Regular Article - Theoretical Physics

The extended BLMSSM with a 125 GeV Higgs boson and dark matter

Shu-Min Zhao^{1,a}, Tai-Fu Feng^{1,b}, Guo-Zhu Ning^{1,c}, Jian-Bin Chen^{2,d}, Hai-Bin Zhang^{1,e}, Xing Xing Dong¹

¹ Department of Physics, Hebei University, Baoding 071002, China

² College of Physics and Optoelectronic Engineering, Taiyuan University of Technology, Taiyuan 030024, China

Received: 1 December 2017 / Accepted: 19 March 2018 / Published online: 21 April 2018 © The Author(s) 2018

Abstract To extend the BLMSSM, we not only add exotic Higgs superfields $(\Phi_{NL}, \varphi_{NL})$ to make the exotic lepton heavy, but also introduce the superfields (Y,Y') having couplings with lepton and exotic lepton at tree level. The obtained model is called as EBLMSSM, which has difference from BLMSSM especially for the exotic slepton (lepton) and exotic sneutrino (neutrino). We deduce the mass matrices and the needed couplings in this model. To confine the parameter space, the Higgs boson mass m_{h^0} and the processes $h^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$, $h^0 \rightarrow VV$, V = (Z, W) are studied in the EBLMSSM. With the assumed parameter space, we obtain reasonable numerical results according to data on Higgs from ATLAS and CMS. As a cold dark mater candidate, the relic density for the lightest mass eigenstate of Y and Y' mixing is also studied.

1 Introduction

The total lepton number (L) and baryon number (B) are good symmetries because neutrinoless double beta decay or proton decay has not been observed. In the standard model (SM), L and B are global symmetries [1,2]. However, the individual lepton numbers $L_i = L_e$, L_{μ} , L_{τ} are not exact symmetries at the electroweak scale because of the neutrino oscillation and the neutrinos with tiny masses [3,4]. In the Universe, there is matter-antimatter asymmetry, then the baryon number must be broken.

With the detection of the light Higgs $h^0(m_h^0 = 125.1 \text{ GeV})$ [5,6], the SM succeeds greatly and the Higgs mechanism is compellent. Beyond the SM, supersymmetry [7,8] provides a possibility to understand the light Higgs. The minimal supersymmetric extension of the SM (MSSM) [9] is one of the favorite models, where the light Higgs mass at tree level is $m_h^{tree} = m_Z |\cos 2\beta|$ [10–12]. The one loop corrections to Higgs mass mainly come from fermions and sfermions, that depend on the virtual particle masses and the couplings with the Higgs.

THE EUROPEAN

PHYSICAL JOURNAL C

There are many papers about the gauged B and L models, although most of them are non-supersymmetric [13, 14]. Extending MSSM with the local gauged B and L, one obtains the so called BLMSSM, which was proposed by the authors in Refs. [10-12]. The proton remains stable, as B and L are broken at the TeV scale. Therefore, a large desert between the electroweak scale and grand unified scale is not necessary. In BLMSSM, the baryon number is changed by one unit, at the same time the lepton number is broken in an even number. R-parity in BLMSSM is not conserved, and it can explain the matter-antimatter asymmetry in the Universe. There are some works for Higgs and dark matters [15-17] in the BLMSSM [18, 19]. In the framework of BLMSSM, the light Higgs mass and the decays $h^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ and $h^0 \rightarrow VV, V = (Z, W)$ are studied in our previous work [19]. Some lepton flavor violating processes and CP-violating processes are researched with the new parameters in BLMSSM [20,21].

In BLMSSM, the exotic leptons are not heavy, because their masses just have relation with the parameters $Y_{e_4}v_d$, $Y_{e_5}v_u$. Here v_u and v_d are the vacuum expectation values (VEVs) of two Higgs doublets H_u and H_d . In general, the Yukawa couplings Y_{e_4} and Y_{e_5} are not large parameters, so the exotic lepton masses are around 100 GeV. The light exotic leptons may lead to that the BLMSSM is excluded by high energy physics experiments in the future. To obtain heavy exotic leptons, we add two exotic Higgs superfields to the BLMSSM, and they are SU(2) singlets Φ_{NL} and φ_{NL} , whose VEVs are v_{NL} and \bar{v}_{NL} [22]. The exotic leptons and the superfields Φ_{NL} , φ_{NL} have Yukawa couplings, then v_{NL} and \bar{v}_{NL} give contributions to the diagonal elements

^a e-mail: zhaosm@hbu.edu.cn

^be-mail: fengtf@hbu.edu.cn

^c e-mail: ninggz@hbu.edu.cn

^de-mail: chenjianbin@tyut.edu.cn

^e e-mail: hbzhang@hbu.edu.cn

of the exotic lepton mass matrix. So the exotic leptons turn heavy and should be unstable. In the end, the super fields Y and Y' are also introduced. At tree level, there are couplings for lepton-exotic lepton-Y(Y'). It is appealing that this extension of BLMSSM produces some new cold dark matter candidates, such as the lightest mass eigenstate of Yand Y' mixing. The four-component spinor \tilde{Y} is made up of the superpartners of Y and Y'. In this extended BLMSSM (EBLMSSM), we study the lightest CP even Higgs mass with the one loop corrections. The Higgs decays $h^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ and $h^0 \rightarrow VV$, V = (Z, W) are also calculated here. Supposing the lightest mass eigenstate of Y and Y' mixing as a cold dark matter candidate, we study the relic density.

After this introduction, in Sect. 2, we introduce the EBLMSSM in detail, including the mass matrices and the couplings different from those in the BLMSSM. The mass of the lightest CP-even Higgs h^0 is deduced in the Sect. 3. The Sect. 4 is used to give the formulation of the Higgs decays $h^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$, $h^0 \rightarrow VV$, V = (Z, W) and dark matter relic density. The corresponding numerical results are computed in Sect. 5. The last section is used for the discussion and conclusion.

2 Extend the BLMSSM

The local gauge group of the BLMSSM [10-12] is $SU(3)_C \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y \otimes U(1)_B \otimes U(1)_L$. In the BLMSSM, the exotic lepton masses are obtained from the Yukawa couplings with the two Higgs doublets H_u and H_d . The VEVs of H_u and H_d are v_u and v_d with the relation $\sqrt{v_u^2 + v_d^2} = v \sim 250$ GeV. Therefore, the exotic lepton masses are not very heavy, though they can satisfy the experiment bounds at present. In the future, with the development of high energy experiments, the experiment bounds for the exotic lepton masses can improve in a great possibility. Therefore, we introduce the exotic Higgs superfields Φ_{NL} and φ_{NL} with nonzero VEVs to make the exotic lepton heavy. The heavy exotic leptons should be unstable, then the superfields Y, Y' are introduced accordingly. These introduced superfields lead to tree level couplings for lepton-exotic lepton-Y(Y').

In EBLMSSM, we show the superfields in the Table 1. The superpotential of EBLMSSM is shown here

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_{EBLMSSM} &= \mathcal{W}_{MSSM} + \mathcal{W}_{B} + \mathcal{W}_{L} + \mathcal{W}_{X} + \mathcal{W}_{Y}, \\ \mathcal{W}_{L} &= \lambda_{L} \hat{L}_{4} \hat{L}_{5}^{c} \hat{\varphi}_{NL} + \lambda_{E} \hat{E}_{4}^{c} \hat{E}_{5} \hat{\Phi}_{NL} \\ &+ \lambda_{NL} \hat{N}_{4}^{c} \hat{N}_{5} \hat{\Phi}_{NL} + \mu_{NL} \hat{\Phi}_{NL} \hat{\varphi}_{NL} \\ &+ Y_{e_{4}} \hat{L}_{4} \hat{H}_{d} \hat{E}_{4}^{c} + Y_{\nu_{4}} \hat{L}_{4} \hat{H}_{u} \hat{N}_{4}^{c} \\ &+ Y_{e_{5}} \hat{L}_{5}^{c} \hat{H}_{u} \hat{E}_{5} + Y_{\nu_{5}} \hat{L}_{5}^{c} \hat{H}_{d} \hat{N}_{5} \\ &+ Y_{\nu} \hat{L} \hat{H}_{u} \hat{N}^{c} + \lambda_{N^{c}} \hat{N}^{c} \hat{\gamma}_{L} + \mu_{L} \hat{\Phi}_{L} \hat{\varphi}_{L}, \\ \end{aligned}$$

 \mathcal{W}_{MSSM} is the superpotential of MSSM. \mathcal{W}_B and \mathcal{W}_X are same as the terms in BLMSSM [19]. W_Y includes the terms beyond BLMSSM, and they include the couplings of leptonexotic lepton- $Y(l^{I} - L' - Y)$. Therefore, the heavy exotic leptons can decay to leptons and mass eigenstates of Y and Y' mixing whose lighter one can be a dark matter candidate. From W_Y , one can also obtain the coupling of lepton-exotic slepton- \tilde{Y} $(l^{I} - \tilde{L}' - \tilde{Y})$, where \tilde{Y} is the four component spinor composed by the superpartners of Y and Y'. The new couplings of $l^{I} - L' - Y$ and $l^{I} - \tilde{L}' - \tilde{Y}$ can give one loop corrections to lepton anormal magnetic dipole moment (MDM). They may compensate the deviation between the experiment value and SM prediction for muon MDM. The parameter μ_Y can be complex number with non-zero imaginary part, which is a new source of CP-violating. Therefore, the both new couplings produce one loop diagrams contributing to the lepton electric dipole moment (EDM). Further more, if λ_4 in $\lambda_4 L L_5^c Y$ is a matrix and has non-zero elements relating with lepton flavor, this term can enhance the lepton flavor violating effects. In the whole, W_Y enriches the lepton physics to a certain degree, and these subjects will be researched in our latter works.

Because of the introduction of the superfields Φ_{NL} , φ_{NL} , *Y* and *Y'*, the soft breaking terms are written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{soft}^{EBLMSSM} = \mathcal{L}_{soft}^{BLMSSM} - m_{\Phi_{NL}}^2 \Phi_{NL}^* \Phi_{NL} + \frac{1}{2} \Phi_{NL} \Phi_{NL} + \frac{1}{2} \Phi_{NL} \Phi_{NL} + \frac{1}{2} \Phi_{N$$

Here $\mathcal{L}_{soft}^{BLMSSM}$ is the soft breaking terms of BLMSSM, whose concrete form is in our previous work [19]. The $SU(2)_L$ doublets H_u , H_d acquire the nonzero VEVs v_u , v_d . The $SU(2)_L$ singlets Φ_B , φ_B , Φ_L , φ_L , Φ_{NL} , φ_{NL} obtain the nonzero VEVs v_B , \overline{v}_B , v_L , \overline{v}_L , v_{NL} , \overline{v}_{NL} respectively.

$$H_{u} = \begin{pmatrix} H_{u}^{+} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\upsilon_{u} + H_{u}^{0} + i P_{u}^{0} \right) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$H_{d} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\upsilon_{d} + H_{d}^{0} + i P_{d}^{0} \right) \\ H_{d}^{-} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\Phi_{B} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\upsilon_{B} + \Phi_{B}^{0} + i P_{B}^{0} \right),$$

$$\varphi_{B} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\overline{\upsilon}_{B} + \varphi_{B}^{0} + i \overline{P}_{B}^{0} \right),$$

Table 1The super fields in theextended BLMSSM(EBLMSSM)

Superfields	$SU(3)_C$	$SU(2)_L$	$U(1)_Y$	$U(1)_B$	$U(1)_L$
\hat{Q}_i	3	2	1/6	1/3	0
\hat{u}_i^c	3	1	- 2/3	-1/3	0
\hat{d}_i^c	3	1	1/3	-1/3	0
\hat{L}_i	1	2	-1/2	0	1
\hat{e}_i^c	1	1	1	0	-1
\hat{N}_{i}^{c}	1	1	0	0	-1
\hat{Q}_4	3	2	1/6	B_4	0
\hat{U}_4^c	3	1	-2/3	$-B_4$	0
\hat{D}_4^c	3	1	1/3	$-B_4$	0
\hat{Q}_5^c	3	2	- 1/6	$-(1+B_4)$	0
\hat{U}_5	3	1	2/3	$1 + B_4$	0
\hat{D}_5	3	1	-1/3	$1 + B_4$	0
\hat{L}_4	1	2	-1/2	0	L_4
\hat{E}_{4}^{c}	1	1	1	0	$-L_{4}$
\hat{N}_4^c	1	1	0	0	$-L_{4}$
\hat{L}_{5}^{c}	1	2	1/2	0	$-(3 + L_4)$
\hat{E}_5	1	1	-1	0	$3 + L_4$
\hat{N}_5	1	1	0	0	$3 + L_4$
\hat{H}_u	1	2	1/2	0	0
\hat{H}_d	1	2	-1/2	0	0
$\hat{\Phi}_B$	1	1	0	1	0
$\hat{\varphi}_B$	1	1	0	- 1	0
$\hat{\Phi}_L$	1	1	0	0	-2
$\hat{\varphi}_L$	1	1	0	0	2
$\hat{\Phi}_{NL}$	1	1	0	0	-3
$\hat{\varphi}_{NL}$	1	1	0	0	3
Â	1	1	0	$2/3 + B_4$	0
$\hat{X'}$	1	1	0	$-(2/3 + B_4)$	0
Y	1	1	0	0	$2 + L_4$
Y'	1	1	0	0	$-(2+L_4)$

$$\Phi_{L} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Big(\upsilon_{L} + \Phi_{L}^{0} + i P_{L}^{0} \Big),$$

$$\varphi_{L} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Big(\overline{\upsilon}_{L} + \varphi_{L}^{0} + i \overline{P}_{L}^{0} \Big),$$

$$\Phi_{NL} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Big(\upsilon_{NL} + \Phi_{NL}^{0} + i P_{NL}^{0} \Big),$$

$$\varphi_{NL} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Big(\overline{\upsilon}_{NL} + \varphi_{NL}^{0} + i \overline{P}_{NL}^{0} \Big).$$
(3)

Here, we define $\tan \beta = v_u/v_d$, $\tan \beta_B = \bar{v}_B/v_B$, $\tan \beta_L = \bar{v}_L/v_L$ and $\tan \beta_{NL} = \bar{v}_{NL}/v_{NL}$. The VEVs of the Higgs satisfy the following equations

$$|\mu|^2 - \frac{g_1^2 + g_2^2}{8} (\upsilon_u^2 - \upsilon_d^2) + m_{H_d}^2 + Re[B\mu] \tan \beta = 0,$$
(4)

$$|\mu|^{2} + \frac{g_{1}^{2} + g_{2}^{2}}{8}(\upsilon_{u}^{2} - \upsilon_{d}^{2}) + m_{H_{u}}^{2} + Re[B\mu]\cot\beta = 0,$$
(5)

$$|\mu_B|^2 + \frac{g_B^2}{2}(v_B^2 - \bar{v}_B^2) + m_{\Phi_B}^2 - Re[B_B\mu_B] \tan \beta_B = 0,$$
(6)

$$|\mu_B|^2 - \frac{g_B^2}{2}(\upsilon_B^2 - \bar{\upsilon}_B^2) + m_{\varphi_B}^2 - Re[B_B\mu_B] \cot \beta_B = 0,$$
(7)

$$|\mu_L|^2 - 2g_L^2 V_L^2 + m_{\Phi_L}^2 - Re[B_L \mu_L] \tan \beta_L = 0, \qquad (8)$$

$$|\mu_L|^2 + 2g_L^2 V_L^2 + m_{\varphi_L}^2 - Re[B_L \mu_L] \cot \beta_L = 0, \qquad (9)$$

$$|\mu_{NL}|^2 - 3g_L^2 V_L^2 + m_{\Phi_{NL}}^2 - Re[B_{NL}\mu_{NL}] \tan \beta_{NL} = 0,$$
(10)

$$|\mu_{NL}|^2 + 3g_L^2 V_L^2 + m_{\varphi_{NL}}^2 - Re[B_{NL}\mu_{NL}] \cot \beta_{NL} = 0,$$
(11)

with $V_L^2 = \overline{\upsilon}_L^2 - \upsilon_L^2 + \frac{3}{2}(\overline{\upsilon}_{NL}^2 - \upsilon_{NL}^2)$. Here, the Eqs. (8) and (9) are similar as the corresponding equations in BLMSSM, but Eqs. (8) and (9) have relation with the new parameters υ_{NL} and $\overline{\upsilon}_{NL}$. We obtain the new Eqs. (10) and (11) through $\frac{\partial V}{\partial \Phi_{NL}}$ and $\frac{\partial V}{\partial \varphi_{NL}}$, with V denoting the Higgs scalar potential.

Here we deduce the mass matrices in the EBLMSSM. Compared with BLMSSM, the superfields Φ_{NL} and φ_{NL} are introduced and they give corrections to the mass matrices of the slepton, sneutrino, exotic lepton, exotic neutrino, exotic slepton and exotic sneutrino. That is to say, in EBLMSSM, the mass matrices of squark, exotic quark, exotic squark, baryon neutralino, MSSM neutralino, X and \tilde{X} are same as those in the BLMSSM, and their concrete forms can be found in our previous works [23–25]. Though the mass squared matrices of slepton and sneutrino in EBLMSSM are different from those in BLMSSM, we can obtain the slepton and sneutrino mass squared matrices in EBLMSSM easily just using the replacement $\overline{v}_L^2 - v_L^2 \rightarrow V_L^2$ for the BLMSSM results.

In the BLMSSM, the issue of Landau pole has been discussed in detail by the authors of Refs. [10–12]. Their conclusion is that there are no Landau poles at the low scale due to the new families. In EBLMSSM, the parts of quark (squark), exotic quark (exotic squark) are same as those in BLMSSM. Therefore, the Landau pole conditions for the Yukawa couplings of quark (squark), exotic quark (exotic squark) have same behaviors of BLMSSM. The added superfields ($\Phi_{NL}, \varphi_{NL}, Y, Y'$) do not have couplings with the gauge fields of $SU(3)_C$, $SU(2)_L$, $U(1)_Y$ and $U(1)_B$. So the characters of gauge couplings g_1, g_2, g_3 and g_B in BLMSSM and EBLMSSM are same.

The different parts between BLMSSM and EBLMSSM are the terms including Φ_{NL} , φ_{NL} , Y and Y'. The new terms in the superpotential \mathcal{W}_L are $\lambda_L \hat{L}_4 \hat{L}_5^c \hat{\varphi}_{NL} + \lambda_E \hat{E}_4^c \hat{E}_5 \hat{\Phi}_{NL} +$ $\lambda_{NL} \hat{N}_4^c \hat{N}_5 \hat{\Phi}_{NL} + \mu_{NL} \hat{\Phi}_{NL} \hat{\varphi}_{NL}$ and they have corresponding relations with $\lambda_Q \hat{Q}_4 \hat{Q}_5^c \hat{\Phi}_B + \lambda_U \hat{U}_4^c \hat{U}_5 \hat{\varphi}_B + \lambda_D \hat{D}_4^c \hat{D}_5 \hat{\varphi}_B +$ $\mu_B \hat{\Phi}_B \hat{\varphi}_B$ in \mathcal{W}_B by the replacements $\hat{L}_4 \leftrightarrow \hat{Q}_4, \hat{L}_5^c \leftrightarrow$ $\hat{Q}_{5}^{c}, \hat{E}_{4}^{c} \leftrightarrow \hat{U}_{4}^{c}, \hat{E}_{5} \leftrightarrow \hat{U}_{5}, \hat{N}_{4}^{c} \leftrightarrow \hat{D}_{4}^{c}, \hat{N}_{5} \leftrightarrow \hat{D}_{5}, \hat{\Phi}_{NL} \leftrightarrow$ $\hat{\varphi}_B, \hat{\varphi}_{NL} \leftrightarrow \hat{\Phi}_B$. The corresponding relations for $\mathcal{W}_Y =$ $\lambda_4 \hat{L} \hat{L}_5^c \hat{Y} + \lambda_5 \hat{N}^c \hat{N}_5 \hat{Y}' + \lambda_6 \hat{E}^c \hat{E}_5 \hat{Y}' + \mu_Y \hat{Y} \hat{Y}'$ and $\mathcal{W}_X =$ $\lambda_1 \hat{Q} \hat{Q}_5^c \hat{X} + \lambda_2 \hat{U}^c \hat{U}_5 \hat{X}' + \lambda_3 \hat{D}^c \hat{D}_5 \hat{X}' + \mu_X \hat{X} \hat{X}'$ are obvious with $\hat{L} \leftrightarrow \hat{Q}, \hat{L}_5^c \leftrightarrow \hat{Q}_5^c, \hat{E}^c \leftrightarrow \hat{U}^c, \hat{E}_5 \leftrightarrow \hat{U}_5, \hat{N}^c \leftrightarrow$ $\hat{D}^c, \hat{N}_5 \leftrightarrow \hat{D}_5, \hat{X} \leftrightarrow \hat{Y}, \hat{X}' \leftrightarrow \hat{Y}'$. From this analysis, the Landau pole conditions of gauge coupling g_L and Yukawa couplings of exotic leptons should possess similar peculiarities of gauge coupling g_B and Yukawa couplings of exotic quarks. In conclusion, similar as BLMSSM, there are no Landau poles in EBLMSSM at the low scale because of the new families. The concrete study of Landau poles for the couplings should use renormalization group equation which is tedious, and we shall research this issue in our future work.

2.1 The mass matrices of exotic lepton (slepton) and exotic neutrino (sneutrino) in EBLMSSM

In BLMSSM, the exotic lepton masses are not heavy, because they obtain masses only from H_u and H_d . The VEVs of Φ_{NL} and φ_{NL} are υ_{NL} and $\overline{\upsilon}_{NL}$, that can be large parameters. So, the EBLMSSM exotic leptons are heavier than those in BLMSSM.

The mass matrix for the exotic leptons reads as

$$-\mathcal{L}_{e'}^{mass} = \left(\bar{e}'_{4R}, \, \bar{e}'_{5R}\right) \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda_L \overline{\upsilon}_{NL}, \, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} Y_{e_5} \upsilon_u \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} Y_{e_4} \upsilon_d, \, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda_E \upsilon_{NL} \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} e'_{4L} \\ e'_{5L} \end{pmatrix} + h.c.$$
(12)

Obviously, $\overline{\upsilon}_{NL}$ and υ_{NL} are the diagonal elements of the mass matrix in the Eq. (12). It is easy to obtain heavy exotic lepton masses with large $\overline{\upsilon}_{NL}$ and υ_{NL} . If we take $\overline{\upsilon}_{NL}$ and υ_{NL} as zero, the mass matrix is same as that in BLMSSM. In fact, our used values of $\overline{\upsilon}_{NL}$ and υ_{NL} are at TeV order, which produce TeV scale exotic leptons. Heavy exotic leptons have strong adaptive capacity to the experiment bounds. The exotic neutrinos are four-component spinors, whose mass matrix is

$$-\mathcal{L}_{\nu'}^{mass} = \left(\bar{\nu}_{4R}', \bar{\nu}_{5R}'\right) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda_L \overline{\upsilon}_{NL}, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} Y_{\nu_5} \upsilon_d \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} Y_{\nu_4} \upsilon_u, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda_{NL} \upsilon_{NL} \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{4L}' \\ \nu_{5L}' \end{pmatrix} + h.c.$$
(13)

Similar as the exotic lepton condition, heavy exotic neutrinos are also gotten.

In BLMSSM, the exotic sleptons of 4 generation and 5 generation do not mix, and their mass matrices are both 2×2 . In EBLMSSM, the exotic sleptons of 4 generation and 5 generation mix together, and their mass matrix is 4×4 . With the base $(\tilde{e}_4, \tilde{e}_4^{c*}, \tilde{e}_5, \tilde{e}_5^{c*})$, we show the elements of exotic slepton mass matrix $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{E}}^2$ in the following form.

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{M}^2_{\tilde{E}}(\tilde{e}^{c*}_5 \tilde{e}^c_5) &= \lambda_L^2 \frac{\bar{v}^2_{NL}}{2} + \frac{v^2_u}{2} |Y_{e_5}|^2 + M^2_{\tilde{L}_5} \\ &- \frac{g^2_1 - g^2_2}{8} (v^2_d - v^2_u) - g^2_L (3 + L_4) V^2_L, \\ \mathcal{M}^2_{\tilde{E}}(\tilde{e}^*_5 \tilde{e}_5) &= \lambda_E^2 \frac{v^2_{NL}}{2} + \frac{v^2_u}{2} |Y_{e_5}|^2 + M^2_{\tilde{e}_5} \\ &+ \frac{g^2_1}{4} (v^2_d - v^2_u) + g^2_L (3 + L_4) V^2_L, \\ \mathcal{M}^2_{\tilde{E}}(\tilde{e}^*_4 \tilde{e}_4) &= \lambda_L^2 \frac{\bar{v}^2_{NL}}{2} + \frac{g^2_1 - g^2_2}{8} (v^2_d - v^2_u) \end{split}$$

$$+ \frac{\upsilon_{d}^{2}}{2} |Y_{e_{4}}|^{2} + M_{\tilde{L}_{4}}^{2} + g_{L}^{2} L_{4} V_{L}^{2},$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{E}}^{2} (\tilde{e}_{4}^{c*} \tilde{e}_{4}^{c}) = \lambda_{E}^{2} \frac{\upsilon_{NL}^{2}}{2} - \frac{g_{1}^{2}}{4} (\upsilon_{d}^{2} - \upsilon_{u}^{2})$$

$$+ \frac{\upsilon_{d}^{2}}{2} |Y_{e_{4}}|^{2} + M_{\tilde{e}_{4}}^{2} - g_{L}^{2} L_{4} V_{L}^{2},$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{E}}^{2} (\tilde{e}_{4}^{*} \tilde{e}_{5}) = \upsilon_{d} Y_{e_{4}}^{*} \lambda_{E} \frac{\upsilon_{NL}}{2} + \lambda_{L} Y_{e_{5}} \frac{\bar{\upsilon}_{NL} \upsilon_{u}}{2},$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{E}}^{2} (\tilde{e}_{5} \tilde{e}_{5}^{c}) = \mu^{*} \frac{\upsilon_{d}}{\sqrt{2}} Y_{e_{5}} + A_{e_{5}} Y_{e_{5}} \frac{\upsilon_{u}}{\sqrt{2}},$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{E}}^{2} (\tilde{e}_{4} \tilde{e}_{5}) = \mu_{NL}^{*} \lambda_{E} \frac{\bar{\upsilon}_{NL}}{\sqrt{2}} - A_{LE} \lambda_{E} \frac{\upsilon_{NL}}{\sqrt{2}},$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{E}}^{2} (\tilde{e}_{4} \tilde{e}_{5}^{c}) = -\mu_{NL}^{*} \frac{\upsilon_{NL}}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda_{L} + A_{LL} \lambda_{L} \frac{\bar{\upsilon}_{NL}}{\sqrt{2}},$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{E}}^{2} (\tilde{e}_{4} \tilde{e}_{4}^{c}) = \mu^{*} \frac{\upsilon_{u}}{\sqrt{2}} Y_{e_{4}} + A_{e_{4}} Y_{e_{4}} \frac{\upsilon_{d}}{\sqrt{2}},$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{E}}^{2} (\tilde{e}_{5}^{c} \tilde{e}_{4}^{c*}) = -Y_{e_{5}} \lambda_{E} \frac{\upsilon_{u} \upsilon_{NL}}{2} - \lambda_{L} Y_{e_{4}}^{*} \frac{\bar{\upsilon}_{NL} \upsilon_{d}}{2}.$$

$$(14)$$

In Eq. (14), the non-zero terms $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{E}}^2(\tilde{e}_4\tilde{e}_5^c), \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{E}}^2(\tilde{e}_4^*\tilde{e}_5), \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{E}}^2(\tilde{e}_4^*\tilde{e}_5)$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{E}}^2(\tilde{e}_4^c\tilde{e}_5)$ are the reason for the exotic slepton mixing of generations 4 and 5. These mixing terms all include the parameters υ_{NL} and $\bar{\upsilon}_{NL}$. It shows that this mixing is caused basically by the added Higgs superfields Φ_{NL} and φ_{NL} . Using the matrix $Z_{\tilde{E}}$, we obtain mass eigenstates with the formula $Z_{\tilde{E}}^{\dagger}\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{E}}^2Z_{\tilde{E}} = diag(m_{\tilde{E}^1}^2, m_{\tilde{E}^2}^2, m_{\tilde{E}^3}^2, m_{\tilde{E}^4}^2)$.

In the same way, the exotic sneutrino mass squared matrix is also obtained

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{N}}^{2}(\tilde{v}_{5}^{c*}\tilde{v}_{5}^{c}) &= \lambda_{L}^{2}\frac{\bar{v}_{NL}^{2}}{2} - \frac{g_{1}^{2} + g_{2}^{2}}{8}(v_{d}^{2} - v_{u}^{2}) \\ &+ \frac{v_{d}^{2}}{2}|Y_{v_{5}}|^{2} + M_{\tilde{L}_{5}}^{2} - g_{L}^{2}(3 + L_{4})V_{L}^{2} \\ \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{N}}^{2}(\tilde{v}_{4}^{*}\tilde{v}_{4}) &= \lambda_{L}^{2}\frac{\bar{v}_{NL}^{2}}{2} + \frac{g_{1}^{2} + g_{2}^{2}}{8}(v_{d}^{2} - v_{u}^{2}) \\ &+ \frac{v_{u}^{2}}{2}|Y_{v_{4}}|^{2} + M_{\tilde{L}_{4}}^{2} + g_{L}^{2}L_{4}V_{L}^{2}, \\ \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{N}}^{2}(\tilde{v}_{5}^{*}\tilde{v}_{5}) &= \lambda_{NL}^{2}\frac{v_{NL}^{2}}{2} + g_{L}^{2}(3 + L_{4})V_{L}^{2} \\ &+ \frac{v_{d}^{2}}{2}|Y_{v_{5}}|^{2} + M_{\tilde{v}_{5}}^{2}, \\ \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{N}}^{2}(\tilde{v}_{5}^{c}\tilde{v}_{4}^{c}) &= \lambda_{NL}^{2}\frac{v_{NL}^{2}}{2} - g_{L}^{2}L_{4}V_{L}^{2} \\ &+ \frac{v_{u}^{2}}{2}|Y_{v_{4}}|^{2} + M_{\tilde{v}_{4}}^{2}, \\ \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{N}}^{2}(\tilde{v}_{5}^{c}\tilde{v}_{4}^{c}) &= \lambda_{NL}Y_{v_{5}}\frac{v_{NL}v_{d}}{2} - \lambda_{L}Y_{v_{4}}\frac{\bar{v}_{NL}v_{u}}{2}, \\ \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{N}}^{2}(\tilde{v}_{5}\tilde{v}_{5}^{c}) &= \mu^{*}\frac{v_{u}}{\sqrt{2}}Y_{v_{5}} + A_{v_{5}}Y_{v_{5}}\frac{v_{d}}{\sqrt{2}}, \\ \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{N}}^{2}(\tilde{v}_{5}^{c}\tilde{v}_{5}) &= \mu_{NL}^{*}\lambda_{NL}\frac{\bar{v}_{NL}}{\sqrt{2}} - A_{LN}\lambda_{N}\frac{v_{NL}}{\sqrt{2}}, \end{split}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{N}}^{2}(\tilde{v}_{4}\tilde{v}_{5}^{c}) = \mu_{NL}^{*} \frac{\upsilon_{NL}}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda_{L} - A_{LL}\lambda_{L} \frac{\upsilon_{NL}}{\sqrt{2}},$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{N}}^{2}(\tilde{v}_{4}^{*}\tilde{v}_{5}) = \lambda_{L}Y_{\nu_{5}} \frac{\bar{\upsilon}_{NL}\upsilon_{d}}{2} - \frac{\upsilon_{u}\upsilon_{NL}}{2} \lambda_{NL}Y_{\nu_{4}}^{*},$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{N}}^{2}(\tilde{v}_{4}\tilde{v}_{4}^{c}) = \mu^{*} \frac{\upsilon_{d}}{\sqrt{2}}Y_{\nu_{4}} + A_{\nu_{4}}Y_{\nu_{4}} \frac{\upsilon_{u}}{\sqrt{2}}.$$
 (15)

For the exotic sneutrino, the mixing of generations 4 and 5 is similar as that of exotic slepton. In the base $(\tilde{v}_4, \tilde{v}_4^{c*}, \tilde{v}_5, \tilde{v}_5^{c*})$, we get the mass squared matrix of the exotic sneutrino, and obtain the mass eigenstates by the matrix $Z_{\tilde{N}}$ through the formula $Z_{\tilde{N}}^{\dagger} \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{N}}^2 Z_{\tilde{N}} = diag(m_{\tilde{N}^1}^2, m_{\tilde{N}^2}^2, m_{\tilde{N}^3}^2, m_{\tilde{N}^4}^2)$.

2.2 The lepton neutralino mass matrix in EBLMSSM

In EBLMSSM, the superfields $(\Phi_L, \varphi_L, \Phi_{NL}, \varphi_{NL})$ have their SUSY superpartners $(\psi_{\Phi_L}, \psi_{\varphi_L}, \psi_{\Phi_{NL}}, \psi_{\varphi_{NL}})$. They mix with λ_L , which is the superpartner of the new lepton type gauge boson Z_L^{μ} . Therefore, we deduce their mass matrix in the base $(i\lambda_L, \psi_{\Phi_L}, \psi_{\varphi_L}, \psi_{\Phi_{NL}}, \psi_{\varphi_{NL}})$

$$\mathcal{M}_{L} = \begin{pmatrix} 2M_{L} & 2\upsilon_{L}g_{L} - 2\bar{\upsilon}_{L}g_{L} & 3\upsilon_{NL}g_{L} - 3\bar{\upsilon}_{NL}g_{L} \\ 2\upsilon_{L}g_{L} & 0 & -\mu_{L} & 0 & 0 \\ -2\bar{\upsilon}_{L}g_{L} & -\mu_{L} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 3\upsilon_{NL}g_{L} & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\mu_{NL} \\ -3\bar{\upsilon}_{NL}g_{L} & 0 & 0 & -\mu_{NL} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(16)

The lepton neutralino mass eigenstates are four-component spinors $X_{L_i}^0 = (K_{L_i}^0, \bar{K}_{L_i}^0)^T$, and their mass matrix is diagonalized by the rotation matrix Z_{NL} . The relations for the components are

$$i\lambda_L = Z_{NL}^{1i} K_{L_i}^0, \quad \psi_{\Phi_L} = Z_{NL}^{2i} K_{L_i}^0, \quad \psi_{\varphi_L} = Z_{NL}^{3i} K_{L_i}^0, \psi_{\Phi_{NL}} = Z_{NL}^{4i} K_{L_i}^0, \quad \psi_{\varphi_{NL}} = Z_{NL}^{5i} K_{L_i}^0.$$
(17)

In BLMSSM, there are no $\psi_{\Phi_{NL}}$, $\psi_{\varphi_{NL}}$, and the base of lepton neutralino is $(i\lambda_L, \psi_{\Phi_L}, \psi_{\varphi_L})$, whose mass matrix is 3 × 3. EBLMSSM extends this matrix to 5 × 5 including the BLMSSM results.

2.3 The Higgs superfields and Y in EBLMSSM

The superfields Φ_L , φ_L , Φ_{NL} , φ_{NL} mix together and form 4×4 mass squared matrix, which is larger than the corresponding 2×2 mass matrix in the BLMSSM. Diagonalizing the mass squared matrix, four CP even exotic Higgs are obtained.

$$\mathcal{M}_{\phi}^{2}(\Phi_{L}^{0}\Phi_{L}^{0}) = \frac{1}{2}g_{L}^{2}\left(6\upsilon_{L}^{2} - 2\bar{\upsilon}_{L}^{2} + 3(\upsilon_{NL}^{2} - \bar{\upsilon}_{NL}^{2})\right) + \frac{1}{2}\mu_{L}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}m_{\Phi_{L}}^{2}, \mathcal{M}_{\phi}^{2}(\varphi_{L}^{0}\varphi_{L}^{0}) = \frac{1}{2}g_{L}^{2}\left(6\bar{\upsilon}_{L}^{2} - 2\upsilon_{L}^{2} + 3(\bar{\upsilon}_{NL}^{2} - \upsilon_{NL}^{2})\right)$$

Deringer

$$+ \frac{1}{2}\mu_{L}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}m_{\varphi_{L}}^{2},$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\phi}^{2}(\Phi_{NL}^{0}\Phi_{NL}^{0}) = \frac{1}{2}g_{L}^{2}\left(\frac{27}{2}\upsilon_{NL}^{2} - \frac{9}{2}\bar{\upsilon}_{NL}^{2} + 3(\upsilon_{L}^{2} - \bar{\upsilon}_{L}^{2})\right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}\mu_{NL}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}m_{\Phi_{NL}}^{2},$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\phi}^{2}(\varphi_{NL}^{0}\varphi_{NL}^{0}) = \frac{1}{2}g_{L}^{2}\left(\frac{27}{2}\bar{\upsilon}_{NL}^{2} - \frac{9}{2}\upsilon_{NL}^{2} + 3(\bar{\upsilon}_{L}^{2} - \upsilon_{L}^{2})\right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}\mu_{NL}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}m_{\varphi_{NL}}^{2},$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\phi}^{2}(\Phi_{L}^{0}\varphi_{L}^{0}) = -4g_{L}^{2}\upsilon_{L}\bar{\upsilon}_{L} - \frac{B_{L}\mu_{L}}{2},$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\phi}^{2}(\Phi_{NL}^{0}\varphi_{NL}^{0}) = 6g_{L}^{2}\upsilon_{L}\upsilon_{NL},$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\phi}^{2}(\Phi_{NL}^{0}\varphi_{NL}^{0}) = -9g_{L}^{2}\bar{\upsilon}_{NL}\bar{\upsilon}_{NL} - \frac{B_{NL}\mu_{NL}}{2},$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\phi}^{2}(\varphi_{L}^{0}\varphi_{NL}^{0}) = 6g_{L}^{2}\bar{\upsilon}_{L}\bar{\upsilon}_{NL},$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\phi}^{2}(\varphi_{L}^{0}\Phi_{NL}^{0}) = -6g_{L}^{2}\bar{\upsilon}_{L}\bar{\upsilon}_{NL},$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\phi}^{2}(\Phi_{L}^{0}\varphi_{NL}^{0}) = -6g_{L}^{2}\bar{\upsilon}_{L}\bar{\upsilon}_{NL},$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\phi}^{2}(\Phi_{L}^{0}\varphi_{NL}^{0}) = -6g_{L}^{2}\bar{\upsilon}_{L}\bar{\upsilon}_{NL}.$$

$$(18)$$

We use $Z_{\tilde{\phi}_L}$ to diagonalize the mass squared matrix in Eq. (18), and the relation between mass eigenstates and the comments are

$$\Phi_{L}^{0} = Z_{\tilde{\phi}_{L}}^{1i} H_{L_{i}}^{0}, \quad \varphi_{L}^{0} = Z_{\tilde{\phi}_{L}}^{2i} H_{L_{i}}^{0},$$

$$\Phi_{NL}^{0} = Z_{\tilde{\phi}_{L}}^{3i} H_{L_{i}}^{0}, \quad \varphi_{NL}^{0} = Z_{\tilde{\phi}_{L}}^{4i} H_{L_{i}}^{0}.$$
 (19)

In EBLMSSM, the conditions for the exotic CP odd Higgs P_L^0 , \bar{P}_L^0 are same as those in BLMSSM, and they do not mix with the added exotic CP odd Higgs P_{NL}^0 , \bar{P}_{NL}^0 . Here, we show the mass squared matrix for the added exotic CP odd Higgs P_{NL}^0 , \bar{P}_{NL}^0 .

$$\mathcal{M}_{p}^{2}(P_{NL}^{0}P_{NL}^{0}) = \frac{1}{2}g_{L}^{2}\left(\frac{9}{2}v_{NL}^{2} - \frac{9}{2}\bar{v}_{NL}^{2} + 3(v_{L}^{2} - \bar{v}_{L}^{2})\right) \\ + \frac{1}{2}\mu_{NL}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}m_{\Phi_{NL}}^{2}, \\ \mathcal{M}_{p}^{2}(\bar{P}_{NL}^{0}\bar{P}_{NL}^{0}) = \frac{1}{2}g_{L}^{2}\left(\frac{9}{2}\bar{v}_{NL}^{2} - \frac{9}{2}v_{NL}^{2} + 3(\bar{v}_{L}^{2} - v_{L}^{2})\right) \\ + \frac{1}{2}\mu_{NL}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}m_{\varphi_{NL}}^{2}, \\ \mathcal{M}_{p}^{2}(P_{NL}^{0}\bar{P}_{NL}^{0}) = \frac{B_{NL}\mu_{NL}}{2}.$$

$$(20)$$

The scalar superfields Y and Y' mix, and their mass squared matrix is deduced here. This condition is similar as that of X and X', then the lightest mass eigenstate of Y and Y' can be a candidate of the dark matter. With $S_Y = g_L^2 (2 + L_4) V_L^2$, the concrete form for the mass squared matrix is shown here. To obtain mass eigenstates, the matrix Z_Y is used through the following formula, with the supposition $m_{Y_1}^2 < m_{Y_2}^2$.

$$Z_{Y}^{\dagger} \begin{pmatrix} |\mu_{Y}|^{2} + S_{Y} & -\mu_{Y}B_{Y} \\ -\mu_{Y}^{*}B_{Y}^{*} & |\mu_{Y}|^{2} - S_{Y} \end{pmatrix} Z_{Y} = \begin{pmatrix} m_{Y_{1}}^{2} & 0 \\ 0 & m_{Y_{2}}^{2} \end{pmatrix},$$

🖄 Springer

Eur. Phys. J. C (2018) 78:324

$$\begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \end{pmatrix} = Z_Y^{\dagger} \begin{pmatrix} Y \\ Y'^* \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (21)

The superpartners of *Y* and *Y'* form four-component Dirac spinors, and the mass term for superfields \tilde{Y} is shown as

$$-\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{Y}}^{mass} = \mu_Y \tilde{\tilde{Y}} \tilde{Y}, \quad \tilde{Y} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{Y'} \\ \bar{\psi}_Y \end{pmatrix}.$$
(22)

The spinor \tilde{Y} and the mixing of superfields Y, Y' are all new terms beyond BLMSSM, that add abundant contents to lepton physics and dark matter physics.

2.4 Some couplings with h^0 in EBLMSSM

In EBLMSSM, the exotic slepton(sneutrino) of generations 4 and 5 mix. So the couplings with exotic slepton(sneutrino) are different from the corresponding results in BLMSSM. We deduce the couplings of h^0 and exotic sleptons

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{4} \tilde{E}^{i*} \tilde{E}^{j} h^{0} \bigg[\bigg(e^{2} \upsilon \sin \beta \frac{1 - 4s_{W}^{2}}{4s_{W}^{2} c_{W}^{2}} (Z_{\tilde{E}}^{4i*} Z_{\tilde{E}}^{4j} - Z_{\tilde{E}}^{1i*} Z_{\tilde{E}}^{1j}) \\ - \frac{\mu^{*}}{\sqrt{2}} Y_{e_{4}} Z_{\tilde{E}}^{2i*} Z_{\tilde{E}}^{1j} - \upsilon \sin \beta |Y_{e_{5}}|^{2} \delta_{ij} - \frac{A_{E_{5}}}{\sqrt{2}} Z_{\tilde{E}}^{4i*} Z_{\tilde{E}}^{3j} \\ + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{L} Y_{e_{5}} Z_{\tilde{E}}^{3j} Z_{\tilde{E}}^{3i*} \bar{\upsilon}_{NL} - \frac{1}{2} Y_{e_{5}}^{*} Z_{\tilde{E}}^{4j} \lambda_{E} Z_{\tilde{E}}^{2i*} \upsilon_{NL} \bigg) \cos \alpha \\ - \bigg(e^{2} \upsilon \cos \beta \frac{1 - 4s_{W}^{2}}{4s_{W}^{2} c_{W}^{2}} (Z_{\tilde{E}}^{1i*} Z_{\tilde{E}}^{1j} - Z_{\tilde{E}}^{4i*} Z_{\tilde{E}}^{4j}) \\ - \upsilon \cos \beta |Y_{e_{4}}|^{2} \delta_{ij} - \frac{A_{E_{4}}}{\sqrt{2}} Z_{\tilde{E}}^{2i*} Z_{\tilde{E}}^{1j} - \frac{\mu^{*}}{\sqrt{2}} Y_{e_{5}} Z_{\tilde{E}}^{4i*} Z_{\tilde{E}}^{3j} \\ - \frac{1}{2} Y_{e_{4}}^{*} Z_{\tilde{E}}^{2j} \lambda_{L} Z_{\tilde{E}}^{4i*} \bar{\upsilon}_{NL} + \frac{1}{2} Z_{\tilde{E}}^{1i*} Y_{e_{4}}^{*} \lambda_{E} Z_{\tilde{E}}^{3j} \upsilon_{NL} \bigg) \sin \alpha \bigg].$$

$$(23)$$

In Eq. (23), different from BLMSSM, there are new terms $(\frac{1}{2}\lambda_L Y_{e_5} Z_{\tilde{E}}^{3j} Z_{\tilde{E}}^{3i*} \bar{v}_{NL} - \frac{1}{2} Y_{e_5}^* Z_{\tilde{E}}^{4j} \lambda_E Z_{\tilde{E}}^{2i*} v_{NL}) \cos \alpha - (\frac{1}{2} Z_{\tilde{E}}^{1i*} Y_{e_4}^* \lambda_E Z_{\tilde{E}}^{3j} v_{NL} - \frac{1}{2} Y_{e_4}^* Z_{\tilde{E}}^{2j} \lambda_L Z_{\tilde{E}}^{4i*} \bar{v}_{NL}) \sin \alpha$ besides the mixing of generations 4 and 5 slepton. Obviously, these new terms include v_{NL} and \bar{v}_{NL} , which are the VEVs of added Higgs superfields Φ_{NL} and φ_{NL} . In the same way, the couplings of h^0 and exotic sneutrinos are also calculated

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{i,j=1}^{4} \tilde{N}^{i*} \tilde{N}^{j} h^{0} \bigg[\bigg(\frac{e^{2}}{4s_{W}^{2} c_{W}^{2}} \upsilon \sin \beta (Z_{\tilde{N}}^{1i*} Z_{\tilde{N}}^{1j} - Z_{\tilde{N}}^{4i*} Z_{\tilde{N}}^{4j}) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} Z_{\tilde{N}}^{1i*} Y_{\nu_{4}}^{*} \lambda_{NL} Z_{\tilde{N}}^{3i} \upsilon_{NL} - \upsilon \sin \beta |Y_{\nu_{4}}|^{2} \delta_{ij} - \frac{A_{N_{4}}}{\sqrt{2}} Z_{\tilde{N}}^{2i*} Z_{\tilde{N}}^{1j} \\ &\quad - \frac{\mu^{*}}{\sqrt{2}} Y_{\nu_{5}} Z_{\tilde{N}}^{4i*} Z_{\tilde{N}}^{3j} - \frac{1}{2} Y_{\nu_{4}}^{*} Z_{\tilde{N}}^{2j} \lambda_{L} Z_{\tilde{N}}^{4i*} \bar{\upsilon}_{NL} \bigg) \cos \alpha \\ &\quad - \bigg(\frac{e^{2}}{4s_{W}^{2} c_{W}^{2}} \upsilon \cos \beta [Z_{\tilde{N}}^{4i*} Z_{\tilde{N}}^{4j} - Z_{\tilde{N}}^{1i*} Z_{\tilde{N}}^{1j}] \\ &\quad - \frac{\mu^{*}}{\sqrt{2}} Y_{\nu_{4}} Z_{\tilde{N}}^{2i*} Z_{\tilde{N}}^{1j} - \upsilon \cos \beta |Y_{\nu_{5}}|^{2} \delta_{ij} - \frac{A_{N_{5}}}{\sqrt{2}} Z_{\tilde{N}}^{4i*} Z_{\tilde{N}}^{3j} \end{split}$$

i

$$+\frac{1}{2}Y_{\nu_{5}}Z_{\tilde{N}}^{3j}\lambda_{L}Z_{\tilde{N}}^{1i*}\bar{\upsilon}_{NL}+\frac{1}{2}Y_{\nu_{5}}Z_{\tilde{N}}^{4i*}\lambda_{N^{c}}Z_{\tilde{N}}^{2j}\upsilon_{NL}\bigg)\sin\alpha\bigg].$$
(24)

In this coupling, the new terms beyond BLMSSM are $-(\frac{1}{2}Z_{\tilde{N}}^{1i*}Y_{\nu_4}^*\lambda_{NL}Z_{\tilde{N}}^{3i}\upsilon_{NL} + \frac{1}{2}Y_{\nu_4}^*Z_{\tilde{N}}^{2j}\lambda_L Z_{\tilde{N}}^{4i*}\bar{\upsilon}_{NL})\cos\alpha - (\frac{1}{2}Y_{\nu_5}Z_{\tilde{N}}^{3j}\lambda_L Z_{\tilde{N}}^{1i*}\bar{\upsilon}_{NL} + \frac{1}{2}Y_{\nu_5}Z_{\tilde{N}}^{4i*}\lambda_{N^c}Z_{\tilde{N}}^{2j}\upsilon_{NL})\sin\alpha.$

The $h^0 - \tilde{L} - \tilde{L}$ coupling has the same form as that in BLMSSM. While, the $h^0 - \tilde{\nu} - \tilde{\nu}$ coupling gets corrected terms, but these terms are suppressed by the tiny neutrino Yukawa coupling Y_{ν} .

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{6} \tilde{\nu}^{i*} \tilde{\nu}^{j} h^{0} \bigg[\sin \alpha \frac{\mu^{*}}{\sqrt{2}} Y_{\nu}^{*} Z_{\tilde{\nu}}^{Ii*} Z_{\tilde{\nu}}^{(I+3)j} - \frac{e^{2}}{4s_{W}^{2} c_{W}^{2}} B_{R}^{2} Z_{\tilde{\nu}}^{Ii*} Z_{\tilde{\nu}}^{Ij} + \cos \alpha \bigg(\bigg(\lambda_{N^{c}} \bar{\nu}_{L} - \frac{A_{N}}{\sqrt{2}} \bigg) Y_{\nu}^{*} Z_{\tilde{\nu}}^{Ii*} Z_{\tilde{\nu}}^{(I+3)j} - \upsilon \sin \beta |Y_{\nu}|^{2} \delta_{ij} \bigg) \bigg].$$
(25)

Here, $s_W(c_W)$ denotes $\sin \theta_W(\cos \theta_W)$, with θ_W representing the weak-mixing angle. The concrete form of B_R^2 is in Ref. [9].

2.5 The couplings with Y

For the dark matter candidate Y_1 , the necessary tree level couplings are deduced in EBLMSSM. We show the couplings (lepton-exotic lepton-Y) and (neutrino-exotic neutrino-Y)

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \bar{e}^{I} \Big(\lambda_{4} W_{L}^{1i} Z_{Y}^{1j*} P_{R} - \lambda_{6} U_{L}^{2i} Z_{Y}^{2j*} P_{L} \Big) L_{i+3}' Y_{j}^{*} - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{6} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \bar{X}_{N_{\alpha}}^{0} \Big(\lambda_{4} Z_{N_{\nu}}^{I\alpha*} W_{N}^{1i} Z_{Y}^{1j*} P_{R} + \lambda_{5} Z_{N_{\nu}}^{(I+3)\alpha} U_{N}^{2i} Z_{Y}^{2j*} P_{L} \Big) N_{i+3}' Y_{j}^{*} + h.c.$$
(26)

The new gauge boson Z_L couples with leptons, neutrinos and Y, whose concrete forms are

$$\mathcal{L} = -\sum_{I=1}^{3} g_L Z_L^{\mu} \bar{e}^I \gamma_{\mu} e^I - \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} g_L (2 + L_4) Z_L^{\mu} Y_i^* i \partial_{\mu} Y_j$$
$$-\sum_{I=1}^{3} \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{6} g_L Z_L^{\mu} \bar{\chi}_{N_{\alpha}}^0 (Z_{N_{\nu}}^{I\alpha*} Z_{N_{\nu}}^{I\beta} \gamma_{\mu} P_L)$$
$$+ Z_{N_{\nu}}^{(I+3)\alpha*} Z_{N_{\nu}}^{(I+3)\beta} \gamma_{\mu} P_R) \chi_{N_{\beta}}^0 + h.c.$$
(27)

 φ_L gives masses to the light neutrinos trough the see-saw mechanism and $\Phi_L, \varphi_L, \Phi_{NL}, \varphi_{NL}$ mix together produc-

ing lepton Higgs H_L^0 . Then the couplings of $H_L^0 Y Y^*$ and $\bar{\chi}_N^0 \chi_N^0 H_L^0$ are needed

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{4} g_{L}^{2} (2 + L_{4}) \left(Z_{Y}^{1i*} Z_{Y}^{1j} - Z_{Y}^{2i*} Z_{Y}^{2j} \right) \\ &\times \left(v_{L} Z_{\bar{\phi}_{L}}^{1k} - \bar{v}_{L} Z_{\bar{\phi}_{L}}^{2k} + \frac{3}{2} v_{NL} Z_{\bar{\phi}_{NL}}^{3k} \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{3}{2} \bar{v}_{NL} Z_{\bar{\phi}_{NL}}^{4k} \right) H_{L_{k}}^{0} Y_{i}^{*} Y_{j}. \\ &\left. - \sum_{k=1}^{4} \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{6} \lambda_{N^{c}} Z_{N_{\nu}}^{(I+3)\alpha} Z_{N_{\nu}}^{(I+3)\beta} Z_{\phi_{L}}^{2k} \bar{\chi}_{N_{\alpha}}^{0} P_{L} \chi_{N_{\beta}}^{0} H_{L_{k}}^{0} + h.c. \end{aligned}$$

$$(28)$$

3 The mass of h^0

Similar as BLMSSM, in EBLMSSM the mass squared matrix for the neutral CP even Higgs are studied, and in the basis (H_d^0, H_u^0) it is written as

$$\mathcal{M}_{even}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11}^2 + \Delta_{11} & M_{12}^2 + \Delta_{12} \\ M_{12}^2 + \Delta_{12} & M_{22}^2 + \Delta_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$
(29)

where M_{11}^2 , M_{12}^2 , M_{22}^2 are the tree level results, whose concrete forms can be found in Ref. [19]

$$\Delta_{11} = \Delta_{11}^{MSSM} + \Delta_{11}^{B} + \Delta_{11}^{L},$$

$$\Delta_{12} = \Delta_{12}^{MSSM} + \Delta_{12}^{B} + \Delta_{12}^{L},$$

$$\Delta_{22} = \Delta_{22}^{MSSM} + \Delta_{22}^{B} + \Delta_{22}^{L}.$$
(30)

The MSSM contributions are represented by Δ_{11}^{MSSM} , Δ_{12}^{MSSM} and Δ_{22}^{MSSM} . The exotic quark (squark) contributions denoted by Δ_{11}^{B} , Δ_{12}^{B} and Δ_{22}^{B} are the same as those in BLMSSM [19]. However, the corrections Δ_{11}^{L} , Δ_{12}^{L} and Δ_{22}^{L} from exotic lepton (slepton) are different from those in BLMSSM, because the mass squared matrices of exotic slepton and exotic sneutrino are both 4 × 4 and they relate with v_{NL} and \bar{v}_{NL} . Furthermore, the exotic leptons and exotic neutrinos are heavier than those in BLMSSM, due to the introduction of Φ_{NL} and φ_{NL} .

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{11}^{L} &= \frac{G_F Y_{\nu_4}^4 \upsilon^4}{4\sqrt{2}\pi^2 \sin^2 \beta} \cdot \frac{\mu^2 (A_{\nu_4} - \mu \cot \beta)^2}{(m_{\tilde{N}^1}^2 - m_{\tilde{N}^2}^2)^2} g(m_{\tilde{N}^1}, m_{\tilde{N}^2}) \\ &+ \frac{G_F Y_{\nu_5}^4 \upsilon^4}{4\sqrt{2}\pi^2 \cos^2 \beta} \bigg\{ \ln \frac{m_{\tilde{N}^3} m_{\tilde{N}^4}}{m_{\nu_5}^2} + \frac{A_{\nu_5} (A_{\nu_5} - \mu \tan \beta)}{m_{\tilde{N}^3}^2 - m_{\tilde{N}^4}^2} \\ &\times \ln \frac{m_{\tilde{N}^3}^2}{m_{\tilde{N}^4}^2} + \frac{A_{\nu_5}^2 (A_{\nu_5} - \mu \tan \beta)^2}{(m_{\tilde{N}^3}^2 - m_{\tilde{N}^4}^2)^2} g(m_{\tilde{N}^3}, m_{\tilde{N}^4}) \bigg\} \\ &+ \frac{G_F Y_{e_4}^4 \upsilon^4}{4\sqrt{2}\pi^2 \cos^2 \beta} \bigg\{ \frac{A_{e_4} (A_{e_4} - \mu \tan \beta)}{m_{\tilde{E}^1}^2 - m_{\tilde{E}^2}^2} \ln \frac{m_{\tilde{E}^1}^2}{m_{\tilde{E}^2}^2} \\ &+ \frac{A_{e_4}^2 (A_{e_4} - \mu \tan \beta)^2}{(m_{\tilde{E}^1}^2 - m_{\tilde{E}^2}^2)^2} g(m_{\tilde{E}^1}, m_{\tilde{E}^2}) + \ln \frac{m_{\tilde{E}^1} m_{\tilde{E}^2}}{m_{e_4}^2} \bigg\} \end{split}$$

Deringer

$$\begin{split} &+ \frac{G_F Y_{e_5}^4 \upsilon^4}{4\sqrt{2}\pi^2 \sin^2\beta} \cdot \frac{\mu^2 (A_{e_5} - \mu \cot\beta)^2}{(m_{\tilde{E}^3}^2 - m_{\tilde{E}^4}^2)^2} g(m_{\tilde{E}^3}, m_{\tilde{E}^4}), \\ \Delta_{12}^L &= \frac{G_F Y_{u_4}^4 \upsilon^4}{4\sqrt{2}\pi^2 \sin^2\beta} \cdot \frac{\mu(\mu \cot\beta - A_{v_4})}{m_{\tilde{N}^1}^2 - m_{\tilde{N}^2}^2} \\ &\times \left\{ \ln \frac{m_{\tilde{N}^1}}{m_{\tilde{N}^2}} + \frac{A_{v_4}(A_{v_4} - \mu \cot\beta)}{m_{\tilde{L}^1}^2 - m_{\tilde{N}^2}^2} g(m_{\tilde{N}^1}, m_{\tilde{N}^2}) \right\} \\ &+ \frac{G_F Y_{e_4}^4 \upsilon^4}{4\sqrt{2}\pi^2 \cos^2\beta} \cdot \frac{\mu(\mu \tan\beta - A_{e_4})}{m_{\tilde{E}^1}^2 - m_{\tilde{E}^2}^2} \\ &\times \left\{ \ln \frac{m_{\tilde{E}^1}}{m_{\tilde{E}^2}} + \frac{A_{e_4}(A_{e_4} - \mu \tan\beta)}{m_{\tilde{E}^1}^2 - m_{\tilde{E}^2}^2} g(m_{\tilde{E}^1}, m_{\tilde{E}^2}) \right\} \\ &+ \frac{G_F Y_{v_5}^4 \upsilon^4}{4\sqrt{2}\pi^2 \cos^2\beta} \cdot \frac{\mu(\mu \tan\beta - A_{v_5})}{m_{\tilde{N}^3}^2 - m_{\tilde{N}^3}^2} \\ &\times \left\{ \ln \frac{m_{\tilde{N}^3}}{m_{\tilde{N}^4}} + \frac{A_{v_5}(A_{v_5} - \mu \tan\beta)}{m_{\tilde{K}^3}^2 - m_{\tilde{N}^4}^2} g(m_{\tilde{N}^3}, m_{\tilde{N}^4}) \right\} \\ &+ \frac{G_F Y_{e_5}^4 \upsilon^4}{4\sqrt{2}\pi^2 \sin^2\beta} \cdot \frac{\mu(\mu \cot\beta - A_{e_5})}{m_{\tilde{E}^3}^2 - m_{\tilde{E}^4}^2} \\ &\times \left\{ \ln \frac{m_{\tilde{E}^3}}{m_{\tilde{E}^4}} + \frac{A_{e_5}(A_{e_5} - \mu \cot\beta)}{m_{\tilde{E}^3}^2 - m_{\tilde{E}^4}^2} g(m_{\tilde{E}^3}, m_{\tilde{E}^4}) \right\}, \end{split}$$

$$\Delta_{22}^{L} = \frac{G_F Y_{\nu_4}^4 \upsilon^4}{4\sqrt{2}\pi^2 \sin^2 \beta} \left\{ \frac{A_{\nu_4} (A_{\nu_4} - \mu \cot \beta)}{m_{\tilde{N}^1}^2 - m_{\tilde{N}^2}^2} \ln \frac{m_{\tilde{N}^1}^2}{m_{\tilde{N}^2}^2} + \frac{A_{\nu_4}^2 (A_{\nu_4} - \mu \cot \beta)^2}{(m_{\tilde{N}^1}^2 - m_{\tilde{N}^2}^2)^2} g(m_{\tilde{N}^1}, m_{\tilde{N}^2}) + \ln \frac{m_{\tilde{N}^1} m_{\tilde{N}^2}}{m_{\nu_4}^2} \right\} + \frac{G_F Y_{e_4}^4 \upsilon^4}{4\sqrt{2}\pi^2 \cos^2 \beta} \cdot \frac{\mu^2 (A_{e_4} - \mu \tan \beta)^2}{(m_{\tilde{E}^1}^2 - m_{\tilde{E}^2}^2)^2} g(m_{\tilde{E}^1}, m_{\tilde{E}^2}) + \frac{G_F Y_{e_5}^4 \upsilon^4}{4\sqrt{2}\pi^2 \sin^2 \beta} \left\{ \frac{A_{e_5} (A_{e_5} - \mu \cot \beta)}{m_{\tilde{E}^3}^2 - m_{\tilde{E}^4}^2} \ln \frac{m_{\tilde{E}^3}^2}{m_{\tilde{E}^4}^2} + \frac{A_{e_5}^2 (A_{e_5} - \mu \cot \beta)^2}{(m_{\tilde{E}^3}^2 - m_{\tilde{E}^4}^2)^2} g(m_{\tilde{E}^3}, m_{\tilde{E}^4}) + \ln \frac{m_{\tilde{E}^3} m_{\tilde{E}^4}}{m_{e_5}^2} \right\} + \frac{G_F Y_{\nu_5}^4 \upsilon^4}{4\sqrt{2}\pi^2 \cos^2 \beta} \cdot \frac{\mu^2 (A_{\nu_5} - \mu \tan \beta)^2}{(m_{\tilde{E}^3}^2 - m_{\tilde{E}^4}^2)^2} g(m_{\tilde{N}^3}, m_{\tilde{N}^4}).$$
(31)

4 The processes $h^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$, $h^0 \rightarrow VV$, V = (Z, W)and dark matter Y_1

4.1 h^0 decays

At the LHC, h^0 is produced chiefly from the gluon fusion $(gg \rightarrow h^0)$. The one loop diagrams are the leading order

(LO) contributions. The virtual t quark loop is the dominate contribution because of the large Yukawa coupling. Therefore, when the couplings of new particles and Higgs are large, they can influence the results obviously. For $h^0 \rightarrow gg$, the EBLMSSM results are same as those in BLMSSM, and are shown as [26–28]

$$\Gamma_{NP}(h^{0} \to gg) = \frac{G_{F}\alpha_{s}^{2}m_{h^{0}}^{3}}{64\sqrt{2}\pi^{3}} \bigg| \sum_{q,q'} g_{h^{0}qq} A_{1/2}(x_{q}) + \sum_{\tilde{q},\tilde{q}'} g_{h^{0}\tilde{q}\tilde{q}} \frac{m_{Z}^{2}}{m_{\tilde{q}}^{2}} A_{0}(x_{\tilde{q}}) \bigg|^{2}, \qquad (32)$$

with $x_a = m_{h^0}^2/(4m_a^2)$. Here, q and q' are quark and exotic quark. While, \tilde{q} and \tilde{q}' denote squark and exotic squark. The concrete expressions for g_{h^0qq} , $g_{h^0q'q'}$, $g_{h^0\tilde{q}\tilde{q}}$, $g_{h^0\tilde{q}'\tilde{q}'}$ (i = 1, 2) are in literature [19]. The functions $A_{1/2}(x)$ and $A_0(x)$ are[28]

$$A_{1/2}(x) = 2 \left[x + (x - 1)g(x) \right] / x^{2},$$

$$A_{0}(x) = -(x - g(x)) / x^{2},$$

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} \arcsin^{2} \sqrt{x}, & x \le 1 \\ -\frac{1}{4} \left[\ln \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 1/x}}{1 - \sqrt{1 - 1/x}} - i\pi \right]^{2}, & x > 1. \end{cases}$$
(33)

The decay $h^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ obtains contributions from loop diagrams, and the leading order contributions are from the one loop diagrams. In the EBLMSSM, the exotic quark (squark) and exotic lepton (slepton) give new corrections to the decay width of $h^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$. Different from BLMSSM, the exotic leptons in EBLMSSM are more heavy and the exotic sleptons of the 4 and 5 generations mix together. These parts should influence the numerical results of the EBLMSSM theoretical prediction to the process $h^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ to some extent.

The decay width of $h^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ can be expressed as [29]

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{NP}(h^{0} \to \gamma \gamma) &= \frac{G_{F} \alpha^{2} m_{h^{0}}^{3}}{128 \sqrt{2} \pi^{3}} \bigg| \sum_{f} N_{c} Q_{f}^{2} g_{h^{0} ff} A_{1/2}(x_{f}) \\ &+ g_{h^{0} H^{+} H^{-}} \frac{m_{W}^{2}}{m_{H^{\pm}}^{2}} A_{0}(x_{H^{\pm}}) \\ &+ g_{h^{0} WW} A_{1}(x_{W}) + \sum_{i=1}^{2} g_{h^{0} \chi_{i}^{+} \chi_{i}^{-}} \\ &\times \frac{m_{W}}{m_{\chi_{i}}} A_{1/2}(x_{\chi_{i}}) + \sum_{\tilde{f}} N_{c} Q_{f}^{2} g_{h^{0} \tilde{f} \tilde{f}} \\ &\times \frac{m_{Z}^{2}}{m_{\tilde{z}}^{2}} A_{0}(x_{\tilde{f}}) \bigg|^{2}, \end{split}$$
(34)

where $g_{h^0WW} = \sin(\beta - \alpha)$ and $A_1(x) = -[2x^2 + 3x + 3(2x - 1)g(x)]/x^2$.

The formulae for $h^0 \rightarrow ZZ$, WW are

$$\Gamma(h^{0} \to WW) = \frac{3e^{4}m_{h^{0}}}{512\pi^{3}s_{W}^{4}}|g_{h^{0}WW}|^{2}F\left(\frac{m_{W}}{m_{h^{0}}}\right),$$

$$\Gamma(h^{0} \to ZZ) = \frac{e^{4}m_{h^{0}}}{2048\pi^{3}s_{W}^{4}c_{W}^{4}}|g_{h^{0}ZZ}|^{2}$$

$$\times \left(7 - \frac{40}{3}s_{W}^{2} + \frac{160}{9}s_{W}^{4}\right)F\left(\frac{m_{Z}}{m_{h^{0}}}\right),$$
(35)

with $g_{h^0ZZ} = g_{h^0WW}$ and F(x) is given out in Refs. [30–32]. The observed signals for the diphoton and *ZZ*, *WW* channels are quantified by the ratios $R_{\gamma\gamma}$ and R_{VV} , V = (Z, W), whose current values are $R_{\gamma\gamma} = 1.16 \pm 0.18$ and $R_{VV} = 1.19^{+0.22}_{-0.20}$ [33].

4.2 Dark matter Y

In BLMSSM, there are some dark matter candidates such as: the lightest mass eigenstate of XX' mixing, \tilde{X} the fourcomponent spinor composed by the super partners of X and X'. They are studied in Ref. [18]. In EBLMSSM, the dark matter candidates are more than those in BLMSSM, because the lightest mass eigenstate of YY' mixing and \tilde{Y} are dark matter candidates. After $U(1)_L$ is broken by Φ_L and Φ_{NL} , Z2 symmetry is left, which guarantees the stability of the dark matters. There are only two elements (1, -1) in Z2 group. This symmetry eliminates the coupling for the mass eigenstates of YY' mixing with two SM particles. The condition for X is similar as that of Y, and it is also guaranteed by the Z2 symmetry.

In this subsection, we suppose the lightest mass eigenstate of YY' mixing in Eq. (21) as a dark matter candidate, and calculate the relic density. So we summarize the relic density constraints that any WIMP candidate has to satisfy. The interactions of the WIMP with SM particles are deduced from the EBLMSSM, then we study its annihilation rate and its relic density Ω_D by the thermal dynamics of the Universe. The annihilation cross section $\sigma(Y_1Y_1^* \rightarrow anything)$ should be calculated and can be written as $\sigma v_{rel} = a + bv_{rel}^2$ in the $Y_1Y_1^*$ center of mass frame. v_{rel} is the twice velocity of Y_1 in the $Y_1Y_1^*$ c.m. system frame. To a good approximation, the freeze-out temperature (T_F) can be iteratively computed from[15–17]

$$x_F = \frac{m_D}{T_F} \simeq \ln\left[\frac{0.038M_{Pl}m_D(a+6b/x_F)}{\sqrt{g_* x_F}}\right],$$
 (36)

with $x_F \equiv m_D/T_F$ and $m_D = m_{Y_1}$ representing the WIMP mass. $M_{Pl} = 1.22 \times 10^{19}$ GeV is the Planck mass and g_* is the number of the relativistic degrees of freedom with mass less than T_F . The density of cold non-baryonic matter is $\Omega_D h^2 = 0.1186 \pm 0.0020$ [33], whose formula is simplified as

$$\Omega_D h^2 \simeq \frac{1.07 \times 10^9 x_F}{\sqrt{g_*} M_{PL}(a+3b/x_F) \text{GeV}}.$$
(37)

To obtain *a* and *b* in the σv_{rel} , we study the $Y_1 Y_1^*$ dominate decay channels whose final states are leptons and light neutrinos: (1) $Y_1 Y_1^* \to Z_L \to \bar{l}^I l^I$; (2) $Y_1 Y_1^* \to Z_L \to \bar{v}^I v^I$; (3) $Y_1 Y_1^* \to \varphi_L \to \bar{v}^I v^I$; (4) $Y_1 Y_1^* \to L' \to \bar{l}^I l^I$; (5) $Y_1 Y_1^* \to N' \to \bar{v}^I v^I$.

Using the couplings in Eqs. (26), (27), (28), we deduce the results of a and b

$$a = \sum_{l=e,\mu,\tau} \frac{1}{\pi} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{m_{L_{i}^{\prime}}}{(m_{D}^{2} + m_{L_{i}^{\prime}}^{2})} \lambda_{4} W_{L}^{1i} Z_{Y}^{11*} \lambda_{6} U_{L}^{2i} Z_{Y}^{21*} \right|^{2} \\ + \sum_{\chi_{N_{\alpha}=\nu_{e},\nu_{\mu},\nu_{\tau}}} \left\{ \frac{g_{L}^{4}(2 + L_{4})^{2}}{8\pi} \right| (Z_{Y}^{11*} Z_{Y}^{11} - Z_{Y}^{21*} Z_{Y}^{21}) \\ \times \sum_{I=1}^{3} \sum_{i=1}^{4} \frac{1}{(4m_{D}^{2} - m_{\Phi_{i}}^{2})} \times \left(\lambda_{N^{c}} Z_{N_{\nu}}^{(I+3)\alpha} Z_{N_{\nu}}^{(I+3)\alpha} Z_{\Phi_{L}}^{2i} \right) \\ \times \left(\nu_{L} Z_{\phi_{L}}^{1i} - \bar{\nu}_{L} Z_{\phi_{L}}^{2i} + \frac{3}{2} \nu_{NL} Z_{\phi_{L}}^{3i} - \frac{3}{2} \bar{\nu}_{NL} Z_{\phi_{L}}^{4i} \right) \right|^{2} \\ + \frac{1}{\pi} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{I=1}^{3} \frac{m_{N_{i}^{\prime}}}{(m_{D}^{2} + m_{N_{i}^{\prime}}^{2})} \\ \times \lambda_{4} Z_{N_{\nu}}^{I\alpha*} W_{N}^{1i} Z_{Y}^{11*} \lambda_{5} Z_{N_{\nu}}^{(I+3)\alpha} U_{N}^{2i} Z_{Y}^{21*} \right|^{2} \right\}, \\b = \sum_{l=e,\mu,\tau} \frac{7m_{D}^{2}}{24\pi} \frac{g_{L}^{4}(2 + L_{4})^{2}}{(4m_{D}^{2} - m_{Z_{L}}^{2})} \\ + \sum_{\chi_{N_{\alpha}=\nu_{e},\nu_{\mu},\nu_{\tau}}} \frac{1}{96\pi} \frac{g_{L}^{4}(2 + L_{4})^{2}m_{D}^{2}}{(4m_{D}^{2} - m_{Z_{L}}^{2})^{2}} \\ \times \left(7 + \left| \sum_{I=1}^{3} \left(Z_{N_{\nu}}^{I\alpha*} Z_{N_{\nu}}^{I\alpha} - Z_{N_{\nu}}^{(I+3)\alpha*} Z_{N_{\nu}}^{(I+3)\alpha}} \right) \right|^{2} \right).$$
(38)

5 Numerical results

5.1 h^0 decays and m_{A^0}, m_{H^0}

In this section, we research the numerical results. For the parameter space, the most strict constraint is that the mass of the lightest eigenvector for the mass squared matrix in Eq. (29) is around 125.1 GeV. To satisfy this constraint, we use $m_{h^0} = 125.1$ GeV as an input parameter. Therefore, the CP odd Higgs mass should meet the following relation.

$$m_{A^0}^2 = \frac{m_{h^0}^2 (m_Z^2 - m_{h^0}^2 + \Delta_{11} + \Delta_{22}) - m_Z^2 \Delta_A + \Delta_{12}^2 - \Delta_{11} \Delta_{22}}{-m_{h^0}^2 + m_Z^2 \cos^2 2\beta + \Delta_B},$$
(39)



Fig. 1 The results versus A_{u_5} are shown. $R_{\gamma\gamma}$ (solid line) and R_{VV} (dashed line) are in the left diagram. m_{A^0} (dot-dashed line) and m_{H^0} (dotted line) are in the right diagram

where

$$\Delta_A = \sin^2 \beta \Delta_{11} + \cos^2 \beta \Delta_{22} + \sin 2\beta \Delta_{12},$$

$$\Delta_B = \cos^2 \beta \Delta_{11} + \sin^2 \beta \Delta_{22} + \sin 2\beta \Delta_{12}.$$
 (40)

To obtain the numerical results, we adopt the following parameters as

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{u_4} &= 1.2Y_t, \quad Y_{u_5} = 0.6Y_t, \quad Y_{d_4} = Y_{d_5} = 2Y_b, \\ g_B &= 1/3, \quad \lambda_u = \lambda_d = 0.5, \\ A_{u_4} &= A_{d_4} = A_{d_5} = A_{e_4} = A_{e_5} = A_{v_4} = A_{v_5} = 1 \text{ TeV}, \\ \lambda_Q &= 0.4, \quad g_L = 1/6, \\ m_{\tilde{Q}_4} &= m_{\tilde{Q}_5} = m_{\tilde{U}_4} = m_{\tilde{U}_5} = m_{\tilde{D}_4} \\ &= m_{\tilde{D}_5} = m_{\tilde{v}_4} = m_{\tilde{v}_5} = 1 \text{ TeV}, \\ Y_{e_5} &= 0.6, \quad \upsilon_{NL} = \upsilon_L = A_b = 3 \text{ TeV}, \\ \tan \beta_{NL} &= \tan \beta_L = 2, \\ \lambda_L &= \lambda_{NL} = \lambda_E = 1, \quad m_{\tilde{L}} = m_{\tilde{e}} = 1.4\delta_{ij} \text{ TeV}, \\ A_{\tilde{L}} &= A_{\tilde{L}'} = 0.5\delta_{ij} \text{ TeV} (i, j = 1, 2, 3), \quad \mu_B = 0.5 \text{ TeV}, \\ A_{BQ} &= A_{BU} = A_{BD} = \mu_{NL} = A_{LL} \\ &= A_{LE} = A_{LN} = 1 \text{ TeV}, \quad Y_{v_4} = Y_{v_5} = 0.1, \\ m_{\tilde{L}_4} &= m_{\tilde{L}_5} = m_{\tilde{E}_4} = m_{\tilde{E}_5} = m_2 = 1.5 \text{ TeV}, \\ m_{\tilde{D}_3} &= 1.2 \text{ TeV}, \quad B_4 = L_4 = 1.5. \end{aligned}$$

Here Y_t and Y_b are the Yukawa coupling constants of top quark and bottom quark, whose concrete forms are $Y_t = \sqrt{2}m_t/(\upsilon \sin \beta)$ and $Y_b = \sqrt{2}m_b/(\upsilon \cos \beta)$ respectively.

To embody the exotic squark corrections, we calculate the results versus A_{u_5} which has relation with the mass squared matrix of exotic squark. In the left diagram of Fig. 1, $R_{\gamma\gamma}$ and R_{VV} versus A_{u_5} are plotted by the solid line and dashed line respectively with $m_{\tilde{Q}_3} = m_{\tilde{U}_3} =$ 1.2 TeV, $\tan \beta = 1.4$, $A_t = 1.7$ TeV, $\upsilon_B = 3.6$ TeV, $\mu =$ -2.4 TeV, $\tan \beta_B = 1.5$ and $Y_{e_4} = 0.5$. In the left diagram of Fig. 1, the solid line $(R_{\gamma\gamma})$ and dashed line (R_{VV}) change weakly with the A_{u_5} . When A_{u_5} enlarges, $R_{\gamma\gamma}$ is the increasing function and R_{VV} is the decreasing function. During the A_{u_5} region (-1700 to 1000) GeV, both $R_{\gamma\gamma}$ and R_{VV} satisfy the experiment limits. The dot-dashed line(dotted line) in the right diagram denotes the Higgs mass $m_A^0(m_H^0)$ varying with A_{u_5} . The dot-dashed line and dotted line increase mildly with A_{u_5} . The value of m_A^0 is a little bigger than 500 GeV, while the value of m_H^0 is very near 500 GeV.

For the squark, we assume the first and second generations are heavy, so they are neglected. The scalar top quarks are not heavy, and their contributions are considerable. A_t is in the mass squared matrix of scalar top quark influencing the mass and mixing. The effects from A_t to the ratios $R_{\gamma\gamma}$, R_{VV} , Higgs masses m_{A^0} and m_{H^0} are of interest. As $m_{\tilde{O}_2} =$ 2.4 TeV, $m_{\tilde{U}_2} = 1.2$ TeV, $\tan \beta = \tan \beta_B = 2.15$, $\upsilon_B =$ 4.1 TeV, $\mu = -2.05$ TeV, $Y_{e_4} = 0.5$ and $A_{u_5} = 1$ TeV. R_{VV} (solid line) and R_{VV} (dashed line) versus A_t are shown in the left diagram of Fig. 2. While the right diagram of Fig. 2 gives out the Higgs masses m_{A^0} (dot-dashed line) and m_{H^0} (dotted line). In the A_t region (2–4.8) TeV, the $R_{\gamma\gamma}$ varies from 1.25 to 1.34. At the same time, the R_{VV} is in the range (1.2–1.38). The dot-dashed line and dotted line are very near. In the A_t region (3000–4000) GeV, the masses of Higgs A^0 and H^0 are around 1000 GeV. In this parameter space, the allowed biggest values of A^0 and H^0 masses can almost reach 1350 GeV.

 Y_{e_4} is the Yukawa coupling constant that can influence the mass matrix of exotic lepton and exotic slepton. We use $m_{\tilde{Q}_3} = m_{\tilde{U}_3} = 1.2 \text{ TeV}$, $\tan \beta = 2.3$, $\tan \beta_B =$ 1.77, $A_t = 1.7 \text{ TeV}$, $\upsilon_B = 5.43 \text{ TeV}$, $\mu = -2.64 \text{ TeV}$, $A_{u_5} = 1 \text{ TeV}$ and obtain the results versus Y_{e_4} in the Fig. 3. In the left diagram, the $R_{\gamma\gamma}$ (solid line) and R_{VV} (dashed line) are around 1.3 and their changes are small during the Y_{e_4} range (0.05–1). One can see that in the right diagram m_{A^0} (dot-dashed line) and m_{H^0} (dotted line) possess same behavior versus Y_{e_4} . They are both decreasing functions of Y_{e_4} and vary from 1500 to 500 GeV. In general, Y_{e_4} effect to the Higgs masses m_{A^0} and m_{H^0} is obvious.



Fig. 2 The results versus A_t are shown. $R_{\gamma\gamma}$ (solid line) and R_{VV} (dashed line) are in the left diagram. m_{A^0} (dot-dashed line) and m_{H^0} (dotted line) are in the right diagram



Fig. 3 The results versus Y_{e_4} are shown. $R_{\gamma\gamma}$ (solid line) and R_{VV} (dashed line) are in the left diagram. m_{A^0} (dot-dashed line) and m_{H^0} (dotted line) are in the right diagram

 $m_{\tilde{Q}_3}$ and $m_{\tilde{U}_3}$ are the diagonal elements of the squark mass squared matrix, and they should affect the results. Supposing $m_{\tilde{Q}_3} = m_{\tilde{U}_3} = M_Q$, $\tan \beta = 2.1$, $\tan \beta_B = 2.24$, $A_t =$ 1.7 TeV, $v_B = 3.95$ TeV, $\mu = -1.9$ TeV, $Y_{e_4} =$ 0.6, $A_{u_5} = 1$ TeV, we calculate the results versus M_Q and plot the diagrams in the Fig. 4. It shows that in this figure the solid line, dashed line, dotted line and dot-dashed line are all stable. $R_{\gamma\gamma}$ and R_{VV} are around 1.2. At the same time m_{A^0} and m_{H^0} are about 1 TeV.

5.2 Scalar dark matter Y_1

Here, we suppose Y_1 as a scalar dark matter candidate. In Ref. [33] the density of cold non-baryonic matter is $\Omega_D h^2 = 0.1186 \pm 0.0020$. To obtain the numerical results of dark matter relic density, for consistency the used parameters in this subsection are of the same values as in Eq. (41) if they are supposed. Therefore, we just show the values of the parameters beyond Eq. (41). These parameters are taken as

$$\mu_Y = 1500 \text{ GeV}, \quad \lambda_5 = 1,$$

 $\mu_L = B_L = B_{NL} = 1 \text{ TeV}, \quad \tan \beta = 1.4$

$$B_Y = 940 \,\text{GeV}, \quad m_{\Phi_L}^2 = m_{\varphi_L}^2 = m_{\Phi_{NL}}^2$$
$$= m_{\varphi_{NL}}^2 = 3 \,\text{TeV}^2, \quad Y_{e_4} = 0.5.$$
(42)

With the relation $\lambda_4 = \lambda_6 = Lm$, we study relic density Ω_D and x_F versus Lm in the Fig. 5. In the right diagram of Fig. 5, the grey area is the experimental results in 3 σ and the solid line representing $\Omega_D h^2$ turns small with the increasing Lm. During the Lm region (0.7–1.4), $\Omega_D h^2$ satisfies the experiment bounds of dark matter relic density. x_F is stable and in the region (23.5–24).

Taking $Y_{e_4} = 1.3$, $\lambda_4 = \lambda_6 = 1$ and the other parameters being same as Eq. (42) condition, we plot the relic density(x_F) versus Y_{e_5} in the left (right) diagram of the Fig. 6. In this parameter space, during Y_{e_5} region (0.1–2.5), our theoretical results satisfy the relic density bounds of dark matter, and x_F is very near 23.55. Generally speaking, both the solid line and dashed line are very stable.

6 Discussion and conclusion

Considering the light exotic lepton in BLMSSM, we add exotic Higgs superfields Φ_{NL} and φ_{NL} to BLMSSM in order



Fig. 4 The results versus M_Q are shown. $R_{\gamma\gamma}$ (solid line) and R_{VV} (dashed line) are in the left diagram. m_{A^0} (dot-dashed line) and m_{H^0} (dotted line) are in the right diagram



Fig. 6 The relic density and x_F versus Y_{e_5}

to make the exotic leptons heavy. Light exotic leptons may be excluded by the experiment in the future. On the other hand, heavy exotic leptons should not be stable. So we also introduce the superfields Y and Y' to make exotic leptons decay quickly. The lightest mass eigenstate of Y and Y' mixing mass matrix can be a dark matter candidate. Therefore, the exotic leptons are heavy enough to decay to SM leptons and Y at tree level. We call this extended BLMSSM as EBLMSSM, where the mass matrices for the particles are deduced and compared with those in BLMSSM. Different from BLMSSM, the exotic

sleptons of 4 and 5 generations mix together forming 4×4 mass squared matrix. EBLMSSM has more abundant content than BLMSSM for the lepton physics.

To confine the parameter space of EBLMSSM, we study the decays $h^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ and $h^0 \rightarrow VV$, V = (Z, W). The CP even Higgs masses m_{h^0}, m_{H^0} and CP odd Higgs mass m_A^0 are researched. In the numerical calculation, to keep $m_{h^0} = 125.1$ GeV, we use it as an input parameter. In our used parameter space, the values of $R_{\gamma\gamma}$ and R_{VV} both meet the experiment limits. The CP odd Higgs mass m_{A^0} is a little heavier than the CP even Higgs mass m_{H^0} . Generally speaking, both m_{A^0} and m_{H^0} are in the region (500– 1500) GeV. Based on the supposition that the lightest mass eigenstate Y_1 of Y and Y' mixing possesses the character of cold dark matter, we research the relic density of Y_1 . In our used parameter space, $\Omega_D h^2$ of Y_1 can match the experiment bounds. EBLMSSM has a bit more particles and parameters than those in BLMSSM. Therefore, EBLMSSM possesses stronger adaptive capacity to explain the experiment results and some problems in the theory. In our later work, we shall study the EBLMSSM and confine its parameter space to move forward a single step.

Acknowledgements Supported by the Major Project of NNSFC (no. 11535002, no. 11605037, no. 11705045), the Natural Science Foundation of Hebei province with Grant no. A2016201010 and no. A2016201069, and the Natural Science Fund of Hebei University with Grants no. 2011JQ05 and no. 2012-242, Hebei Key Lab of Optic-Electronic Information and Materials, the midwest universities comprehensive strength promotion project. At last, thanks Dr. Tong Li and Dr. Wei Chao very much for useful discussions of dark matter.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecomm ons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. Funded by SCOAP³.

References

- 1. S.R. Elliott, P. Vogel, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 52, 115 (2002)
- 2. P. Nath, P. Fileviez Perez, Phys. Rep. 441, 191 (2007)
- 3. T2K collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 041801 (2011)
- 4. DAYA-BAY collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 171803 (2012)
- 5. CMS collaboration, Phys. Lett. B **716**, 30 (2012)

- 6. ATLAS collaboration, Phys. Lett. B 716, 1 (2012)
- 7. H.P. Nilles, Phys. Rep. 110, 1 (1984)
- 8. H.E. Haber, G.L. Kane, Phys. Rep. 117, 75 (1985)
- 9. J. Rosiek, Phys. Rev. D 41, 3464 (1990). arXiv:hep-ph/9511250
- 10. P.F. Perez, Phys. Lett. B 711, 353 (2012)
- J.M. Arnold, P.F. Perez, B. Fornal, S. Spinner, Phys. Rev. D 85, 115024 (2012)
- 12. P.F. Perez, M.B. Wise, Phys. Rev. D 84, 055015 (2011)
- P.F. Perez, M.B. Wise, Phys. Rev. D 82, 011901 (2010). Erratum: [Phys. Rev. D 82, 079901 (2010)]
- 14. T.R. Dulaney, P.F. Perez, M.B. Wise, Phys. Rev. D 83, 023520 (2011)
- G. Jungman, M. Kamionkowski, K. Griest, Phys. Rep. 267, 195– 373 (1996)
- 16. G. Bertone, D. Hooper, J. Silk, Phys. Rep. 405, 279-390 (2005)
- 17. M. Drees, M.M. Nojiri, Phys. Rev. D 47, 376-408 (1993)
- 18. P. Ko, Y. Omura, Phys. Lett. B 701, 363-366 (2011)
- T.F. Feng, S.M. Zhao, H.B. Zhang et al., Nucl. Phys. B 871, 223 (2013)
- S.M. Zhao, T.F. Feng, H.B. Zhang et al., Phys. Rev. D 92, 115016 (2015)
- 21. S.M. Zhao, T.F. Feng, X.J. Zhan et al., JHEP 07, 124 (2015)
- 22. T.F. Feng, X.Q. Li, H.B. Zhang, S.M. Zhao, arXiv:1512.06696 [hep-ph]
- 23. S.M. Zhao, T.F. Feng, H.B. Zhang et al., JHEP 11, 119 (2014)
- 24. S.M. Zhao, T.F. Feng, B. Yan et al., JHEP 10, 020 (2013)
- X.X. Dong, S.M. Zhao, H.B. Zhang, F. Wang, T.F. Feng, Chin. Phys. C 40, 093103 (2016)
- J.R. Ellis, M.K. Gaillard, D.V. Nanopoulos, Nucl. Phys. B 106, 292 (1976)
- M.A. Shifman, A.I. Vainshtein, M.B. Voloshin, V.I. Zakharov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 30, 711 (1979)
- 28. A. Djouadi, Phys. Rep. 459, 1 (2008)
- M. Gavela, G. Girardi, C. Malleville, P. Sorba, Nucl. Phys. B 193, 257 (1981)
- 30. W.Y. Keung, W.J. Marciano, Phys. Rev. D 30, 248 (1984)
- J.F. Gunion, H.E. Haber, G. Kane, S. Dawson, *The Higgs Hunters Guide* (Perseus Books, New York, 1990)
- 32. W. Bernreuther, P. Gonzalez, M. Wiebusch, Eur. Phys. J. C 69, 31 (2010)
- 33. Particle Data Group collaboration, Chin. Phys. C 40, 100001 (2016)