\left(\frac{1}{\ell^2} - 8\pi\rho_0\right)} + n_0(1 - 8\pi\ell^2\rho_0)} + \frac{(\alpha + 2)n_0r^2(8\pi\ell^2\rho_0 - 1)(8\pi\ell^2\rho_0 - 1)}{D_{p\perp}} - \frac{2(\alpha + 1)c_1\ell^2n_0(8\pi\ell^2\rho_0 - 1)}{D_{p\perp}} \quad (55)$$

where

$$D_{pr} = -8\pi\ell^4n_1\sqrt{c_1 + r^2\left(\frac{1}{\ell^2} - 8\pi\rho_0\right)} + 8\pi\ell^2n_0(8\pi\ell^2\rho_0 - 1) \quad (56)$$

$$D_{p\perp} = 16\pi\ell^2\left(\ell^2n_1\sqrt{c_1 + r^2\left(\frac{1}{\ell^2} - 8\pi\rho_0\right)} + n_0(1 - 8\pi\ell^2\rho_0)\right)\left(r^2(8\pi\ell^2\rho_0 - 1) - c_1\ell^2\right) \quad (57)$$

At this point we would like to emphasize that the protocol here employed is suitable not only for the constant density case, but for any other energy density profile. In this sense, the computations here employed pave the way for the study of more complicated (and surely interesting) situations.

### 5 Conclusions

In this work we obtained a general anisotropic solution in 2 + 1 dimensions which models a star embedded in a BTZ vacuum. The starting point was to consider the most general solution in 2 + 1 dimensions with cosmological constant, particularly those solutions with negative  $\Lambda$ . Then, after applying the Minimal Geometric Deformation method and suitable matching conditions, we showed that a consistent anisotropic interior solution can be achieved by implementing the so called mimetic constraint of the pressure, namely,

the radial pressure of the anisotropic sector is taken as the pressure of the isotropic solution. The final result is that the general anisotropic solution depends on the energy density of the perfect fluid only, so that this function plays the role of a generating function. It is worth mentioning that the method here employed can be considered as a well-behaved protocol that can be used to construct anisotropic solutions in 2 + 1 dimensions. Specifically, given a suitable energy density associated to an isotropic solution, the calculation of the quantities describing the anisotropic solution turns to be straightforward by employing the approach here presented.

**Acknowledgements** The author A. R. was supported by the CONICYT-PCHA/Doctorado Nacional/2015-21151658. The author P. B. was supported by the Faculty of Science and Vicerrectoría de Investigaciones of Universidad de los Andes, Bogotá, Colombia.

**Data Availability Statement** This manuscript has no associated data or the data will not be deposited. [Authors' comment: This is a theoretical work and we do not need to deposit any data.]

**Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. Funded by SCOAP<sup>3</sup>.

### References

1. I. Antoniadis, Phys. Lett. B **246**, 377 (1990)
2. I. Antoniadis, N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos, G. Dvali, Phys. Lett. B **436**, 257 (1998)
3. J. Ovalle, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **23**, 3247 (2008)
4. J. Ovalle, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **18**, 837 (2009)
5. J. Ovalle, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **25**, 3323 (2010)
6. R. Casadio, J. Ovalle, Phys. Lett. B **715**, 251 (2012)
7. J. Ovalle, F. Linares, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 104026 (2013)
8. J. Ovalle, F. Linares, A. Pasqua, A. Sotomayor, Class. Quantum Grav. **30**, 175019 (2013)
9. R. Casadio, J. Ovalle, R. da Rocha, Class. Quantum Grav. **31**, 045015 (2014)
10. R. Casadio, J. Ovalle, Class. Quantum Grav. **32**, 215020 (2015)
11. J. Ovalle, L.A. Gergely, R. Casadio, Class. Quantum Grav. **32**, 045015 (2015)
12. R. Casadio, J. Ovalle, R. da Rocha, EPL **110**, 40003 (2015)
13. J. Ovalle, Int. J. Mod. Phys. Conf. Ser. **41**, 1660132 (2016)
14. R.T. Cavalcanti, A. Goncalves da Silva, R. da Rocha, Class. Quantum Grav. **33**, 215007 (2016)
15. R. Casadio, R. da Rocha, Phys. Lett. B **763**, 434 (2016)
16. J. Ovalle, Phys. Rev. D **95**, 104019 (2017)
17. R. da Rocha, Phys. Rev. D **95**, 124017 (2017)
18. R. da Rocha, Eur. Phys. J. C **77**, 355 (2017)
19. R. Casadio, P. Nicolini, R. da Rocha, Class. Quantum Grav. **35**, 185001 (2018)
20. J. Ovalle, R. Casadio, R. da Rocha, A. Sotomayor, Eur. Phys. J. C **78**, 122 (2018)
21. J. Ovalle, R. Casadio, R. da Rocha, A. Sotomayor, Z. Stuchlik, EPL **124**, 20004 (2018)
22. M. Estrada, F. Tello-Ortiz, Eur. Phys. J. Plus **133**, 453 (2018)

23. J. Ovalle, R. Casadio, R. da Rocha, A. Sotomayor, Z. Stuchlik, Eur. Phys. J. C **78**, 960 (2018)
24. C. Las Heras, P. Leon, Fortschr. Phys. **66**, 1800036 (2018)
25. L. Gabbanelli, A. Rincón, C. Rubio, Eur. Phys. J. C **78**, 370 (2018)
26. M. Sharif, Sobia Sadiq, Eur. Phys. J. C **78**, 410 (2018)
27. A. Fernandes-Silva, A.J. Ferreira-Martins, R. da Rocha, Eur. Phys. J. C **78**, 631 (2018)
28. A. Fernandes-Silva, R. da Rocha, Eur. Phys. J. C **78**, 271 (2018)
29. E. Contreras, P. Bargueño, Eur. Phys. J. C **78**, 558 (2018)
30. E. Morales, F. Tello-Ortiz, Eur. Phys. J. C **78**, 841 (2018)
31. E. Morales, F. Tello-Ortiz, Eur. Phys. J. C **78**, 618 (2018)
32. E. Contreras, Eur. Phys. J. C **78**, 678 (2018)
33. G. Panotopoulos, Á. Rincón, Eur. Phys. J. C **78**, 851 (2018)
34. J. Ovalle, Phys. Lett. B **788**, 213 (2019)
35. E. Contreras, P. Bargueño, Eur. Phys. J. C **78**, 985 (2018)
36. M. Estrada, R. Prado. [arXiv:1809.03591](https://arxiv.org/abs/1809.03591)
37. E. Contreras. [arXiv:1901.00231](https://arxiv.org/abs/1901.00231)
38. A. García-Díaz, *Exact solutions in three dimensional gravity* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2017)
39. R.L. Bowers, E.P.T. Liang, Astrophys. J. **188**, 657 (1974)
40. M. Cosenza, L. Herrera, M. Esculpi, L. Witten, Some models of anisotropic spheres in general relativity. J. Math. Phys. **22**(1), 118–125 (1981)
41. M. Cosenza, L. Herrera, M. Esculpi, L. Witten, Evolution of radiating anisotropic spheres in general relativity. Phys. Rev. D **25**(10), 2527 (1982)